



CITY OF
PORTLAND, OREGON

OFFICIAL
 MINUTES

A REGULAR MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON WAS HELD THIS 4TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2010 AT 9:30 A.M.

THOSE PRESENT WERE: Mayor Adams, Presiding; Commissioners Fish, Fritz, Leonard and Saltzman, 5.

At 11:11 a.m. Council recessed.

At 11:15 a.m. reconvened.

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE: Karla Moore-Love, Clerk of the Council; Ben Walters, Chief Deputy City Attorney; and Pat Kelley, Sergeant at Arms.

On a Y-5 roll call, the Consent Agenda was adopted.

COMMUNICATIONS	Disposition:
1102 Request of Ulisher Hardiman to address Council regarding how can Council be meaningfully communicated with (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
1103 Request of Shedrick Jay Wilkins to address Council regarding Healthy Kids Initiative (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
1104 Request of Charles E. Long to address Council regarding protecting one of Portland's greatest assets (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
TIMES CERTAIN	
S-1105 TIME CERTAIN: 9:30 AM – Declare intent to initiate local improvement district formation proceedings to construct street, sidewalk and stormwater improvements from NE 76 th Ave to NE 79 th Ave and from 120 feet east of NE 80 th Ave to NE 82 nd Avenue of Roses in the NE Alberta St Phase I Local Improvement District (Resolution introduced by Mayor Adams; C-10037) 15 minutes requested Motion to accept substitute resolution: Moved by Mayor Adams and seconded by Commissioner Fish. (Y-5) (Y-5)	SUBSTITUTE 36806
1106 TIME CERTAIN: 9:45 AM – Establish principles to guide public involvement in the development of policies, programs and projects of the City of Portland (Resolution introduced by Commissioner Fritz) 30 minutes requested for items 1106 and 1107 (Y-5)	36807

August 4, 2010

<p>1107 Appoint seven members to the Public Involvement Advisory Council (Report introduced by Mayor Adams) (Y-5)</p>	<p>CONFIRMED</p>
<p>S-1108 TIME CERTAIN: 10:30 AM – Commit contingently to pursue a mutually agreeable joint partnership for the Oregon Sustainability Center with the Oregon University System and direct the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability and Portland Development Commission staff to initiate schematic design for this purpose (Resolution introduced by Mayor Adams) 30 minutes requested</p> <p>Motion to accept substitute resolution: Moved by Mayor Adams and seconded by Commissioner Fritz.</p> <p>Motion to accept Fish amendment: Moved by Commissioner Fish and seconded by Commissioner Fritz.</p> <p>Roll call to accept Adams’ substitute as amended by Fish: (Y-4; Leonard absent)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>SUBSTITUTE 36808 AS AMENDED</p>
<p>CONSENT AGENDA – NO DISCUSSION</p>	
<p>Mayor Sam Adams</p>	
<p>Bureau of Planning & Sustainability</p>	
<p>*1109 Authorize an Intergovernmental Agreement with Multnomah County and accept a grant in the amount of \$175,000 for Communities Putting Prevention to Work provided through American Reinvestment & Recovery Act (Ordinance) (Y-5)</p>	<p>184029</p>
<p>1110 Authorize an Intergovernmental Agreement with the State of Oregon to receive business data related to City business recycling regulations (Second Reading Agenda 1087) (Y-5)</p>	<p>184030</p>
<p>Bureau of Police</p>	
<p>*1111 Authorize acceptance of a FY 2009 State Homeland Security Grant in the amount of \$65,144 from the Oregon Military Department Office of Emergency Management for equipment and training (Ordinance) (Y-5)</p>	<p>184031</p>
<p>*1112 Apply for a \$50,000 grant from the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Assistance FY 2010 for the Gang Resistance Education and Training Program (Ordinance) (Y-5)</p>	<p>184032</p>
<p>Bureau of Transportation</p>	
<p>*1113 Amend ordinance to provide legal descriptions for street segments to be renamed specifically SE Millers St to SE Miller St; rename SE Martin St. to SE Martins St; rename SE 144th Ct to SE 143rd Ct; and rename NE 107th Ave to NE 107th Pl (Ordinance; amend Ordinance No. 183357) (Y-5)</p>	<p>184033</p>

August 4, 2010

<p>*1114 Amend contract with Portland Streetcar, Inc. to provide additional professional services for project management and vehicle engineering services for production of a domestically manufactured streetcar (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 37119) (Y-5)</p>	<p>184034</p>
<p>*1115 Amend contract with Oregon Iron Works, Inc. for the production of prototype streetcar vehicle (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 37218) (Y-5)</p>	<p>184035</p>
<p>*1116 Amend ordinance to include indemnification to the Portland Public Schools Rights of Entry in connection with the Safe Routes to School Project (Ordinance; amend Ordinance No. 183542) (Y-5)</p>	<p>184036</p>
<p>1117 Amend ordinance to authorize the Director of the Bureau of Transportation to enter into Intergovernmental Agreements with the Portland Development Commission to fund professional, technical and construction services for transportation improvements (Ordinance; amend Ordinance No. 183429)</p>	<p>PASSED TO SECOND READING AUGUST 11, 2010 AT 9:30 AM</p>
<p>1118 Grant revocable permit to Pearl District Neighborhood Association to close NW 13th Ave between NW Hoyt St and NW Irving St from 2:00 p.m. until 10:00 p.m. on September 10, 2010 (Ordinance)</p>	<p>PASSED TO SECOND READING AUGUST 11, 2010 AT 9:30 AM</p>
<p>1119 Grant revocable permit to Widmer Brothers Brewing Co. to close both N Knott St and N Russell St between N Mississippi Ave and N Interstate Ave from 6:00 a.m. on September 11, 2010 until 10:00 a.m. on September 12, 2010 (Second Reading Agenda 1091) (Y-5)</p>	<p>184037</p>
<p>Commissioner Randy Leonard Position No. 4 Bureau of Water</p> <p>1120 Accept a grant in the amount of \$125,000 from the U.S. Department of Homeland Security for hardening critical Water Bureau infrastructure (Ordinance)</p>	<p>PASSED TO SECOND READING AUGUST 11, 2010 AT 9:30 AM</p>
<p>REGULAR AGENDA Mayor Sam Adams Bureau of Police</p> <p>*1121 Authorize an Intergovernmental Agreement with TriMet to provide Transit Police services (Previous Agenda 1098-1) (Y-5)</p>	<p>184038</p>

August 4, 2010

FOUR-FIFTHS AGENDA

Commissioner Dan Saltzman

Bureau of Environmental Services

***1121-1** Amend contract with Brown and Caldwell for additional work and compensation for the Fanno Basin Pump Station Project No. E08294 (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 37106) 10 minutes requested

(Y-5)

184039

At 12:55 p.m., Council recessed.

August 4, 2010

A RECESSED MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON WAS HELD THIS **4TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2010** AT 3:30 P.M.

THOSE PRESENT WERE: Mayor Adams, Presiding; Commissioners Fish, Fritz, Leonard and Saltzman, 5.

Commissioner Leonard arrived at 3:36 p.m.
Commissioner Saltzman arrived at 3:37 p.m.

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE: Karla Moore-Love, Clerk of the Council; Shane Abma, Sr. Deputy City Attorney; and Pat Kelley, Sergeant at Arms.

<p>1122 TIME CERTAIN: 3:30 PM – Create Planning and Sustainability Commission; dissolve Planning Commission and Sustainable Development Commission (Ordinance introduced by Mayor Adams; amend Title 3, 17 and 33) 30 minutes for items 1122 and 1123</p>	<p>PASSED TO SECOND READING AUGUST 11, 2010 AT 9:30 AM</p>
<p>1123 Amend the Community Involvement Work Program; amend the Periodic Review Work Program; and direct the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability to submit these amended work programs to the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development for State Approval (Ordinance introduced by Mayor Adams)</p> <p>Motion to require any vacancies on the Community Involvement Committee be filled in the same manner as the original appointments: Moved by Commissioner Fritz and seconded by Mayor Adams. (Y-5)</p>	<p>PASSED TO SECOND READING AS AMENDED AUGUST 11, 2010 AT 9:30 AM</p>

At 3:55 p.m., Council adjourned.

LAVONNE GRIFFIN-VALADE
Auditor of the City of Portland

By Karla Moore-Love
Clerk of the Council

For a discussion of agenda items, please consult the following Closed Caption File.

August 4, 2010
Closed Caption File of Portland City Council Meeting

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised City Council broadcast and should not be considered a verbatim transcript.

Key: ***** means unidentified speaker.

AUGUST 4, 2010 9:30 AM

Adams: Good morning. Welcome to the city council. The city council chambers. Glad you're here. Before we gavel in, we have three pre-session communications and observations. And so we're going to begin that process. I'd like to recognize commissioner dan Saltzman first.

Saltzman: Thank you, mayor. On monday, all flags of the city of Portland were lowered to half staff in honor of jacoby phelps. While we were planning our july 4th weekends -- he was only 29 days old -- died from internal injuries suffered from his father's abuse. This is one of the worst cases of abuse that i've heard of. Lowering our flag is a tribute to his very short life. This will be the fifth time that we've lowered the city flag since last april to honor our children who die of abuse or homicidal violence. He's by far the youngest child we've honored. June 9th, we honored four-year-old eldon smith. And we honored fernando Chávez. And we honored last month, in july, 17-year-old billy moore, who was shot while getting off a bus, a tri-met bus. Thank you. Mayor.

Adams: If we could have silence.

Adams: Thank you, commissioner Saltzman. The next few items are celebratory in nature. The first is recognition of some outstanding work. Now, we have long known that we have the best city fleet that exists either in the public or private sector. But it is been recognized by the bureau of internal business -- sorry, been recognized by -- who? -- who recognized this, john?

Saltzman: Not here.

Adams: John?

Saltzman: Oh, there he is.

Adams: Somebody wake up john. [inaudible]

Adams: Ok. So fleets from across the nation competed and government fleet magazine rated us the second best fleet in north america and we're here today to thank each and every member of the fleet division, literally, keeps us moving whether it's large infrastructure maintenance and construction vehicles, to bicycles. And everything in between. We want you to know how much we appreciate your good work and it is absolutely fitting that you receive this recognition. Can you all please stand? Give them a round of applause, folks. [applause] i'd like to thank as well and recognize jeff baer, the bureau management and john hunt and have them come forward and make a few brief comments.

Jeff Baer, Internal Business Services: Thank you, mayor Adams and members of the city council. I'm jeff baer with the internal business services and with me is john hunt, our city fleet manager and as the mayor stated we're here to recognize the public service efforts of city fleet staff that have made it possible to achieve the second highest ranking for 2010 by the north america program and with over 38,000 public fleets within north america, placement as number two is a noteworthy accomplishment and really sets the city fleet operation out as a national leader in running a best in class fleet operation. John has been receiving calls from other commune fleet managers asking about -- other fleet managers and asking about his practices and john and others who are here and those unable to make it and work for city fleet should feel very proud of receiving this national recognition and we want to thank the city council and the mayor for your support and providing an opportunity to come before you to recognize this accomplishment and i'll turn it over to john for brief comments.

August 4, 2010

John Hunt, City Fleet Manager: Hi. Briefly, I wanted to mention that the program was originally put together by industry fleet experts looking at key ways that fleets could improve and improve their overall effectiveness and efficiency in the job that they do. And also looking at setting a blue print, really for improving excellence in the field to achieve your goals and also develop quality. Some of the categories they look at are accountability, and with that, we're looking at our fleet availability and scheduled versus unscheduled and comeback rate is less than 1% out of almost 25,000 work orders a year. That's not bad. And they look at our technology --

Fritz: What's a comeback rate?

Hunt: When we perform the work, whether that vehicle comes back for a rework, a re-repair. Out of that how to work orders, we're looking at less than 1% comeback ratio and that's outstanding in our industry and the 95% or better fleet availability is outstanding as well. But the program looking at -- looks at technology and developing a high-trust culture and looking at acknowledging of staff for the job they do. Collaborating with our customers and staff. Their creativity, the new ideas and new innovations they come up with. And doing things the things right the first time. Turn around time, staff development, resource stewardship and our competitive pricing within the market we work within. So we've talked a lot about that in the past. 17% under our local market so we're proud of that and we also recognize this is really a city of Portland award because there's a lot of things with new vehicles and new technologies that you enable us do that puts us out on the forefront and we very much know we couldn't do the job we do without your help and support so we thank you very much for that and, of course, we thank jeff as our director as well. Couldn't be any prouder group to go from unranked to number 78 to number nine and then to be three years in a row in the top five in the country, knowing that the competition is getting tougher and tougher, this is really a huge thing so I just wanted you to know that and I appreciate so much you acknowledging our staff here today.

Adams: You got it. Now, let's see how fast, how fleet-footed everyone in fleet is. If that side would come up here and that side come up here, we'll have a quick group photo. Effect: Come on: Let's go: Pretend you're firefighters. And just crowd in. You can get right in front of john, no problem. Let's go: Let's go: Get right in front. Keep going. All right. Everybody squeeze together. Act surprised: [laughter] thank you, congratulations. Really appreciate your work. [applause] good work. Thank you very much. Thank you, thank you. That's the spirit. Next year, number one. All right. I would now like to recognize commissioner nick Fish.

Fish: Thank you, mayor. In the spirit of recognizing excellence today, we're in for a treat. We're joined today by national champ. It's not often that national champions in elite sports come before us, but today we welcome the eastside united liverpool boys u18 soccer team which recently won a national championship. We've asked them to come in and we're going to give them a proclamation.

But we've asked special guests to join us as well. Would the following people come forward. Merit paulson. The owner of the Portland timbers. Drew mahollick. And the director of the eastside united boys program. Mark evans, one of the coaches of the liverpool red team. And a young man named simon, and I apologize I didn't get the last name.

Fish: Harris. Would you come forward. Would you come forward. He's one of the outstanding players. If you could grab a seat. There's one behind you, drew.

Drew Mahollick: Thanks.

Fish: Go ahead and have a seat. Mayor and colleagues, it's a great time for soccer here in Portland. As we know, the city is working to bring high-quality sports facilities to youth around the city with projects planned for buckman field, roosevelt high school and parkrose high school. This summer, tens of thousands of people jammed director park and pioneer courthouse square to watch world cup live. Local college teams are winning championships including the university of Portland pilots. The Portland area is home to two great corporate champions.

August 4, 2010

Fish: Nike and adidas and fantastic partners with youth sports. I heard a rumor that major league soccer is on the way in 2011. As the timbers join the league. Today we join a milestone as we recognize the national champions of eastside liverpool red. We are proud of our reputation as soccer city usa. So congratulations and welcome, and drew, please kick us off.

Mahollick: Sure. Open behalf of the Oregon sports are authority, we're proud to congratulate or local soccer team on winning a national championship. Your timing is impeccable given that mls is coming to Portland next year. To win a national championship is just a great launch of the continuation of soccer city usa. We've got a long tradition of soccer here. Part of our mission is to market the city of Portland as a world-class sports destination and with the moniker, soccer city usa, this young team has done everything it could possibly imagine to really give us that stature and we'll be proud to brag about that on your behalf to whoever we try to recruit in the sports business to the city. Once again, we're very proud of you and you deserve the highest congratulations and thank you for having us here.

Fish: Thank you, drew. Mr. Paulson.

Merritt Paulson: Thank you, I haven't sat in this chair for a while. We have questions for you --

Paulson: I want to start by congratulating jim and all the guys. When people think of soccer usa, the timbers comes to mind. But in youth development, that has as much to do with that moniker as anything else and a national championship is terrific. Our success is predicated on local development, the -- and, you know, this is absolutely terrific. I also want to quickly thank the city, all of you commissioners, and you, commissioner Fish, in your capacity overseeing parks and your partnership with the timbers in creating soccer fields for the local kids in this area, you know, our organization is committed in every way, shape and form, to local youth soccer.

Fish: Merritt, thank you and thank you for the \$50,000 you donated this past year to parkrose high school so they could finish their fund-raising and ultimately build the first soccer field on their campus. Simon, welcome.

Simon Harris: Thank you. I think I can speak for the whole team in saying we're proud to represent Portland and proud to represent Oregon. It's an honor for us as well.

Fish: I wanted to acknowledge, looking at the website, I was looking at the school that is many of the players are going to be going to and it's interesting, there are young men going to university of Portland, to soccer powerhouses in the state of Washington and the state of california, so we'll be watching your continued development in the future years and congratulations.

Harris: Thank you.

Fish: Is it mr. Ryelet? Mr. Evans.

Fish: No, this is bill --

Fish: I'll get it right one day. Thank you, welcome.

Bill Bateman: I wanted to thank the council for bringing the boys in and providing this tournament they worked hard to achieve this and this is a goal they set out early in the year to get to regionals and win regionals. And region four is a difficult region to come out of and go back to nationals. Lost the first game and won three straight showed a lot of courage and a lot of just grit they were going to get there in the end. Thank you for recognizing them.

Fish: Thank you, coach, and thank you for noticing that the path to a national championship includes winning a state championship and we're a competitive state and a regional championship which is an enormous achievement and then a national championship and it doesn't happen along. The last time was in 1994. And 16 years later, this group of players honors our state with yet another national championship. Congratulations. Mr. Ryelet, welcome.

Jim Rilet: Thanks to the city council, to the boys, for the great job, but bill bateman and mark evans on behalf of eastside, put a lot of time and sacrifice to coaching this team and we're to very proud of what they've done, the boys, but recognition to bill and mark, because gresham is a tough place to coach in december when it's windy and cold and they've done a great job and we're proud

August 4, 2010

of them and thank you, city council, for showing that support. I did win the '94 one and we didn't get to come and see the city council. [laughter]

Fish: Thank you, jim. We'll get a picture with the council, but I wanted to acknowledge that eastside is also known for outstanding women's team. And they wouldn't the state championship, which was disappointing because my daughter plays for fc Portland green which lost to a outstanding eastside team but obviously, you're producing champions for both boys and girls.

Fritz: I want to give a shout out to the soccer moms and dads who did a lot of -- a lot of talking to get the kids to practice and food on the table and I want to recognize the parents and the community who are also part of the team and the young men for shining in the national championship.

Adams: Let's have a quick picture and see if you can move faster than fleet. [laughter]

Adams: Thank you, guy, very much.

Adams: Congratulations. [applause]

Fish: Here's a signed proclamation by our mayor declaring the day's recognition for united liverpool reds and congratulations.

Adams: Congratulations. [applause] you're welcome to stay and see local democracy happen, but we will not be offended if you leave, either. [laughter] so you don't have to stay. Smart man, smart man. There's leadership. He was out of the chair in a split second. All right, Karla, we'll begin the official part of our gathering. If you could please call the roll. [roll call]

Adams: Quorum is present and it's wednesday, august 4th, 2011. We're in 9:30 session. Please read the communications item 1102.

Item 1102.

Adams: Mr. Hardiman all right. Please read communications item 1103.

Item 1103.

Adams: Mr. Wilkins please come forward and welcome -- if you're representing an organization by way of lobbying, please disclose that.

Shedrick Jay Wilkins: I'm shedrick jay wilkins and my phone number --

Adams: We don't need your phone number but we need you close to the mic.

Wilkins: I'm for the healthy kids initiative, because three years ago my daughter was on television for measure 50 and for a 10-year-old to be on Oregon television was very flattering. Well, it failed but I understand the legislature, plus the obama administration, is going to get 95% of all children covered. Now, I have understand the other 5% of the children are basically undocumented aliens, illegal aliens. I'm here to see a backlash next year from this. I think healthcare for children should be a right. Right. I think if my daughter, amanda, it's a true story, if she hadn't had an early diagnosis for bladder cancer, she would have died. And that's why she got on tv. Now, the method of doing that, a cigarette tax was controversial and went down. The obama administration expanded s chip with a cigarette and income tax and Oregon has some invisible insurance tax but again, there was a 5% problem. I don't think Portland is going to do good if we start turning way children because we don't know if they're a u.s. resident or not. And I predict this will happen. And I want to be honest and I really think in Oregon we should set a precedent to get all children to have the right to healthcare and there shouldn't be some petty reason they get turned away from a doctor or it's considered to charity or a way to apiece medicaid which is there no right here. An hmo can turn a child away, it just doesn't look good. That's all I want it say. I see next year this will be a problem. And again, people will say, Portland even shouldn't do this, there's only five states that plan to cover all children. Only five. Oregon, california, michigan and florida. And a few other ones that I don't know -- they're on the internet. Also the tri-met bus depot do have healthy kids and they're promoting it and so is the Oregon health department. It's pushed out there. My daughter sees the fact that it says are your kids insured? So it's coming and there's going to be a 5% kids getting turned way because they don't have a citizenship part. All right, thanks.

Fritz: Mr. Wilkins, thank you for coming in and caring for all kids.

August 4, 2010

Wilkins: My daughter could have died.

Fritz: I want everybody to know that there is money in what the Oregon legislature passed last year for all children. So there's -- even undocumented children can get some healthcare and also that people need to sign up their kids to get the insurance that was passed by Oregon, so I appreciate your highlighting the campaign on tri-met and elsewhere and if folks who are watching want to know how to get the kids signed up, they can go to my website and get information how to do that.

Adams: Thank you, sir. Please read title for communications item number 1104.

Item 1104.

Adams: Welcome back, mr. Long.

Charles Long: My name is Charles Long. Imagine a city, our city, bereft of trees. I pondered that thought as I came across an inspiring poem called "trees." Fortunately, Portland is not only the city of roses, but also the city of trees. Literally continue hundreds of thousands of them. We uniquely have an urban forest, fine forested parks and neighborhoods where ambiance is more like parks than residential areas. And -- in their charm. Such as Alameda, Laurelhurst, to name a few. In recent decades, our city fathers and mothers had the foresight to have trees planted in our downtown core, along Grady Boulevard, such as MLK on the eastside where a median strip puts trees soaring in the air. Not only an aesthetic value but an environmental value as well. They purify the air and provide a sound buffer and provide natural air conditioning and habitat where nature's little -- for nature's creatures and enhanced value to the property owner. Trees have been in the news lately. The city club issued a major report concerning the need to protect and preserve forest park. Commissioner Nick Fish is pondering when and if the council could submit to voters a levy to expand our park system. And an unlicensed arborist butchered trees and felled stately trees on Highway 26 along Mount Hood. The first Baptist church will hold a worship service under a canopy of trees, between 11th and 12th avenues, a block west of Central Library. Adjacent to the church's 19th century edifice. As Joyce Kilmer wrote, "I think I shall never see a poem as lovely as a tree, for hungry mouth is pressed against earth's sweet flowing breast. The tree that looks to God all day, and lifts her leafy arms to pray. A tree that in summer wears a nest of robins in her hair, upon whose bosom snow is laid, and who instinctually lives with rain. Poems are made by fools like me, but only God can make a tree." Thank you.

Adams: Thank you very much, Mr. Long. We have two time certainties, one at 9:30 and one at 9:45. And we're running late. Andrew, do you have citizens to testify for the lid? How many are here for the lid? Two? Ok. Karla, can you please read --

Moore-Love: Do you want to do the consent agenda first?

Adams: Yes, anyone wish to pull any items from the consent agenda? Hearing -- [inaudible] oh, we just lost our third. So no, we'll wait on the consent agenda. Can you please read the title for time certain item resolution number 1105.

Item 1105.

Andrew Aebi: Good morning, Mayor Adams and city commissioners. I'm Andrew Aebi, local improvement district administrator. Karla, if we could switch to the presentation. This is an overview map of the area of the project. This project would improve Northeast Alberta Street between N.E. 76th and N.E. 79th in the Cully neighborhood. The portion of the street that would be improved. Northeast Alberta is on the 2030 bike network and this would be the start of the connection from N.E. 82nd to N.E. Cully Boulevard. A cycle track on Northeast Cully Boulevard and we expect there to be a need for more east-west bike connections in the area and this is start the connection. In terms of project scope, we have 79.7% total support for the project from two property owners, with one property owner himself having the majority of the support for the street. I will be proposing a substitute resolution that would construct street improvements mostly on the north side of the street with future street improvements on the south side to occur upon

August 4, 2010

redevelopment. This is a map of the lid, you can see there's three very large parcels on the north side of the street. None of those parcels would add access to Alberta Street in terms of driveways. This would complete in the future the frontage of the precondition of develop. This is a closer in view. The three large properties on the north side and a variety of residential properties on the south side. The properties shaded in blue did not favor petition support in favor. We had one petition in favor from the green property on the south side and we would build frontage along that property. If the substitute resolution is adopted, lid boundary would be extended east to 82nd Avenue and we would be building frontage improvements on the east end of Alberta prior to 82nd where there's a sidewalk that would connect to sidewalk as part of the sidewalk infill project. And this is a closer in view of the properties that tendered their petitions in support. Here's a picture of northeast Alberta during the daytime. You can see pedestrians are using it. The first east-west street in a quarter mile distance north of Prescott. This is the same street at night and that's not streetlighting that you're seeing on the street. Those are actually my car headlights. And then this is Sacajawea Park. And this project would provide a paved street connection all the way from 82 to Sacajawea Park at a no cost to Parks and Recreation. And that concludes my presentation. I also wanted to hand out a memo from the fire bureau, they are supportive of this project because it does provide an improved east-west connection for emergency response in the area and I'd be happy to answer questions you might have.

Adams: Commissioner Fritz.

Fritz: Why wouldn't the large property have access -- how do we know, when there's large properties to the north developed, they wouldn't need access or --

Aebi: Would your question, why the properties on the north wouldn't have access to the street?

Fritz: Yeah, because you said that in your presentation. The larger industrial zoned -- or employment zoned properties wouldn't access this street.

Aebi: I think it has to do with the zoning commissioner. On the zoning on the south side is primarily residential and the zoning on the north side -- I'm trying to remember exactly what the zoning is. There's two different zoning overlays on the north side. Those properties would have access from Killingsworth to the north and I do know that the neighborhood was concerned about a large development going in on the north side of the street and traffic on to Alberta Street and the north-south streets in the area.

Fritz: I'm not sure what kind of development is allowed on eg but seems like it's big enough that you would want to access.

Aebi: That's something that the bureau of planning came up with. What I'd be happy to do, if the council pass this is resolution today, be happy in the meantime to follow up with the bureau of planning and maybe bring back more information. Approval of this project doesn't really affect that. For sake of argument, council wanted to provide access to the properties on the north, it would be easy enough to accommodate that during the design process. I'm not necessarily recommending but I can follow up with planning and provide that information at the lid formation hearing.

Fritz: That was one of the questions that I didn't feel was entirely answered as to whether the large properties would be able to -- required to do this anyway, as far as any future development plan.

Aebi: To be clear, commissioner Fritz, the properties on the north are required to do frontage improvements even though they don't have access. Even if that were to change, and they don't have access, they still have the requirement.

Fritz: What's the advantage of doing it now rather than wait for that?

Aebi: I think there's several. Number one, we have an existing park at 76th and Alberta that provides a paved connection all the way to the park and a sidewalk to the park. Tri-Met's most frequent bus route operates on 82nd Avenue. A lot of on and off transit traffic. Providing a sidewalk connection for pedestrians who are getting from the bus to the neighborhood. I think importantly, if we didn't build the project, then if redevelopment occurred on the south side of the

August 4, 2010

street, most likely the we would have to collect waivers of remonstrances and talking about the same lid in the future. This way we get the project built on the north side, the neighborhood immediately benefits and as redevelopment occurs on the south side, all they have to do is put in the pavement and curb and sidewalk and the street gets done. It sets the stage in a more efficient manner.

Fritz: Is there any city subsidy in this lid?

Aebi: There's a small subsidy. The bureau of transportation is absorbing overhead costs on the project to try and make the project a little bit more affordable and recognizing we have multiple property owners participating in the lid.

Fritz: Thank you.

Adams: Any other discussion from council? Let's go to public testimony.

Moore-Love: We have five people signed up.

Adams: Good morning, welcome to the city council. We're glad you're here. You only need to give us your first and last name. If you're lobbying on behalf of a business or organization, please disclose that. That clock in front of you will help count down your three minutes. Please begin.

Angela Southwick: Good morning. My name is angela and my husband and I own property on the south side of alberta street. At 77th avenue and this is connected to this lid proposal. The two -- your -- from my understanding, you're proposed with two options today. To pave the north and south side of alberta for several blocks or pave only the north side of alberta for the same distance. Being presented with these two options only, I would favor paving only the north side of the street. The cost of paving the south side of alberta would be a 40% increase to my housing costs. For our 474 square foot house. This is unaffordable for my household. I would urge you to either vote no on the entire project or to vote -- if you vote yes, encourage you to vote yes on the north side improvement only. These financial issues extend beyond paving the streets. There are 61 miles of streets in Portland that are currently not maintained by the city because they don't meet current city standards. The most compelling reason to improve these streets are for public edifice rights and you negligence mentioned the bike connections and fire access. After your vote today, on the alberta lid, I plan to review some alternative funding that were provided to the city through a previous public office and I would encourage all of you to do the same. Public funding should be required when promoting public benefits beyond -- it really does affect the entire community and not just individual property owners abutting the street. I -- i'm actually interested in working with the city, to improve the funding mechanisms for upgrading our entire transportation system, so i'd be happy to meet with anyone afterwards and have discussions beyond just this lid proposal. Thank you.

Adams: So, I would be very interested in follow up with you as well -- in f following up with you as well. Thanks for your testimony, appreciate it.

Brent Beall: My name is brent we'll and I represent alberta street llc. And probably the largest commercial property in this block and i'm here today to try and confirm that there will be no financial responsibility from 79th to 82nd. With this current business climate, i've had to lower rents to my tenants. We represent three businesses that work out of our property. And we just cannot afford anything at this time to support this project so we're -- we oppose anything. And in the future, I guess we understand if we were to make improvements to our property, we'd be responsible for some sort of sidewalk improvement. It's been my experience in other properties. And I don't know if there's a dollar value that you use to, you know, at what point do we have to improve our frontage. So -- other than that, so, as part of alberta street llc, paid \$14,000 a year in taxes, we oppose any financial impact on our business. Thank you.

Adams: Thank you for your testimony. Hi, welcome back.

Steven Yett: Good morning, my name is steven yett. The question's been raised why do this project at all. And since i'm the major reason we're discussing this today, i'd like to try and answer

August 4, 2010

that. It's true there's nothing currently in the works for developing properties, my property's affected by the street improvement. However, I feel this is a foundation stone for not only my property, but a second of the cully neighborhood. And as time goes on, I think people will feel -- be able to see the benefits when the street -- when -- if the street is improved. To me, lid represents an investment in my property, the city, and it's also a financial vehicle that allows me to start the process of developing, without accumulating a large financial burden -- immediately. And being on both sides of the lid process, I think it's a good vehicle. I think that working with Andrew Aebi has been very pleasant, in my experience. And I would like to see people use this type of vehicle to improve their streets. Thank you.

Adams: When did you initiate the conversations with Andrew? And for background, the lid process automatically comes to council when there's a certain percentage of property owners who have agreed to pursue an lid. When did you start the conversation?

Yett: I first talked to Andrew about three, four years ago, in regards to Alberta Street. And his inquiry to me is -- is do we use Alberta Street and is there some way that we could mitigate the roughness of the street? In fact, we currently do not use that -- some of the properties are blocked currently from access onto Alberta Street. But I did send a crew over to smooth up the street, believe it or not. It was smoother at one time. And we started talking about the lid process. So --

Adams: Is there a reason these are -- those are obvious questions and you started this when times were much better and times are '06-'07 tougher for folks. Is there a reason why it couldn't wait?

Yett: No. There probably isn't a reason why it couldn't wait. However, I will point out that construction costs currently are very low. I don't know what's going to be available, if we wait years down the road to do this. I guess eternal optimist that the economy will eventually improve to the point that people will be interested in that section of Cully, doing something.

Adams: So my suggestion is to wait. But I also by way of saying waiting, not years, but I would like to wait. For those of you that are property owners, half the street, the gutter system, the stormwater system and the curb and sidewalk throughout most of the city with some exception, have been paid for by the adjacent property owners. So it is, you know, the folks that live in Buckman or my neighborhood, in Kenton, all of those -- when those houses were built, they were built inside the city and the developers were required to pay for much of the infrastructure. Unfortunately, there's a lot in the city that was urbanized when it was unincorporated and the developers were not required to put it in. So we will have to, at some point charge. So thank you for your testimony and we'll talk more about the details with Andrew.

Yett: If the mayor feels that we should wait, I'm not opposed to postponing a decision.

Adams: We're going to take other testimony.

Fritz: I want to ask you a question. With my understanding, in the substitute ordinance, it's just those properties who are interested in participating?

Yett: That's correct.

Fritz: It's your property and the one to the south and the LLC that are going to be paying and the others would not.

Yett: The lid program set up currently affects only people who volunteer to sign up for improvements.

Adams: We'll discuss that in greater detail when I get back to Andrew. Thank you for your testimony.

Adams: Hi, welcome. Is our other testifier here?

*******:** I believe he was the gentleman who testified earlier about the children's thing.

Adams: Ok. Welcome, glad you're here.

Laura Young: This is my first attempt at this, so --

Adams: Your name.

August 4, 2010

Young: My name is Laura Young, I'm here to offer full support of the Cully Association of Neighbors in the adoption for the amended proposal for Alberta Street -- I'm losing my voice, so I apologize. The amended proposal will allow the Cully neighborhood and surrounding communities to benefit from the tremendous generosity of the business owners who agreed to provide funds to partially improve the street without imposing a financial burden on the homeowners on the south side in this lid area. The amended proposal also presents the livability for the entire community by providing a safe route between 76th and 82nd while allowing the homeowners on the south side to benefit from the partial improvement while being able to opt out for the north side property frontages. The amended proposal will allow the homeowners to incur no cost if they choose to opt out. In addition, the west end terminates at Sacajawea Park, one of a few public recreation areas available in Cully today. It offers an off-leash dog area and in addition to other areas to be utilized by the community. The park is also adjacent to the Sacajawea Head Start campus where approximately 140 preschool children attend and their families use it during the school year. These families desperately need and are entitled to have a safe pedestrian route to the school. The completion of the north side street improvement will provide the only paved route with a sidewalk to the park up to 82nd and south of Alberta to Prescott. In addition to the needs of the children and family, there's a need to provide safe and reliable access for police and fire and medical services for the benefit of all members of the community and with improvements already underway at the park in 2010, we may expect more pedestrian traffic in the future and a need for more surveillance and accessibility to the park. I would request the Councils to consider this a win-win solution for the community and property owners to access desperately needed street improvements in Cully. If the proposal is not adopted, it might be years before an opportunity like this presents itself again. Thank you for your time.

Adams: I turned the page and saw the substitute. I move the substitute.

Fish: Second.

Adams: Moved and seconded. Any additional discussion.

Fritz: I want to thank you for the letter in the record and you exemplify a neighborhood association volunteer who does great work.

Fish: My understanding is that the substitute exempts property owners whose owners did not tender their --

Fish: Aye. **Saltzman:** Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Fritz:** Aye.

Adams: Aye approved. [gavel pounded] Can you please call the roll on the substitute resolution.

Saltzman: I'm appreciative of the substitute in light of the testimony by Alberta LLC and Miss Southwick. I think this represents a willingness to move forward with the lid and I would like to thank Mr. Yett for his initiative and candor in making this happen in the neighborhood as well. The Cully Association Neighborhood. Pleased to vote aye.

Leonard: Aye.

Fritz: It was excellent and thank you, Andrew Aebi for your work on this. You always have good answers to my questions and prompt answers and very clear staff work. It was interesting in the record to see how the neighborhood association, the concerned neighbors and the property owners, worked together to figure out something which is manageable for everybody and still provides the much needed improvements in Cully. And I think Mr. Yett made an excellent point about the current construction prices being less. That, to me, is a good reason to move forward with this at this time. Aye.

Fish: Andrew, thanks as always for a superb presentation. Very clear and thank you to all who came to testify and help us get it right. Aye.

Adams: This should be known as yet way. Because I've never seen anything like this. This is an incredible -- [inaudible] this is informally, not an official street re-naming. This is an amazing amount of generosity so thank you. Aye. [gavel pounded] Resolution is approved. We will -- because we have federal delegates coming in for time certain at 10:30, I need to let folks know that

August 4, 2010

we will have to interrupt consideration of 1106, so we can keep them on schedule. But let's begin by reading time certain item number 1106.

Item 1106 & Item 1107.

Adams: Commissioner amanda Fritz.

Fritz: I am very happy to introduce this resolution by the public involvement advisory council that establishes principles to guide public involvement in the development of policies, programs and projects of the city of Portland. Although staffed by the office of neighborhood involvement, the piac belongs to the whole council. City council created and inaugurated this advisory council in the fall of 2008. We appoint both community members from a broad range of backgrounds and city staff members from 13 bureaus and charge them to work together to develop meaningful recommendations to help the city conduct consistent and meaningful public involvement processes and thank you all who are here and thank you for your work on the committee. I apologize for the timeline of the council session going longer and appreciate your patience in being with us. Today the public involvement advisory council returns to share with us the next step to fulfill the charge we gave them and i'm very pleased how diligently the group has worked together and participation of so many bureaus and community members working together, is exemplifies the principles of citizen involvement we want to carry forward. And i'm pleased that a lot of -- that the bureaus and I know the council, are committed to working together, to have tools that establish effective and consistent public involvement processes. So I invite to come forward, three members of the public involvement advisory council. Alison wiznewsy, Linda nettehoven and marty stockton. And as we start I'm going to give a shout out to Afifa Ahmed-Shafi who is our wonderful staff person in oni who works with this committee.

Adams: Hi, welcome to city council. Glad you're here.

Allison Stefsky: Good morning, i'm allison, a recent graduate from cleveland high school and an freshman at the university of southern california and finishing my first year long term on piac as the youth representative and joining me are two other members. Linda, representing the hand neighborhood and marty representing the bureau of planning and sustainability. piac was created two years ago as a response to the voiced need for greater quality and consistency of public improvement across city government. We're hoping to create a shift in mind set, emphasizes meaningful public involvement and creating resources our city needs to provide this public involvement. We're representing the ground work and engaging the public. Piac is comprised of half bureau members and half community members. 14 bureau members are represented on piac and community positions range from representing neighborhood associations to minority groups to private businesses. We're here to present a major step in the way to creating statewide guidelines that will help ensure quality public involvement across city government. The primary purpose for which you created the piac. We're asking you to approve the resolution before you that will adopt new public involvement principles, indicators and outcomes and direct piac members to develop public involvement impact statements to accompany all future ordinances and resolutions and reports that come before city council and an baseline assessment tool to begin to gather basic information about public policies and practices from all bureaus. The principles are the product of extensive outreach and input from community and bureau members who worked collaboratively over the past 14 months. Bureau leaders, yourselves and your staff, the international association of public participation and several community-based organizations. I want to acknowledge the extensive work of the piac members to get us to this point. A number of them are behind me. If you would stand, represented by yellow nametags. We want to acknowledge them and councilmember, I would like to thank you for this opportunity to present you with the innovative work of the piac members and collaboration throughout the process and ongoing support for the unique in the nation partnership between community members and government staff. I'd like to turn it over to linda, who will describe the proposed resolution and the public involvement principles

August 4, 2010

and later, marty will describe the public involvement impact statement and baseline assessment and inform you of other projects piac is working on.

Fritz: Thank you, alison on your work and all the best when you go off to college.

Stefsky: Thank you.

Linda Nettekoven: Good morning, mayor Adams and commissioners. My name is linda, and i'm a community member of the public involvement advisory council. The resolution you have before you spelling out our proposal for public involvement principles is in response to the charge you've given us and i'd like to take a moment to state the obvious, which is as you all know, city government no longer can go it alone when it comes to solving the challenges and problems facing our communities. We individuals, working alone or coming together collectively in groups who have a vast array of resources and talents and can add great value to the work of elected officials and city staff. Together, we can accomplish things that no one sector can accomplish. Therefore, the need is obvious for a partnership and collaboration as we go forward. In the key to achieving and sustaining these kinds of partnerships and collaborations is quality public involvement. As the resolution before you indicates, we count on quality public involvement as a way to ensure decisions will more effectively respond to the needs and priorities of the community and that it provides a way to engage community resources and members as part of the solution and the full diversity of our community in ways we have perhaps not been able to do as effectively in the past and increases public understanding of and support for public policies and programs. And finally, increases the legitimacy and accountability of government actions and we hope these principles can provide a roadmap to guide government officials and city staff in establishing consist the, effective and high-quality public involvement. These principles spell out what the public can expect from city government while at the same time retaining flexibility in the ways that the bureaus conduct their work. I want it say a little bit more, because as alison indicated, our process was extensive in bringing these principles together. I'd like to say a little bit about that. We have the stats, the vinyl notice book. We began by looking at previous reports of taskforces and other groups within the city of Portland on this topic. We looked at other -- we looked at bureaus that have existing public involvement principles and policies, such as pdc and parks and looked at models from cities around the country and went internationally and looked at brighten city council in the united kingdom. Commissioner Fritz and vancouver b.c., our neighbor to the north and looked at represented public participation organizations. The national coalition of dialogue. And one of our very interns created a matrix that identified the themes that stretched across this body of work and from that, we created a draft set of principles. And in the spirit of good involvement, we took this draft on the road, so to speak, presenting it to the full bureau of directors meeting last december and met with nine bureau directors over the past year and twice with each commissioner's office and mayor's office and with the oni advisory committee and the neighbors chairs and the statewide land use group and the international association for public participation was having a meeting in Portland and we presented to them and we had a online survey so that members. The generally public could comment and as a result, we have some clear characteristics. The first being they're not cookie cutter and we don't expect one size to fit all. They're more like the umbrella constitution that will guide our work. And they're not necessarily measurable in the usual sense and seems like measures might be needed -- might need to be created within a bureau or certain types of projects but we didn't try to come up with quantifiable measures for each principle at this point. And that leads me to the indicators and outcomes portion, the city staff told us that abstract ideas are not good enough. They need to know what this looks like, how to do it successfully and the matrix illustrates the things one can look at in a public involvement process that's in keeping with the principles and look for the likely outcomes that would proceed from these efforts. The principles, there are seven of them. I'm not going to read them since they're in your packet but I want to emphasis key elements. The first one, partnership, we stressed the idea of feedback. Citizens often come excitedly to tell what you they

August 4, 2010

think, but when they have no way of knowing what's done with that information, it's obviously frustrating. A cornerstone of partnership is let people know what you're doing with the information. Early involvement, interestingly enough was the top of the list. On the online survey. People need to be involved in the problem identification or the identification of a potential opportunity. And building relationships and community compass. We leave the community stronger and better informed and better able to participate next time as a result of them being involved in one of our processes. And inclusiveness and equity. We're focusing on that in the Portland plan and that's an opportunity for staff and citizens to think how to do this better so we become more skilled at recognizing impacts be -- the benefits and costs that accompany various city proposals. Good quality process design and implementation. Under that one, we want to stress the need to accommodate changes in our processes, even though you've got a perfectly designed process when you go out the door, things happen and you, of all people, know that well. So we want to stress that a good process allow that is kind of change and evolution to happen as an effort goes forward. Transparency, obviously, people need access it information but access to information they can understand. It's a complex society we're living in. And we need to make ways to have it available to people in a timely way. And accountability, that's what we're hoping for if we adopt these principles that we're going to see the public involvement goes forward. And these will replace the 1996 principles that were adopted. These need to reflect the changes in technology and will form the future work of the piac and marty will present that shortly. I want to end by saying the approach we're using is to try to create a shared culture of learning in the city so we identify what works and share that information and support each other's efforts and not planning to be the public involvement police or advocating more process but better process and offer this as a resource for city staff to help them think through their processes and indicators, what they can expect and what they might work toward. With that, i'll turn it over to marty.

Marty Stockton, Bureau of Planning and Sustainability: Thanks, linda.

Adams: Marty, I apologize. Could we take a pause so we can let our federal representatives speak and then we'll come back to this item. Right away. We'll move to hearing the entire item, resolution 1108. Take a pause to allow them the courtesy of testifying. I do apologize, our schedule is kind of kerfuffled. Unless there's objection, i'll suspend the rules to allow for comments from representatives and a member of our federal delegation regarding resolution 1108. Can you read the title for resolution 1108.

Item 1108.

Adams: If we could -- the city council would join me in welcoming the honorable congressman david wu and representative loretta smith from senator ron wyden's office and janelle bell from senator merkley's office. Please come forward. Come on in. Welcome. In addition to the courtesies of the chamber on items before the city council, and the fact that congressman wu, thank you for being here, has been a champion on all things green and sustainable, whether it's getting the epa to recognize the actual value of green streets or sustainability, innovations we have pushed originally here in Portland. I want it thank you, congressman wu for that. And it's a momentous day because every member of the delegation turned in a -- a momentous day --

David Wu, Congressman: A member-directed project.

Adams: Exactly. Every member of the delegation is helping to make this happen and we have news that in -- indeed -- we did get an earmark and in an era where earmarks are really hard to come by, thank you. All of you. Really appreciate that very much. So congressman wu and then we'd love to hear from loretta and janette. Welcome.

*******:** Thank you.

Wu: Thank you very much, mayor, members of the city council. In turn, i'd like to welcome you all to the first congressional district.

Adams: Good.

August 4, 2010

Wu: I think that the congressional district in the city have coexisted and worked very well together on this place for the last 150-plus years. And what this hearing is all about, is the next 150 years. That is truly what is at stake because all through american history and the 150 years that Portland and the first congressional district have been here, the history of america, the history of our economy is the history of innovation. The economists tell us that about 90% of u.s. Economic growth is due to innovation. Not more resources, not more people, not more labor. But the way in which we put that labor, the materials together, to make new products, new services and create new high-wage jobs. Economists say since world war II, 50% or more of american economic growth is because of innovation. What I call the triple net building, net zero for energy, for water use, and for carbon emission, what I call the net zero building is about the next period of american competitiveness and innovation. Portland can become the international leader in efficient green energy and it is fitting that we will build the first large-scale urban triple net zero building in the world. We can lead the world in energy innovation and energy efficiency. The short term benefit are construction jobs. The long term benefit is leading the nation and word and long-term jobs. Not only will this center be the first high-density urban building to achieve this goal, it will serve as a living laboratory for the research, development and the launch of new energy solutions as a focal point -- the center will serve as a focal point for people, ideas and education focused on green and sustainable green -- green energy and energy efficiency. We know -- we know that putting the right people in the right place leads to innovation. This triple net center will bring together a diverse group of collaborators from academic institution, the private sector, nonprofits and the government focused on green energy and energy efficient and housed under one roof and indeed, this collaboration, I think we need a better word. Since we're talking in new age terms about sustainability, we might as well use the word synergy, eh? But what the mechanisms we'll work on are solar cells and water collection and filtration systems and this type of technology clustering will in turn lead to an economic cluster that's a new model for this kind of development for like-minded businesses from around the country and indeed, the globe. The project will generate thousands of new jobs starting with the design and construction phase. Mayor Adams, you probably well remember that I have been involved with you and others to bring the federal department of energy's regional innovation cluster program on energy-efficient buildings to Portland. The triple net center is completely in sync with this complimentary project and will acceleration innovation in this growing sector of our regional and national economy. I hope this e-rect project will become a major tenant in the triple net building. Personally, my three top priorities are innovation, jobs and education and this is a model project which combines all three. And that is why I will continue to work with our house and senate delegation to fund this important innovation project and if we're successful with the first phase, I believe the city, that the Oregon higher education system and federal government will be roughly equal partners in funding this development phase. I want to close with -- oh, with a baseball story, I guess. There have been a lot of concerns about the cost of the capital visitors' center in Washington d.c. a lot of money was spent on it. I probably wouldn't have voted on that but for the fact that the capitol police said that would make their jobs safer and security the capitol complex. It was a lot of money but I was sitting with my kids at a baseball game last summer and looking around. I reflected on the stadium and thought, huh, interesting. This stadium costs about the same as the united states capitol visitors' center. But long after the baseball stadium is metaphorically on the junk heap of history and literally in the junkyard somewhere, the capitol visitors' center will continue to welcome americans and people from around the world to the united states capitol building. It's a sound federal investment. For this triple net building, this -- this is -- this is a heavy investment, a heavy lift, but it is an investment in our future, you should cap the cost but it's an investment not only in today but tomorrow. In what you and I do, we're always looking to the next budget, maybe to the next election. Our forbearers did great things in looking to the horizon, indeed, in looking over the horizon and that is why the city of

August 4, 2010

Portland, the state of Oregon, and the first congressional district have thrived in this corner of Oregon for the last 150 years. I ask you to commit yourselves to making this investment to the future. To have the courage of our forbears, to look over the horizon, make us proud. Do the right thing. Thank you very much.

Adams: Thank you.

Adams: Congressman, and the personal interest and advocacy you've taken in this is -- and advocacy is much appreciated and much is out of the view and vista of Portlanders and the Portland city council but I wanted to thank you for your personal passion and work on this. Loretta.

Loretta Smith, Senator Wyden's Office: Good morning. I'm loretta smith with senator wyden's office and I want to come and thank you, mayor and city council for your leadership in making this project a priority. Your commitment and your dedication to sustainability is what we need here in Portland and in Oregon. And I just want to say kudos to all of you from senator wyden.

Adams: And please thank him for his great work on this as well.

John L. Bell, Representative for Senator Jeff Merkley: My name is john l. Bell, I have the pleasure of representing u.s. Senator jeff merkley in Multnomah county. I have a prepared remark.

As is the case with most junior senators. I would not be representing him well if I didn't have longer remarks but i'm here today on behalf of senator merkley to offer his support for the Oregon sustainability center and talk about the impact of this program and project and how it will have an impact on the city of Portland and the future of clean energy industries here in Oregon for a long time to come. Portland has long been the green building industry leader for the nation, from the blocks in the pearl district to the city's honor of the most leed certified buildings per capita. Portland is the leader in green building. However, technology doesn't stand still and in order to steam it, a reputation and demonstrate to the world the future of energy efficiency and green building, we must continue to evolve. Oregon continues to did that as evidenced in the clean energy works project that started in Portland and in the process of becoming a statewide program. And Oregon has continued to do that over and over with a number of acquisitions of local sustainability jobs. Senator merkley has spent much of his time talking about how to transform our nation's energy policy to create a million jobs by deploying clean energy and energy efficiency technologies to end our nation's dependence on overseas oil over the next 20 year as and put our planet open a path to cushing global warming and -- a key step and the concept of the Oregon sustainability center with zero energy impact and live-in laboratory commitment further the senator's commitments and visions. At the core of senator merkley's energy plans is the notion by reforming our energy system to build a clean economy we can invigorate our economy across the boards where we build new industries where the manufacturing and intellectual capital are both home grown. And demonstrate the potential of these home-grown american clean energy jobs. When senator merkley visited Portland state university he was impressed with the collaboration of the university and city and Oregon business educating and training the student innovators of tomorrow. Blending the building sciences and architecture to -- and engineering to build and manage buildings more efficiently creates the tools we need to drive a new green economy. Senator merkley was an early supporter of the Oregon sustainability center concept and continues to promote that to create a net zero building in the heart of Portland. Reflected in last week's announcement that it was awarded \$300,000 an appropriations bill of the senate energy and water committee and that he advocated for and will do on the senate floor. The funding is targeted to help construct the water systems, integrating the technologies necessary for the center to attain net zero water performance. As we know in this funding, needs to clear several hurdles, it brings the center step closer to fruition. Senator merkley looks forward to continue to partner with the city of Portland and further the establishment of Portland as a hub of the nation's transition to a clean energy future. Thank you for your leadership on this and once again, the senator would like to offer his as for.

August 4, 2010

Adams: Thanks for the senator as well for his great advocacy on this project. All of you, thank you, we know you're incredibly business. Congressman, thank you for spending time with us. Appreciate it. We'll now go back to our regularly scheduled program. [laughter] which is marty, just about to provide us with an overview and back on item number 1106 and 1107. Thank you and I apologize for the interruption.

Item 1106 & 1107 continued from earlier.

Stockton: I wanted to continue on where we had left off. So allison had started with the introduction and linda, introduced the principles that piac is presenting to you today. My name is marty Stockton and I represent the bureau of planning and sustainability on piac. I've been formally serving on piac since february of this year and see value in piac's role to provide support to city bureaus with implementation of public involvement, best practices and guidelines. We're asking you to direct us to create two products today. The first is a public involvement impact statement, or at mayor suggested yesterday, known as the public involvement report. This is something that the bureaus prepare and include with all ordinances, resolutions and reports that come before you. The second product is a baseline public involvement assessment that piac would use to establish basic information on bureau public involvement policies and procedures across city bureaus. We have a prepared initial draft of both of these products to give you an idea what we're talking about and you have those in your handouts. We have already got many good suggestions from bureau and city council staffers on this these and we will continue to work forth with bureaus and commissioners and the mayor's office to further improve these products. Description of the public involvement report, again, it would be included with all ordinances, resolutions and reports that came -- that come before you. The intent of the public involvement report is to raise awareness and focus attention of city staff on public involvement, as important elements in policy making and additionally, create a stream of data that piac can use for research. The public involvement report is essentially modeled from the financial impact statements that commissioner Saltzman, commissioner Fish and mayor Adams' bureaus have used. This report asks would is charge of the public involvement on a project, versus the general project manager, list what public involvement is at the head, what public involvement has been completed. And when we met with susan anderson, the director of the bureau of planning and sustainability, she suggested to make sure the report is not too tedious of a process to fill out and also not a check-the-box. But should tell the story of public involvement. If possible, the report could be filmed out and submitted online so that an electronic database is established. The description of the second product is the baseline assessment, was a questionnaire that piac would use to establish basic information on bureau, public involvement policies and procedures across city bureaus. The customer service advisory committee also established a similar baseline for bureaus and offices and I have to say, as a former staff of bureau development service, bureau of development services created exemplary -- I didn't say that - - customer service as a response to the baseline. Questions on the baseline assessment will range from whether the bureau has a written public involvement policy or strategy. To specifically asking what avenues or tools, for example, an online comment form. Meeting, survey, social media, etc., are being used for name. We'd like to stress that -- for engagement, it's not meant to be a lot of work or require effort. Piac will collect the information across the board in one year and in depth reviews of three bureaus each year would follow. Actually -- after the first year. We plan to work with a bureaus each year to conduct a more in depth review. So some examples of other piac efforts would be these in depth reviews as I mentioned, to pilot in effort, the office of neighborhood involvement, along with two other city bureaus would develop its own public involvement plan, which would include what do we do, who do we engage and what strategies do we implement. Some other products we would like to bring back to the city council in the future, an equity hand book, a guide for staff to work with community-based organizations. Additionally, we would like to bring forward recommendations for public involvement for the bureaus budget advisory

August 4, 2010

committee. So in closing, alison has -- as alison said, we thank you for this opportunity to bring forward the good work of piac before you and happy to answer any questions you may have for us.

Saltzman: Thank you, any questions? Thank you very much. Commissioner Fritz, was there another panel?

Fritz: I think that's it. Do you have anybody signed up?

Moore-Love: We do. One person. Terry parker.

Saltzman: Thank you very much for your service.

Terry Parker: Thank you.

Saltzman: Just give us your name and you have three minutes.

Parker: Terry parker. A life-time Portlander. For several months i've been considering addressing the city council on the subject of public involvement, including the citizen advisory process. Now with an item on the agenda to guide public involvement, I thought it the right time. Currently, the public process is broken. Round up the usual suspect, another citizens' advisory committee needs to be formed. The system still operates in that same manner. Some of the same individuals serve on committees. The same person or persons serving on the planning commission, the city's budget committee, various city transportation committees and/or boards and citizen committees at metro. The process for the most part has become a stacked deck and one of cronyism. With members hand-picked and vetted based on public opinion and insider connections. Today's appointments of seven members to the public involvement advisory committee will to some degree test that premise.

Will the appointees be the usual suspects. Moreover, is the primary reason to create a combined planning and sustainability commission, another stacked deck that eliminated appointees who don't fall in line as yes people to a social engineering agenda. Much of the citizen outrage is both biased and broken. The vast majority of participation was from the free loading special interest bicycle community wholly disproportionate of road users in general. The majority of average Portlanders would drive and pay taxes had no public involvement in the process. Equity is totally missing. To fix what's broken, more openness is needed in the citizen process. Ethnic, income level, background and gender. And the differing views and values of Portlanders are represented and in addition to improving outreach that aspires to -- I have three recommendation to create a more diverse and equitable public involvement process. First, establish a policy whereby an individual cannot serve on more than one city-level citizen board or advisory committee. And second, and this can be described by example using pbot, establish guideline principle where is all transport modes are represented on transport -- transportation related citizen boards and commissions and especially advisory committees. Based on a heavy input on stakeholders that will foot the bill but not to exclude business-- my third recommendation is to revise the appointment process in a manner that would eliminate vetting or litmus opinion test for appointments. Providing for a open and diverse public involvement process, but one that better representing the entirety of citizens and taxpayers in Portland. I thank you.

Adams: Thank you, mr. Parker. Out of curiosity to that last point, how many people rode their bike to city hall today, used transit, drove their car to today? We're pretty representative on the transportation front. So we move to vote?

Fritz: Yes, first I'd like to recognize the committee. Thank you so much for sitting through all these meetings and all the interest that you represent.

Adams: Give them a round of applause. [applause] please call the vote.

Moore-Love: 1106.

Saltzman: I want to thank the public involvement advisory committee for your long, hard work here and presenting us with some templates for how we will include public involvement reports and all things that come before council and also for preparing a baseline about how all of our bureaus are doing and whether we're striving to be as transparent as possible and to make sure we've

August 4, 2010

included the public at all crucial points in policy-making. As everybody on this committee knows, a lot of policy-making goes on outside of these chambers and in the many, many citizens' committees who work very hard and work with us to help craft successful policies and ordinances. I want to thank commissioner Fritz for her effort and enthusiasm, and I think we'll be better served as a result of adopting your recommendations, and I certainly pledge continued cooperation and leadership from the bureaus that I oversee. So thank you and pleased to vote aye.

Leonard: I appreciate all of your good work. Aye.

Fritz: Thank you very much. This is a huge body of work which builds on the previous work. There are some of you who are new to the process and some who have been dedicating your entire lives to this for a long time, and both are valued, both needed in our citizen involvement system. I'm very pleased with the proposal to have a standard reporting form that every time someone comes to council there would be questions asked about the public involvement and was the proposal modified in response to it. We want to make sure our way forward is improved by citizen input, and the draft forms in the packet will be revised and will have some modifications for some of the things that don't require as much citizen input such as some contracts and legal things where the public hearing is in fact the public process. Like I said, it's not only the committee, but we are very happy to staff it. Thank you to dora perry and staff who have been very involved in this. Aye.

Fish: I had the pleasure this morning of meeting with the parks board that is a citizen advisory group. They do not shy away from offering strong opinions on things that we do and, as a result, we do a better job of managing the park system. This is the evolution of the formal way we tap the talent and the voices in our community. I want to also add my thanks to commissioner Fritz, who has been the champion on this issue, and amalia, her partner in crime. I want to thank the three people who testified earlier. Linda, who is just tireless. I see linda more than I see my wife. [laughter] I don't want larry to get the wrong idea.

Leonard: I think ty's house is still available. [laughter]

Adams: A free dwelling unit.

Fish: There's more. I actually see marty about as frequently as I see my wife, because marty's my next-door neighbor, at least for now.

Adams: We have some additional questions for you.

Leonard: You finally talked yourself into a hole.

Fish: And she's of course signed a confidentiality agreement. [laughter] mayor, she represents you very well and the planning department, because she's one of your public faces of the Portland plan and really does a marvelous job. To the young woman from franklin, allison, we started the day honoring some young people who are high school seniors who play -- is there a medic here that could treat commissioner Leonard? I think we have an emergency here. [laughter] commissioner Leonard, if you could compose yourself --

Leonard: Sorry about that.

Adams: All right. All right. We will come back to order. Regular order.

Fish: We have some champion soccer players here from east side, high school seniors, all rising, all freshmen in the fall and representing us in places like usc and across the west coast. We hear from young people a lot. We very rarely hear from someone whew is as composed and articulate as you are. We're proud of you, and usc is fortunate to have you in their fold. Thank you, all, for your good work. And amanda Fritz, thank you for your leadership on this point. Aye.

Adams: Thank you, commissioner Fritz, for your fantastic work on this important endeavor. In addition to setting up an avenue of consistent education on this issue across the ever-changing city positions and leadership and project managers and allowing for a higher, more consistent level, higher quality, more consistent level of public outreach, your efforts, when implemented, will also improve the kind of accountability for the kinds of changes that we need. And I would add -- I would put out that, as you move to sort of implementation, add sort of what i've learned, what I

August 4, 2010

hope to learn in the Portland plan where we ask the simple demographic survey up-front anonymously, and there was a lot of anonymous debate with me when I said I wanted to do that, with some folks, because they were worried about what it would show. What it showed was a largely white, middle-class audience in the first round of the Portland plan showed up. But it was the basic same kind of demographic that turns up. It's just we knew the facts of who was in the room and who was not in the room. That allowed us to then go out and do the outreach until we get closer to ethnic and geographic and other kinds of parity. As you move forward, I would ask, because we have so much work to do on race, ethnicity, and geography, I would ask that you figure out a way to make it as easy and ubiquitous as possible for every outreach to collect that kind of information, because it will force us to continue the outreach, and that outreach will make folks not traditionally involved in decision making, the meeting that I know happens as part of our outreach - it will force us to get the kind of inclusionary input we need and, in the process of doing that, making historically disenfranchised Portlanders feel more welcome. So it creates a virtuous cycle, and your work sets us up for us to accomplish that. Again, commissioner Fritz, thanks for your leadership. Please call the vote for 1107.

Saltzman: Well, welcome to the official piac new members. Aye.

Leonard: Aye.

Fritz: Theresa baldwin, kelly ball, robert boy, beth kay, william miller, paige pendergrass, and sally stevens. And also i'd note that anybody who's interested in being on any city of Portland boards and commissions, we have many openings on many of those. Go to the office of neighborhood involvement website, and it's very easy to apply, and we're more than happy to have you onboard. Aye.

Fish: Welcome and congratulations. Aye.

Adams: Thanks. Aye. It is approved. We're going to take a three-minute break so we can switch seats. The next group of presenters can come up and take the seats closer up. Those of you that need to leave can do so.

Adams: If you're here for the Oregon sustainability center, you can move up. Get closer. Take a seat up-front. That means you, rob and john. Yes, you, too. Yes. Thank you.

Item 1108.

Adams: I move the substitute. Commissioner Fish, you had a friendly amendment?

Fish: I have a friendly amendment which is with Karla.

Adams: Please read the amendment.

Moore-Love: The amendment is from Commissioner Fish. Be it further resolved that OMF is directed to return to council at a work session within 2 months to provide to the council additional information regarding city space planning and facilities needs and projected cost for the space for bps and others and the impacts of potential moves and/or property sales, purchases or developments on other city bureaus or agencies.

Fish: I offer this as a friendly amendment.

Adams: And accepted. Is there a second for the motion to substitute?

Fritz: Second.

Adams: Do you accept the friendly amendment as the seconder? All right. Please call the vote on the substitute.

Saltzman: Aye. **Fritz:** Aye. **Fish:** Aye.

Adams: Aye. I want to thank the city council for the polishing that is represented and included in the substitute. I'm going to keep my remarks short, because we're behind time and congressman wu said it better, frankly, than I could. But I do want to give a little background. For some this might seem like a new project that's relatively new on the landscape. That's definitely true in terms of media coverage. The thought process actually started about three years ago, separately in a number of different places which is really a testament to how radically obvious it is that we would

August 4, 2010

pursue it. Discussions were occurring around the desire to up the quality of our green and sustainable academic instruction, research and development at psu and other universities. At the same time we have some of the nation's best environmental-sustainable clean technology for non-profits and their desire to help be a cornerstone tenant in the most livable building on the planet, acting on their values. And then a conversation as a candidate with myself, rob and members of the sustainability royalty in the city about the need to really not only push the envelope to keep us at the forefront of clean technology, but to do so in a way that brings together this sort of spirit of do-it-yourself sustainability that has been nurtured by commissioner Saltzman and the office of sustainable development for decades. But to really bring that together in a more concentrated effective and competitive way with what has emerged was a concept for the sustainability center. So in fall of 2008, commissioner Saltzman and I gathered together and convened a series of discussions that led, in january of 2009 in our soliciting a feasibility study that was put together and led by the Portland development commission, that in turn led to selections, a town hall, the first of a number of town halls that were key sponsors of Portland sustainability institute that led to the selection of gerding edlen development, with sera architects, mgdb architects to work on the concept. In april of 2009, we had a week-long eco-charette kickoff that had over 80 people participating. It included the best local thinking along with inspiration from some of the nations best thinking in the area of clean technology. In april of 09 we also had the town hall at portland state university where over 200 people attended. Again in june a town hall again 200 people. During this entire time, the sustainability at psu, our local partners, we've been lobbying -- pdc has been lobbying at the federal level. Thanks to our delegation and big thanks to congressman blumenauer and congressman schrader. We got access to some of the top-level secretaries in federal government. And we heard consistently a couple of things. One, well, of course Portland would be pushing the envelope in this way. And, two, you're so far ahead of us, says the federal secretary, that we don't necessarily have a pot of money for you. We're busy giving away money for feasibility, concept studies, and you're ready to begin the actual engineering. So that's where we are today. We are at the point where there's been two years of due diligence on the concept. To take it any further, we need to move from consent to preliminary engineering. To get to the true feasibility, the costs, the full costs and full benefits, that's where we are today. Like congress man wu said, if there's a city in the world that can push the envelope like this, we're the city, we're the state that can do that, the university that can do that, the nonprofit community that can do that, and we absolutely have to do that. This is one of the few organic strengths that we have, one of the few organic economic strengths that we have. And shame on us, shame on us if we let others eclipse us. With that, i'd like to turn it over to susan anderson.

Anderson: Good morning, mayor, city council. I'm director of bureau of planning and sustainability. Today we have the opportunity to once again show that we can be out in front. And be in international leader promoting sustainable development. The Oregon sustainability center is the kind of collaboration that Portland's known for. My colleagues in other cities are always very envious of us in that we to end work together with our universities, with other private companies, and our nonprofits. In developing the center, we've brought together private companies, nonprofits, the city, the Oregon university system, along with private companies like solar world, g.e., and intel, and along with cutting edge nonprofits who provide professional services throughout the united states, companies like green building services, earth advantage, csg, and also leading nonprofits who have been in Oregon for decades like the Oregon environmental council and sustainable northwest. For more than a year, we've all worked together -- actually, for more than two or three years now, we've worked together to build this partnership. I want to specifically recognize the Oregon university system for its leadership and for providing support and very significant resources for this project. In particular, I want to thank jay kenton, jim francesconi, and george brinsteiner for their leadership. You all know Portland is a global leader in green buildings

August 4, 2010

and technology. For example, we have more than 300 leed-certified buildings either completed or under way in Portland. Gerding edlen alone has completed 40 leeds buildings, more than any other developer or construction company in the world. Intel is testing new technologies like wireless networks and servers to help control energy costs. Other companies like solar world are hiring hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of employees to build solar pv and push the technologies further. The Oregon sustainability center brings these many different kinds of technologies, products together, it will establish as the leader in high-efficiency green building and urban development. This is at the core of Portland's brand. It's what attracts new industry here, creative talent, new people to move here, and further innovation in the city. The center will create a market for Oregon products and services. What many people don't know about Portland and the region is that we're already home to the largest concentration of clean technology, green building, and renewable energy manufacturing in the united states. The center will be the first commercial building that aims to meet the living building challenge. Regional companies will provide services and materials and products. In fact part of the living building challenge is that these materials and products must come from the region. It will definitely increase opportunities for small building expansion. Most importantly, this will be a replicable building. It will fit right in the urban landscape. It's not going to be -- other buildings have tried this, and those are fall facilities out in the suburbs, out in small towns. The center will be highly efficient. It will be high performance. It will be beautiful, not space-age looking. We need this to be something that's replicable. It needs to be something that we can learn from, that we can copy here in Portland and copy throughout the united states and the world. Portland. Help new companies develop new products for export. Private and university partners well everything to commercialize new products and services, helping to remove the research into the marketplace. Part of the beauty of this building is not just that the partners have come together to build this thing. It's that we will be tenants in the building together. We'll be bumping into each other, working on projects together, looking at grant funding, foundation projects together in order to move what are just ideas now really into the marketplace to make them more commonplace. We'll move those to make them commercially available and commonplace in the future. You can tell that I of course think this is a great partnership. It will promote sustainability a reduced carbon footprint and grow local companies and that's why the city should be a partner. We're here today because the group came together, and they believe that the city needs to be in this building. We need to lead by example and invest and be a tenant in the sustainability center. The project sponsors and development team have determined the building is both technically and financially feasible. Together we have secured private, public, and nonprofit partners and tenants, and the next step is schematic design. The design will cost \$900,000 and will be equally shared with the Oregon university system and the Portland development commission at \$450,000 each. Like our other partners, the city needs to make a contingent commitment to us for space in the building to cover the state revenue bonds that are already approved for this project. Additionally we're asking council for the city to share the risk with us on space leased to private and nonprofit tenants. They will have long-term leases that they intend to stick to. In the event that they can't, then together us and the city will find new tenants. From my perspective, this is really a small risk. There will be a line of companies who want to get into this building. Just ask eco trust how hard it is for them to get people to be tenants in that building. I think, if we build the building the way it's going to be, there will be no problem. If, by chance, someone needs to move out who will actually be able to fill in that space. For a bit of perspective, let me just step back in time just for a second. Last year we adopted two new plans. It was the climate action plan and the economic development strategy. These two plans go hand in hand. That wasn't always the case. Many of you could remember back a few years ago where pdc and the office of sustainable development weren't speaking the same language. That has really shifted. What's happened is that we've designed a roadmap for creating new jobs but also investing in strategies that will significantly

August 4, 2010

impact and reduce our carbon footprint. Several projects have come out of this joint partnership already. A few weeks ago, we were here to accept the money to be invested in clean energy work to create local jobs to, save money, and reduce carbon emissions. We are developing eco districts to take our green building efforts to a district scale. We've worked to integrate sustainability throughout psu, and now we are bringing forward the Oregon sustainability center, the next generation of high performance green building. The next step you'll see in the future out of these plans will include a major commercial building retrofit program that focuses on the many, many commercial structures in the city and to begin to make changes and improvements in those buildings and new efforts to promote net energy buildings in new construction. The Oregon sustainability center is just a larger step in the continuum. Years ago we started with energy efficiency, began to look at renewables, added in green buildings, and now we're pioneering with the sustainability center. 10 years ago, commissioner Saltzman and I were here at council and different people sitting in the chairs there, and we asked permission to let me move in the new office of sustainable development into the eco trust building. At that time, eco trust was setting the standard. It was the first historic leed gold building in the country. Then, like now, we thought it was really important for the city to have a presence in one of the greenest buildings in the united states, and we found it paid off. Although the rent was modestly higher than other buildings at the time, market buildings have increased. And today rent is actually less per square foot in that building than my space in the 1900 building. Being in the eco trust building has paid off because of huge visibility. Thousands and thousands of people go through that building every year. I've met representatives and have ended up being partners with many federal agencies and foundations and others who were impressed with the space, with our work. It creates an opportunity to be in a place where they can see, touch, and feel what it is we're really talking about. While they were in that space at eco trust, we secured several million dollars worth of grant funding from the u.s. Department of energy, epa, deq, the climate trust, the energy trust and others. The building really adds to our credibility, and I see the Oregon sustainability center working in the same way. Finally, the center is our opportunity to really distance ourselves from the pack from others who are trying to do their first -- many other cities around the country call me every week saying we're going to build our first leed gold building. We are so far out, as the mayor mentioned, in the pack that people look to Portland. This is the place they think of other cities, other planning directors when they want to know how to move forward in this area. Federal agencies, foundations, and private investors are also looking for our new rising star, and I believe we can be that. This is our opportunity to really have a new home to host visitors from around the world who want to really showcase Portland's brand and see who we are. The center is an opportunity to usher in a new generation of sustainable development.

Low Bowers, Portland Development Commission: Low bowers. I work for pdc. A lot of people want to speak, and many of these points have been made. The building will be technologically innovative. Net zero energy, net zero fresh water, net zero storm water. There's a number of people that can give you the details better than i, so i'll pass over that one. We've talked about a place where premium innovation. Here we have an outline of the tentative commitments for the building.

150,000 square feet building, you see that this is included in the oregon university system, a large component of psu office and classrooms, the private and nonprofits including the olbi space and then there is the proposed city of Portland space in there as well as some ground floor retail and also something called the exhibit action center. This is really designed to be the front door for sustainability. The exhibit action center on the ground floor will be the place we believe the tourists and people on tours that are calling will go to start their orientation on sustainability in the city of Portland. There's two numbers I want to make sure are not confusing. Here you will see a square footage of 33,000. Here in the resolution you'll see 24,400. That is simply the difference between net usable footage and an estimate of gross square footage, which is what the 150,000 represents.

August 4, 2010

The difference is the common area, the hallways, lobby, restroom, ventilating shafts, et cetera, divided among all the tenants. Next we've talked about a hunt for collaboration. Congressman Wu has updated us, this is now a hub for synergy. There's a number of aspects of this. One is the occupants within the building that Susan talked about. Secondly, there is a very active research agenda for the building that is being led by the OHSU research council. David Kinney, I believe, will be speaking to you about that. This is research that will take place on the planning of the building, the construction of the building, and the ongoing operation of the building. This is an attempt to actualize this concept of living laboratory and utilize faculty and students in this project. Next again a hub for collaboration. The third, again mentioned by Susan, is the collaboration between the public and the private sector. These are the logos of the many of the groups involved in this. We are talking about a new generation of public/private partnership. We are in fact talking a net zero building consortium which is one Intel introduced us to in Europe. I believe you're going to hear from representatives from Intel, g.e., Solar World, and Gerding Edlen, and they can talk more about what the relationship looks like. The schematic design is the next step to get details on this collaboration, or synergy. Finally there's been some discussion about jobs. This is fundamentally an economic development project from our point of view. True green job creation. It is estimated that 1300 jobs will be created through the construction of this building, and those run from pipe fitters to architects to software engineers. Commissioner John Molis and a representative of the trades will be able to talk to you a little more about that in their testimony. Competitive advantage has been talked about. The research agenda and the brand Portland, I think Susan covered that, so I'll keep moving. Finally I want to talk -- not finally. Next I would like to talk about the basic financial pro forma for the project. As you see on the cost side, the base building plus the living building components we currently estimate to be 69.4 million. In addition, there's 6 million of additional add packages. This includes the exhibit center and the streetcar, things we would very much like to do, but they are not essential for the living building challenge. That's why you call them an add package. On the sources, you will see the primary sources are Oregon University System bonds, city of Portland bonds, PDC tax income and financing, and then there are a variety of federal and state tax credits which the project should be eligible to receive. For the base building, the 69.4, there is currently a \$2.54 million gap, and we have an aggressive program of fundraising and in-kind contributions that we're optimistic we'll be able to fill that gap.

Saltzman: What does city bonds mean?

Bowers: The city would bond for the space that the city owns and occupies. And then you would use the rent from the bureau of planning and sustainability.

Adams: You have the upfront cash to build it then you would pay it off with the rent over time.

Saltzman: So it's kind of like a revenue bond that's backed up by the rent the city is going to pay?

Bowers: That could be correct. In terms of the add package, that would require additional fundraising in order to meet the add package, the 6 million. Because this is the first triple net zero building of this scale, the consultants tell us that we are going to pay a premium for this prototype, and there's been a fair amount of discussion about what is the premium, so it's as much art as science at this point. But the OSC, cost per square foot, which is a classic measurement, without the land and financing, because those tend to be unique to how the project's structured, is \$420 per square foot. That's the number you will actually see in your resolution. Comparable LEED Gold institutional buildings, because we do have institutions here, range about \$350 per square foot, again without land and financing. So that leads to a premium of about 22% for this building above LEED Gold and Platinum. The reason for that premium is we have things like the solar array, which is estimated to cost 5 million. We will have a living machine to help process the storm water, additional costs because of the red list, products that you won't be able to use. That's what constitutes that premium. Similar to the lead premium, we believe that will decline over time as we get smarter about how to do it and as more people get involved. There is going to be a premium,

August 4, 2010

and we'll know much more what that number really is at the end of schematic design. You'll be able to understand the premium and document is very, very carefully.

Adams: If I could just interject, this council, again in partnership with private, nonprofit, and public sectors and partnership with the federal government, our prototype streetcar, our first prototype streetcar, was obviously more expensive than Oregon iron works is now able to sell their manufacturing of streetcars. So using ourselves as this multi-partnered laboratory, being willing to invest in the prototype, in this case as well local suppliers to build the prototype hopefully creating and strengthening an existing industry.

Bowers: Correct. I will just note that that these are low confidence estimates based on a conceptual design. One of the purposes of schematic design is to get drawings and can actually get harder cost on. So we will know a lot more at the end of schematic design and have a lot more confidence in these numbers. The resolution calls for the city to make a contingent commitment to purchase a 33,200, the gross square footage or 24,000, the net square footage, condominium interest in the building, so the city would own several floors most likely in the building. Ous would own the remainder of the building. Ous has similar commitments from the other occupants in the building. We don't want to go into this as a spec building. We want to go into this with know users, which we have at this point. The box or the lower part of the screen shows you the cost to the city of the Oregon sustainability center compared with other alternatives, having the bureau of planning and sustainability remain in the 1900 building or have them moving and leasing comparable class a office or have them acquiring comparable class a office or the Oregon sustainability center. So those are four alternatives for that. The numbers in the middle give you the cost to the city per 10-year increment and then the numbers on the right side give you a net present value, which is a good way to compare the net cost to the city. So what you see from those numbers is the Oregon sustainability center option at the bottom has a net cost of 17.9 to the city. That is not the lowest. Staying in the 1900 building would be lower at 14.8. It is comparable, though, to moving into other class a office space at 17.2 million, and it is significantly cheaper than leasing other comparable class a space. So this is based on the numbers we have here, and again these will be refined and will be clear after a schematic. This is a diagram that attempts to give some clarity to the proposal for ous and the city to share some of the risk or some of the shared cost within the building. On top you have the square footage that the Oregon university system would actually occupy. Approximately 58,000 square feet. They would pay for that with ous bonds, pay for the capital cost, and then the ous tenants, psu, oit, Oregon state would pay rent, and that's what they would use to pay off that series of debt service. The city would buy a condominium interest, issue city bonds, and use tif to pay its share. That debt service would be paid for by the city rent, the bureau of planning and sustainability. There's a third piece and this is the space in the building which is rented to olbi or the retail space or some private tenants that we'd like to get into the building all of which are focused on sustainability.

Saltzman: What is olbi?

Bowers: Oregon living building initiative is the group of environmental nonprofits that have been mentioned. That's an organizing term for them. So their space would actually be owned by ous. The capital costs would be paid for by the ous bonds. So technically they are ous tenants. Again, they would be paying rent, and the retail tenants would be paying rent. To the degree the rent was sufficient to pay off the debt service on that portion of the bonds and pay the operating expense then the building runs a net positive and it goes into the placement reserve. That's the model we're currently working on. However, if that rent is not sufficient to pay the debt service, the rent from that whole blue and red square, then the proposal is that the city and the Oregon university system split any shortfall 50/50. That's how it's structured. What is the nature of that risk what does that mean? If we decide to put any speculative space in the building ie space without tenants, that's a risk, that's our decision. Secondly, if any occupant goes out of business or breaks their lease, that

August 4, 2010

would be a risk. Third, we believe that will be some tenants that will be on 10-year leases, so there will be a risk at the end of 10 years that we may or may not be able to release the space at that rent. So that's a re-rent risk. Finally, because it's a new building, operating costs are going to be estimated, and there's a possibility there will be operating costs beyond what the tenants pay. Lastly, because of the innovative nature of the building, we're going to have some pretty innovative operating systems, and there's always the possibility that there will be a premature failure of a major operating system and that might cause the building to need to be upgraded sooner than what we expect. What is not covered in that share or not included in that risk is our's responsibility for its space, the yellow box or the city's responsibility for its space. It's the third piece, but we are sharing the risk with our. Finally, this shows the time line. And before you there are three actions, moving forward with schematic design, a \$450,000 commitment plan to use tax increment, contingent bps tenant for ownership of the condo interest, and a contingent cost share commitment with our for the noncity or our space. This time line shows the need to have three actions now. Your action, the our board which is scheduled, and the pdc board, which is also scheduled. That's necessary to start schematic design. The next decision point will be at the end of december or early january when we have finished schematics. At that point, we will come back to you with refined costs, a design, and refined financing plan, and there will be a clear decision point on all three parties whether to proceed with actual construction. So that will be the next check in with you. You have an alternative resolution, the major changes are that it clarifies next steps coming back to the city council, as soon as the schematic is finished. It asks for more details on the analysis of the outcomes of the schematic design and also clarifies the role of omf in that analysis process. With that i'll conclude.

Adams: If I could beg the council's indulgence to move right to testimony, we'll have q&a at the end we've got people on a time line. Jay kenton, andrea durbin, and scott shoal. Jay is with Oregon university system. Andrea durbin is the Oregon environmental council. And scott shoal is with intel. You're from a couple of different panels. Welcome.

Jay Kenton, Vice Chancellor, Oregon Environmental Council: For the record, i'm jay kenton, vice chancellor of the Oregon university system. I wanted to thank the mayor and others for your leadership on this very important project. This project originated out of some work that the Portland higher ed subcommittee of the board of higher education did about three years ago, and group was chaired by jim francesconi which, by the way, he sends his regrets he could not be here. He's on vacation this week. We recommended creating a sustainability and research center in Portland. The project was approved by the board of education at its july, 2008 meeting, and it was included in the capital budget requests to the governor and the legislature. Governor kulongoski strongly support there's project, because again it's emblematic of the goals the state has, very much in line with your goals, I believe. It was included in his request to the 09/11 legislative session and was one of his priorities, I would note. The legislature authorized the project in june, 2009, and they approved \$80 million of general obligation bonds. These bonds are specific. They're general obligation bonds, but they function like a revenue bond in that they need a repayment source, but they are backed by the full faith and credit of the state of Oregon. 80 million was a place order. We had very high level concepts at that time. And so that's why the numbers are a little different than what you just heard from lou. The former director of the Oregon department of energy, when we discussed this with the board, david said, if you have want to be a leader, you need to be provocative, and we believe this project is provocative, but it will also put both the city, the state, and the university system in a leadership position, which is something we're very much desirous of. We believe the project will demonstrate Portland and Oregon's leadership, and it has multiple economic objectives that you've heard a little bit about, you'll probably hear more about. I'm going to just cut to the chase. We would very much like the city and its bureau of planning and sustainability to be partners in this facility. You heard the proposal from lou as something that we

August 4, 2010

have participated in and talked with ken rust and other people in your bureau of finance about. This is a unique partnership. We did research around the world on sustainability centers. There is no other center in the world that has local government collaborating with state government, the university system, and the private for profit and not for profit sectors. So I believe this will distinguish Oregon yet again in that notion. We plan to present a similar report to our board on september 10th and seek their authority to move to the next step which is that conditional commitment, the authorization to spend the \$450,000 for schematic design that you heard about. I don't anticipate any problems. My board has been briefed on this numerous times and has been very supportive. I would close by thanking you for this very important project, and I will stay in the audience in the event there are questions.

Adams: You've omitted your own incredibly positive passionate role in this project inside the system, but I want to thank you for all that you've done.

Kenton: It's been a pleasure.

Andrea Durbin, Executive Director for Oregon Environmental Council: Andrea durbin with the Oregon environmental council. Oregon environmental council has been part of the division and development of this project from the very beginning together with the earth advantage institute. We really set out the challenge to build a living building in Portland, and we assembled the nonprofits that will be tenants in the building under the rubric of the Oregon living building initiative. This project will really help influence and transform the environment in Oregon nationally and even globally. Building the Oregon sustainability center will demonstrate that it is possible to create living net zero buildings that will have a ripple effect in the marketplace by changing expectations, demonstrating what is possible, and creating a new demand for net zero buildings. Given Oregon's expertise and focus on green buildings, Portland should be the epicenter of they have solution and revolution in the green building sector. Furthermore, eventually one day we will see federal action on climate change to require reductions in greenhouse emissions. The buildings sector represents nearly half of the greenhouse gas emissions. So action around creating net zero buildings will be a key strategy for reducing emissions nationally, and there will be an increasing demand as such. Through this project, Oregon has an opportunity to really carve out its leadership space and develop the expertise, talent, and the track record that will be increasingly in demand going forward. One day soon leed buildings will be mainstream. This is really the only example we've been able to identify with broad collaboration from the different sectors noted today. We will really challenge ourselves to achieve more together. There's no question that this is a bold project. It is more expensive than leed standard because it's the first time it's ever been done. But the fact remains that, if we're going to transform our build environment, we need to focus on how to reduce our environmental foot prim and create net zero communities. Appreciate your good in the project and hope that you will be able to support it today. Thank you for your time.

Adams: Thank you in the nonprofit and some for profit partners as well. The olbi is going to be a key part of the heart and soul of this building, so thank you. It is great to show off intel as a off sustainability. Welcome. Glad you're here.

Scott Shull, Intel Corporation: My name is scott shull from a small company over the hill in hillsboro, intel corporation. Seriously, we're here to voice our support for the goals of the Oregon sustainability center. I believe you have a letter on record from lori weigel that goes deeper into our intention. But I mainly wanted to just say that we've been collaborates with some of the planners that you heard from this morning and introduced them to other cities and entities taking similar challenges. We think there are some who intel can help facilitate conversations with to help manage risks. Portland is viewed as a leader in how we've looked at land use and planning, what we've done in looking at how we manage our waste. It puts us squarely in a position where we can lead in this. Building the Oregon sustainability center will not only create a physical structure but it create as nexus of innovation, a partnership with the university system. We think that this can

August 4, 2010

create opportunities for creating new technologies, new services, and not just the technical and science but the public policy, the financial policy of how net zero buildings can be created. As I alluded to earlier, there are other entities, other cities around the world looking at positive energy, but this is unique in that it's not just electricity. It's water and how we manage our waste. Those cities are quite interested in collaborating with Portland to learn what we can about how to manage all three things whereas they can contribute their knowledge on how to make in fact positive energy buildings. I'm speaking of an entity in a suburb of paris. We stand ready to contribute in kind.

Adams: Thanks for facilitating our ability to learn everything that's being done in the suburbs of paris and your work on that. It's been incredibly helpful. Thank you all very much. Continuing sort of mixing things up a little bit the next three speakers. Please come up and say a few words. Welcome.

Lindsay Desrochers, Representative, Portland State University: I'm lindsay Desrochers from Portland state university. This one is a very exciting project. I want to thank you also for the terrific leadership to get us to this point. Warm regards from our president who is on a well-deserved vacation for the next two weeks, so he sent me. Portland state's role as an urban research university you know well. Our ethic of engagement in the community you know well. That's what we are about. We have worked very closely with the Portland development commission and the sustainability bureau on the alignment of your strategic economic development plan with our strategic plan on the campus. Our research activities are approaching \$60 million. We're heading to \$100 million. A good deal of that activity is going to be directed toward the kinds of issues that the business clusters in that economic development plan present. Most especially and first on that list is the sustainability and clean energy world. Two years ago, our campus received a \$25 million 10-year grant from the miller foundation, that and grant was really a vote of confidence. But it also was a specific grant to build the capacity of our faculty and our students in this area of sustainability to make it possible for us to be not just a national but international leader in research activities and curricular activities around this topical area for the benefit of the entire world but especially for the benefit of the larger Oregon and Portland community. The intellectual fire power that we will be able to bring to this setting, if you will, because of that miller grant and because of some very strategic excellent investments by the state that david will talk about in a moment is going to be substantial. We will be able to convene the kind of best thinkers from around the world together in that center. The center will be the physical centerpiece for this larger, if you will, agenda that i'm talking about. It will be the centerpiece in the university district and in the eco district that we're working on very carefully with the city folks, and it will in fact be that famous term, living laboratory. This is where you're going to have the faculty, the staff, students, not for profit leaders who you heard from this morning, business leaders who want to get the economy moving in this direction. This is where they will meet and collaborate, and this is a very exciting thing for us all. You heard already from jay canton. I want to say again that Portland state loves partnership. We love to make deals, and we love to get projects done, and we are most especially happy to do that with the city of Portland, and so we want to say to you that, when we move this forward, we'll be there to help get it done. I want to thank you again for the vision that brings us to this point.

Adams: Thanks for all your efforts as well. They've been key.

David Kenney, President and Executive Director of the Oregon Built Environment and Sustainable Technology Center or Oregon's Best: My name is david kenney. Good morning. I'm the president and executive director of the Oregon built environment and sustainable technology center or Oregon's best. We're one of six initiatives that the state invested in under the Oregon innovation council to help promote innovation and growth in our economy in creating jobs in renewable energy. The center creates a unique opportunity for us because of the unique capabilities we have both in industry and in nonprofit and the university sector to collaborate around the design, engineering, construction, and development to advance of the state of the art once again in Oregon

August 4, 2010

we see tunnels for using this as a test bed for advance research on building science and behavioral science. This is a living lab that we've been hearing about this morning through these research projects that we'll talk about. The platform currently involves about 40 very enthusiastic researchers from the universities, nonprofit, and business partners. The group has organized a research agenda that includes 27 topics organized around five research areas, and those areas are net zero energy, water use and rain water retention, material utilization, waste and life cycle environmental impacts, occupant health and performance and integrative performance based design, construction, and operation. In addition to the obvious jobs created by the actual design and construction of the building, virtually all of the research activities will contribute to catalyzing economic development in a variety of ways. One is really tied back to the services, capabilities of Oregon's firms in architecture, engineering, and development. Susan Anderson gets calls from all around the cities asking about that leadership. The first LEED Gold places in those plays are being designed and built by firms from here because of the expertise we've developed. The project will also provide a real world environment and a testing ground for products and materials, companies based here as well as from around the world who are leaders to test out their materials in an environment where they can study and understand the performance characteristics and have the resources they need to tune the performance to learn from and perfect capabilities that will allow them to take new products and materials to market, creating new manufacturing opportunities and new jobs. That ranges both hardware and software technologies as well as materials from the wood products sector and others. The red list is a list of prohibited chemicals that the living building challenge requires not be used in the building, and there's a tremendous opportunity for our green chemistry capabilities to help to identify new materials that can be developed to replace very common materials that actually provide hazards to human health. This project will be used as the first of many hopefully test bed projects around the state. We believe this project will provide a platform for us to advance our economic and job creation goals in a very tangible way, and we support the recommendation today to move this project forward.

Adams: Thank you very much. John Lurch of General Electric. Welcome.

John Lerch, Lead for Sustainable Solutions, General Electric: John Lerch, G.E. Corporate. I'm the western states lead for sustainable solutions. I've come here to voice my support for the goals of the OSC. We have a record on file on behalf of the company. We recently signed a MOU at the city focused on sustainability and a desire to remain at the forefront of environmental projects and initiatives. The OSC was a key pillar of that MOU. We're interested in engaging for a variety of different reasons. It raises the bar for water and energy use, has the potential to showcase and commercialize new technologies. G.E. does a lot of R&D in this area and we think we can share on the building. It also serves as a model that can be replicated elsewhere, and we're particularly excited about collaborating with some of the local firms and businesses to realize our shared goals. Water use reduction, sustainability, and education. We've already been involved on this project a fair amount. We recently had about 10 local G.E. personnel at the Portland development commission meeting with their staff, meeting with architects, meeting with designers, understanding the project more. We plan to continue to be engaged on that level in exploring ways which we can help. Moving forward, we look forward to the schematic design and exploring ways to collaborate with the city, university, local firms in the community to see through the successful completion of this building, so we encourage you to move this project forward.

Adams: I want to thank you for -- it's been very, very useful to have the due diligence that, because of the breadth and scope of G.E., the due diligence that you bring to this effort to test out our thinking and our assumptions. Thank you for that. This is part of implementing the G.E. MOU. So thank you. Thanks for waiting. Welcome.

John Mohlis, Secretary Treasury, Columbia Builds Trades Council: Good morning. My name is John Mohlis. I'm the secretary treasurer for the Columbia Pacific Building Trades Council, which is

August 4, 2010

an umbrella organization for about 20,000 union construction workers in northwest Oregon and southwest Washington. And unfortunately about 35% of those members are currently unemployed, journey men, women, and apprentices. I think you know that i'm a bricklayer and stone mason by trade. I worked on a wide variety of projects in the field. Some were block boxes such as a walmart or a target, and they were fine. They all paid the same. But I really enjoyed getting on a brick job, and the fancier the better. That really tests your ability as a craftsman. I know our members will be very happy to go back to work on any project that gets started in this region, but I can guarantee you they'll be tickled to death to get the opportunity to go to work on a project that's such a cutting edge building as this will be. I want to thank you all for your support of the project, and now i'd like to introduce marci wichman. She is the assistant training coordinator for local 290, plumbers and fitters, and she can speak in greater detail to the type of training that is provided at our jointly trust deed union training centers.

Adams: Welcome.

Marci Wichman, Assistant Training Coordinator, Local 290: My name is marci wichman. I'm a steam fitter myself. I went through the five-year apprenticeship program. A couple buildings built by our members i'd like to give as an example and talk to you about how we feel about training. The port of Portland headquarters building with its ground source heating and cooling and the living machine. Our members were there on that job. And then approximately five years ago or so when the ohsu center for health and healing was built on the south waterfront, ntsb day, it was the first medical leed platinum building and one of the largest, I believe, in its day. At that time, only 14 buildings in the world were leed platinum, and our members built that. We do take great pride and invest in our training, and we have a 93% completion rate in our apprenticeship program. We have approximately 400 apprentices that go through our program at any given time or are in our program, 4500 members statewide. We do 50 to 60,000 hours of continuing education for our journey men members and upgrade training. Our united association, our parent organization hosts upgrade training for our instructors. We'll be sending 53 instructors to ann arbor, michigan, to go to college to come back and teach our members. We have 11 training centers in the area. Many of our members are leed-accredited professionals. No matter what the emergent technologies is, we are ready to meet that demand.

Ryan Temple, President Sustainable Northwest Wood: I am ryan temple the president of sustainable northwest wood. We are a wholesale distribution yard serving in the building industries up and down the west coast we focus on the trade of local -- for us Oregon and Washington -- local and sustainable wood products. We are supportive of this project. I group the assets and benefits of the project into three categories. In ascending order of importance, those categories would be construction, demonstration, and inspiration. We've heard about the construction, the opportunities that would create. 1300 jobs that, probably includes -- I hope it includes all of the related jobs in the wood products and other manufacturing industries that would be suppliers to this. One category is replication. This building will be groundbreaking and innovative. It can be replicated across the nation and the world. The other side of it would be marketability through demonstration, and that would be of the knowledge or techniques, design, manufacturer of materials, all that is acquired through this process. The ability to market that knowledge will be a tremendous asset to our community. The final category, the one I think is the most important, has to do with inspiration. The sustainability marketplace has become fairly crowded recently. Ultimately that's a good thing. For us that are early adopters, that create as challenge. We can graduate ourselves for having elevated sauce that ability to this place or do we recognize that this is going to be a key part of our future and reaffirm our position as a leader? For businesses like my own and the hundreds of others that exist in the Portland metropolitan area for whom sustainability is such a key part of what we do, it is very important that the city of Portland choose the latter and reaffirm its position as a leader. We can reaffirm our identity and align our identity with the brand identity in the city in

August 4, 2010

which we have chosen to locate. The final point has to do with the o. In the Oregon sustainability center. Yes, I am a Portland-based business. However, my vitality is entirely dependent on what's going on in the rest of state of Oregon. I'm encouraged that it is the Oregon sustainability center and not just the Portland sustainability center, because it recognizes this sustainability center will be dependent on and create opportunities for the entire state.

Adams: Last person is mark Edlen.

Mark Edlen: I'd like to start off by thanking the mayor and city council as well as our officials at the state and higher ed for the support over the last 15 years to get to where we are today. It's been a long journey for all of us, but I think it's one that's definitely been worth traveling. Today we're faced at decision -- you're faced with the decision as to whether to move forward fully to continue to enhance our city and state's national and I would say international reputation in the position of sustainability or play it safe as other cities might choose to do and wait to read about another city taking advantage of what we've done here and taking our leadership position. Our current position is due to a very unique feature we have here in Portland, Oregon, and that's collaboration. Collaboration among public sector, private sector, for profit, and not for profit all moving together to move our agenda forward and achieve our objectives. Yes, there's still some unknowns, but we face similar and, in fact, greater unknowns when we did our first leed silver building 15 years ago or our first leed -- platinum building. The future is really net zero buildings and living buildings, and today the premiums are at a minimum, and I think that's demonstrated by the leed gold rating we've seen in some of the affordable housing projects currently being delivered, serving some of our most needy citizens in the community. I think you're looking at significant economic savings not to mention the positive impact on the health of its citizens in our city and state. Not to mention the resulting tax revenue. This leads to what I consider to be one of the two opportunities that project creates that excites me the most, and that's jobs. Jobs that pay family wages and benefits. I can tell you, with all due respect to our engineers and architects, I can't tell you how many times i've seen people trying to develop models so they'll build it right the first time. These are jobs ranging from highly skilled laborers to welders, electricians, engineers, architects, and people in finance and project management. Were it not for our deep expertise in sustainability, in today's economy, we probably wouldn't be here, and I believe many Oregon companies can make the same statement as what we see as one of the shining lights in the ever-increasing demand for sustainable products. We are in a race with other cities. The second opportunity that ex sites me tremendously is the opportunity for our young people. As this project has opportunity to deliver to Oregon and Oregonians the opportunity to further deepen the collaboration between our university system and our private sector, I see that opportunity in two fashions. The first opportunity is for the universities to connect upper level and graduate students with industry where they can come in through the osc as interns in real world and cutting edge experience so that they hit the ground running. I had the opportunity to experience this firsthand two weeks ago when on a trip with psu and osu and a number of other private companies. Today we have a formal request for proposals in front of us all for a consortium of universities and private companies to help people to figure out how to make their built environment more sustainable, creating real jobs and wages and taxes for us here in Oregon. There's opportunity for industry to reach through the research departments at universities and pull up not quite ready for primetime research projects, creating new jobs, new companies, and new opportunities here in Oregon. I hope each of you share my enthusiasm and my support for this project in maintaining our leadership position here in Portland and Oregon. I thank you for the opportunity to speak to you today.

Alan Hipolito: Good morning to everyone, mayor, commissioners. I'm here with quite a mix of emotions. I'm excited. I'm laughed at because I spend money on my old car, but i'm also a little upset and kind of confused. 12 testifiers an hour, no discussion of equity, people of color, the jobs, the funs for these communities that we know we can create. I know you all care a lot. I've heard

August 4, 2010

you speak heartfelt about these issues. You know about the coalition in the community color report that has shown that there's a dividend to beak white in the city of Portland compared to other cities nationally and there's a burden to being a person of color in this region compared to other cities nationally. One of the reasons that happens is the investments we make up front. We're making a premium investment in this building, but are we going to make a premium investment in designing and planning this building in a way that creates opportunity for low-income people and people of color? Is it going to be, like, greater green where we had language where we were spending in responders to talk about jobs or is it going to be 1% for green and the eco roof grants where we haven't been able to get that kind of language in? Is it going to be like the first few years of the green investment fund where we made an investment in bringing you technologies forward and subsidized an incentive to growth of professional services firms that were then well-positioned for success when those practices achieved market acceptance? Is it going to be like clean energy works where we rolled up our sleeves from the very begin many and said equity, opportunity is the fundamental of our project? It's something we're going to do all the way through. Or like eco districts where we're trying to do it after we started the planning? I don't know the answer to this. This is something we have to do together. If this is going to be the place where people come to see how we do sustainability in Portland, if we're setting the bar, what are they going to see when they come to this place? Who is going to work there? Who is going to build it? Who is going to lease the space? Who is going to see their environmental wealth built through this endeavor?

Adams: As the author of "greater green" and as the author of "clean energy works," that's the model. It's assumed as part of this project because it is policies that have already been passed by the city council, but i'm glad you raised the issue and you've helped fill out the testimony, but you can assume it's the work that i've been associated with.

Fish: Could we ask lou and susan to come back for a couple questions?

Adams: Thank you, sir.

Fish: Susan, I had a question on what congressman wu said that there may be some opportunity to get a federal tenant or project in this which I would think would not only be desirable but to have an impact on the pro forma. Do you have anymore thoughts on that, what he said?

Anderson: Sure. We've already started some discussions with gsa and some of the other federal agencies. There was a large sustainability training this summer for employees hosted at the convention center, so there were 700, 800 people here who came to learn about how to do sustainability within their agency. One of the opportunities I see for the building is that exhibit space that we talked about is making that a training center not just for federal agencies but potentially for how to integrate sustainability within the companies, within the agency. We're also talking to some of them about potentially wanting to be tenants in the building.

Bowers: On the real estate side, we will be carrying into schematic design an alternate of being able to add a floor. In the eventuality we get these additional tenants, we will be able to accommodate them or make some adjustments. We can make that at the next decision point rather than now.

Fish: My question question's for you, lou. The excellent power point you gave us was very clear. On the ous city commitment chart, you walked us through the risk sharing on the nonprofit space, and one of the things I hope we can talk about at greatest length between now and the decision point is what the market will bear to help us quantify the risk. I have heard from a lot of people today that one of our tasks is how we manage risk for long-term pay-off. That's a different model than we use in traditional real estate development.

Anderson: Right.

Fish: That makes this really more of an economic development play and real estate play, but i'd be interested more in knowing about your thoughts in the course of this about what the market will bear for nonprofits so we can quantify that contingent.

August 4, 2010

Bowers: I believe the financing plan we should have at the end of this would certainly address that in more detail.

Fish: Thank you.

Fritz: Andrea durbin with the Oregon environmental council mentioned that she would reach out. I would encourage you to reach out to the multicultural nonprofits as well. We've had a lot of discussion in memorial coliseum and in various different projects for the desire for a multicultural center and for nonprofits to participate who aren't necessarily thought of as traditionally in environmental sustainability. Having different folks in a building adds a synergy, and we can certainly learn from other countries, and I know that the mayor has taken the lead on that. I want to mention that as something else to look at. Can we reach out to some of our other community partners and invite them in even though crew may not think of them as the traditional green?

Adams: We're using the model of the community benefits agreement for this project as well and for the eco district project as well, and we actually come back to council after labor day with an inclusion of suppliers as well. At the initial success of the community benefits agreement and the ability to expand it and to the supplier, expectations of economic and social equity into the supplier, local suppliers and manufacturers as well.

Fritz: The strategies you're allowed to use in this project, I know you're very interested in seeing how far you can push the envelope on that in terms of using local suppliers in all of our contracts, and I think it's an example of how this project is helping us find benefits that we're able to spread out to the rest of society. I really appreciate everybody's work on this.

Adams: Any other discussion? Please call the roll -- the vote.

Saltzman: Portland's reputation as a leader in sustainability has served us well. The steps we have taken as a city and a state have allowed us to win many awards and national rankings. While living lightly on the land was at first a testament to our ethos as Portlanders, it has reaped economic benefits as well and that's made it a key to our economic development strategy. We listen and then we lead. Whether in our leadership in recycling, green building or our commitment to renewable energy sources. Cities around the country have used our path as a blueprint to their future. But as many have testified we have fallen in ranking where the competition as grown more intense and it is incumbent upon us to maintain our leadership and to bolster it as the Oregon sustainability center will do. How we market Portland as a home of research and development for sustainability industries will be prominent in our future. Participating in the living building challenge with the Oregon sustainability center is just the right -- justify the type of ambitious project that bolsters our leadership. But we cannot enter into such an endeavor without such a critical eye on the details because failure is not an option. I have concerns that i've expressed on the practical financial aspects of this project and how we manage the risk and I will continue to watch closely as we continue to answer those questions between now and january, february, of 2011. Failure is not an option and we must make sure that we answer all the tough questions and make sure that we ask ourselves all the tough questions. That said, i'm encouraged about by the new language proposed in the substitute resolution as well as the public private sector partnerships and I want to thank mayor Adams as well as the leadership of Oregon university system and the president of Portland state and lindsay and jay, and these are people in the hall of fame on the leadership of making this reality and certainly jim francesconi and i'm pleased to cast an optimistic aye.

Leonard: It's important to remember why Portland is on the cutting edge of sustainable building, alternative forms of transportation, and -- and the kind of things that some people think we do just because it's "cool." we don't do it because it's cool, we do it because we have to set an example of how the world's population can exist with the least impact possible on the ecosystem and what's happened over the last century we've developed as a culture, not just in this country, but in the world, a people who consume resources without thinking about the impact it has on the environment. Petroleum use, by any credible account is increasing the temperatures of the ocean.

August 4, 2010

Plankton we depend on to provide oxygen is dying at record rates. The world's temperature is eking up causing polar icecaps to melt and impacting hurricanes and impacting temperatures and in way that could be fatal to the future of the planet. We build this building not because it's cool. But because we have no choice. We have to build buildings like this and to the extent we have critics who say it's too expensive, I say we can't afford not to set an example of how as a planet we can exist in cooperation with our environment so that what we pass on to our children, grandchildren, and great grandchildren, a world we inherited and we're not doing a good job of that up to now. This is an example of how we change that. And we can't do it because it's a cool place to look at. We have to set an example and try and urge and plead other cities, states, country, to adopt similar kinds of ethics and how we manage this planet. So i'm exceedingly pleased that mayor Adams has taken the lead on this and has focused on this because that's what it requires. It requires mayoral leadership and it requires being willing to take criticisms, knowing that the better good is served by doing what we do here today. Aye.

Fritz: The hour is late so i'll be brief. Thank you all for all of your work on this and with the amendments worked out by commissioner Fish and mayor Adams, i'm pleased to support the resolution. Aye.

Fish: Thank you for bringing the new resolution forward and for your work with all of your colleagues to get to this day and i'd be remiss, you have many people on your team who do good work but on this one, I want to acknowledge kimberly snyder who is a frequent visitor to our office and we appreciate her work. And i'm fully supportive of the concept of the Oregon sustainability center and support moving forward to the next phase of design. I also support having the council clearly state our intention to partner with the Oregon university system to proceed with schematic design and lay the foundation for future commitments and joint tenancy of this building. Since my wife teaches at Portland state, she's going to theoretically benefit although I don't think there's a plan to move the history department into this building. The design and analysis done following the adoption of this resolution will allow us to sharpen our pencils and look at the numbers and make a fully informed decision and I appreciate the mayor's commitment to that process between now and when we make a final decision whether to move forward with this first of its kind project. There's, as been stated by our presenters, there's additional information we need before we can make the final commitment but i'm confident we'll get that information and be able it make an informed judgment. This is an exciting opportunity. And as i've learned in the course of looking into this at a greater level, paying a premium to develop an innovative project like this is potentially warranted because of the significant returns on the back end. Both to our community and to brand Portland and sustainability. As I mentioned in my comment to lou, this is not just a real estate deal. We have to find a way to finance this but I think it would be misleading to present this to the public as an real estate deal. This is an economic development play with an real estate component and complex financing. And I am very supportive of the philosophy and the concept. And i'm confident that council will discharge its duties and sharpen its pencil and make sure the numbers add up. This resolution lays out clear expectations for due diligence, information and analysis that will be needed before we can make a final commitment on future development, tenancy and the operations and I look forward to working with our partners and offices and bureaus to do that process. I remain hopeful that the Oregon sustainability center as it is to be redesigned will prove to be a cost-effective and signature project for the city of Portland and our great Oregon university system. So again, mayor, thank you for your leadership on this and your team and susan, your teams and all the partners. I'm pleased to vote aye.

Adams: I want to thank members of my mayor's office team. Lisa libby and kimberly schneider for your work on this over the past couple years, I want to thank lisa at pdc as well, and colin sears, and rob bennett, Portland sustainability institute and the folks at omf who have been part of this. This allows us to really get to work. This was the early feasibility of whether the living building

August 4, 2010

challenge was possible to do to respond to the living building challenge in a ways that meaningful for urbanized situations, cities. And we have figured out that it is feasible. But now we've got a -- we've got to move into the due diligence of -- you know, we've got initial feasibility, yes. Now how do we actually engineer this thing? And not only engineer it terms of time and space and the environment but in terms of maximizing the social equity, maximizing the economic equity and it's a once in a generation opportunity we've given ourselves. So thank you all very much. Aye. [gavel pounded] so approved. Can you please read item 1121.

Moore-Love: Want to do the consent agenda?

Adams: Anyone wish to pull any items from the consent agenda? All right. Please call the vote on the consent agenda.

Saltzman: Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Fritz:** Aye. **Fish:** Aye.

Adams: Aye. [gavel pounded] consent agenda is approved. I'd like to acknowledge, we have a special guest that just entered the chambers. Vancouver Washington mayor Tim leavitt that is joined us.

Tim Leavitt: Always a pleasure to be here in Portland.

Adams: Very good. We're the vancouver-Portland metropolitan area. To you read the title for item 1121.

Item 1121.

Adams: Thank you, commander, for waiting.

Michael Crebs: You bet. [laughter]

Adams: Appreciate your patience.

Waren Jimenz: We're here to answer any questions that council might have with this issue, if there are any.

Fritz: Can you tell us why this was pulled and why it's back on the agenda? What are the differences?

Jimenez: There's no differences when it was pulled and to this point. This is an opportunity -- I think commissioner Saltzman's office had a couple of questions and we had to address those issues.

Fish: The mayor was also sick, I believe.

Jimenez: That's correct.

Saltzman: I have a question since you're up here. I intend to support this agreement but I do want to raise an issue that I think was of kern to me and something I hope we're -- of concern to me and something I hope we use as a metric to measuring the success of this agreement with tri-met and that's -- crime prevention through environmental design. It seems to me that our officers have continually flagged certain light rail stop in particular where modest investment, modest capital investments by tri-met could dramatically improve the safety or perceived safety of light rail stops. Light rail stop in particular, not isolated to that, so this is something we need to measure as we renew this agreement with tri-met. I would hope this will become a metric of how we measure the success of this agreement.

Crebs: Right, and i'm fully committed. Part of my job is to make sure if I see issues or problems that can be fixed by environmental design, it's my job to bring those up. 82nd is an good example. A lot of good work there. The crosswalk widened and fence to avoid jaywalking. I'm committed, the better we design these places the less police officers we need out there. T.

Saltzman: I'm looking less from the commitment from you than tri-met. Because the capital that does the things you're talking about comes from the tri-met side of the budget.

Crebs: Ok. Thanks.

Adams: I think commissioner Saltzman raises an important point and if I could get regular -- where -- you know, if it's a quarterly, if that's meaningful, regular report force repeated, sort of on the transit, whether bus, aerial tram, streetcar or light rail. Where we have repeated calls for service. I meet with tri-met every month and your idea I can do a better job of reviewing and being an

August 4, 2010

advocate. And reviewing the issues with tri-met and keep it on the top of the list, i'd be happy to do that.

Crebs: Thank you.

Adams: Karla, please call the roll on --

Saltzman: There's testimony here.

Adams: Oh, would the three people come up. Are there more.

Moore-Love: I had two people signed up.

Adams: Glad you're here. Mr. Handleman.

Dan Handelman, Portland Cop Watch: Good afternoon, mayor and commissioners. I was prepared to say good morning. I'm dan handleman with Portland cop watch and the issue of this agreement between the city and tri-met, came up previously to the james chase settlement, it came at the james chase settlement and at the report and this has been going on for five years, the agreement between the city and tri-met and there's still no fix to the accountability issue that was raised and that has cost the city money and continue to cost the city money until you fix it. Not only have the citizen review committee and oir warned you, but now you've paid out \$6 million to the chase family and the Portland police bureau only nominally in charge of them. The officers of the other agencies report to the Portland police bureau commander but when a question of accountability is raised, they don't work for the Portland police bureau. That the officers will follow their own agency's policies and ppb protocols are also to be followed but it's our city's protocols in conflict, their departments policies are the fault. So in other words, an -- the default, perhaps a taser allowed to be used on somebody, when they're doing I won't comply with your command, has the ability to do that in the boundaries of our city, even though we have told our officers you can't do that here. And we have people patrolling the using different standards. And I understand that tri-met goes out to hillsboro and other counties, but that doesn't mean we should accept this policing going on in our city and no way to hold them directly accountable when they harm our citizens and even if it's a Portland officer committing the infraction, we can't always get an officer from another city to come in and testify to the internal affairs division about what happened. It's bad enough we have security guards running around downtown Portland who are armed and there's no accountability set up by city council. And I think by signing this agreement, you're giving away a possibility for us to hold the officers to the same standard. I said last week and say it again, it would be great if we went back to the day when tri-met had its own police agency and it has to be accountable to elected officials and there has to be an accountability system that has civilian oversight. Until then, we get lawsuits from the people who get bean-bagged and roughed up for a \$2 fare.

Adams: There's no question we have work to doing this in area and i'm committed to do that, in the meantime, I need a contract. This is on the list for improvements in a variety of levels and it's been on the agenda for my discussion with the new general manager at tri-met. The solutions -- and you allude to them in your comment, some of the solutions to me seem very straightforward. But they aren't necessarily as easy to implement as you and I might like. But i'm going to pursue it. And would hope to have a report back to council no later than the first week in october. I wish it was sooner, but that's testimony to the complexity of the situation. That's no excuse. We do need to make improvements.

Fish: Mayor can I acknowledge in the agreement it's initially a one-year agreement and by its terms can be terminated by any party within 30 days notice and can be modified. If there's something you want to bring forward, this can be modified.

Adams: Thank you. You bet.

Michael Bigham, Chair CRC: Mr. Mayor and members of the council. I'm going to repeat some of what dan had to say. I'm chair of the citizen review committee. I would like to thank you talk about governmental agreements covering transit policing. As you may remember from my

August 4, 2010

testimony during the chase reports, these agreements do not require that officers from other agencies be available to the terminal affairs division during a shooting, or death in custody. Portland officers need to be available to another agency if the incident happen there had. This omission stalled the james chase investigation for over a year. After crc testimony, on tri-met iga's in 2008, the mayor set up to look at the accountability of officers in the transit division. I'd like to thank you for that. The work group did establish safeguards but other work is unfinished and we could not agree upon making involved officers from other jurisdictions available for interviews in administrative investigations. Those in attendance were frank. Making that a requirement was beyond their authority. It's something that will have to be done at the city or commission level along with input from the house counsel. I hope you'll work toward a solution to this problem, if not, the bottleneck that stalled the chase investigation remains. There's one agency that has gotten a pass, and that's tri-met. To years ago, they dissolved their capable police department leaving the consequences to a local agency. To achieve a solution to accountability issues, they need to step up and become an involved partner in ensuring the safety and security in transit policing of their facilities. In closing, i'd like to take the time to thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Adams: Thank you, both. Anyone else wish to testify? Karla, please call the vote on the emergency ordinance item 1121.

Saltzman: Well, I want to thank michael bigham and I know they've worked out training issues and the chief will keep a close eye on the operation of this unit, but having said that, I would like in the future like it see the bureau develop further training specific to the transit team including agencies and jurisdictions outside of the city of Portland to ensure expectations are the same for all officers who work inside the city limits of Portland. Aye.

Leonard: Aye.

Fritz: Thank you for the testimony and for the work on this. Thank you, mayor Adams, for committing to address the issues raised. Aye.

Fish: Aye.

Adams: Aye. [gavel pounded] so approved of please read the title for emergency ordinance item 1121-1.

Item 1121-1.

Saltzman: This contract will simply amend the brown and caldwell contract on the fanno pump station and increase the scope of the compensation by \$725,000. The funds are available in the sewer operating fund. Urge approval.

Fish: Why is it an emergency ordinance on the four-fifths?

Saltzman: Would you like to explain that? I don't know myself.

Dan Hebert, Bureau of Environmental Services: Certainly. I'm dan, the project manager for the fanno-related projects, and the reason this is presented as emergency ordinance is that moving ahead with the project, we're expending a considerable amount of money, in the order of \$300,000 in clean water services to take the sewage and treat it while the fanno pump station is out of service and trying to develop and expand the pump station by about 45% additional capacity to meet the needs of the five-year storm that we can't do with the existing pump station capacity.

Fritz: We've been working on this for a long time and wondering why is this particular contract unexpected?

Hebert: Well, we -- the biggest reason we've had an unexpected development, we applied for a land use approval with Washington county to develop the pump station site and june 14th, notified that the hearings officer denied our application for that land use policy so now we've had what hurdle and we have to adjust as rapidly as we can to redesign our project and look at alternatives to design something that will be permittable and have a land use approval by Washington county to actually build the project.

Fritz: Why the four-fifths?

August 4, 2010

Hebert: Sorry?

Fritz: Why not just put it on the agenda? Part of the reason I think these contracts need -- that they are on the agenda, so that the public knows they're coming up and if anybody has concerns, they come and testify. I'm wondering why it's not on the regular agenda for next week rather than a four-fifths item that was added.

Amy Trieu, Commissioner Saltzman's Office: Amy Trieu from Dan Saltzman's office. It was supposed to be on the regular agenda. It was a staff oversight.

Fritz: That happens. I just wanted to find out. Thank you very much.

Adams: Unless there's additional council discussion, Karla, please call the vote on 1121-1.

Saltzman: Thank you for your explanation. Aye.

Leonard: Aye.

Fritz: Thank you. Aye.

Fish: Aye. [gavel pounded] recessed until 3:30. Thank you very much.

At 12:55 p.m., Council recessed.

August 4, 2010
Closed Caption File of Portland City Council Meeting

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised City Council broadcast and should not be considered a verbatim transcript.

Key: ***** means unidentified speaker.

AUGUST 4, 2010 3:30 PM

Item 1122 and 1123.

Adams: Can you please read 1122 and 1123. One of my first moves as mayor was to recommend to the city council to merge the bureau of planning with the office of sustainable development. It was out of a desire to, um, stamp out the last vestiges of the notion that sustainability was something over here. And not infused in everything that we do. It's not just to say that the planning bureau or the planning commission was devoid of sustainability and the fact that two efforts were underway, and I think lack of, in terms of cohesion and coherencies, so yes, we merge them and today we're here to follow up on merging the planning commission and the Portland sustainable development commission, planning commission since 1918. The sustainability development commission for Multnomah county in Portland has existed for, for 15 years -- I didn't say anything.

So, susan anderson will make a brief presentation, and we'll hear testimony from don't hansen, commissioner howard shapiro and leslie carlson. And one of the questions that we have, why have you been stonewalling this change, but we'll let you get to this. [laughter]

Susan Anderson, Director, Bureau of Planning and Sustainability: Ok. Thank you, commissioners. I am with planning and sustainability. We had four years of proposal to merge the planning and sustainability commission, and we believe that there are opportunities just like with putting the bureaus together to have similar kinds of innovations, and synergies, and, and hopefully, to come up with some new and wonderful things. The goals have overlapped for a long time. Sustainability was in her just about being green. It always meant that we were talking about a healthy environment, all of those things together, so planning for, for sustainability in the future is about future generations. We must have long range thinking. We must make sure we're thinking about social ec, economic growth, and also, very much our stewardship. So, the proposal is, is that, that these groups will come together, and in terms of details, we need to, actually, amend the governing documents titled 3, 17, and 33. You have all that information, and we'll expand the commission to be 11 members. We see that the focus of their work will primarily, over the next few years, the Portland plan, which includes the river plan and central city 2035, but also on making sure the implementation and monitoring of the climate action plan and an update in 2012 of that plan. So, those will be some of the major activities going on with the group. And in addition, I think that the new commission will, will, um, will broaden their perspective, and we had a meeting of the two groups, the chairs of the two groups to decide what kinds of things should the commissions be looking at, and making sure that we are taking an instant aggressive approach, looking at things like personal health and education and looking at, at not just prosperity and jobs but affordability to, to households. So, kind of taking a deeper look, and not just looking at housing and transportation and the environment, which, which tended to, to be a lot of the discussion, even though the members all along wanted to go deeper and have the connections to these other things. So would you please change we'll be making is we'll set up a formal mechanism so the chairs of the planning commission, the landmarks commission, and the design commission will meet quarterly. Often, there will be a bit of lack of communication between those three groups, and they are going to meet, the chairs, at least, and some of the members, perhaps, so that will enhance the communication and provide opportunities to improve the policy decisions and the programs that we

August 4, 2010

propose and move forward with. The new commission will start up in october, beginning of october, and they will have a retreat in september. And I believe at next week's council meeting, we will have a list of, of the proposed slate of members that they will be putting forward. And I think that that's all that I have, unless you have questions, and otherwise, these two gentlemen would like to talk.

Don Hanson, Chair, Planning Commission: Brief comments, i'm don Hanson with the voluntary chair of the planning commission, and as the chair had been front and center on the merger of the two bureaus, and I think it's really a good idea. I have endorsed it from the beginning. Mayor Adams, you laid the groundwork for that. And change are always somewhat traumatic and difficult, but I really want to compliment the planning staff from both groups. They have been excellent through this whole thing. They have maintained their level of quality of the work and a great attitude, and I really tribute director anderson with positive leadership during that process. We look forward to reshaping the commission, and we look forward to new members on the commission, too. We think this broadened area of consideration is, is going to be excellent. We think that we are a group that's well suited to it. We like the idea of having sustainability at the forefront. It needs to impact all our decisions, and we are ready for that. You know, I have learned a lot on the planning commission. I think that i've been on for, for five years, or maybe six. I have lost count, but, you know, I really learned a lot on the planning commission. I look forward to learning more with this expanded scope. So, i'm in full support of it, and look ahead to, to possibly being reappoint. So, we'll see how that goes. Thank you.

Adams: You've been a great chair, and thank you.

Hanson: Thank you.

Howard Shapiro, Vice Chair, Planning Commission: I'm the vice chair of the planning commission, and when we decided, or were confronted with the opportunity of the sustainability, I particularly responded to that because i'm all about sustainability for my own life going forward, and, and like don't, looked at this initially as kind of an interesting new adventure. As you said, mayor Adams, the planning commission has been around since 1918. And if we look at our city from then to now, we have done an interesting job in terms of continuing to maintain it and to sustain it without adding sustainability to it. But, i'm particularly interested and wanting to point out one line in the purpose of the commission, which gives this commission added both responsibility, focus, and opportunity. It says, and I quote, "it considers the economic, environmental, and social wellbeing of the city" and when we add social wellbeing, you give us as citizens the opportunity to look at livability as well as planning. It's a really extraordinary addition at a time when we're working and crafting this document called "the Portland plan." and particularly, i'm excited about the people that we get to work with on the commission. You have an outstanding group of people there, and we're adding some wonderful new names, and we're adding this additional piece, which Portland, frankly, internationally has been, has been famous for and actually enjoys a reputation for, that's the most sustainable place in the country, and maybe in the world, we hope. Is a, we, as commissioners, welcome this ton. And we also are very grateful for our leaders. Susan anderson was given a very difficult chore when you laid it on her so steep, and she has done a marvelous job of integrating our personalities, our emotions, which are high and low, and our intentions. So, congratulations to you for crafting a new idea, and hopefully, to us for pulling it off in a meaningful way. We look forward to it. Thank you.

Adams: I occasionally watch the, the commission meetings when they are broadcast.

Adams: I'm almost over my sleep apnea, but your commissioner howard, I like the fact that you are always both in a supportive way but challenging assumptions in the discussion in my observes the work of the commission, great leadership of the chair. And your great work, but have we looked at, thought about, so that's great.

Shapiro: Old guys get to do that.

August 4, 2010

Adams: Thank you, all.

Fish: And thank you for your service.

Fish: How do you plan to determine the 11, and what is the council's role in that process?

Adams: If I can switch out the two of you for chair carlson?

Anderson: You two can just do it.

Leonard: It's an up and down mowing.

Adams: I apologize. Go ahead, commissioner.

Anderson: The question was, how are we going to choose the 11? The mayor gets to pick, but we put forward an opportunity to nominate.

Adams: You want to quickly nominate. You decide. [laughter]

Anderson: The 11 members. There were ago on the, the planning commission currently, and the, the sustainability development commission was a city, county commission, and half of those are nominated by the city and half are nominated by the county, and pointed, and so, only those represented, or nominated and pointed by the city were, actually, eligible to be on the city's planning commission. So, we asked all of them to reapply if they were interested, and, and a total of nine people out of those, those two groups reapplied to be on the new commission, and then we had, um, had put out the word broadly about, about having others join the commission. We got several dozen applications, and we forwarded those onto the mayor's office. And he is looking at those for nominating. In particular, we've been interested in looking at someone who has a public health background and education background. In particular, those two areas because we want to broaden the reach and the understanding of the commission about how they make the decisions and how they affect -- or how they are integrated with public health and, and with both k-12, but also, higher ed in terms of education.

Fish: Ok. Thank you.

Fritz: Is how will the terms run? You took over my spot, so I know how you run the commission. That was for 2004. I am very pleased to see that you have changed back to the previous term limits of two-term plus, coming out there, the remainder of the term was changed to three terms plus against my vote while I was on it, and which could be almost 16 years, which I think, although I appreciate the services so, i'm wondering how are you going to stagger the terms?

Anderson: We will point two, half of the folks will be pointed for two-year terms to start and half for four, so that will make sure that nobody is, is, that we don't have a whole change all at once, and it also will marine that we don't have to do it every year so they will come in teams, as groups to learn together. So, if you are pointed to a two-year term you have the opportunity to serve the two years plus two, four-year terms.

Fish: Does the previous service count into that?

Anderson: No.

Fish: So we are creating a possible --

Anderson: After serving the people who were on there, I felt that wasn't going to be an issue, or I probably wouldn't have proposed that. In other words, I didn't think that there was nip that was going to stay that long, so I don't think that it's a problem.

Fritz: I will tell you right now that I will be reluctant to vote to confirm regardless of -- we have wonderful people, and it is such an opportunity.

Anderson: Sure.

Fritz: But I believe that we should have, have a two, two terms plus a bit, but not --

Anderson: Plus two years?

Fritz: Yes, two terms plus two terms.

Hanson: I agree with that notion, and I told staff that I would sign up for another two years. I think it's important that it revolves and there is a new opportunity to people to engage in it so I agree with your line of thinking.

August 4, 2010

Fritz: And I think that keeps the commission vibrant, and I learned so much in my time there, so i'm glad -- the other concern that I have is I believe that we only have one sustainability commissioner coming over to the new commission, is that correct?

Anderson: Well, the appointments aren't made yet.

Fritz: But that was who volunteered. The planning commission is such an intense volunteer commitment. When I was on it, I put in about 20 hours a week, and I know that you both put in a lot of time on it. So, i'm concerned. Both the commissioners were not able to devote that amount of time so, how are we not going to lose the expertise and how are we going to keep up the focus that you had with the commission to bring up all these new folks to working with the Multnomah county to do this sustainability piece?

Anderson: I think two ways, one is looking at the new appointees we have to make sure those folks have the most opportunity as possible to have those, the broader sustainability. I would also think that, that several members of the, of the current planned commission actually do have broad sustainability credentials. We just haven't -- that hasn't been their charge completely, and so I think that they will be able to now do new things, and, and bring our perspective to the table. The other part is that the, we come out of the retreat, we're likely to come up with some kinds of committees, and on those committees, potentially, one proposal would be to have members that were on the past sustainable commission and others be able to be on the subcommittees without actually being on the commission, and I think that will broaden, when we were taking an in-depth look at other issue, so I think that's the proposal that would be coming forward.

Fritz: I like that, and I know that mayor Adams has looked with the chair on linking the Multnomah county and the Portland efforts, and perhaps, there is an opportunity to do that with the citizen subcommittee, as well. I think that the sustainability commission has been an exemplary partnership and shown the city and the county can work extremely well together.

Adams: Thank you very much. Anyone else wish to testify on this matter?

Moore-Love: No one else signed up.

Adams: Then we are adjourned.

Fritz: No, wait, wait, wait.

*******:** There is a second part to this.

Adams: There is a second part? We are back in order. We took testimony on both?

Fritz: We didn't hear the staff presentation.

Anderson: There is a brief staff presentation to explain what the second item is.

Adams: Do we have to vote on the ordinance?

Fritz: No, these are both non emergency.

Adams: Oh, excuse me. All right, eric. Very briefly.

Eric Engstrom: This is a follow-up, part b to the thing you just heard, just very briefly, as a contact, as you, most of you know, we're in period -- periodic review. One of the outcomes of that is creation of the strategic plan, but also, a, a, an updated comprehensive plan. And the, the, the review is governed by a state-acknowledged work plan, and that plan, you approved in 2008, and then was acknowledged by the state in 2009. The new, the -- the planning commission was a key part the community outreach strategy in that work plan, and so this part b is to acknowledge and send back to the state that we're operating under a new commission structure, and just to take care of that. At the same time, we're also taking this opportunity to make two other correction, to the work program. One is kind of mundane and just drafting some dates that were wrong in the state-approved work plan. The second is to carry forward recommendations of our community involvement committee to put the Portland plan into this work plan, and specifically, the cic was created more than a year ago to oversee the public involvement aspect of the Portland plan and our periodic review work program, and throughout that year, they have been instrumental in helping us design the public outreach and then reviewing the, the outcomes of that outreach, and then

August 4, 2010

suggesting improvements. And as you heard this morning, the Portland plan also has been kind of a laboratory for testing out the piac recommendations and concepts, and so we also added into this amendment the recommendations from our cic for improvements to that outreach program, and that's bundled into this amendment, which includes the changing of the language from the planning and sustainability commission to the, or from the planning commission, to the planning and sustainability commission. So, your vote on the second item would send that revised work program off to the state with those amendments.

Adams: Commissioner Fritz.

Fritz: Thank you. Bear with me, folks. Because you did indicate in goal one citizen involvement, you were not only providing greater clarity in detail but resolving some technical discrepancies between the city and state version, and what were those discrepancies?

Engstrom: Dates, primarily. What happened was, was is because there was a year between the elapsed time of when you adopted the initial work program and when the state approved it, some of the dates for deadlines had already passed by the time the state approved it, so we had -- and they never caught that, so we're just, just correcting that.

Fritz: I see. And i'm glad to see the citizen involvement charter that, that we are recognizing that we want to include diverse communities and the perspective of all Portlanders. And i'm concerned that the citizen involvement committee under the proposed bylaws, that if there are -- that under the current structure, the mayor appointed the citizen involvement committee with approval by the council, under the proposed bylaws, or maybe their the current bylaws, if there are vacancies, then the citizen involvement committee, itself, chooses who to fill those vacancies with, and that is of concern to me, I think, the process of fulfilling vacancies should be the same as the process for appointing the committee.

Engstrom: Yes, to clarify the bylaws that are attached to this are the bylaws developed by the committee, itself. And so, that's, that's -- we carry those forward into this.

Fritz: So, I move an amendment to those bylaws, which is that the vacancies in the committee are e filled by the same processes as the committee was pointed in the first place.

Adams: Second. Any discussion on that amendment? Karla, can you call the roll on the amendment?

Saltzman: Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Fritz:** Aye. **Fish:** Aye. **Adams:** Aye.

Fritz: Thank you. There seems to us and I want to thank tom Bizeau my chief of staff for going through this very careful for me. That there is still some references in the work program purpose statement to the planning commission and not to the planning sustainability commission.

Engstrom: There are historical references to what, in the background that we left as the planning commission because that was the historical reference.

Fritz: But you are confident you have the ones that need to be changed?

Engstrom: I believe so.

Fritz: I'm sure the council will agree to change those.

Adams: Tim versus eric, smack down. [laughter]

Saltzman: were waiting for your signoff. That's the thoroughness.

Fritz: And -- what else is new. [laughter] There was some reference under the quorum decision-making, using a fist to five process. I don't understand what that means?

Engstrom: I might actually -- is howard still here? No? Howard is, actually, the chair of the cic, and he would be able to answer that better than I could.

Fritz: I think I've done quite a few public meetings and if I don't know what that means then maybe it's not clear to everybody else.

Engstrom: I think what it means is that when you make an agreement, if you can't live with it, you hold up the fist and that stops the discussion.

August 4, 2010

Fritz: I agree that that's probably what it means. I think that it would be helpful so that everybody knows what it means if there was some explanation, and maybe there is, but we didn't get the appendix. And then finally, i'm concerned that, that it doesn't seem to be a required report to council and to the planning and sustainability commission on the progress? The outcomes?

Engstrom: I believe there is a process for that in the broader work plan.

Al Burns: There is, and that is the requirement of the periodic review work program that before, before the planning commission recommends or council approves any product that we do under our work program, that there is an opportunity for our community involvement committee to make a recommendation on that. And that's a very important recommendation under state-wide planning goal 1.

Engstrom: That is how you got the report. This set of amendments was the product of a report from the cic to the planning commission.

Burns: And these reports are described as ongoing throughout the three years of periodic review.

Fritz: Is there any dates of how often?

Burns: The dates are concurrent with the dates of the, of the first batch. The first batch is background information, second is alternative analysis, and the third batch would be any policy decisions, and the last patch would be any regulations or programs or projects to carry. Each carries a date, and that date also includes a recommendation from the cic.

Fritz: And those come to council or just the planning and sustainability commission?

Burns: They will come to first the planning commission.

Fritz: Great, thanks very much.

Adams: I'm still interested in whether erik or tim, or tom is most correct on the references to planning or planning and sustainability commission. So, let's find out. All right. Is there anyone who wishes to testify on these matters? [gavel pounded]

Adams: We're adjourned we'll hear them next week.

Both 1122 and 1123 passed to 2nd reading.

At 3:55 p.m., Council adjourned.