> From: Blaine Ackley <<u>blaineackley@me.com</u>>

> Sent: Monday, January 5, 2015 7:54 PM

> Subject: Pembina's Liquefied Propane Export Proposal

>

> Ms. Anderson,

> I urge you and the planning and sustainability committee to vote down this proposal for a variety of reasons.

> 1) Health & Safety - As these trains come through cities and towns, the block traffic (both rail and vehicular) for between 10 and 15 minutes. If there is an emergency during the times the trains are passing, it can pose problems for the sick, injured, or other emergency personnel. In addition, propane is very volatile and could pose an incredible public safety issue. It would be a terrorists dream come true. Please remember that in the beginning there would be one train per day but the plans call for two trains per day so that would double the effects and disruptions that the plant would pose.

> 2) Carbon Emissions - It is my understanding that the proposed plant would result in the emission of 10,000 tons of CO2 per day How can Portland comply with CO2 emission reduction goals if we allow this kind of plant to be located here?

> 3) Air Quality - Please remember that each time a petro chemical plant located in any area, the emissions degrade the air quality with a variety of cancer causing agents. How can we urge people to come down to Kelly Point Park or the Bike Trail in that area if the air quality will be degraded?

> 4) Environment - Let us think about what this kind of plant means for the environment as a whole. Trains are subject to failure and accident. This would impact the Columbia River and the Columbia River Gorge. Secondly all of the ships coming up dan down the river to take the product to markets in Asia. In essence, we are subjecting our environment to degradation to the benefit of a foreign country. Does this seem right to you.

> 5) Financial security and bonding - Does Pembina intend to post a bond to provide for clean up of accidents, and accident compensation fund, and performance bond to indemnify the city against loss? What is the financial background of the company? How secure do we feel that they will carry out their intended plan in a responsible manner?

> 6) Job creation - Please remember that this plant is simply an export facility, the number of jobs created for operation of the plant will be minimal. It is not a manufacturing facility so there will be few jobs associated with this proposal.

>

> It is for this reason that I urge you to deny the permit for this facility.

>

> Sincerely yours,
> :)Blaine Ackley
655 NW 229th Ave.
Hillsboro, Oregon
97124

> To: Anderson, Susan