		TESTIMONY SIGN-UP 9:35AM	
	1366-67 <u>Ame</u>	nd Comp Plan Map and Title 33	
	Re Specified	Unincorporated Mult. Co. Areas	
	IF YOU WISH TO SPEAK	176114 TO CITY COUNCIL, PRINT YOUR NAME & ADDRESS	:
	NAME	ADDRESS & ZIP CODE	
/	;		
$\sqrt{2}$	Vida Bauer	- 6732 5£. 158	

Date:	11/28/01	
-------	----------	--

Subj: OPDR issuess PERMIT to remove "A" quality resources Date: Tuesday, November 27, 2001 8:45:02 PM

176114

Here is a color Ariel photo of the area that you are talking about today. As you can see from this Healthy Streams project map, the area around the large triangle is all an "A" quality resource. An essential part of this project is the designation of the triangle as a "P" zone.

OPDR gave the Water Bureau a permit to remove all of the "A" quality resource on the strip of land approximately 7 years before the Water Bureau's proposed project on this site. This permit gave the Water Bureau permission to remove the resources without any environmental review, as would be required for any one else who wanted to remove an "A" quality resource. OPDR told the Water Bureau to just call it maintenance and OPDR would not require the proper review. MAINTENANCE, IN THIS CASE, WAS REMOVING ALL OF THE VEGETATION FROM THE STEEP SLOPES OF POWELL BUTTE PARK RESULTING IN SUBSTANTIAL "EROSION".

Recently BES wanted to restore the banks of Johnson Creek on new property that they had just purchased. They were required to go through a type 3 process and pay thousands in fees to OPDR. Is the message here that environmental review is required to restore resources but is not required to destroy "A" quality resources?

OPDR allowing public agencies to do this kind of damage to fragile resources sets a terrible example for developers and private land owners. Anger and resentment are the result when developers and homeowners are required to go to extra effort to preserve natural resources while public agencies are permitted to damage or destroy part of those same natural resources. It is considered bad parenting to have a "do as I say, not as I do " attitude. Isn't that also true of our government?

The Healthy Streams concept holds the promise of substantial future benefits for the entire region on many levels. Allowing any exemption to the current requirements dilutes and degrades all efforts to preserve the functional resources. Whether it's public bureaus removing resources on the recommended pretext of maintenance, or whether it's developers ignoring their conditions of approval because no one's watching, the damage to the integrity and potential benefits of the project is just as devastating. When only some of us have to follow the rules while government or public bureau are allowed to sidestep the regulations, we are undermining the willingness of the public to help and risking the potential for success of the Healthy Streams project. The cost, in the long run, is much more substantial than the temporary savings created by avoiding the current regulations.

176114

City of Portland, Oregon

BUDGET/FINANCIAL COUNCIL ACTION IMPACT STATEMENT

INITIATOR'S SUMMARY OF COUNCIL ACTION (Deliver original to the Bureau of Financial Planning. Retain Copy.)

1. Name of Initiator	2. Bldg/Room No.	3. Tele No.	4. Bureau/Office/Dept.
KARL LISLE	299/4100	503 823 4286	PLANNING
5a. To Be Filed (date): 11-19-01		6. Date Submitted to OF&A	Budget Analyst: 11-13-01
5b. Calendar (Circle One) Regular Consent 4/5ths			
Category 2 Routine and Budgeted Items Please check appropriate box and list S amount.	7. Fund Name		

Category 3 Non-Routine or Unbudgeted Items

(Please see opposite page.)

APPROPRIATION UNIT HEAD (Typed name and signature)

GIL KELLEY, PLANNING DIRECTOR

inature)



176114 CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON EXHIBIT A PLANNING COMMISSION (60) 823-7705 FAX: (60) 823-7705

November 13, 2001 Portland City Council City Hall 1221 SW Fourth Avenue Portland, OR 97204

Re: Proposed Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Code Amendments related to the transfer of planning and zoning administration for urban unincorporated areas from Multnomah County to the City of Portland.

Dear Mayor Katz and City Commissioners:

On behalf of the Portland Planning Commission, I am forwarding to you the Commission's recommendation on the proposed Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Code amendments related to the Multnomah County Compliance Project. These amendments include one text change and seven Plan District map updates in Title 33, Planning and zoning, and five Comprehensive Plan map amendments intended to allow the smooth transfer of planning and zoning authority for certain urban unincorporated areas from Multnomah County to the City.

At the hearing on October 9, 2001, there was no citizen testimony to the Planning Commission on this package of map and Code amendments. After some discussion of how the transfer of services from the County to the City will work, the Commission voted 5-1 to forward to City Council a favorable recommendation on the proposed amendments.

The Planning Commission recommends that Council adopt the Code and map amendments as outlined in the attached *Planning Commission Recommended Report and Recommendation* to enable the upcoming transfer of planning and zoning administration for the urban unincorporated areas of Multnomah County within Portland's Urban Services Boundary. This is consistent with the stated directives of the longstanding Urban Planning Area Agreement between the County and the City and Resolution A, confirming that urban services should be provided by the City of Portland.

Sincerely,

Rick Michaelson, President Portland Planning Commission

att.



CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON BUREAU OF PLANNING

VERA KATZ, MAYOR GIL KELLEY DIRECTOR 1960 S.W. FOURTH AVENUE, ROOM 1100 PORTLAND, OREGON 97201-5350 TFLEPHONE. (503) 823-7700 FAX. (503) 823-7800 E-mail: pdxplan⁶⁵ cr portland.or us

176114

Date: November 5, 2001 To: Portland City Council From: Karl Lisle, Bureau of Planning (503) 823-4286 Re: PLANNING COMMISSION REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND ZONING CODE AMENDMENTS RELATED TO THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY COMPLIANCE PROJECT

Hearing: November 28, 2001 9:30AM

This staff report provides an overview of the seven legislative actions proposed to allow a smooth transition of planning and zoning administration for unincorporated areas within Portland's Urban Services Boundary from Multnomah County to the City of Portland. The intergovernmental agreement to enact this transition will come before Council at the same hearing under a separate ordinance.

Planning Commission Recommendation:

On October 9, 2001, the Planning Commission voted 5-1 to forward the following actions to the City Council for adoption:

- 1. Amend Portland's Comprehensive Plan Map designations to be consistent with the recommended zoning in the areas shown on the maps in Exhibit B of the ordinance as UIAs 16 and 17.
- 2. Establish Portland Comprehensive Plan Map designations equivalent to recommended City zoning shown on maps in Exhibit C of the ordinance for all unincorporated areas within the Urban Services Boundary that are not currently covered by a City community plan. (UIA #s 0,2,5,7,8,10,11, 31, 35 (portion north of HWY 26), 41 and 42)
- 3. Amend Portland's Urban Services Boundary to include the 11 acres (three parcels) shown as UIA # 42 on Exhibit C, Map B of the ordinance.
- 4. Reconfirm and verify the location of the Urban Services Boundary as shown on the Maps in Exhibit D of the ordinance as an element of the Portland Comprehensive Plan Map.
- 5. Amend Portland's Zoning Maps to include proposed zoning (maps in Exhibit C of the ordinance) and Portland Comprehensive Plan designations (if different as established under Portland community plans) in unincorporated areas of Multnomah County within Portland's Urban Services Boundary.
- 6. Amend Portland's Zoning Code as documented in Exhibit E of the ordinance to establish a different appeals process when quasi-judicial land use decisions are administered for land use cases in unincorporated Multnomah County.
- 7. Amend the Skyline and Johnson Creek Basin Plan District Maps in Portland's Zoning Code to include unincorporated areas within Plan Districts as dictated

November 5, 2001 Page 2

by the new zoning maps adopted by the County Board of Commissioners. New maps are included in Exhibit F of the ordinance.

Background:

Under an intergovernmental agreement, Portland's Bureau of Planning is providing urban planning services to Multnomah County for the Multnomah County-Portland Compliance Project. The purpose of this project is to bring unincorporated areas of Multnomah County that lie within the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) and within Portland's Urban Services Boundary (USB) into compliance with the requirements of Metro's Urban Growth Management Functional Plan. The unincorporated areas will achieve compliance by using the City of Portland's Zoning Code and related development regulations.

On October 11, 2001 the Multnomah County Board of Commissioners adopted Portland's Comprehensive Plan and Comprehensive Plan Map, Titles 10, 32,33 and 34 of the City's Code and new zoning maps for the unincorporated areas within the City's USB.

These legislative amendments are necessary to enable effective implementation of the accompanying agreement (under separate ordinance) between the City and Multnomah County to transfer planning and zoning administration for unincorporated areas (UIAs) within Portland's USB from the County to the City. Portland's Zoning Code will apply to these areas when the transition occurs.

In order to coincide with the anticipated transfer of planning and zoning administration from the County to the City, the effective date of these amendments will be 30 days from Council adoption.

Seven recommended legislative changes in detail:

1. Amend Portland's Comprehensive Plan Map designations to be consistent with the existing zoning on approximately 50 acres of land in unincorporated Multnomah County but within Portland's Urban Service Boundary. This proposed amendment affects UIAs 16 and 17 and would change the Comprehensive Plan designation established under the Outer Southeast Community Plan from the current R10 to match the zoning designations on this proposed map. The zoning designations shown on the proposed map (Exhibit B to the ordinance) are the City equivalents of the current County zoning in this area.

This action is necessary to avoid a "Baker Case" violation of State law. The Baker vs. the City of Milwaukie decision established that Comprehensive Plan Designations may not be more restrictive than current zoning designations. Because the Portland Comprehensive plan will apply to the area upon transition of planning and zoning administration to the City, the Comprehensive Plan must be changed from R10 as established under the Outer Southeast Community Plan to match the proposed current zoning of R5, R7 and EG1. The proposed current zoning in this area is the closest city equivalent to existing County zoning. November 5, 2001 Page 3

2. Establish Portland Comprehensive Plan Map designations for all unincorporated areas within the Urban Services Boundary that are not currently covered by a City community or neighborhood plan. Approximately 50% of the unincorporated areas within the USB currently have no Portland Comprehensive Plan designation. In these areas the Comprehensive Plan designation will be the same as the current zoning shown on the proposed zoning maps.

This action is required because the Portland Zoning Code may not be applied to areas not included on the Portland Comprehensive Plan Map. Currently, approximately half of the UIAs are included in Portland's Comprehensive Plan Map Area through the Outer Southeast Community Plan and the pending Southwest Community Plan. The remaining UIAs must be added to the Portland Comprehensive Plan Map. In these areas, staff proposes that the Portland Comprehensive Plan Designation be consistent with the zoning designations shown on the proposed zoning maps.

One set of photocopied Proposed Zoning Maps is included as Exhibit C to the ordinance. Larger color maps are available for review at the Bureau of Planning. UIA #s 0,2,5,7,8,10,11, 31, part of 35 (north of HWY 26), 41 and 42 have not been included in Portland Community Plans.

3. Amend Portland's Urban Services Boundary to include 11 acres (three parcels) currently within the Urban Growth Boundary but outside the Urban Services Boundary. This is a technical correction and would make the USB contiguous with the Urban Growth Boundary in the Balch Creek vicinity. It involves bringing 11 acres (3 parcels) into the Urban Services Boundary. There is currently one single family home on the 11 acres. Given the extensive proposed environmental zoning in this area and a proposed zoning designation of RF, it is unlikely that significant new development will occur in this area. Metro staff has expressed support for this action.

The area affected is area UIA #42 (Exhibit C of the ordinance, Map B).

4. Reconfirm and verify the Urban Services Boundary as an element of the Portland Comprehensive Plan Map. As this legislative project will extend the Comprehensive Plan Map to cover all areas within the USB, staff feels it is worthwhile to reconfirm and verify the current location of the USB as recognized element of the official Portland Comprehensive Plan Map.

A series of maps showing the current location of the Urban Services Boundary is included as Exhibit D of the ordinance. In areas not covered by the maps in Exhibit D, the Urban Services Boundary is the same as the City Boundary.

5. Amend Portland's Zoning Maps to include existing zoning and Comprehensive Plan designations (if different) in unincorporated areas of Multnomah County within Portland's Urban Services Boundary. If adopted by the County Board of Commissioners and approved by the City Council, the zoning designations shown on the enclosed maps will be added to Portland's Official Zoning Maps.

Proposed zoning to be added to Portland Zoning Maps is depicted on the series of maps in Exhibit C.

November 5, 2001 Page 4

6. Amend Portland's Zoning Code to establish a different appeals process when quasi-judicial land use decisions are administered for land use cases in unincorporated Multnomah County. Appeals on Type III quasi-judicial reviews on cases within the unincorporated Multnomah County areas shown on the enclosed maps (Exhibit C to the ordinance) will be heard by the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) rather than the Portland City Council. Language would be added to the Portland Zoning Code Chapter 33.730.030 "Quasi-Judicial Procedures, Type III Procedure" to clarify this distinction. The proposed amendments to the Portland Zoning Code are included in Exhibit E to the ordinance.

This action removes the City Council from the politically undesirable position of making decisions regarding land use decisions on properties outside the City's jurisdictional boundaries. In addition, sending Type III appeals directly to LUBA is consistent with the County's current land use appeal practices.

7. Amend the Skyline and Johnson Creek Basin Plan District Maps in Portland's Zoning Code to include unincorporated areas within Plan Districts as dictated by the new zoning maps adopted by the County Board of Commissioners. New maps are included in Exhibit F to the ordinance. Portions of several UIAs are to be included within the Skyline or Johnson Creek Plan Districts. The maps in Title 33 need to be updated to accurately reflect this change.

List of Exhibits to the Ordinance:

- A. This Planning Commission Report and Recommendation.
- B. Maps explaining proposed change to the Portland Comprehensive Plan Map designation sent to property owners and neighborhood associations in UIAs 16 & 17.
- C. Recommended City Zoning & Comprehensive Plan Designation maps for Multhomah County UIAs.
- D. Portland Urban Services Boundary Maps.
- E. Proposed amendments to Portland Zoning Code Section 33.730.030.
- F. Proposed updated Plan District Maps for Portland Zoning Code.



176114



- Multnomah County Pocket Boundary
- City of Portland Boundary

10. • 11 ACC1

City of Portland Bureau of Planning August 2001





Bureau of Planning



Map A

176114



176114

Map B

Multnomah County Unincorporated Areas

Recommended City Zoning & Comprehensive Plan Designations *

Legend

City Boundary Urban Growth Boundary(UGB) Unincorporated Area Boundary(UIA) Stream • • • Plan District Boundary **Recommended City Zoning Designations** Open Space (OS) Residential Farming (RF) Single Dwelling Residential 20,000 (R20) Single Dwelling Residential 10,000 (R10) Single Dwelling Residential 7,000 (R7) Single Dwelling Residential 5,000 (R5) Office Commercial 1 (CO1) General Employment 1 (EG1) General Industrial 2 (IG2) Environmental Conservation Overlay (c Zone) Environmental Protection Overlay (p Zone) Willamette River General Overlay (WRG) 4001 800 INFORMUTOR GOLECTIC Her Z3, 1213. Rept uga by Skoy I Weste All data compliant from position metarials of differ-The information on the map uses dorined trans-signed data bases on the Orly of Parland, therma of Panoing ORS. Gere was taken in the creates of this much both is provided "re-in". This City of Parland calence access only researchikity for any an impact of the control of accessing on the states. There are a superstates which a classifying this product features in a superstates which a classifying this product features in the superstates which a classifying this product

City of Portland

Geographic

Bureau of Planning

Information System





Multnomah County Map (Unincorporated 176114 Areas

Recommended City Zoning & Comprehensive Plan Designations *

Legend

	City Boundary	
	Urban Growth Boundary(UGB)	
	Unincorporated Area Boundary(UIA)	
	Stream	
	Plan District Boundary	
Recomn	nended City Zoning Designations	
	Open Space (OS)	
	Residential Farming (RF)	
	Single Dwelling Residential 20,000 (R20	
	Single Dwelling Residential 10,000 (R10)	
	Single Dwelling Residential 7,000 (R7)	
	Single Dwelling Residential 5,000 (R5)	
$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}$	Office Commercial 1 (CO1)	
4.27 - 18 M	General Employment 1 (EG1)	
	General Industrial 2 (IG2)	
	Environmental Conservation Overlay (c Zone)	
	Environmental Protection Overlay (p Zone)	
	Willamette River General Overlay (WRG)	
	Scale: 1" - 800'	
	0' 400' 800' 1200'	
HEORIANION		
	hy produced by Chegan Displ, of Revenue cyclend by Mattermenda County Assessment & orised Dape, a Unangentation. Updated 001. Accurracy - + / J Smit.	
	boundary al by Balera, cyclescel by Weebergton B Mater Biow 72, 11377. Regentered Upsigned by Metro Guy. 2000.	
City Roundary: Digitized by Po Updated by PO Registered to t	uriland Dayau bourt of Byzanywythian. XII anti Royawy of Flynning, Caladau 2000. Adol bana mutu.	
Zarwing Digital and from burnese zoverg aware by Roy E. Weston, N Inc. See Deradard Harveing Rumen, Registand te taskets, Lipitatal by Farebring through Jane 2001.		
Al data completed barn sources materials at different scales. For more datad, planns refer to bis posicio molecule at City of Partand, Daras at Anoning		
The information bases on the Cr wave taken in the in", The Cry of for serve, senses Dere are not ver Starrerer, had be	s on the reap was distrimit town digited data ny of throthend, Roman of Hanning GR. Carr a control on a thin must be the two provided "to Portland cannot accept any matchinedity, mention which accept any matchinedity, mention which accept to the provided to carton of any artists will be appreciated.	





Multnomah County Unincorporated Areas

Map D 176114

Recommended City Zoning & Comprehensive Plan Designations *

Legend

City Boundary Urban Growth Boundary(UGB) Unincorporated Area Boundary(UIA) Stream Plan District Boundary **Recommended City Zoning Designations** Open Space (OS) SAGES **Residential Farming (RF)** Single Dwelling Residential 20,000 (R20) Single Dwelling Residential 10,000 (R10) Single Dwelling Residential 7,000 (R7) Single Dwelling Residential 5,000 (R5) 0.000 Office Commercial 1 (CO1) General Employment 1 (EG1) General Industrial 2 (IG2) Environmental Conservation Overlay (c Zone) Environmental Protection Overlay (p Zone) Willamette River General Overlay (WRG) Scale: 1* ~ 200 INFORMATION SOURCES neng Agricush from Increase someng mega by Roy J. Was ab for Parland Daving Garana. Registered to asless. Updated by Paneling Brangh Ame 2001 o materials at different Lobar in the crustees of this map but it is pro The Oty of Partiand cannot accept any resp area, and address, or positional acceptory, and it





Multnomah County Unincorporated Areas

Map E

176114

Recommended City Zoning & Comprehensive Plan Designations *

Legend

City Boundary Urban Growth Boundary(UGB) Unincorporated Area Boundary(UIA) ---- Stream • • • Plan District Boundary **Recommended City Zoning Designations** Open Space (OS) Residential Farming (RF) Single Dwelling Residential 20,000 (R20) Single Dwelling Residential 10,000 (R10) Single Dwelling Residential 7,000 (R7) Single Dwelling Residential 5,000 (R5) Office Commercial 1 (CO1) General Employment 1 (EG1) General Industrial 2 (IG2) **Environmental Conservation** Overlay (c Zone) Environmental Protection Overlay (p Zone) Willamette River General Overlay (WRG) Scale: 1* ~ 800 400 Johan Grandt Round av Kansar (Egistand by Metro, undated by Washe County DUIT & Metro 96/9 23, 133 2, Rapin to tarilet base, Updated by Metro (Aug. 2000) rp: p Pertiand Constraint of Americanist p FDOT god Stevens of Flowing, Octob manu sarang maga by Roy F. Waston. Narang Barana, Ragistarial ta hi data cangdad itore source materials at different oce for more detail, places relative the parameter materials of

ntermetten en the reap was derived bere digt s en the City of Pertland, Denses of Planning takey in the crastion of the map but it is prov which as a





Multnomah County Mar Unincorporated Areas 176114

Recommended City Zoning & Comprehensiv Plan Designations *

Legend

	City Boundary		
	Urban Growth Boundary (UGB)		
	Unincorporated Area Boundary(UIA)		
	Stream		
• • •	Plan District Boundary		
Recom	mended City Zoning Designations		
	Open Space (OS)		
	Residential Farming (RF)		
	Single Dwelling Residential 20,000 (R		
	Single Dwelling Residential 10,000 (R		
	Single Dwelling Residential 7,000 (R7		
	Single Dwelling Residential 5,000 (R5		
N ^a Sector	Office Commercial 1 (CO1)		
2005	General Employment 1 (EG1)		
	General Industrial 2 (IG2)		
	Environmental Conservation Overlay (c Zone)		
77722	Environmental Protection Overlay (p Zone)		
	Willamette River General Overlay (WRG)		
	Scale: 1* = 8001		
	0' 400' 800' 1200'		
PE OFINAU YOM	KOURCES.		
Variance Circlewarty analoscont by Oregon Dark, of Revenues Mainthail and an apolated by Nathemanik Country Assessment & Vanitas and Partiand Dapl, of Vangestellion, Updated Managh Ady 2001, Accountry + 1 3 Stort			
Lituan (Incards Roundary Execut Child Land by Holms, upstand by Wandaryson Genery CLUT & Malere Phice 22, 1587). Anglescend Sa caning Lana, Upsteinst fry Mateo Jing, 2000			
City Remodery. Digitized by Partiend Department of Yanapartakan. Updated by PODI and Reven of Remoting, Occasion 2000 Registered to tasket have maps.			
Zaning: Digitizati from burnane zaning mayo by Floy F. Warksm, Inc. for Partiand Plannang Tarama. Paginalared 6a Sanina, Updated by Plannahy through Janua 2001			
All date camplest from easters metallale at different scales.			

intermetion on the map was derived to a on the Ocy of Pertinnel, Domain of Pa en af the man but it is pr The City of Perland



Oty of Partiend, Darage of Flor



Multnomah County Unincorporated Areas

Recommended City Zoning & Comprehensive Plan Designations *

Legend

	City Davidant	
	City Boundary	
	Urban Growth Boundary(UGB)	
	Unincorporated Area Boundary(UIA) Stream	
	Plan District Boundary	
Recom	mended City Zoning Designations	
	Open Space (OS)	
Sec.	Residential Farming (RF)	
	Single Dwelling Residential 20,000 (R2C	
	Single Dwelling Residential 10,000 (R10)	
	Single Dwelling Residential 7,000 (R7)	
	Single Dwelling Residential 5,000 (R5)	
	Office Commercial 1 (CO1)	
	General Employment 1 (EG1)	
NUMBER OF STREET	General Industrial 2 (IG2)	
	Environmental Conservation Overlay (c Zone)	
	Environmental Protection Overlay (p Zone)	
	Willamette River General Overlay (WRG)	
	Scale: 1" - 800'	
	0' 400' 500' 3200'	
RECENTION		
Sandotz, Congreestly prostocout by Oregon Danci. of Revenues. Manti Sod and anglence for Sandonsmin Gaussity Amazerer		
Shan Growth Downlary Sauce Digital for Metra, spatial by Washington County DUIT & Balance Pikry 23, 193 27 Registered to crate Deen, Updawit by Metro (Aug. 2003)		
Cary Reservicery Depresent by Fur-famed Degue Investor of Tenneportations, Updated by FUTOT and Degue Investor, October 2000 Registermed to Ladder Inter Intern Zeann De L		
Zannig Carthued Sam Samas Januar by Roy I Waston, N Swit for Fundaming Danasi Registered to Ecolum. Upstated by Flanning United June 2001		



City of Portland Bureau of Planning Geographic Information System



Map G

176114



AN. ЯЧ С

SW.

VIEW

PLEASANT

HABTLEY

SW 22ND

<u>Kunu</u>

ЭЩШ

Multnomah County Unincorporated Areas

176114

Recommended City Zoning & Comprehensive Plan Designations *

Legend

	City Boundary	
	Urban Growth Boundary (UGB)	
	Unincorporated Area Boundary(UIA)	
	Stream	
• • •	Plan District Boundary	
Recom	mended City Zoning Designations	
	Open Space (OS)	
23,8983	Residential Farming (RF)	
	Single Dwelling Residential 20,000 (R20)	
	Single Dwelling Residential 10,000 (R10)	
	Single Dwelling Residential 7,000 (R7)	
	Single Dwelling Residential 5,000 (R5)	
	Office Commercial 1 (CO1)	
	General Employment 1 (EG1)	
	General Industrial 2 (IG2)	
	Environmental Conservation Overlay (c Zone)	
	Environmental Protection Overlay (p Zone)	
	Willamette River General Overlay (WRG)	
	Scale: 1* - 800'	
	0. 400, 600, 1500,	
IN OPPLATION		
Taslanz: Origonally percharad by Oregon Days. of Personne Most Bod and explanate by Belgements Camery Announces B Taxabos and Portand Dapit. of Yangematics. Updated Henauth July 2001. Accuracy of 3 Inst		
Urban Grands Dannel ary Emice (Byldiand by Martin, updated by Washington County (NIII & Martin, updated by Washington In Larke bane, Taylorde Dy Martin, 2003)		
Cay Roundary Cognized by Perform (Department of Transportation, Updated by PRDI and Department (Neuroing, October 2000, Angioternet for Landon bour on Apic.		
Zeeng: Dightsed hum her ter zeening name by Roy I. Werten, N her, for Zertamet Zeening, Darsea, Registrand to Londers, Explored by Theorem (Barres 2001)		

il data compiles from essate met







Multnomah County Unincorporated Areas

176114

Map I

Recommended City Zoning & Comprehensive Plan Designations *

Legend

City Boundary Urban Growth Boundary(UGB) Unincorporated Area Boundary(UIA) ---- Stream Plan District Boundary . . . **Recommended City Zoning Designations** Open Space (OS) Residential Farming (RF) Single Dwelling Residential 20,000 (R20) Single Dwelling Residential 10,000 (R10) Single Dwelling Residential 7,000 (R7) Single Dwelling Residential 5,000 (R5) Office Commercial 1 (CO1) General Employment 1 (EG1) General Industrial 2 (IG2) Environmental Conservation Overlay (c Zone) Environmental Protection Overlay (p Zone)

Willamette River General Overlay (WRG)

	Scale: 1	- 800'	
0.	400*	800'	12001
ONSOURCES			

PEOPMALION SOURCES

Industs: Organity produced by Oregon Days, of Ferrerunt, Madified and explosing by Subtempts County Americanity i Tanadan and Portland Days, of Symposium interfer. Under Strength and Portland County (1) Shot.

Hun Granth Boundary

Samour Digitized by Marke, upstated by Washington County (X137 & Marke Prov 23, 1233). Registered to tartist been. Upstated by Marke (Aug. 2003)

ry Fanatulary

Digetional by Persiand Department of Interactivities. Updated by PDOI and Durant of Planning, October 2000 Registered to tasket blue maps.

Configuration for the sense covering maps by Fary F. Western, Inc. for Partianal Flancing Flances: Registermit to Configuration (Optimized by Flancebrg through Jone 2021)

Að data complied from annur matarlate af di florori Scilor I ar marr distall, planne refor ús Die sónrige metoriale er City of Perland, flore is of floroing

The information on the reap was platted bette digital data human at the City of Parland, Banasa of Paramy Citt. Care must be the two constants of this map bet it is provided "an a". The City of Parland cannot accept any responsebility for some, antications, as particular decarray, and Barriton. Human was not factured on the secondary this product "lawnow, not factured on any ones will be product."







Multnomah County Unincorporated Areas

MapJ 176114

Recommended City Zoning & Comprehensive Plan Designations *

Legend

___ City Boundary -----Urban Growth Boundary(UGB) Unincorporated Area Boundary(UIA) Stream Plan District Boundary **Recommended City Zoning Designations** Open Space (OS) 1000000 **Residential Farming (RF)** Single Dwelling Residential 20,000 (R20) Single Dwelling Residential 10,000 (R10) Single Dwelling Residential 7,000 (R7) Single Dwelling Residential 5,000 (R5) Office Commercial 1 (CO1) General Employment 1 (EG1) General Industrial 2 (IG2) **Environmental Conservation** Overlay (c Zone) Environmental Protection Overlay (p Zone) Willamette River General Overlay (WRG)

ted by POOT and Dareau of P

lanng Digitized base harnar zoneg meg... og (koy 1. Wester ho, for furtlend Flamning Romm. Engletæret i e taskete. Updated by Flamning tomogh Lone 2001

Al data complias barn source metatale at different acula for more plated, places refer to the second restated at City of Pertand, Roman of Flamma,

The information on the true vane during the object da based on the City of Partland, Annes of Panning GK. 4 were taken in the prosters of the may test in a provide the city of Partland cannot accept any responsible for anne, annealess, or prostand accepting, and flowing form gree an supremises which is compared with product form gree an supremises which is compared with product form gree and therein any array with improduct







Multnomah County Unincorporated Areas

176114

Map L

Recommended City Zoning & Comprehensive Plan Designations *

Legend

	City Boundary	
	Urban Growth Boundary(UGB)	
	Unincorporated Area Boundary (UIA)	
	Stream	
• •	Plan District Boundary	
Recom	mended City Zoning Designations	
	Open Space (OS)	
899 (A	Residential Farming (RF)	
	Single Dwelling Residential 20,000 (R20	
	Single Dwelling Residential 10,000 (R10)	
	Single Dwelling Residential 7,000 (R7)	
	Single Dwelling Residential 5,000 (R5)	
	Office Commercial 1 (CO1)	
	General Employment 1 (EG1)	
	General Industrial 2 (IG2)	
	Environmental Conservation Overlay (c Zone)	
	Environmental Protection Overlay (p Zone)	
	Willamette River General Overlay (WRG)	
	Scale: 1* - 800*	
	0° 400° 800° 1200°	
NG (DEBLAT KOR	SOLATION &	
irstais: Cregonally pundicant by Oregon Clapit, of Parvitos. Hade San and explosited by Makements Casary Associativant & Forestion and Parciant Dane, of Transportation. Updated Descapit. July 2003. Accurety: + i > 1 bot		
bhan Crunch Rundary Encor Dephanish y Marta estatud by Washanitan Causey DLIT & Manas Parz 23,133 7, Ruptarent In Frite Runa - University Anno 2003		

City Dave

Carl Control by Furthered Englishment of Europeritation Updated by Furthered Englishment of Naroving, October 2000 Registered to tasket base maps.

inn

Descard here hereas soning maps by Roy I. We too, Inc. for Persons flamming the sam. Registered to taxinte. Updated by Planning through June 2001

Al data completi from source metarials et di Partet acai lor more detail, plana refer ta fire source metariale er City of Partiand, Danua of Naroing

The information as the map user formed here digits data beens on the Orry of Fartant, Banau of Farwing GKS. Can was taken the creating of this analysis in its provided "ca is". This City of Particul cannot access one its provided "ca the array, minimum, or participand accesses, and therefore, there are no unrunning, which as comparing this product (farmore, notification of any oran, will be approximated) (farmore, notification of any oran, will be approximated)







176114 City of Portland Bureau of Planning

August 29, 2001

Portland Urban Services Boundary

Map 1 of 7

Areas in the Portland Urban Services Boundary that are unincorporated. All areas incoporated in the City of Portland are also in the Portland Urban Services Boundary.

City Boundary

County Boundary County Boundary

Area Mapped

ት







176114 City of Portland Bureau of Planning

August 29, 2001

Portland Urban Services Boundary Map 2 of 7

Areas in the Portland Urban Services Boundary that are unincorporated. All areas incoporated in the City of Portland are also in the Portland Urban Services Boundary.

City Boundary

-

County Boundary



Area Mapped

400





176114

City of Portland Bureau of Planning

August 29, 2001

Portland Urban **Services Boundary**

Map 3 of 7

Areas in the Portland Urban Services Boundary that are unincorporated. All areas incoporated in the City of Portland are also in the Portland Urban Services Boundary.

City Boundary



Area Mapped

, - ` ۰.





<u>176114</u> City of Portland Bureau of Planning

August 29, 2001

Portland Urban Services Boundary

Map 4 of 7

Areas in the Portland Urban Services Boundary that are unincorporated. All areas incoporated in the City of Portland are also in the Portland Urban Services Boundary.

City Boundary

County Boundary

Area Mapped







176114 City of Portland Bureau of Planning

August 29, 2001

Portland Urban Services Boundary

Map 5 of 7

Areas in the Portland Urban Services Boundary that are unincorporated. All areas incoporated in the City of Portland are also in the Portland Urban Services Boundary.

City Boundary



Area Mapped





٠,

CLACKAWAE COUNTY



176114 City of Portland **Bureau of Planning**

August 29, 2001

Portland Urban **Services Boundary**

Map 6 of 7

Areas in the Portland Urban Services Boundary that are unincorporated. All areas incoporated in the City of Portland are also in the Portland Urban Services Boundary.

City Boundary



Area Mapped

4.4.1.1.1.1.1





176114 City of Portland Bureau of Planning

August 29, 2001

Portland Urban Services Boundary Map 7 of 7

Areas in the Portland Urban Services Boundary that are unincorporated. All areas incoporated in the City of Portland are also in the Portland Urban Services Boundary.

City Boundary



Area Mapped



EXHIBIT E

August 30, 2001

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO PORTLAND ZONING CODE 33.730.030

These proposed amendments would establish a separate appeals process for Type III quasi-judicial reviews in unincorporated areas of Multnomah County under the Portland Zoning Code. These amendments would remove the ability to appeal a decision by a review body on a Type III procedure to the City Council. Appeals of review body decisions on cases in unincorporated areas would go straight to the Oregon Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA).

Proposed additions to the Code are underlined.

33.730.030 Type III Procedure

A Type III procedure requires a public hearing before an assigned review body. <u>Subsections A through D apply to all sites</u>. If the site is within the City of Portland, <u>Subsections E through H also apply</u>. If the site is in the portion of unicorporated Multnomah County that is subject to City zoning, <u>Subsection I also applies</u>.

- **A. Pre-application conference.** A pre-application conference is required for all requests processed through a Type III procedure. See 33.730.050, Pre-Application Conference.
- **B.** Application. The applicant must submit an application on the appropriate form and accompanied by the correct fee. The application must contain all information required by 33.730.060, Application Requirements, and any additional information required for the specific type of land use review.
- **C. Processing time.** Upon determining that the application is complete, the Director of OPDR will schedule a public hearing to take place within 51 days. The applicant may extend the time limit.

D. Notice of a request.

- 1. Mailed notice. At least 20 days before the scheduled hearing, the Director of OPDR will mail a notice of the request to the regional transit agency, Metro, the Oregon Department of Transportation, all properties within 400 feet of the site when inside the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) and within 500 feet when outside the UGB, to the recognized organization(s) in which the lot is located, and to all recognized organizations within 1,000 feet of the lot. See 33.730.070 D, Type III notice of request.
- 2. Posting notice on the site. The applicant must place a public notice about the request on the site. The posting must meet the standards of 33.730.080 below.

E. Decision by review body if site is in City of Portland.

- 1. OPDR recommendation. The Director of OPDR will prepare a report with recommendations, and file the report with the review body and make the report available to the public at least 10 days prior to the hearing. A copy will be mailed to the applicant and to any recognized organizations whose boundaries include the site.
- 2. Public hearing. The public hearing will be conducted by the assigned review body. It will be held in accordance with the requirements of 33.730.100, Public Hearing Requirements.
- 3. Review body decision. The review body may adopt the Director of OPDR's report and recommendation, modify it, or reject it based on information presented at the hearing and in the record.
 - a. Hearings Officer. The Hearings Officer will make a written decision in the form of a report and mail notice of the decision within 17 days of the hearing.
 - b. Other review bodies. Other review bodies will make all deliberations and decisions at the hearing.

- 4. Amended decision report. If the review body modifies or rejects the Director of OPDR's report, an amended report with findings supporting the decision will be prepared. For review bodies other than the Hearings Officer, the Director of OPDR will prepare the amended decision report and mail notice of the decision within 17 days of the hearing. The report must comply with 33.730.090, Reports and Record Keeping.
- 5. Notice of decision (pending appeal). When the Hearings Officer is the review body, the Hearings Officer will mail notice of the decision. For other review bodies, the Director of OPDR will mail notice of the decision. Within 17 days of the hearing, the Hearings Officer or Director of OPDR will mail notice of the review body's decision (pending appeal) to the City Auditor, applicant, owner, and to any recognized organizations or persons who responded in writing to the appeal notice, testified at the hearing, or requested notice of the decision. In the case of multiple signatures on a letter or petition, the person who submitted the letter or petition or the first signature on the petition will receive the notice. See 33.730.070 G, Notice of decision (pending appeal).
- **F. Ability to appeal.** The review body's decision is final unless appealed. The decision may be appealed by the applicant, the owner, and those who have testified orally or in writing at the hearing, provided that the testimony was directed to a specific approval criterion. The appeal must be submitted to the Director of OPDR within 14 days of the day the notice of decision is mailed. The review body for the appeal will be the City Council.
- **G.** When no appeal is filed. If no one appeals the decision, an approved request takes effect on the day after the last day to appeal.
- H. When an appeal is filed. Appeals must comply with this subsection.
 - 1. Content of the appeal. The appeal must be submitted on forms provided by the Director of OPDR. All information requested on the form must be submitted in order for the appeal form to be accepted. The appeal request must include:
 - The file number and land use review(s) appealed;
 - The appellant's name, address, signature, phone number, and relationship to the land use action;
 - · A statement of which approval criteria the decision violates; and
 - The required fee.
 - 2. Notice of the appeal hearing. The Director of OPDR will file a copy of the appeal within 3 days of its receipt to the City Auditor and the applicant, unless the applicant is also the appellant. Within 5 days of the receipt of the appeal, the Director of OPDR will send a notice of the appeal hearing to the City Auditor, applicant, the review body, and all persons and recognized organizations which received the notice of the decision. See 33.730.070 H, Notice of an appeal hearing.
 - 3. Scheduling of hearing. The City Auditor will schedule a public hearing to take place at least 21 days from the mailing of the notice of appeal.
 - 4. Submit report to City Council. The Director of OPDR will forward the appeal as filed, the review body's decision report, and a transcript if requested and paid for, to City Council at least 7 days prior to the date of the hearing.
 - Appeal hearing. Appeal hearings must comply with the provisions of 33.730.100, Public Hearing Requirements, and 33.730.110, Ex Parte Contact. Appeals heard by City Council may be heard "on the record" and must also

conform to any rules of procedure adopted by Council for their use. The Director of OPDR will represent the review body in appeals heard by City Council.

- 6. Appeal decision and findings.
 - a. The City Council may adopt the review body's decision report, modify it, or reject it based on information presented at the hearing and in the record. If City Council modifies or rejects the decision report, an amended report with findings supporting the decision must be prepared as provided in Subparagraph b. below. The report must comply with 33.730.090, Reports and Record Keeping.
 - b. The Council may make a tentative action and direct that proposed findings and a decision be prepared. If the prevailing party is represented by a land use professional or attorney, the prevailing party must provide findings and conclusions to support the Council's decision. If the prevailing party is not represented by a planning professional or attorney, the Director of OPDR will provide findings and conclusions to support the Council's decision. Prior to final Council adoption, all findings must be reviewed and approved by the City Attorney. The findings and decision must be adopted by Council vote. An additional public hearing is not required if the vote is at a subsequent public meeting. City Council decisions are in the form of an Order of the Council except when an ordinance is required due to the type of land use request (Comprehensive Plan Map amendments or Statewide Planning Goal exceptions). In these instances, the ordinance serves in lieu of the Order of Council.
- 7. Notice of the final decision. Within 5 days of final Council action, the City Auditor will mail the notice of final decision to the applicant, owner, and to any recognized organizations or persons who responded in writing to the appeal notice, testified at the hearing, or requested notice of the decision. In the case of multiple signatures on a letter or petition, the person who submitted the letter or petition or the first signature on the petition will receive the notice. See 33.730.070 I, Notice of final decision.
- 8. Effective date of decision. The City Council's decision takes effect on the day the notice of decision is mailed by the City Auditor.
- 9. Appeal decision final. The appeal decision of City Council is final and may not be appealed to another review body in the City.

I. Decision by review body if site is not in City of Portland.

- OPDR recommendation. The Director of OPDR will prepare a report with recommendations, and file the report with the review body and make the report available to the public at least 10 days prior to the hearing. A copy will be mailed to the applicant and to any recognized organizations whose boundaries include the site.
- 2. Public hearing. The public hearing will be conducted by the assigned review body. It will be held in accordance with the requirements of 33.730.100, Public Hearing Requirements.
- Review body decision. The review body may adopt the Director of OPDR's report and recommendation, modify it, or reject it based on information presented at the hearing and in the record.

- a. Hearings Officer. The Hearings Officer will make a written decision in the form of a report and mail notice of the decision within 17 days of the hearing.
- b. Other review bodies. Other review bodies will make all deliberations and decisions at the hearing.
- 4. Amended decision report. If the review body modifies or rejects the Director of OPDR's report, an amended report with findings supporting the decision will be prepared. For review bodies other than the Hearings Officer, the Director of OPDR will prepare the amended decision report and mail notice of the decision within 17 days of the hearing. The report must comply with 33.730.090, Reports and Record Keeping.
- 5. Notice of final decision. When the Hearings Officer is the review body, the Hearings Officer will mail notice of the decision. For other review bodies, the Director of OPDR will mail notice of the decision. Within 17 days of the hearing, the Hearings Officer or Director of OPDR will mail notice of the review body's final decision to the City Auditor, applicant, owner, and to any recognized organizations or persons who commented in writing, testified at the hearing, or requested notice of the decision. In the case of multiple signatures on a letter or petition, the person who submitted the letter or petition or the first signature on the petition will receive the notice. See 33.730.070 I, Notice of final decision.
- <u>6. Effective date of decision. The review body's decision takes effect on the day the notice is mailed.</u>
- 7. Decision final. The decision of the review body is final and may not be appealed to another review body within the City.

33.730.040 Final Council Action Required

In the case of certain quasi-judicial land use reviews, such as Comprehensive Plan Map amendments and Statewide Planning Goal exceptions, final City Council action is required in addition to the normal Type III procedure. In these cases, the initial processing of the land use review is the same except the decision of the initial review body becomes a recommendation to Council. The post-acknowledgment procedures required by ORS 197.610 through 197.650 are followed, and the case is scheduled for a public hearing before City Council.

EXHIBIT F

176114

November 15, 2001

AMENDED PLAN DISTRICT MAPS FOR PORTLAND ZONING CODE

(CHANGES PROPOSED TO SKYLINE PLAN DISTRICT AND JOHNSON CREEK BASIN - MAPS 535 AND 575)

These maps would replace the existing maps of the same numbers in Title 33 to reflect the inclusion of some unincorporated areas within the Plan Districts.












Map 535-1

Johnson Creek Basin Plan District

Map 4 of 8

Bureau of Planning • City of Portland, Oregon







Johnson Creek Basin Plan District

Map 5 of 8

Map 535-1

Bureau of Planning . City of Portland, Oregon











Flood Risk Area

_{Map} 535-1 Creek Basin

Johnson Creek Basin Plan District

Map 6 of 8

Bureau of Planning . City of Portland, Oregon





ORDINANCE No. 176114

Amend the Portland Comprehensive Plan map and Title 33, Planning and Zoning, to facilitate the transfer of planning and zoning code administration for specified unincorporated Multnomah County areas within Portland's Urban Services Boundary from the County to the City. (Ordinance; amend Title 33)

The City of Portland ordains:

Section 1: The Council finds:

General Findings

- In June 1979, City Council adopted Ordinance No. 147923 authorizing the City to enter into an Urban Planning Area Agreement (UPAA) with Multnomah County. This agreement fulfilled the requirement under OAR 660-03-010(2) (c) for a City/County urban growth management agreement prior to Comprehensive Plan Acknowledgment of Compliance by the Oregon Land Conservation and Development Commission.
- 2. In March 1983, Multnomah County passed Resolution A, which declared the County's intent to turn over urban service delivery to incorporated jurisdictions.
- In February 1987, Multnomah County and the City of Portland amended the Multnomah County - City of Portland UPAA. First, the amendment set the location c f the Urban Services Boundary between the two jurisdictions. Second, the amendment established the City's responsibility for public facilities planning within the Urban Services Boundary.
- 4. The UPAA was revised on January 14, 1998 to require the City to perform certain planning services required by the Metro 2040 Functional Plan for the County in areas within the City's Urban Services Boundary. The revision also expressed the intent that following this agreement the City would expect to assume full planning and zoning administration authority for these areas.
- The City and County subsequently entered into an Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA No. 51023) that describes in greater detail the planning tasks the City would perform in implementing the amended UPAA.
- Ordinance No. 173067, passed by the Council on February 3, 1999 expanded the public involvement process and extended the term of the IGA to expire on September 30, 1999.
- 7. Ordinance No. 175398, passed by the Council on March 14, 2001 replaced expired IGA No. 173067 and authorized continued work on the planning services required by the

Metro 2040 Functional Plan for the County in areas within the City's Urban Services Boundary.

- 8. As required by ORS 197.610 (1) and OAR 660-18-020 (1), notice of this proposed legislative action was sent to the Department of Land Conservation and Development on July 26, 2001.
- 9. County Ordinance No. 967, passed by the Multnomah County Board of Commissioners on October 11, 2001 completed the County legislative process required under the IGA.
- 10. The City will be adopting a new IGA has that sets forth the terms and conditions of the transfer of planning and zoning administration from the County to the City.
- 11. Several Portland legislative changes to the Comprehensive Plan Map and the City's Title 33, Planning and Zoning are required to allow a smooth transition of planning administration for the affected County areas. These changes will: 1) Amend Portland's Comprehensive Plan Map designations to be consistent with the existing zoning on approximately 50 acres of land in unincorporated Multnomah County. 2) Establish Portland Comprehensive Plan Map designations for all unincorporated areas within the Urban Services Boundary that are not currently covered. 3) Amend Portland's Urban Services Boundary to include 11 acres (three parcels) currently within the Urban Growth Boundary. 4) Reconfirm and verify the Urban Services Boundary as an element of the Portland Comprehensive Plan Map. 5) Amend Portland's Zoning Maps to include areas of Multnomah County. 6) Amend Portland's Zoning Code to establish a different appeals process for land use cases in unincorporated Multnomah County. And 7) amend Portland's Zoning Code to include several unincorporated areas within the mapped boundaries of the Johnson Creek Basin and Skyline Plan District.
- 12. As indicated in the UPAA, it is in the best interest of the County and the City to enable this transfer of planning administration for specified unincorporated areas within the Urban Services Boundary.

Statewide Planning Goals Findings

- 13. State planning statutes require cities to adopt and amend comprehensive plans and land use regulations in compliance with the state land use goals. Because of the limited scope of the amendments in this ordinance, only the state goals addressed below apply.
- 14. Goal 1, Citizen Involvement, requires provision of opportunities for citizens to be involved in all phases of the planning process. The amendments are supportive of this goal because they will enable the application of new zoning maps and the City's development regulations to lands in the unincorporated project areas. The preparation of the new zoning maps for the unincorporated areas has provided numerous opportunities for public involvement. Portland Comprehensive Plan findings on Goal

9, Citizen Involvement, and its related policies and objectives also support this goal. The amendments are supportive of this goal because of the following public involvement activities during the process of formulating new zoning maps for the unincorporated areas:

- a. January 1999: an informational mailing was sent to all affected residents and property owners in the specified unincorporated areas of Multhomah County inside the Portland Urban Services Boundary.
- b. May 1999: three community open houses were held near the southwest, northwest and outer southeast project areas. Draft maps were on display and project information was available. County and City staff met with property owners, residents and other persons to explain and discuss the proposed changes, and public comments were collected.
- c. July 1999: two public hearings were held before the County Planning Commission. Public testimony was collected. The Commission agreed to forward the recommended proposal to the County Board of Commissioners.
- d. April 2001: an informational update mailer was sent to all affected residents and property owners explaining that work on the project had resumed and that public hearings before the County Planning Commission and the County Board of Commissioners would soon be held.
- e. April 2001: a Web page was created at <u>www.multnomah.lib.or.us/lup</u> for the project with all relevant documents available.
- f. May 2001: in accordance with ORS 227.186 (as amended by Ballot Measure 56 on November 3, 1998) all property owners in the project areas and interested parties received notice of the June 18, 2001 hearing before the County Planning Commission.
- g. June 18, 2001: a public hearing was held before the County Planning Commission. Public testimony was collected.
- h. August 29, 2001: in accordance with ORS 227.186 (as amended by Ballot Measure 56 on November 3, 1998) all property owners in the project areas and interested parties received notice of the September 20, 2001 hearing before the Board of County Commissioners.
- i. August 15, 2001: The City mailed a special notice of the proposed City Comprehensive Plan Map amendments in their area to all property owners within the unincorporated areas labeled No. 16 and No. 17 on the map in Exhibit B.
- j. September 20, 2001: a public hearing was held before the County Board of Commissioners to consider County adoption of the proposed land use zoning maps, the City of Portland Code Titles 10 (sediment and erosion control regulations), 32

(signs), 33 (zoning) and 34 (land division), the City of Portland Comprehensive Plan and Comprehensive Plan Maps (with the understanding that they will be amended to include all Multnomah County unincorporated areas within Portland's Urban Services Boundary), the proposed Region 2040 Design Type Maps for the unincorporated project areas, and the City of Portland Outer Southeast Community Plan and Plan Map and the Southwest Community Plan Draft Map and Vision, Policies, and Objectives. The County Board voted unanimously to adopt the above items.

- k. October 9, 2001: a public hearing was held before the City Planning Commission to consider seven legislative amendments to Portland's Comprehensive Plan Map and Title 33, Planning and Zoning in preparation for the transition of planning administration from the County to the City.
- 1. Throughout the project, County and City staff have met with numerous property owners with concerns about the environmental overlay zones proposed for their properties. Where appropriate, modifications to the proposal have been made.
- 15. Goal 2, Land Use Planning, requires the development of a process and policy framework which acts as a basis for all land use decisions and assures that decisions and actions are based on an understanding of the facts relevant to the decision. The technical Map and Zoning Code amendments are supportive of this goal because they are consistent with Portland Comprehensive Plan findings on Goal 1, Metropolitan Coordination, and its related policies and objectives.
- 16. Goal 5, Open Space, Scenic and Historic Areas, and Natural Resources, requires the conservation of open space and the protection of natural and scenic resources. The amendments are consistent with this goal because they will enable the application of new zoning maps and the City's development regulations to lands in the unincorporated County project areas. The transfer from the County's code to the City's code will apply environmental overlay zones and bring the County into compliance with Goal 5 in many of these areas for the first time.
- 17. Goal 6, Air, Water and Land Resource Quality, requires the maintenance and improvement of the quality of air, water and land resources. The amendments are consistent with this goal because they will enable the application of new zoning maps and the City's development regulations to lands in the unincorporated County project areas. Upon transition of planning administration from the County to the City, environmental overlay zones will protect significant natural resources in the project area contributing to improved air, water and land resource quality. The provisions of Title 10, Sediment and Erosion Control will also ensure improved land and water resource quality. Portland *Comprehensive Plan* findings on Goal 8, Environment, and its related policies and objectives also support this goal.
- 18. Goal 7, Areas Subject to Natural Disasters and Hazards, requires the protection of life and property from natural disasters and hazards. The amendments are consistent

with this goal because they will enable the application of new zoning maps and the City's development regulations to lands in the unincorporated County project areas. Environmental overlay zones and the provisions of Title 10, Sediment and Erosion Control, will help reduce the hazards associated with development on steep slopes.

- 19. Goal 10, Housing, requires provision for the housing needs of citizens of the state. The amendments are consistent with this goal because they will enable the application of new zoning maps and the City's development regulations to lands in the unincorporated County project areas. The proposed zoning will increase opportunities for housing development in some areas with adequate infrastructure. Application of the City's development regulations will also allow construction of accessory dwelling units in all residential areas, thereby providing additional opportunities for housing development.
- 20. Goal 11, Public Facilities and Services, requires planning and development of timely, orderly and efficient public service facilities that serve as a framework for urban and rural development. The amendments are consistent with this goal because they will enable a transfer of planning and zoning administration to from the County to the City for the areas within the City's Urban Services Boundary. Because these areas may in the future seek annexation to Portland, the City is the logical provider of urban services such as planning and zoning. *Comprehensive Plan* findings on Goal 11A through I, Public Facilities, and related policies and objectives also support this goal.
- 21. Goal 14, Urbanization, requires provision of an orderly and efficient transition of rural lands to urban use. The amendments are consistent with this goal because they will enable a transfer of planning and zoning administration to from the County to the City for the areas within the City's Urban Services Boundary. This will increase development opportunities in areas with adequate public services and hold areas lacking adequate services in larger lots until such time as services become available. Portland *Comprehensive Plan* findings on Goal 2, Urban Development, and its related policies and objectives also support this goal.
- 22. Goal 15, Willamette River Greenway, requires the protection, conservation, enhancement, and maintenance of the natural, scenic, historic, agricultural, economic, and recreational qualities of land along the Willamette River. The amendments are consistent with this goal because they will enable a transfer of planning and zoning administration to from the County to the City for the areas within the City's Urban Services Boundary. The Willamette River Greenway overlay zones are included on the proposed zoning maps in unincorporated areas adjacent to the Willamette River.
- 23. The amendments do not affect State Goals 8, 9, 12 & 13 because their scope is limited to preparing for a transfer of planning and zoning administration from the County to the City and do not involve major land use changes.
- 24. Goals 16, 17, 18, and 19 deal with Estuarine Resources, Coastal Shorelines, Beaches and Dunes, and Ocean Resources, respectively, and are not applicable to the

amendments, as none of these resources are present within the Portland Urban Services Boundary.

Metro Urban Growth Management Functional Plan Findings

- 25. State planning statutes require cities and counties within the Metropolitan Service District boundary to amend their comprehensive plans and land use regulations in compliance with provisions of the Metro Urban Growth Management Functional Plan (UGMFP). The amendments will enable a transfer of planning and zoning administration to from the County to the City for the unincorporated areas within the City's Urban Services Boundary. The purpose of this transition of planning authority is to achieve compliance with the UGMFP. Compliance with UGMFP Titles 1: Housing and Employment, 2: Parking, 3: Water Quality, Flood Management and Fish and Wildlife Conservation, 4: Retail in Employment and Industrial Areas, 7: Affordable Housing and 8: Compliance Procedures will be achieved in the unincorporated project areas by the adoption of Portland's development regulations. Portland's development codes are either currently compliant with UGMFP requirements.
- 26. UGMFP Title 6: Regional Accessibility has been addressed separately by the County and UGMFP Title 7: Neighbor Cities and Rural Reserves does not apply to the unincorporated areas as it deals with coordination among neighboring cities.

Portland Comprehensive Plan Goals and Policies

- 27. Portland's Comprehensive Plan was adopted by the Portland City Council on October 16, 1980, and was acknowledged as being in conformance with the Statewide Planning Goals by the Land Conservation and Development Commission (LCDC) on May 1, 1981. On January 25, 2000, the Department of Land Conservation and Development issued a determination of satisfactory completion of periodic review (Order # 001132) reaffirming the plan's compliance with the Statewide Planning Goals. Because of the limited scope of the amendments in this ordinance, only the Comprehensive Plan Goals and Policies addressed below apply.
- 28. Goal 1, Metropolitan Coordination, calls for the Comprehensive Plan to be coordinated with federal and state law and to support regional goals, objectives and plans. The proposed amendments are intended to enable County compliance with regional goals, objectives and plans as prescribed by the UGMFP. The project has been a joint effort of the City and the County and has entailed considerable coordination with Metro.
 - a. **Policy 1.1, Urban Growth Boundary,** calls for support of the concept of an Urban Growth Boundary for the Portland metropolitan area. The amendments support this policy because they will enable a transfer of planning and zoning administration to from the County to the City for the unincorporated areas within the City's Urban

Services Boundary. This will bring unincorporated areas within the Urban Growth Boundary into compliance with Metro's UGMFP. The amendments do not affect areas outside the Urban Growth Boundary.

- b. Policy 1.2, Urban Planning Area Boundary, calls for the identification and adoption of an Urban Planning Area Boundary outside the current city limits. The amendments are directly related to this policy as Portland will assume responsibility for planning and zoning administration for Multnomah County areas as shown on the maps in Exhibit C.
- c. Policy 1.3, Urban Services Boundary, calls for the establishment and maintenance of an Urban Services Boundary for the City of Portland. The amendments are directly related to this policy as Portland will assume responsibility for planning and zoning administration for Multnomah County areas within the Urban Services Boundary as shown on the maps in Exhibit C. The amendments are consistent with this policy as they correct a technical mapping error by amending the location of the Urban Services Boundary to include the three parcels (11 acres) that compose the unincorporated area labeled No.42 in Exhibit C, Map B. The amendments are also consistent with this policy because they reconfirm the Urban Services Boundary as an element of the City's Comprehensive Plan Map.
- d. Policy 1.4, Intergovernmental Coordination, calls for continuous participation in intergovernmental affairs with public agencies to coordinate metropolitan planning and project development and maximize the efficient use of public funds. The amendments directly support this policy as they are the product of a coordination project involving the City, the County and Metro.
- e. Policy 1.5, Compliance with Future Metro Planning Efforts, calls for the review and update of Portland's Comprehensive Plan to comply with the Regional Framework Plan adopted by Metro. The amendments directly support this policy because they will allow the *Portland Comprehensive Plan* and *Zoning Code* to apply to specified unincorporated areas of Multnomah County for the purpose of compliance with Metro requirements.
- 41. Goal 8, Environment, calls for maintenance and improvement of the quality of Portland's air, water, and land resources, as well as protection of neighborhoods and business centers from noise pollution. The amendments are consistent with this goal because they will enable the application of new zoning maps and the City's development regulations to lands in the unincorporated project areas. The new zoning maps include environmental overlay zoning designed to protect identified significant natural resources. These areas have not had environmental protection under the County's current regulations.
- 42. **Goal 9, Citizen Involvement,** calls for improved methods and ongoing opportunities for citizen involvement in the land use decision-making process. The amendments are consistent with this goal because they will enable the implementation of a project that has included numerous opportunities for public involvement. See finding for State Planning

Goal 1.

- 43. Goal 10, Plan Review and Administration, requires that Portland's Comprehensive Plan undergo a periodic review. The amendments are consistent with this goal because this project updates Portland's Comprehensive Plan for this area of the city. Area plans are the current method the City of Portland is using to update the Comprehensive Plan map for specific geographic areas.
 - a) Policy 10.5, Corresponding Zones and Less Intense Zones, require that base zones either correspond to the *Comprehensive Plan* map designation or be a zone less intensive that the corresponding zone. The amendments support this policy. In order to avoid a situation in which the base zoning in two unincorporated areas of the County is less intensive than the *Comprehensive Plan* designation, the proposed amendments to the *Comprehensive Plan* map will correspond to the proposed zoning in the specified unincorporated areas. This action applies to areas number 16 and 17 on the attached maps of Exhibit B.
 - b) Policy 10.7, Amendments to the Comprehensive Plan map, requires that all proposed amendments to the Comprehensive Plan map be reviewed by the Planning Commission prior to action by the City Council. The amendments support this policy because the Comprehensive Plan map amendments were reviewed and approved by the Portland Planning Commission at a public hearing on October 9, 2001, prior to City Council action.
 - c) Policy 10.10, Amendments to the Zoning and Subdivision Regulations, requires amendments to the zoning and subdivision regulations to be clear, concise, and applicable to the broad range of development situations faced by a growing, urban city. The single proposed text amendment to Title 33 is clear, concise and limited to the appeals process for cases in unincorporated areas. The new plan district maps including the unincorporated areas are clear and do not differ significantly in style from the existing plan district maps.
- 44. Goal 11A, Public Facilities, General, calls for provision of a timely, orderly and efficient arrangement of public facilities and services that support existing and planned land use patterns and densities. The amendments are consistent with this goal. Service bureaus have reviewed the plan, including changes in allowed land uses and densities, and have not indicated that services would be inadequate.
 - a) Policy 11.4, Capital Efficiency, calls for supporting maximum use of existing public facilities and services by encouraging higher density development and development of vacant land within already developed areas. The amendments support this policy by encouraging infill development and redevelopment of underutilized sites in the plan area that have existing public facilities. The amendments also support this policy by recognizing that the City has the ability and expertise to efficiently provide urban planning services for urban areas and the County has the ability and expertise to provide rural planning and social services countywide.

176114

NOW, THEREFORE, the Council directs:

- a. Adopt the Planning Commission's recommendations dated November 5, 2001, attached to and incorporated in this ordinance as Exhibit A.
- b. Amend the Portland *Comprehensive Plan* map of the City of Portland to reflect the proposed *Comprehensive Plan* zoning designations shown in Exhibit B.
- c. Arnend the Portland Comprehensive Plan map of the City of Portland to establish designations equivalent to the recommended zoning shown on the maps in Exhibit C for all specified unincorporated areas within the Urban Services Boundary that are not currently covered by a City community plan (Areas No. 0, 2, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 31, 35 (portion north of HWY 26), 41 and 42.
- d. Amend Portland's Urban Services Boundary to include the 11 acres (three parcels) shown as Area No. 42 on Exhibit C, Map B.
- e. Reconfirm and verify the location of the Urban Services Boundary as shown on the maps in Exhibit D as an element of the *Comprehensive Plan* map.
- f. Amend the Official Zoning Maps of the City of Portland to reflect the zoning shown for the specified unincorporated areas on the maps in Exhibit C.
- g. Amend Title 33, Planning and Zoning, of the Municipal Code of the City of Portland, to incorporate the code amendments approved by the Council as shown in Exhibit E.
- h. Amend Title 33, Planning and Zoning, of the Municipal Code of the City of Portland, to replace the Skyline Plan District Map 575-1 and Johnson Creek Basin Plan District Maps 1,4,5,6 and 7 of 535-1 with the revised maps included in Exhibit F.

Passed by the Council, DEC 0 5 2001

GARY BLACKMER Auditor of the City of Portland By Junan Fauma Deputy

Mayor Vera Katz Karl Lisle November 14, 2001

F/2296-00

Agenda No.

ORDINANCE NO. 176114

Title

Amend the Portland Comprehensive Plan map and Title 33, Planning and Zoning, to facilitate the transfer of planning and zoning code administration for specified unincorporated Multnomah County areas within Portland's Urban Services Boundary from the County to the City. (Ordinance; amend Title 33)

INTRODUCED BY Mayor Vera Katz	Filed: NOV 2 3 2001
NOTED BY COMMISSIONER Affairs Finance and Administration	Gary Blackmer Auditor of the City of Portland By: <u>Maan Parsians</u> Deputy
Utilitics	For Meeting of:
Works	
BUREAU APPROVAL	NOV 2 8 2001 PASSED TO SECOND READING DEC 0 5 2001 9:30 A.
Bureau: Planning	
Prepared by: Date: Karf Lisle November 14, 2001	Action Taken:
Budget Impact Review:	Passed to 2nd Reading
X Completed Not Required	Continued to:
Bureau Head: Gil Kelley, Planning Director	

AGENDA		FOUR-FIFTHS AGENDA		COMMISSIONERS VOTED AS FOLLOWS:		
				YEAS	NAYS	
Consent	Regular X	Francesconi	Francesconi	\checkmark		
NOTED BY		Hales	Hales	\vee		
City Attorney A Rees		Saltzman	Saltzman			
City Auditor		Sten	Sten	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$		
City Engineer		Katz	Katz			