RESOLUTION No. 36786

Accept Conceptual Design Report for Portland-Milwaukie Light Rail Project and provide Jurisdictional Findings (Resolution)

WHEREAS, City Council adopted Resolution No. 36625 on July 17, 2008 specifying a new Locally Preferred Alternative for the South Corridor Phase II: Portland-Milwaukie Light Rail Project (Project); and

WHEREAS, with Resolution 36625, City Council adopted a list of project issues as "Exhibit C" and requested that the TriCounty Metropolitan Transportation District of Oregon (TriMet) prepare a Conceptual Design Report (CDR), in collaboration with the Portland Bureau of Transportation to be reviewed by the Portland Design Commission, Planning Commission, Portland Development Commission and City Council prior to completion of the preliminary engineering phase of the project; and

WHEREAS, TriMet released a public discussion draft of the CDR on February 18, 2010; and

WHEREAS, TriMet completed development of preliminary project plans, representing a 30% level of design on March 31, 2010; and

WHEREAS, numerous open houses and meetings with interested citizens have been held to solicit feedback on the CDR and the overall Project design; and

WHEREAS, the Portland Design Commission considered the CDR on April 1, 2010; and

WHEREAS, the Portland Planning Commission held a public hearing on the CDR on April 13, 2010; and

WHEREAS, the Portland Development Commission considered the CDR on April 14, 2010; and

WHEREAS, written comments on the CDR have been received from the Portland Planning Commission, the City's Pedestrian Advisory Committee and Bicycle Advisory Committee, and by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City of Portland accepts the Portland-Milwaukie Light Rail Project Conceptual Design Report Public Discussion Draft attached as Exhibit A; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Council requests that the CDR be amended to include the "Scorecard" attached as Exhibit B and "Jurisdictional Findings" attached as Exhibit C and that a final version of the CDR report be published: and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Council requests that TriMet prepare a new CDR prior to completion of the final engineering phase of the Project; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Council requests that the new CDR explore and address the issues, concerns, and opportunities identified in the "Jurisdictional Findings" attached Exhibit C; and

168

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the new CDR is to be prepared in collaboration with the Portland Bureau of Transportation and reviewed by the Portland Design Commission, Planning Commission, Portland Development Commission and City Council prior to initiation of project construction.

Adopted by the Council,

1

Mayor Sam Adams Prepared by: Teresa Boyle Date Prepared: April 26, 2010

LaVonne Griffin-Valade Auditor of the City of Portland By usan Taun Deputy

MAY 12 2010

656 -==

Agenda No. **RESOLUTION NO.** Title

36786

Accept Conceptual Design Report for Portland-Milwaukie Light Rail Project and provide Jurisdictional Findings (Resolution)

INTRODUCED BY Commissioner/Auditor: MAYOR SAM ADAMS COMMISSIONER APPROVAL Mayor—Finance and Administration - Adams Position 1/Utilities - Fritz Position 2/Works - Fish Position 2/Works - Fish Position 3/Affairs - Saltzman Position 3/Affairs - Saltzman Position 4/Safety - Leonard BUREAU APPROVAL Bureau: Bureau of Transportation Group Manager: Greg Jones Development & Capital Program Other Prepared by: Teresa Boyle:slg Date Prepared: April 26, 2010 Financial Impact Statement Completed Amends Budget Not Required	CLERK USE: DATE FILED MAY 0 7 2010 MAY 0 7 2010 MAY 0 7 2010 LaVonne Griffin-Valade Auditor of the City of Portland By: <u>Juran Janan</u> Deputy ACTION TAKEN:
Portland Policy Document If "Yes" requires City Policy paragraph stated in document. Yes No 🔀	
Council Meeting Date May 12, 2010 City Attorney Approval	

AGENDA	FOUR-F	FIFTHS AGENDA	COMMISSIONERS VOTED		
TIME CERTAIN X Start time: 2:00 PM		010 C T Ville		YEAS	NAYS
Total amount of time needed: <u>1 ½ hrs.</u>	1. Fritz		1. Fritz	\checkmark	9
(for presentation, testimony and discussion)	2. Fish		<mark>2.</mark> Fish	\checkmark	
	3. Saltzman		3. Saltzman	\checkmark	-
	4. Leonard		4. Leonard		
Total amount of time needed: (for presentation, testimony and discussion)	Adams		Adams	\checkmark	