### GOOD FAITH EFFORT COMPLIANCE REPORT

Submission substantially com	("YES)	NO	
Reviewed by:	Brenda Scott	$\bigtriangledown$	
Date:	6-18-14		

· PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT NAME & BUREAU	2014 LID Project (PBOT)
BID NUMBER	116842
PRE-BID DATE	None
BID OPEN DATE	6-12-14
BID AMOUNT	\$1,000,992 Base Bid plus \$56,000 Accepted Alternates
CONTRACTOR	Kodiak Pacific Construction (WBE)

### AT BID SUBMISSION

QUESTION	YES	NO	
Did contractor submit the GFE Form 1 (Subcontractor and Self-Perform Work List)?	Х		

M/W	VESB PARTICIPATION	– Total Bid
PARTICIPATION TYPE	TOTAL \$	PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL BID AMOUNT
MBE	\$5,500	.5%
WBE	\$746,038 (including prime)	70.6%
ESB	\$0	0%
Total M/W/ESB Participation	\$751,538	Total bid – 71.1% Subcontract percentage – 20.6%

#### OD DADTIOUDATION. TUDI

### M/WBE PARTICIPATION – Subcontracting (35% Aspirational goal)

TOTAL OF ALL SUBCONTRACTS (including non-certified firms)	TOTAL MBE & WBE SUBCONTRACTS	PERCENTAGE OF M/WBE SUBCONTRACTS
\$385,037	\$79,583	20.6%

### GOOD FAITH DOCUMENTATION (24 HOURS AFTER BID OPENING)

	QUESTION	YES	NO	NOTES
1.	Did prime contractor attend the mandatory pre-bid meeting? (If pre-bid meeting was waived, note in NOTES column.) Check sign-up sheet with Buyer.	-*** · _	-	No prebid.
2.	Did contractor explain why identified divisions of work (DOW) were not included for subcontracting? (See Form 1)	X		
3.	Were letters or faxes sent to all M/W/ESBs (in identified DOW's to be subcontracted) at the pre-bid meeting?(Form 2)			No prebid.
4.	Was a minimum of five (5) M/W/ESB firms contacted (in each identified DOW to be subcontracted) by letter or fax to bid on this project?(Form 2)	X		
5.	Were M/W/ESB firms contacted at least seven (7) calendar days before the bid opening?(Form 2)	X	s	
6.	Is a copy of the letter or fax sent to potential M/W/ESB subs included in Good Faith documentation?	X		
7.	Did prime provide a completed M/W/ESB Contact/Bids Received Log (Form 2)?	X		

### GOOD FAITH EFFORT ANALYSIS

1.	Divisions of Work Identified by City: Asphalt Paving; Clearing/Grubbing/Mowing/Brushing; Concrete Cutting; Concrete Work; Excavating; Fencing; Grading; Hauling Services (Trucking); Hazardous Waste Removal; Landscaping; Sewer, Water & Storm Drain Work; Striping; Traffic Control Services (Includes TPDT); Traffic Sign Installation/Removal; and Utilities.
2.	Rationale for non-utilization of subcontractors for suggested Divisions of Work: Self-performing.
3.	All Divisions of Work to be used on this project: Same as #1 plus Missed Opportunity – Electrical.
4.	Certified Subcontractors' name, Cert Type, and Subcontract amount used on the Project: Blondy's Trucking (WBE Hauling Services (Trucking)) - \$22,000; Brothers Concrete Cutting (MBE Concrete Cutting) - \$5,500; Miller Factors (WBE Landscaping) - \$9,808; and Certified Personnel Service Agency (WBE Traffic Control Services (Includes TPDT)) - \$42,275.
5.	Subcontractor names and subcontract amounts awarded to non-certified firms: Ron Roth Construction (Hauling Services (Trucking) - \$58,000; Northstar Electrical (Electrical) - \$56,000; Baker Rock Resources (Traffic Control Services (Includes TPDT) and Traffic Sign Installation/Removal) - \$11,600; Hildebrand Concrete Construction (Concrete Work) - \$134,987; NTA Contracting (partial Sewer, Water & Storm Drain Work) - \$29,000; Apply-A-Line (Striping) - \$4,167; and Zochert Fence (Fencing) - \$11,700.
6.	Divisions of Work retained by Prime: Asphalt Paving; Clearing/Grubbing/Mowing/Brushing; Excavating; Grading; Hazardous Waste Removal; Partial Sewer, Water & Storm Drain Work; and Utilities.
7.	Bids received from certified firms (not used): Bent Enterprises (WBE Hauling Services (Trucking)) - \$85,000; NESW Trucking LLC (MBE Hauling Services (Trucking)) - \$87,000; NW Infrastructure LLC (MBE Hauling Services (Trucking)) - \$89,000; McDermott Fence & Construction LLC (WBE Fencing) - \$10,394 (rcvd late); Cavico NW LLC (MBE Concrete Work) - \$255,151; R&R General Contractors (MBE Concrete Work) - \$165,595; A+ Flagging (MBE Traffic Control Services (Includes TPDT)) - \$41,515; Chick of All Trades LLC (MBE Traffic Control Services – includes TPDT) - \$61,405; D&H Flagging (WBE Traffic Control Services (Includes TPDT)) - \$44,412; Egami Construction Inc (MBE Traffic Control Services (includes TPDT)) - \$10,256 and Traffic Sign Installation/Removal - \$3,886; and Tri-Star Flagging LLC (MBE Traffic Control Services (Includes TPDT)) - \$56,956.

8.	Check Compliance file for prime contractor. Are there any contract compliance issues in the prime's Compliance file (last 3 years) that should be noted for the Purchasing Agent's Report to Council? No.
9.	Any special Good Faith Efforts undertaken by the Prime Contractor? Yes, prime contacted more than the required number of MWESB's in some DOW's.
10.	List of other prime Base bids received: Note any special Good Faith Efforts taken by the Prime Contractor and if good faith effort documentation submitted (Forms 1/2). Civilworks NW Inc - \$1,022,251.70 (Form 1); R&R General Contractors (MBE) - \$1,071,508.81 (Form 1); Westech Construction - \$1,005,522 (Form 1-2); Dirt & Aggregate Interchange (MBE) - \$1,041,777 (Form 1); and Brown Contracting - \$1,185,051 (Form 1).

Notes:

 EEO Cert. Expires:
 10/10/15

 COP License No.:
 383555

 CCB License No.:
 53583

Issues: None.

Clarifications: None.

### Summary of Kodiak Pacific Construction's Good Faith Efforts:

NIGP	Division of Work	Required M/W/ESB Contacts	# M/W/ESBs Contacted	#Bids Received	# Bids Used
91382	CONCRETE CUTTING	5	7	1	1
91430	CONCRETE WORK	5	6	2	0
98815	FENCING	5.	6	1	0
96239	HAULING SERVICES (TRUCKING)	5	6	4	1
98852	LANDSCAPING	5	9	1	1
91345	SEWER, WATER & STORM DRAIN WORK	5	6	0	0
91276	STRIPING	4	8	0	0
96884	TRAFFIC CONTROL SERVICES (INCLUDES TPDT)	5	7	6	1
96880	TRAFFIC SIGN INSTALLATION/REMOVAL	5	5	1	0
Total		44	60	16	4

Level of Effort:

	High	Met the aspirational goal.
X	Moderate	Exceeded the solicitation requirements and/or achieved some participation.
	Low	Met the minimal solicitation requirements but achieved NO participation.

Recommendation: Approval. The contractor is in compliance with the GFE specifications.

B	Bid No: 116842															
P	roject Name: 2014 Local Improvement District Project															
÷	Date Bid Opened: 06/12/14														1	*****
	roject Manager: Andrew Aebi			· · · ·					·····		· ·				+	
	ingineers Estimate: \$1,200,000	-				<u> </u>										
							1									
Ife	em	Est.		Spec	Kodiak Pac	fic Construction	Mostoch Co	netruction Inc	CivilWo	ks NW, Inc.	Dirt & Aggregate Int	erchange Inc	R & R General Cor	tractors Inc	Brown Con	tracting
ş	lo Description		Unite		Unit Price		Unit Price		Unit Price		Unit Price	Extended	Unit Price	Extended		Extende
		Quan	Units	Reference	Unit Flice	LAtenueu	Unit Frice	LALEIIGEG	Onternee	LAtenueu	onit i nee	LAtendeu	Unit Frice	LAtenueu	Ontrince	LAtende
~	1 MOBILIZATION	1	LS	0210	\$34,368.65	\$34 368 65	\$66,000.00	\$66,000.00	\$75,000,00	\$75,000.00	\$76,995.00	\$76,995.00	\$60,000.00	\$60:000.00	\$110,000.00	\$110,
-		1	1			<i>\$61,600,000</i>		400,000,000	+	+	+ · 0,000100	<i></i>	000,000100	+001000111	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
-	2 TEMPORARY PROTECTION & DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC	; 1	LS	0225	\$11,000.00	\$11,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$3,500.00	\$3,500.00	\$32,900.00	\$32,900.00	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$5,
10000	3 TEMPORARY SIGNS	582	SQFT	0225	\$30.00	\$17,460.00	\$15.00	\$8,730.00	\$15.00	\$8,730.00	\$18.00	\$10,476.00	\$14.00	\$8,148.00	\$14.00	\$8
	4 TEMPORARY BARRICADES, TYPE II	5	EACH	0225	\$110.00	\$550.00	\$32.00	\$160.00	\$60.00	\$300.00	\$125.00	\$625.00	\$60.00	\$300.00	\$190.00	\$
	5 TEMPORARY BARRICADES, TYPE III	4	EACH	0225	\$170.00	\$680.00	\$48.00	\$192.00	\$175.00	\$700.00	\$150.00	\$600.00	\$85.00	\$340.00	\$200.00	5
	6 TEMPORARY PLASTIC DRUMS	74	EACH	0225	\$55.00	\$4,070.00	\$24.00	\$1,776.00	\$60.00	\$4,440.00	\$50.00	\$3,700.00	\$30.00	\$2,220.00		\$2
	7 SEQUENTIAL ARROW SIGNS	1	EACH	0225	\$1,800.00	\$1,800.00	\$1,125.00	\$1,125.00	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$3,600.00	\$3,600.00	\$2,250.00	\$2,250.00	\$1,000.00	\$1
-	8 FLAGGERS	950	HOUR	0225	\$47.25	\$44,887.50		\$45,125;00	\$50.00	\$47,500.00	\$50.00	\$47,500.00	\$43.70	\$41,515.00		\$61
	9 TEMPORARY CL-6R CHAIN LINK FENCE	650	FOOT	0270	\$25.00	\$16,250.00		\$6,500.00	\$2.00	\$1,300.00	\$20.00	\$13,000.00	\$2.80			\$1
	10 EROSION CONTROL	1	LS	0280	\$3,000.00	\$3,000.00		\$8,350.00		\$2,500.00	\$4,400.00	\$4,400.00	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00		\$3
	11 CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCES	4	EACH	0280	\$1,000.00	\$4,000.00		\$4,800.00		\$6,000.00	\$1,500.00	\$6,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$8,000.00		\$5
	12 SEDIMENT FENCE, SUPPORTED		FOOT	0280	\$2.75	\$5,500.00		\$9,000.00	\$3.50	\$7,000.00	\$5.00	\$10,000.00	\$2.00			\$4
	13 SEDIMENT FENCE, UNSUPPORTED		FOOT	0280	\$2.20	\$22.00		\$35.00	\$3.00	\$30.00	\$5.00	\$50.00	\$9.00	and a contract of the second		\$
	14 INLET PROTECTION		EACH	0280	\$55.00	\$1,485.00		\$2,835.00	\$65.00	\$1,755.00	\$85.00	\$2,295.00	\$100.00			\$4
	15 POLLUTION CONTROL PLAN		LS	0290	\$500.00	\$500.00		\$500.00	\$220.00	\$220.00	\$500.00	\$500.00	\$500.00			\$
with the	16 HASP/CMDP WORKPLANS	1	LS	0291	\$500.00	\$500.00	\$500.00	\$500.00	\$1,750.00	\$1,750.00	\$500.00	\$500.00	\$500.00	\$500.00	\$1,000.00	\$1,
	17 REMOVAL OF STRUCTURES & OBSTRUCTIONS	. 1	LS	0310	#0E 000 00	#05 000 00	640.000.00	@40.000.00	¢00 500 00	\$00 E00 00	004 140 00	PO4 440 00	e40.000.00	040.000.00	¢1= 000 do	¢4r
	• • • •				\$25,000.00		\$10,350.00	\$10,350.00		\$22,500.00	\$24,418.00	\$24,418.00	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00		\$15 \$5
And the second	18 CLEARING AND GRUBBING 19 GENERAL EXCAVATION		LS	0320	\$12,500.00	\$12,500.00			\$19,500.00	\$19,500.00	\$13,412.00	\$13,412.00	\$6,300.00			\$5, \$69.
			CUYD	······	\$30.00	\$65,310.00		\$56,602.00	\$30.00	\$65,310.00	\$30.00	\$65,310.00	\$37.00			\$09 \$24
-	20 12 INCH SUBGRADE STABILIZATION		SQYD	······································	\$20.00	\$32,600.00		\$27,710.00	\$18.00	\$29,340.00	\$25.50	\$41,565.00	\$25.00			<u>مح</u> ط \$6
	21 SUBGRADE GEOTEXTILE	4,102	SQYD	0350	\$1.15	\$4,717.30	\$0.90	\$3,691.80	\$1.00	\$4,102.00	\$2.00	\$8,204.00	\$0.50	\$2,051.00	\$1.50	<b>\$</b> 0
-	22 VIDEO INSPECTION OF SEWERS, MAINLINE	2,582	FOOT	0401	\$3.60	\$9,295.20	\$3.25	\$8,391.50	\$3.00	\$7,746.00	\$1.50	\$3,873.00	\$2.50	\$6,455.00	\$5.00	\$12
				ļ	φ0.00	\$3,233.20	φ0.20	\$0,331.00	40.00	φ1,140.00	φ1.30	\$5,075.00	42.00	\$0,400.00	ψ0.00	ψ1Ζι
	23 VIDEO INSPECTION OF SEWERS, LATERAL	16	EACH	0401	\$120.00	\$1,920.00	\$55.00	\$880.00	\$250.00	\$4,000.00	\$150.00	\$2,400.00	\$120.00	\$1,920.00	\$400.00-	\$6,
	24 TRENCH EXCAVATION, COMMON	1.145	CUYD	0405	\$35.00	\$40,075.00	\$8.00	\$9,160.00	\$30.00	\$34,350.00	\$30.00	\$34,350.00	· \$19.00			\$51
	25 TRENCH EXCAVATION, BOULDER		CUYD	ļ	\$150.00	\$4,800.00		\$2,880.00	\$150.00	\$4,800.00	\$100.00	\$3,200.00	\$173.00			\$3
	26 POTHOLE EXCAVATION		EACH	0405	\$200.00	\$3,000.00	\$850.00	\$12,750.00	\$400.00	\$6,000.00	\$250.00	\$3,750.00	\$500.00			\$13
to and the second	27 TRENCH FOUNDATION STABILIZATION	**********************	CUYD	·····	\$75.00	\$2,625.00	\$49.00	\$1,715.00	\$50.00	\$1,750.00	\$50.00	\$1,750.00	\$80.00			\$2
-	28 TRENCH BACKFILL, CLASS B		CUYD	÷•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	\$40.00	\$17,360.00	\$12.00	\$5,208.00	\$30.00	\$13,020.00	\$50.00	\$21,700.00	\$35.00			\$30
Secondaria	29 DEWATERING	1	LS	0405	\$3,000.00	\$3,000.00		\$1,500.00		\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$1,000.00			
	30 STORMWATER PLANTERS	915	SQFT	0415	\$37.50	\$34,312.50		\$48,495.00	\$25.00	\$22,875.00	\$46.00	\$42,090.00	\$41.00			\$45
	31 STORMWATER SWALES	130	SQFT	0415	\$25.00	\$3,250.00	\$44.00	\$5,720.00	\$24.00	\$3,120.00	\$22.80	\$2,964.00	\$41.00	\$5,330.00	\$88.00	\$11
	32 3 INCH DRAIN PIPE	1,000	FOOT	0430	\$15.00	\$15,000.00	\$12.25	\$12,250.00	\$15.00	\$15,000.00	\$31.50	\$31,500.00	\$17.00	\$17,000.00	\$14.00	\$14
arrand b	33 SUBSURFACE DRAIN OUTLETS	5	EACH	0430	\$400.00	\$2,000.00	\$330.00	\$1,650.00	\$230.00	\$1,150.00	\$300.00	\$1,500.00	\$400.00	\$2,000.00	\$700.00	\$3
100.000	10 INCH PIPE, HDPE ASTM F714 SDR 26 BEDDING	168	FOOT	0445												-
NUMBER OF	34 TYPE:D COMPLETE	100	1.001	0440	\$47.50	\$7,980.00	\$105.00	\$17,640.00	\$90.00	\$15,120.00	\$53.00	\$8,904.00	\$89.00	\$14,952.00	\$93.00	\$15
-	10 INCH PIPE, PVC ASTM D3034 SDR35, BEDDING	19	FOOT	0445	• · · · ·											
	35 TYPE: D, COMPLETE		1		\$42.00	\$798.00	\$85.00	\$1,615.00	\$115.00	\$2,185.00	\$62.50	\$1,187.50	\$120.00	\$2,280.00	\$145.00	\$2
en son an	12 INCH PIPE, PVC ASTM D3034 SDR35, BEDDING	50	FOOT	0445	<b>\$50.00</b>	#0.0F0.00	000.00	CA 500.00	¢05.00	CA 750.00		\$0 705 00	e400.00	¢c 000 00	¢110.00	\$5.
vuoleen.	36 TYPE: D, COMPLETE	\$			\$53.00	\$2,650.00	\$90.00	\$4,500.00	\$95.00	\$4,750.00	\$54.50	\$2,725.00	\$138.00	\$6,900.00	\$110.00	\$5
	37 8 INCH PIPE, PVC ASTM D3034 BEDDING TYPE:D	280	FOOT	0445	\$34.00	\$9,520.00	\$90.00	\$25,200.00	\$75.00	\$21,000.00	\$42.50	\$11,900.00	\$91.00	\$25,480.00	\$96.00	\$26
-	21 INCH PIPE, RCP ASTM C76 CLASS III, BEDDING	1			φ34.00	φ <del>3</del> ,320.00	490.00	ψ20,200.00	φ/ 3.00	ψε 1,000.00	φ42.00	φτι,συυ.υυ	ມ   	φ <u>20</u> ,400.00	φ30.00	φ20
New York	38 TYPE:B	300	FOOT	0445	\$75.00	\$22,500.00	\$100.00	\$30,000.00	\$80.00	\$24,000.00	\$69.00	\$20,700.00	\$85.00	\$25,500.00	\$88.00	\$26
-	24 INCH PIPE, RCP ASTM C76 CLASS III, BEDDING	{			<del></del>	φ22,000.00	1 100.00	1	+00.00		¥00.00				+	+
summer se	39 TYPE:B	578	FOOT	0445	\$79.00	\$45,662.00	\$110.00	\$63,580.00	\$85.00	\$49,130.00	\$73.00	\$42,194.00	\$92.00	\$53,176.00	\$95.00	\$54
	40 PIPE TYES OR WYES, 21 X 8 INCH	1	EACH	0445	\$500.00	\$500.00			designed and the second second	\$580.00		\$310.00	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O			\$1
	41 PIPE TEES OR WYES, 21 X 10 INCH		EACH	0445	\$510.00	\$2,040.00				\$2,340.00	\$417.00	\$1,668.00	\$430.00			\$4
-	42 PIPE TEES OR WYES, 24 X 10 INCH	6	EACH	0445	\$510.00	\$3,060.00		\$1,350.00		\$3,600.00	\$417.00	\$2,502.00	\$400.00			\$3
and the second	43 CONCRETE MANHOLES, 48 INCH, 0-8 FT DEPTH	4	EACH	0470	1											
columba	43 43 43 40 MONETE MININDEES, 40 MOR, 0-0 FT DEPTR	Į +	L'UN	0470	\$4,590.00	\$18,360.00	\$3,850.00	\$15,400.00	\$3,500.00	\$14,000.00	\$3,100.00	\$12,400.00	\$6,000.00	\$24,000.00	\$3,500.00	\$14
warraw.	CONCRETE MANHOLES, 48 INCH, DEEPER THAN 8 FT	1	FOOT	0470		_										
	44	<u>.</u>			\$425.00	\$425.00	\$300.00	\$300.00	\$250.00	\$250.00	\$500.00	\$500.00	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$500.00	
	45 CONCRETE MANHOLES, 84 INCH, 0-8 FT DEPTH	1	EACH	0470	#4E 000 00	C45 000 00	<b>RO 000 00</b>	R0 000 00	¢10.000.00	000000	#44.050.00	644.050.00	B40.000.00	040.000.00	\$7 000 00	<b>67</b>
		1			\$15,000.00		\$9,900.00		\$12,000.00	\$12,000.00	\$14,250.00	\$14,250.00	\$10,000.00			\$7
undua	46 CONCRETE MANHOLES, 84 INCH, DEEPER THAN 8 FT		FOOT	0470	\$1,350.00	\$1,350.00			\$1,200.00	\$1,200.00	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$1,000.00			0.00
		2	EACH	0470	\$17,000.00	\$34,000.00	\$13,000.00	\$26,000.00	\$12,500.00	\$25,000.00	\$12,337.00	\$24,674.00	\$13,000.00	\$26,000.00	\$13,000.00	\$26
warmon	48 CONCRETE MANHOLES, SEDIMENTATION	2	EACH	0470	\$4,700.00	\$0.400.00	\$4,200.00	00 001 89	\$5,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$2,690.00	\$5,380.00	\$10,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$4,000.00	\$8
	48 49 CONCRETE INLETS, TYPE CG-2		EACH	0470	\$4,700.00		\$4,200.00		\$5,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$2,690.00	\$5,380.00	\$10,000.00		enter a construction and a second	\$2
	50 CONCRETE INLETS, TYPE CG-3		EACH		\$2,210.00	Construction and the second designed and the second	\$2,200.00		\$2,500.00	\$2,500.00		\$2,025.00	\$1,500.00			\$2
~	51 CONCRETE INLETS, TYPE G-2		EACH	0	\$2,210.00	Construction and the second se	\$2,400.00		\$2,350.00	\$2,350.00		\$2,245.00	\$1,500.00			\$1
	52 CONCRETE INLETS, TYPE G-2 52 CONCRETE INLETS, TYPE METAL	- A CARGO CA	EACH	The Control of the Co	\$2,210.00	\$2,210.00		\$2,640.00		\$2,760.00	\$2,025.00	\$2,640.00	\$1,500.00			्र \$4
	53 CONCRETE INLETS, TYPE BEEHIVE	······································	EACH	0470	\$310.00		\$1,515.00		\$345.00	\$8,250.00	\$330.00	\$2,840.00	\$750.00			\$5
·	54 SUMP CAPACITY TESTING	Charles and a second second second	EACH	0470	\$3,600.00		\$1,515.00	\$7,575.00		\$8,000.00	\$1,500.00	\$7,500.00		and the second s		
2	55 ADJUSTING BOXES	1 4	LACH	U47U	φ0,000.00	φ1,200.00	φ1,100.00	φ2,200.00	<u>ן</u> י+,000.00	φ0,000.00	φ0,000.00	\$7,700.00	φ <b>3,</b> 000.00	φ1,000.00	- ψ4,000.00	μ. φ0

### Portland, Oregon

### FINANCIAL IMPACT and PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT STATEMENT For Council Action Items

1. Name of Initiator Andrew Acbi		ancial Planning Division. 2. Telephone No. 503-823-5648	3. Bureau/Office/Dept. PBOT / Development & Capital Program
4a. To be filed (date): February 29, 2012 9:30 AM Regular Agenda		Calendar (Check One) ular Consent 4/5ths	5. Date Submitted to Commissioner's office and FPD Budget Analyst: February 17, 2012
6a. Financial Impact Section:		6b. Public Inv	olvement Section:
☑ Financial impact section comple	eted	Public invo	olvement section completed

### 1) Legislation Title:

Create a local improvement district to construct street and stormwater improvements in the NE 112th Ave and Marx St Local Improvement District (Hearing; Ordinance; C-10043)

### 2) Purpose of the Proposed Legislation:

Creates the local improvement district formation as a prerequisite to survey, design and construction of the project.

## 3) Which area(s) of the city are affected by this Council item? (Check all that apply—areas are based on formal neighborhood coalition boundaries)?

City-wide/Regional	Northeast	□ Northwest	🗌 North
Central Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	🗹 East
Central City			
🗌 Internal City Governme	ent Services		

#### FINANCIAL IMPACT

4) <u>Revenue</u>: Will this legislation generate or reduce current or future revenue coming to the City? If so, by how much? If so, please identify the source. This legislation will increase revenue in the estimated amount of \$2,832,083.13.

5) Expense: What are the costs to the City related to this legislation? What is the source of funding for the expense? (Please include costs in the current fiscal year as well as costs in future years. If the action is related to a grant or contract please include the local contribution or match required. If there is a project estimate, please identify the level of confidence.) This legislation will increase direct expenditures in the estimated amount of \$2,932,083.13, with \$2,832,083.13 funded by the LID and \$100,000 funded by BES. PBOT will contribute an additional \$320,948.13 for overhead costs.

Version effective July 1, 2011

1



### 6) <u>Staffing Requirements:</u>

• Will any positions be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation? (If new positions are created please include whether they will be part-time, full-time, limited term, or permanent positions. If the position is limited term please indicate the end of the term.)

No new positions will be created, but formation of this LID will help to avoid the elimination of existing positions due to a decrease in capital improvement work.

• Will positions be created or eliminated in *future years* as a result of this legislation? No.

### (Complete the following section only if an amendment to the budget is proposed.)

7) Change in Appropriations (If the accompanying ordinance amends the budget please reflect the dollar amount to be appropriated by this legislation. Include the appropriate cost elements that are to be loaded by accounting. Indicate "new" in Fund Center column if new center needs to be created. Use additional space if needed.) Upon approval, necessary budget adjustments will be made in the City's FY 11-12 Spring BMP.

Fund	Fund Center	Commitment Item	Functional Area	Funded Program	Grant	Sponsored Program	Amount
				-			

[Proceed to Public Involvement Section — REQUIRED as of July 1, 2011]

2

#### PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

8) Was public involvement included in the development of this Council item (e.g. ordinance, resolution, or report)? Please check the appropriate box below:

☑ YES: Please proceed to Question #9.

□ NO: Please, explain why below; and proceed to Question #10.

### 9) If "YES," please answer the following questions:

a) What impacts are anticipated in the community from this proposed Council item?

This project will resolve longstanding severe transportation and stormwater infrastructure deficiencies in this area, which the neighborhood has sought to resolve since October 1995.

b) Which community and business groups, under-represented groups, organizations, external government entities, and other interested parties were involved in this effort, and when and how were they involved?

All property owners have been invited to two property owner meetings. Additional outreach has been made to the Columbia Corridor Association and to the Columbia South Shore Opportunity Group.

### c) How did public involvement shape the outcome of this Council item?

The LID was petitioned at the request of property owners. This substitute resolution adopted by Council on January 25, 2012 exempts most property owners from the LID who did not petition in favor. Adoption of the substitute resolution defers, but does not eliminate, these property owners' future obligation for street frontage improvements.

### d) Who designed and implemented the public involvement related to this Council item?

The Local Improvement District Administrator.

### e) Primary contact for more information on this public involvement process (name, title, phone, email):

Andrew Aebi, Local Improvement District Administrator, 503-823-5648.

### 10) Is any future public involvement anticipated or necessary for this Council item? Please describe why or why not.

If Council approves this LID Formation Ordinance, all property owners will be invited to at least one meeting during design of the project before plans are finalized.

#### KK 02-15-12

BUREAU DIRECTOR TOM MILLER, Bureau of Transportation

3

1

### Portland, Oregon FINANCIAL IMPACT and PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT STATEMENT For Council Action Items

(Deliver ori	ginal to Financial Pl	anning Division. R	etain copy.)	
1. Name of Initiator		ephone No.	3. Bureau/Office/Dept.	
Andrew Aebi	503-82	23-5648	PBOT/ Development & Capital Program	
4a. To be filed (date):	4b. Calenda	ar (Check One)	5. Date Submitted to	
March 27, 2013 9:30 AM Regular Agenda	Regular C ☑	onsent 4/5ths	Commissioner's office and CBO Budget Analyst: March 13, 2013	
6a. Financial Impact Section:		6b. Public Involvement Section:		
☑ Financial impact section completed		Public involvement section completed		

#### 1) Legislation Title:

Create a local improvement district to construct street, sidewalk and stormwater improvements in the NE 52nd Ave and Alberta St Local Improvement District (Hearing; Ordinance; C-10045)

### 2) Purpose of the Proposed Legislation:

Creates the local improvement district as a prerequisite to survey, design and construction of the project.

### 3) Which area(s) of the city are affected by this Council item? (Check all that apply—areas are based on formal neighborhood coalition boundaries)?

City-wide/Regional	🗹 Northeast	☐ Northwest	🗌 North
Central Northeast	Southeast	· 🔲 Southwest	🗌 East
Central City			

### FINANCIAL IMPACT

**Revenue and/or Expense:** 

All Revenue and Expense financial questions must be completed regardless of the current year's budget. Documents may be returned where the FIPIS portion has not been sufficiently completed.

4) <u>Revenue</u>: Will this legislation generate or reduce current or future revenue coming to the City? If so, by how much? If so, please identify the source. None.

Version updated as of December 18, 2012

5) Expense: What are the costs to the City related to this legislation? What is the source of funding for the expense? (Please include costs in the current fiscal year as well as costs in future year, including Operations & Maintenance (O&M) costs, if known, and estimates, if not known. If the action is related to a grant or contract please include the local contribution or match required. If there is a project estimate, please identify the level of confidence.) The estimate cost of the planned street, sidewalk and stormwater improvements is \$525,583.53 not including park improvements, which are being budgeted separately by Portland Parks and Recreation. All funding is from Parks' system development charges (SDCs).

### 6) <u>Staffing Requirements:</u>

- Will any positions be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation? (If new positions are created please include whether they will be part-time, full-time, limited term, or permanent positions. If the position is limited term please indicate the end of the term.) No,
- Will positions be created or eliminated in *future years* as a result of this legislation? No.

### (Complete the following section only if an amendment to the budget is proposed.)

7) Change in Appropriations (If the accompanying ordinance amends the budget please reflect the dollar amount to be appropriated by this legislation. Include the appropriate cost elements that are to be loaded by accounting. Indicate "new" in Fund Center column if new center needs to be created. Use additional space if needed.)

Fund	Fund Center	Commitment Item	Functional Area	Funded Program	Grant	Sponsored Program	Amount

[Proceed to Public Involvement Section — REQUIRED as of July 1, 2011]

#### PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

### 8) Was public involvement included in the development of this Council item (e.g. ordinance, resolution, or report)? Please check the appropriate box below:

☑ YES: Please proceed to Question #9.

 $\square$  NO: Please, explain why below; and proceed to Question #10.

#### 9) If "YES," please answer the following questions:

a) What impacts are anticipated in the community from this proposed Council item? This project will resolve transportation and stormwater infrastructure deficiencies in this area in advance of the new park being construction. Coordination of the planned street and park improvements will minimize disruption to nearby residents.

# b) Which community and business groups, under-represented groups, organizations, external government entities, and other interested parties were involved in this effort, and when and how were they involved?

All property owners were invited to the Werbin Park Concept - Open House #3 meeting held on September 8, 2012 jointly by PBOT and Parks. Additional outreach was made to the Cully Association of Neighbors on November 13, 2012. Owners of properties included in the LID received mail notification of the planned street improvements on August 20, 2012 and again on December 21, 2012. Property owners are also being notified of this Council agenda item and were previously notified of the Resolution of Intent Hearing held on February 27, 2013 (none attended).

c) How did public involvement shape the outcome of this Council item? The LID was petitioned to provide private property owners the opportunity, but not the obligation, to improve their street frontages concurrent with the planned Park frontage improvements for efficiency and economies of scale. This resolution will exempt property owners from the LID who did not petition in favor. This will defer, but not eliminate, these property owners' future obligation for street frontage improvements.

d) Who designed and implemented the public involvement related to this Council item? Andrew Aebi, Local Improvement District Administrator, 503-823-5648 in coordination with Elizabeth Kennedy-Wong of Portland Parks and Recreation, 503-823-5113.

### e) Primary contact for more information on this public involvement process (name, title, phone, email):

Andrew Aebi, LID Administrator, 503-823-5648 (street and LID-related questions) Travis Ruybal, Portland Parks and Recreation, 503-823-5487 (park-related questions)

### 10) Is any future public involvement anticipated or necessary for this Council item? Please describe why or why not.

All property owners (including exempted property owners) will be invited to at least one meeting during design of the project before plans are finalized.

KK 03-05-13	John Wo	dun	

INTERIM BUREAU DIRECTOR YOHN WIDMER, Bureau of Transportation

Version updated as of December 18, 2012



June 23, 2014



Steve Novick Commissioner

Leah Treat Director

To: Christine Moody, Chief Procurement Officer

From: Steve Townsen, P.E., City Engineer

Subject: 2014 Local Improvement Districts Project Bid No. 116842

Attached is the bid summary report for the above project. No errors in the bid tabulations were found.

The apparent low bid of \$1,000,992.00 was received from Kodiak Pacific Construction. This bid is 11.66% below the Engineer's Estimate of \$1,133,084.70.

In addition, the alternative work included in the bid for illumination in the amount of \$56,000.00 is competitive and reasonable.

Based on the results of the bids and findings of Purchasing staff, it is my recommendation that Kodiak Pacific Construction be declared the lowest responsible and responsive bidder and that their bid be accepted, for the base work in the amount of \$1,000.922.00 plus the illumination for \$56,000.00 for a total of \$1,056,992.00.

ST: rw

Attachments: Bid-Results Summary Report

Cc:

Kathleen Brenes-Morua, Procurement Specialist w/attachments Andrew Aebi, Project Manager w/attachments Eva Huntsinger, Engineer of Record w/attachments File

> 1120 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 800 • Portland, OR 97204 • 503-823-5185 FAX 503-823-7576 • TTY 503-823-6868 • www.portlandoregon.gov/transportation

An Equal Opportunity Employer To ensure equal access, the Portland Bureau of Transportation will make accommodations in full compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the ADA Title II, and related statutes and regulations in all programs and activities. For accommodations and additional information, and complaints, contact the Title II and Title VI Coordinator at Room 1204, 1120 SW Fifth Ave., Portland, OR 97204, or by telephone 503-823-5185, City TTY 503-823-6868, or use Oregon Relay Service: 711.