# Chapter 1: The Plan and Guiding Principles

# What is this chapter about?

The goals and policies in this chapter <u>convey the City's intent to</u>-convey the City's intent to:

- Use Guiding Principles to inform all land use and capital improvement decisions.
- Describe-Identify and describe and codify-the purpose and role of the Comprehensive Plan's componentselements, supporting documents, and implementation measurestools.
- Define how <u>each-the component elements</u> of the Comprehensive Plan <u>the Urban</u> <u>Design Framework, the Vision and Guiding Principles</u>, the Goals and Policies, the Comprehensive Plan Map, and the List of Significant Projects – work together.
- Provide direction on how to use the Comprehensive Plan to make land use and capital improvement decisions in future amendments to the Plan elements, its supporting documents, and implementation tools.
- Define the relationship between the Comprehensive Plan and <u>federal, state, and</u> regional <u>regulations and</u> plans, and between the Comprehensive Plan and implementation tools.
- Establish consistency within the Comprehensive Plan and consistency and coordination among agencies.

# Why is this important?

The Comprehensive Plan is a long-range land use and public infrastructure-facility investment plan to guide future growth, and the physical development of the City. The goals and policies within the Comprehensive Plan are designed to help Portland become a prosperous, healthy, equitable, and resilient city. This chapter defines the breadth of content included in the Comprehensive Plan. It describes both the scope and limit of the Plan's components elements and explains how and when supporting documents and implementing measures tools are used. This chapter also reminds users of the Guiding Principles, which aim to realize four key values: prosperity, health, equity and resilience.

The goals and policies in this Plan cover a wide variety of topics, but they are all for the purpose of informing and guiding land use decisions. A land use decision is one that has a significant impact on present or future land uses in the area. Land-Examples of land use decisions include, for example, zoning decisions, and adoption of growth-related infrastructure public facility plans.

When applying goals and policies to particular situations, such as specific development proposals or area plans, there may be competing or conflicting policies. Although it would be ideal to always meet each goal and policy, sometimes that is not possible, and so it-the proposals or situations must be judged whether proposals or situations the goals and policies on balance. This approach recognizes that there are trade-offs and compromises, and allows flexibility while still guiding land use and capital decisions. The Guiding Principles provide an anchor or reference point to consider when making trade-offs and compromises.

# **Table of Contents**

# Goals

- Goal 1.A Guiding principles<u>Multiple goals</u>
- Goal 1.B Regional partnerships
- Goal 1.C A well-functioning plan
- Goal 1.D Implementation tools
- Goal <u>10.A</u>1.E Administration

Guiding Principles (Moved to Vision section)

## Policies

#### The Comprehensive Plan and supporting documents

Policy 1.1 The-Comprehensive Plan elements

#### Supporting documents

Policy 1.2 Comprehensive Plan supporting documents

#### Implementation tools

Policy 1.7	Implementation of the Comprehensive Plan
Policy 1.3	Implementation tools subject to the Comprehensive Plan
Policy 1.4	Zoning Code
Policy 1.5	Zoning Map
Policy 1.9	Planning service delivery (moved to Chapter 8. Public Facilities)
Policy 1.6	Service coordination agreements
Policy 1.12	The List of Significant Projects
Policy 1.7	Annexations
Policy 1.8	Urban renewal plans
Policy 1.9	Development agreements
Administra	tion
Policy 1.3	Internal consistency
, Policy 1.10	Compliance with the Comprehensive Plan
Policy 1.11	Consistency with Metro Urban Growth Management Functional Plan and

- Urban Growth Boundary
- Policy 1.12 Consistency with Statewide Planning Goals
- Policy 1.13 Consistency with state and federal regulations
- Policy 1.14 Public facility adequacy
- Policy 1.15 Intergovernmental coordination
- Policy 1.16 Planning and Sustainability Commission review
- Policy 1.17 Community Involvement Committee
- Policy 1.18 Quasi-judicial amendments to the Comprehensive Plan Map
- Policy 1.19 Relationship to community, area, and neighborhood plans adopted before [date]Area-specific plans

### List of Figures

1-1. Comprehensive Plan Package

<u>1-2.</u> Area-Specific Plans Adopted by Ordinance Prior to [date of Comp Plan adoption] <u>Areas subject to community, area, and neighborhood plans adopted before [date of Comp Plan adoption]</u>

# Goals

#### Goal 1.A: Guiding principles Multiple goals

Portland's Comprehensive Plan provides a framework to guide land use, development, and infrastructure-public facility investments. It is based on <u>a set of Guiding P-principles that call</u> for <u>integrated approaches</u>, actions, and outcomes that meet multiple <del>objectives goals;</del>, to ensure Portland is prosperous, healthy, equitable, and resilient.

#### Goal 1.B: Regional partnership

Portland's Comprehensive Plan acknowledges Portland's role within the region,-and is coordinated with the policies of governmental partners.

#### Goal 1.C: A well-functioning plan

Portland's Comprehensive Plan is effective; internally consistent; its elements are aligned, consistent with city, local, regional, state, and federal regulations; and it is updated periodically to be current and address mandates, community needs, and identified problems.

#### Goal 1.D: Implementation tools

Portland's Comprehensive Plan is executed through a variety of implementation tools, both regulatory and non-regulatory. Implementation actions tools are to be consistent comply with the Comprehensive Plan and are implemented carried out in a coordinated and efficient manner. They are to protect the public's current and future interests. They are to and balance the need for providing certainty for future development with the need for flexibility and the opportunity to promote innovation. Implementation tools are listed in Policy 1.8.

#### Goal 10.A1.E: Administration

Portland's Comprehensive Plan is administered efficiently and effectively <u>and in ways that</u> forward the intent of the Plan as a whole. It is implemented is administered in accordance with <u>regional plans and state and federal</u> <del>State</del> law-and the goals, policies, and Comprehensive Plan Map contained in the adopted Comprehensive Plan.

# Policies

# The Comprehensive Plan and supporting documents

This section identifies the core-elements of the Comprehensive Plan-and calls for the Plan and its supporting documents to be both internally consistent, and consistent with regional, state, and federal requirements. See Figure <u>1</u>-1 – Comprehensive Plan Package at the end of this chapter.

- Policy 1.1 Comprehensive Plan <u>elements</u>. Maintain a Comprehensive Plan that includes these elements <u>listed below.</u>
  - Vision and Guiding Principles. The Vision is a statement of where the City aspires to be in 2035. The Guiding Principles call for decisions that meet multiple goals to ensure Portland is prosperous, healthy, equitable, and resilient.
  - **Goals and policies.** The goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan, including the Urban <u>design\_Design\_Framework, provide the long-range</u> planning <u>framework-direction and guide</u> for the development and redevelopment of the city.
  - Comprehensive Plan Map. The Comprehensive Plan Map is the official long-range planning guide for <u>spatially</u> defining the <del>allowed, and in some cases</del>-desired, land uses and development in Portland. The Comprehensive Plan Map is a series of maps, which together show the boundaries of municipal incorporation, the Urban Service Boundary, land use designations, and the recognized boundaries of the Central City, Gateway regional center, town centers, and neighborhood centers.
  - List of Significant Projects. The List of Significant Projects identifies the long term infrastructurepublic facility projects needed to serve the city we expect to be indesignated land uses through 2035, including expected new housing and jobs. It is based on the framework provided by a supporting Public Facilities Plan (PFP). The Citywide Systems Plan (CSP) is the City's public facilities plan. The Transportation System Plan (TSP) includes the transportation-related list of significant projects. Thate list element of the TSP is also an element of the Comprehensive Plan.
  - Transportation System Planpolicies, street classifications, and street plans. The policies, street classifications, and street plan maps within contained in the Transportation System Plan (TSP) are part-an element of the Comprehensive Plan. Elements-Other parts of the TSP function as a supporting document-and-implementation measure, as described belowin Policy 1.2.

# **Supporting documents**

The supporting documents contain the factual information or <u>infrastructure public facility</u> assessments that are used to develop the Comprehensive Plan<u>goals and policies and are</u>; <u>they are</u> not<u>an</u> elements of the Comprehensive Plan<u>itself</u>.

Policy 1.2Comprehensive Plan supporting documents. Maintain and periodically<br/>update the following Comprehensive Plan supporting documents.

**1. Inventories and analyses**. The following inventories and analyses are supporting documents to the Comprehensive Plan:

- Economic Opportunities Analysis (EOA)
- Buildable Lands Inventory (BLI)
- Natural Resource Inventory (NRI)
- Housing Needs Analysis (HNA)

**2. Public Facilities Plan**. The Public Facilities Plan (PFP) is a coordinated plan for the provision of urban public facilities and services within Portland's Urban Services Boundary. <u>The Citywide Systems Plan (CSP) is the City's public facilities plan</u>.

**3.** Transportation System Plan (TSP). The Transportation System Plan (TSP)TSP is the detailed long-range plan to guide transportation system functions and investments. The TSP ensures that new development and allowed land uses are consistent with the identified function and capacity of, and adopted performance measures for, affected transportation facilities. The TSP includes a financial plan to identify revenue sources for planned transportation facilities included on the List of Significant Projects. The TSP includes-is the transportation element of the Public Facilities Plan. Certain components of the TSP are elements of the Comprehensive Plan<sub>T</sub> (see Policy 1.1).

**4. School Facility Plans.** School facility plans that were developed in consultation with the City, adopted by school districts serving the City, and that meet the requirements of ORS 195 are considered supporting documents to the Comprehensive Plan.

## Implementation tools

These policies identify and describe the Comprehensive Plan implementation tools.

Policy 1.7 Implementation of the Comprehensive Plan. Implement the Comprehensive Plan through coordinated long-range transportation and land use planning.

**Policy 1.3** Implementation tools subject to the Comprehensive Plan. Maintain Comprehensive Plan implementation tools <u>must be maintained that are</u>, derived from<sub>17</sub> and <u>consistent comply</u> with, the Comprehensive Plan. Implementation tools include those identified in Ppolicyies 1.4 through Policy 1.9.;

- 1. Zoning Map
- 2. Zoning Code
- 3. Street policy and design classifications, and street plans in the TSP, described in Chapter 9: Transportation
- 4. The List of Significant Projects
- 5. Service coordination agreements
- 6. Annexations
- 7. Urban renewal plans
- 8. Development agreements
- Policy 1.4Zoning Code. Maintain a Zoning Code that establishes the regulations that<br/>apply to various zones, districts, uses, and development types.
- Policy 1.5Zoning Map. Maintain a Zoning Map that identifies the boundaries of various<br/>zones, districts, and other special features.
- Policy 1.6 Service coordination agreements. Maintain coordination agreements with local governments of adjoining jurisdictions concerning mutual recognition of urban service boundaries; special service districts concerning public facilities and services within Portland's Urban Services Boundary; and public school districts concerning educational facilities within Portland's Urban Services Boundary. Major amendments to these service agreements must comply with the Comprehensive Plan. "Comply" means that, on the whole, the proposal strikes a reasonable balance among applicable goals and policies.
- Policy 1.12 List of Significant Projects. Develop and maintain a List of Significant Projects based on the framework provided by the supporting Public Facilities Plan and capital improvement plans. Amendments to the List of Significant Projects must comply with the Comprehensive Plan. "Comply" means that, on the whole, the proposal strikes a reasonable balance among applicable goals and policies.
- **Policy 1.7** Annexations. Provide a process for the incorporation of incorporating urban and urbanizable land within the City's Urban Services Boundary through annexation.

See policies 8.119-8.179-5 for service extension requirements for annexations.

**Policy 1.8** Urban renewal plans. Coordinate Comprehensive Plan implementation with urban renewal plans and implementation activities. A decision to adopt a new urban renewal district, <u>adopt or amend goals and objectives that will</u> <u>guide investment priorities within a district</u>, or amend the boundaries of an

existing district, must comply with the Comprehensive Plan. "Comply" means that, on the whole, the proposal strikes a reasonable balance among applicable goals and policies.

Policy 1.9Development agreements. Consider development agreements entered into<br/>by the City of Portland and pursuant to Oregon Revised Statute 94 a<br/>Comprehensive Plan implementation tool.

## **Administration**

Future work to <u>update maintain</u> the Comprehensive Plan will generally respond to mandates, community needs, <u>current\_new</u> information, <u>and/</u>or identified or potential land use problems, and will be based on the best available data, science, <u>or\_and</u> analytical tools. Projects may be focused on a particular geographic<del>al</del> area or may address a particular issue or set of issues, and may result in amendments to the Comprehensive Plan, a supporting document, <u>and/</u>or an implementation tool.

To effectively administer the Comprehensive Plan, amendments to an element of the Plan or an implementation tool must be internally consistent and support the Guiding Principles listed in Chapter 1 forward the overall intent of the Plan as a whole. The policies in this section direct bothapply to legislative and, in some cases, -quasi-judicial amendments, to the Plan or an implementation tool.

Policy 1.3	Internal consistency. Ensure that the components of the Comprehensive
	Plan are internally consistent.
Policy 1.10	Compliance with the Comprehensive Plan. Ensure that amendments to the
	Comprehensive Plan's elements, supporting documents, and implementation
	tools comply with the Comprehensive Plan. "Comply" means that
	amendments must be evaluated against the Comprehensive Plan's applicable
	goals and policies and on balance be equally or more supportive of the
	Comprehensive Plan as a whole than the existing language or designation.
	1.10.a. Legislative amendments to the Comprehensive Plan's elements and implementation tools must also comply with the Guiding Principles.
	1.10.b. Legislative amendments to the Comprehensive Plan's elements
	should be based on the factual basis established in the supporting
	documents as updated and amended over time.
	1.10.c. Amendments to the Zoning Map are considered to be in compliance with the Comprehensive Plan if they are consistent with the Comprehensive Plan Map. See Policy 10.3* for additional guidance on Zoning Map
	amendments.

- Policy 1.11 Consistency with Metro Urban Growth Management Functional Plan and Urban Growth Boundary. Ensure that the Comprehensive Plan is-remains consistent with the Metro Urban Growth Management Functional Plan and supports a tight urban growth boundary for the Portland metropolitan area.
- Policy 1.12Consistency with Statewide Planning Goals. Ensure that the ComprehensivePlan, supporting documents, and implementation tools remain consistentwith the Oregon Statewide Planning Goals.
- **Policy 1.13 Consistency with state and federal regulations.** Ensure that the Comprehensive Plan is-remains consistent with all applicable state and federal regulations, and that implementation measures for the Comprehensive Plan are well coordinated with other City activities that respond to state and federal regulations.
- Policy 1.14Public facility adequacy. Consider impacts on the existing and future<br/>availability and capacity of urban public facilities and services when<br/>amending Comprehensive Plan elements and implementation tools. Urban<br/>public facilities and services include those provided by the City, neighboring<br/>jurisdictions, and partners within Portland's urban services boundaries, as<br/>established by Policies 8.2 and 8.6.
- Policy 1.15 Intergovernmental coordination. <u>Strive to administer the Ensure that the</u> Comprehensive Plan<u>elements and implementation tools</u>-is implemented-in a manner that <u>complements</u>-supports the efforts and fiscal health of the City, <u>county and regional governments</u>, and partner agencies including-such as school districts<u>and</u>, transit agencies<del>, and county and regional governments</del>.
- Policy 1.16Planning and Sustainability Commission review.Ensure the Planning and<br/>Sustainability Commission (PSC) reviews and makes recommendations to the<br/>City Council on all proposed legislative amendments to Comprehensive Plan<br/>elements, supporting documents, and implementation tools.The PSC advises<br/>City Council on the City's long-range goals, policies, and programs for land<br/>use, planning, and sustainability. The membership and powers and duties of<br/>the PSC are described in the Zoning Code.
- Policy 1.17 Community Involvement Committee. Establish the Planning and Sustainability Commission (PSC) as thea Community Involvement eCommittee to oversee the Community Involvement Program as recognized by Oregon Statewide Planning Goal 1 – Community Involvement and policies pPolicies 2.17 4 and 2.185 in the Community Involvement chapter of this Comprehensive Plan.
- Policy 1.18Quasi-judicial amendments to the Comprehensive Plan Map. Applicants for<br/>quasi-judicial amendments to the Comprehensive Plan Map must show that

the requested change adheres to Policies 1.10 through 1.15 and:

- Is compatible with the land use pattern established by the Comprehensive Plan Map.
- Is not in conflict with applicable adopted area-specific plans, as described in-see also Policy 1.19.

The Hearings Officer must review and make recommendations to the City Council on all quasi-judicial amendments to the Comprehensive Plan Map using procedures outlined in the Zoning Code.

Policy 1.19 Relationship to <u>Area-specific plans.</u> community, area, and neighborhood plans adopted before [date]. Use area-specific plans to provide additional detail or refinements applicable at a smaller geographic scale, such as for centers and corridors, within the policy framework provided by the overall Comprehensive Plan.

> **1.19.a.** Area-specific plans that are adopted after [date of eComp Palan adoption] should clearly identify which components amend Comprehensive Plan elements, supporting documents, or implementation tools. Such amendments should be appropriate to the scope of the Comprehensive Plan; be intended to guide land use decisions; and provide geographically-specific detail. Such amendments could include policies specific to the plan area, land use designation changes, zoning map changes, zoning code changes, and public facility projects necessary to serve designated land uses.

**1.19.b.** Area-specific plan components intended as context, general guidance, or directives for future community-driven efforts should not amend the Comprehensive Plan elements or implementation tools, but be adopted by resolution as intent. These components include vision statements, historical context, existing conditions, action plans, design preferences, and other background information.

**1.19.c.** Community, area, and-neighborhood, and other area-specific plans that were adopted by ordinance prior to <u>[date of [Comp Plan adoption-date]]</u> are still in effect<sub>7</sub>. <u>hH</u>owever, the <u>goals and policieselements</u> of this Comprehensive Plan supersede any goals or policies of a community, area, or neighborhood plan that <u>conflict are inconsistent</u> with <u>a goal or policy in this</u> <u>planPlan</u>. See Figure 1-2 – Community, area, and neighborhood plans adopted before [date of Comp Plan adoption], and Figure 7-2 —; Adopted Environmental Plans.

Figure <u>1-</u>1--\_\_ Comprehensive Plan Package



## Figure 1-2.— Area-Specific Plans Adopted by Ordinance Prior to [<mark>date of Comp Plan adoption]</mark>

Plan	Ordinance No.	Effective
		dates
Downtown Plan	150580	1972
Amended (1980)		
Corbett-Terwilliger-Lair Hill Policy Plan	150580	1977
Terwilliger Parkway Corridor Plan	155244	1983
Cully/Parkrose Community Plan	158942	1986
Cully portion superseded by Cully Neighborhood Plan (1992)		
Wilkes Community and Rockwood Corridor Plan	160174	1987
Sullivan's Gulch Neighborhood Action Plan	159897	1987
Kerns Neighborhood Action Plan	159894	1987
Hosford-Abernethy (HAND) Neighborhood Action Plan	160471	1988
Central City Plan	160606	1988
Buckman Neighborhood Plan	164489	1991
Brooklyn Neighborhood Plan	163982	1992
Cully Neighborhood Plan	164922	1991
Brentwood/Darlington Neighborhood Plan	165071	1992
Albina Community Plan	166786, 167054	1993
Arbor Lodge Neighborhood Plan	166786, 167054	1993
Boise Neighborhood Plan	166786, 167054	1993
Concordia Neighborhood Plan	166786, 167054	1993
Eliot Neighborhood Plan	166786, 167054	1993
Humboldt Neighborhood Plan	166786, 167054	1993
Irvington Neighborhood Plan	166786, 167054	1993
Kenton Neighborhood Plan	166786, 167054	1993,
Amended by Kenton Downtown Plan (2001)		2001
King Neighborhood Plan	166786, 167054	1993
Piedmont Neighborhood Plan	166786, 167054	1993
Sabin Neighborhood Plan	166786, 167054	1993
Woodlawn Neighborhood Plan	166786, 167054	1993
Richmond Neighborhood Plan	168280	1994
University District & River District Plans	168702	1995
Woodstock Neighborhood Plan	169488	1995
Downtown Community Association Residential Plan	170347	1996
Goose Hollow Station Community Plan	169699	1996
Outer Southeast Community Plan	169763	1996
Centennial Neighborhood Plan	169763	1996
Foster-Powell Neighborhood Plan	169763	1996

Plan	Ordinance No.	Effective dates
Lents Neighborhood Plan	169763	1996
Mt. Scott-Arleta Neighborhood Plan	169763	1996
Mill Park Neighborhood Plan	169763	1996
Montavilla Neighborhood Plan	169763	1996
Outer Southeast Business Plan	169763	1996
Pleasant Valley Neighborhood Plan	169763	1996
Powellhurst-Gilbert Neighborhood Plan	169763	1996
Hazelwood Neighborhood Plan	169763	1996
South Tabor Neighborhood Plan	169763	1996
Bridgeton Neighborhood Plan	171238	1997
Hillsdale Town Center Plan-(	171699	1997
Sellwood-Moreland Neighborhood Plan	171849	1997
Creston Kenilworth Neighborhood Plan	172365	1998
Sunnyside Neighborhood Plan	173725	1999
Southwest Community Plan Vision, Policies and Objectives (2000) Ordinance No. 174667	174667	2000
Hollywood and Sandy Plan (2000) Ordinance No. 174325	<u>174325</u>	2000
Kenton Downtown Plan (2001) Ordinance No.175210	<u>175210</u>	2001
Guild's Lake Industrial Sanctuary Plan (2001) Ordinance No. 176092	<u>176092</u>	2001
Portsmouth Neighborhood Plan (2002) Ordinance No. 176614	<u>176614</u>	2002
Downtown's West End (2002) Ordinance No. 176193	<u>176193</u>	2002
South Waterfront Plan (2002) Ordinance No. 177082	<u>177082</u>	2002
Marquam Hill Plan <del>(2002) incorporated into plan by</del> Ordinance No. 176742; readopted by Ordinance No. 1777	<u>176742, 17777</u>	2002
Northwest District Plan Remanded (2005)	177920, 177921, 177993, 178020	2003
Amendments to the Cascade Station/Portland International Center Plan District	17076	2005
Gateway Planning Regulations	178423, 178424	2004
St. Johns/Lombard Plan	178452	2004
DivisonVision Green Street/Main Street Plan	179925	2006
North Interstate Corridor Plan	182072	2008
North Pearl District Plan	182319	2008
Hayden Island Plan	183124	2009
Airport Futures City Land Use Plan	184521	2011
SE 122nd Avenue Rezone Project	185682	2012