GOOD FAITH EFFORT COMPLIANCE REPORT

		A v
Submission substantially com	plies with Good Faith Effort Requirements	YES / NO
Reviewed by:	Brenda Scott	
Date:	6-25-14	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT NAME & BUREAU	Middle Hillsdale RDII Pilot Project (BES)
BID NUMBER	116640
PRE-BID DATE	6-3-14
BID OPEN DATE	6-19-14
BID AMOUNT	\$1,118,185.80
CONTRACTOR	Insituform Technologies LLC

AT BID SUBMISSION

	QUESTION	YES	NO
Did contractor submit the GFE Form 1	(Subcontractor and Self-Perform Work List)?	. X	

M/W/ESB PARTICIPATION – Total Bid

PARTICIPATION TYPE	TOTAL \$	PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL BID AMOUNT				
MBE	\$0	0%				
WBE	\$42,750	3.8%				
ESB	\$0	0%				
Total M/W/ESB Participation	\$42,750	Total bid – 3.8% Subcontract percentage – 21.3%				

M/WBE PARTICIPATION – Subcontracting (35% Aspirational goal)

TOTAL OF ALL SUBCONTRACTS (including non-certified firms)	TOTAL MBE & WBE SUBCONTRACTS	PERCENTAGE OF M/WBE SUBCONTRACTS
\$201,137.92	\$42,750	21.3%

GOOD FAITH DOCUMENTATION (24 HOURS AFTER BID OPENING)

	QUESTION	YES	NO	NOTES
1.	Did prime contractor attend the mandatory pre-bid meeting? (If pre-bid meeting was waived, note in NOTES column.) Check sign-up sheet with Buyer.	X		
2.	Did contractor explain why identified divisions of work (DOW) were not included for subcontracting? (See Form 1)	X	-	
З.	Were letters or faxes sent to all M/W/ESBs (in identified DOW's to be subcontracted) at the pre-bid meeting?(Form 2)	X		
4,	Was a minimum of five (5) M/W/ESB firms contacted (in each identified DOW to be subcontracted) by letter or fax to bid on this project?(Form 2)	X		
5.	Were M/W/ESB firms contacted at least seven (7) calendar days before the bid opening?(Form 2)	X		
6.	Is a copy of the letter or fax sent to potential M/W/ESB subs included in Good Faith documentation?	X		
7.	Did prime provide a completed M/W/ESB Contact/Bids Received Log (Form 2)?	X		

GOOD FAITH EFFORT ANALYSIS

1.	Divisions of Work Identified by City: Excavating; Hauling Services (Trucking); Sewer, Water & Storm Drain Work; and Traffic Control Services (Includes TPDT).
2.	Rationale for non-utilization of subcontractors for suggested Divisions of Work: Self-performing.
3.	All Divisions of Work to be used on this project: Same as #1.
4.	Certified Subcontractors' name, Cert Type, and Subcontract amount used on the Project: D&H Flagging (WBE Traffic Control Services (Includes TPDT)) - \$42,750.
5.	Subcontractor names and subcontract amounts awarded to non-certified firms: C More Pipe Services (Clean, TV and lateral grouting) - \$158,387.92.
6.	Divisions of Work retained by Prime: Excavating; Hauling Services (Trucking); and Sewer, Water & Storm Drain Work.
7.	Bids received from certified firms (not used): Chick of All Trades LLC (MBE Traffic Control Services – includes TPDT) - \$32,930. (Didn't include complete scope).
8.	Check Compliance file for prime contractor. Are there any contract compliance issues in the prime's Compliance file (last 3 years) that should be noted for the Purchasing Agent's Report to Council? No.
9.	Any special Good Faith Efforts undertaken by the Prime Contractor? Yes, prime contacted more than the required number of MWESB's in the DOW subcontracted.
10.	List of other prime bids received: Note any special Good Faith Efforts taken by the Prime Contractor and if good faith effort documentation submitted (Forms 1/2). None.

Notes:

EEO Cert. Expires: 6/19/16 COP License No.: 741360 CCB License No.: 196019

Issues: None.

Clarifications: None.

Summary of Insituform Technologies LLC's Good Faith Efforts:

NIGP	Division of Work	Required M/W/ESB Contacts	# M/W/ESBs Contacted	# Bids Received	# Bids Used
96884	TRAFFIC CONTROL SERVICES (INCLUDES TPDT)	5	11	2	1
Total		5	11	2	1

Level of Effort:

	High	Met the aspirational goal.
Х	Moderate	Exceeded the solicitation requirements and/or achieved some participation.
	Low	Met the minimal solicitation requirements but achieved NO participation.

Recommendation: Approval. The contractor is in compliance with the GFE specifications.

Bid No	: 116640					
Project	Name: Middle Hillsdale RDII Pilot					
	id Opened: 06/19/14					
	Manager: Wrandoll Brenes-Morua					
	ers Estimate: \$1,000,000.00					
_ngme						
tem		Est.		Spec	Insituform Tec	hnologies, LLC
Vo	Description	Quant	Units	Reference	Unit Price	Extended
1	MOBILIZATION	1	LS	00210	\$40,570.00	\$40,570.00
	TEMPORARY PROTECTION AND DIRECTION					+ 103010100
2	OF TRAFFIC	1	LS	00225	\$50,655.00	\$50,655.00
3	PORTABLE CHANGEABLE MESSAGE SIGNS	16	WK	00225	\$865.00	\$13,840.00
4	FLAGGERS	500	HR	00225	\$47.00	\$23,500.00
5	EROSION CONTROL	1	LS	00280	\$6,000.00	\$6,000.00
6	INLET PROTECTION	49	EA	00280	\$175.00	\$8,575.00
7	POLLUTION CONTROL PLAN	1	LS	00290	\$560.00	\$560.00
8	VIDEO INSPECTION OF SEWERS, MAINLINE	27430	FT	00401	\$2.30	\$63,089.00
9	POTHOLE EXCAVATION	7	EA	00405	\$895.00	\$6,265.00
10	CIPP LINER, 8 INCH	13423	FT	00410	\$41.60	\$558,396.80
11	POINT REPAIR	7	EA	00410	\$10,050.00	\$70,350.00
12	8-INCH PIPE, REHABILITATED OR REPLACED, COMPLETE	292	FT	00445	\$280.00	\$81,760.00
13	6-INCH PIPE, LATERAL, COMPLETE	110	FT	00445	\$168.00	\$18,480.00
14	TERMINAL CLEANOUT	7	EA	00445	\$3,000.00	\$21,000.00
15	CONCRETE MANHOLES, 48 INCH, 0-8 Ft Depth	11	EA	00470	\$8,376.00	\$92,136.00
16	CONCRETE MANHOLES, 48 INCH, Deeper than 8 Ft	17	FT	00470	\$335.00	\$5,695.00
17	INSIDE DROP ASSEMBLY, 6 INCH	5	EA	00470	\$3,350.00	\$16,750.00
18	MINOR ADJUSTMENT OF MANHOLES	6	EA	00490	\$895.00	\$5,370.00
19	RECONSTRUCT MANHOLE BASE	10	EA	00490	\$840.00	\$8,400.00
20	REPLACE MANHOLE STEPS	178	EA	00490	\$73.00	\$12,994.00
21	DIVERSION OF FLOW	1	LS	00490	\$4,800.00	\$4,800.00
22	SEWER SERVICE LATERAL CONNECTION REHABILITATION	3	EA	00490	\$3,000.00	\$9,000.00
	Total					\$1,118,185.80
	Bid Surety	17.1. ³				Yes BB
	Contractor Board License No.	-				196019

Ĵ,

BUREAU OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES M E M O R A N D U M ENGINEERING SERVICES DIVISION

DATE: June 25, 2014

TO: Kathleen Brenes-Morua, Procurement Services

THROUGH: Mark Hutchinson, Construction Division Manager BB for MH Susan Aldrich, CIP Manager

FROM: Ted Luyben, Construction Project Manager

RE: Bid No. 116640 Project No. E10512

SUBJECT: Middle Hillsdale RDII Pilot Project Contract Award Recommendation

We have reviewed the bids for this project.

The proposal from Insituform Technologies LLC shows a bid amount of \$1,118,185.80, which is 10.65% over the Engineer's Estimate of \$1,010,520.00 and is the apparent low bid.

We therefore recommend that Procurement Services accept the proposal from Insituform Technologies LLC, the apparent low bidder, as the lowest responsible bidder. This recommendation is subject to your evaluation of the bid packet for completeness and responsiveness.

Please contact me at 503-823-5303, if you have any questions.

ec: Bill Ryan Scott Gibson Josh Nault Dave Nunamaker Neil Bruesch Neil Choate Andi Gresh Const. File w/sup.docs TRIM Folder 54.0

Attachment: Bid Tabs