



CITY OF
PORTLAND, OREGON

**OFFICIAL
MINUTES**

A REGULAR MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON WAS HELD THIS **6TH DAY OF APRIL, 2011** AT 9:30 A.M.

THOSE PRESENT WERE: Mayor Adams, Presiding; Commissioners Fish, Fritz, Leonard and Saltzman, 5.

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE: Susan Parsons, Acting Clerk of the Council; Ben Walters, Chief Deputy City Attorney; and Ron Willis, Sergeant at Arms.

Item No. 315 was pulled for discussion and on a Y-5 roll call, the balance of the Consent Agenda was adopted.

COMMUNICATIONS		Disposition:
301	Request of Lee Iacuzzi to address Council regarding firing Portland Police Officers (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
302	Request of James B. Lee to address Council regarding structural aesthetics of the new bridge to Vancouver (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
303	Request of Charles Doepken to address Council regarding what the Main Street program has meant for St. Johns (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
304	Request of Barry Joe Stull to address Council regarding homelessness triggers abuse (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
305	Request of Art Lewellan to address Council regarding resubmitting curb extension study of SW Blocks (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
TIMES CERTAIN		
306	TIME CERTAIN: 9:30 AM – Authorize Revised and Restated Intergovernmental Grant Agreement with TriMet for City financial contributions to fund the final design and construction of the South Corridor Phase II: Portland-Milwaukie Light Rail Project (Ordinance introduced by Mayor Adams) 45 minutes requested for items 306-308	PASSED TO SECOND READING APRIL 13, 2011 AT 9:30 AM
307	Authorize revenue bonds for Portland-Milwaukie light rail project (Ordinance introduced by Mayor Adams)	PASSED TO SECOND READING APRIL 13, 2011 AT 9:30 AM

April 6, 2011

<p>308 Accept the Innovation Quadrant Transportation System Development Charge Overlay rate study, resolve to establish an overlay district and amend the Transportation System Development Charge program consistent with the methodology established in the study, and notify the public of a hearing on modification of the TSDC program (Resolution introduced by Mayor Adams)</p> <p>Motion to amend Resolution to add resolved section to provide public process to determine future priority projects: Moved by Commissioner Fritz and seconded by Commissioner Saltzman. (Y-5)</p> <p>(Y-4; Saltzman recused)</p>	<p align="center">36851 AS AMENDED</p>
<p>309 TIME CERTAIN: 10:15 AM – Accept State of Education Report to City Council (Report introduced by Mayor Adams) 30 minutes requested</p> <p>Motion to accept the report: Moved by Commissioner Fish and seconded by Commissioner Leonard.</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p align="center">ACCEPTED</p>
<p>S-310 TIME CERTAIN: 10:45 AM - Amend and consolidate existing tree regulations into new Code Title 11, Trees, adopt companion amendments in other Titles, and direct the establishment of customer service improvements and implementation funding (Previous Agenda 239; Ordinance introduced by Mayor Adams; add Code Title 11 and amend related Titles) 45 minutes requested for items 310-312</p> <p>Rescheduled to 2:00 p.m., April 6, 2011.</p> <p>Motion to accept amendment package as discussed March 9th: Moved by Mayor Adams and seconded by Commissioner Fritz. (Y-5)</p> <p>Motion to accept Fish amendment 1 in April 6 memo: Moved by Commissioner Fish and seconded by Commissioner Fritz. (Y-5)</p> <p>Motion to accept Fish amendment 2 in April 6 memo as amended regarding public notice or public appeal: Moved by Commissioner Fish and seconded by Commissioner Fritz. (Y-5)</p> <p>Motion to accept substitute ordinance: Moved by Commissioner Fish and seconded by Commissioner Fritz. (Y-5)</p>	<p align="center">SUBSTITUTE PASSED TO SECOND READING AS AMENDED APRIL 13, 2011 AT 2:00 PM</p>
<p>S-311 Amend the Intergovernmental Agreement with Multnomah County to transfer land use planning responsibilities to address the administration of tree-related regulations that require a development permit (Previous Agenda 240; Ordinance introduced by Mayor Adams; amend Contract No. 51712)</p> <p>Rescheduled to 2:00 p.m., April 6, 2011.</p> <p>Motion to accept substitute ordinance: Moved by Commissioner Fish and seconded by Commissioner Fritz. (Y-5)</p>	<p align="center">SUBSTITUTE PASSED TO SECOND READING APRIL 13, 2011 AT 2:00 PM</p>

April 6, 2011

<p>S-312 Encourage integration of quality tree preservation and tree planting in early site design, land divisions, and certain land use reviews; improve consistency and effectiveness of tree regulations in specified overlay zones and plan districts; update definitions and amend the Ladd's Addition Conservation District Guidelines to clarify that planting trees on the Nuisance Plants List is prohibited on City property and City rights-of-way (Previous Agenda 241; Ordinance introduced by Mayor Adams; amend Title 33)</p> <p>Rescheduled to 2:00 p.m., April 6, 2011.</p> <p>Motion to accept amendment package as discussed March 9th: Moved by Mayor Adams and seconded by Commissioner Fritz. (Y-5)</p> <p>Motion to accept Fritz technical amendment 1 regarding Significant Tree Table as in April 6 memo: Moved by Commissioner Fritz and seconded by Commissioner Fish. (Y-5)</p> <p>Motion to accept Fritz technical amendment 2 regarding Norway Maple replacement in Ladd's Addition as in April 6 memo: Moved by Commissioner Fritz and seconded by Commissioner Leonard. (Y-5)</p> <p>Motion to accept substitute ordinance: Moved by Commissioner Fish and seconded by Commissioner Fritz. (Y-5)</p>	<p align="center">SUBSTITUTE PASSED TO SECOND READING AS AMENDED APRIL 13, 2011 AT 2:00 PM</p>	
<p align="center">CONSENT AGENDA – NO DISCUSSION</p> <p align="center">Mayor Sam Adams</p> <p align="center">Bureau of Transportation</p> <p>*313 Designate portions of City property controlled by Portland Fire & Rescue located at 8720 SW 30th Ave as public right-of-way and assign it to the Bureau of Transportation (Ordinance) (Y-5)</p>		<p align="center">184492</p>
<p>314 Grant revocable permit to St. Johns Boosters Inc. to close N Philadelphia Ave between N Lombard St and N Ivanhoe St from 8:00 a.m. until 7:00 p.m. on May 14, 2011 (Second Reading Agenda 283) (Y-5)</p>	<p align="center">184493</p>	
<p align="center">Office of Management and Finance</p> <p>315 Change the salary range for the Nonrepresented classification of Labor Relations Coordinator (Ordinance) Rescheduled to 2:00 p.m., April 6, 2011. Motion to remove emergency clause: Moved by Commissioner Leonard and seconded by Commissioner Fish. (Y-5)</p>		<p align="center">PASSED TO SECOND READING AS AMENDED APRIL 13, 2011 AT 9:30 AM</p>
<p>*316 Pay claim of Teresa Hubbell involving Bureau of Transportation (Ordinance) (Y-5)</p>	<p align="center">184494</p>	
<p>317 Accept bid of 2KG Contractors, Inc. for the Fire Station 18 Seismic Upgrade and Facility Remodel for \$1,365,000 (Procurement Report - Bid Number 112560) (Y-5)</p>	<p align="center">ACCEPTED PREPARE CONTRACT</p>	

April 6, 2011

Commissioner Nick Fish Position No. 2		
Portland Housing Bureau		
*318	Amend subrecipient contract with 211info to add \$42,625 for website transition to improve services for low-income homeowners and renters (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 32000389) (Y-5)	184495
Commissioner Dan Saltzman Position No. 3		
Bureau of Environmental Services		
319	Authorize a contract with Kennedy/Jenks Consultants, Inc. for design service for the Underground Injection Control Project No. E10175 (Second Reading Agenda 289) (Y-5)	184496
320	Authorize a contract and provide for payment for the construction of the Mason Flats Wetland Enhancement Project No. E07155 (Second Reading Agenda 290) (Y-5)	184497
321	Authorize the Bureau of Environmental Services to acquire certain easements and other real property interests necessary for construction of the Mason Flats Wetland Enhancement Project No. E07155 through the exercise of the City's Eminent Domain Authority (Second Reading Agenda 291) (Y-5)	184498
Commissioner Randy Leonard Position No. 4		
Bureau of Water		
322	Amend contract with Stettler Supply Company to increase compensation and scope of work for Columbia South Shore Wellfield Improvements (Second Reading Agenda 293; amend Contract No. 30001041) (Y-5)	184499
323	Authorize the Portland Water Bureau to execute grants with community partners to fund lead poisoning prevention programs (Second Reading Agenda 294) (Y-5)	184500
Commissioner Amanda Fritz Position No. 1		

April 6, 2011

Office of Healthy Working Rivers

324 Authorize an Intergovernmental Agreement with Oregon Consensus - National Policy Consensus Center, Portland State University for \$14,000 to assess issues related to sedimentation and the need for maintenance dredging in the Central and South reaches of the Willamette River (Ordinance)

PASSED TO
SECOND READING
APRIL 13, 2011
AT 9:30 AM

City Auditor LaVonne Griffin-Valade

*325 Assess property for system development charge contracts and private plumbing loan contracts (Ordinance; Z0780, Z0781, K0129, Z1185, P0104, P0105)

(Y-5)

184501

REGULAR AGENDA

326 Explore a non-refundable tax credit program dedicated to eligible local businesses that support career-related-learning experiences in City schools and a non-refundable tax program to employ foster youth (Resolution introduced by Mayor Adams and Commissioner Saltzman)
15 minutes requested

Rescheduled to 2:00 p.m., April 6, 2011.

Motion to change title from "Create" to "Explore": Moved by Commissioner Fritz and seconded by Mayor Adams, and

Motion to direct staff to consider other tools in addition to the targeted tax credit: Moved by Commissioner Fish and seconded by Mayor Adams.
(Y-5)

(Y-5)

36852
AS AMENDED

Mayor Sam Adams

*S-327 Authorize an Intergovernmental Agreement with Portland Community College and a Grant Agreement with the Portland Community College Foundation to provide \$360,000 to implement the Future Connect Scholarship program (Ordinance)

Rescheduled to 2:00 p.m., April 6, 2011.

Motion to accept Substitute Ordinance: Moved by Commissioner Fish and seconded by Commissioner Fritz and accepted without objection.

(Y-5)

SUBSTITUTE
184503

Bureau of Transportation

April 6, 2011

<p>*328 Authorize an Intergovernmental Agreement and accept a grant in the amount of \$50,000 from the Oregon Department of Transportation for construction of Interstate 205 Multiuse Path improvements adjacent to NE 97th Ave from Glisan St to Davis St (Ordinance; C-10035)</p> <p>Rescheduled to 2:00 p.m., April 6, 2011.</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p align="center">184504</p>
<p>*329 Authorize an Intergovernmental Agreement and accept a grant in the amount of \$407,516 from the Portland Development Commission for construction of street, sidewalk and stormwater improvements to NE 97th Ave from Glisan St to Davis St (Ordinance; C-10035)</p> <p>Rescheduled to 2:00 p.m., April 6, 2011.</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p align="center">184505</p>
<p>*330 Create a local improvement district to construct street, sidewalk and stormwater improvements in the SE 82nd Ave of Roses and Bybee Blvd Local Improvement District (Hearing; Ordinance; C-10039)</p> <p>Rescheduled to 2:00 p.m., April 6, 2011.</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p align="center">184506</p>
<p align="center">Office of Emergency Management</p>	
<p>*331 Authorize a contract with Marsh USA Inc. for a Business Impact Analysis & Continuity Strategy for a total not-to-exceed amount of \$120,000 (Ordinance; Contract No. 30001813)</p> <p>Rescheduled to 2:00 p.m., April 6, 2011.</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p align="center">184507</p>
<p align="center">Office of Management and Finance</p>	
<p>332 Amend City Code to reflect current structure, operations and new responsibilities in the Office of Management and Finance (Ordinance; amend Code Chapters 3.15 and 3.08)</p> <p>Rescheduled to 2:00 p.m., April 6, 2011.</p>	<p align="center">PASSED TO SECOND READING APRIL 13, 2011 AT 9:30 AM</p>
<p>333 Accept the Report on Creation of Administrative Rules for the Technology Oversight Committee (Report) 10 minutes requested</p> <p>Rescheduled to 2:00 p.m., April 6, 2011.</p> <p>Motion to accept the report: Moved by Commissioner Fish and seconded by Commissioner Saltzman.</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p align="center">ACCEPTED</p>
<p>*334 Authorize general obligation bonds for fire vehicles and emergency response infrastructure (Second Reading Agenda 296) 30 minutes requested</p> <p>Motion to add the emergency clause: Moved by Mayor Adams and seconded by Commissioner Fritz. (Y-5)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p align="center">184502 AS AMENDED</p>

At 1:14 p.m., Council recessed.

April 6, 2011

A RECESSED MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON WAS HELD THIS **6TH DAY OF APRIL, 2011** AT 2:00 P.M.

THOSE PRESENT WERE: Mayor Adams, Presiding; Commissioners Fish, Fritz, Leonard and Saltzman, 5.

Commissioner Leonard arrived at 2:14 p.m.

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE: Susan Parsons, Acting Clerk of the Council; Roland Iparraguirre, Deputy City Attorney; and Ron Willis, Sergeant at Arms.

See agenda above for matters considered.

At 3:40 p.m., Council adjourned.

LAVONNE GRIFFIN-VALADE
Auditor of the City of Portland

By Susan Parsons
Acting Clerk of the Council

For a discussion of agenda items, please consult the following Closed Caption File.

April 6, 2011
Closed Caption File of Portland City Council Meeting

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised City Council broadcast and should not be considered a verbatim transcript.

Key: ***** means unidentified speaker.

APRIL 6, 2011 9:30 AM

Adams: Good morning, everybody. Welcome to the chambers of the Portland city council. It's april 6th, 2011. The city council is in morning session. Good morning, sue. How are you?

Parsons: Very well, thank you.

Adams: Are you enjoying the weather?

Parsons: Always a surprise.

Adams: Please call the roll. [roll call]

Adams: The quorum is present -- [gavel pounded] -- and city council begins with a important presentation by commissioner dan Saltzman.

Saltzman: Thank you, mr. Mayor. On monday, the flag of the city of Portland was lowered to honor a 14-year-old, Yashanee Vaughn, who was a student at helen's view school. She was a sweet bubbly outgoing young woman with a fun sense of humor and enjoyed studying technology. Our thoughts are with her family and friends and loved ones during this difficult time. This was the sixth time that we've lowered the city flag since april of 2009 to honor our children. Who have died due to abuse or neglect or homicidal violence. In june 2009, we honored a 4-year-old and in december of 2009, another 4-year-old. He and his mother were victims of victim-related homicide and billy moore got shot after getting off a bus after visiting his mother. And august, 2010, 29 day-old jacob died because of abuse. I would ask for a moment of silence. Thank you.

Adams: Thank you, commissioner Saltzman. On a -- let's see. On a related note. And a note that is consistent with commissioner Saltzman's presentation, but also celebrates and encourages us to continue the work to prevent child abuse, i'm pleased to read the following proclamation and thank tony from the trust fund of Oregon for making this point. Is tony in the room? Whereas, preventing child abuse and neglect is a community responsibility that depends on involvement on people throughout the community and whereas child maltreatment occurs when people find themselves in stressful situations and don't know how to cope and the majority of choose stems from conditions that are -- of child abuse are preventable and child abuse and neglect directs harms children and increasing the likelihood of heart disease and obesity and risky behaviors such as smoking and all of us in the city of Portland have a role to play in supporting families and raising children in a safe, nurturing environment and effective child abuse programs among faith organizations and law enforcement and the business community and i, therefore, sam Adams, the mayor of the city of rose, proclaims april to be child abuse prevention in Oregon and call on all to increase their participation in efforts to support families and preventing child abuse and strengthening the communities in which we live. Thank you. [applause] and that gets us to communications. Sue, can you please read communications item 301.

Item 301.

Parsons: Is lee here today?

Adams: All right. Can you please read communications item number 302.

Item 302.

Adams: Welcome back, mr. Lee.

James B. Lee: Thank you, mr. Mayor. Before I talk about that subject, I wanted to commend commissioner Saltzman for his offering of condolences to that very fine family and I wish to offer mine as well. The rest of the issues seem small compared to issues like that. I'm pulling the

April 6, 2011

subject, bridges and pulling a doubleheader and i'm also signed up to tri-met in favor of tri-met's very fine process which they went through to -- it's going to be a very fine transit bridge, bicycle bridge, pedestrian bridge as well. First of all, though I want to commend the mayor for trying to persuade governor kitzhaber and governor gregoire to select the stable stay bridge design, that is something I can important. Not by myself, I can't, but contrary to popular belief, my real name is not clark kent.

Adams: Darn.

Lee: It's not technically the best bridge but certainly a very fine choice and I fully support the mayor's choice in that respect. I'll be brief about the final point of view I which to make on the sellwood bridge and that contrary to the process that tri-met involved under vera katz, who you may know, resulted in a very fine bridge, the process that Multnomah county employed to choose the sellwood bridge was extremely flawed and resulted in a bridge that's \$200 million more than we need. And i've said this a number you have times. To this council and to the Multnomah county commission. I expect to still be pursuing this project because it -- it still has some way to go. Recently, they decided there's something -- a technique to pick up the old bridge, in what they call a shoe fly to keep the traffic flowing and has aroused intense community interest and probably is structurally infeasible as well. That said, i'll let the rest the people use my time. Thank you.

Adams: Thank you, mr. Lee. Sue, please read communications item number 303.

Item 303.

Adams: Charles doepken? All right. We will move on to -- can you read communications title number 304.

Item 304.

Adams: Mr. Stull? There he is.

Barry Joe Stull: Good morning, council, barry joe stull. Let's start with something perform we have a sidewalk management ordinance here in the city of Portland we call it sit-lie, there's a new version, the last time you voted on that, you didn't exactly have a quorum. So your vote was outside the rules. Now what had happened, you conflated the emergency nature of the -- the need tomorrow pass the ordinance with the emergency provision that allows a councilmember to call in their vote. And you didn't invoke the language that entitled commissioner Saltzman to phone in his vote. So that simply was done illegally, now one of the things that I do is I go around and point out these little technicality that show our government where they're making mistakes in violating the law and what I find more often than not is rather than a correction, a retaliation. So what turns this wrong for us is where we have a mistake that's turned into a vehicle for abuse. I went up to lewis & clark college in august last year and I was attacked by the campus safety there. And after I got out of the hospital with my medical records, I tried to effect a complaint with the. To have -- with the Portland police and the Portland police won't do that. The mercury did an article how a judge threw out a trial I had where lewis clark college and the district attorney attempted to prosecutor me for a number you have crimes that were not at all crimes. They charged me with harassment. I couldn't even go to the jury twice. Charged me with criminal trespass on a vehicle that was open 24 hours a day, they threw me on the ground and intentionally ground my head into the paving stones, permanently disfiguring me and I can't get the city of Portland to effect a police report. Because they did that because i'm a homeless person. Same reason you did your sit-lie ordinance. Because of homeless people. And as a person that was made homeless illegally in this city, i'm the one qualified to tell you that you didn't have a quorum. Because I have that skill set. And as a person that went to lewis & clark college and got a degree, I know that when they tried to effect a land use proposal, they used bogus information and the hearings officer agreed with me. The college doesn't like me telling the truth about the college. They think it's disparaging, just like the council doesn't like me telling the truth how to council didn't have a quorum. So homelessness triggers abuse.

Adams: Thanks for your time. Please read the title for communications item number 305.

April 6, 2011

Item 305.

Adams: Mr. Lewellan. Welcome back. She'll take care of it. If you want a seat. We have a long agenda so i'm going to go ahead and sit down. She'll get it.

Art Lewellan: I'd like to wait until she distributes the copies.

Adams: She'll do her job. Go ahead and have a seat. I need to move everyone along. We've got a long agenda. Mr. Lewellan. Please begin.

Lewellan: I'm submitting some materials for you to have a look at. One more time. I think it's been about a year since I submitted -- first submitted these materials. Regarding public safety, I say first of all, in my submission of this curb extension study for southwest blocks between burnside and ash and between second and fourth streets. An area of town that's neglected and I say abused. You know, it's not living up to its potential. A lot of people use it. And when crossing those streets, second through fourth, they're long streets and traffic tends to -- wide street, excuse me, traffic moves fast. And it's inhibiting and so on the top of my list of reasons why I made the curb extension study was public safety. Public safety first of all. But I don't know, but I expect that the city agrees with that position, that public safety is first high priority. If not first. And so, um, that's basically my testimony. Resubmit the materials for you. And i'd like them at -- on -- on -- what i've done, and -- in addition to what I submitted earlier was add the recent arrest that have been published in the "willamette week" about the new interest in this area of turning one little street into a -- you know, a more commercial-oriented -- or no traffic. And so I want this material i'm submitted today to be a part of that process. It's wider, you know, my study goes beyond that one little block. Council. Mayor. To the blocks surrounding it which I believe are as important. People going to be crossing to get to this area that we're now showing interest and we have it deal with crossing second, third and fourth streets which are as I -- I am trying to say are dangerous to cross for pedestrians. So -- all right.

Adams: Thanks, mr. Lewellan, I appreciate your testimony and time. That gets us -

Leonard: [inaudible]

Adams: Sorry, thanks. Commissioner Saltzman.

Saltzman: Thanks, mayor. We have an aunt who wanted one minute to speak to us.

Adams: Unless objections from the council, it's the rule to allow this to happen.

Saltzman: Please come up.

Linda McKinnie: Thank you very much.

McKinnie: My name is linda mckinnie, speaking on behalf of the family and I appreciate you giving me the opportunity to speak. I voted for you. And I wanted to make sure that yashanee is not left unheard of -- we assume -- I wanted to keep -- she's asleep and wanted to keep her in the public eye and do a nationwide search for the girl and keep her in the public eye. Very well loved in the community and the family is too and we would like to have a guarantee from you that the search efforts will continue and be more than what they are now. Thank you very much.

Adams: Sorry for your tragedy, your loss.

McKinnie: God bless you.

Adams: All right. Any item on the consent agenda anyone wishes to pull.

Fritz: 315.

Adams: 315. Any others? All right. Sue, can you please read the title for 315.

Item 315.

Adams: We'll do that at the end of the entire agenda. For those listening in and staff, you should get fair warning as we get toward the end of the agenda, you don't have to sit here in council waiting for us. Please call the vote on the remainder of the consent agenda.

Fish: Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Fritz:** Aye.

Adams: Aye. Consent agenda is approved. [gavel pounded] that gets us to time certain. We actually have three related council items. Time certain nature. Please read the titles for non-

April 6, 2011

emergency ordinance number 306. Non-emergency ordinance, number 307, and resolution item 308.

Item 306, 307, 308.

Adams: A couple of -- I forgot upfront to say rules. Under local law, if you are authorized to lobby on behalf of a firm, an organization, a non-profit, of course, you're authorized to lobby on behalf or you have clients you're lobbying on behalf of, you have to disclose that upfront as part of your testimony. Testimony is three minutes. The clock on the table helps you count down from three minutes. We need your name before you testify. And we do not want your address and do not want your phone number. Just your name. And again, if you're a lobbyist. So -- I want to -- a few words of introduction here, quickly. First, I'd like to congratulate tri-met staff for receiving federal approval to move forward on the final design of the Portland-milwaukie light rail project. This project means jobs in the region. In the construction industry, that has seen upwards of 40-45 percent employment. Couldn't come at a better time. I'd like to thank the Portland bureau of transportation for their good work. And I wanted to thank the Portland bureau of transportation, along with ohsu and others for securing \$55 million in federal stimulus dollars and other state funding that will allow us to move forward the vision of a regionally significant development on the north end of the north macadam district that allows for, among other things, the school of pharmacy to move to the city of Portland. Which will strengthen our efforts in life sciences, bioscience, it's very, very important project that happens to be located in the center of the city, but it is of statewide and southwest Washington regional significance. And I want to thank Portland state university, a key partner in that project and a partner in what we're going to be considering today. This will -- as mentioned by earlier public comment, this will build us a bridge. This will finally provide a much-needed additional link. In this case, for transit, pedestrian, bike, both rail and bike transit in south Portland that continues to be the most difficult place, difficult part of the city apt reason to get around. So -- and the region to get around. We really need that. With that, I'd like to turn it over to --

Art Pearce, Planning Project Manager, Bureau of Transportation: I'll start.

Adams: Mr. Pearce.

Pearce: I'm a project manager with the bureau of transportation. My item here is the revision to the IGA for the Portland-milwaukie light rail project. The revision we're here to speak of is an increase in the city's contribution from \$30 million to \$55 million to continue to support this project that will soon be heading into construction. Creating change in inner southwest and inner southeast Portland. This up line is expected to open in 2015. 10 new light rail stations and includes a significant element of a new bridge across the Willamette and also integrates the system in with the streetcar system and accomplishes a long-standing goal of the quiet zone and the pedestrian-bicycle pedestrian in the inner southeast area. Funding history. This has been a topic that's come before council on a number of occasions. Two significant occasions was in 2009 where we outlined a conceptual plan for the council and again in 2010 where council approved a new intergovernmental agreement with tri-met for our \$30 million contribution to Portland to milwaukie. The change that brings us back before council today is a notice we received from the FTA that the FTA contribution could not be 60% of the overall cost but only 50% of the overall cost. That forced tri-met to revise both the project programming and scope as well as to look for increased local contributions to help with the local share to make up that difference and that's included in the request to the city of Portland to increase our contribution by \$25 million. So the table on the screen is an exhibit within your packet, shows the new funding program. So the first five rows in that table are the existing contributions you've approved last year under the previous ordinance and the new is a combination of two elements. One in-kind property contributions from a variety of city bureau, primary pbob, but also some small areas of land from the es, water bureau and pdc. So these are strips of land where the light rail will come through that those bureaus have agreed to contribute those properties

April 6, 2011

that those portions of properties to the Portland-milwaukie light rail project. To go through with the donations, we'll be coming back to council with the details. They're in the process of being appraised and we don't have the exact value at this point but the combination of both the property value of those properties and the increased contribution in terms of savings from the sellwood bridge project, will make up the increase in contributions from the Portland bureau of transportation. Sorry, all right. So -- so I think one key element is that there is a -- both -- both -- two elements within the existing funding program are two sdc overlays one we passed in 2009 was north macadam and another is a new sdc overlay, that we're calling the innovation quadrant overlay.

Saltzman: Do you have a power point.

Adams: We're switching back and forth.

Saltzman: Ok.

Pearce: And this is different than what I have in front of me. Essentially, two items within that program that are -- maybe you can move the map quickly and make sure it's something they can reference well as well. That would be fine. Shoshana will go into more detail but the map on the screen shows two different overlay districts. One is the north macadam urban renewal boundary and the one in the dark brown, the boundaries proposed for the sdc overlay. It's essentially a way of relating the contributions from those who directly benefit from these investments to those infrastructure investments themselves and this is a concept called value capture that is often discussed in terms of lid contributions, so adjacent property owners contribute to a property for a sdc, the contribution happens later at the time of development but still a direct relationship to the value that the property owners gauge from being approximate and having increased access.

Adams: To summarize and i'm sure you'll talk about it again. Because of this potential public infrastructure investment, in this case, light rail and bridges and bike lanes and increased pedestrian access, we're making people's property in this area more valuable. And we're capturing a portion of that increased value because of our public investment and using it to help pay for the project.

Pearce: That's correct. The great benefit of using a sdc is that the property owner who is not planning to develop or not yet ready does not need to carry of the cost of that infrastructure investment in which they would have to do if it was a lid.

Adams: A local improvement district.

Pearce: Thank you.

Adams: If we made that approach, we'd be sending you a bill within months. The systems development charge overlay, you only pay it in you actually make a qualifying investment in your property.

Pearce: Right. And shoshana will go into more detail of the new innovative quadrant.

Shoshanah Oppenheim, Capital Project Manager I, Bureau of Transportation: So like we were [inaudible]

Adams: Can you move the mic.

Oppenheim: The overlay district is meant to realize the unique benefit of the Portland-milwaukie light rail project in the innovative quadrant by linking the east and west neighborhoods with a new transit bridge and the transit investments that the district will experience, really catalytic transportation investments and for a neighborhood that has struggled with many transportation challenges in the past. This proposal funds real solutions for the district. So we've heard a lot about this proposal. So knowing how important public outreach is to this particular council, we made a intentional and -- plan to involve the public as many times as possible. We took the council direction and interviewed many of the stakeholders who are property owners in the area and will be affected by both the construction in the district as well as the investments in the district. We took that information we received from the stakeholder interviews and formed a public advisory committee that served as our project committee. That was made up of members of the hosford-

April 6, 2011

abernethy and the Portland business alliance, the central east side industrial, omsi, Portland opera and others. While not all endorsed our proposal, they were at the table and provided meaningful insight. We created a project website we updated regularly with developments in the process. This slide shows you how we moved through our public involvement. We had a series of five pac meetings and held two open houses and tried to reach folks not necessarily engaged in their neighborhoods by noticing that open house throughout the Portland-milwaukie interested parties. We used the tri-met list of folks they have been generated the last few years to make sure we captured as many people that have identified themselves as being interested in the Portland-milwaukie project. We also held community presentations and went out to the han neighborhood association and the central eastside neighborhood development committee as well as the pba development committee. And took the feedback we received from the public and brought that information back to our pac. And refined the project overtime. So you'll see through this chart that we refined the boundaries, the rates as well as the project list through that bub involvement process.

That public involvement process. The proposal started with a half mile radius around the Portland-milwaukie project. Seeing that that was the neighborhood that was going to be most directly affected by the light rail project. And art has introduced the slide, so it -- basically this is where we ended up. With the boundary to the north, at hawthorne, and capturing about half mile radius around the Portland-milwaukie project. While --

Saltzman: Shoshana?

Oppenheim: Yeah?

Saltzman: What's the boundary to the north on the west side?

Oppenheim: Market street.

Saltzman: Market street?

Oppenheim: And that's based on the university district. Since the university is a important part of this project that's going to be seeing a lot of development as a result of the investments in the Portland-milwaukie project. Over time, the boundaries were refined and an important part of the boundary is who pays and who doesn't pay and we explored at pac extending the boundary further north and capturing more area that may benefit from the connection with the eastside streetcar investment. However, this was rejected by the pac and the central eastside industrial council because developers and properties adjacent to the eastside streetcar line are already contributing through a lid. And the -- they were not seeing the cumulative effects of the transportation investment related to the Portland-milwaukie project as well as the rest of the project list. So it's important to talk about two things. As art introduced, only new development would pay an sdc. Changes in use that exceeds 3,000 square feet, and existing property owners currently operating can expand their use up to 15% of their current use without paying a fee. Temporary uses also do not pay, if they're -- there's a use just for six months or less. And other exemptions as determined by council. You've already exempted adus and that would apply to this proposal or until that project -- that exclusion expires. So what is on the project list? A key component is the Portland-milwaukie project. The light rail project provides high-capacity transit service along the corridor and includes five stations within the overlay district and a multimodal bridge over the willamette river.

Adams: So five of how many stations?

Oppenheim: Five of 10.

Adams: There's seven of 10 stations within the city of Portland. I want to make sure Portlanders understand that three are outside. Seven in the city. This is definitely a project that benefits the city of Portland. And the region.

Oppenheim: Another project on the project list is the close the loop. It's the darker shade of purple in between the yellow and red lines. The close the loop project connects the eastside streetcar project under construction to the existing service in the south waterfront with ramps to the

April 6, 2011

new multimodal bridge and the switches to connect the rail on the bridge and includes hopefully Oregon-made streetcars.

Adams: Hopefully?

Oppenheim: Definitely. Clinton to the river is also on the project list. The Clinton to the river is at -- that green line adjacent to the Portland-milwaukie line and the Clinton to the river multiuse path includes non-motorized connections and our bike system as well as our regional trail network and by constructing a new multiuse path along the Portland-milwaukie line. You'll see the water avenue project, which is also in that central area. It's the yellow -- the yellow line on your map. Southeast water avenue includes the realignment of water avenue. Water avenue current alignment has been problematic for development, as well as it needs to be moved in order to facilitate the Portland-milwaukie project and the eastside streetcar project and it would construct two auto lines as well as bike lanes currently lacking on the temporary facility, and sidewalks.

Fish: What does that change on water avenue do to any plans OMSI has or the Oregon heritage rail program?

Oppenheim: That's a good question. It really allows for the development of their parcel, as well as moves the Oregon heritage rail facility out of the way for the Portland-milwaukie project. The next project is fourth avenue. You'll see that's on the west side of the river. The dark brown line on your map. And it -- this project improves the street environment or southwest fourth adjacent to PSU by adding bicycle facilities, signalized pedestrian crossings, green street features and crosswalks. Currently, crossing the street is a challenge. And the southwest Broadway streetscape includes the potential for improvements to the cycle track. It would enhance the existing cycle tracks and sidewalks on southwest Broadway adjacent to PSU and could include raised cycle tracks, sidewalk amenities. Green street features and ADA improvements, pedestrian islands, curb buildouts and it's important to know the projects are various stages of design and we're looking for the funding to go ahead with the implementation of the project. How much money are we talking about? This -- this project would raise about \$14.5 million. That would contribute \$5 million to the Portland-milwaukie project, \$5.4 million to the close the loop streetcar project. \$1.5 million to water avenue. \$1.3 million to the fourth avenue streetscape improvements. \$674,000 to the Broadway cycle track and Clinton to the river would be funded at a level of \$600,000. That \$14.5 million seeks to build a \$9 million investment in transportation. \$90 million. Sorry. \$90 million.

Saltzman: Is that \$14.5 million?

Oppenheim: We leverage that money.

Saltzman: Over the life of the systems development charge?

Pearce: Over 20 years.

Saltzman: And it will sunset in 20 years?

Oppenheim: That's one of our recommendations. What does that mean for people in the district that look to develop thorough properties? If they're interested in building office space, they currently would pay the citywide \$3.30 per square foot. For developed office space. Increase that by \$2.33 per square foot for a total of \$5.63 for -- per square foot and basically double the transportation component of a person's SDG charges. It's easier to see where we fall regional and what the impact is of this fee is regionally. You'll see the yellow line is our current overlay -- our current SDG. The aqua color is the north macadam overlay plus the citywide. The green line is the proposed innovation quadrant plus the citywide.

Adams: It's hard to see on the screens here. Do we have a paper copy?

Pearce: We'll get you one.

Oppenheim: It should be in the report.

Adams: Yep.

Oppenheim: So transportation's only part of the conversation and so this includes -- this scene screen shows you where your total SDG fees including parks, water and bus. Where we would fall

April 6, 2011

regionally. Absolutely, this fee moves us to the very top of the list. But it's also important to note that both these neighborhoods, the green and blue line, have significant transit investments that no other part of the region does.

Fish: [inaudible] programs that people could qualify and get them out from the sdc's altogether depending on the type of housing.

Oppenheim: Absolutely, Anything in the current code we want to continue and the calculations we've made are based on those assumptions, adding an additional fee but not changing any of the exemptions. So here's some real-world examples. We've tried to -- these are just estimates, not actual for the proposed, but we took an investment that was made at -- on 21st street, that was a \$220,000 investment, total sdc charge before the overlay was \$12,000 for approximately 5% of the project. And the proposed is -- would be -- to be increased to \$18,000. I think you'll see throughout the information that we're looking to -- the current fees are about 2% to 4% of a project's cost and we're looking to raise it to 4% to 8% depending on the project. Why do we have to implement the innovation project now? The Portland-milwaukie project needs to finalize the full funding agreement, that's driving the project and we need to know if the clinton to the river project and water avenue project should remain within that Portland-milwaukie project as project elements. Property owners are currently receiving the benefit of the significant transportation investments that are going to be made over the next years and it's reasonable for the contributions to be timed with development. At capture -- value capture. And this allows voting on the resolution today allows us to have maximum notice for the community and for us to intend the april and may neighborhood association meetings, business association meetings which will be better attended than the may and june, and once we get into the summer, we're concerned we won't be able to get as many folks.

Fritz: Can you go back to the previous slide. What's the feedback you've received regarding the clinton to the river and water avenue projects?

Oppenheim: So the clinton to the river is an important project, specifically to art and i, as well as we've been working on grant applications for that project and seeking funding for several months now. We originally suggested that we fund that project at \$1.6 million, we heard from the project advisory committee that the rates were considerably high so we looked at a series of reductions. A project elimination and able to keep the clinton to the river project within the project list at a reduced amount. \$600,000. We value that project and want to keep that project in the project list and we're hoping to hear at our grant in the comes weeks, but this amount would allow us to keep it in the Portland-milwaukie scope moving forward so we feel we'd be able to definitely move ahead with \$600,000 and continue to look for any shortfalls.

Fritz: If it was to fall out, if you -- would you come back to council to tell us that?

Oppenheim: If it was to be fully funded?

Fritz: If it was Not to be funded.

Oppenheim: Oh, if it was not to be funded. Absolutely.

Fritz: I don't need to move an amendment to require that.

Pearce: The amendment we discussed, we don't have authorization to bond the sdc contribution to that budget. Both to water avenue and clinton to the river are essentially one -- the final element we would need to make those projects fully fund based on the estimate for the grant. Currently without direction from council, to include that in the overall bonding package, we don't have the authority to borrow and we would lose the opportunity to include those for the Portland-milwaukie project. That's where your amendment could make sense if you chose to offer it.

Fritz: Ok. And that directs you to include it when you come back in july?

Pearce: Yes.

Fritz: Not sure of the timing. I want to make sure we hear public testimony but that's what i've heard in testimony so far. By mail and in person.

Adams: Today or --

April 6, 2011

Pearce: Essentially, as you recall when I came to council about six weeks ago with both water avenue and clinton to the river, the effort we're doing as staff is to bring new money to the table for both projects so they can become part of Portland-milwaukie project as a whole so no longer an outside effort pursued separately and trying to chase the timeline of the Portland-milwaukie project to make sure they can be completed using the added force of the of the larger Portland-milwaukie project.

Fritz: Ok. Let me state what i'm thinking of proposing as an amendment so folks can testify. But i'm not moving it at this time, and as art mentioned, he and I had discussions about this. The amendment we may want to consider, once the clinton to the river project and water avenue relocation projects are funded as stipulated in the report and incorporated into the Portland-milwaukie light rail project, staff is directed to include the overlay contributions to those projects in the -- and utilize the funds. If either/or both of those projects cannot be funded a public process shall occur and election of highest priority projects -- i'll state for the record and hand it out to my colleague.

Adams: I'll recognize or take a formal motion before we leave this topic today.

Oppenheim: So the state's resolution -- today's resolution is accepting our rates and methodology, authorizing pbot to notice notify the public of a public hearing related to the sdc changes required by state law that we have a certain window of notice. And resolve that the council will have a hearing on july 13th, that would be in our notice and I think a copy of the notice is in your packet. The staff recommends that the program sunset when the funds are fully collected and notify council and come to council to retire it. Any changes to the project list must be brought before council and addressed in a public hearing. Current exemptions, that would apply to the program would also be applied to our proposed overlay. Rebate -- key dates, april 7th, we'd like to send out the notices to the community and let them know of the july hearing. I would be performing additional outreach throughout april through june. And come to council on july 13th with a proposal for an -- adopting the new overlay district. It would be implemented on october 3rd. Which would be the fourth quarter of the year. [baby crying]

Adams: Wasn't me. Mr. Johansen, are you going to say a few words?

Eric Johansen, Debt. Manager, Office of Management and Finance: Thank you. The ordinance authorizes the issuance of limited tax revenue bonds in an amount to provide \$45 million in funding for the city's share of the project, plus additional amounts necessary to fund issuance costs and fund the required reserves. This ordinance does not address the \$10 million coming from pdc, that would be authorized through a separate action of pdc and the council. As art noted. The bonds expected to be repaid from a variety of revenues, parking, system development charges and gas taxes and other legally available funds and estimated sources and the amounts should any of these specific source not be sufficient in total or from a timing standpoint, other transportation revenues would be expected to fill in the shortfall. Sdcs which are notoriously volatile, dependent on economic conditions, if they fall short, we look to other transportation revenues to pick up the difference until the sdc revenues were received. So the way we think about it, this is essentially a transportation revenue bond supported by all legally available transportation funds with a financing plan that identifies what we think those ultimate sources will be. In addition, the bonds will be secured by the full faith and credit of the city. The bonds that we're authorizing through this action will likely not be issued until next year when we get closer to the full funding grant agreement and expect to issue the bonds in a manner that allows us to comply with the timing requirements within the iga. So with that, i'd take any questions.

Adams: I think the key salient point out of that for those observing is that this is the standard practice for the city on these matters and in terms of how good a job you've done on behalf of the city council in helping to manage these kinds of projects, we have the highest possible rating for these kinds of -- this kind of debt.

April 6, 2011

Johansen: Yeah, these will be limited tax obligations, which are currently rated double a one. A notch below our unlimited tax rating.

Adams: Mr. Johansen and the council take a conservativeness to these matters and that shows in the ratings.

Fritz: What shoshana was saying that those who benefit pay. Future Portlanders who may not be here today. Are helping to pay for the service they'll be receiving from the completed project.

Adams: All right. Thank you all.

Saltzman: Oh, one question. This goes back to the Portland-milwaukie light rail transit contribution of \$55 million we're making.

Pearce: Yes.

Saltzman: It was -- our original commitment was 30?

Pearce: 30.

Saltzman: And increasing it by 20 because of the funding decreased from 50 to -- any other local governments make additional contributions to fill the gap.

Pearce: Dan walker, I may let him address the rest of the efforts to increase funding contributions.

Adams: The short answer is yes. [laughter] could we have dan and paul from omsi and valeria from the Portland opera. If you could start out whether you support or pose it or you have questions and quickly tell us why you don't need to use up your entire three minutes. Time limit. Mr. Blocker, maybe you could start with answering commissioner Saltzman's question.

Dan Blocker: Be happy to. The answer is yes, indeed. Other jurisdictions have come forward. Oregon department of transportation is providing \$10 million of congestion mitigation and air quality funding as well as \$13.5 million in a mixture of a number you have funds including a small proportion of available flex fund. Metro provided increased bonding amount in the amount of \$27.3 million. City of Portland with its \$25 million contribution, tri-met with an additional \$20 million contribution. Clackamas county is looking for some additional in kind land contributions to increase their contribution as well as.

Saltzman: Thank you.

Adams: So you're supportive of what we're doing here?

Blocker: Very much indeed. It's a pleasure to be here and tell but the tremendous momentum this project has acquired lately. Most significantly, the approval of fta for the project to begin final design which we received last tuesday. That's a major, major stem and a strong expression of their belief and commitment to the project and they have a rigorous process you have to go through in order to receive that -- that authority and Portland-milwaukie project was rated extremely highly. And, in fact, it received, in their rating system, a medium-high rating which is one of the highest in the entire nation.

Fritz: Tell us again how many millions we're getting from the federal government?

Blocker: \$740 million.

Fritz: That's a lot of jobs.

Adams: How many do you estimate?

Blocker: 14,000 is the impact of the job impact. So very significant. And in february, Portland-milwaukie was one of three projects nationwide recommended for future full funding grant agreement. Again, a very significant and strong statement of support from the federal transit administration and the obama administration on the process expects for the project to move forward. So what we'd like to do now is to grab ahold of that strong commitment and submit our full funding grant agreement by no later than june of this year in order to continue the process. The full funding grant agreement is the ultimate agreement of dollars to the project and very, very important for us to finish that last step while we have this momentum. So as you know, and was mentioned earlier, this was the challenging journey to get where we are today. Particularly after last july when we found out the federal government was only going to provide a 50% maximum,

April 6, 2011

rather than the 60% we had been pursuing. In the current economic times, that was a very significant challenge but the entire region came together very strongly to support the project and to provide that additional funding. As well as make some very targeted scope reductions. So that we could do what we call, recalibrate the project and be able to resubmit it and without the incredible strong support, the city of Portland and your staff, teresa boyle and sue keil and tom miller, we couldn't be here and we're grateful for the assistance. The \$55 million you're being asked to provide today leverages many, many things. We talked about the jobs. If you look at the other funding, each dollar of yours that goes in leverages 27 other dollars in local, regional and federal funds. That's a lot of bang for the buck. The infrastructure ties together the innovation quadrant, omsi, psu, leverages development in south waterfront, so many good things. \$35 million in active transportation scope included in the project and another \$38 million in roadway improvements already included in the project. So the project is also well coordinated with close the loop effort you've heard about already. The southeast water avenue relocation. The clinton to the river connection. All of these projects are linked and coordinated and this package of actions before you allows to finish off the funding for those pieces. So in closing, I urge you to support the resolutions, support these vital improvements and continue the great partnership we've had. The city of Portland's leadership that led to u.s. News and world report rating Portland the number one transit city in the country and we're proud of that.

Adams: That wasn't 10 years ago, five years ago, that was recently.

Blocker: In february. The ranking of the number one transit city in the nation.

Adams: Just saying. Thanks. Portland state university deserves a huge kudos and credit for helping to make this happen. And key among the many people that have worked on it, psu, lindsay who is here with us and also retiring. Save sad to say, I wanted to take the opportunity before you speak to thank you personally on behalf of the city for the amazing work you've done to take psu to the next level, both not only on campus, but the links of streetcar and now second light rail line. Thank you. If you would please testify. I know you have to leave early.

Lindsay Derocher: Lindsay, Portland state. Thank you for the kind words, it's a privilege and honor working with you over these years and i'm going to be on the faculty at Portland state and do a good job there, I hope. I have a number. Remarks. You know that Portland state strongly supports the light rail project and streetcar project you're talking about here today. This transportation driver has been kind of the linchpin for the miracle of the development of the city of Portland and the development of the city's university Portland state. It's an absolutely critical driver and still is. Today, i'm working on the life sciences project on the waterfront, \$150 million, that's going to happen, we're going to make it happen and that's going to be part. The light rail line and the streetcar loop that will cross the bridge. We'll bring students, faculty and people who use the services of ohsu to the life sciences project from Portland state's campus and from all the way on the east side. So this is an extension of the dream about how you do urban development. This really is. Just a little story and nick Fish was kind enough to be present with us at the dedication of our new housing building. 975-bed building. That was a \$90 million investment by an outside-of-oregon company. Bringing jobs into our city. Developing housing for our students and we need to do about five more projects like that in the next 10-15 years at Portland state. It wouldn't have come if they hadn't seen what the transit system was looking like and where it was going. That was the lynch pin in their mind about the seriousness about the city and community about how to develop this urban space. I know it's always difficult to see new fees and obligations. We, too, pay those fees when we build. But we think it's an investments that not just necessary, but really visionary and we have to bite the bullet and continue this process to stay in the lead on -- and to fulfill the other obligations we have like creating a university that is one that the city can be proud of and which fuels this economy for the future. That's it, thank you.

Adams: Thank you. And please feel free to leave right away. We've kept you a long time.

April 6, 2011

Derocher: Ok. Thank you.

Adams: Ms. Ramirez.

Valeria Ramirez: Actually I'll defer to --

Paul Carlson: I'm Paul Carlson, a senior vice president for OMSI and here to speak on behalf of the transit projects before you today. Our project is going to be the nexus of both streetcar and light rail. The confluence of these transportation modes in our backyard will be transformative for the OMSI district and the mutual. We have envisioned a great deal of development on our property in the coming years. We strongly support the proposals that are before you today. Including the light rail and the streetcar. The Water Avenue project is essential for the smooth flow of freight and vehicular traffic through the area. It's also very important to the Oregon Rail Heritage Rail Facility because the temporary roadway in place today will have to be removed without this realignment project. So finally, I would just state that with the large amount of development, we anticipate on our property and nearby, we will actually be a significant payer of the -- of these fees, how far, we feel that the benefits really outweigh the additional costs. Thank you.

Adams: Thank you. Did you want to add anything?

Valeria Ramirez: My name is Valeria -- and I'm representing the Oregon Opera and speaking today in support of the TSDC before you. The Portland Opera rehearsal facilities is located south of the planned transit bridge and the first eastside light rail station. And I would note it couldn't possibly be closer to the bridge. I think there's a little overlap that will occur. These two parcels are bisected by old Water Avenue today. Portland Opera is in support of the project for the inclusion of the TSDC, particularly for those on the east side of the river and the close the loop and permanent realignment of Water Avenue which will connect the east and west side to the river and to the transportation infrastructure in the southeast and facilitate future development in the area, including plans of Portland Opera for the property. In addition, the Clinton to the River multi-use path will also help create a safer and more bike and ped friendly areas to the river and areas to the south and I would like to add that the methodology used to establish the rates appears to be comprehensive, thoughtful and balanced. The relocation of Water Avenue is of particular importance to Portland Opera. Our future plans and envisions a closer connection between our two parcels which would be facilitated by permanently relocating Water Avenue to the east side. This relocation will improve safer passage through the area for all types of traffic and better connect our districts, our neighbors to the south. Portland Opera urges you to support the adoption of the TSDC by the council. Thank you.

Adams: Thank you, Ms. Ramirez. Thank you all very much. Next on the list is Michael Powell from Portland Streetcar. Chris, from the Hosford-Abernethy district. On the project team. Mary Ann Fitzgerald from the citywide advisory committee and Michael O'Connor submitted a letter. I get everybody? Yes. Who would like to go first? Mr. Powell?

Michael Powell: Michael Powell, I chair the streetcar board and had been involved with the streetcars and we are, on August 12, celebrating our tenth anniversary, at which 12 million people, no serious accidents in the history of the streetcar and will have facilitated \$4 billion of development along the alignment at twice the level --

Adams: Is that \$4 million or --

Powell: B, billion. Yeah, not chump change. On September 21st, next year, we will celebrate the opening of the eastside light rail streetcar which takes a streetcar to OMSI on Oregon-built cars and increase that ridership significantly. Today, of course, we're here to talk about something that's very important to the streetcar community, the closing of the loop. These funds are critical to have the connectivity on either end of the Carruthers Bridge and certainly, the improvements to Water and Clinton are important, you heard enough, I think, about those. But this money is to -- the final key piece of what would be the loop, whatever name it will come to have and unify and connect the central business district, both east and west. I think for the large picture, it's good to remember, I haven't heard the word quadrangle used here. But this is a great way of unifying PSU and OHSU and

April 6, 2011

the community college on the east side and omsi and provide that kind of connectivity for transportation that the research and educational potential of those institutions provide this city, the job growth they provide and all of these things come together to make this work. As a businessman, I know that special development charges are never palatable and never a good time for it. But this project trumps those concern, in my mind and in the mind of the Portland streetcar, I urge your support for these three items. Thank you very much.

Adams: Thank you.

Chris Ikamp: My name is chris ikamp and I support these projects. I'm the han representative but these opinions are my own. My neighbors and members of the board i've talked to are generally positive about the projects on the list. The light rail and streetcar will, of course, enhance our connectivity to the transit network and it's my hope these projects will bring a little new development into the dusty corridors of our neighborhood. The project that seems to have people most interested and excited is the possibility of realizing the clinton to the river. It's the project that residents have been working on for over 10 years and something i'd like to see happen. These projects need to be paid for. No funding mechanism is perfect, but these projects will add considerable value to the property surrounding the light rail and surrounding the streetcar. And it seems reasonable that the public should recapture some part of that wind fall to actually fund the project. The thing I like about the sdc process, you actually collect the money at the time that the property owner is realizing that gain and that seems like a good way to set it up. One disappoint, the clinton to the river funding was reduced. Hoping that the project will be built and funded but I hope if the time comes, you will partner with the neighbors of southeast Portland to make sure the project is funded and built. Thank you.

Adams: Thank you very much. Appreciate it. Sir?

Mike O'Conner: Mike o'connor, chair of the brooklyn action corps neighborhood association and we're in support of the sdc and the light rail. And we're excited about it. And wanted to reiterate that I think a big source of value that I personally fought for and got involved with the neighborhood association in favor of is the quiet zone, which is really going to improve the value of the homes, I think in the hosford-abernethy and brooklyn neighborhood -- and abernethy and brooklyn neighborhoods and we support the sdc as a way to fill in the funding on this. One issue that was unfortunately removed and as I stated in my letter, removed from the light rail project is the pedestrian bridge that goes over rhine street and we want to try and include that back. We made our support conditional on bringing that back into the project if possible. And there's been some recent developments regarding that last week. Last week, there was a meeting with the development bureau and union pacific regarding the renovations they're planning at their yard and turns out there's a 1943 city ordinance that requires union pacific to maintain that bridge so we're hoping that -- that the bridge is replaced during the u.p. Renovations and we also want to keep an eye on it and if that doesn't work out, have the city to put as much pressure on the railroad to rebuild that and if they're able to get out of doing that, somehow, we would really like the city to include this in the project.

Adams: And fair enough. The last six -- six years, i've been asking the railroad to make a significant investment on your behalf on the city as behalf in that infrastructure. Since the '40s, there's I passage of additional federal laws that make the city's requirement on them a dream for lawyers, but we continue to push. You're right to bring it up.

O'Conner: Great, thanks, sam.

Adams: Ms. Fitzgerald.

Mary Ann Fitzgerald: Good morning, my name is mary ann fitzgerald, a member of the city of Portland pedestrian advisory committee. Our committee was briefed on the project last month and pleased that the tsdc are multimodal in nature. You've heard the merits of Portland-milwaukie light rail and east side streetcar as major additions to the transit system and other projects are greatly

April 6, 2011

needed to meet our goals of more people walking safely throughout the neighborhoods. The Broadway cyclist street r streetscape, they're good for pedestrian safety. We were concerned about the new fees negative impact on business, small businesses but support the tsdc overlay because we believe the projects will bring many benefits to the affected area. The members of the Portland pac are citizens in the community. Residents and business people and customers who care about safety for everyone living and visiting our city. We support the innovation quadrant and the balanced approach it takes to mitigating the impacts of development. Thank you.

Adams: Thank you all very much. Appreciate it.

Parsons: For public testimony -- please come up.

Adams: Thank you for being here. Give us a quick explanation. Brevity is appreciated.

Linda Nettekoven: Thank you. Thank you, mayor Adams.

Adams: Pull up the mic a little bit.

Nettekoven: Is this better? Ok. Mayor and city council members. I'm Linda, the vice chair of the Hosford neighborhood association. We'll be neutral on the tsdc study. We are appreciative of the good work -- first of all, you and the pbot staff and tri-met team have done in getting the quiet zone process moving along to this point and it's critical to the liveability and future development. Our neighborhood. We won't have a good place to be without it. We appreciate it greatly and want to take this opportunity to remind you that thinking of what a recent comment about redevelopment potential. If we don't get good stationary development done for the Clinton area -- we'll not be able to capture the opportunities that come to us and there's no funding in the city budget this year and I'm hoping that people can keep thinking about that. We've tried to could be together students and bits of staff time to do planning and people have been helpful but it's good to have a comprehensive look. The issue at hand, fund a part-time staff person to bring the light rail process back to Portland-milwaukie after it was defeated at the ballot box and we have a big vision for the regional transportation system but we've had a difficult time following the changes in boundaries and rates. The myriad of conversations that have gone on during this process have unanswered questions. Many neighbors still don't see the nexus between the benefit and burden. Paying for something as regional as at streetcar loop with investments -- only the east side of the city. Obviously people are paying across the river as well but these disconnects that we've been able to successfully answer in the brief time we've had so we remain neutral and excited about the projects and others will say more and that's all I have this morning. Thank you.

Adams: Thank you.

Susan Pearce: My name is Susan Pearce, I'm a member of the Hosford-Abernethy neighborhood association and representative on the -- well, yes, the streetcar citizens advisory committee and the loop project advisory committee as well as the light rail. On a number of committees. You've heard me say this before. That all -- many of which have to do with this. I've sent you an email and making some changes in my comments and I think rather than going -- I'll just try and do this quickly. I am very much in favor of these projects. I share some concerns about the funding package. I fully support the projects and extol the virtues for the rest of my three minutes, others have done that before me and I think I'll just move on. The funding package has -- is -- turn page. The funding package has created some confusion among members of the east side, at least. The process itself, the public process a little bit frenetic through multiple presentations on the committees on which I sit. Some questions have been answered and others arisen and information seems to change and I think it's due to the involvement based on public input. My letter and the people coming behind me are going to be offering questions that have to do with that, so again, won't spend that time. But what I would say is I suggest everybody take a deep breath -- [breathes deeply]. And the city council consider the questions and concerns that I've written and that people behind me will be writing and proceed carefully and allow time for further public outreach. I though there's time limit that's important for the federal funding but it's important that everybody

April 6, 2011

fully understand. I do have three or four requests. That -- that are very important and one is that the collection of the sdc's will not spend beyond the 20 years so it does indeed sunset and the current goal of \$14.5 million be reached prior to the 20-year goal, the charges will be retired rather than continuing for the full 20 years. The project and fee structure will not be amend without going before the full city council so we can comment and that han and -- can I finish my sentence?

Adams: Absolutely. That the han and brooklyn board -- let me start my sentence again. That statutory requirements that all interested parties be notified about proceedings proposals regarding the sdc's be modified to include han and brooklyn board lists.

Adams: Thank you. And we're under the deadline, the gun of the federal government. There's no question about it and that does rub up against, our, I think, generally good traditions of public process and the proposal has benefited from the public input we've received thus far but does sort of push at our normal public process we like to do. The reason, the urgency for that is the federal funding and being able to break ground in a particular construction season and get the jobs out there. But I want to acknowledge, yes, we've had to push this faster than we normally would.

Fritz: And we have another hearing in july on the actual --

Adams: There's another hearing on the project. This phase, we're pushing now for federal financing ideal deadline.

Pearce: Hopefully, public input can continue or public process --

Adams: This is not in the public outreach and process and we do continue to get to refine it within the parameters of what we're approving today. There's still the opportunity to refine and polish it. We've got to keep moving --

Saltzman: To encapsulate your point. The sdc's sunset in 20 years. If it reaches \$14.5 million before that time, it should retire and project list and fee structure be approved by council.

Pearce: So that the public process -- if something changes we're involved with that conversation and that han and brooklyn --

Adams: We need to move on.

Fritz: I want a nod. Do you support the amendment i'm proposing?

Pearce: May I ask a question. Do I understand -- this is saying that should those grants not come through, even though there's other partial funding for clinton to the river, then they would be removed from the list of projects supported --

Fritz: Only if it comes back to council.

Pearce: If it comes back.

Fritz: If that happens, it has to come back to the council. That's what you're asking?

Pearce: So in which case you'd have a tearful woman on your hands. I worked on this for so long. But it's a reasonable approach.

Fritz: Thank you for your work.

Amy Lewin: I'll be brief. I'm amy, a han board member and involved in some of the conversations over the last couple weeks about this concept and I want to echo what was -- concept and I want to echo what was said and I came here before and I want to mention that clinton to the river is important and I appreciate commissioner Fritz's amendment and it would support that. We as a neighborhood association decided to remain neutral because this is a lot of money to ask of small property ownerships who may decide to develop in the next 20 years and we have to be mindful to the people footing bill. And I think that what art and shoshana have presented is a good idea, but I question the boundary, I think there could be a little more fudging with developing -- considering development north of hawthorne and maybe further east to our neighborhood. Something for consideration. We're on a short time line and I appreciate that. But I also want it say it's also very important and not mentioned in the project list, we don't have an above-grade ped crossing and hopefully you can get the funding in another way but if we're doing systems development charge

April 6, 2011

charges for improvements, that's a very important link and missing. Those are the points missing in this discussion and thank you.

Adams: I want to make sure that you and the viewers and listeners under that I can't think of an exception for light rail or streetcar where the local property owner does not make a contribution. In other words, local property owners have always made a contribution to the streetcar and light rail project. So you're being treated -- you're being treated the same as other neighborhoods in that sense. That's not to say that the details don't matter. They do. Thank you.

Adams: Welcome to the city council. Welcome back. Thanks for your patience. Please begin.

Brian Dunn: Good morning, commissioners, my name is brian dunn. A transportation engineer, I was on the pac committee representing the central eastside industrial council and the district representing them again today. I just have a few comments, I guess, at this point, like several groups before me, we remain neutral on the tsdc overlay project and we have some concerns which i'll elaborate on here today and some suggestions for consideration between now and perhaps the next hearing on july 13th. Again, like most people here today, we do support the projects, we think they have merit. Of the six projects on the list, but the cic is still greatly concerned about the overlay project. We've had concerns and continue to have concerns about its legitimacy and efficacy. And i'm going to speak to the inequity of the project. In two areas. One has to do with the study area boundary. Typically, you know, like the normal tsc program, it extend to the entire city limits whereas, now we're creating a subdistrict. Like the north macadam. In the cid, we're bifurcating our area. The southern one third is separated by the northern two-thirds and we think this will create an inequity. If one were to build a restaurant one block south of hawthorne, \$115,000 more dollars is paid upfront than a similar facility two blocks to the north. We believe this inequity will be greatest for small business developments. Who can't handle such premiums and we fear that the end result is going to be existing businesses or new businesses gravitating or locating further to the north in our district. And really, essentially moving away from the projects that are being implemented here that are trying to attract business. The second issue we have has to do with the various types of land uses in cid, how they're affected. The cid is an industrial sanctuary. We have a diverse mix of businesses in our area. Many of which are industrial, warehousing, as well as the other uses. I think the other concern we have is that some land uses we feel will be subsidizing others as areas continue to grow in the affected areas. Mainly, because the tsdc overlay project is primarily identifying and implementing transit and non-auto oriented projects, which are great but there's only one project out the six that has to do with enhancing auto traffic and our concern here is that land uses such as industrial, many types of commercial uses are - - that are least likely to use these transit non-oriented projects are going to subsidizing other uses, office, realize, things of that nature -- residential, which may have a higher reliance on these transit and non-auto facilities. So really, we have two suggestions for consideration. If you can grant me 30 more seconds. One of those -- and these have to do with adding on exemptions to the normal exemptions that apply. One has to do with the changes in occupancy of existing small buildings. Right now there's a full exemption up to 3,000 square feet and the sliding from 3,000 to 5,000. Our suggestion is increase the maximum from 5,000 to 10,000. The second suggestion that relates to the subsidizing, currently, the developers have the right to submit alternative generation data --

Adams: I need you to speed up?

Dunn: OK, our suggestion is that developers also be allowed to challenge mode splits that are fixed here in this tsc overlay. Right now they are 46% motorized, 29% transit, and 25% non-motorized. And we can't challenge that, and I think there are some existing businesses looking to grow that would like to open that up for a challenge.

Adams: Thank you. I gave you a minute and 15 seconds more.

Dunn: Thanks.

Adams: Mr. Lewellan.

April 6, 2011

Art Lewellan: Thank you council. I will try to be brief. I came today to submit the curb extension study and when I realized you were having public hearings on this subject, I decided to sign up. I've been a supporter of our light rail system for over twenty years. And involved all that time. And I take the approach that if there's something wrong with it, i'll just come in and offer my criticism and spend time analyzing projects, pros and cons, and I think the city needs people like myself who are not involved with associations or engineering firms and companies. The public needs an understanding from someone that's beyond -- that's outside of the people who are directly involved, and my take on the milwaukie light rail project and the bridge is, even though it's expensive, it has a lot going for it, well worth justifying the amount of expense that is expects to cost. I expect it to succeed. It has so much going for it. That's my take as a supporter. Basically that's my one comment. I was kind of hoping that they would go for the line as the cherry line.

Adams: The cherry line?

Lewellan: Or the bing line.

Adams: For the search engine?

Lewellan: For the color of the line.

Adams: I know. I'm teasing. We have a lot of young people in the audience. Intergenerational joke. Thank you Mr. Lewellan. Mr. Lee.

James Lee: My name is james lee, and I speak only for myself, and i'll just talk about money. I think I can add a good bit to that pot, namely \$135 million, which is the price of the dysfunctional interchange that The Oregon department of transportation wants to add to the west end of the sellwood bridge. Several years ago, I was concerned about this, so I went down and talked to the chair of the Oregon transportation commission. She said she was concerned, too, and she had in fact commissioned a study which showed that anything more than spending \$80 million at sellwood added no net function to the Oregon transportation system. I would like to point out that the wonderful new bridge that trimet its going to build under the aegis of mr. Barnard and mr. Katz, the total cost of that thing is about \$130 million. So odot's dysfunctional interchange is at least as much or more as that.

Adams: Ok. Thank you very much.

Lee: You're welcome. Thank you, mr. Mayor.

Alan Delatorre: My name is allen delatorre. I'm research faculty at Portland state university and a member of the Portland commission on disability, and I serve as a co-chair of the accessibility subcommittee. I'm here in support of the overlay and want to relay a little bit of information on what we've been doing in a partnership with the Portland bureau of transportation and the Portland bureau of planning and sustainability. We've been working on the cycle track on broadway to improve accessibility for older people and persons with disabilities, something that we'll continue to work on. Specifically, there's a new grant that's been issued to the institute on aging. I'm the principal investigator for the new grant to look at the way we're designing for and planning for older people and persons with disabilities. We're specifically looking at, through communication with pbot, pts, and the university at the fourth avenue streetscape improvement. We're proposing an innovative design that will look at blind low vision, deaf, hard-of-hearing, mobility issues for older adults. This sdc overlay will allow us to actually go from conceptual framework to implementation. We wanted to bring that aging perspective into this.

Adams: Thank you. Another reason why Portland State is the best urban university in the United States. Mr. Fry.

Peter F. Fry: My name is peter finley fry. I'm actually on the cic board and vice chair of the land use committee. About a year ago, we instituted a program called land use deputies, and brian dunn has done an extremely good job on this for us and has reported back to the board and the land-use committee regularly. We submitted a memorandum yesterday, but i'm not going to go into it in detail because I know you will all study it. I want to be very clear that we support the projects. We

April 6, 2011

have always supported psu and omsi when omsi came to our district. Ftcs are based on transportation impact, not properly values, and this is a matter of how a new development impacts the system. Traditionally they're used toward traffic projects, and so Your sdc fee structure is based on traffic impact. You see that in how it's all been done. So what happens here is it gets upside down. A 5000 square foot bank is around 111,000. 5000 square feet restaurant is around 102,000. A 60-unit hotel is about 140,000. Under this structure in one that's going to transit which benefits offices and hotels and not restaurants and banks, the banks and restaurants will be paying almost the same amount as the office and the hotels, which is an inequity. This create as circumstance that we fear that we will have a very sterile neighborhood there with great institutions, office buildings, employment centers, and no banks no, restaurants, none of the amenities. I ask we look at the fee structure about the impact on this package of projects. To date, we have been unable to get them to shift away, because it requires them to reexamine their sdc basis for the citywide. Lastly, I want to comment briefly on the boundary expansion. We're clearly concerned about the edge. But if the boundary starts expanding and needs to expand on the west side and the east side, I hear all your arguments about great impact for us, but this is also a great thing for downtown to bring everybody down here. So thank you very much.

Adams: Thank you all for your testimony.

Saltzman: One question, Mr. Lee testified earlier about raising the square footage exemption from 5000 to 10,000 square feet?

Fry: That's actually something we've talked about. That would solve the problem with the banks and restaurants, because banks and restaurants are typically under 10,000 square feet, for example.

Saltzman: That's where that number 10 came from?

Fry: Yeah. Pretty much. That would move us in definitely the right direction.

Adams: Thank you. A couple of items. In terms of sdc's and the underlying approach to system development charges, we've talked about the difference between charges for local improvement districts. The city's approach to system development charges is based on court tests of what is legal and not legal for the basis of charging and not charging, exempting and including, and it's based on that best practice not only from a legal point of view but also we have had experts that have looked into this issue. To mr. Fry's point -- he's leaving right now -- respectfully, the experience that we've had along light rail and streetcar lines have not realized the fears that you state. We have attracted private investment from the entire swathe of potential investment along the existing light rail stops and streetcar lines. But it is a concern that we will keep in mind. In terms of the zoning as it relates to the overlay, we Looked at that very carefully, some of the prior testimony. This district is largely industrial, industry sanctuary. It will stay as such. So the potential flipping from warehouse to, let's say, restaurant that would be the biggest charges is least likely to happen in the city in an industrial area. And where there is more permissive zoning, not entirely, but there is a lot more permissive zoning where we have put the overlay. So we've tried to be thoughtful to that regard. Understand this is a terrible recession for business. We've been very careful about this, and we've chosen the system development charge again because you don't get a bill until you actually do something. And we thought that was best given the current environment.

Fritz: I'd like to move the amendment to item 308 about the relocation project. Staff is directed to include the innovation sdc overlay contribution to this project in the financing utilized. If either or both of these projects cannot be funded, a public process and important decision by council shall occur for selection of highest priority projects.

Adams: Moved and seconded. Is there additional council discussion? Please call the roll on the motion.

Fish: Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Fritz:** Aye.

Adams: Aye. Motion is approved. Commissioner Saltzman?

April 6, 2011

Saltzman: I guess I have three amendments, and maybe they're already incorporated. One was that the sdc collection expires in 20 years. The second is that, if the \$14.5 million is reached sooner than that 20-year period, then the sdc retires or expires early. And the third is any changes in the fee list would be brought to council and also noticed to the appropriate neighborhoods.

Pearce: Our plan would be to incorporate those elements in the july ordinance that would enact the fee itself.

Fish: Do you view those as friendly amendments?

Adams: I do. We've memorialized them here as a matter of legislative intent, and we'll put them in the july ordinances. Any other? All right. Now, are we going to vote on the resolution today?

Saltzman: I was on the understanding we were going to wait a week.

Pearce: Our hope was that you would vote on that today.

Oppenheim: It would allow us to go forward with the noticing for the july meeting.

Fish: The two ordinances go to a second reading?

Oppenheim: The two ordinances go to a second reading.

Fish: To vote on 308.

Oppenheim: Correct.

Adams: So unless there are objections, 306 is moved to a second reading next week. Unless there are objections, 307 moves to a second reading. And we will now vote on 308. Commissioner Saltzman?

Saltzman: I believe that I have an economic interest in some of the property on the boundary of the proposed sdc overlays, so i'm going to recuse myself from voting on the resolution.

Adams: With that noted, please call the vote on item number 308 resolution.

Item 308 roll.

Fish: Well, first, we have a crazy jammed schedule today, and this is the first very complicated thing that we're working through. I want to compliment staff for a very strong presentation. Mike powell said that these kinds of things are never palatable and there's never a good time. Truer words were not spoken. The question is are we prepared to make this investment in something that could be a game changer for our city and for the impacted neighborhoods. I think the case has been made clearly and succinctly that we should. I'm excited particularly for the implications for the new omsi campus. And having received a tour there recently and thinking about how it will connect with what the rail heritage folks want to do in providing a new home for three locomotives the city owns and just the whole campus and the build-out, the good stuff for our economy, the quality of our life and to continue progress in the areas of transportation, it's exciting. Mayor, thank you for your strong leadership on this, and i'm pleased to vote aye.

Leonard: Aye.

Fritz: Thank you especially to mayor Adams for bringing this board for working on it long and hard to Shoshanna Oppenheim, art pearce, teresa boyle and sue keil who have all, over the past several months, briefed me extensively, me and my staff. We appreciate that a lot. This is over \$700 million in federal money coming to provide 14,000 jobs and a light rail system through some of our most distressed neighborhoods in southeast Portland, so it's a project which has great benefits, and I for one and glad that those federal dollars are coming back here rather than going overseas to fight wars. I think, if there were a bucket of federal money that would give us \$700 million to provide sidewalks, then we would certainly be going after it, but there isn't. Given the realities of the system we're dealing in, I certainly appreciate this project. Good work. I again commend mayor Adams. Aye.

Adams: I want to thank the council for their support of this measure, for their strategic vision, and I think that's really well said. If we could go after federal or state money to fill the potholes and repair the sidewalks in the city of Portland, we'd be doing that first, but those pots of money and funding sources do not exist. This does. For every dollars we spend locally, we get \$27 in other

April 6, 2011

spending, again in parts of town that are incredibly challenged from a mobility, access, and transportation point of view. I want to thank the great transportation team in my office, kathryn Ciarlo the great Katja dillman, Theresa, art, shoshanna, greg, and everyone at the bureau of transportation, mr. Johansen who keeps care of the books. And the neighborhood and everyone who volunteered. You've asked for this for as long as i've had a political consciousness, and i'm really glad that we're now taking another step forward in seeing it realized. Thank you. Aye. The resolution is approved. We will now get to the state of the city. Sorry. State of the education report. I really appreciate everyone who has hung in there. How many are here for the state of education report? Raise your hands. We have some seats opening up down here if you want to move down. We'll have a five-minute break for everyone. We will pick up regular agenda at 2:00 p.m. So if you're here for regular agenda items, 2:00 p.m. With the exception of tualatin valley. Testimony is at 2:00.

At 11:20 a.m., Council recessed.

At 11:28 a.m., Council reconvened.

Adams: I really appreciate everyone's patience. How many of you are in the city council chambers for a city council meeting for the very first time? Raise your hands. Well, we welcome you. Hopefully, if you were here for the last council discussion, you got a little better sense of our responsibilities as your public servants. That issue is about providing more effective transportation accessibility for south Portland. I'm very pleased to begin the hearing on these three related council items, and i'm sort of drawing out my introductions, because I need a third person sitting up here in order to proceed. How many of you want to testify today? How many of you mind if I just call on you? Like being in class. A really big class.

Fritz: I think it's wonderful that you all stayed and watched democracy in action. Citizens come to the council for the final public hearing when we vote or the next week we'll vote on it, and that's part of what makes Portland great, I think. So that's similar to what we're doing today. There's been a lot of work done in the community, and you're coming to tell us about some of it. We appreciate you being here.

Adams: A program note. The tree ordinance package and the regular agenda items, with the exception of the fire-related council calendar items -- so with the exception of the fire-related council calendar items which we will have to interrupt this presentation for -- everything else will be heard beginning at 2:00 p.m. So if you're here for the tree ordinance, if you're here for anything on the regular agenda, you can leave, and we'll pick it Up, with the exception of fire, at 2:00 p.m. Aid three-hour introductory statement, but I will completely skip that other to know say this is one of the most difficult and most satisfying issues to work on. And I particularly like the fact that the council has been incredibly supportive of these efforts. It's not in our mission specifically, but this council has stepped forward at every opportunity not just in hearings like this but in their own bureaus to support improvement to the education in our community from cradle to career. So, with that, ms. Ladd.

Kali Ladd, Education Strategies Policy Advisor, Office of Mayor: I'm going to start with a quote. Education is a human right with immense power to transform. On its foundation rests the cornerstones of freedom, democracy, and sustainable human development. If Portland aspires to be the most livable, sustainable city in the country, we need to get education right. Sadly, as evidenced by recent state budget cuts, we continue to disinvest and disinvest in our system to the point that our education system becomes almost like a jenga game. What we're doing is we're cutting different pieces of our system. We cut our teachers. We cut our extracurricular supports like the arts and music and p.e. We cut our wrap-around supports that provide the academic supports. And we cut the core service Support until we find ourselves looking at the structure wondering when is it going

April 6, 2011

to collapse? Now fortunately in Portland we have a lot of organizations that are doing very good work to support our schools and our young people. We have organizations like sei and el programa, naya, reap, and those services that are helping to bring back some of the pieces like art. Still you look at this and see that they're still holes. Portland city council has been bold enough to see the truth in the quote that I began with. They realize that we can't just stand back from our vantage point, look at the holes, and do nothing about them. That is why we are here today to talk about our investments. Our investments have been like summer youth connect which provides career and college support to young people. Future connect for scholarships. We've supported the sun school program and we've supported restorative justice that is help keeping kids in the classrooms even if they struggle with other things. Lastly we've been able to support the cradle to career initiative that looks to make sure all the correct pieces are in place within the system. They're looking to measure and find out are the holes that need to be filled still and where can we fill them and what funding do we need to fill them? You are providing the critical pieces to ensure that our education system stands firm. But our investment is not just impacting the education system. Our investment is impacting the lives of young people, families, communities, neighborhoods. And so today we are here to present their stories.

Eduardo Ortiz: My name is eduardo ortiz. I'm a junior at gresham high school, and i'm also the co-chair of the youth force committee within the Multnomah youth commission. I'm here to share how your investments in the Multnomah youth commission are awesome. [laughter] as you may know, the Multnomah youth commission does most of its work within four committees: Education, health and wellness, sustainability, and youth voice. I'd like to start off by highlighting what some of the things the committees have done this year so far as you can see in your hand-outs. The education committee. They were the only youth to facilitate your break-out session of the parent leadership conference which had one of the highest attendance. Also they are actively working in partnership with work groups such as sun coordinating council, education and life success work group and education teams. Something that the commission supports very much is that they are actively working in partnership with other organizing groups for senate bill 742 or tuition equity bills. Something connected with good education is being healthy and well, which is another committee, health and wellness. The committee designed and developed a tri-county campaign My health, my choice, my future. Through money received by the united way of columbia willamette, this effort included 24 banners, 4000 youth brochures, and 44,000 parent flyers. They also act as youth advisory bodies for our \$50,000 northwest health foundation, slash, keizer permanente community grant which has developed eight youth advisory councils with each of the eight Multnomah county high schools. Transportation is often a barrier for youth to access things such as schools, extracurricular activities, and the great opportunities that the city has to offer. For this reason, the mic has a sustainability committee. The sustainability committee is developing transportation data points and mapping to advocate for greater transit access for youth east of i-205. They're also advocating to local state representatives to maintain the business energy tax credit funding for youth pass, which is in jeopardy of losing its funding. This committee will also host a youth pastor on april 23 at gresham city hall, my neck of the woods, to update youth path sponsors. The youth force committee made a youtube video to encouraging voting. In my opinion, the Multnomah youth commission is one of the most diverse commissions within Multnomah county. As you can see in the back of your report, more than 50% of the youth in the commission identifies youth of color, and The commissioners are equally distributed from northwest Portland to all the way to east Multnomah counties. I'd like to take the chance to say that the mics would like to welcome all youth that live, work or go to school within muck, 18s through 21. They can apply at www.ourcommission.org, forward slash, apply.

Fritz: I'll put a link to it on my website.

Saltzman: Is it our commission did you say?

April 6, 2011

Ortiz: Yeah, ourcommission.org

Ortiz: So here are the three key actions the mic has been very involved with this year. The mic helped three of these in community partnership with the housing authority of Portland at new columbia, immigrant refugee, and community organizations, and nea. At these meetings, the commission collaborated to share stories that relate directly with our bill of rights. The mic collected their stories and will help shape the mic's short-term and long-term policy goals. Youth action grants which, by the way, the award ceremony went great, and I want to thank mayor sam Adams and commissioner Fritz for attending, and i'd like to highlight that the youth action grants would not have been what they were without the help of the youth planning program. And lastly i'd like to encourage all of the city's youth to join the mic to the youth budget forum on april 16th at 1:30 p.m. At the historic hollywood theater. To summarize, thank you for your Ongoing investment in the Multnomah youth commission. It means a lot for me personally, because it helps youth such as myself make a positive impact in our community. Thank you.

Adams: Very well done. Let's have a round of applause. [applause]

*******:** Hi.

Adams: How are you?

*******:** Good.

Adams: Glad you're here. Can you get a little closer to the mic? Ok.

Phillips: I'm an eighth grader at parkrose middle school. The start of justice program at parkrose helped me figure out how to solve my problems without violence. My problems and anger would have built up into suspensions. The start of justice program taught me to ignore rumors and not jump to conclusions. I now have the tools I need to solve problems and conflicts that will make me successful in the real world.

Adams: Very well done. Thank you. [applause] is this your first time speaking to the city council?

*******:** Yes.

Adams: You did a great job.

Melissa Matthews: My name is melissa matthews. I also am an eighth grader at parkrose middle school. The program has helped me become a greater person because I am not the old melissa but the new melissa that gets good grades. The skills I have learned will help me to be successful next year at parkrose high school and when I get my first job.

Adams: That was fantastic. Great job. [applause]

Fritz: I really appreciate all of you coming and especially you two women coming to talk about the start of justice program that resolutions northwest does, and we had a presentation about that before, so it's really nice to hear people who have been in the program and benefited from it come speak to us. Thank you very much.

Dan Ryan: Good morning. I'm delighted to be here with my friend, kelly. We are here to basically say "thank you" for stepping up and investing in the ninth grade counts program. Although at the school's foundation we were set to follow up on the research that we funded, connected by 25 with the first commission ninth grade counts, if it wasn't for the public/private investment led by this city council, then we wouldn't be where we are today. Next week or maybe the following week, we'll have an official report that looks at all of the valuation of the first summer. You're going to see that the data will speak for itself. It's been wildly successful. Those students, academic priority students, have significantly more credits at the end of their freshman year. We have focused on academic priorities, students of color and economic poverty who are deprive inside the summer of many of the resources and rigorous academic enrichment that hoses with resources can provide their kids. I think we've made a lot of progress in a short period of time, and I want to thank you for that I know investment. As we move forward from the cradle to career initiative, it's great to see we have this pilot that can tell us that convening and bringing together cross sector partners really does make a difference. Two justins are in the audience, and

April 6, 2011

they collaborate to put together the ninth grade counts program at reynolds high school. I want to move over here to my friend, kelly, who's a sophomore at recent folding. She takes advanced math. She can figure out puzzles. She's also a member of the dance team. Let's see. Student council. I'm delighted to introduce to you kelley.

Kelly Godinez-Gonzalez: I am a sophomore representing reynolds high school. In the summer of 2009, I had the opportunity to be a member of the ninth grade counts program, which was basically, for me, a chance to get a taste of what high school was going to be like, and ninth grade helps gives me and the students there that inner confidence to step into high school kind of knowing what to expect. And so that was a really great help for us. The program was for five weeks, and throughout those five weeks we had a mini schedule from monday to friday from the morning to afternoon that consisted of math, reading, writing, and some science and also an elective so that it kind of made it more fun for us to Enjoy the program. The point of the glasses were to refresh our memories on what we had learned the years before so that, when we came into high school, we wouldn't be forgetting everything that we had learned before, so that was really good. Not only did it give us the chance to step into high school kind of knowing what we were doing and knowing what to expect, but it also gave us the chance to meet with teachers that were going to be teaching at the high school during the school year. It was really great, because I had a teacher high connected with great during the summer program, and I know that I can come to her about anything and talk to her about anything, and it's just really great, because I believe that kids should have somebody like a teacher at school so they can feel like they belong at the school and not just, like, there because they have to be. On the days that we didn't just have a regular schedule, we would make field trips on wednesdays to local businesses and colleges, and it got us thinking about where we might want to pursue our careers or things like that, and it was just a really great experience, and it was really fun to reward us at the end of the five weeks going to oaks park, which was really fun, kind of a wrap-up and thank you to the students, which was really great and really had a lot of fun. I think it really helped me because I am a sophomore with a 3.9 3g pa. I am running to be elected for junior council. And I know I might not have been the most at-risk academic student, but that makes no difference, because I believe that having a mixture of different diversity with kids makes us learn from each other, and it helps us out, because it helps build community. And having reynolds be one of the biggest high schools in Oregon, it helps because you don't know everybody at the high school having almost 3000 students. Having 40 kids really know each other helps even before you go into high school, so that was really great. Also I believe that high school you can go the path where you make the right decisions or the wrong decisions. Ninth grade counts helped gear us into that right direction to make the right decisions. Even from day one, from the day you step into high school, everything counts no matter what you do. That first day kind of represents what's going to happen throughout those four years. Ninth grade counts really helped me, and i'm really glad I took that class those five weeks.

Adams: Thank you very much. Well done. [applause] welcome.

Maurice: Good morning. My name is mario. I'm from congo. I am a senior. I am from congo, and i've been in tanzania for eight years and settle inside the united states Of america last year in 2010. I go to david douglas high school, and I am a senior right now. I have already applied for future scholarships. If I get scholarship, i'll be able to use it for my tuition, books, and other school supplies. I was working for the bureau of transportation. The program really helped me, because I learned about the outreaching public speaking for myself, because I didn't know anything about that. I believe that, if we find other opportunities for other youth to participate in programs like that, that would be helpful, 'cause all the time youth builds the future and get the hope when they are provided by a lot of opportunities. Thank you.

Adams: Well done. Thank you.

April 6, 2011

Greg Belisle: Good morning. I'm the sun community manager at impact northwest at marshall high school. Marshall's sun is funded by the Portland children's levy. As you know, marshall high school is closing this june. As a result of the closure announcement, marshall has seen a significant increase in chronic severe attendance defined as students attending less than 80% of the time. Students report feeling as if the adults have abandoned them and don't care about them. Staff and students are feeling a great deal of anxiety. For some of the at hick students, attendance has become a barrier to graduation. The district implemented a number of strategies to intervene and reengage students. Their efforts have seen some success. By and large, the district's efforts have targeted the student body as a whole. Unfortunately there are students for whom attendance is still a problem. Our strategy was designed to target individuals while prioritizing seniors at risk of not graduating. Sometimes it's as simple as encouragement and other times problems and action planning to address family barriers. Marshall's sun will be working to enroll them in summer school. Pps has provided a generous amount of scholarships for students to attend summer school and make up lost credits. This'll allow many of the students who have fallen behind to graduate at the end of the summer school. For current sophomores and juniors, it will allow them to return in the fall on track to graduate in time. Marshall sun has provided college prep, financial aid, and college campus visiting. Marshall sun provided job readiness training through urban opportunities, including resumé writing, interviewing skills, as well as other job placements. We'd like to thank the city council for your support of students and for your understanding the power of collaboration in order to maximize funds. Your decision is directly supporting youth to graduate on time. Personally i'd like to thank the council for prioritizing youth and education as it is a critical issue for our city. I hope the council will continue to engage the school districts and youth service providers. Thank you.

Barbara Kienle: I'm barbara kienle. I'm from david douglas school, and i'm here to thank you for all the support that we've been given for sun schools and to show the impact that it's had in our community. The little bit you know about david douglas, i'm sure, is that we have had a lot of diversity, over 70% of our population thank in the last 10 years and become much more diverse. We have a lunch rate of 78%, and we serve more than 70 different languages.

Adams: That's a lot.

Kienle: That's a lot of languages. So we have in east county a lack of social supports in east Portland that has really impacted our poverty rate. We've had the lower educational attainment rate, but at david douglas we feel we are not unique. We really reflect the population in east Portland. Since our needs are so great in east Portland and we have limited access to services, sun schools have really stepped in to fill the need and provided access to health services, social services, and enrichment and recreational opportunities. The sun service system creates an opportunity for us. I have seen sun transform our schools and our neighborhoods by bringing services to our youth and to our families. I know every school district could sit up here and talk about the impact that sun has made in their neighborhoods. Last summer we were able to offer academic and regular programming at each of our sun schools. In conjunction with that, we were able to offer breakfast and lunch at no cost. We served over 15,000 meals last summer to our children. We fed children from the first day of summer to the very last day of summer in some of our programs. Feeding children is a vital service to our community. At ron russell middle school, families were able to stabilize housing, stay in school, and thus reduce the mobility rate. We've created a community garden in conjunction with Portland parks. As part of sun, children are growing vegetables, learning about gardening and nutrition, and sampling fresh produce in the cafeteria at lunch. One student was overheard recently saying, I hate tomatoes except for the ones we grow in our garden. One of the school improvement goals is increasing parental involvement. The sun's showcase after each round of classes brings in a large number of parents that reflect the diversity of our community. The principal recently told me that there's no other event that the school does that

April 6, 2011

brings in that diversity of parents. All sun schools offer activities and before and after school activities that assist parents. By keeping their children in supervised learning, meaningful recreational activities. Film making, robotics, spanish, creative writing, and the mar rim ba band. At earl boyle's elementary school, we offered a food pantry that supplies families with much-needed food on a weekly basis that they can access in their own neighborhood. At mill park elementary, we're able to offer english classes to the parents of the diverse student population. Parents gain skills that enable them to participate in their child's education and in the community. Students at gilbert heights, menlo park, and mill park had an outdoor experience over spring break. For many of the children, it was the first time they'd been in the woods or waded in a river. At alice hop middle school, we offered classes to our spanish-speaking parents. Our sun schools have annual health resource fairs that bring the necessary health services to families. We've done health -- signed up for health insurance, offered free bike helmets, immunizations, and access to low-cost dental and vision services. In closing, every school, every district, and every neighborhood could give you similar examples across our city and across our county.

Fish: Sun has provided the opportunity to have a positive impact on students and families by bringing a vast array of services to them using the sun model and our schools as the vehicle. This is possible through the strong collaboration and partnership with many of the service providers, the county, and the city and the district. I want to thank you for your continued investment in our neighborhoods. For folk whose don't know, because you mentioned the earl boyle's community garden, sun school programs are eligible for a complete fee waiver if they want to have a community garden plot and use that with the kids after school. At all the places that you've mentioned, if there is a community garden, we'd be pleased to waive the fee and arrange for a plot. Without getting too far ahead of ourselves on the budget process, it is our hope that the council will continue to support up to 32 playground programs this summer at which half of those sites will be offering a nutritious meal to children, many of whom that will be the most nutritious meal they get.

Adams: Thank you for your testimony.

Don Grotting: We want to echo all of the thanks for your support of sun programs but trying to personalize it a little bit. I think the demonstration was very timely. For david douglas, before spring break, we reduced 81 teaching positions out of a \$100 million budget cutting \$12 million. I think those type of reductions are very representative of what our Portland public school system will be going through as well as the other districts in the county. So having said that, the intervention that the sun school provides is essential to reducing our at-risk children of poverty and of color, and I think in the next couple years and this next biennium, those kids are even going to be more at risk, specifically u just for you to know, you have the largest high school in the state of Oregon within your city limits. It's also the most diverse, and actually we speak 76 different languages, and there's one other thing. It is your poorest high school. So david douglas school district is your poorest school district. I think in considering expanding the sun programs, whether it's the david douglas school district, Portland public, I would really ask you -- I know you have limited funds -- to really look at your neediest children, your most at-risk children when making the difficult decisions you'll have to make with your limited resources.

Saltzman: Could you repeat what you said about how many teachers you had to lay off?

Grotting: We're reducing 81 positions. Those notices have already went out. We're also going to be reducing \$1.7 million in instructional hours. And the bottom line is it's about 12% of our budgets, and I think that, if you look around the state, a lot of school districts are having to do that. These programs are absolutely essential, because we have kids dropping through the cracks now. What's going to happen when We're required to -- expectations of doing more with a lot less?

Saltzman: It's very sobering to hear that, but I also want to just thank you. This is the first time you've formally been at city council in your role as superintendent.

April 6, 2011

Grotting: Thank you.

Adams: And you're doing a fantastic job.

Grotting: Thanks. Glad to hear that from you.

Tony Hobson: Tony hobson. It's good to be here, good to have the opportunity to share a few things with you. Thank you for your hard work and dedication towards young people. I'm not going to spend a whole lot of time on this question of resources. I think mickey mentioned earlier you were talking about the light rail, about something that would be a game changer. Well, I can't think of anything else being a bigger game changer than the education of our young people, and I know you know that. I know you all believe that, so I don't think we need to quibble over resources. We all recognize that if in fact we don't put resources towards our young people, then all the conversations we're having about everything else doesn't truly matter. Ever 26 seconds, another kid in america drops out of school. There's been research that suggests that for some 2000 schools in america that represent 50% of the kids that are dropping out, and there's a number of schools right behind them that are probably in that. We look at one-third of our kids graduating from high school preparing to go to college, another third graduating from college unprepared to go to clench who need remedial help. Another third doesn't make it at all. They just straight drop out. A couple weeks ago I was at a conference, grad nation, run by colin powell's wife as the co-chair. All of the who is who was there. Vice president, arnie duncan. This whole conversation is about getting 90% of the kids in america graduation by the year 2020. They had an individual their from john hopkins university who's done all the research, so he's in front of everybody talking about what it's going to take to get there. He used two states as examples of how to get there and how not to get there.

Oregon was the example of the state that he felt would not be able to get there based on the incremental movement that we see in our state that, by 2020, we would be one of the states that would not be able to get to that graduation rate. So obviously you can imagine how I felt. There's only a few of us from Oregon sitting in the audience going, wow: That's my state that he's talking about. In addition to that, the disproportionate numbers of kids that we are dealing with, kids of color that are on the bottom end of this, is obvious. In Portland public schools, only 40% of the kids are kids of Color. The national per specificity by the year 2023, these problems are going to be massive if we don't immediately begin to do something about them. To me, the answer is in a public/private partnership, allows schools, government, business and community based programs to come together to find out what the solution is. I'm talking about community-based programs that are successful. And all of them are, but that's what I think we need to put together as part of the solution. People need to stay in their lane if we're going to really come up with the answers.

Schools are there to educate kids. Government, in my opinion, should be the conveners to help provide some resources and improve the collaborations of the groups coming together around this particular issue. Business should provide opportunity, not only resources in money but also resources in technical. The c.b. Os need to provide support services, wrap-around service, be the bridge between home, the community, and school. If anybody can stay in their lane and you bring those pieces together, then we can wrap around kids everything that they need. We already know all we need to know to educate each kid. The wrap around services are extremely important in this.

The mayor's efforts around the scholarship piece and around connect 25, all of these things are excellent. They're headed in the right direction. The sun school effort is headed in the right direction. What commissioner Saltzman has put together around the children's levy is wonderful. All of these pieces are heading us in the right direction. We need to bring all this stuff together. Cradle to careers is the right concept, but we've got to be vigilant around making sure that we have the right people at the table and that we do in fact stay in our lanes and not toss over into other folks' areas. In my opinion, four words could help us quite a bit in this as relates to kids.

Discipline, direction, support, and unconditional love. If you give me the opportunity to provide discipline for every kid around what they need to do on a daily basis, give them direction,

April 6, 2011

educational direction, life skills direction, provide the safety net of support every single day for every single kid, and give them unconditional love, those four things for every kid, we would have what we're looking for. This is not rocket science. There are enough of us that are good at it to know how to do this. If we have the resources, I think we'll be well on our way. The last thing I'll say is that we need to recognize the urgency of now around this concept. When I say the urgency of now, urgent isn't the key word. The key word is now. We need to do this now. Imagine waiting and having your population growth change to where the minority now becomes the majority and we still have the same kinds of outcomes that we're dealing with today. If I could, I would just knock this over and tell you that's the kind of destruction that's in front of us if we don't take care of our business now. I appreciate the fact that you all are headed in the right direction, but everything that we're doing is not enough. With the drop-out rate not moving quick enough -- as they said at the national conference, Oregon was worse than anybody else in the country in terms of moving the dial. So we need to move the dial.

Adams: Oregon has to face the reality that we are amongst the worst, but the reason that you get asked to go present in so many places that you do around the nation is that sci and your efforts are considered best practice. In spite of all the challenges that we face locally and in the state and the region, you have managed to put together programs that are exemplary in terms of accomplishments. I know I speak for everyone on council when I say thank you.

Gloria Wiggins: Gloria wiggins. I'm here to talk about the experience with the summer youth program. 40% of the youth and 20% of the students in all the schools, he is cascades is important especially for minority youth. It's a way to get out of bad jobs or low become, homelessness. We know 43% of latinos don't have a high school diploma, and only 9.5 have a college degree. So we see this summer youth program as an opportunity to expose the youth to the different opportunities that otherwise they don't have. Examples of what we have seen, for the last two years, program hispano has hosted at david douglas high school over 120 students, and we have seen how they increasingly get engaged in school. How they want to increasingly engage in activities, exposed to other things so they can live life. We are talking about students that don't have anyone in their families that finished high school. Of course college degrees is out their imagination. Just the idea that they can go to a college and work around campus to interview professors, other students, gives them this idea that they have choices in their life, and of course they come back to see school is totally different. Students last summer, they did some work around the environment with the water bureau. They were just amazed of how science could be applied in such a meaningful way. They just wanted to talk about how they wanted to go for college to get titled, their bachelors in environmental science and also careers. There is a video actually that they have that show all the work that they did. Finally, the youth works also provide as great opportunity for students to have access for Jobs. We really acknowledge that we think they are very important, nicheities that otherwise students would be out on the streets during the summer. The gang activity would increase, and they won't go back to school, especially high school. We will lose a lot of kids, and it's a great option that we have right now before I finish, you think of david douglas as one of the schools that needs a lot of support. Minority students really need a lot of support. So thank you.

Adams: Thank you very much. Superintendent smith? We can pull up another chair somewhere. Come on up. Thank you for your patience and for waiting. Who would like to begin?

Scott Bailey: I guess I get to go first. Hi. I'm scott bailey with community and parents for public schools. I'd love to put on my economist hat right now and talk about for the last 40 years all the increase in income in this country has gone to the top item% of households, and we could ponder the effects of that on our education system, but I dedress. Last october ccps held our 10th annual parent leadership conference. Thanks to your support, for the first time, we were able to expand out to beyond Portland public to include school districts in east Portland. We had the conference at parkrose high school. We knew we risked losing some parents from Portland public that think

April 6, 2011

parkrose -- and we knew we had a very short window to do outreach to districts that we didn't have a very deep working relationship with. With support from community partners, we were able to get 250 parents to the conference. 44% of the parents there reported that english was not their language that they spoke at home so very diverse group of parents there. We had great workshops. Eduardo mentioned the workshop at the youth commission. At the lunch session, we were able to push parents to think deeper about their schools and their role in schools and how well their schools did in welcoming all parents, communicating with all parents, and involving parents in decision making. Sharon gray, the superintendent of parkrose, was delighted to host us and has invited us back, so we're scheduled there to go back next october. Portland public has started a parent academy they're pilots this year. Workshops will be taken out, de centralized and spread out throughout the year. Both parkrose and david douglas have invited us in to help develop programs in their districts, so we've started work week them and developing those relationships. We put together a proposal. We've been working with the parent information and resource center at education northwest to work in five schools to really do the full deal. Research has shown clearly that moves at chiefment needle in the right direction. So we've got a proposal pilot project working five schools. We just need to raise the money, and we're working on that right now. So, again, thank you for your support.

Adams: Just to underscore, everyone hopefully understands the importance of parental involvement and your willingness to expand the geographic scope not just sort of technically but with great passion and a lot of additional work is very much appreciated. Thank you. Hi.

Kristen Watkins: Good morning, mayor Adams, members of the city council. My name is kristin watkins. I'm associate vice president at Portland community college. I also serve as the executive officer of the Portland community college foundation. I'd like to recognize dr. Betty duvall, the chair of our foundation board, who's here today. Thank you for the investment the city is making in education. It reflects the forward nature of this council. I want to be clear that investing in getting students their high school diploma is only part of it. It's like putting the gas in the car and not giving somebody the keys. In today's economy, a high school diploma will only take you so far. I don't need to tell you that more than half of the projected job openings in Oregon are going to require more than a high school degree, and 90% of the high skill, high demand jobs are going to require post-secondary education. If we really want to change the game for students in our community and give them a chance at these jobs, we've got to get them in college and out with a degree or certificate. The impact for those students is significant so what we know is that someone who has an associates degree earns about \$8000 more a year than someone with just a high school diploma, and someone with a bachelor's degree earns \$17,000 more. If Portland increased its college attainment rate just 1%, the region would produce an additional 1.6 billion with a b in per capita income. With future connect scholarship program, we've designed a program that will help make college and all the benefits it provides to individuals in the community a reality for a group of student whose otherwise might not have that chance at college. So what will the students get in future connect? All the students will receive at least a \$500 scholarship each year for two years to help cover their tuition, fees, and books, and some students will get more based on their financial needs. In addition, the students will also receive a designated advisor who will work with them one-on-one, provide them with coaching, help them with academic advice in career planning and referral to other academic and community services. Financial need is the number 1 reason why students drop out of College. Our students struggle to balance going to class with working to pay the bills. Many are parents and have child care arrangements that cause them to miss class. First-generation college students often don't have the role models to help them understand the college culture. They might not know there are resources you can go to to help resolve problems. These are the background of many of the 200 students who have already applied to be future connect scholars. They are parents. They are eager to succeed. Of the first-generation college students

April 6, 2011

who enroll in the fall, about 45% of them return the following fall, but students in policemen like these return at a rate of 80%. The services we've designed for future connect, programs like those can double the graduation rates of college students and triple their transfer rates to university and other college. The future connect program will be run by the same Portland community college department that created a nationally recognized gateway to college program which brings back students who have dropped out of high school. They're able to come back to pcc and earn their high school diploma simultaneously with their associates degree. One of the reasons why the program has been so successful is because of these intensive student services. I introduce now Leah Gibson.

Lea Gibson: I'm here today both as a Representative, as a native-american youth and early college academy and also as a former student of the gateway to college program, a program very similar to the future connect scholarship program. Gateway to college provides not only financial assistance to students but mentors and staff to the students who need the extra support. Students are assigned resource specialists who make sure students succeed in gaining their high school diplomas while also gaining college credits. When I first came to gateway, I had dropped out of the three different high schools here in Portland. I had been through a rehabilitation program to address issues with drugs and alcohol and had been hospitalized for attempting suicide. I had run away and lived on the streets off and on for about a year. When I came to gateway, I had some ups and downs, but ultimately I graduated. With the help of my resource specialist, I stayed at pcc to complete two associates degrees. I then went on to receive a b.a. in English at PSU, and that June I will finally be graduating with my master's degree in book publishing. 10 years ago, I didn't even know if I'd be alive. If not for gateway, I would not have had the foundation to come as far as I have. There are students just like me who need not only financial assistance but the support and resources in order to truly be successful. Recently we have been finding that, although we are very successful at getting our students through high school, their support drops as soon as they leave. Many students have applied for this program and other programs like them and it helps them not only to achieve that high school degree but also to move on to college. Please remember me and the students like me who are here today when you think about the funding for this program. Students need not only a handout but a hand up. Thank you.

Fritz: Before you leave, I have a question for the foundation, and that is my understanding of the program is that the city is planning to put up 360,000 and that the foundation is intending to raise that much.

Watkins: That's absolutely right.

Fritz: I went to the pcc website last night and looked at the tuition prices. Having paid private college tuitions, I was very surprised to see that tuition is \$756 per credit at pcc. Even with books and fees and such, it looks like a year of college at pcc will cost about \$1500. That is about right? \$4500?

Watkins: Actually more than that.

Fritz: The amount we're putting up and that you're hoping to match is not going to cover the whole of the 3000 students that we're putting through summer connect, and so we need the whole community to step up. How can folks donate to the foundation having heard this wonderful testimony about the real practical difference it makes for a price which is a bargain considering the support and other things that you do and that students can live at home and have families and jobs and such? How can the citizens of Portland get involved with the foundation and donate to it.

Watkins: I'd be delighted to share. I'd be remiss if I didn't thank the members of the city council who are already donors to the pcc foundation. We have a website, www.pcc.edu/foundation. You can go there and make an online contribution. We are raising funds directly for future connect. You can indicate the program or scholarship you would like to support, and you can write future connect there as well. We are reaching out to private donors, corporations, other foundations in our

April 6, 2011

community. I'd like to recognize legacy health systems, who is one of their first contributors in addition to the city's efforts to future connect.

Fish: The mayor indicated this is our chance to ask you a question, because we'll be taking up 327 in the afternoon, which is the money. You mentioned that you get significantly better outcome when you invest in the wrap around services in addition to the scholarships. If the council chose at some point to put more money into scholarships and less into the wraparounds, two questions. Does PCC have service counselors who can fill the void? What would be the consequence if we tilted more in terms of the dollars for direct financial aid and less on the wraparounds?

Watkins: I think a couple of things. One, to answer your question about what pcc's resources are, I think it's important to put that in the context of an environment in which we've grown 30% over the past 2 years and funding from the State has decreased by 20-25%. Just to give you a sense of the staffing levels that we have, I actually had somebody look this up before I came. I think we had about 30,000 credit students at pcc in fall of 2009. We had 16 advisors to work with those students who were available to work with just any student who came in the door. So that's almost 2000 students per advisor. There's simply not the resource through the funding levels that we have with the state to provide the level of assistance and service that students with the range of these issues come to us with. I think for a student who comes in with a parent who's paying for their education who doesn't have to work, who doesn't have kids, who hasn't had challenges, who comes prepared for college work, they may not need very much advice and resources. Those aren't the students quite frankly who come to pcc on the whole, and they're not the students who would be served in this type of program. The reason to partner the support services with the Scholarship is to ensure that those students get those supports, that we're not just opening the door with a scholarship but that we're providing them all that they need in order to move through the college system. So my expectation, if we just simply had a purely scholarship program without the wraparound services, we wouldn't see the types of outcomes in terms of retention rates and completion rates that really produce the return on investment.

Adams: I really appreciate the question. The retention rates at all post k-12 institutions are awful, so we've spent two years focused on -- we've focused on the whole continuum to the degree we could, but we've really worked hard to sort of raise the profile of the challenge around high school graduation rates. Your presidents are very upfront about the challenges of getting college enrollees to end up graduating on time. It is a challenge. So thank you very much.

Adams:... Yes, did I misspeak? I just gave you—you are now the president of Portland State University [laughter]. Superintendent Smith, glad you're here. Thanks for your patience.

Carole Smith, Superintendent Portland Public Schools: I'm going to be short and sweet and just echo what you've heard from everyone here today. Part of what you've been able to see is the collective impact of partnerships. I feel what you have done, both individually and collectively, always show up for kids and always show up for schools and in a variety of ways. You know and you're deeply aware of the constrained resource environment school are operating in and what devastating effects it will have and the importance of partnership is probably greater today than it's ever been and you've made choices in investing in individual programs and partnerships to help kids be ready for school and make sure we're utilizing out of school time and you've helped us as for kids prepare for post-secondary education and training and the thing I want to underscore at this moment, I think the cradle to career initiative, which you've been a leader in helping us launch and served the students of the city of Portland, the mayor, the county chair, our higher education leadership, non-profit organization community leadership and business leadership, which is unprecedented in terms of that group coming together to align our outcomes and resources and strategies at points and target intervention kids and collective impact as a body and you've seen that how we're coming together to impact students' success and streamlining the infrastructure it takes to do the job and we've gone through the painful process of disbanding bodies, convening bodies in

April 6, 2011

order to create a single graded mechanism and utilizing an existing nonprofit, not creating a new one and asked them to increase their capacity to be our leadership to make it happen and I feel hopeful about the transformational -- our ability to transform our effectiveness to impact kids by doing this move and I think your leadership is instrumental in making this happen and I want to thank you and it's consist the with what the governor said, I feel great about. And we're going to be a pilot example and we're well up to our knees in the muck in doing this collaboration that will be useful as the state is trying to do a seamless zero-20 system. My main message, thank you for your leadership, your sustained investment in kids and partnership and in demonstrating how to collaborate. And I think we've hit new levels of collaboration in this community and I feel hopeful for the outcome we're going to get for the kids. Thank you so much.

Fish: Carole, can I you thank you as a parent of a high school senior, who comes to the Portland public schools. Thanks for what you do. Tony says we don't have a problem with the kids in our community, there's a problem with the adults. My experience has been she's been well served by the adults who is educate and lead our school district, in which I live, and she's college-ready, her father's not ready for her to go to college. But she's college ready, and I appreciate all the love and care she's received and now getting ready to do it all over again with my son, who is seven. Have a chance to relive this. Thank you.

Smith: We're always glad to hear that. Thanks for all you do.

Adams: Thank you very much.

Ladd: [inaudible] thanks to the mayor and city council.

Adams: Thank you. Yeah [applause] accept a motion -- we're going to do the one --

Leonard: So moved.

Adams: And seconded to accept a motion to accept the report contained in item 309. Thanks to our panelists and folks who testified, in particular --

Fish: In particular the young people who took time away from school and other activities to be here today. And thank you to the mayor and his education team for their strong leadership. And especially getting back to the young people, thank you for inspiring you us. It's not easy to come before council and offer testimony and you set a very high standard today. I want to just dedicate my vote to my mother-in-law who will inspire a number you have you here. Carmen gomez came to this country with a sixth grade education and the hope for an opportunity which so many have spoken about. All four of her children had a chance to go to college or attend college or graduate from college and her eldest child got a ph.d. From princeton and teaches american history at Portland state university and we'd like to say only in american is that the challenge to make sure every child of a immigrant, named carmen gomez that has that chance to make a contribution to the community and that's what we're trying to do. And my friend tony, your admonition about staying in our lanes is very important and I thank you for kind of giving us your vision of what the strengths are. The partners coming together. The mayor has made education a centerpiece what he wants to accomplish during his service at mayor and his leadership is vital. Thank you, sam. And again, to the young people here, thank you for doing what you always do, which is inspire those of us up here, who are simply keeping the seats warm for you. Aye, well, I too, want it thank the young people and the people who testified. Thank the mayor and his education team for their leadership here. This is a great state of the education report. And it does show that despite some daunting and depressing statistics, we're pulling ourselves up by our bootstraps and not going to accept the moniker that Portland won't have its people ready by 2020 to graduate and we try do better and sometimes we do by starting at the local level and showing the way for the rest of the state, perhaps. And so I think our Portland school districts are up for that challenge, the city council is up for that challenge, and the children's levy, which today has invested \$92 million in after-school, mentoring programs, among others things, is up for that challenge too. Thanks to all for the work you've done and the work ahead of us. Aye.

April 6, 2011

Leonard: I greatly appreciate sam taking on the role as education mayor and I think he's required himself repeatedly in that role and the testimonies with inspiring and appreciated by me. Aye.

Fritz: I wasn't born in Portland, I didn't get here until I was 28 but I grew up in Portland through the Portland public schools, and I learned to be an american citizen and citizen of Portland by my children attending markham and then jackson and wilson high school and I know certainly, the importance of public education and of our schools. Thank kali ladd, and todd on the mayor's staff and worked hard and the fact we're funding three staff people exclusively on education shows the commitment of the council on education and it takes a partnership. The citizens and voters of Portland passed measure five and constrained our ability to fund schools and told our schools would not go down the tubes. In fact, all of the schools in Oregon have been defunded to the level some were funded at before measure five. That's a fundamental problem. We do not have enough money to provide the education our children deserve and that needs to be stated and it needs to be changed and we still have great public schools all over the city of Portland and state of Oregon and i'm proud mother of three graduates of public school system who have done really well. Portland public schools has prepared them for life. We need to recognize we're a city of have's and have-not's and that the stories my son tells me, he's teaching at marshall high school and tutoring in the step-up program and we heard about the devastation about schools having to close for budget reasons and it affects individual children and it's one child at a time that we're trying to make these changes. I appreciated the presentation by the parkrose about restorative justice. It makes no sense to expel student who's get into troubling, especially in middle school. The whole of middle school is troubled and figure out how to help them instead of sending them to someone else in hopes they'll help them. They're not, they're dropping out. One of the ways we can support education, if you're a parent, send your child to the local public school. That should be part of the ethics of being a Portlander and part of the equity that we have children for them to get the state funding that is per student, that's part of what you can do. There's other things you can do to take action. If you know of a student graduating from high school this year, the bureau of emergency communications will be accepting high school graduates, those with diplomas to train 9-1-1 operators and to get the training, you don't have to have anything other than a high school education and you start at \$25 an hour once you've completed the training and we need people in all over the city to be part of that. Other program, summer programs within the city of Portland and it's absolutely the job of the city of Portland to reach out and be part of educating our youth. It's absolutely the job of the water bureaus and water and environment services to open the eyes of disadvantaged kid that's, yes, they could get a good job in the water quality lab or the science fields and that's part of us as a village educating our children and I see the firefighters waiting for the bond measure. Do you a wonderful program, opening the eyes of young men and women, they could have a great career in fire service or emergency medical service or knowing there are adults in the community who care about them is part of what we need to do for our children, all of us. And finally, the Portland state foundation -- the Portland community college foundation, this money we're allocating is a drop in the bucket of what we need to educate our children who have graduated from high school. \$4.5 million. The total amount if we were to give a scholarship to every child. But that's \$1,500 per semester. Go to that website. The foundation dinner and if you need more evidence or stories to say why you should contribute if you're able to. April 28th is the dinner at the Oregon convention center, you can good to and sign up and hear the stories about why the foundation is doing such a great job and how it's doing such a great job and how you can help. This is all of us. Not just the council, not just educators. It's all of you in the community because we should care about our children for the whole community's sake. Whether it's economic development or keeping kids out of prison and trouble. There's multiple reasons why this matters and salute mayor Adams for his commitment and despite the ridiculous things printed and said in the media, sticks to it and continues to find ways to make it work. I appreciate that. Aye.

April 6, 2011

Adams: As you can see, this is education improvement city council and i'm proud to serve on it for that and other reasons, so thank you to my colleagues. I also want to thank -- there are folks in the room that deserve recognition. I want to thank my education team. Great kali ladd. And -- I have to say great in front of everybody. And tiffany and pam and casey. Appreciate it. I want to -- the foundation of mount hood community college and Portland community college, thank you for your willingness to take this on and the difficulty of the task made even more difficult in this recession does not dissuade you and I appreciate that stick-to-it-iveness. It's important. We could wait until forever to try and -- with the illusion we'll have a perfect proposal o, or, we can get started and make progress and I like the fact we're all deciding to get started and make real progress and continue to perfect it until it really is where we want it to be. Thank you for that. I also want to acknowledge and thank Portland state university about sneak out. But Portland state was key in putting together the cradle to career along with leaders round tables and foundation. Thank you for that. Pleased to vote aye. And the Multnomah county youth commission. Aye. We'll take up the other things this afternoon related to this. You're look to leave and we'll now deal with item number --

Leonard: 334.

Item 334.

Adams: -- 334. You did a great job on jenga. I didn't know you were going to do that. That was impressive.

Fritz: I've never seen that game before.

Adams: Commissioner Leonard.

Leonard: I think you have to read the ordinance.

Adams: Oh, can you please read the title to the ordinance.

Adams: Commissioner randy Leonard.

Leonard: Thank you, chief klum will come forward with his assembled team. As they move forward, I want it make sure I explain this issue for those watching. This ordinance authorizes the city to issue general fire -- general obligation bonds for a number of items approved by the voters last november. And I want to read off what those items are, the -- they amount to \$39,330,000. And includes \$2,968,000 for the design and partial funding of the construction of station 21 which is at the east end of the hawthorne bridge and \$24,463,751 to purchase radio equipment as part of the upgrade of fire and police radios. And includes \$4 million to complete the emergency communications center for the Portland office of emergency management relocation behind the 9-1-1 center in southeast Portland and then includes the costs of issuing the bonds for -- and finally, it includes \$7,121,000 to purchase new fire engines and new fire trucks and other fire apparatus including the four rapid response vehicles. The total amount of the purchase of the four vehicles that have been the object of the discussion is well less than 1% of the bond issuance. I have asked, based on the questions and concerned raised last week, to have chief klum bring the parties to address some of those issues which includes a more -- happy you came. Assistant chief dustin morrow, who conceived of -- I don't know whether to thank you or curse you.

*******:** Thank you.

Leonard: And implemented the program out in tualatin valley that uses the toyota rapid response vehicles. With one person staffing to go to non-life emergency calls. And we have jim forkay who are the president of the firefighters association and, of course, chief klum. Thank you for coming and I appreciate it. And if you wouldn't mind just maybe describing the issue and how the system works.

Asst. Chief Dustin Morrow: Sure, thank you very much for the invite today. At tualatin valley fire and rescue this has been an evolution for us for the better part of 20 years, focused on the last 10 years. Unlike the City, we're running across really 210 square miles, nine cities and three counties and it's diverse from rural to populated and quite a challenge. Unlike Portland in any way,

April 6, 2011

but for us, it came down to a process whereby we were focused around solidifying what we call our fire corps. Traditional complement of engine and truck companies and so forth and working toward a way we could handle the volume in the system of really what were the non-emergent calls, not that it wasn't an emergency. They are to our customers but we needed a different tool to handle that particular scenario that presented more and more frequently in our business. So the cars, as they're called are actually seven units, four of which are single-person f.j. Cruisers and then three are traditional medic units that most fire departments run. On a 40-hour schedule and the f.j.s staffed with one and the medic units with two. And they are dispatched to a very set criteria of calls throughout the fire district. Most of which don't have a significant time factor in our eyes, assigned to them, or what many people consider a non-emergent call and they supplement our fire corps and the program is intended largely around two -- one being to maintain a level readiness with our fire corps so that our fire engines and trucks and heavy rescue can be available to deal with the critical calls and the second is to bridge a gap in development within the fire district. So traditionally, we've been in a place where we have only an option to place a fire station staff, a four-person company and then -- boom -- there's the fire station and the model we're using the vehicles to build the base on the way to provide the service to the community. And so far, it's worked out well for us and we have plans to expand the program into the future and it's working well.

Leonard: Thank you. Questions?

Fritz: Does Washington county have an ambulance service as well?

Morrow: They do. The largest portion of Washington county is served by metro west ambulance.

Fritz: How do you determine whether you or the ambulance responds?

Morrow: There's a cite at our dispatch -- there's criteria where the call comes into the center and the fortunate things for us, through this exchange, this latest evolution in our service provision, we sat down at the table with metro west and said, hey, listen, the only way this works is if we come around the table collectively how this is routed from the beginning and through the process, we actually sat at the table with metro and developed new triage so it doesn't matter if it was an ambulance, "f.j. Cruiser, he engine, it got routed through the same -- routed through the same pipeline and there's basic situations based on these cars, that you only need a car, a fire engine, a car and an ambulance, and car and fire engine and an ambulance and all of that developed with collaboration with metro west.

Fritz: Any fee for service for you or the ambulance service?

Morrow: No fee for service for tualatin valley and rescue. Yes, there's a transport fee for west. They're unique -- I believe I'm stating this correctly, they do not charge for try runs. They could go on a call with us and we could satisfy the customer requirement in a different way, put them in a cab and send them to the hospital and send metro west home. I believe there's no fee.

Fritz: How is metro west funded.

Morrow: Through service fees.

Leonard: It's a private company.

Morrow: Private company. Franchise agreement.

Adams: They have a franchise for the cost and revenue.

Fritz: But then the customer isn't charged by them?

Morrow: Yes, they are.

Fritz: Sorry, I misunderstood you. The customer is not charged when you respond?

Morrow: That's correct.

Fritz: And the fire district is a special district like the park district, right?

Morrow: Correct.

Fritz: Thank you. First, thank you for coming and your patience.

Morrow: You bet.

April 6, 2011

Fish: I assume that when you implemented this new change, you had to bargain with the labor partners over staffing?

Morrow: We did. This was again, a long process. But we have an fairly robust labor process and we're debating and --

Fish: We don't have that in Portland.

Morrow: No, they do. Yes is the answer.

Leonard: The actual truth, we're trying to keep it, not lose it.

Fish: You might have answered this. It's been a long day, the staffing on these vehicles is?

Morrow: F.j. Cruiser, one person and on the medic, two people.

Fish: The reason for the difference?

Morrow: When we took about nine months and stratified about 100,000 calls whereby we looked at what came in the 9-1-1 center and what came out on the other side, through the information we had established in the records management system or if we had patient outcome based information and that's how we assembled a new set of calls these units would be assigned to and based on that information, experience reaching out to other agencies and organizations across the u.s. Doing this program, to get information is how we established one, two, three, or four people across the unit.

Fish: I take it if we get to the point where chief klum needs to sit down about with the union president and negotiate the staffing issue, we could -- you would make available some of the data and experience you have with your staffing to help inform our discussion?

Morrow: Absolutely, yes. Thank you.

Saltzman: First, I want to thank you, assistant chief morrow, for your innovation and being here today, as well.

Morrow: Thank you.

Saltzman: So I want to thank chief klum, he's given us a thorough response to the questions raised last week and prepared a detail budget how we would begin to staff our four-person -- at least four quick response vehicles or cars, as you call them. In order to preserve wear and tear on our heavier stock and be more nimble in responding to emergent calls as you referred to them. You closed by saying that the quick response vehicles are used to build a base to the stations.

Morrow: I'm probably communicating poorly.

Saltzman: You did a great job. I just wanted to make sure --

Morrow: I'll use an area, north bethany, proposed to be developed -- or was proposed to be developed at a rapid pace. But in actuality, it's trickling in. There's a threshold whereby you have to make provision how long you can side effect that area with existing resources before you go place that infrastructure, the fire engines and staffing. The cars or this program has allowed us to bridge that gap, whereby as we see development starting to occur, we can place a car in a station in an existing station with a fire truck or engine that allows them to start servicing some of that area out of that existing fire station to where we can start to close the gap before we have to build infrastructure and place fire engines and staff and that's really what is allowing us to do it.

Saltzman: This program, I think that was -- and you said you were in the process of expanding. You have seven now and --

Morrow: I think everybody has heard our conversations around motorcycles, that's still on the table and working heavily -- have worked heavily with the Washington county sheriff's office and Beaverton police department to see how we could their motor officer model and implement it for fire service, that's on the horizon. We don't have a fixed date but it's still out there.

Leonard: Did you take any existing staffing from existing fire trucks on engines or als to staff these one-person vehicles?

Morrow: For us, it's an evolution, right? The simple fact, to put the program in place, it cost us four and a half full-time equivalents. But --

Leonard: But you added on?

April 6, 2011

Morrow: Yeah, we had to add in order to do this. But we didn't close any fire stations but we did across this time period down-staff our engine companies and then that morphed some of those people into these larger rescues we're running and ultimately, those got down-staffed as well and ended up staffed under these vehicles i'm describing today. The short answer, we added resource to pull this off.

Adams: Overall -- excuse me. Over all, did you add resources or changed where the resources were or both?

Morrow: Both. We have constant staffing on the engines and trucks and a relief pool and these dynamic units that shuffled those decks and add fte's and hit the go button.

Leonard: And the fire bureau is talking about the same kind of movement in the future contingent upon working through what the -- with the Portland fire department organization and others.

Fritz: Thank you for waiting here.

Morrow: You bet.

Fritz: It's helpful as we puzzle through this. You've got seven cars and some have two people in them. The 4.5 --

Saltzman: Seven have one.

Morrow: There's seven units, four have one person staffing, three have two-person staffing.

Leonard: The difference is that the three are als --

*******:** No, seven total.

Fritz: People.

Morrow: There's 10 total people, assigned to the program. Four of which on the cars and six on the medic unit.

Fritz: And your budget expanded by 4.5 ftes that means you did a combination of adding and reorganizing others.

Morrow: yes.

Fritz: Thank you very much.

Leonard: The two per unit are on advanced life support vehicles which require paramedics and the other one-person vehicle, requires basic als.

Morrow: They're also paramedics.

Leonard: Do they provide als level service, the one-person?

Morrow: They can, they're not programmed to. The car versus a limited als, or probably what in some cases may be an more appropriate ems complement. But they can provide als services.

Leonard: They can run drug and ekgs.

Fritz: Are they firefighters as well? Do they provide fire service?

Morrow: Absolutely. Our core business is fire. So they're all firefighters and provide ems service out of those vehicles.

Fritz: The ones assigned to those vehicles pretty much only do the ems?

Morrow: No. They're what I would describe as a free spirit. If they're around the block from a structure fire, they're encouraged to go to that structure fire, gauge the incident, establish a size up-- take command if necessary, route the traffic coming to that call. They are fully part of our fire system as well. It's not their focus, but they absolutely could participate in it.

Fritz: They keep their protective gear in the car?

Morrow: Absolutely.

Fritz: Thank you very much. Helpful.

Adams: Commissioner Saltzman.

Saltzman: I want to thank you again for being here --

Leonard: I wanted to make sure you have an update from chief klum and jim who is here representing the firefighters.

Saltzman: Ok.

April 6, 2011

Leonard: One of the issues was what's the status of the discussion vis-a-vis the cars in Portland.

Chief Klum: As you've seen with the information we delivered recently to you, we put together the staffing plan we felt to be an efficient model and we looked at comparable data with tualatin valley for the first blush cut on things and looking at -- blush cut on things and the data available through our cad system and that's how we came up with what we felt for the initial implementation would be the five single person response vehicles with that structure. With that -- with that structure. If there's any questions or any councilmembers would like to meet, i'm available. It was a relatively short time frame since last week and we tried to put together as much information as possible. To follow up on one of your questions, commissioner Saltzman, there's been 22 hires since november. I was close. But to confirm that.

Jim Forquer, Portland Fire and Rescue: I wanted to make a clarification. Chief more owe commented the single units respond on any incident, but the incidents we've just listened to, the als interventions, structural fires on every one of those, they would request additional resources. Absolutely.

Forquer: The other part we haven't discussed in the nine-month study, they identified call based and arrived at a decision to add three two-person medic units as well and I hope we have a thoughtful discussion on what the real need is. Just implementing four cars to address a response need might not get us where we need to be. And we've got partners that have a vested interest. We've got a significant amount of training that was conducted with dispatchers in Washington county because they are engaged in a much more lengthy triage process to dispatch the appropriate vehicle, that's going to impact our friends at boec considerably and there's implications with Multnomah county and how we respond with amr. Those are things we've interested in having a thoughtful discussion and I hope we look at the dynamic. Some of the commercial alarms in my career have been on a few that went to a second alarm just because of a water problem. Albeit a non-life threatening emergency. The largest was a seven-story building with water from the seventh floor draining into the lobby when we got there. Some of these non-emergent situations create a phenomenal dollar loss and investment of our personnel and resources to mitigate the situation. I hope we don't overlook that.

Klum: I would like to add this is a step in the right direction but if you looked at what we focused on the 2010-15 strategic plan we understand the increase in demand for ems and the fixed amount of resources we have to be creative in our approach to it. And with that, a long-term goal is to find alternative response solutions, other than first responders for the citizens that have what they have considered an emergency on their part that might not fall into a code three emergency category and that's going to take discussions and partnerships with boec and Multnomah county health and ems and the labor unions to sort that out. But it's not going to be a quick fix.

Saltzman: As we did leave things last week and I believe the present schedule is purchase the vehicles with the first bond sale and deployment starting toward the beginning of next fiscal year, 12-18 months?

Klum: Yes, commissioner. As soon as we can get through the purchasing and outfitting of the fixed assets and also gives us time for the discussions with pffa and our physician supervisor, Multnomah county health.

Saltzman: And your and commissioner Leonard have prepared a bond package that could jump start that if that's where the council chooses to go. I want to say, I appreciate chief morrow being here and the response of chief klum and the willingness of commissioner Leonard to work on this issue and commissioner Fritz as well. My intent with this discussion is not to take existing resources from the fire bureau or force the closure of an existing station. But it is to get a thorough and detailed analysis to help guide council in the decisions how to staff and deploy a new rapid response medical response vehicles which Portland voters did approve in the bond measure in november of last year. I realize this is not the forum or time to make the budgetary decisions

April 6, 2011

regarding the general fund budget. But I do believe we need to lay the foundation how we go forward in having that discussion so I do have sort of a gist of a budget note I would propose to bring at the right time when council adopts the budget for next year that would ask the office of management and finance to have an independent consultant review, prepare a report to council concerning Portland fire and rescue staffing and deployment levels and comparing them to other jurisdictions and address innovative methods of service delivery and alternate staffing for emergency medical response and provide data to assist council to determine whether the new quick response vehicles purchased shall be staffed utilizing new or exists resources and should be prepared and provided to council within such months of adoption. A budget note. I -- this is not the time to wordsmith it but that's the concepts I'll be putting forward as a proposed budget note at the right time and more than happy to support issuing the bonds and appreciate everyone's good faith efforts to work through this issue and as chief referred to, I said it's innovative, but it's evolutionary how we provide the best and appropriate response to our citizens.

Adams: Thank you. Additional council discussion?

Fritz: To clarify on that. Doesn't the budget note usually apply to the next year's budget and wouldn't we want to fund that study in the 2011-12 budget?

Saltzman: Yes.

Fritz: We'll work on that.

Saltzman: We'll work on that.

Fritz: I agree -- I certainly agree with the intent -- and as you have mentioned, all of you, the importance of looking at it carefully and negotiating but also collaborating and putting our best minds to work with our experience, commissioner Leonard has a lot of practical experience as well as leadership experience, how best to provide the services in the most cost-effective manner. I appreciate that. Commissioner Leonard, last week there was discussion that said if I voted for this, I'm committing to -- for extra funds to fund that, my understanding from the discussion today is that's not the case. We'll be looking at that in the budget process.

Leonard: We can't use bond funds to do anything but bricks and mortar.

Fritz: Right.

Leonard: Purchase the vehicles.

Fritz: We're going to purchase the vehicles because it's clear to take a large engine to a broken arm is not wise use of resources. We've had that discussion before and in the next fiscal year have that study done so we'll be able to --

Leonard: Yes. Commissioner Saltzman and I talked about the language and I support it.

Adams: All right. Anyone wish to testify on this matter?

Leonard: So is -- is --

Adams: [inaudible]

Leonard: Well, it is -- can we add the emergency clause to it?

Adams: We can vote today. It's a second reading. I move we add the emergency clause.

Fritz: Second.

Adams: Moved and seconded to add the emergency clause. Sue, please call the roll on --

Fish: Aye.

Saltzman: Again, pleased to support this and thank you again. And thanks, commissioner Leonard and -- aye.

Leonard: Aye.

Fritz: I appreciate the have a week to be able to puzzle through this and I'm, therefore, comfortable supporting the emergency clause. Aye.

Adams: Aye. Sue, please call the vote on item number 334. This is now an emergency ordinance. Second reading.

April 6, 2011

Fish: When commissioner Leonard came before the council to seek approval for his intent to go to the voters with a public safety bond to fund a number of priorities which we've not been able to fund through our general fund and other resources, I was pleased to support that request. When he went to the voters to seek their approval, I was pleased to support that effort and today, the next question before us is whether we're going to take the next step we commit to in that -- next step to authorize general obligation bonds so we have the dollars to move forward. The discussion how we staff and to budgeting and things of that nature, I think -- the budgeting and things of that nature has been illuminating and chief, I appreciate your willingness to engage the questions that came to you in a timely manner, and to keep my office in the loop and even though I'm a former, albeit briefly fire commissioner, there's a lot of learned and thank you to your team and our ordinance guests. Aye.

Saltzman: Aye.

Leonard: Thank you, everybody, for your help. Aye.

Fritz: Definitely worth taking the time to puzzle this through and thank you for coming from our partner jurisdictions. The voters did approve buying these vehicles, including a minimum of four rapid response and it's important to honor what they passed and get these funds out in an expeditious manner. Aye.

Adams: Thank you, commissioner Leonard, for getting it passed and moving appropriately expeditiously to get it implemented and thanks to your team and our label partners for working with us and the fire bureau and thanks very much to you to ensuring your lessons learned and experience in doing it here in the city council chambers. We really appreciate it. Aye. [gavel pounded] recessed until 2:00.

At 1:14 p.m., Council recessed.

April 6, 2011
Closed Caption File of Portland City Council Meeting

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised City Council broadcast and should not be considered a verbatim transcript..

Key: ***** means unidentified speaker.

APRIL 6, 2011 2:00 PM

Adams: Good afternoon. Welcome to council on this glorious day. April 6, 2:11. Sue, how was your lunch?

Parsons: Fabulous.

Adams: Glad to hear it. Can you please call the roll? [roll taken]

Adams: Quorum is present, and we will proceed.

Items 310, 311, 312:

Starting with, um, a few up front introductions, as required by the city protocol and state law, so this afternoon, we're taking important steps to move the city-wide tree project toward completion and onto implementation, and after hearing public testimony on specific amendments, to the december 2010 draft, the council, directed my office, and, and commissioner Fish's office to work on some amendments, and to come back to them, for consideration, which we are doing today, so, we will get an update on, on the amendments that were, that were suggested by city council on march 9, 2011 meeting, and, and what I would like to do is, is that we, we have testimony today, just to get you mentally prepared, will be strictly limited to, so not going back to discuss issues unrelated to the amendment, but the amendment, so we'll introduce a series of amendments up front, and then we will vote on them before we leave the room today. Director anderson.

*****: Thank you.

Parsons: I believe that I should read the title.

Adams: Yes, thank you.

Susan Anderson: Director, Bureau of Planning and Sustainability: Just a couple notes to bring you up to speed to where we've been. Since march 9, council discussed and voted in concept, at that time, you will remember on the set of amendment options, and then directed staff to, to draft specific code language for your consideration and approval. You have also received additional written testimony from a number of stakeholders, and those came to you before the march 16 deadline. The specific amendments that you have before you today are presented in the two very colorful, large amendment packages. The gold one is, is, is exhibit f, contains amendments to title 11. The city's new tree code, and the one with the purple cover there is, is for the revised amendment to title 33, the city zoning code, and you also have a packet that includes ordinance, substitute ordinance documents and exhibits, directives, findings, and an updated financial impact statement. Briefly, I wanted to thank the bureau that is work on this. It was a great partnership. We all learned a lot from each other, and especially the city's attorney's office, who consistently was coming up and doing quick and thorough review of all of the amendments. At this point, I just turn it back to the mayor, who can introduce the items for the record.

Adams: So, as a matter of legislative intent, I intend to move and get a second for these amendments. We'll do that after we hear public testimony officially, just so everyone knows. These are consistent with council direction from the march 9 meeting. Are there, are there -- commissioner --

Fish: Mayor, to we have to put these amendments before the public? This is new, these are, are new --

Adams: Did we legally put them before?

April 6, 2011

Fritz: Yes.

Adams: So I move this package of the amendment.

Fish: Seconded.

Adams: Moved and seconded and that will serve for further council consideration of public comments. Can you please call the vote on the motion.

Fish: Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Fritz:** Aye.

Adams: Aye. Amendment packages approved. Commissioner Fish.

Fish: Thank you. Hanna, could you come forward, and hopefully my colleagues all have this packet that we prepared on two amendments that I would like to put before the public. Let me just get my -- and hannah's here, if I get it wrong. Fish amendment 1, is as follows -- for private trees in non development situations, I proposed that we one, eliminate the exemption for lots smaller than 5,000 square feet. And two, require a permit for all trees 12 inches and larger. This option was originally put forward by commissioner Fritz. Upon further consideration and with additional input from key stakeholders, I am persuaded that it is, it is a wise, prudent approach, and it has the elegance of simplicity. This approach treats all lots in non development situations the same. It eliminates the disparities between different sidewalks, and it reduces confusion in the public about when a permit is required. And I believe, mayor Adams, from discussing, hannah and I have discussed this amendment with all the council offices, and I believe that there is concurrence, and so I would, I would move Fish amendment 1.

Fritz: Second.

Mayor **Adams:** Fish amend 1 has been moved and seconded. Counselor discussion? Sue, can you call the vote on the motion?

Fish: This is just to put it before? Aye.

Saltzman: Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Fritz:** Aye.

Adams: Aye. [gavel pounded]

Adams: Fish amended 1 has been approved.

Fish: Thank you, mayor. The second amendment, Fish amendment 2 also pertains to private trees in non development situations. And I propose that we eliminate -- this is a little complicated so I will state it as clearly as I can, and then hannah and the director here to answer your question. We proposed to eliminate the exemption from type b permits for lots less than 10,000 square feet, in single dwelling zones, with a house or a duplex, and we generally refer to those as homesites. This amendment would eliminate the exemption from the requirement of a type b permit for lots less than 10,000 square feet in single dwelling zones with a house or a duplex. Currently there are two levels of, of permits. Type a permits require no review, no appeal, and we, we call those tree for tree replacements. And type b permits require review and public appeal, combined with replacement trees, subject to the city forester's discretion up to an inch for an inch. And a mouthful. The staff proposal before you would exempt lots in single dwelling zones less than 10,000 square feet, with a house or a duplex from the type b permit. In practice, this means that these property owners could cut down a very large single tree or five or more trees 12 inches or larger without any review or appeal. I agree that type a permits should apply in most situations to make it easy for the city to implement and for, for ease of property owners to comply. But, in this instance, in situations we're, we're larger trees or groups of five or more trees are going to, to, are going to be removed, type b permits offer a higher level of safeguards reflecting the greater impact that these would have on our tree canopy and in the surrounding community. And as with my first amendment, this proposal with trees, all trees on private property the same, in terms of which type of permit applies. And thus, regardless of the underlying base zone, the type of development, or the size of the lot. And I understand that this is, this amendment also has been discussed with the council offices and has concurrence with the council mayor, and I would move the amendment.

Adams: The amendment has been moved, I need to know if there is a second.

April 6, 2011

Fritz: Seconded.

Adams: Moved and seconded, commissioner Fritz?

Fritz: I believe the intensity from what you have from the blue sheet here added a final piece to that, which is that tree over 20 inches per year, the, the public notice would not be required.

Fish: So, so, hannah, would you walk us through, and can we each have a handwritten letter from margo barnett, which raises that issue, which we consider a friendly amendment? Would you walk us through that, please?

Hannah Kuhn: Good afternoon, mayor and commissioners, hannah kuhn, office of commissioner nick Fish. We had an opportunity to speak with some of the members of the public who had come specifically to talk about trees, to testify. Unfortunately, they were not able to return this afternoon because we had to take a break. And one of those was margo barnett, who has served as an urban forestry commissioner in the past, and also, was on the tree project, citizen advisory committee, and she wrote a letter, which you have a copy of before you. I will summarize briefly. Generally, she was supportive of commissioner Fish's amendments 1 and 2, but she, in her experience in the field, and dealing with tree issues, in a number of capacities, suggested that, that, and I will just paraphrase, that, that it is important to have a review, she felt, for larger trees or larger numbers of trees, and because it's an opportunity for the forestry staff to talk with homeowners about, maybe you don't need to take the tree out. Maybe you could prune it or limb it up, and achieve the same goals, so it's an opportunity for some dialogue about trees. And also, it allows the city to be cognizant, if canopy is being reduced, especially larger trees, and she was concerned that, that the type b, which allows public appeal, might pit a neighbor against a neighbor, and I think we do have some history of that. So she was suggesting that, that, um, the counselor might consider amending commissioner Fish's original amendment to allow as commissioner Fritz has already pointed out, on the blue page, you have in front of you, there is an asterisk at the bottom that would say, no public notice or opportunity for public appeal would be required for public removal of one, as in one single, healthy, non nuisance tree, 20 inches or larger, per year, in residential zones. That means anything above one would still be subjected to a public review, or five or more trees that are 12 inches or larger. So, commissioner Fish said that he considers this a friendly amendment. And, and he wanted you to be aware that it was inspired by margo barnett's testimony. She wasn't able to give it herself, and we wanted to put that in the record.

Fish: I would move the --

Adams: Does the seconder accept the amendment?

Fritz: Yes.

Mayor **Adams:** Ok, a motion regarding Fish amendment number 2? Can you please call the vote?

Fish: Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Fritz:** Aye.

Adams: Aye. [gavel pounded] Motion passes. Those are our amendments. Hannah, thank you. Commissioner Fish. And commissioner Fritz.

Fritz: I have two technical amendments to add to title 33. Changes in item number 312, and that is to add two, further be it resolved, and I handed out these to you. The first is that the council directs the bureau of planning, directs the director of the bureau of planning, sustainability to include the information contained in table 630-1, significant trees, at the zoning code into the Portland plant list by initiating a change to the list within 180 days of the date this ordinance is adopted. The city attorney, kathyn beaumont says if the council has already seated responsibility for administrative rules, we cannot, as part of our process, have it -- we can't do it ourselves but ask the director to do it, so I am hereby, moving that amendment to -- which is entirely in the spirit of what we discussed.

Fish: Seconded.

Adams: Moved and seconded. Sue, can you please call the vote on the motion?

Fish: Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye. **Leonard:** Aye.

Adams: Aye. [gavel pounded]

April 6, 2011

Adams: Motion is approved. I'm sorry. Did you --

Fritz: It's hard, first day of the quarter, we got out of order. I used to be first and now i'm last and I love this quarter. [laughter]

Adams: You are second to last.

Fritz: Yeah, that's true. So, my second amendment is, again to, add to title 33 in 312, further be it resolved that the council directs the city forester, the bureau of environmental services, bureau of planning sustainability to work with support from the office of neighborhood involvement in consultation with the urban forestry commission, to work with residents and property owners, the hosford-abernathy neighborhood development association, and save our elms to update the open space guidelines for the street trees in the last edition conservation district guidelines, for development and preservation.

Leonard: Second.

Adams: Moved and seconded.

Fritz: And this is, again, further expanding on what we discussed last time that, that there is no interest on the council for, for exempting ladd's addition from the prohibition on the maples, however we want to make sure that everybody is involved in figuring out what the street tree plan is for that neighborhood so that there is a cohesive feel to it, and I thank commissioner Fish and his staff for their help on this.

Adams: Council discussion. Sue, would you please call the vote on the motion?

Fish: Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Fritz:** Aye.

Adams: Aye. [gavel pounded]

Adams: Motion approved. Further motions. For the purpose of taking public testimony. Did anything else from staff?

*******:** Nope.

Adams: Home people have signed up?

Parsons: We have three sign up from this morning. We know that margo is not here.

Adams: Is there anyone else that wishes to testify that did not sign up?

Fish: I think bob might be indisposed for a moment, so we might want to give him a chance.

Adams: If there is anyone else in the room? Yes. You are up. That's all right. In your case, welcome back to the chambers. We're glad you are here. Please give us your first and last name, and if you are authorized to speak on the half or lobby on behalf of any kind of group or business, please declare that up front. You will have three minutes. The clock in front of you will help you to count down your time.

Meryl Reddish: Ok. I will go first. Good afternoon. Thank you forgiving us the opportunity. I am meryl reddish. I am here on behalf of the urban forestry commission, and I just wanted to share the letter that was already sent to you for the record. The urban forestry commission has now had the opportunity to review the amendments to the tree code proposal that the city voted on to move forward with on march 9. And just to share our thoughts with you, after three years of proposal development internal staff discussions, vigorous commission debates, and numerous presentations and public testimony, 11 trees was jointly recommended to city council by both council-pointed planning commission, and the urban forestry commission. Two very different and diverse bodies. And the proposal was initially shaped by the stakeholders' group that included neighborhood associations, environmental groups, home builders, arborists, and the general public. And there were many compromises, negotiated by the two commissions and staff along the way in an effort to reach agreeable solutions that would go with public interest, and the proposal presented to council made significant strides towards the goal of enhancing a diverse and healthy urban forest. While we fully recognize it is the council's prerogative to amend the original proposal, we strongly encourage you to reconsider final adoption of a couple of the amendments put forward on march 9, and it was good to hear that commissioner Fish's amendment about keeping the 12-inch consistency

April 6, 2011

of trees, that was one of our concerns so we're happy about that, and thank you very much for that. While the underlying rationale for each amendment by itself may have merited the bigger issues on how do the amendments relate to the success of the proposal, and their impact on the balance of the many, many compromises that were contained within the original proposal. I will just finish up by saying that because my fellow commissioners have not had a chance to discuss commissioner Fish's amendments, I don't want to draw conclusions and speak on their behalf, specifically, you know, for, from what you just pointed out, but the consistency of the 12-inch trees, I think, would be, you know, welcomed and, and very well received by all of them clearly, and I will bring back the other amendments to them, so thank you for suggesting them.

Fish: Let me say, I appreciate your point that the commission may not have had the opportunity to do a comprehensive look. Hannah was charged with reaching out to, to all of the, the key stakeholders to get their, their point of view, as we are drafting the amendments, so I hope that, that the amendments reflected the kind of consensus that emerged on this.

Reddish: We, we certainly appreciate not having any exemptions, and we think that that's, that's fair, fair, is a fairer course to take, so thank you.

Mayor Adams: Mr. Salinger.

Bob Sallinger: I am Bob Sallinger, a conservation director, Audubon Society of Portland, and I am here today representing our 12,000 members. And one thing I will say, you talk about the past, we have gone from 10,000 to 12,000. I think one of the big reasons for that really is this kind of work. This is the thing that people care amazingly about, and that's the reason, I think, people are joining, and that reflects how much emphasis the community puts on this, the issues, in particular. We do support commissioner Fritz's 1 and 2. One strongly and wholeheartedly, number 2 with a little trepidation, and the reason for that is that while, while we certainly want more protections on trees, kind of the more the better, this one, is a big one. It comes at the end, and I think that there is always a risk, whether it goes in the favor of the environmentalists or not, of, of creating unintended consequences so we're careful on those, and, and in this case, particularly, we talked about the Margo Barnett amendment. The rivalries that Margo talks about, I think, is real. We want review on those trees, especially the big trees, but we need to be careful and monitor to make sure it is OK with the neighbors so that's something in that we hope you will watch closely over time. But we support both of them, and if you will indulge me with one comment, having participated in this for three years, I think that the city could be really, really excited about this, and proud about this plan, and it's a major step forward, in protecting our urban canopy, and, and so, there is a lot to be proud of. The one area that we may have fallen a bit short, at least our hopes social equity in the area of equity. We spent a lot of time on the committee looking at areas that don't have trees. And, and how do we get trees into those areas and make sure that they stay there. And a lot of the plans looked at that, as opposed to we're they are currently. And without going back to them, I think that a lot of the amendments that came forward, actually, undermine that. It looked, looked at a we're, the effect on the existing tree canopy on the landscape now, as opposed to, to what we want to see in the future. And as if they don't know, it is still a good plan, but, I think it does speak to the fact that we need different metrics if we are going to be serious about equity, and I think that this is something to think about going forward. Asking ourselves, you know, what do these amendments really have in terms of that kind of an impact? I think that, that, if your goal was to not effect the existing canopy, it made sense, but if it's to get trees into places they are not and keep dilemma there, they may not have made as much. So, just a thought for the future. Again, we appreciate council's work on this, and we look forward to adoption and implementation.

*****: Thank you.

Adams: Thank you very much. Does anyone else wish to testify? Come forward, please. Security, can you just double-check to see what that noise is?

Leonard: I think that's part of the construction of the federal building.

April 6, 2011

Adams: Can you just peek out the building?

Adams: Always. Sir, how are you?

Greg Schifsky: Good, mayor Adams. You know who I am. Greg, 4131 southwest leaf street. I don't really have a whole bunch of prepared testimony for today, but something that jumped out, that's very important, in all of this discussion, and bob just touched on it heavily here, regardless of what you approve or disapproved, trees are going to be cut down by people legally or illegally, and the more protections legally that you give them, to be preserved and protected in place, the more trees that will be saved, and people will plant them, and they will take them out. I just witnessed this in my own neighborhood, and, and, yesterday, and trees, illegally being put cut down, and part of a multi-big leaf maple, but the disease in the center. The person is a master gardener, and they should know better, but they don't want the trees to be a hazard. But, it was close to the roadway, so it was a street tree. But this is going to happen every day, and how many every day and every month? Perhaps, maybe 100 trees we're going to lose illegally. Or, or because people just are real tired of them. So, the stronger of the protections this city provides, for the future, in tree protections, is good. And i'm pretty close to being a great, great grand uncle. If those kids grow up and come through this city, what are they going to see? I touched on this the last time I was in here in march. I would like them to see a green city. I think that you would, too. I hope you support the implementation of the tree project.

Adams: Thank you very much. All right. Unless there is additional public testimony.

Fritz: Can I ask a question of staff?

Mayor Adams: Absolutely.

Fritz: Roberta, or whomever, one of clarification, the amendments that we put into the, put on the table today, you would then amend the entire, amend some of this to correct, to make those corrections?

Morgan Tracy, Bureau of Planning and Sustainability: That's correct, in a front of places, those revisions were noted, we're they will affect the other amendments.

Fritz: Ok, great. And as a clarification, do we have the term of homesite any more, or have we just deleted it? Does that show up in other places?

Tracy: What's the, the proposed Fish amendments, the term "homesite" would be removed from the code.

Fritz: That's good because I was uncomfortable with that. And the last clarifying question is, I thought that we had done an amendment, or did we do an amendment that said, to address the issue that bob said about trees and in tree deficient neighborhoods, and also there were issues that annette and others had brought up about planting replacement trees in the same neighborhood or the same watershed or the same area. Did we do that amendment?

Roberta Jortner, Bureau of Planning and Sustainability: The bureau has discussed that, and it was felt that, that, that while it is appealing to try to replant the trees in the same neighborhood, at times, it's difficult to find places to plant trees in the neighborhood of the development. And so there is no amendment to the proposed constraints on we're the tree fund moneys are planted, except in the same watershed, but the hope is that, is that the forestry commission and through the implementation process, that there can be criteria and sort of procedures to, to prioritize planting as close to where the development happens to take place.

Fritz: And commissioner Fish, you know you share the concern of the council about equity and providing services we're there are not any, so I see hannah nodding off, and we'll just send that forward into the implementation stage. Thank you very much.

Adams: Additional discussion? Yes.

Saltzman: I just want to clarify because this is important, to me, to understand, and I think it will be important for the public acceptance, ultimately, of the great steps that I believe are, are well worth taking. But, I just want to reiterate, it's important that I understand that, that Portland

April 6, 2011

homeowners who want to cut down on large trees on the private property, can do so under this regulation. So we will not deny you a permit to remove that tree, only require you to, to plant another tree or, or pay --

Tracy: A way to characterize that is under the type b permits we're we're talking about healthy, large trees or multiple healthy trees, there are consideration factors, and, and that, that would be evaluated, and they are intended to prevent harm, so if there is an impact to neighborhood character, or potential landslide hazard, either the removal won be allowed on certain fairly limited circumstances, or it would need to be mitigated, and the mitigation is dependent on how many trees are replanted. So, there may be a situation we're, we're it's, it's, it's an, inappropriate to remove a particular tree, but that's, that's fairly limited, and usually offset by, by the proposed mitigation plans.

Saltzman: And under type a permits, the forester -- urban city forester can not deny a permit.

Tracy: The type a permit says that you confirm the status of the tree, that is dead, dying, dangerous or nuisance, and requires a replacement of that tree, so, if you meet one of those conditions, the tree, the permit is granted.

Adams: Other council discussion? I'll entertain any motions to reverse any of our earlier amendments. I don't hear any so that means that, that --

Roland Iparraguirre, Deputy City Attorney: inaudible[substitute ordinances need to be accepted.]

Fish: So moved.

Fritz: Second.

Adams: Moved and seconded that our actions constitute a substitution of the ordinances. Sue, would you call the vote.

Fish: Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye. **Fritz:** Aye.

Adams: Aye. [gavel pounded] This moves to a second reading next -- these move to the second reading next week.

Anderson: I believe you need to adopt the amendments.

Adams: We just did that through substitution.

*****: [inaudible]

Adams: We have adopted -- thank you, I got that. We voted on the amendments already. Those that required us to substitute, we just substituted, otherwise, we have already made motions, and already voted on them. And council is now welcome to make comments before we move onto the next city council agenda item. Commissioner Fritz.

Fritz: Thank you. I hope that, that not everybody will come back again next week for just to see the vote, so I do want to thank everyone who is in the room, and many, many others for all of the work for this over six years now. Great work. Margo initiated this with the southwest neighborhood parks committee, and is happy that we have a margo barnett amendment. Greg, if you would like to let me know which of the others, you would like to be your, be happy to. [laughter]

Fritz: And also, note that the east Portland parks committee, with very instrumental in that initial pushing of council to start this project, and alicia rees and linda robinson and others, particularly important, and bonnie mcknight and the land use committee, and roberta, who has been with us from the beginning, and all your team, susan, who has come through it, as chris was very instrumental for a long time. Rebecca, in the development services, and many, many other staff, the planning commission and all the participants, the you are began forestry commission, and an enormous amount of citizen volunteerism have gone into this, and I believe that, that what we're going to adopt next week respects that, and, and I want to thank tom bizeau and my office who was part of the inter bureau team fixing things as we came along. The home builders in the metropolitan area realtors have been extremely helpful, and, and members of the public and members of my staff

April 6, 2011

who strenuously disagreed with me on this particular project have been very, very helpful to, to figure out what the controversies are going to be in the community, and how best to negotiate what, what we're adopting. And mayor Adams and commissioner Fish, your leadership and partnership and all of our colleagues who have gone through it, and were strong enough to change direction after the initial consideration. So that, I want to make sure we are clear, the proposal that came to us from the planning commission and the urban forestry commission was very strong, and we respect the diligent amount of work that was done. The council heard additional testimony, and we respect some of the challenges that, perhaps, those commissions made different choices on, particularly, new developments on small lots where I believe that adjustments would routinely be granted if we had not make the exemptions we did, so we wanted to make it clear, simple and enforceable. And frankly, the proposal that was mostly regulating 20-inch trees was not strong enough for me, and that was why I supported the, the 12-inch and the additional review on, on 20 inches, which I believe is what Portland is about and what we need. This is landmark legislation and by landmark, I mean that in every sense of the word. Our trees are our landmarks in Portland and they are part of the fabric of our community, part of our culture and they have multiple benefits as we know—for stormwater management as well for neighborhood ascetics, shade, wildlife habitat. So that's why we have spent so much time on this, why it is important and why I am very proud to be able to support it.

Fish: Thank you, Mayor. Commissioner Fritz just gave my speech and I want to associate myself with her remarks and thank everyone involved in this. Next week we are going to make history and we're going to take a giant step forward in protecting and enhancing a diverse and healthy forest. I know among some of the advocates on all sides of this issue there is the trepidation, sometimes frustration of when something appears to be "cooked" through the pre-council phase, through commissions, and other bodies and other forms and it comes to us and we get to look at it afresh. Some people are of the view that once something is neatly tied up and packaged and handed to us, our job is simply to say thank you and adopt it. I think regular viewers of this body know that we don't take that approach. We don't just rubber stamp things. We get our hands dirty. What happened when the council took a deep and conscientious look at what was before us, we heard from bureaus about concerns they had whether in terms of enforcing, interpreting the law, bringing a different perspective to what we were trying to do. Through this process, scope and complexity of this project, we have a better product. I appreciate the time and energy people in the community have spent making sure that we understand the issues -- my two amendments were to address the effects of council fatigue at the last hearing. One of the last things we did was we had to decide among seven or eight options of how to fit all of the pieces together. And i'm speaking just for myself, but after a long hearing and a long council day, my eyes started getting bleary and frankly I started losing -- if you will excuse the pun, the forest from the trees. What we had by having this second bite at the apple is a chance to look at how the whole thing fits together again and then with lots of input from citizens and advocates and both, I think we got it right again. So, thank you to everybody that worked so hard to guide our action. Thank you to hannah kuhn, who not only helped me understand this as a deeper level but has agreed to chair the work group which will follow which will look at the implementation issues that we already identified. Roberta morgan, stephanie and susan-- dave macallister, all of my friends at parks and to my colleagues, this has been a unique experience on this issue. But I think at the end, hopefully the public has a better understanding of what we're trying to do and I think the legislation is better. Thank you. I have a few remarks too. I guess --

Saltzman: Somebody said six years that this effort has been in the works, I guess -- four. It tracks well my involvement in this issue, which I think began for me as being the commissioner in charge of parks and bes, and also in terms -- in charge of parks, and there was an illegal tree cutting, someone cut down our trees, never proved it, but probably to enhance their view. It just

April 6, 2011

got me more motivated about enforcement issues. Having heard many of the stories maybe greg just kind of related to us, of people cutting down things and i'm concerned when those things happen particularly on a saturday morning when we are not as nimble as we should be to respond to those things. Progress was made in these amendments and this code, but the key is going to be how well do we implement and how well do we enforce this code? That really is where the rubber will hit the road. Most people will realize we have a tree ordinance when they fall under its rubric in some fashion or another and that will be several years from now. My continued eye will be on nforcement issues. Well poised now being in charge of the bureau of development services to make more good on that promise. It is really a collective council effort, too, forestry commission, the urban forester and all of us up here in one capacity or another will be sure to implement on behalf of our environment, our residents, and last but not least, our trees. I want to dedicate my vote to all of the trees that sacrificed their lives to get to this point today.

Adams: Well, thanks to my team for your great work on this. I appreciate it very much. Thanks to amy ruiz who -- I really appreciate it. Second reading further consideration next week, which gets us to item number 326.

Item 326.

Adams: That we -- the title was read this morning. It is a resolution. We didn't go into much detail. Please go over the details of this, quick broad brush stroke and answer questions.

Reese Lord, Office of Mayor Adams: Sure. Mayor Adams, i'm part of the mayor's education team. Item 326 before you is a request, a resolution to ask council to have staff go and explore opportunities to increase career-related learning experiences for youth, especially disconnected or students at risk of disconnecting, including foster care youth, and explore what policy levers we have, via tax credit or other policy levers to increase business participation in offering opportunities such as employment, job shadows, and other career exploration opportunities and with that i'm happy to answer any questions that council would have.

Leonard: What would be the financial impact of this potentially?

Lord: The proposal incorporated in the resolution, idea of business tax credit at \$500 per credit up to 200 credits a year, \$100,000 potentially in a fiscal impact statement. Again, as part of the resolution asking staff to go forth along with the bureau of revenue and develop implementing language at which case we would have a clear financial --

Adams: That would come back to council.

Lord: Right

Adams: To be clear, the maximum -- so this would be the policy consideration by council today, subject to your change of mind in the future, but the maximum liability would be \$100,000.

Fish: In a fiscal year --

Leonard: Two fiscal -- well, it says -- \$100,000 a year for two fiscal years. It would be for two fiscal years. I don't see language in the resolution that limits it to the 200 --

Terri Williams, Manager, License & Tax Division, Revenue Bureau: It is actually in the exhibit that talks about that and it would come forward in the ordinance, in the code itself would have the cap in it.

Leonard: Where is it at in the exhibit? I see the 100,000.

Williams: Maybe we took it out. It says to cap the credit, but it doesn't say the number. The intent is to 200 and that would be in the ordinance you would see towards the end of june -- \$100,000 per year maximum.

Leonard: And have we designed that around any income -- in other words, are we focusing this on small businesses? Are we allowing huge businesses to take advantage of this that may not realize as much economic benefit or are we targeting it through smaller businesses that might actually take advantage?

April 6, 2011

Williams: We're not targeting it necessarily to either one. Any local business would be able to do that. That would be somebody potentially large or somebody small.

Adams: The reason we have this before you as it relates to other parts of the package, our biggest challenge is the first time. The biggest challenge is getting the employer to allow the site visits the first time. And so we're trying to -- once they do, we find that upwards of 80 plus percent will have the site -- you know, will host another group of site visits. The first time -- honestly we're trying to get their attention a little bit here. The reason why it is only for a limited duration is I don't want them to get access to this on into the future. This is really to be maybe too blunt, is get their attention. This is the cost of lunch for, you know, 40 kids, whatever.

Saltzman: If I could add, I mean -- were you done?

Adams: Yeah.

Saltzman: So, I have been working with the mayor's office and we have been pursuing a parallel course in our office of a refundable -- of a tax credit, single tax credit for those who employ youth in foster care. And so that is contained in this resolution. I guess I just wanted to make sure that the number, the 200 -- 100 per year cap --

Lord: We took out the -- and I apologize, we did take out the cap as realized as we bring this forward at this point, the concept will be working with the revenue bureau, with your office and other folks to clarify the exact number, how it is implemented, but we have been talking -- I know that your office has been talking in the range of 100. We have been talking in the range of 200. And when we get that clarified through the implementation process, we will be bringing that back with a clearer financial impact statement.

Williams: And that would be in the ordinance, whatever that result is.

*******:** Okay.

Fish: I'm going to be supporting 327 and some other things in the pipeline, but i'm having a little heartburn over 326. And partly because I -- i'm not sure what we're doing. And i'm not sure what the goal is, and you said in your introductory remarks that the scope of the assignment would be to look at various policy options, but as I read it, the resolution, it says create a nonrefundable tax credit program. When you say look at other policy options, one of my questions would a tax credit be an effective and efficient way of incentivizing what we want or -- are there a range of other, including for purposes of argument, direct subsidies, other tools that we have? I don't know the answer. I frankly haven't been briefed on this. But i'm -- i'm reluctant to support this if it's going to work up a tax credit program. I would be more comfortable if it was -- because I laud the goal. The goal is laudable. I support the goal. I would be more comfortable if it was broader in scope if we were looking at a range of options for how to encourage the behavior that this resolution is directed at without limiting it to a tax credit program. Frankly I don't have enough information to know whether that would be the right approach or effective approach.

Lord: The objective as the mayor discussed, the objective in this program is to increase the number of career-related learning experiences, and the policy lever that we have been looking at and commissioner Saltzman's office has been looking at is the tax credit. So we -- perhaps the language in the ordinance -- sorry, in the resolution, I have had this conversation with your office and maybe it is more limiting than we thought, but I mean it is really about how do we increase the number of career-related learning experiences based on the work done in the past, disconnected youths and employment opportunities, that seemed like the proper lever. The other piece in terms of what is driving this, the new Oregon diploma requirements are require -- require these type of experiences. How can we incent and recognize businesses that offer these opportunities everything from, you know, job shadows all of the way on up to career -- to employment so that students are capable of graduating. I think that is the nexus of how it fits into the presentation this morning.

April 6, 2011

Fish: My sense is this has support on council, going to move forward, but I guess the concern that I have is I -- I am -- I support the objective, but I -- I really don't feel like at this stage I have enough information to know if this is the right path to go down to address that objective or there may be other alternatives, and while there seem to be some boundaries in terms of caps on moneys and other things, i'm not sure whether a tax credit is efficient in this particular marketplace to get to that goal.

Saltzman: I don't think we will know that unless we try this. I think that is part of what is behind this to see if it is effective.

Adams: I understand your concerns, commissioner Fish, and they're reasonable. We have to go out and do the research and come back to you with some specific language in order for having pondered and made decisions, at least from a staff or a sponsorship level whether or not -- before we can answer your question. So this simply directs staff to go out, come up with a concept that comes back with -- comes back with more specific proposals with answering your questions and how we have answered them in terms of a specific proposal. This is simply authorization to go out and do the work. Part of what we need to do is look at, given the current business climate, our work with the business community, we have had initial conversations with certain aspects of the business community. But we need to find out, you know, what is going to get them to say yes and what are they going to say yes to, and then council will share that with you and council can decide whether the cost is worth the potential benefit. I would also, though, having sort of been through a couple of these on the arts side, I think commissioner Saltzman is right. I have no problem with this being a pilot or limited duration. There are certain things you can analyze and certain things you just have to try to find out if it works. So I do think that this might fall into the latter category, but there is a lot more that we can learn. There is a lot more we need to learn. I just don't know if it will be fully incentivized when we come back.

*****: [inaudible]

Fish: Explore would be helpful, the other thing, maybe just talk about loud here whether the mayor would consider it a friendly amendment-- as part of the staff work, staff also consider whether there are other tools in addition to a targeted tax credit, which could further the objective which I share.

Adams: Sure. Absolutely.

Adams: I will take those -- I will take that as a motion. Second it. And take yours as a motion. And second it. If you are okay cosponsor, and sue, would you please call the vote on the motion.

Fish: Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Fritz:** Aye. [inaudible]

Adams: Other council discuss? Anybody else wish to testify. Sue will you please call the roll to vote. Are you here to oppose it? Are you here to testify? All right. Sue parsons, will you please call the vote.

Fish: Mayor, thank you for accepting the friendly amendment. Because I think it may prove to broaden the scope of the work that staff is directed to do and it may bring us back something that is in the tax credit family, but perhaps something else as well, and at the very least allow us to compare among different options to be sure that this is targeted and we get the bang for the buck. I appreciate this and pleased to vote aye.

Saltzman: I would like to thank mayor Adams and his staff for including foster youth -- the program is something that my office has been working on in cooperation with the department of human services and service providers that aim to help youth in foster care get a leg up as they begin their transition to adulthood. Unlike most youth, foster children do not have the family network to support them as they make this transition into adulthood. Long-term outcomes for foster children overall are very bleak. Higher rates of teen pregnancy, more likely to be unemployed, and more likely to be involved in the criminal justice system. In fact, youth emancipating out of foster care are more likely to be homeless than to obtain a four-year college

April 6, 2011

degree. Only 3% of children in foster care in Oregon ever achieve a four-year degree. Employment opportunities can be a key to helping these youth have a brighter future. I do believe the only way we will find out if a tax credit, nominal \$500 tax credit does have a revenue impact and it is a philosophical debate that goes on in congress all of the time about tax credits versus other approaches and whether they work or not, we are just going to have to give this a try and hopefully with the proper outreach, that will be the key. That is why our disconnected youth credit never got off the ground. We never got the outreach out there. That will be a key to the credit working this time if the council approves it to do the proper outreach and hand holding with employers to make that work. My office is committed to helping and the department of human services is also committed to helping. Pleased to vote aye.

Leonard: Aye

Fritz: Appreciate the rest of the team and mayor Adams for that. Vanessa, in my office, she has been my intern, and I was thinking of that, she researched this bill for me, this resolution, mayor Adams, once we can get people to try something once, then they recognize the advantages of having interns and others in their employment areas and was certainly the case, I was somewhat reluctant to have an intern in my office, but vanessa, who is leaving us next week has done an amazing job and I wanted to thank her for that. Aye.

Adams: I want to thank the council, they helped to clarify this is about limited -- to enlist more local businesses in what has to be a community-wide public/private effort to improve our educational system. And so I thought the conversation was great. The amendments were incredibly helpful and pleased to work with all of your offices to make sure that we're exploring all of the right things and come back with some options for public debate. Aye. Can you please read the title for emergency ordinance item number 327.

Item 327.

Adams: We had previously circulated an ordinance and I would like to move.

Fish: Moved.

Fritz: Second.

Adams: Moved and seconded. It is important to me that we move this project forward and as -- debate, which I think is also important about funding sources to cloud or slow down our efforts to get scholarships out to -- up to the 200 applicants. And it is an important opportunity that I don't want to -- I don't want to be sidetracked by a wider discussion about funding source. The substitution has the general fund paying for these scholarships and that is what the vote would be on. We heard a very good presentation from Portland community college representing both Mount Hood and Portland community college foundations, and is there anyone in the room that wishes to testify on this matter? Any additional council discussion?

Fritz: I have a question on the substitute, under c, two amounts of \$193,000. And that adds up to \$386,000.

Reese Lord, Mayor Adams Office: So the folks over in financial planning would be better able to answer this, that is actually a debit and a credit. It is moving funds out of business area contingency and over into this --

Fritz: Adding to the 167 that we previously --

Lord: In total, 360, which, again, will be matched 360 from -- that the pcc foundation --

Fritz: Thank you.

Fish: Doing it out of classification work but you did it well.

Lord: Thanks.

Adams: Sue, can you call the vote.

Fish: Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye. **Leonard:** Aye.

Fritz: I appreciate the mayor and the mayor's amendment to the -- I was comfortable with the allocation of water and sewer rates for this purpose, otherwise I would not have agreed to it last

April 6, 2011

year with this budget. Equity and helping young people of all backgrounds get city jobs and be able to be qualified is something that is important to the rate payers and business tax payers and in terms of equity for the city of Portland property taxpayers and business taxpayers to be solely funding this rather than all of the rate payers, some of whom live outside of the city of Portland, I think that the rate payers are now getting a good deal on this. These scholarships are training students for technical jobs which are needed both in city government and in private business. So we are helping to support the economy with city of Portland property and business taxes and utility fees. So, I certainly support the intent and I agree with the mayor that there has been a lot of missed information in the media about water and sewer rates, and as we move forward with the office of equity, we are going to need to have those discussions, equitable outcomes does cost more, because in the past we have disadvantaged people systematically and now we have to look at systematically to give folks a chance to get the education that their parents never had. The project is great. The funding of scholarship at community colleges which as we heard this morning is a very cost-effective way of getting training or going on to a four-year degree, and that's open to many people within our community. That is a wonderful thing. Again, I laud the mayor for this initiative. Aye.

Adams: Aye. So approved. Please read the title for emergency ordinance item number 328.

Item 328 and 329.

Adams: Should I keep going or --

Andrew Aebi, Bureau of Transportation, Local Improvement District Administrator: No, that is good. The two items before you would bring the total funding for the northeast 97th avenue green street lid from the \$400,000 that we started with on the lid, would bring the total funding up to \$1.4 million. We have been able to take the \$400,000 in lid funding and leverage it with \$1 million additional funding to benefit east portland -- I have a couple of funding partners with me here today to speak more about that partnership. Sue Kiel, Director of the bureau of transportation -- as is from the Portland development commission and odot. Thank you for joining us.

Adams: We appreciate your responsiveness --

Sue Keil, Director, Bureau of Transportation: If I had listened a little closer, I would have been a little more on button. This is a short and sweet item and I thought you could probably use it today in light of what you have been doing. East Portland is where we have our most serious traffic problems, along with southwest Portland, and our biggest deficiencies in terms of connectivity and we're happy to invest here. This is a smart way to do business. At the safety submit we talked about where we are joined at the hip with odot. This is really one of those where we're joined at the hip. We are working on things together with a lot of cost savings as a result. It avoids that disruption for the property owners right along there, because we're doing things once instead of doing them separately. And if odot had not given us, allowed us to build in that right of way, build the sidewalk in the right of way, on the west side, we would have had to pay for right of way. So this is a pretty -- that is a very substantial kind of donation. It makes the whole project work right. We would have had to drop the sidewalk on the west side of the street in the pedestrian district if we hadn't been able to put it where we're putting it on this project. When you look at the map, you can see where that occurs. Improving the appearance of the path and adding storm water drainage is going to help for the development, residential development that is along there. And from my perspective, a really nice thing is that now pedestrians a bicyclists are not going to have to wade through a lake as they use this facility.

Fish: Sue, I have a question.

Keil: Yeah.

Fish: There has been talk of dedicating one of the trails along 205 to woody guthrie. Now, is this -- is this -- is that trail on the other side or is that further --

April 6, 2011

Keil: No --

Fish: How does that issue relate to what we're doing today?

*******:** It doesn't.

Adams: Please give your name for the record.

Shelly Romero, Oregon Department of Transportation: Shelley romero, odot, region one.

The i-205 Multiuse path has been proposed by folks, nick silvy and others in east Portland talking about renaming the i-205 multiuse path to the woody guthrie trail and odot has a naming process and proceed you are outlined to nick and others as to how they can pursue that.

Leonard: It's not here, woody guthrie lived in a house off 92nd and foster --

Fish: It is that -- is it an extension of this --

Leonard: Other side --

Romero: 205 path --

Fish: Commissioner Leonard, resident historian, songs that he wrote while he lived there?

Leonard: A number of them. I will be glad to sing for you later.

Fish: That's okay. We have time. It would be a nice lull.

Leonard: Put you all to sleep or drive you absolutely crazy.

Adams: And do you want to say more?

Romero: Just that this area of that 205 path has historically been a problem area for us in terms of gathering water and drainage. We are pleased to partner with the city and pdc on this project and it is worth noting that this project alone meets three of the goals outlined in the east Portland action plan, t.25, controlling of the multiuse paths and neighborhood pedestrian paths, and t.6.6 acquire property and develop streets in the central gateway. And t.5.2 develop information in outreach campaigns to residents along unimproved streets to increase participation in local -- and to establish local improvement districts. Just that we agree with the comments made by director heels that it is great to have this intergovernmental cooperation in an effort to better serve the community.

Sue Lewis: I am with the Portland development commission, gateway ura. We selected this street because it was getting to leverage private investment on the street, leveraged the pending private investment, pdot, bds, odot and resident property owners who live on the street who are investing in this area. It will create jobs and improve access. Establish sidewalk connections for the benefit local residents specifically at the gateway care center who will now be able to walk safely in the area and get outside and exercise and we're very glad to be a part of this. Thank you.

Adams: If i'm not mistaken, the gateway care center has facilities on both sides of 98th, and this will relieve some of the access issues between the two facilities.

Lewis: Uh-hmm.

Adams: By allowing traffic to use 97.

Lewis: Yeah, the intent is to -- part of the gateway master street plan will put through a street, flanders street, it should be a one-way street to 98 and with the care center connected in the circular motion there.

Fritz: The money from the \$407,000 from the Portland development commission from the urban renewal district --

Lewis: Partially from the urban renewal district partially from bureau of environmental services investing in one percent for green and the watershed improvement fund. Funding approximately two-thirds of the project, where a third is funded from the property owners on the street.

Fritz: That's really great. That plus the 50,000 is close to half a million for this project, and, again, evidence that we really are working to plug away at the east Portland action plan, action item. Thank you for bringing that to our attention.

Keil: That's great leverage.

April 6, 2011

*****: Yeah.

Adams: Thank you to you and the Portland development commission as well.

*****: Okay. Is there anyone signed up to discuss 328 or 329? All right. Can you please call the vote starting with 328.

Item 328 vote.

Fish: Aye.

Saltzman: Good work everybody. Aye.

Leonard: Aye.

Fritz: The three of you must have spent almost as much time here today as we have. Thank you for still being here and telling us about this wonderful project. And thank you. Aye.

Adams: Aye.

Item 329 vote.

Fish: Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye. **Leonard:** Aye

Fritz: Thank you, really appreciate it. Aye.

Adams: 330.

Item 330.

Andrew Aebi, Local Improvement District Administrator: Good afternoon mayor Adams. We received no remonstrances against this lid formation. The recommendation is to approve the ordinance.

Fish: So moved.

Adams: Is there anyone here that wishes to testify on this matter? Sue would you please call the vote.

Fish: Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye. **Leonard:** Aye **Fritz:** Aye

Adams: Aye. 330 is approved.

Item 331.

Adams: I am glad you have been able to take advantage of the free wi-fi here at city hall. You have had to wait a long time --

*****: I love it.

Logan Kleier, Bureau of Technology Services: This contract is a contract between the city of Portland and marsh usa to help the city and regional partners, organizations, port of Portland and c-tran look at certain public safety technology systems, better understand them and make certain improvements over the next few years through the use of urban area --

Adams: Allows us to qualify for potential future federal funding.

Kleier: This is the document that creates the plan. And then once that plan is in place, these recommendations are in place, Portland office of emergency management would work to help prioritize funds to help improve certain public safety technology systems.

Adams: Analogous to the work we have to do in transportation where you have to plan in a way that the federal government requires you to in order to be eligible for future federal government grants.

Kleier: We want to have a regional approach to what is important to fix and approach those through the regional process of these systems, have these things that we could fix. We -- work our way down from the high priority items to the lower priority items.

Fritz: What will be the process to go about finding out the information

Kleier: Interact with people such as myself, other technology specialists in the bureau of technology services, giving an inventory of where are the systems, how they operate, who operates them, that sort of thing. And then making their recommendations based off of best practices to take a look at given how they operate and who operates them, recommendations on things that we could apply for grant funds to make them more resilient.

April 6, 2011

Fritz: Any public involvement or -- and or involvement to either the technology committee and or the pssrp advisory committee?

Kleier: This doesn't take effect for several months. In terms of the pssrp committee, this would be well after the go live cad next and we have not contemplated the activity of the pssrp oversight committee. It would not be affecting their work-- it would be supplementing it.

Fritz: They have learned a lot over the course of following the cad next and continuing to work on police radios and other things that there may be some expertise there.

Kleier: Yes. Sorry, go ahead.

Adams: I think you misunderstood the question. Commissioner's question is part of the inventory, all right, we have this new system in place, will we inventory, what it is capable of from an emergency management response perspective -- I think the answer is yes.

Kleier: Yes.

Fritz: And whether the lessons that committee have learned could inform --

Kleier: Yes, yes.

Fritz: Thank you.

Adams: The idea here is inventory what we have from the perspective of emergency preparation and emergency event management. A lot of that has to do with interoperability, and a lot of it has to do as mentioned in the introduction, it might work in good conditions, what happens if it is not so good conditions.

Kleier: Right, they looked at the physical plant, how we operated. Do we operate in a resilient manner and make recommendations of things that can make it more resilient, whether it is people or facilities that can be improved upon.

Adams: Any other council discussion? Anyone wish to testify on emergency ordinance item number 331. Please call the vote. Thank you gentlemen.

Fish: Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye **Leonard:** Aye **Fritz:** Aye.

Adams: I think the idea of having it as one of the items that we discussed on your -- on the technology quarterly review at some point is a good idea. We will do that. Aye. -- please read the title for nonemergency ordinance, 332.

Item 332.

Ken Rust, Chief Administration Officer: I'm ken rust, two actions in front of you here, this ordinance and report of council following on the technology oversight committee. Ordinance amendments to city codes that do a lot of clean up work for the office of emergency finance representing a lot of organizational changes and evolution of the office over the last few years and we have had an opportunity to talk with the office about that. There is one area of substantive change and that is dealing with the responsibilities that the council has given to me as the chief administrative officer with respect to the technology oversight committee that deals with forming that committee and supporting that with my staff and also identifies the role of the bureau technology services will play in the support of that committee's work as well. With that I open up for any questions or comments that you might have on the code changes.

Adams: Anyone who wishes to testify on 332?

Fritz: I have an issue to raise, working with ken and his staff on between now and next week when we will be voting on it. We read some of the proposed administrative code to give authority to the chief administrative officer to set classifications and salaries and such within the office, and without having to come to council and -- I don't think that is the intent and we will work with your staff before next week to --

Rust: Yeah, there -- I don't think -- allows me to identify classifications for those positions that are engaged in the central administrative function of hr, technology services, procurement or other areas that the council has designated as responsibilities for the chief administrative officer. The council would have to approve any positions and salaries around that as well. It is a practice that

April 6, 2011

has been followed by not just my bureau, but other bureaus -- as they get submitted by different bureaus, bureaus sometimes like to use a classification owned by a particular bureau entity in their own bureau and we're trying to have some definition around that so that we don't end up hiring two city treasurers or two city procurement officers.

Fritz: Certainly appreciate that individual bureau should not be making an hr decisions without going through hr-- want to make sure that we have coverages, appropriate oversight of decisions on those lines made within omf. Thank you very much.

Adams: All right.

Saltzman: We're not voting today, right?

Adams: No.

Saltzman: Okay. I would like to say that I do support this package, particularly the piece ken was just describing as it relates to creating the independent citizen technology oversight committee. The language is simple, direct, and it's great and I look forward to working with omf, bts and the auditor's office on the final administrative rules that will implement this. Thank you.

Adams: All right any other comments -- 332 moves to second reading next week. Can you please read the title for report contained in item number 333?

Item 333.

Adams: Director rust.

Ken Rust, Chief Administrator Officer: The second item in front of you -- we're submitting it to you in report form so that you can review that. Council directed via resolution that I work on the development of the technology oversight committee, and since that time I have been convening these with the city auditor, city attorney, and city staff that developed the draft administrative rules. The report gives you the information. What we're looking at based on the directory received from council, toc would oversee significant city technology projects that the toc would involved in the front end of projects, for an rfp or rfi was developed or issued. Would be directed to include external quality assurance consultant to -- expertise in those projects to provide the outside oversight. Draft rules in front of you. We will continue to work on the rules and go through the rule-making process and begin the actual -- committee itself and begin discussion of this process.

Adams: Anyone wish to testify on item 333? Is there a motion to accept -- yes.

Rust: Thank you.

Adams: Yes.

Fritz: So, I have -- with the exception of bureau of emergency communications, I have bureaus that don't have technology projects. I'm very concerned about how these rules come out. And so as you move forward with finding the administrative rules, I would like somebody from my staff involved in those emails and circulating those drafts. There is a few things in the proposed administrative rules that we would have liked to have this some input on.

Fish: I move the adoption of the report.

Saltzman: Second.

Adams: Moved and seconded. Sue, would you please call the vote on the motion to accept the report contained.

Fish: Again, thank you to you and your team for the good work and in preparing this report. We look forward to refining the work going forwards. Aye.

Saltzman: As I said a second ago. Great work. Rules are simple and great. This is an important process. I want to remind my colleagues on the council pursuant to the technology oversight resolution passed in february, it calls for each one of us to appoint a person to this committee. My staff will be contacting you in the next week to begin that process. I think we did a good job of getting five citizens, each appointed by us on the fire bond oversight committee and I think we can -- we're up for it again. Great work. Aye.

April 6, 2011

Leonard: Aye.

Fritz: One of the things I would like to see, technology oversight committee will get the list of all projects that have gone through the proposed four steps of getting bureau approval so that they can take a final look and say whether there are some that they would like to pull out of the list to maybe have you take a look at, if your staff decided that they were not feasible so that -- that is the piece that I think is important and -- what we have been discussing and I appreciate your willingness to work with us on that. Aye.

Adams: Aye. Report accepted. Please read the title for emergency ordinance item number 315. **Item 315.**

Adams: Commissioner Fritz.

Fritz: First I would like to remove the emergency clause. This is a change in salary amount. I don't see there is an emergency and I would like to have more time to work with staff before next week to get my questions answered, because I can't support it today.

Adams: Are there -- is there any -- okay. Is there any initial discussion that you want to have besides --

Fritz: Sure. I'm concerned about the 10.9% increase for these two employees, and let me state on the record, I don't know who the employees are. I don't want to know. It is not about the particular people. We have lots of employees who do really great work. I would like to know what the market studies show in terms of union negotiators pay, including what the private sectors and the union pay for that classification. And I'm concerned about large increase at the time that we're still pinching pennies and looking out for doing things as cost effectively as possible.

Yvonne Deckard, Director, Bureau of Human Resources: Sure. Commissioner, the way we got here was that one of our --

Adams: Introduce yourself.

Deckard: Director of human resources -- the way we got here, when we entered contract negotiations this year, or shortly before Multnomah county was actually recruiting for this position, their salary range pays up to \$109,000 for negotiators. We were at a point of where we could not afford with all of our contracts open to lose our labor relation staff, which are the people that I appoint to go to the table, to each individual table to act as negotiators. I asked David Reese, our class comp manager to do a quick review and to give me a recommendation based on market and retention, being able to retain our people at a crucial time. What the appropriate adjustment, if any was warranted. The -- and so we did a quick market adjustment. Since then we have gone out to look to make sure -- to view where we are as far as our ability to retain our labor relations staff. What I can tell you is that labor -- trained labor relation staff is at a real commodity. There are not a lot of individuals out there that are used to public sector negotiations and that do the work that we do in the public sector around negotiations, and around our contract, contractual requirements. We have a very small staff that do this work. It is really critical for the city that we can maintain them. This just closes the gap that we were -- that we were faced with, and I felt very strongly that it was important for the city not to be in a situation where we no longer had critical staff that could do this work at a very critical time. And that is how we got here.

Fritz: What as far as succession training for these positions?

Deckard: This is very specialized work. It is generally not work -- generally people come in and have to understand state collective bargaining laws. They have to -- they have to be able to write contract language, generally it is an area where everyone is desperately trying to hold on to the people that they have and hope that, you know, people are not retiring. It takes a number of years it truly get someone ready to be able to go to the table and function independently and to be able to advise the bureau in that way. And so even though we are always looking at how we can move people up internally, it does take -- with this particular job, unique enough that people coming into it have to have a certain journeyman level of knowledge because you can't train from scratch

April 6, 2011

for this particular type of work and classification. And that's, you know, situation that the city is in right now. I don't think it is unique to the city.

Fish: Yvonne, is there any negative consequence to you in terms of what you are trying to achieve here if we were to take the emergency clause off past the second vote and then implement a 30-day draft, does that hamper in any way what you are trying to accomplish?

Deckard: No, we have the cola ordinance that is coming up and we can bring it -- deal with it as long as we deal with it, you know, prior to that so that we aren't, you know, accruing costs by trying to do retro activity and that sort of --

Fish: I will note that we do have a tradition of delegating to h.r. Some of these classification issues, and they are typically on consent on an emergency basis, but if commissioner Fritz wants additional time, I would be pleased to move --

*******:** How do we take the emergency off --

Leonard: Move to remove the emergency clause.

Fish: Second.

Adams: Moved and seconded. Any discussion? Would you call the vote on the motion.

Fish: Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye. **Leonard:** Aye.

Fritz: I think we need to re-evaluate it and in the -- look at the emergency designation, defining it to use it when it is an emergency. That is a wider picture than this particular one. I couldn't see how I could vote for this as an emergency -- I appreciate my colleagues taking that clause off.
Aye.

Adams: Moves to second reading next week.

Fish: Could we take a five minute break so we can bring up the additional items you wanted to bring before the council?

Adams: I am going to propose a break until next week. We stand adjourned for the week.

At 3:40 p.m., Council adjourned.