

March 22, 2025

Subject: Proposed Solar Panel Installation Chaiya Lappin Residence, 2415 SE Woodward St, Portland, OR

To Whom it May Concern,

Our engineering department has reviewed information, gathered by our field crews, related to the proposed solar panel installation at the above-referenced address. The purpose of our review was to determine the structural adequacy of the existing roof for the proposed installation. Based on our review and analysis of the available information, it is our professional opinion that the existing structure requires a retrofit to ensure structural adequacy to support the proposed installation.

The retrofit shall consist of 2x6 sister for the existing 2x4 rafters on roof 3 where panels are to be installed, with 12d nails at 12" O.C., staggered. New sister to extend within 12" of existing 2x, only required at existing member with new solar attachment. Retrofit should happen before install.

### **Design Parameter Summary**

Governing Building Code: 2022 Oregon Structural Specialty Code (2022 OSSC) Risk Category: II Design Wind Speed: 98 mph (per ASCE 7-16) Ground Snow Load: 20 psf Roof Snow Load: 20 psf (city/county requirement) <u>Roof Information</u>

Roof Structure: 2x4 Rafters @ 24" O.C. Roofing Material: Asphalt Shingles (1 layer) Roof Slope: 20°

# **Roof Connection Details**

Lag Screws: (1) 5/16" diameter min., with min. 2.5" embedment into framing members, at 48" O.C. max, Locations per design drawings Note: Required embedment length excludes the tapered tip of the screw, and embedment into sheathing.

### **Analysis**

The proposed installation - including weight of panels, racking, and mounts - will be approximately 2.7 psf. The existing roof is composed of one layer of asphalt shingles. Therefore, according to the Oregon Structural Specialty Code, Section 3408.5.2 (IEBC Section 805.2), Exception 2, the structure need not be altered for gravity loading. The relevant provision states "buildings in which the increased dead load is attributable to the addition of a second layer of roof covering weighing 3 pounds per square foot (0.1437 kN/m2) or less over an existing single layer of roof covering" are excepted from alterations for gravity loading.

The proposed installation will be 6" max. above the roof surface (flush mounted) and parallel to the roof surface. Therefore, any increase in wind loading on the building structure from the solar panel installation is expected to be negligible. Wind is the governing lateral load case. Because the increase in lateral loading is not increased by more than 10%, per provisions in the adopted building codes, the structure need not be altered for lateral loading.

Wind uplift on the panels has been calculated in accordance with the relevant provisions of ASCE 7-16. This loading has been used to verify the adequacy of the connection specified above. Connection locations should be in accordance with design drawings.

### **Conclusion**

When the retrofits detailed in this letter's opening paragraph are performed, the structure will have adequate strength to support the proposed installation. Connections to the roof must be made per the "Roof Connection Details" section above. Copies of all relevant calculations are enclosed.

## **Limitations and Disclaimers**

The opinion expressed in this letter is made in reliance on the following assumptions: the existing structure is in good condition (apart from the damage which shall be repaired or retrofit per the above); the existing structure is free from defects in design or workmanship; and the existing structure was code-compliant at the time of its design and construction. These assumptions have not been independently verified, and we have relied on representations made by the property owner and his or her agents with respect to the foregoing. The undersigned has not inspected the structure for patent or latent defects.

Electrical engineering and waterproofing are beyond the scope of this analysis. Solar panels must be installed per manufacturer specifications. Structural design and analysis of the adequacy of solar panels, racks, mounts, rails, and other components is performed by each component's respective manufacturer and the undersigned makes no statement of opinion regarding such components. This letter and the opinions expressed herein are rendered solely for the benefit of the permitting authority (city or county building department), and may not be utilized or relied on by any other party.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact our office at (855)-709-1181, or email Austen.Morfin@purelightpower.com.

Sincerely,

×

Trevor A. Jones, P.E. 03/22/2025



EXPIRES: 06/30/25

# **Retrofit Description**

The retrofit shall consist of 2x6 sister for the existing 2x4 rafters on 3 where panels are to be installed, with 12d nails at 12" O.C., staggered. New sister to extend within 12" of existing 2x, only required at existing member with new solar attachment. Retrofit should happen before install.

# Additional Retrofit Details and Notes





Note: Design per NDS and ASCE 7 Purelight Power Note: This tab is not applicable for trasses Note: Member is assumed fully restrained by attachment to sheathing

Loading

**Deflection Criteria** 

DL + LL:

DL + SL:

L/

L/

L/

240

120 120

> psf psf psf psf psf psf psf psf psf

psf

psf degrees

psf

psf psf

lb\*ft lbs

in

in

in

0.22

0.29

0.32

LL :

# Beam Calculation(Roof 1, 2 & 4)

The purpose of this calculation is to justify the additional solar load by demonstating beam capacity is adequate for the increased demand.

ft

# **Roof Information**

Beam Span	6.8	ft	
Beam Member Size	2x4		
Wood Species	DFL #2 (Assumed		
Beam Spacing	2	ft	
Retrofit member (where applies)			

### Sawn Lumber Properties

F <sub>b</sub>	900	psi	Dead Load		
F <sub>v</sub>	180	psi	Asphalt Shingles	3	
Load Duration Factor, $C_D$	1.15		1/2" Plywood	1	
Size Factor, C <sub>F</sub>	1.5		Framing	1	
Repetitive Member Factor, C <sub>r</sub>	1.15		Insulation		
F' <sub>b</sub>	1785	psi	1/2" Gypsum Ceiling		
F'v	207	psi	psi M,E, & Misc		
E	1600000	psi	Solar Panel	2.7	
(Note: $C_M = C_t = C_L = C_{fu} = C_i = 1.0$ )	-	_	Total Dead Load	8.7	
Member Properties			Roof Live Load	20.0	
Main member:		_	Snow Load		
Width, b	1.5	in	Ground Snow Load, P <sub>g</sub>	20.0	
Depth, d	3.5	in	Exposure Factor, C <sub>e</sub>	0.9	
Area, A	5.25	in <sup>2</sup>	Thermal Factor, C <sub>t</sub>	1.1	
Moment of Inertia, I	5.36	in <sup>4</sup>	Importance Factor, I <sub>s</sub>	1.0	
Section Modulus, S	3.06	in <sup>3</sup>	Flat Roof Snow Load	20	
Retrofit member (where applie	s):		Slope	20	
Width, b		in	Unobstructed Slippery Surface?	No	
Depth, d		in	Slope Factor, C <sub>s</sub>	1.00	
Area, A		in <sup>2</sup>	Sloped Roof Snow Load	20.0	
Moment of Inertia, I		in <sup>4</sup>			
Section Modulus, S		in <sup>3</sup>	Load Combination		
			DL + LL	28.7	
			DL + SL	28.7	
Member Checks		_			
Moment Capacity	455.6	lb*ft	Moment Demand (max.)	331.8	
Shear Capacity	1086.8	lbs	Shear Demand (max.)	292.7	

Allowable Deflection, LL Allowable Deflection, DL + LL Allowable Deflection, DL + SL

10010	·~ ·
1086.8	lbs
-	_
0.3	in
0.7	in
0.7	in

Since moment, shear, and deflection capacities are equal to or greater than calculated moment, shear, and deflection demands, the beam capacity is adequate for the increased Result: demand, and the existing roof structure is permitted to remain unaltered.

Deflection Calculated, LL

Deflection Calculated, DL + LL

Deflection Calculated, DL + SL

# Purelight Power Note: Detailed Beam Calculation (Roof 3)

Note: Beam is assumed fully restrained by attachment to sheathing Note: This calculation is not applicable for trusses Note: Design per NDS and ASCE 7

# The purpose of this calculation is to show that the sistered rafter has adequate capacity for the increased demand.

Existing Dead Load		_	Beam Span, L		11.5	ft
Asphalt Shingles	3	psf	Beam Member Size		2x4	
1/2" Plywood	1	psf	Wood Species		DFL #2 (Assu	med)
Framing	1	psf	Beam Spacing		2	ft
M,E, & Misc	0.5	psf	Retrofit member (where applies)		2x6	
Total Existing Dead Load	5.5	psf	Sawn Lumber Properties			-
Snow Load		-	F <sub>b</sub>		900	psi
Ground Snow Load, P <sub>g</sub>	20	psf	F <sub>v</sub>		180	psi
Exposure Factor, C <sub>e</sub>	0.9		Load Duration Factor, $C_D$		1.15	]
Thermal Factor, C <sub>t</sub>	1.1		Size Factor, C <sub>F</sub>		1.5	
Importance Factor, I <sub>s</sub>	1.0		Repetitive Member Factor, C <sub>r</sub>		1.15	
Flat Roof Snow Load	20	psf	F' <sub>b</sub>		1785	psi
Slope	20	degrees	F'v		207	psi
Unobstructed Slippery Surface	No		E		1600000	psi
Slope Factor, Cs	1.00		(Note: $C_M = C_t = C_L = C_{fu} = C_i = 1.0$ )			-
Sloped Roof Snow Load	20.0	psf				
Live Load	20.0	psf	Member Properties			
Governing Load Combination			Main member:			-
DL + SL	25.5	psf	Width, b		1.5	in
Calculation Values		-	Depth, d		3.5	in
Linear load, w	51	plf	Area, A		5.3	in <sup>2</sup>
Reaction, R <sub>1</sub>	293.25	lbs	Moment of Inertia, I		5.4	in⁴
Reaction, R <sub>2</sub>	293.25	lbs	Section Modulus, S		3.1	in <sup>3</sup>
			Retrofit member (where applies):			-
Solar Panel Dead Load	<b>-</b>	٦	Width, b		1.5	in
Distributed Load	2.7	psf	Depth, d		5.5	in
Linear load, w	5.4	plf	Area, A		8.3	in²
Distance to surcharge, a	4	ft	Moment of Inertia, I		20.8	in <sup>4</sup>
Surcharge length, b	7.5	ft	Section Modulus, S		7.6	in <sup>3</sup>
Distance from surcharge, c	0	ft				
Reaction, R <sub>1</sub>	13.2065217	lbs	Deflection Criteria			_
Reaction, $R_2$	27.2934783	lbs	Under Total Load:	L/	120	
Total Demand			Total Capacity			
Shear	480.8	lbs	Shear		2794.5	lbs
Moment	910.8	lb*ft	Moment		1580.8	lb*ft
Deflection	0.52	in	Deflection		1.15	in

Result:

Since calculated capacities are equal to or greater than calculated demands, the beam capacity is adequate for the increased demand from solar panels, after the specified retrofit is performed.

Note: Capacities are based on the sum of the properties of main and retrofit members.

The following page shows the shear, moment, and deflection values across the length of the beam.





# Purelight Power Pegasus Comp Mount Connection Calculation

This calculation justifies the connection of the solar panels to existing roof members, by showing the connection capacity is equal to or greater than the uplift force demands.

Connection Demand					
Spacing perpendicular to rail	32.5	in	1/2 panel ler	ngth	
Roof Angle	20	degrees			
Roof Layout	Hip		A		$\geq$
Wind Speed	98	mph		A	
Exposure Coefficient, K <sub>z</sub>	0.57		T	al	1
Topographic Factor, K <sub>zt</sub>	1				
Directionality Factor, K <sub>d</sub>	0.85				
Elevation Factor, K <sub>e</sub>	1.00				
Velocity Pressure, q <sub>z</sub>	16.0	psf			
Prying Coefficient	1				
	<u>Zone 1</u>	Zone 2n	<u>Zone 3r</u>	_	
Spacing parallel to rail	48	48	48	in	Max spacing
Effective Wind Area on each connection	10.8	10.8	10.8	ft <sup>2</sup>	
GC <sub>p</sub> (max)	2.00	2.95	3.54		
Exposed Panels? ( $\gamma_E = 1.5$ )	No	No	No		
Pressure Equalization Factor, γ <sub>a</sub>	0.79	0.79	0.79		
Uplift Force	25.2	37.1	44.5	psf	
Max. Uplift Force / Connection (0.6 WL)	163.5	241.2	289.2	lbs	
Solar Dead Load (0.6 DL)	17.6	17.6	17.6	lbs	
Max. Uplift Force (0.6 WL - 0.6 DL)	146.0	223.6	271.6	lbs	
Connection Capacity	Pegasus Com	p Mount			
Fastener Type	Lag Screw				
Fastener Diameter	0.3125	in			
Embedment Length, min	2.5	in			
Lumber Species & Grade	DFL #2 (Assur	med)			
Lumber Specific Gravity	0.5	]			
Nominal Withdrawal Capacity, W	665.0	lbs		NDS	12.2-1
# of Screws	1				
Load Duration Factor, C <sub>D</sub>	1.6				
Adjusted Withdrawal Capacity, W'	1064.0	lbs			
Compare Adjusted Withdrawal Capacity to ASD Factored Demand					
	<u>Zone 1</u>	<u>Zone 2n</u>	Zone 3r		
	O.K.	О.К.	О.К.		