City of Portland Permit Streamlining Process Assessment



Eric T. (Rick) Mogren, PhD Benjamin Fitch October 22, 2014

 \mathcal{D}



Introduction

Portland State

Topics:

- Project description
- The bottom line...
- Methods
- Findings and discussion
- Conclusions and recommendations

Problem Statement:

- Streamlining Team Chair is preparing a ten-year progress report for the Portland City Council
- The Chair requested a third-party review of the process

What CPS was asked to do:

- Conduct a 360° assessment of the permit streamlining process
- If warranted, provide an endorsement of the process to the Portland City Council

Portland State



Summary Conclusions:

- The permit streamlining process adds value to Portland city governance by:
 - Ensuring compliance with city, state, and federal environmental regulations
 - Reducing permit processing time
 - Building trust through collaborative relationships between city project managers and regulators
- CPS endorses the process





Limitations:

- Limited number of interview subjects
- No on-site evaluations of project outcomes
- Accepted document claims as written
 Did not examine document details





CPS Scope and Approach:

- Orientation by Streamlining Process Chair
- Review program-related reports and documents
- Conducted interviews:
 - Team members (7)
 - Project managers (3)
 - Consultants (2)
 - \circ BES leadership (1)



Topical Categories:

- Purpose, goals, and functions
- Metrics
- Process strengths
- Process improvements

Purpose, Goals, and Functions

Four primary themes:

- Foster communication and coordination
- Improve project quality
- Improve consistency in messaging and decision-making
- Foster constructive relationships

Portland State

Purpose, Goals, and Functions

Four primary themes:

- Foster communication and coordination
- Improve project quality
- Improve consistency in messaging and decision-making
- Foster constructive relationships

Portland State

Findings and Discuission

Portland State

Metrics

- Quantitative measurements
 - o Time
 - \circ Money
 - Projects permitted
- Qualitative measurements
 - \circ Relationships
 - Project quality
 - Knowledge gained
 - Transparency and accountability
 - Recognition

Findings and Discuission

Portland State

Metrics

- Quantitative measurements
 - o Time
 - Money
 - Projects permitted
- Qualitative measurements
 - \circ Relationships
 - Project quality
 - Knowledge gained
 - Transparency and accountability
 - Recognition



Process Strengths

- Training and education
- Consistency and efficiency
- Relationships and normative impacts
- Role of the team chair
- Collaborative and voluntary nature of process



Process Strengths

- Training and education
- Consistency and efficiency
- Relationships and normative impacts
- Role of the team chair
- Collaborative and voluntary nature of process

Findings and Discussion



Weaknesses

 \mathcal{O}



Weaknesses Improvements

- No major weaknesses identified
- "I can't see the city without it"



Weaknesses Improvements

Themes:

- No major weaknesses identified
- "I can't see the city without it"
- Process improvements:
 - Streamlining process management

Outreach

- Participant motivations
- Site visits
- Miscellaneous suggestions

Portland State

- Normative and instrumental value added:
 - City governance
 - Regulatory decisions
 - Project management
- Value of annual streamlining process workshops
- Information management

Portland State

Themes

Normative and instrumental value added:

- City governance
- Regulatory decisions
- Project management
- Value of annual streamlining process workshops
- Information management



Portland State

- Normative and instrumental value added:
 - City governance
 - Regulatory decisions
 - Project management
- Value of annual streamlining process workshops
- Information management



Themes

- Normative and instrumental value added:
 - City governance
 - Regulatory decisions
 Project management
 - Project management
- Value of annual streamlining process workshops
- Information management



Portland State

Recommendations

- Continue process as currently designed
- Explore procedural improvements:
 - Obtain additional input from additional PMs and consultants
 - Review procedures for information dissemination
 - Review opportunity for electronic information retrieval
 - Develop case study library
 - Develop outreach to inform senior leaders on process value
 - Incorporate site visits and PM input into annual training
- Follow-up on "parking lot" issues

Portland

Recommendations

- Continue process as essentially designed
- Explore procedural improvements:
 - Obtain additional input from additional PMs and consultants
 - Review procedures for information dissemination
 - Review opportunity for electronic information retrieval
 - Develop case study library
 - Develop outreach to inform senior leaders on process value
 - Incorporate site visits and PM input into annual training
- Follow-up on "parking lot" issues

Portland

Recommendations

- Continue process as essentially designed
- Explore procedural improvements:
 - Obtain additional input from additional PMs and consultants
 - Review procedures for information dissemination
 - Review opportunity for electronic information retrieval
 - Develop case study library
 - Develop outreach to inform senior leaders on process value
 - Incorporate site visits and PM input into annual training
- Follow-up on "parking lot" issues

Portland



Questions?