

Introduction: Irvington Survey

The attached survey of the area bounded by 7th and 15th and NE Station and NE Thompson was conducted by residents of the Irvington Community. The CDA was requested to tally the results of the survey.

In analyzing the questionnaire, we first tallied each question and calculated the percentage for each answer. In order to check the validity of the total responses, and in order to identify the nature of the total responses, we then examined the survey by totaling the responses based on responses to individual questions. The following were selected:

- 1) whether one had received info on Mini Parks
- 2) whether one was buying or renting
- 3) whether one was willing to maintain Mini Parks

We found that the survey responses can be regarded as honest and unbiased responses. There were no unusual or unaccountable percentages within the totals. We also found that the following conclusions were supported by the data gathered by the residents of the Irvington Community.

Demographic Characteristics of Survey Area

White Population	750
Black Population	710
Other Population	40

Total Population	1,500
------------------	-------

Husband-Wife Families	267	<u>Those 14 years & older</u>	
Male Head Families	14	Married	561 people
Female Head Families	43	Widowed	114 people
Total Families	324	Divorced	76 people
		Separated	33 people
		Never Married	312 people

<u>Age</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
0-5	80	57
6-14	153	144
15-24	140	143
25-34	75	80
35-44	63	73
45-54	76	85
55-64	60	81
65+	70	120

- 1) If you had received information about mini-parks, you were only slightly more apt to be in favor of them.
- 2) If you had not received information about mini-parks it made little difference in your not being in favor of them.
- 3) If you were buying your home it made little difference in your being in favor of mini-parks.
- 4) If you were renting your home you were only slightly more apt to be in favor of them.
- 5) The major factor involved with your opinion centered around your willingness to maintain and/or supervise the mini-parks.

Mike Henniger
Evaluation Specialist
CDA

QUESTIONNAIRE
IRVINGTON COMMUNITY MINI-PARKS

1-HAVE YOU RECEIVED ANY INFORMATION ON MINI-PARKS IN THE IRVINGTON AREA

TOTAL CARDS 239 YES 103 43% NO 94 39%

2-WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO HAVE A MINI-PARK IN YOUR BLOCK

TOTAL CARDS 239 YES 60 25% NO 131 55%

3-ARE YOU WILLING TO MAINTAIN AND SUPERVISE A MINI-PARK

TOTAL CARDS 239 YES 48 20% NO 146 61%

4-UNDERSTANDING YOUR RESPONSIBILITY TO THE MINI-PARKS, DO YOU FEEL THE ARE GREATLY NEEDED IN OUR AREA

TOTAL CARDS 239 YES 55 23% NO 129 54%

5-DO YOU FEEL THAT IRVING PARK IS BEING UTILIZED TO ITS FULLEST CAPACITY

TOTAL CARDS 239 YES 9 04% NO 168 70%

6-WOULD YOU CONSIDER SELLING YOUR HOME FOR PARK PROPERTY

TOTAL CARDS 239 YES 31 13% NO 147 61%

7-WOULD YOU LIVE NEXT DOOR TO THE MINI-PARK

TOTAL CARDS 239 YES 39 16% NO 152 63%

8-ARE YOU BUYING OR RENTING

BUYING 167 70% RENTING 29 12%

CROSS REFERENCE QUESTION BREAKDOWN

THOSE WHO HAD RECEIVED INFORMATION
103 CARDS

QUESTION 2	YES 37 36%	NO 62 60%
QUESTION 3	YES 28 27%	NO 73 71%
QUESTION 4	YES 34 33%	NO 63 61%
QUESTION 5	YES 3 03%	NO 90 87%
QUESTION 6	YES 20 19%	NO 72 70%
QUESTION 7	YES 23 22%	NO 77 75%

THOSE WHO HAD NOT RECEIVED INFORMATION
94 CARDS

QUESTION 2	YES 23 24%	NO 69 73%
QUESTION 3	YES 20 21%	NO 73 78%
QUESTION 4	YES 21 22%	NO 66 70%
QUESTION 5	YES 6 06%	NO 78 83%
QUESTION 6	YES 11 12%	NO 75 80%
QUESTION 7	YES 16 17%	NO 75 80%

PERSONS WHO OWN THEIR HOME
167 CARDS

QUESTION 2	YES 51 30%	NO 112 67%
QUESTION 3	YES 42 25%	NO 125 75%
QUESTION 4	YES 48 29%	NO 112 67%
QUESTION 5	YES 9 05%	NO 114 86%
QUESTION 6	YES 30 18%	NO 135 81%
QUESTION 7	YES 35 21%	NO 132 79%

PERSONS WHO RENT THEIR HOME
29 CARDS

QUESTION 2	YES 9 31%	NO 17 59%
QUESTION 3	YES 6 21%	NO 20 69%
QUESTION 4	YES 7 24%	NO 16 55%
QUESTION 5	YES 0 00%	NO 23 79%
QUESTION 6	YES 1 03%	NO 11 38%
QUESTION 7	YES 4 14%	NO 20 69%

THOSE WHO WOULD MAINTAIN MINI-PARKS
48 CARDS

QUESTION 2	YES 38 79%	NO 9 19%
QUESTION 4	YES 33 69%	NO 11 23%
QUESTION 6	YES 15 31%	NO 28 58%
QUESTION 7	YES 24 50%	NO 23 48%

THOSE WHO WOULD NOT MAINTAIN MINI-PARKS
146 CARDS

QUESTION 2	YES 22 15%	NO 121 83%
QUESTION 4	YES 22 15%	NO 117 80%
QUESTION 6	YES 16 11%	NO 119 81%
QUESTION 7	YES 15 10%	NO 128 87%

May 6, 1971

Last month the Irvington Community Association approved a proposal, by it's committee, on Mini-Parks, to place several of these parks in the Irvington Area. It was, however, the opinion of several Irvington property owners, that the Irvington Community Association had no right to make the recommendation without a poll of the persons who would be affected - the residents of the area. Several residents were so apposed, that a door to door survey was made to ascertain the feels of other residents within the area bounded by N.E. 7th Avenue to N.E. 15th Avenue and N.E. Thompson to N.E. Stanton. Persons conducting the poll were Jim Sumner, Mrs. Russell J. Taber and myself.

A compilation of the survey is enclosed. These statistics leave no doubt that the majority of these residents are unalterably apposed to having Mini-Parks within this area.

Respectfully,

Herbert L. Amerson
2606 N.E. 9th Avenue

PORTLAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

1700 S.W. FOURTH AVENUE • PORTLAND, OREGON 97201 • 226-4036

224-4800

May 14, 1971

Mr. Andrew F. Fink, Chairman
Irvington Community Association
c/o Souther Spaulding Kinsey Williamson &
Schwabe, Attorneys
Standard Plaza Building
Portland, Oregon

Dear Mr. Fink:

I have received from Mr. Herbert L. Amerson the results of a survey conducted by him and other persons with, apparently, some assistance from Model Cities Staff. I am sending you this information for it seems to me that the appropriate group to consider the findings is the Irvington Community Association.

I would like to make a couple of observations, however. The area surveyed does not include the site currently being investigated by the sub-committee for mini-parks of the Association (See enclosed map). Question 3 seems to suggest that the maintenance of a mini-park would be the sole responsibility of the neighborhood. This is not the case. The City Council has asked if the neighborhood would share in this responsibility with the City during the early period of operation. One last point, your Association has given what I believe to be a very wide and extensive opportunity for persons to be heard on the matter. Council has, in addition, required written approval by property owners whose land would be acquired for a mini-park, as well as adjacent property owners who would abut a mini-park, that they are in favor of the location.

Please call on us if you wish to discuss this matter further.

Very truly yours,

John B. Kenward
Executive Director

JBK:CEO:gc
Enclosures

cc: Mr. Herbert L. Amerson

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR



TERRY D. SCHRUNK
MAYOR

CITY OF PORTLAND
OREGON

May 11, 1971

Mr. Herbert L. Amerson
2606 N. E. 9th Avenue
Portland, Oregon 97212


Dear Mr. Amerson:

This will acknowledge receipt of your letter of May 6, 1971 relative to mini parks in the Irvington area and the survey which has been conducted.

I am taking the liberty of providing each member of the City Council, the Executive Director of the Model Cities Program, the Chairman of the Citizens Planning Board, the Model Cities Coordinator for the Mayor's Office, the Executive Director of the Portland Development Commission, the Chairman of the Portland Development Commission and the Director of our City Planning Commission with copies of your communication and survey.

May I take this occasion of extending to you my appreciation for your contribution on this subject.

Yours truly,



MAYOR

TDS. T. d

cc- City Council

Mr. Charles Jordan, Executive Director, Model Cities Program
Mr. Joe Nunn, Chairman, Citizens Planning Board
Mr. Edward Warmoth, Model Cities Coordinator
Mr. John Kenward, Executive Director, Portland Development Commission
Mr. Ira Keller, Chairman, Portland Development Commission
Mr. Lloyd Keefe, Director, City Planning Commission

City Auditor

Public Affairs

Commissioner Francis J. Ivancie.

Council View

September 15, 1971

Dear Commissioner Ivancie:

The City Council Wednesday, September 15, 1971, continued to the meeting which will commence at 2:00 p.m., Wednesday, October 6, 1971, consideration on the resolution approving second supplement to Urban Renewal Plan for Irvington Neighborhood Development Project providing for the establishment of Mini-Parks in Project Area.

Will you kindly arrange transportation so the Council members can view the property prior to the meeting date.

Yours very truly,

Auditor of the City of Portland

EC:pn

Cal. No. 3275-2

RESOLUTION NO.

WHEREAS, the Portland Development Commission, the duly designated Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Portland, with the financial assistance of the Department of Housing and Urban Development of the United States of America, is undertaking a Neighborhood Development Project known as the Irvington Neighborhood Development Project; and,

WHEREAS, the Council of the City of Portland by Resolution No. 30662 adopted on the 4th day of March, 1970, found and determined that the Irvington Neighborhood Development Project Area is a deteriorating area and qualifies as an eligible area under ORS 456 and 457; and, said Resolution further determined that the Urban Renewal Plan then under consideration for the Project had been duly reviewed and considered and was by that Resolution approved as conforming to the General Plan of the Locality and made certain other findings relative to the conformance of the Project and the Area to requirements of State and Federal law; and,

WHEREAS, said Urban Renewal Plan provides that the urban renewal agency may acquire properties for purposes of providing needed public improvements and facilities provided that an amendment or supplement to said Plan shall first be adopted designating the type, location and other characteristics of such public improvements and facilities; and,

WHEREAS, the Council, by Resolution No. 30798 adopted September 23, 1970, approved a Supplement to said Urban Renewal Plan, which Supplement provided for the expansion of the Irvington School Playground Site and certain activities in connection therewith; and,

WHEREAS, it is now proposed that the Urban Renewal Plan be further supplemented by adding a Second Supplement containing provisions relating to the establishment within the Project Area of small, scattered parks designed to serve their immediate vicinities and described as "mini-parks"; and,

WHEREAS, said Second Supplement has been submitted to and reviewed by the City Planning Commission which has recommended approval thereof by report to the City Council and the Council, having reviewed the proposed Second Supplement and report of the City Planning Commission, does by this Resolution desire to approve said Second Supplement; and,

WHEREAS, said Second Supplement has further been reviewed and approved by the Citizens Planning Board for Model Cities and by the Irvington Community Association; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of Portland, that the Second Supplement to Urban Renewal Plan for Irvington Neighborhood Development Project above described which provides for the establishment within the Project Area of small, scattered parks designed to serve their immediate vicinities and described as "mini-parks", which Second Supplement is contained in Exhibit A attached to the original of this Resolution only and by this reference made a part hereof, is hereby approved; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Council finds that the proceedings herein in all particulars conform to the provisions and requirements of ORS Chapters 457 and 456.

Adopted by the Council

Auditor of the City of Portland

Order of Council
MCR/OIN:jk 9/9/71

SECOND SUPPLEMENT TO URBAN RENEWAL PLAN
FOR
IRVINGTON NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT
(ORE. A-5-2)

EXHIBIT "A"

The Urban Renewal Plan for the Irvington Neighborhood Development Project approved by the City of Portland by Resolution No. 30662 adopted on March 4, 1970, as supplemented by Resolution No. 30798 adopted on September 23, 1970, is hereby further supplemented as follows:

1. Section C, Subsection 3.c.(2) is hereby supplemented by adding a paragraph thereto to read as follows:

"Small scattered parks designed to serve their immediate vicinity and described as 'mini-parks' may be established. The standards for establishing location, size, and use of mini-parks are as follows: (a) location to be appropriate to neighborhood need, acceptance, and physical conditions; (b) size to be, except in special circumstances, generally, a minimum of 10,000 square feet and a maximum of 30,000 square feet; (c) use will be primarily directed toward young children and older residents. The location, size, and use of mini-parks to serve the Project Area will be established only after further planning and consultation with the City Park Bureau and residents of the neighborhood."

2. Section D, Subsection 2.c. is hereby supplemented by adding a subparagraph thereto to be designated, entitled, and to read as follows:

"(2) Irvington Mini-Park No. 1.

The properties identified on the Irvington Area Mini-Park No. 1 Property Map (Exhibit D) will be acquired and cleared for redevelopment as a mini-park within the Project Area.

Such properties comprise the area bounded generally as follows:


That portion of Block 106, West Irvington Addition, located in the City of Portland, Multnomah County, Oregon, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the Northeast corner of said Block 106, thence West along the North line of said Block 100 feet to the Northwest corner of Lot 1; thence South, along the West line of Lots 1 and 2 a distance of 100 feet to the Southwest corner of Lot 2; thence East along the South line of Lot 2 a distance of 100 feet to the East line of Block 106; thence North along the East line of Block 106 a distance of 100 feet to the point of beginning;

and include the following described parcels of real property

<u>Parcel No.</u>	<u>Legal Description</u>
106-1	Lot 1, Block 106, West Irvington
106-2	Lot 2, Block 106, West Irvington"

3. The Urban Renewal Plan is hereby supplemented by appending thereto an exhibit to be designated and entitled "Exhibit D - Irvington Area Mini-Park No. 1 Property Map."



PARCEL NO.	OWNER	LEGAL	AREA SQ. FT.
106 - 1	GARBARINO, J. A.	LOT 1, BLOCK 106, WEST IRVINGTON	5,000
106 - 2	BASTASCH, ADOLPH W.	LOT 2, BLOCK 106, WEST IRVINGTON	5,000
TOTAL SQ. FEET TO BE ACQUIRED			10,000

IRVINGTON AREA NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM PORTLAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION PORTLAND, MULTNOMAH CO. OREGON 7-18-71 WFW	MINI-PARK NO. 1 PROPERTY - MAP	Revisions
		EXHIBIT
		D

RESOLUTION NO.

Resolution approving Second Supplement to
Urban Renewal Plan for Irvington Neighbor-
hood Development Project providing for the
establishment of Mini-Parks in Project Area.

H23

SEP 15 1971

Rehearing
~~CONTINUED TO~~ 9:30 A. M. OCT - 6 1971

COUNCIL VIEW

OCT - 6 1971

REFERRED TO PUBLIC AFFAIRS

THE COMMISSIONERS VOTED AS FOLLOWS		
	YEAS	NAYS
ANDERSON		
Goldschmidt		
IVANC.E		
McCREADY		
SCHRUNK		

Filed SEP 10 1971

GEORGE YERKOVICH

Auditor of the City of Portland

By

Gordon Croell

DEPUTY

3275-1

PORTLAND CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

424 S.W. MAIN STREET
PORTLAND, OREGON 97204
228-6141 EXT. 206

FRANCIS J. IVANCIE, Commissioner, Department of Public Affairs

C. RALPH WALSTROM, Chairman
MILDRED A. SCHWAB, Vice Chairman
HERBERT M. CLARK, JR.
DALE R. COWEN
HAROLD M. GOWING
HERBERT C. HARDY
ROWLAND S. ROSE
MARVIN WITT, JR.

LLOYD T. KEEFE, Planning Director
DALE D. CANNADY, Assistant Director

September 14, 1971

Portland Development Commission
1700 SW 4th Avenue
Portland, Oregon 97204

Attention: Mr. Orville Nielson

RE: Second Supplement to Urban Renewal Plan for Irvington


Dear Orville:

As you requested, at its September 7, 1971 meeting, the Planning Commission considered the Second Supplement to the Urban Renewal Plan for the Irvington Neighborhood Development Project (A-5-2). The Planning Commission action was approval and included approval of Mini-park No. 1 (included in the Supplement).

The Planning Commission Staff brought to the Commission's attention the fact that a public hearing and approval of Conditional Use for Mini-park No. 1 also will be required before development can occur.

For your information, the Conditional Use application for Mini-park No. 1 can be processed at the time detailed landscaped plans have been developed. The Conditional Use Request will be referred for necessary Model Cities review and recommendation, and will, at the same time, fulfill the condition set by the Citizens Planning Board on August 15th, 1971 when considering the Second Supplement. That condition requires that when detailed landscaped plans are produced by the Portland Development Commission, they are to be brought back to Model Cities for approval.

Sincerely yours,



Dale D. Cannady
Assistant Planning Director

DDC:a
cc: Ken Hampton

CITY NOTICES

FIRST NOTICE PUBLISHED FIRST TIME TODAY

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON SECOND SUPPLEMENT TO UR- BAN RENEWAL PLAN FOR IR- VINGTON NEIGHBORHOOD DE- VELOPMENT PROJECT (ORE. A- 5-2).

Notice is hereby given, at the request of the City of Portland, acting by and through the Portland Development Commission as the duly designated Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Portland, Oregon, that the Council of the City of Portland consider and approve the Second Supplement to the Urban Renewal Plan for the Irvington Neighborhood Development Project, which Project Area is identified as follows:

Beginning at a point on the West line of N.E. 7th Avenue which is 100 feet Northerly of the North line of N.E. Tillamook Street, said point being 77.68 feet Westerly and 100 feet Northerly of the Southwest corner of Block 119, West Irvington Addition, as measured along the North line of N.E. Tillamook Street and the West line of N.E. 7th Avenue; thence Northerly along said West line of N.E. 7th Avenue to the North line of N.E. Fremont Street; thence Easterly along the North line of N.E. Fremont Street to the East line of N.E. 21st Avenue; thence Southerly along said East line of N.E. 21st Avenue to the South line of N.E. Tillamook Street; thence Westerly along the South line of N.E. Tillamook Street to a point 100 feet West of the West line of N.E. 16th Avenue; thence at right angles Northerly 160 feet to a point; thence Westerly along a line lying 100 feet North of the North line of N.E. Tillamook Street to the West line of N.E. 14th Avenue; thence Northerly along said West line of N.E. 14th Avenue 50 feet; thence at right angles Westerly to the East line of N.E. 12th Avenue; thence Southerly along said East line of N.E. 12th Avenue 50 feet; thence Westerly along a line lying 100 feet North of the North line of N.E. Tillamook Street to the West line of N.E. 7th Avenue, the point of beginning, situate in the City of Portland, County of Multnomah, and State of Oregon.

The Council of the City of Portland has set 9:30 A.M. on Wednesday, September 15, 1971, in the Council Chamber of the City Hall of the City of Portland, as the time and place for public hearing with respect to said Second Supplement to the Plan for the Project. The purpose of the Hearing is to consider a proposal to provide the standards for establishing location, size, and use of mini-parks and to provide for acquisition and clearance for development of properties for Irvington Area Mini-Park No. 1.

The properties to be acquired and cleared for Irvington Area Mini-Park No. 1 are described as follows:

Lots 1 and 2, Block 106, West Irvington Addition, Multnomah County, Oregon.

Any person or organization desiring to be heard concerning the above matters will be afforded an opportunity to be heard.

Published Sept. 4 and 11, 1971.
GEORGE YERKOVICH,
Auditor of the City of
Portland. C230-2Sa

citizens
planning
board



portland model cities

CITY DEMONSTRATION AGENCY
5329 N.E. UNION AVENUE
PORTLAND, OREGON 97211
288-6923

Model Cities Planning Board Meeting

Cascade Center Student Union Building Cafeteria

5606 N. Borthwick

Monday, August 16, 1971

The Model Cities Citizens Planning Board held its regular meeting in the cafeteria of the Cascade Student Union Building.

The meeting was called to order at 7:45 P.M. by the Chairman Mr. Josiah Nunn. Invocation was given by Rev. Edgar Jackson. The following Board members were present or arrived before the meeting adjourned:

Lawrence Alberti
Ben Bernhard
Robert Boyer
Juanita Brown
Fred Flowers
Barbara Friday
Ray Holloway

Edgar Jackson
John Jackson
Lee Kell
Bill Newborne
Debby Norman
Josiah Nunn
LeRoy Patton

Clara Mae Peoples
Walter Ready
Roberts Rodgers
Marian Scott
Herb Simpson
Chris Thomas
Richard Wintermute

The following Board members were absent:

Bertha Grant
John Gustafson

Chalmers Jones
James Loving

Opal Strong
Harry Ward

Proxies: Proxies were announced as follows:

Mrs. Grant to Mrs. Peoples
Mr. Gustafson to Mr. Rodgers
Mr. Ward to Mrs. Scott

Mr. Jones to Mr. Bernhard
Mrs. Strong to Pastor Jackson
Mr. Loving to Mr. Flowers

Agenda: A motion was made for approval of the agenda. The motion was seconded and passed.

Minutes: Mrs. Scott moved for approval of minutes. The motion was seconded and passed, with the following correction: "That beautification project be listed at #1 priority in Executive Board minutes re: the Demonstration Project for Boise/Humboldt rather than mini-park."

Correspondence: There was no correspondence.

Reports: Item VI A - Consumer Protection Project - Mr. J.A. Page

Mr. Page gave a report on the Consumer Protection Project latest progress, stating that the group had attended a meeting in Salem on August 16, 1971. The agency is

concerned with the current public utility increase of 9.7% for Pacific Northwest Bell, and whether this will be affected by the freeze on increases.

Mr. Page stated the freeze ordered on July 14, 1971, will be effective on August 14, 1971 and will end Nov 14, 1971. He asked to be informed of all increases in food or commodities found in stores.

The freeze will not effect lending agencies and Mr. Page advised the Citizens Planning Board not to use any services offered by these agencies.

The Consumer Protection Project will have public announcements on KGAR and Key radio stations, with hope of a weekly program being started on KOAP television. Mr. Page and the chairman, Mr. Nunn, invited the Citizens Planning Board members to visit the Consumer Protection Project office.

Comprehensive report on the Consumer Protection Project is attached.

Item VI B - Preliminary Report - Comprehensive Development Plan for the Model Cities District of Portland - Lloyd Keefe

Mr. Nunn, Chairman, reminded the group that the Citizens Planning Board was just receiving the report and would take no action at this time. Mr. John Jackson asked for a time limit on the explanation of the report, but no time limit was set.

Mr. Lloyd Keefe made the presentation and he stated that copies of the plan had been sent to Model Cities, the neighborhood consultants, and several other agencies.

Mr. Keefe presented slides illustrating present conditions and showing the revised plans for the area. He stated that there is approximately 100 acres of vacant land left, and that existing businesses and houses are aging creating elements of an adverse environment. The public facilities in the area also need improvements.

Some of the environmental deficiencies mentioned were:

1. children having to cross busy arterials to attend schools
2. lack of open space
3. heavy traffic problem

The Urban Renewal Treatment, which was prepared in 1968 and represents a 20 year plan, proposes rehabilitation and conservation. Some of the slides presented showed plans for:

1. Dominant town center
2. Dominant town center with freeway depressed
3. Linear Corridor Concept

Mr. Keefe stated that five firms have been employed to supply details in reports.

Mr. Nunn stated that the Board would like to study the plan, and that Mr. Keefe bring the plan back to the Citizens Planning Board after details are finished.

Herb Simpson moved that the Citizens Planning Board receive the plan and turn it over to the Physical Environment Working Committee to study. The motion was seconded by Marian Scott.

Question - How would a person earning \$3,000 a year get the money to make his home better? What kind of deal could be made to get land before this proposal is passed to enable persons who make a small sum of money to be able to live in the area when urban renewal is done?

Chester Young, a resident of the area, expressed his concern about the effect the plan would have on the low-income family. Mr. Nunn intervened to state that the Board was just receiving the plan, not accepting it, and he suggested Mr. Young attend the Physical Environment Working Committee meeting and express himself.

Mr. LeRoy Patton moved that the plan be adopted. The motion was seconded and passed.

Item VII - Sign-Off on Planned Parenthood Proposal - Mrs. Gilmore

Mrs. Gilmore stated the request for sign-off was made because many of the recipients of the program are Model Cities residents.

Mr. Bob Boyer stated that the program was not using Model Cities funds.

Mr. Lee Kell asked for a report on what the program has accomplished. There was discussion on this request.

A vote was taken, and it was passed with 19 pros and 3 cons.

Item VIII - 1971 Election Procedures for Poll Workers

Mr. Bob Boyer moved that the procedures be accepted, and it was seconded by Mr. Rodgers. The motion passed un-opposed.

Mr. Boyer congratulated the members of the Working Committee for doing such a complete job.

Item IX - Rule & Operating Procedures of Citizens Planning Board's Working Committee

Mr. Rodgers moved that they be adopted, and the motion was seconded by Mr. Herb Simpson.

Debby Norman expressed concern about page 16, item V. Mrs. Norman felt that a resident should have to attend a meeting a certain number of times before being able to vote. Mr. Fred Flowers replied that it was not practical.

Mrs. Norman responded that she was concerned that on special areas of business, people could pack a house and sway a vote. Mrs. Scott stated that people attend because of special issues they are interested in anyway. She went on to state that one Working Committee has not had an election because of this rule. Mrs. Scott felt that if this rule is put into effect, people would just suspend the rule and carry one.

Mrs. Norman replied that in that case, it should be more difficult to suspend the rules. Debbie Norman maintained that by not having a time requirement on the voting privilege, it encourages issue voting and not voting for the total picture of the neighborhood.

Mr. Ray Holloway suggested that Mrs. Norman submit a different program after the present one is passed, or make an amendment to the motion.

Mr. Nunn stated that he could not see any problem the rule would create.

Mrs. Norman moved to amend the motion by adding that a resident must attend a meeting twice before being able to vote. The motion was seconded by Reverend Jackson.

Mr. Rodgers did not accept the amendment. Amendment failed.

A vote was taken for approving the Working Committee Rules & Operating Procedures as they are, without the amendment, and 22 were for it with 1 being against.

Item X - Second Supplement to Urban Renewal Plan for Irvington Neighborhood Development Project by Doug Bridges and Nancy Conrad

It was stated by Nancy Conrad that 9th and Thompson had been chosen as the site for one of the mini-parks in Irvington. She explained that this area was chosen because it is a considerable distance from Irvington School. The original plan was to have six housing blocks used for the park, but after some opposition, it was decided to have 2 blocks. One would be facing N.E. Thompson and one would face N.E. 9th Avenue.

Mr. Rodgers asked how were the citizens contacted. Mrs. Conrad replied that they had gone door-to-door twice to contact citizens and inform them of plans for the mini-park.

Mr. Rodgers responded that this did not seem to be enough. Mrs. Conrad replied that the plans had also been presented to the Irvington Community Association, and had received their approval.

Mr. Rodgers stated that the ICA only represented a small portion of the Irvington community, not the total community. Mrs. Conrad stated that they intend to reach more people after acquiring the land. This way, they could be more definite about the plans for the park and discuss more concrete things when talking to the residents.

Debbie Norman stated that about 100 people had attended the meeting at which the plan had been presented. She stated that after the land is acquired residents will again be contacted, door-to-door, assuring that people who live around the proposed park site will be well aware of the plans.

Mrs. Conrad stated that they are well aware of citizens participation and will get more support from the residents of the block area and also the Irvington community. She felt that having something more concrete to present to the citizens, such as the location of the park, will be helpful in getting support.

Mr. Ray Holloway asked if there were any statistics on the amount of small children who would be using the park. Mrs. Conrad replied that the park will be used by all ages, not just small children.

Mr. Holloway stated that he was concerned about developing a park in an area where children will be grown in the next three years. Mrs. Conrad replied that a large amount of the property in the area is rental property, therefore, the age groups in the neighborhood are always changing.

Doug Bridges stated that the mini-park will be a facility to serve people. He stated that after site is located, the group can then start dealing with people in the area to develop the character of the park.

Debbie Norman moved that the plan be approved. Herb Simpson seconded the motion.

Mr. Sam Whitney inquired about supervision in the park, and stated that money for operation and maintenance of the park be considered. Nancy Conrad replied that the Recreation Committee will work on this. They are hoping to use high school students for summer employment. Mr. Whitney amended the motion to state that money be approved for supervision of the park.

Mr. Rodgers stated that he was against the sloppiness of the way residents were contacted. He felt that two times were not enough.

Mr. Bernhard stated there were at least six meetings referring to this and ample publication was given, and that children are already claiming the land as their play area.

Eighteen members voted for approval of the plan, with Pastor Jackson, Juanita Brown, and Clara Mae Peoples abstaining.

Mr. Boyer made a recommendation concerning the employment for people for supervision in the park. He suggested that people in the Irvington district look into the possibility of getting NYC youngsters for employment. The youngsters will be paid by the City and the ICA will be helping to employ youth.

Mr. Nunn stated staff did receive approval of the plans and the detailed plans should be brought back to the Citizens Planning Board.

Old Business: Herb Simpson suggested the Citizens Planning Board set up a committee to investigate charges of the City Club against the Portland Development Commission. Mr. Simpson stated that Citizens Participation was not even referred to in the report, and that more community people are involved with P.D.C. than any other agency. He stated that HAP and the Portland Planning Commission should be asked how many people from the community have they hired. Mr. Simpson suggested assigning three people to work up a statement of the Board's relationship to Portland Development Commission.

Mr. Boyer moved that Herb Simpson make a report to this Board, and that he be appointed chairman of the committee and bring back a recommendation. The motion was not passed, as there were 13 against and 11 passage.

New Business: Mr. Holloway spoke of his concern for conserving the youth. He introduced Mr. Johnson, who is working with youths in helping them fight drug problems.

Mr. Wilbur Johnson stated that he has been having meetings twice with the youths of the community. He had been working with C-CAP, but they have another program with Willamette College, therefore Mr. Johnson was asking for Model Cities funds to keep his program going.

Mr. Nunn recommended Mr. Johnson go to the Youth Affairs Committee. Mr. Johnson replied that he had contacted them, and not received any action.

Debbie Norman suggested that Mr. Johnson return to the Youth Affairs Council now that they have a new Director, Mr. Leon Johnson.

Mr. Newborne asked how much money had been donated to the Wilbur Johnson fund. Mr. Johnson replied that about \$20.00 had been donated, and this had been used for recreation equipment.

Mr. Rodgers informed the Citizens Planning Board that the methadone program does not reach the Model Cities residents.

Mr. Rodgers moved that the Citizens Planning Board recommend the Youth Affairs Council look into the matter to survey and see what funds are available. The motion was passed unanimously.

8/25/71

Page 6

Mr. Priestly presented a report on the effect of the newly proposed boundaries for voting precincts. He stated that Multnomah County has 16 legislative seats, with four seats being located between the area of Union and Fremont.

Mr. Priestly stated that the Model Cities area is linked with communities of different interests. 7C is joined with Mt. Tabor community, 6B with East Moreland, and there is only one chance in 32 that 6C will be joined with St. Johns.

Model Cities residents now number 34, 671 and could have strong representation in Congress if left as it is. He stated that the NAACP is quite concerned along with the Legal Aid Society. Mr. Priestly stated that if the community is interested in being represented, a public law suit should be filed against the new boundaries law. He felt a motion should be adopted to say that communities should be grouped by community interest, and to divide community interest is a gerrymander.

Mr. Nunn asked that more information concerning this report be presented to the Citizens Planning Board.

Mr. Johnson recommended that staff look into this because it means the Model Cities area will have no representation at all.

Chris Thomas requested Mr. Priestly to dispose what is his position. Mr. Priestly stated that he was a defeated candidate for the 1970 House of Representatives.

Mr. Boyer strongly recommended that staff bring back more information on this subject.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:45 P.M.

Ira C. Keller
Chairman

Harold Halvorsen
Secretary

Vincent Raschio
Edward H. Look
John S. Griffith

PORTLAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

1700 S.W. FOURTH AVENUE • PORTLAND, OREGON 97201 • 224-4800

September 2, 1971

John B. Kenward
Executive Director

Mr. George Yerkovich, Auditor
City Hall
City of Portland
Portland, Oregon 97204

Attention: Mrs. Edna Cervara


Dear Mr. Yerkovich:

Enclosed is a copy of letter transmitting to the DAILY JOURNAL OF COMMERCE copy for Notice of Public Hearing in connection with the proposed Second Supplement to Urban Renewal Plan for Irvington Neighborhood Development Project to be published on Saturday, September 4, and a second time on Saturday, September 11, 1971.

Also enclosed are two copies of the proposed Second Supplement to Plan. We shall prepare and transmit to your office next week the form of Resolution respecting the Second Supplement to Plan to be adopted by the Council following the Hearing.

If you desire any further information concerning the Hearing or the proposed Second Supplement to Plan, please give us a call. Thank you for your assistance and cooperation in this matter.

Very truly yours,



John B. Kenward
Executive Director

JBK:ON:gc
Enclosures

cc: Commissioner Ivancie (Bradfish)

oc: CEO
HGH
JK

PORTLAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

1700 S.W. FOURTH AVENUE • PORTLAND, OREGON 97201 • 226-4036

224-4800

September 2, 1971

**Daily Journal of Commerce
2014 N. W. 24th Avenue
Portland, Oregon 97204**

Gentlemen:

Enclosed is copy for Notice of Public Hearing for publication on Saturday, September 4, 1971 and a second time on Saturday, September 11, 1971, entitled as follows:

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON SECOND SUPPLEMENT TO URBAN RENEWAL
PLAN FOR IRVINGTON NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT (ORE. A-5-2)**

Upon publication, please transmit Affidavit of Publication and your billing in connection with this Notice to the City Auditor, City Hall, Portland, Oregon, 97204.

Yours very truly,

**John B. Kenward
Executive Director**

**JBK:OM:gc
Enclosure**

**cc: Mr. George Yerkovich, City Auditor
(w/enclosure)**

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON SECOND SUPPLEMENT TO URBAN
RENEWAL PLAN FOR IRVINGTON NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT
PROJECT (ORE. A-5-2)

Notice is hereby given, at the request of the City of Portland, acting by and through the Portland Development Commission as the duly designated Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Portland, Oregon, that the Council of the City of Portland consider and approve the Second Supplement to the Urban Renewal Plan for the Irvington Neighborhood Development Project, which Project Area is identified as follows:

Beginning at a point on the West line of N. E. 7th Avenue which is 100 feet Northerly of the North line of N. E. Tillamook Street, said point being 77.68 feet Westerly and 100 feet Northerly of the Southwest corner of Block 119, West Irvington Addition, as measured along the North line of N. E. Tillamook Street and the West line of N. E. 7th Avenue; thence Northerly along said West line of N. E. 7th Avenue to the North line of N. E. Fremont Street; thence Easterly along the North line of N. E. Fremont Street to the East line of N. E. 21st Avenue; thence Southerly along said East line of N. E. 21st Avenue to the South line of N. E. Tillamook Street; thence Westerly along the South line of N. E. Tillamook Street to a point 100 feet West of the West line of N. E. 16th Avenue; thence at right angles Northerly 160 feet to a point; thence Westerly along a line lying 100 feet North of the North line of N. E. Tillamook Street to the West line of N. E. 14th Avenue; thence Northerly along said West line of N. E. 14th Avenue 50 feet; thence at right angles Westerly to the East line of N. E. 12th Avenue; thence Southerly along said East line of N. E. 12th Avenue 50 feet; thence Westerly along a line lying 100 feet North of the North line of N. E. Tillamook Street to the West line of N. E. 7th Avenue, the point of beginning, situate in the City of Portland, County of Multnomah, and State of Oregon.

The Council of the City of Portland has set 9:30 A.M. on Wednesday, September 15, 1971, in the Council Chamber of the City Hall of the City of Portland, as the time and place for public hearing with respect to said Second Supplement to the Plan for the Project. The purpose of the Hearing is to consider a proposal to provide the standards for establishing location, size, and use of mini-parks and to provide for acquisition and clearance for development of properties for Irvington Area Mini-Park No. 1.

The properties to be acquired and cleared for Irvington Area Mini-Park No. 1 are described as follows:

Lots 1 and 2, Block 106, West Irvington Addition,
Multnomah County, Oregon.

Any person or organization desiring to be heard concerning the above matters will be afforded an opportunity to be heard.

Published September 4 and September 11, 1971.

GEORGE YERKOVICH
Auditor of the City of Portland

SECOND SUPPLEMENT TO URBAN RENEWAL PLAN
FOR
IRVINGTON NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT
(ORE. A-5-2)

The Urban Renewal Plan for the Irvington Neighborhood Development Project approved by the City of Portland by Resolution No. 30662 adopted on March 4, 1970, as supplemented by Resolution No. 30798 adopted on September 23, 1970, is hereby further supplemented as follows:

1. Section C, Subsection 3.c.(2) is hereby supplemented by adding a paragraph thereto to read as follows:

Small scattered parks designed to serve their immediate vicinity and described as mini-parks may be established. The standards for establishing location, size, and use of mini-parks are as follows: (a) location to be appropriate to neighborhood need, acceptance, and physical conditions; (b) size to be, except in special circumstances, generally, a minimum of 10,000 square feet and a maximum of 30,000 square feet; (c) use will be primarily directed toward young children and older residents. The location, size, and use of mini-parks to serve the Project Area will be established only after further planning and consultation with the City Park Bureau and residents of the neighborhood.

2. Section D, Subsection 2.c. is hereby supplemented by adding a subparagraph thereto to be designated, entitled, and to read as follows:

"(2) Irvington Mini-Park No. 1.

The properties identified on the Irvington Area Mini-Park No. 1 Property Map (Exhibit D) will be acquired and cleared for redevelopment as a mini-park within the Project Area.

Such properties comprise the area bounded generally as follows:


That portion of Block 106, West Irvington Addition, located in the City of Portland, Multnomah County, Oregon, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the Northeast corner of said Block 106, thence West along the North line of said Block 100 feet to the Northwest corner of Lot 1; thence South, along the West line of Lots 1 and 2 a distance of 100 feet to the Southwest corner of Lot 2; thence East along the South line of Lot 2 a distance of 100 feet to the East line of Block 106; thence North along the East line of Block 106 a distance of 100 feet to the point of beginning;

and include the following described parcels of real property

<u>Parcel No.</u>	<u>Legal Description</u>
106-1	Lot 1, Block 106, West Irvington
106-2	Lot 2, Block 106, West Irvington

3. The Urban Renewal Plan is hereby supplemented by appending thereto an exhibit to be designated and entitled "Exhibit D - Irvington Area Mini-Park No. 1 Property Map."



PARCEL NO.	OWNER	LEGAL	AREA SQ. FT.
106 - 1	GARBARINO, J. A.	LOT 1, BLOCK 106, WEST IRVINGTON	5,000
106 - 2	BASTASCH, ADOLPH W.	LOT 2, BLOCK 106, WEST IRVINGTON	5,000
TOTAL SQ. FEET TO BE ACQUIRED			10,000

IRVINGTON AREA NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM PORTLAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION PORTLAND, MULTNOMAH CO. OREGON 7-15-71 WFW		MINI-PARK NO. 1 PROPERTY - MAP	Revisions EXHIBIT D
---	--	---	--------------------------------------

3487
~~3275-21~~

Hearing on Second Supplement to Urban
Renewal Plan for Irvington Neighborhood
Development Project.

H/3

SEP 15 1971

~~PLACED ON FILE~~

Rehearing Set for 9:30 A. M. OCT 6 1971

OCT - 6 1971

REFERRED TO PUBLIC AFFAIRS

*9-15-71
Council views
10-6-71
referred to affairs
for report on committee
report on neighborhood
approval.*

THE COMMISSIONERS VOTED AS FOLLOWS		
	YEAS	NAYS
ANDERSON		
Goldschmid:		
IVANCIE		
McCREADY		
SCHRUNK		

Filed SEP 10 1971
GEORGE YERKOVICH
Auditor of the City of Portland
By *Gordon Coall*
DEPUTY

• DEPARTMENT OF
PUBLIC AFFAIRS



FRANCIS J. IVANCIE
COMMISSIONER

**CITY OF PORTLAND
OREGON**

December 27, 1971

To the Council:

Returned herewith are

Council Calendar No. 3487, being "Hearing on Second Supplement to Urban Renewal Plan for Irvington Neighborhood Development Project",

and


Council Calendar No. 3488, being "Resolution Approving Second Supplement to Urban Renewal Plan for Irvington Neighborhood Development Project Providing for the Establishing of Mini-Parks in Project Areas".

Your Commissioner of Public Affairs finds that a survey was conducted in the neighborhood affected by the proposed mini-park, and that the Model Cities Agency and the Irvington Community Association now recommend against the construction of a mini-park as proposed.

Your Commissioner RECOMMENDS

that Council Calendars 3487 and 3488 be placed on file and given no further consideration.

Respectfully submitted,


COMMISSIONER OF
PUBLIC AFFAIRS

FJI:ad

Report of Commissioner of Public Affairs returning
C. C. No. 3487,

Hearing on Second Supplement to Urban Renewal Plan for
Irvington Neighborhood Development Project; and

C. C. No. 3488,

Resolution Approving Second Supplement to Urban Renewal
Plan for Irvington Neighborhood Development Project Pro-
viding for Establishing of Mini-Parks in Project Areas";

RECOMMENDING both be placed on file and given no further
consideration.

(CC 3487 and CC 3488 - 1971)

JAN - 5 1972

ADOPTED

Filed DEC 27 1971

GEORGE YERKOVICH

Auditor of the City of Portland

By *Gordon Cravell*

DEPUTY