

## 2035 Comprehensive Plan

Planning and Sustainability Commission Work Session

Residential Densities - Part 4 (R5 to R7 Changes)

May 12, 2015



Bureau of Planning and Sustainability Innovation. Collaboration. Practical Solutions.



## Looking across the city...

- 1. Where are there R5 areas with concentrations of lots that equal or exceed R7 density?
- 2. Do these areas fall within walking distance of a center or corridor?





## R5 areas distant from centers and corridors with concentrations of lots $\ge$ R7 density





- Brentwood-Darlington
- Centennial
- Eastmoreland
- Hazelwood
- Lents
- Portsmouth/Kenton
- Powellhurst-Gilbert
- Reed



## Initial guidance from PSC:

- Be clear about what problem we're trying to solve
- Be consistent across the city
- Keep it simple



# Would a change from R5 to R7 address each issue?

- Lot segregations based on historic underlying lot lines
- Land divisions on larger lots
- X Demolition of homes in good condition
- X Scale of new development
- X Duplexes on corner lots
- X Loss of economic and/or generational diversity within neighborhood





## Analytical approach:

Within each R5 study area, staff looked at block patterns to identify concentrations of lots that equal or exceed R7 density.\*

\* ≥ 6,370 square feet



## R5 areas distant from centers and corridors with concentrations of lots $\ge$ R7 density





- Brentwood-Darlington
- Centennial
- Eastmoreland
- Hazelwood
- Lents
- Portsmouth/Kenton
- Powellhurst-Gilbert
- Reed



### Kenton/Portsmouth





## South Lents









## Eastmoreland





## South Burlingame





## Findings:

#### **1.** Concentrations of large lots ( $\geq$ 6,370 sf)

- Fairly uniform among study areas
- South Burlingame and Eastmoreland have more of a mix

#### **2.** Effect of underlying lot lines

- Citywide issue best addressed through code changes
- Changing from R5 to R7 mitigates this somewhat
- In Eastmoreland, such lots are primarily east of SE 36<sup>th</sup> where the predominant density is R5 today





## **Recommendations:**

- 1. Affirm July 2014 proposals for  $R5 \rightarrow R7$  changes
- 2. Consider options for Eastmoreland
- 3. Retain R5 in South Burlingame because of proximity to services and amenities

#### In addition:

Address underlying lot and scale issues on a citywide basis through code changes





## **Options for Eastmoreland**

- a. Retain R5
- b. Down-designate area within existing Eastmoreland Plan District boundaries from R5 to R7\*

\* a minor refinement to the July 2014 proposal





## **Questions and Discussion**









## Concerns about "truth in zoning"

- Prior to 2002, density and lot size were coupled:
  R5 = 5,000 sf
  R7 = 7,000 sf
- After 2002, density and lot size were decoupled:
  R5 = 5,000 sf density and 3,000 sf lot
  R7 = 7,000 sf density and 4,200 sf lot



### Flexible lot sizes





## Lot Confirmations ≠ Land Divisions





## R5 "confirmable" lot example



#### 13 lots, 11 "skinny lots"



## R7 "confirmable" lot example



#### 5 lots, all 36+ feet wide

