John S. Griffith Chairman

Edward H. Look Secretary

Vincent Raschio Elaine Cogan Arthur A. Riedel

# PORTLAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

1700 S.W. FOURTH AVENUE · PORTLAND, OREGON 97201 · 224-4800

May 16, 1972

John B. Kenward Executive Director

Mr. Russell H. Dawson, Director Department of Housing and Urban Development 520 S. W. Sixth Avenue, Cascade Building Portland, Oregon 97204

Dear Mr. Dawson:

We are pleased to hereby submit, for official review, 9 copies of the application for Federal loan and grant for Portland's NDP 3rd Action Year, July 1, 1972 to July 1, 1973. This application covers on-going activities in Woodlawn, Frvington and King-Vernon-Sabin. The application also incorporates our request to include the Boise-Humboldt, Eliot and Northwest neighborhoods under the NDP.

Part III, Supporting Schedule I, Form HUD 6280 in ND 302 outlines a summary of the approved Cost Estimate and Financing Plans for conventional Urban Renewal Projects ORE. R-1, R-8, R-16, and R-20, which reflect a gross pooling credit of non-cash grants-in-aid in the amount of \$1,396,918. This amount does not include an additional \$650,000 for project ORE. R-16 involving the purchase of Shattuck School.

The most recent amendatory application submitted for additional S-1 Relocation funds, project ORE. R-16, includes the additional \$650,000 noncash grants-in-aid for Shattuck School and will raise the total non-cash grant-in-aid pooling credits by a proportionate amount.

Attached to the application are copies of letters from the State and Regional Clearinghouses approving the application. Approval of the Eliot and Northwest neighborhoods by CRAG for inclusion under the NDP is anticipated at their next meeting on May 19, and a copy of their letter regarding same will be forwarded to your office as soon after May 19 as possible.

We trust that the documentation is in order. We shall be happy to provide additional information if you so desire.

Sincerely,

John B. Kenward Executive Director

JBK:ELY:gc Enclosures - Binders 1 - 9



# COLUMBIA REGION ASSOCIATION of GOVERNMENTS

6400 S.W. CANYON COURT

PORTLAND, OREGON 97221

**RECEIVED** May 25, 1972

MAY 30 1972

PORTLAND DE CLAMENT COMMISSION

EX. DIR. A. DIR. D. OPER. SP. ASST. CEO Copy

Mr. John B. Kenward Executive Director Portland Development Commission 1700 SW Fourth Ave. Portland, Oregon 97201

Dear Mr. Kenward:

Please be informed that the Executive Board of the Columbia Region Association of Governments reviewed in their meeting of May 19, 1972, the Portland Development Commission's application for Federal funds that will assist in the redevelopment of the Northwest Portland and the Eliot Neighborhoods.

The Executive Board found that these projects are compatible with the overall planning for the City of Portland and that they address themselves to critical needs of residents living in deteriorating neighborhoods; therefore, the Board unanimously endorsed these applications and urges their early funding.

Sincerely,

Homer C. Chandler Executive Director

HCC:jc

CLACKAMAS COUNTY Canby Gladstone Happy Valley Lake Oswego Milwaukle Oregon City West Linn

AG

CLARK COUNTY Carnas Vancouver Weshougal

COLUMBIA COUNTY Clatskanie Chlumbia City Hott Bier Scappoose St. Helens Vernopla

MULTNOMAH COUNTY Fairview Gresham Portland Troutdale Wood Village

WASHINGTON COUNTY

Beaverton Cornellus Durham Forest Grove Hillsboro North Plains Sherwood Tigard Tualatin

ELT

(503) 297-3726

# COLUMBIA REGION ASSOCIATION of GOVERNMENTS

6400 S.W. CANYON COURT

PORTLAND, OREGON 97221

RECEIVED MAY 12.1972 (503) 297-3726

CLACKAMAS COUNTY

Canby Gladstone - Happy Valley Lake Oswego Milwaukie Oregon City West Linn

CLARK COUNTY Camas Vancouver Washougal

COLUMBIA COUNTY Clatskanie Columbia City ier Scappoose St. Helens Vernonia

MULTNOMAH COUNTY Fairview Gresham Portland Troutdale Wood Village

WASHINGTON COUNTY Beaverton Cornelius Durham Forest Grove Hillsboro North Plains Sherwood Tigard Tualatin Mr. John Kenward Portland Development Commission 1700 SW 4th Avenue Portland, Oregon

ATTENTION: Mr. Chuck Olson

Dear John:

In reply to a request from Mr. Olson concerning your NDP projects for Northwest Portland and Elliott Neighborhood, please be informed that the General Advisory Board recommended approval of these projects at their regular meeting held on May 5, 1972 and their recommendation is being forwarded to the Executive Board for review on May 19th. Assuming no difficulties, final approval from the Clearinghouse will be on May 19th.

Approval for the Woodlawn-Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin, and Boise-Humboldt projects was granted by the Executive Board at their meeting on April 21st, 1972. Our files indicate Mr. Charles Taft, Acting Director of the Portland Development Commission was notified of Clearinghouse approval by letter on April 28, 1972. I have attached a copy of this letter for your files.

Sincerely,

Jula

Lyle E. Balderson Area Development Director

LEB:gh attachment



# **COLUMBIA REGION ASSOCIATION of GOVERNMENTS**

760-20-9

EX. DIR

A. DIR.

SP. ASST.

V CEO

(503) 297-3726

cap

6400 S.W. CANYON COURT PORTLAND, OREGON 97221 RECEIVED April 28, 1972

PORTLAND OF

Mr. Charles E. Taft Acting Director Portland Development Commission 1700 SW Fourth Ave. Portland, Oregon 97201

Dear Mr. Taft:

Please be informed that the Executive Board of the Columbia Region Association of Governments in its meeting of April 21, 1972, reviewed your agency's application for a Federal grant in the amount of \$3,596,000.

This grant is to provide for the upgrading and improvement of the Woodlawn, Irvington, King/Vernon/ Sabin Boise/Humboldt districts in the City of Portland.

The Board found that that which is proposed is in conformance with land use, transportation, and public utilities services. Therefore, the Board unanimously endorsed this project and urges its early funding.

Sincerely yours,

Homer C. Chandler Executive Director

HCC:jc

Canby Gladstone Happy Valley Lake Oswego Milwaukle Oregon City West Linn

CLACKAMAS COUNTY

CRAG

CLARK COUNTY Camas Vancouver Washougal

COLUMBIA COUNTY Clatskanie Columbia City Cott T Geograpoose St. Helens

Vernonia

MULTNOMAH COUNTY Fairview Gresham Portland Troutdale Wood Village

WASHINGTON COUNTY

Beaverton Cornelius Durham Forest Grove Hillsboro North Plains Sherwood Tigard Tualatin



# EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT

CAPITOL BUILDING

SALEM, OREGON 97310

May 2, 1972

# RECEIVED

# MAY 5 1972

PORTIAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

Mr. John Kenward, Executive Director Portland Development Commission 1700 S.W. Fourth Avenue Portland, Oregon 97201

> Re: Portland Development Commission Neighborhood Development Project PNRS #7203 2 460

Dear Mr. Kenward:

This is to notify you that the State Clearinghouse has completed the review of your proposal.

Your Notice of Intent was referred to the appropriate state agencies. All respondents indicated concurrence and approval.

We are pleased to add our approval and wish you every success.

A copy of this letter should accompany your application to the Federal Agency as evidence of your having complied with OMB A-95. Comments of the appropriate local reviewing agencies should also be included.

Sincerely,

Cleighton Penwell

CP:nm

TOM McCALL GOVERNOR

CLEIGHTON L. PENWELL Director



Mr. John Kenward, Executive Director Portland Development Commission 1700 S.W. Fourth Avenue Portland, Oregon 97201

> Re: Portland Development Commission Neighborhood Development Project PNRS #7203 2 470

Dear Mr. Kenward:

This is to advise you that the Clearinghouse has completed its review of the subject proposal. The questions raised by the state agencies have been addressed to their satisfaction at the conference held April 28, 1972. The conditions agreed to at that meeting are as follows:

- 1. That the Portland Development Commission jointly plan and coordinate all its future urban renewal programs with the Housing Authority of Portland.
- 2. That the Portland Development Commission cooperate fully with the Columbia Region Association of Governments (CRAG) in their "study of the effects of urban renewal (displacement) on the lives of those displaced."

No other substantial conflicts or criticisms remain.

We are pleased to add our approval and wish you every success.

A copy of this letter should accompany your application to the Federal Agency as evidence of your having complied with OMB A-95. Comments of the appropriate local reviewing agencies should also be included.

Sincerely lighter are Cleighton Penwell



# **EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT**

CAPITOL BUILDING

## SALEM, OREGON 97310

May 2, 1972 RECENTO

TOM McCALL GOVERNOR

CLEIGHTON L. PENWELL Director

MAY IST.

POTILAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

Mr. John Kenward, Executive Director Portland Development Commission 1700 S.W. Fourth Avenue Portland, Oregon 97201

> Portland Development Commission Re: Neighborhood Development Project PNRS #7203 2 480

Dear Mr. Kenward:

This is to notify you that the State Clearinghouse has completed the review of your proposal.

Your Notice of Intent was referred to the appropriate state agencies. All respondents indicated concurrence and approval.

We are pleased to add our approval and wish you every success.

A copy of this letter should accompany your application to the Federal Agency as evidence of your having complied with OMB A-95. Comments of the appropriate local reviewing agencies should also be included.

Sincerely,

Cleighton Penwell

CP:Km



NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM (ORE. A-5) Portland, Oregon

Application for the

THIRD NDP ACTION YEAR

July 1, 1972 to July 1, 1973

WOODLAWN NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT (AREA 1.A) IRVINGTON NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT (AREA 2.B) KING-VERNON-SABIN NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT (AREA 3.C) BOISE-HUMBOLDT NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT (AREA 4.D) ELIOT NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT (AREA 5.E) NORTHWEST NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT (AREA 6.F)

May 10, 1972

Prepared By THE PORTLAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION 1700 S. W. Fourth Avenue Portland, Oregon 97201

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE OR CODE NUMBER

Table of Contents
Neighborhood Development Program Application
Activity Program Summary ND 201
Expenditures Budget ND 301
Financing Report
Area Eligibility Data ND 303
Urban Renewal Plan Supplements (Woodlawn, King-Vernon-Sabin) ND 401
Report on Urban Renewal Plans and Planning Activity Reports ND 402
Real Estate Acquisition Activity Report
Project Improvement Activity Report
Noncash Grants-in-Aid Report
Property Management Activity Report
Rehabilitation Activity Report
Land Marketing Activity Report
Relocation Activity Report
Citizen Participation Report
Legal Report



TITLE

age 1 of 2 Form Approved Budget Bureau No. 63-	-R1216 HUD-
	Portland, Oregon
NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	PROGRAM NUMBER ORE. A-5
NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM APPLICATION	POPULATION 382,600 DATE RECEIVED (To be filled in by HUD)
INSTRUCTIONS: Prepare original and 9 conformed copies for HUD. Place	ce original in Binder No. 1, copies in other binders.
CORPORATE NAME OF APPLICANT	
PORTLAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION	
. SUBMISSION	
Initial application for year from to	
changes affecting the land use plan provisions of the urban rene [X] Addition of urban renewal area or areas to Neighborhood Develo	3-C(K-V-S). pment Program. Area number(s) <u>4-D (Boise-</u>
changes affecting the land use plan provisions of the urban rene	wal plan. Area number(s) <u>1-A (Woodlawn);</u> . 3-C(K-V-S).
changes affecting the land use plan provisions of the urban rene [X] Addition of urban renewal area or areas to Neighborhood Develo	wal plan. Area number(s) <u>1-A (Woodlawn);</u> 3-C(K-V-S). pment Program. Area number(s) <u>4-D (Boise-</u>
changes affecting the land use plan provisions of the urban rene	wal plan. Area number(s) <u>1-A (Woodlawn);</u> . 3-C(K-V-S). pment Program. Area number(s) <u>4-D (Boise-</u>
changes affecting the land use plan provisions of the urban rene Addition of urban renewal area or areas to Neighborhood Develo <u>5-E (Eliot); 6-F (Northwest</u> ) . TYPE AND AMOUNT OF FUNDS BEING APPLIED FOR TYPE	wal plan. Area number(s) <u>1-A (WoodLawn);</u> 3-C(K-V-S). pment Program. Area number(s) <u>4-D (Boise-</u> Humboldt)
changes affecting the land use plan provisions of the urban rene X Addition of urban renewal area or areas to Neighborhood Develo 5 <u>-E (Eliot); 6-F (Northwest</u> ) . TYPE AND AMOUNT OF FUNDS BEING APPLIED FOR TYPE (Check applicable items)	wal plan. Area number(s) <u>1-A (Woodlawn);</u> 3-C(K-V-S), pment Program. Area number(s) <u>4-D (Boise-</u> Humboldt)
changes affecting the land use plan provisions of the urban rene X Addition of urban renewal area or areas to Neighborhood Develo 5-E (Eliot); 6-F (Northwest) . TYPE AND AMOUNT OF FUNDS BEING APPLIED FOR TYPE (Check applicable items) X TEMPORARY LOAN	wal plan. Area number(s) <u>1-A (Woodlawn);</u> 3-C (K-V-S). pment Program. Area number(s) <u>4-D (Boise-</u> Humboldt) TOTAL AMOUNT \$ 4,950,000
changes affecting the land use plan provisions of the urban rene Addition of urban renewal area or areas to Neighborhood Develop 5-E (Eliot); 6-F (Northwest) . TYPE AND AMOUNT OF FUNDS BEING APPLIED FOR (Check applicable items) X TEMPORARY LOAN X FEDERAL CAPITAL GRANT, TOTAL	wal plan. Area number(s) <u>1-A</u> (Woodlawn); 3-C(K-V-S). pment Program. Area number(s) <u>4-D</u> (Boise- Humboldt) TOTAL AMOUNT \$ 4,950,000 \$ 4,920,000
changes affecting the land use plan provisions of the urban rene         Image: Addition of urban renewal area or areas to Neighborhood Develop         5-E (Eliot); 6-F (Northwest)         . TYPE AND AMOUNT OF FUNDS BEING APPLIED FOR         (Check applicable items)         Image: Temporary loan         Image: FEDERAL CAPITAL GRANT, TOTAL         Image: PROGRAM CAPITAL GRANT	wal plan. Area number(s) <u>1-A</u> (Woodlawn); 3-C(K-V-S). pment Program. Area number(s) <u>4-D</u> (Boise- Humboldt) TOTAL AMOUNT \$ 4,950,000 \$ 4,920,000
changes affecting the land use plan provisions of the urban rene          Image: Addition of urban renewal area or areas to Neighborhood Develor         5-E (Eliot); 6-F (Northwest)         . TYPE AND AMOUNT OF FUNDS BEING APPLIED FOR         (Check applicable items)         Image:	wal plan. Area number(s) <u>1-A</u> (Woodlawn); <u>3-C</u> (K-V-S). pment Program. Area number(s) <u>4-D</u> (Boise- Humboldt) TOTAL AMOUNT \$ 4,950,000 \$ 4,920,000
changes affecting the land use plan provisions of the urban rene          Addition of urban renewal area or areas to Neighborhood Develop         5-E (Eliot); 6-F (Northwest)         TYPE AND AMOUNT OF FUNDS BEING APPLIED FOR         (Check applicable items)         TYPE AND AMOUNT OF FUNDS BEING APPLIED FOR         (Check applicable items)         TYPE (Check applicable items)         TEMPORARY LOAN         Temporary Loans         Temporary Loans </td <td>wal plan. Area number(s) <u>1-A</u> (Woodlawn); <u>3-C</u>(K-V-S). pment Program. Area number(s) <u>4-D</u> (Boise- Humboldt) TOTAL AMOUNT \$ 4,950,000 \$ 4,920,000</td>	wal plan. Area number(s) <u>1-A</u> (Woodlawn); <u>3-C</u> (K-V-S). pment Program. Area number(s) <u>4-D</u> (Boise- Humboldt) TOTAL AMOUNT \$ 4,950,000 \$ 4,920,000
changes affecting the land use plan provisions of the urban rene X Addition of urban renewal area or areas to Neighborhood Develop 5-E (Eliot); 6-F (Northwest) TYPE AND AMOUNT OF FUNDS BEING APPLIED FOR (Check applicable items) X TEMPORARY LOAN X FEDERAL CAPITAL GRANT, TOTAL X PROGRAM CAPITAL GRANT X 2/3 Basis 3/4 Basis Municipality with population of 50,000 or less In redevelopment area with mare than 50,000 population	wal plan. Area number(s) 1-A (Wood1awn);         3-C (K-V-S).         pment Program. Area number(s) 4-D (Boise-Humboldt)         Korral Amount         \$ 4,950,000         \$ 4,920,000         \$ 3,877,500

• [-

3

!

Ī

Page 2 of 2

#### D. F. MATED FEDERAL CAPITAL GRANT FOR RESERVATION YEAR

\$ 5,500,000

HUD-6270 (11-68)

#### E. GENERAL INFORMATION

(Enter information indicated for each separate urban renewal area covered by the Neighburhood Development Program for which funds are being applied for in Block C.)

AREA	CATEGORY OF		PREDOMINAN		PERCENT OF	ESTIMATED PERCENT	URBAN RENEWAL PROJECT NUMBERS	
NUMBER	ELIGIBILIT	Y	CLEARANCE REDEVELOPMENT	REHAB	AREA IN MODEL CITIES AREA	EXPENDITURES ALLOCATED BY AREA	PRIOR TO CONVERSION TO NOT	
4. 4-D	Woodlawn Irvington K-V-S 8-H Eliot Northwest	       V 	X	X X X X X	100% 100% 100% 100% -0-	19% 18% 23% 20% 11% 9%	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	
11. 12. 13. 14.								

Ine applicant hereby applies to the United States of America for the financial assistance indicated in Block C above, under the provisions of Section 131 of Title I of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended, to aid in financing the program described in this application.

#### G. SUPPORT DOCUMENTATION

The documentation submitted in support of this application shall be considered part of this application.

#### H. URBAN RENEWAL AREA BOUNDARIES

The urban renewal areas within the Neighborhood Development Program are as approved by the governing body of the Local Public Agency on <u>May 11</u>, 19 70; April 16, 1971; Jan. 10, 1972; and May 1, 1972.

(With initial application or subsequent application containing a boundary change, describe on attached sheets boundaries of urban renewal areas covered by Neighborhood Development Program and identify each area by same number as shown above in Block E.)

#### I. EXECUTION

ed and attested, this <u>5th</u> day of <u>May</u> ,	19 <u>72</u> .
1700 S. W. 4th Avenue	PORTLAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION
Street Address	Corporate Name of Applicant
	1011
Portland, Oregon 97201	By S. K.

A. Form HUD-6271, Activity Program Summary, is attached to this report as Exhibit A.

#### B. Narrative Statements

### 1. Planning and Programming Activities

Action Year: Planning and programming activities will continue in each NDP area in the same manner in which such activities have been carried out in earlier action years. The various neighborhood organizations and committees, their consultant planners, and staff from this LPA will coordinate their efforts in developing short and long range neighborhood improvement plans and activities under the NDP. Each neighborhood has its own list of priorities for improvement which will serve as guides for plan implementation. Coordination with various city bureaus, state agencies, other on-going studies, and the CDA is an inherent part of the total NDP planning process and will continue. Possible conflicts in plan implementation will be avoided through this effort.

#### **Reservation Year:**

Same as above.

#### 2. Real Estate Acquisition Activities

<u>Action Year</u>: Following are acquisition activities that will be carried out in each NDP area during the action year:

## Woodlawn

- Acquisition of 18 parcels adjacent to the east end of the present pilot housing site for additional multi-family housing development. These 18 parcels will be acquired with remaining 2nd Action Year funds. See ND 501, Exhibit A.
- 2. Acquisition of 8 parcels adjacent to the west edge of the park site for additional park space and development of a proposed community center. These properties are not specifically identified at this time. Efforts are now underway to coordinate plan proposals and develop the necessary final details and documentation to allow acquisition activities to take place during the action year.

#### King-Vernon-Sabin

Acquisition may involve 8 properties adjacent to King School for development of a community facility. Acquisition and all other activities relative to the development of this facility will be carried out with funds from the HUD Neighborhood Facility Grant Program. The City of Portland and the CDA are in the process of preparing an application for such a grant. See ND 501, Exhibit B.

### Boise-Humboldt

 Acquisition of 20 parcels south of Fremont Street for multifamily housing development.

2. Acquisition of 8 scattered parcels in the area between Shaver, Mississippi, Fremont, and the 1-5 Freeway for redevelopment of multi-family housing. It is anticipated that most, if not all, of those structures will be vacant and/or dilapidated to the point that they pose a safety hazard.

The above parcels under Items 1 and 2 will be identified during the final months of this 2nd Action Year after further planning by all parties involved for the necessary documentation under NDP regulations and prior to actual acquisition.

20 additional parcels will be acquired during the action year under spot clearance activities. Such parcels will be identified during the course of structural inspections for rehabilitation, and will involve all 4 of the NDP areas.

#### **Reservation Year:**

Spot clearance parcels will be acquired at approximately the same level in the reservation year as in the action year. Other clearance activities are expected to increase in the Boise-Humboldt and King-Vernon-Sabin areas and decrease or end in Woodlawn and Irvington. The extent of deteriorated conditions in parts of the Boise-Humboldt and King-Vernon-Sabin areas indicates a need for continued clearance actions and redevelopment of housing and other public facilities to meet the diverse needs of these neighborhoods.

> ND 201 Page 3

Preliminary property acquisition estimates for the reservation year are as follows:

35 Parcels under spot clearance activities.

30 Parcels in Boise-Humboldt for housing redevelopment. <u>25</u> Parcels in King-Vernon-Sabin for housing redevelopment. 90 Parcels total acquisition during the Reservation Year.

#### 3. Property Management Activities

Action Year: The LPA will be managing some properties temporarly as a result of property acquisitions. It is estimated that there may be as many as 118 dwelling units under temporary management at some time during the action year, with the average being about 90 dwelling units.

All property management activities will be carried out by LPA staff, except for security services which will be contracted for from outside the LPA.

Reservation Year: Property acquisition activities are expected to be at the same level or slightly higher during the reservation year. Properties under management will be a direct reflection of that activity. Projections indicate as many as 58 dwelling units may be under management at any one time during the year, with an average of 38 dwelling units. Management will be by LPA staff, and contracts will

> ND 201 Page 4

be assigned for continued security services.

# 5. Demolition and Site Clearance Activities

Action Year: Demolition and site clearance activities will be directly related to the level of acquisition activities. It is anticipated that 20 structures will be demolished under the spot clearance program. These structures will be identified during the action year, and will be spread throughout the four NDP areas. In addition, there will be other clearance activities for redevelopment in each of the following areas:

#### Woodlawn

 Demolition of 18 structures with 2nd Action Year funds.
 Demolition of 8 structures with 3rd Action Year funds. In both cases, redevelopment will be multi-family housing.

#### King-Vernon-Sabin:

Demolition of 8 structures under the Neighborhood Facility Grant Program.

All costs relative to the acquisition, relocation, clearance and redevelopment of these properties will be paid for by the Neighborhood Facilities grant.

#### Boise-Humboldt:

Demolition of 28 structures for housing redevelopment.

20 structures will be cleared south of Fremont Street for multi-family housing redevelopment. 8 vacant and/or derelict structures in the area between Fremont, Shaver, Mississippi, and the I-5 Freeway will be selected for acquisition and clearance to be redeveloped into housing uses to serve as relocation resources for future displacees in the Boise-Humboldt area as a result of NDP actions.

All demolition and site clearance activities will be accomplished through contract services of private contractors

## Reservation Year:

Demolition and site clearance under the spot clearance program will continue at approximately the same level during the reservation year. It is expected that other clearance activities will be minimal in Woodlawn and Irvington and will increase in Boise-Humboldt and King-Vernon-Sabin. Approximately 70 structures will be demolished under these activities during the reservation year.

## 6. Project Improvement Activities

Action Year: All project improvement installations will

ND 201 Page 6

be done through contract with private contractors. Following are the activities that will take place in each NDP area:

## Woodlawn:

- Street construction and reconstruction on Dean,
   Liberty, and Claremont Streets.
- 2. Open space improvements at 13th and Holman Streets.

### King-Vernon-Sabin:

- 1. Improvements in Alberta Park.
- 2. Construction of pedestrian overpass at Alberta Park.
- 3. Development of a 1 block greenway system.
- 4. Street tree planting.

#### Irvington:

- 1. Improvements in Irving Park.
- 2. Street tree planting.
- 3. Installation of 2 school crossing signals.

#### Boise-Humboldt:

- 1. Improvements in Peninsula Park.
- 2. Installation of additional street lights.
- Open space development at Mississippi and Prescott Streets.

Reservation Year: The level of project improvement activities in the reservation year will be at a slightly higher level than in the action year. Priorities established by each NDP neighborhood organization stress neighborhood improvement activities. Emphasis of improvements will continue to be on street trees, park and open space developments, community facilities, street lighting and street improvements.

### 7. Land Marketing Activities:

Action Year: Land marketing will take place in Woodlawn and Irvington. It is expected that 15 scattered parcels from both neighborhoods will be ready for disposition during the action year.

<u>Reservation Year</u>: Land marketing during the reservation year will take place in all 4 NDP areas. In Woodlawn, the proposed site for multi-family redevelopment will be disposed of to a developer. Other dispositions will be made as the result of spot clearance activities which will be at approximately the same level as in the action year (15 parcels).

## 8. Rehabilitation Activities:

Action Year: Rehab activities will continue in all NDP

ND 201 Page 8

areas. Approximately 650 residential structures will be rehabilitated through Section 115 grants, Section 312 loans, and other non-Federally assisted funding sources. Rehab activities in Boise-Humboldt and King-Vernon-Sabin during the action year will be concentrated within "impact areas." In Woodlawn and Irvington, rehab will take place throughout the area.

Projections call for 300 Section 115 grants and 200 Section 312 loans, in addition to other private funding sources to accomplish the rehab program during the action year.

<u>Reservation Year</u>: It is anticipated that the rehab workload will increase due to the addition of the King-Vernon-Sabin and Bolse- Humboldt areas. Rehab activities have barely started in King-Vernon-Sabin, and there are possibly greater needs for rehab in King-Vernon-Sabin and Boise-Humboldt than in irvington and Woodlawn. It is estimated that 750 homes will be rehabilitated during the reservation year, using approximately 330 grants, 270 loans, and other non-Federal funds.

9. Activities of LPA and/or Other Local Agencies in Providing Supporting Facilities.

Narrative statements under this item in the NDP Application to HUD for the Second Action Year discusses this activity relative to the King-Vernon-Sabin ProjectArea, and such statements are still applicable. (See ND 201, Page 16, of the NDP Second Action Year Application).

### ND 201 ACTIVITY PROGRAM SUMMARY - (Eliot, Northwest)

Planning and programming activities in Eliot and the Northwest will be carried out in the same manner as in the other on-going NDP areas. The neighborhood associations in both areas are working with LPA staff members in trying to develop action programs and other necessary details for documentation. Preliminary proposals have been decided upon, and the planning effort is now geared toward the finalization of plans for imple mentation under the NDP. Urban Renewal Plans and other supporting documentation will be submitted to HUD within the time limit specified by HUD regulations.

Following is a list of proposed activities for each neighborhood during the action Year:

## Eliot:

- Acquisition, relocation, and clearance of approximately 6 blocks in the southwest section of the area for commercial and public re-use.
- 2. Continued planning for the rest of the Eliot neighborhood. Planning will include studies to determine the feasibility of housing rehabilitation, land use and marketability, and a Traffic Study to develop efficient circulation patterns.

#### Northwest:

 Acquisition, relocation, and clearance for expansion of Good Samaritan Hospital and housing development; limited residential rehabilitation; and limited public improvements activities.

> ND 201 Page 11

## ND 201 ACTIVITY PROGRAM SUMMARY - (Eliot, Northwest), Cont'd

All activities under the NDP during the Action Year will be concentrated within the central portion of the Northwest neighborhood.

Surveys of neighborhood conditions indicate that initial activities can be carried out in this central portion with the least amount of delay and the greatest amount of impact in showing what can be accomplished under the NDP.

2. Continued planning in the balance of the Northwest neighborhood. Planning activities will include studies for rehabilitation feasibility, land use and marketability, traffic, street tree planting and Couch improvements. A community attitude and social survey will also be undertaken. Planning during the action year in both areas will establish guidelines and priorities for continued NDP activities in subsequent action years in each area.

age 1 of 2			Budget Bureau	No. 63-R1218				(1)-
				******	LOCALITY			1999 - 1999 -
U. S. DEPARTMENT	-				Portlan	d Oracon		
NEIGHBORH	OOD DEVE	LOPMENT PRO	JGRAM		Portland, Oregon			
	Y PROG	RAM SUMMAR	Y		PROGRAM N	UMBER		500 F
Activit	1 1 1 100		<b>、</b>		Ore A-5	(Areas 1,	2, 3, 4	
STRUCTIONS: Submit one copy in each bind	ar							
HIRD CTION YEAR: FROMJULY 1, 1972	<u></u> то	July 1,	1973	·				
	L	ACT	IVITY UNITS			COSTS	(\$000)	
		CURRENT				CURRENT	10 C	
ACTIVITY CLASSIFICATION	PRIOR	YEAR	ACTION	RESERVATION	PRIOR	YEAR	ACTION	RESERVATIO
	YEAR	ACTUAL &	YEAR	YEAR	YEAR	ACTUAL &	YEAR	YEAR
	ACTUAL	ESTIMATE	ESTIMATE	ESTIMATE	ACTUAL	ESTIMATE	ESTIMATE	ESTIMATE
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(6)
. REAL ESTATE ACQUISITION (Parcels)			*18					
		(* 18 Par	els to be	acquired with	2nd Action	Yr. Funds)		
Residential	42	33	55	80	\$423.9	\$272.2	\$376	\$480
Commercial	-0-	-0-	1	10	-0-	-0-	15	200
Industrial	-0-	-0	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Institutional	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Total	42	33	74	90	423.9	2.72.2	391	475.5
Staff Services (Man/Years)	1	1	1.5	1.5	16	16	22.8	22.8
Contract Services	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	-0-	-0-	-0-,	-0-
PROPERTY MANAGEMENT (Rental Units	*+ 18	Parcels to	be acquire	with 2nd Act	ion Yr. Fur	ds.		
Under Management)	E			d with Nelghbo			unds	
Beginning of Period	-0-	43	70	27	XXX -	XXX	XXX	XXX
Additions During Period -	44	28	*+ 82	90	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
Vacates During Period	I	1	125	97	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
End of Period	43	70	27	20	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
Average Under Management	43	70	85	92	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
Staff Services (Man/Years)	1.5	1.5	1	1.5	12 .	14.5	11.8	17.7
Contract Services	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	-0-	2.4	16	17
	++ 13	Familles,	5 Individu	als, 3 busines	ses to be r	elocated wi	th 2nd A.)	Eunds
B. RELOCATION	11	Families to	be reloca	ted with N. F.	Grant Fund	S S S		
Families	26	36	++ 87	97	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
Individuals	7	8	27	43	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
Business Concerns	-0-	2	8	13	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
Total	33	46	122	153	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
	3.	3	2.8	3.5	18	24 7	33.2	41.6
Staff Services (Man/Years)			1 <b>L</b> . U	1	10	1-1-1-1		
Staff Services (Man/Years) Contract Services	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

6.

+ ---

1

age 2 of 2							-	.UD-62 (11-6
		AC	TIVITY UNITS			COS	TS (\$000)	1
ACTIVITY CLASSIFICATION	PRIOR YEAR AÇTUAL (1)	CURRENT YEAR ACTUAL & ESTIMATE (2)	ACTION YEAR ESTIMATE (3)	RESERVATION YEAR ESTIMATE (4)	PRIOR YEAR Actual (5)	CURRENT YEAR Actual & Estimate (6)	ACTION YEAR ESTIMATE (7)	RESERVATION YEAR ESTIMATE (8)
I. DEMOLITION (Structures)	• *							
Residential	23	65	53	65	\$7.8	\$52	\$43.7	\$61.5
Nonresidential	-0-	-0-12	1	5	-0-	-0-	1.3	6.5
Total	23	65	54	70	7.8	52	45	68
Staff Services (Man/Years)	1	1	1 1 1	1	15	<u>&gt;z</u>	16.9	16.9
Contract Services	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	7.8	52	45	63
5. SITE IMPROVEMENTS	• • • • • <sub>•</sub>		рэт	in a star T	91) - 11		lite A	
Item I	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	238 -	347.5	47.9	480
Staff Services (Man/Years)	1 -	- 1 🔿	2	- 2	15 -	15	33.8	33.8
Contract Services	XXX -	- XXX	XXX	XXX	238	347.5	479	480
LAND MARKETING (Sq. Ft.)	-0-	-0-					0	11 N
Low-Rent Public Housing* Moderate Cost Housing*			-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0	-0- 79
Other Housing*	-0-	24,950	82,500	225,689	-0- -0- *	10.3	<u> </u>	-0-
Commercial*	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	=0	-0-	-0-
Institutional*	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Total*	-0-	24,950	82,500	225,689	-0-	10.3	30	79
Staff Services (Man/Years)	-0-	0.5	1	1	0.7	0.7	15.2	15.2
Contract Services	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	-0	-0-	-0-	-0-
. REHABILITATION (Structures)	-				an Na sa an			
Rehabilitation to PRS 17	338	480	500	600	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
Rehabilitation to Code Standards	-0-	11	150	150	XXX	<u> </u>	XXX	XXX
Total Data Material Constraints	338	491	650	750	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
Rehabilitation Grants	151	185	300	330	511	647.5	990	1,155
Rehabilitation Loans	122	145	200	-270	650	783	1,080	1,458
Staff Services (Man/Years)	16	20	31	36	240.7	306.3	397.8	461.9
Contract Services	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	29.8	51	73	87.5

 $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ 

\*Columns (4) through (8) are actual and estimated land disposition proceeds.

1/ Property Rehabilitation Standards

GPO 879-489

-

age 1 of 2		Budget Bureau	J No. 63-R1218			(11+6
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOU	SING AND URI	BAN DEVELOPM	ENT	LOCALITY		
NEIGHBORHOOD DE	EVELOPMEN	T PROGRAM		the second se	nd, Orego	ท
EXPENDIT	URES BUD	OGET		ORE. A-5		
NSTRUCTIONS: Submit original and	four copies in	Binder No. 1 a	and one copy in o			
	-					
ACTION TEAR: From			., .,	*·		
		TO BE	COMPLETED BY	LPA		TO BE COMPLETED BY HUD
ACTIVITY CLASSIFICATION	APPROVED BUDGET FOR CURRENT YEAR	ACTUAL AND ESTIMATED COSTS TO END OF CURRENT YEAR	ESTIMATED UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT YEAR	ESTIMATED COST OF NEW ACTIVITIES DURING ACTION YEAR	BUDGET Requested (c) + (d)	BUDGET Approved
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(b)	(e)	(f)
1. Administrative Costs (1410, 1475)	624,470		}	,300,000	1,300,00	0
2. Legal Service (1415.02 through 1415.05)	30,000			21,000	21,00	0
3. Survey and Planning (1430)	56,000			233,000	233,00	0
4. Acquisition Expenses (1440.02 through 1440.06)	36,892			43,550	43,55	0
5A. Temporary Operation of Ac- quired Property (1448)	5,121			12,500	12,50	0
Amount Included in Line 5A as real estate tax credits (1448.038)	[ -0- ]	[]]		[ -0- ]	[ -0-]	C
6. Relocation and Community Organization, excluding xx XRElocation Reportents * (1443)	1,435	*1	ncludes Rel as a P	ocation P rogram Co 686,500*	st.	
7. Site Clearance (1450)	41,035			59,900	59,90	0
8. Project Improvements (1455)	208,585			617,000	617,00	0
9. Disposal, Lease, Retention Costs (1445)	23,906			4,000	4,00	10
10. Rehabilitation, excluding rehabilitation grants (1460)	181,574			76,625	75,62	5
1. Interest (1420.013, 1420.02)	100,485			130,000	130,00	0
12. Other Income () (1449)	-(5,000)			(14,000)	(14,00	0)
13. Real Estate Purchases (1440.01)	405,114			591,000	591,00	0
<ul> <li>Subtotal (Sum of lines         <ol> <li>through 13, excluding 5B)</li> <li>1</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	713,017	-	3	,761,075	3,761,07	5
Contingencies (not to xceed 15% of line 14)	85,475			109,576	109,5	6
16. Program Inspection (1418)	21,262			36,849	36,8 <sup>1</sup>	+9
17. Total Program Expenditures (Sum of lines 14, 15 & 16)	819,754		3	907,500	3,907,50	0

ND 301, Page 1

1

ge 2 of 2							(11-
			TO BE	COMPLETED BY	LPA		TO BE COMPLETED BY HUD
	FIVITY FICATION	APPROVED BUDGET FOR CURRENT YEAR	ACTUAL AND ESTIMATED COSTS TO END OF CURRENT YEAR	ESTIMATED UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT YEAR	ESTIMATED COST OF NEW ACTIVITIES DURING ACTION YEAR	BUDGET REQUESTED (c) + (d)	BUDGET Approved
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(៩)	(e)	(f)
<ul> <li>Relocation P reimbursable</li> </ul>	ayments (100% to LPA)(1501)	820,608			-0-**	-0-**	
	n Grants (100% to LPA) (1502)	585,046			1,042,500	1.042.50	0/
PROVAL OF	THE EXPENDITUR	RES BUDGET II	N THE AMOUN	S SHOWN IN CO	LUMN (.) IS H	and the second se	
Relocat	ion payments	included i	'n Line No.	6 as Progr	am Cost.		
May	5. 1972 (Date)			PORTLAND D			ION
	(Date)		$\langle \rangle$	(Loc	al Public Age	ncy)	~
	1 · · ·		ľ	2	$\leq V$	f	)
		2 B		O (Signatur John B.	e of Authorize Kenward	d Official)	<
	t	-1			e Directo	r	Y)
	1.				(Title)		
					2		
a	JRES BUDGET IS			AMOUNTS SHOW		4 (f).	
a o				AMOUNTS SHOW	N IN COLUMP	4 (F).	
a o	JRES BUDGET IS			AMOUNTS SHOW			
a o	JRES BUDGET IS (Date)			AMOUNTS SHOW	N IN COLUMP		
a	JRES BUDGET IS			AMOUNTS SHOW	N IN COLUMP		
a	JRES BUDGET IS (Date)			AMOUNTS SHOW	N IN COLUMN (Signature)		
a	JRES BUDGET IS (Date)			AMOUNTS SHOW	N IN COLUMN (Signature)		
a o	JRES BUDGET IS (Date)			AMOUNTS SHOW	N IN COLUMN (Signature)		
a o	JRES BUDGET IS (Date)			AMOUNTS SHOW	N IN COLUMN (Signature)		
a	JRES BUDGET IS (Date)			AMOUNTS SHOW	N IN COLUMN (Signature)		
a	JRES BUDGET IS (Date)			AMOUNTS SHOW	'N IN COLUMN (Signature)		
a	JRES BUDGET IS (Date)			AMOUNTS SHOW	N IN COLUMN (Signature) (Title)		
a - 0	JRES BUDGET IS (Date)			AMOUNTS SHOW	N IN COLUMN (Signature) (Title)		
a o	JRES BUDGET IS (Date)			AMOUNTS SHOW	N IN COLUMN (Signature) (Title)		
a	JRES BUDGET IS (Date)			AMOUNTS SHOW	N IN COLUMN (Signature) (Title)		
a	JRES BUDGET IS (Date)			AMOUNTS SHOW	N IN COLUMN (Signature) (Title)		
a	JRES BUDGET IS (Date)			AMOUNTS SHOW	N IN COLUMN (Signature) (Title)		

I

# SUPPORTING DATA - FORM HUD-6275 - DATA SUPPORTING PROJECT EXPENDITURES BUDGET

# NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

## Portland, Oregon

<u>M/Y</u>

## 1. Administrative costs

1410.1475 - Administrative Staff expense and nonexpendable furniture and equipment breakdown as follows:

		1 . get 10
Supervisory, Central Office	3.74	67,670
Accounting, Central Office	1.9	30,896
Clerical, Central Office	1.6	15,630
Programming	6.86	106,130
Real Estate Acquisition	1.5	22,800
Property Management	1.0	11,840
Relocation	2.8	33,152
Demolition	1.0	16,900
Site Improvement	2.0	33,800
Land Marketing	1.0	15,200
Rehabilitation and Finance	31.0	397,768
Community Services	39.25	366,114
Sub Total		1,117,900
Physical Plant & Misc.		182,100

2.	Legal Services 1415.02 through 1415.05 - 72 parcels (including 70 improved and 2 unimproved), acquisition, condemnation, disposal and operation of acquired property.		21,000
3.	Survey & Planning		233,000
	1430 - Contracts for:		
	Neighborhood Planning Consultants (4 contracts)	\$68,000	
	Boise-Humboldt (B-H) - Housing Rehab		
	Feasibility Study	5,000	
	B-H Traffic Study	5,000	

\$1,300,000

ND 301 Page 3

58.	1448.038 Amount incl. in Line 5A as Real Estate Contract		-0-
	1448.04 Losses or Charge-offs - 6 units @ \$100/unit - 10% or 6 units @ \$100/unit	600	
	$$591,000 \times 30 \text{ mills} \times 4 \text{ mos}.$	5,900	
	1448.036 Real Estate Tax Payment	Sec. Representation	
	\$2/1000 on \$596,000	1,150	
	1448.034 Insurance on acquired properties		
	1448.033 Utilities - 70 units x \$10.00	700	
	Custodial	1,450	
	1448.032 Custodial and Security Services Security	4,000	
	20 units x 1 mo, x \$50 avg, mo, rent/unit	(-) 1,000	
	5 units x 1 mo. x \$60 avg. mo. rent/unit	(-) 300	
	1448.01 Income from temp. operation		
5A.	Temporary Operation of Acquired Property (all residential)		12,500
	Condemnations (11 parcels @ \$500.00)	5,500	
	1440.06 Sundry Acquisition Costs	E 544	
		2,000	
	Escrow Services (72 @ \$50,00)	3,600	
	1440.05 Sundry Acquisition Costs Recording Fees (72 @ \$5.00)	360	
	latest fee schedules (72 @ \$70.00)	5,040	
	average based on approved values and		
	1440.04 Title Information and Insurance		
	for Woodlawn Park)	2 B	1 ©
	(Based on appraisals for 39 parcels		
	22 - 3rd Appraisais @ \$175 ea.	3,850	
	72 parcels x 2 @ \$175 ea.	25,200	
	Ist & 2nd Appraisals	2	
4.	Acquisition Expenses 1440.02 Contracts for Acquisition		43,550
		+=>>,000	
	NH SLIEEL HEE G FAIR MOSTEL FION	\$233,000	
	NW Neigh. Traffic Study NW Street Tree & Park Master Plan	15,000	
	NW Housing Rehab Feasibility Study	20,000	
	Study	35,000	
	Northwest (NW) Land Use & Marketability		
	Eliot Public Utility Study	5,000	
	Eliot Neighborhood Traffic Study	10,000	
	Eliot Housing Rehab Feasibility Study	15,000	
	Eliot Land Use & Marketability Study	50,000	

6. Relocation and Community Organization This line item includes \$671,500 in Relocation payments as a program cost

ND 301 Page 4

686,500

	Relocation Payments		
	1501 Fixed moving expens	es and	
	dislocation allowance:		\$ 46,500
	Replacement housing pmt.		
	and certain others:	54 @ \$3,000 ea.	162,000
			102,000
	Replacement housing pmt.		8
	homeowners:	38 @ \$11,000_ea.	418,000
		1 @ \$15,000 ea.	15,000
	<b>Business Payments:</b>	6 @ \$ 5,000 ea.	30,000
	pustness rejuenco:	0 (0 \$ 9,000 00.	671,500
			0/1,500
	Community Organization - S		15,000
	Northwest NDP Area - Sur		
	organization, attitudes,	& desires of	
	NW area residents relate	d to renewal activit	ies 👘
7.	Site Clearance		- 186 18 I
1.		7	
	1450 Site clearance of 6		
	structures and 3 comme		
	Demolition contracts i	nclude granular 🕤	
	fill in basements and	capping of utilities	
	1. Woodlawn, KVS, Irv.		•
			25
	53 Resident. Struct.		
	I Comm. Bldg.	1,2	75
	2. Eliot NDP Area		
	13 Resident. Struct.	@ \$900 ea. 11,7	00
	2 Comm. Bldg.	2,3	
	-	=,7	00
	3. Northwest NDP Area		••
	l Resident, Struct.		00
		\$59,9	00
8.	Project Improvements		
	1455 Item I Improvements	in NDP Areas	
	as listed in Project Imp		
		rovements supporting	
	Schedule, Form HUD-6220		
	Woodlawn	50.0	00
	Irvington	173,0	
	King-Vernon-Sabin	172,0	
	Boise-Humboldt	75,0	
	Northwest	147.0	
	TOTAL	617,0	00
9.	Disposal, Lease, Retention	Costs	
2.	1445.01 Reuse appraisals		
		for	
	Woodlawn Pilot Housing		
10.	Rehabilitation, excluding	rehab grants	
	(based on LPA experience	-	
	1460.1 Rehabilitation Fi		
	Misc. fees connected wit		
	625 applications @ \$5.	00	3,125

59,900

617,000

4,000

76,625

	Estimated Breakdown of Applications: #115 Grants #312 Loans Other Total 260 185 195 640		
	1460.1a Contract for rehab inspection services including compliance letters and issuing Certificates of Completion		
	1,050	73,500	
Ħ.	Interest 1420.013 Temporary Loans		130,000
12.	Other income		
	1449 Interest on Investments		(14,000)
13.	Real Estate Purchases 1440.01 Cost of land and improvements	· 4	591,000
	72 Parcels (70 improved, 2 unimproved)		
	1. Woodlawn, KVS, Irv. & B-H NDP Areas Woodlawn Comm. Ctr. 8 parcels	\$ 60,000	
	B-H Clearance Areas 28 parcels	196,000	
	Derelict Structures, (Locations Unspecified) 20 parcels	120,000	3
	2. Ellot NDP Area Clearance Area 15 parcels (So of Mem. Coliseum)	179,000	
	3. Northwest NDP Area Good Sam. Hospital Area   parcel	<u>36,000</u> \$591,000	
-14.	Subtotal (sum of Lines 1 through 13)		3,761,075
15.	Contingencies - 2.9% of Line 14		109,576
16.	Program Inspection		36,849
	1418 Inspection Fee - 0.75% of first 10 million of Lines 14, 15, 18 and 19, Form HUD-6275		
17.	Total Program Expenditures		3,907,500
18.	Relocation Payments (100% reimbursable to LPA (Relocation Payments included in Line No. 6 a Program Cost		-0-
19.	Rehabilitation Grants (100% reimbursable to L 1501 Rehabilitation Grants, 315	.PA)	1,042,500

ND 301 Page 6

HUD-6220 (2-69)

PROJECT IMPROVEMENTS CHAR	GED AS PROJEC	CT EX	PENDITURES				
(July 1, 1972							
IDENTIFICATION	TO BECO		TED BY LPA	TO BE COMPLETE			
Woodlawn NDP Area	TOTAL COST	%	AMOUNT	MOUNT			
	\$	70	S	76	8		
NE Claremont Ave. from Madrona to Oneonta (200 Ft.)	3,400	60	2,040				
NE Claremont Ave. from Winona to Dekum (200 Ft.)	3,400	60	2,040	·			
NE Liberty St. from 6th to Durham (800 Ft.)	13,600	60	8,160				
NE Bellevue Ave, from Winona to Dean (200 Ft.)	3,400	60	2,040				
NE Dean St. from Durham to Dekum (750 Ft.)	12,750	60	7,650				
Lenore St. Durham to 13th (780 Ft.) Sub Total	13,260 49,810*	60	7,956 29,886				
	<pre>* Local share    assessment</pre>		e paid from C ict.	ity	of Portland		
treet Reconstruction as 100% Project ost	• • •		20 - C.20				
NE Claremont Ave. from Oneonta - 100 Ft. So. to Park boundary and from Winona - 100 Ft. No. to Park Bounda- ry (200 Ft.) Engineering	4,000 4,114	100 100	4,000 4,114				
Total Street Improvements Open Space Improvements 13th and Holman	57,924 10,500	100	38,000 10,500				
Design & Engineering Total	1,500 \$12,000	100	1,500 \$12,000				
OTAL - ALL PROJECT IMPROVEMENTS WOODLAWN NDP AREA	\$69,924						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					× *		
OTAL PROJECT IMPROVEMENTS TO BE IARGED TO ITEM 1 OF GROSS PROJECT COST	and grant the second	115	\$ 50,000	The second	\$		

ND 301, Page 7

Page 3 of 3

ł

I

HUD-6220 (2-69)

PROJECT IMPROVEMENTS CHA (July 1, 19	72 to July 1,	1973					
IDENTIFICATION	TOTAL COST	1	CHARGE TO PROJECT		TO BE COMPLETED BY HUD		
Irvington NDP Area		%	AMOUNT	%	AMOUNT		
<pre>Irving Park Improvements (Phase II) Construction Design &amp; Engineering Sub Total Street Tree Work (Phase III)</pre>	s 140,000 <u>11,000</u> 151,000	100	s 140,000 <u>11,000</u> 151,000	•	8		
Street Tree Work (Phase 111) 500 New Trees in place @ \$20.00 ea. Design Sub Total	10,000 <u>1,000</u> 11,000		10,000 <u>1,000</u> 11,000				
<u>Traffic Signals</u> 2 School Crossing Traffic Signals 2 @ \$5,000 each Engineering Sub Total	10,000 <u>1,000</u> 11,000		10,000 <u>1,000</u> 11,000				
TOTAL - ALL PROJECT IMPROVEMENTS IRVINGTON NDP AREA	173,000	100					
TOTAL PROJECT, IMPROVEMENTS TO BE CHARGED TO ITEM 1 OF GROSS PROJECT COST			\$ 173,000	100	\$		

4

#### SUPPORTING SCHEDULE

PROJECT IMPROVEMENTS CHARGED AS PROJECT EXPENDITURES (July 1, 1972 to July 1, 1973) TO BE COMPLETED BY LPA TO BE COMPLETED **IDENTIFICATION** CHARGE TO PROJECT BY HUD TOTAL COST King-Vernon-Sabin NDP Area AMOUNT AMOUNT % % \$ S \$ Alberta Park Improvements Construction 70,000 50 35,000 Design & Engineering 8,000 50 4,000 78,000 Sub Total 39,000 . ... Pedestrian Overpass to Alberta Park (Over N. E. Killingsworth Street N. E. 20th Avenue) Construction 80,000 100 80,000 Design & Engineering 9,000 100 9.000 Sub Total 89,000 89,000 Greenway System - Demonstration Block (N.E. 14th Ave. - Going to Prescott) struction 30,000 100 30,000 ign & Engineering 3,000 3,000 Sub Total 33,000 33,000 Street Tree Work 500 New Trees in Place @ \$20.00 10,000 10,000 Design 1,000 1,000 11,000 11,000 TOTAL - ALL PROJECT IMPROVEMENTS KING-VERNON-SABIN NDP AREAS 211,000

TOTAL PROJECT, IMPROVEMENTS TO BE CHARGED TO ITEM 1 OF GROSS PROJECT COST

ND 301, Page 9

172,000

\$

5

GP 0 871-746

HUD-6220 (2-69)

I

ſ

HUD-6220 (2-69)

SUPPORT	TING SCHEDULE					
PROJECT IMPROVEMENTS CHAR (July 1, 1972						
			TED BY LPA	1		
IDENTIFICATION	TOTAL COST	CHARGE TO PROJECT		TO BE COMPLETED BY HUD		
Boise-Humboldt NDP Area		76	AMOUNT	%	AMOUNT	
Peninsula Park Improvements Construction Design & Engineering Sub Total New Street Lights	70,000 <u>8,000</u> 78,000	50 50	s 35,000 <u>4,000</u> 39,000		\$	
(Locations unspecified at this time) Standard City Lights for Existing Poles (Approx. 150 lights installed)	24,750	100	24,750			
(At N. Prescott St. and Albina Ave.) Construction Design & Engineering ub Total	10,000 <u>1,250</u> 11,250		10,000 1,250 11,250			
TOTAL - ALL PROJECT IMPROVEMENTS BOISE-HUMBOLDT AREA	\$114,000					
OTAL PROJECT IMPROVEMENTS TO BE HARGED TO ITEM 1 OF GROSS PROJECT COST			\$ 75,000	12	8	

1

F

PROJECT IMPROVEMENTS CHAI	RGED AS PROJEC					
	TO BE CO	MPLE	TED BY LPA	тс	D BE CC	MPLETED
IDENTIFICATION	TOTAL COST	СНА	RGE TO PROJECT	BY HUD		
Northwest NDP Area		%	AMOUNT	%		AMOUNT
Construction Design & Engineering Sub Total emonstration Block Improvements (Tentative Location: NW Hoyt St. from 22nd to 23rd)	s 40,000 <u>4,000</u> 44,000	100	3 40,000 <u>4,000</u> 44,000	•	\$	
Construction Design & Engineering Sub Total	30,000 <u>3,000</u> 33,000	100	30,000 <u>3,000</u> 33,000			
treet Trees & Related Improvements 400 new trees @ \$20.00 each Benches, & other street furniture `Ign & Engineering	10,000 2,000 <u>1,500</u> 13,500	100 100 100	10,000 2,000 <u>1,500</u> 13,500			
ewer & Water Improvements - Phase I (In the Good Samaritan Hospital Development Area)	e.					
Construction Engineering	52,000 4,500 56,500		52,000 4,500 56,500			3
OTAL - ALL PROJECT IMPROVEMENT IN Northwest Area	\$147,000	14				
	ago - 1		11 11 - 11			
	5 6					
			1.0			

GP 0 871-746
		and the second	No. 63-R1218	PROGR	RAM LOCALITY	(11-6	
	U. S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING						
	NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELO		Portland, Oregon				
	FINANCING	PLAN		A-	-5		
NSTRU	CTIONS: Submit original and two co	opies in Binder No. 1 a	nd one copy in	other bin	nders.		
INAN	CING PLAN FOR ACTION YEAR FR	ом <u></u> момо	<u>72 то Ј</u>	uly Ì,			
	SECTION A. ESTIMATE OF GR	OSS AND NET PROGR	RAM COST AN	D SHAR	ING OF NET PRO	GRAM COST	
			7	7/1/72 7/1/7			
		CURRENT YEAR FRO	ом_/то_/		ACTION YEAR FRO	мто	
3		APPROVED	REVISED	FINANCING		TO BE COMPLETED BY HUD	
LINE NO:	ITEM	FINANCING PLAN	ESTIMATE C		PLAN REQUESTED	FINANCING PLAN	
	10 10 1	(a)	(b)		(c) .	(d) ·	
A-1	PROGRAM EXPENDITURES (From HUD-6275, Expenditures Budget, Line 17, as follows:	*Includes Re	location Pa a Progra		ts of \$671,500 st.	as	
÷.,	COL. (b) from Col. (b); Col. (c) from Col. (e))	\$1,819,754	-		\$3,907,500*		
A-2	NON-CASH LOCAL GRANTS-IN- AID (From Part IV, Schedule 1,						
3	Summary of Non-Cash Grants-in- Aid)	834,877			1,938,750	- S	
A-3	GROSS PROGRAM COST (Line A-1 plus Line A-2)	2,654,631			5,846,250	т. Гу 4	
	LAND PROCEEDS. ACTUAL DISPOSITION PROCEEDS FROM LAND ACQUIRED IN INDICATED YEAR AND DISPOSED OF IN THAT YEAR (Include sold, re- tained or leased)	4	1				
A-5	ESTIMATED LAND PROCEEDS. (From land acquired or to be ac- quired in indicated year but not disposed of in that year)					ali ne geri e	
A-6	TOTAL ESTIMATED PROCEEDS RECEIVED OR TO BE RECEIVED FOR LAND ACQUIRED AND TO BE ACQUIRED IN INDICATED YEAR. (In Col. (b), enter Line A-1 plus A-5)	150,000	21 19 -		+Caxe, -over 30,000		
A-7	ADJUSTMENT OF ESTIMATED LAND INVENTORY PROCEEDS (Adjustments in Col, (c) must be justified in Code No. ND506)	-0-	1 N A		-0-		
A-8	NET ESTIMATED LAND PRO- CEEDS (Line A-6 plus or minus Line A-7)	150,000	E.		30,000		
A-9	NET PROGRAM COST (Line A-3 minus Line A-8)	2,504,631			5,816,250	-	
A-10	SHARING OF NET PROGRAM COST LOCAL GRANTS-IN-AID: TOTAL REQUIRED (1/3 or 1/4 of Line A-9)	834,877	2		1,938,750		
A-11	NON-CASH GRANTS-IN-AID						
	(From Line A-2)	834,877			1,938,750		
A-12	REAL ESTATE TAX CREDITS (From HUD-6275, Line 5B)	-0-			-0-		

•

3

8

. :

•

4 24 Page 2 of 4

.

ŀ

KED-6280 (11-68)

Ş

		SECTION A. (C	ontinued)			22
		7/1/7 Current Year From	71 7/1/72	Action Year F	7/1/72	7/1/73
LINE NO.	ITEM	APPROVED FINANCING PLAN (0)	REVISED ESTIMATE OF COLUMN (a) (b)	FINANCING F REQUESTE (c)		BE COMPLETED BY HUD NANCING PLAN APPROVED (d)
A-13	LOCAL CASH GRANTS-IN-AID, EXCLUDING REAL ESTATE TAX CREDITS. (Line A-10 minus sum of Lines A-11 and A-12) (Sum of Lines A-11, A-12, and A-13 must equal Line A-10)	-0-		-0-		
A-14	PROGRAM CAPITAL GRANT (2/3 or 3/4 of Line A-9)	1,669,754		3,877,50	0	2
A-15	RELOCATION GRANT (From HUD-6275, Line 18 as follows: Col. (b) from Col. (b); Col. (c) from Col. (e))	*** See 820,608	*** See notation on L		*	
A-15	REHABILITATION GRANT (From HUD-6275, Line 19 as follows: Col. (b) from Col. (b); Col. (c) from Col. (c))	585,046	A state of	1,042,50	0	
A-17	TOTAL FEDERAL CAPITAL GRANT (Sum of Lines A-14, A-15 and A-16)	3,075,408	- 13 a -	4,920,00	n	
	SECTION B. MAX	INUM AMOUNT OF TE	MPORARY LOAN FO			
LINE	ITEM				AMOUNT APPROVED BY	
L	CASH REQUIRED FOR PROGRAM LOCATION GRANTS AND REHAB (from column (c), sum of Lines A-		4,950,000		1 1987 H C 19 7 1 <sup>75</sup> 9	
В-2	ESTIMATED VALUE OF UNSOLD L ACTION YEAR. (Use disposition v which were used in calculating the the latest approved financing plan)	alues for unsold parcels	F	-0-		
8-3	TOTAL CASH REQUIREMENTS (S	um of Lines B-1 and	4,950,0	4,950,000		
B-4	REAL ESTATE TAX CREDITS (Fr A-12)		-0-		* 	
B-5	CASH GRANTS-IN-AID, EXCLUDIN CREDITS (From Column (c), Line ,		-0-			
B-6	SUBTOTAL (Sum of Lines B-4 and	B-5)	-0-			
8-7	MAXIMUM TEMPORARY LOAN TH PRIVATE FINANCING (Line B-3 n		4,950,0	000		, T , S
	SECTION C. SOL	IRCES OF FUNDS FOR	REPAYMENT OF T	EMPORARY LO	DAN	
LINE NO.	ITEM		AMOUNT REQU	ESTED BY	AMOUNT	APPROVED BY
C-1	TOTAL DISPOSITION PROCEEDS Col. (c), and B-2)	(Sum of Line A-8,	30,0	000		
C-2	PROGRAM GRANT (From Line A-1	(4, col. (c))	3,877,	500	i.	
۲ 	RELOCATION GRANT (From Line	A-15, col. (c))	-(	(see notati -0-		ine A-1)
C-4	REHABILITATION GRANT (From	Line A-Ió, col. (c))	1,042,	500	5	
	the second			and the second s	and the second se	the second se

ND 302 Page 2

OVAL OF THE FINANCING PLAN IN THE AMOUNTS SH	OWN IN HEREBY REQUESTED:	1 /
	N R	
May 5, 1972	V_D	.V
(Date)	Signature of Au	thorized Official)
	John B. Kenwar	(A)
PORTLAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION (Local Public Agency)	Executive Direction (Ti	
and the second	- Allahar et allaman a star de	ala anna aite an ta
E FINANCING PLAN AS SHOWN IN THE APPROPRIATE CO		
IL T MAREINO L LOR AS SHUTH IN THE AFFRUERIATE C		
(Date)	(Signa	ture)
	i hi ya ya k	
	(7)	tle)
		****
SUPPORTING SCHEDULE 1. SUM	MARY OF NON-CASH GRANTS-IN	-AłD
RT I - NON-CASH GRANTS-IN-AID SUBMITTED FOR FIRST TIME	WITH APPLICATION FOR ACTION	YEAR
And the second s	1 2 M (S. 19	
RT I - NON-CASH GRANTS-IN-AID SUBMITTED FOR FIRST TIME	WITH APPLICATION FOR ACTION	YEAR
ART I - NON-CASH GRANTS-IN-AID SUBMITTED FOR FIRST TIME TYPE OF LOCAL GRANTS-IN-AID DONATIONS OF LAND	WITH APPLICATION FOR ACTION	YEAR
ART I - NON-CASH GRANTS-IN-AID SUBMITTED FOR FIRST TIME TYPE OF LOCAL GRANTS-IN-AID DONATIONS OF LAND	WITH APPLICATION FOR ACTION	YEAR
ART I - NON-CASH GRANTS-IN-AID SUBMITTED FOR FIRST TIME TYPE OF LOCAL GRANTS-IN-AID DONATIONS OF LAND SITE CLEARANCE	WITH APPLICATION FOR ACTION REQUESTED BY LPA	YEAR
ART I - NON-CASH GRANTS-IN-AID SUBMITTED FOR FIRST TIME TYPE OF LOCAL GRANTS-IN-AID DONATIONS OF LAND SITE CLEARANCE PROJECT IMPROVEMENTS	WITH APPLICATION FOR ACTION	YEAR
ART I - NON-CASH GRANTS-IN-AID SUBMITTED FOR FIRST TIME TYPE OF LOCAL GRANTS-IN-AID DONATIONS OF LAND SITE CLEARANCE PROJECT IMPROVEMENTS SUPPORTING FACILITIES	WITH APPLICATION FOR ACTION REQUESTED BY LPA	YEAR
ART I - NON-CASH GRANTS-IN-AID SUBMITTED FOR FIRST TIME TYPE OF LOCAL GRANTS-IN-AID DONATIONS OF LAND SITE CLEARANCE PROJECT IMPROVEMENTS SUPPORTING FACILITIES COMMUNITY-WIDE FACILITIES	WITH APPLICATION FOR ACTION REQUESTED BY LPA	YEAR
ART I - NON-CASH GRANTS-IN-AID SUBMITTED FOR FIRST TIME TYPE OF LOCAL GRANTS-IN-AID DONATIONS OF LAND SITE CLEARANCE PROJECT IMPROVEMENTS SUPPORTING FACILITIES COMMUNITY-WIDE FACILITIES PUBLIC HOUSING CREDITS	WITH APPLICATION FOR ACTION REQUESTED BY LPA \$535,069	YEAR
ART I - NON-CASH GRANTS-IN-AID SUBMITTED FOR FIRST TIME TYPE OF LOCAL GRANTS-IN-AID DONATIONS OF LAND SITE CLEARANCE PROJECT IMPROVEMENTS SUPPORTING FACILITIES COMMUNITY-WIDE FACILITIES PUBLIC HOUSING CREDITS SECTION 112 CREDITS TOTAL	WITH APPLICATION FOR ACTION REQUESTED BY LPA \$535,069 206,250	YEAR

TI DOM

-100-00 

.

 $\dot{v}$ 

Page 4 of 4

H11D-1280. (11-68)

#### SUPPORTING SCHEDULE 1. (Continued)

#### II - NON-CASH POOLING CREDITS FROM URBAN RENEWAL PROJECTS AND SUBMITTED AS NOP NON-CASH GRANT-IN-AID P CREDITS FOR THE ACTION YEAR SOURCE OF POOLING CREDIT AND ADJUSTMENT TO URBAN RENEWAL PROJECT POOLING CALCULATION ORE, R-1, R-8, R-16, R-20 ADJUSTED SUM OF COMPLETED URBAN RENEWAL PROJECTS TO SUM OF BE USED FOR FUTURE POOLING TRANSFERRED TO LINE XCOMPLECEDKURBAN NDP FOR CALCULATIONS COL. (0) MINUS NO. ITEM RENEWAL PROJECTS. ACTION YEAR COL. (b) (a) (b) (c) **ITEM 1 - PROJECT** 1. **EXPENDITURES** XXXXXXXXX \$44,618,327 \$44,618,327 . ... 2. ITEM 2 - NON-CASH \$856,157 8,429,859 7,573,702 3. **GROSS PROJECT COST** XXXXXXXXXX 53,048,186 53,048,186 4. LAND PROCEEDS XXXXXXXXXX 15,487,912 15,487,912 5. NET PROJECT COST XXXXXXXXX 37,560,274 37,560,274 6. LOCAL GRANTS-IN-AID TOTAL XXXXXXXXX 13,917,009 13,917,009 NON-CASH 2. 8,429,859 7,573,702 \$856,157 8. CASH XXXXXXXXX 5,487,150 5,487,150 Project 9. 5505BAC CAPITAL GRANT XXXXXXXXX \$23,643,265 \$23,643,265 10. AMOUNT OF NON-CASH GRANT-IN-AID CREDITS TRANSFERRED FROM URBAN RENEWAL PROJECTS POOLING CREDITS TO NDP FOR ACTION YEAR -- July 1, 1972 to July 1, 1973 \$ 836,815 (From Line 2, column (b)) PART IV - TOTAL NON-CASH GRANTS-IN-AID FOR ACTION YEAR 1. FROM PART | (Line 9) \$ 741,319 \$ 341,274 2. FROM PART II 856,157 3. FROM PART III (Line 10) \$1. 4. TOTAL (Enter this figure in Line A-2, \$1,938,750 Column (c), of Form HUD-6280.)

234981-P

HUD-Wesh., D.C.

ND 302 Page4

age 1 of 3		n Approved 3 No. 63-R121	8			. n <sup>6</sup>	HUD-62 (12-7			
					LOCALITY					
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING	VELOPMENT		Portland, Oregon							
					ND AREA N					
NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELO	der all	ORE A-5-								
SUMMARY OF URBAN RE	NEWAL ARE	A DATA		AREA NAME Woodlawn, Irvington King-Vernon-Sabin, Boise-Humb						
Submission: Initial 👷 Revision 🗍				COUNTY(S) Muitnomah CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT(S) IN WHICH AREA IS SITUATED Third						
INSTRUCTIONS: To be completed and subm Neighborhood Developmen changed, or for substantia	t Program. To	be revised	and resubmi	tted only if	the bounda	ries of the a				
1. AREA ELIGIBILITY	AREA ELIGIBILITY 2. PREDOMI			3. RELATIC		ROVED MOD	EL			
CATEGORY:	Clearan	ce and redeve	lopm <del>en</del> t	GITTEGA	NER .					
Sec. 111 disaster area	Rehabilitation			Area is not within model cities area						
4. PRESENT CHARACTER OF AREA AND CONDITION OF BUILDINGS	5. CONTEMPLATED LAND USES Predominantly residential Nat predominantly residential			Area is entirely within model cities area Area Is partially within model cities area NUMBER OF ACRES WITHIN MODEL CITIES AREA: 1,838.30						
🕱 Built up										
Predominantly open										
Open										
(Check one below unless area is "Open")	4.00	ED NUMBER	R OF DWELL	ING UNITS						
X Predominantly residential	(b) Numbe	r of buildings	with							
🔲 Not predominantly residential	detic	iencies: <u>4,6</u>	, ···							
7. ESTIMATED NUMBER OF SITE OCCUPANTS	WHITE Nonminority	NEGRO/ Black	AMERICAN INDIAN	ORIENTAL	SPANISH AMERICAN	OTHER MINORITIES	TOTAL			
a. Families in area	4,214	4,214 3,097 N/A 9,428 5,224 N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	7,311			
b. Individuals in area	9,428			N/A	N/A	N/A	14,652			
c. Business concerns (including nonprofit organizations) in area			and a second				317			
SUBMITTED BY:										
Date				Signatu	re .					
Portland Development				ecutive D						

I

Page 2 of 3 ENVI	RONMENTAL DEFICIENCIES
CONDITION	DESCRIPTION OF EXTENT TO WHICH CONDITION EXISTS (Give source of information. If additional space is required, continue on a plain sheet and attach to this form.)
1. Overcrowding or improper location of structures on the land	INFORMATION FOR EACH NEIGHBORHOOD PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED
2. Excessive dwelling unit density	
3. Conversions to incompatible types of uses, such as roominghouses among family dwellings	
4. Obsolete building types, such as large residences or other buildings which through lack use or maintenance have a ghting influence	
5. Detrimental land uses or conditions, such as incompatible uses, structures in mixed use, or adverse influences from noise, smoke, or fumes	
<ol> <li>Unsafe, congested, poorly designed, or otherwise deficient streets</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>Inadequate public utilities or community facilities contributing to unsatisfactory living condi- tions or economic decline</li> </ol>	
8 her equally significant vironmental deficiencies	

#### DATA ON URBAN RENEWAL AREA

#### Present Character, Condition of Buildings, and Proposed Land Uses

(Complete this page for each separate urban renewal area covered by the NDP. Areas shall be shown to the nearest acre. Total area within perimeter boundaries of the urban renewal area shall be accounted for, excepting only any interior areas which have been excluded from the urban renewal area. Meanings of terms are identical with those in RHM 7205.1, chapters 1 and 2.)

	ACREAGE				CONDITION OF		de Mari	
- <u>1</u> -1	BY PRESENT CHARACTE		RACTER	BUILO	ACREAGE			
ITEM	TOTAL	IMPROVED		n san san san san san san san san san sa		NUMBER	BY PROPOSED	
		WITH BLDGS OR STREETS	W/OTHER IMPROVE- Ménts	UNIM- PROVED	TOTAL BUILDINGS	WITH DEFI- CIENCIES	LAND USES	
5 L	1826,60	1754.80	32.90	38.90	10,024	5,431	1,838,30	
<ol> <li>Streets, alleys, public rights-of-way, Total</li> </ol>	522.90	522.90		-0-			519.00	
2. Residential, Total	1096.80	1067.00	-0-	29.80	9,499	5,133	1,117.70	
A. Dwelling purposes	1094.80	1065.00	-0-	29.80	9,497	5,133	1,117.70	
B. Related public or semipublic purposes	2.0	2.0	-0-	-0-	2	-0-	-0-	
3. Nonresidential, Total	206.90	164.90	32.90	9.10	525	298	201.60	
A. Commercial	55.50	51.40	-0-	4,10	348	211	40.40	
B. Industrial	. 16.80	11,80	-0-	5.00	46	23	6.30	
C. Public or semipublic (institutional)	134.60	101.70	32.90	-0-	131	64	154.90	
Open or unimproved kand not included in 3A, B, or C above.	-0-			-0-				

234980-P

HUD-Wosh., D.C.

#### MEMORANDUM

Date May 17, 1972

NDP File

FROM: Orville Nilsen

SUBJECT: Qualification of properties for the Woodlawn Pilot Housing Expansion 19 Properties: 18 structures, 1 vacant lot,

> The worst structures have the following ratings by engineer's inspection:

1	\dd	Points		
*1075	N.	Ε.	Winona	28 1/2
.6823	Ν.	Ε.	Claremont	27 1/2
1104	N.	Ε.	Winona	31 1/2
1405	N.	Ε.	Saratoga	21 1/2
1415	N.	Ε.	Saratoga	29 1/2
6931	N.	Ε.	15th	23 1/2

NOTE: Any structure rating 24 or more is structurally substandard and requires clearance. (There are 4 such structures, and this amounts to 22.2% of the 18 structures). Any structure rating 14 - 23 is deficient - rehabilitation guestionable.

\* 1075 N. E. Winona was acquired under the derelict structure program, and has already been demolished.

NOTE: Ernie Yuzon treated the report in ND 303 slightly differently. He did not include the property previously acquired and demolished (1075 N. E. Winona). He combined all the structures with 14 points and over (53% of the total of 17 structures) and stated they are deficient to the point where rehabilitation would be questionable and substandard to the point warranting clearance.

ON:ska

cc : Er

T0:

### ND 303 AREA ELIGIBILITY DATA - (Woodlawn, Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin, Boise-Humboldt)

#### 1. PART A. Urban Renewal Area Report.

Not Applicable. Eligibility data for the Woodlawn, Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin, and Boise-Humboldt Neighborhoods have been documented in previous NDP Action Year applications.

#### 2. PART B. Clearance and Redevelopment Area Report.

Clearance activities will take place during the 3rd Action Year in Woodlawn, King-Vernon-Sabin, and Boise-Humboldt. In the King-Vernon-Sabin Neighborhood, clearance activities will be carried out for redevelopment of a Neighborhood Facility, and such activities will be funded under HUD's Neighborhood Facilities Grant Program (See Exhibits E & F). Qualifying documentation in this regard will be made in the application by the City of Portland for a Neighborhood Facilities Grant to be applied in this neighborhood.

In Woodlawn, clearance activities during the action year will be carried out both with 2nd Action Year and 3rd Action Year funds. Those properties to be acquired and cleared with funds from the 2nd Action Year Budget are identified on the attached maps, Exhibits B & C, and will be extensions of properties already acquired by the LPA for multi-family housing redevelopment. 3rd Action Year funds will be used to acquire and clear other properties adjacent to the Woodlawn Park site, but have not been specifically identified at this time. Such acquisitions will most likely be for additional park space and development of a community center involving about 8 parcels.

#### ND 303 AREA ELIGIBILITY DATA - (Woodlawn, Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin, Boise-Humboldt), Cont'd

It is anticipated that some clearance activities will also take place in Boise-Humboldt (about 28 parcels) but no specific property identification has been made.

Residents and planners for this area, as in Woodlawn, are presently working on the necessary details in order to identify properties for acquisition and clearance.

- a. <u>Maps of the Clearance Areas in King-Vernon-Sabin (Under the</u> <u>Neighborhood Facility Grant Program) and Woodlawn (2nd Action</u> <u>Year Funds) are Attached Hereto as Exhibits and Identify the</u> <u>Following</u>:
  - Approximate property lines and outline of each building.
  - (2) Existing land use of each property.
  - (3) Buildings in each block to be cleared.

b. Statement and Data Establishing that Area Meets Clearance
 Eligibility Criteria.

Inspection of the 17 structures in the proposed Woodlawn Pilot housing site show that 53% are deficient to the point where rehabilitation would be questionable and substandard to the point warranting clearance. (One other derelict structure in the proposed site was recently acquired and cleared under the NDP spot clearance activity.) Traffic circulation in this

#### ND 303 AREA ELIGIBILITY DATA - (Woodlawn, Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin, Boise-Humboldt), Cont'd

entire section is hampered by diagonal street layouts which run abruptly into standard grid systems. A high percentage of substandard structures appear to be concentrated within this part of the Woodlawn area. Existing buildings are in various stages of decline and would not be suitable for conversion into the proposed reuse.

#### c. Description of Type of Survey Made.

Interior and exterior inspections of 7 structures (41% of total 17) in the proposed Woodlawn Pilot housing site were made by a registered professional structural engineer on April 20, 1972. Results of these inspections and other available data show that 9 (53%) of the buildings have extensive interior and exterior deficiencies to the point where rehab would be questionable and structurally substandard to the point where clearance is required. Visual surveys indicate that deteriorated conditions also affect the remaining 8 structures.

Inspections of all 17 structures were also made by City Inspectors in September, 1971 and their findings confirm the conclusion that most of the buildings are deteriorated.

#### ND 303 AREA ELIGIBILITY DATA - (Woodlawn, Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin, Boise-Humboldt), Cont'd

Data relative to surveys of those structures to be acquired for a Community Facility in King-Vernon-Sabin will be submitted with the Neighborhood Facility Grant Application to HUD, and prepared by the City of Portland and the CDA,

#### 3. PART C. Spot Clearance Report

Spot clearance activities will be carried out in each of the four NDP neighborhoods during the action year. Specific properties in each neighborhood have not been specifically identified, but it is anticipated that 20 properties will be acquired and cleared under this activity. Spot clearance properties will be identified in the course of inspecting homes for rehabilitation loans and grants. Such properties will most likely be residential and will meet the following criteria:

- Structurally substandard to a degree requiring clearance and infeasible of rehabilitation.
- 2. Necessity to remove a blighting influence.

# 4. PART D. Specific Substandardness Criteria to Justify Clearance.

Criteria used to justify clearance has previously been submitted to HUD with the application for the NDP Action Year July 1, 1970 to July 1, 1971. Such criteria will also apply to clearance in all NDP areas in the Third Action Year.

5. Alternate Approach.

Not Applicable.

#### 1. PART A. Urban Renewal Area Report

a. Locality Map

Attached hereto is a Locality Map, Exhibit A, which Identifies the following:

- (1) Boundaries of NDP.
- (2) Boundaries of other Urban Renewal Areas.
- (3) Central Business District.
- (4) Model Cities Area.
- (5) OEO poverty areas.
- (6) Major thoroughfares.

#### b. Map of NDP Locality

See Exhibit A, Locality Map.

#### c. Map of NDP Area and Vicinity

The following items are identified on maps attached as exhibits:

- (1) Boundaries.....Exhibit G (Separate Attachment)
- (2) Existing Land Use.....Exhibit G (Separate Attachment)
- (3) Total and number of buildings....Exhibit H (Separate Attachment) with deficience is in each block.
- (4) General distribution of Environmental Deficiences.....Exhibit D

d. <u>Statement Explaining Selection of Area, Delineation of Boundaries</u>, and Relationship to Local Renewal Objectives.

The Eliot Neighborhood for many years has posed as one of the most difficult areas in the City of Portland to plan for in terms of land use. A variety of deficiencies in this neighborhood have developed over the years to the point now that treatment of this neighborhood should not be deferred any longer. The neighborhood lies totally within the Model Cities area, and represents the blight core of North est Portland.

Following is a description of conditions in Eliot:

#### Land Use and Zoning

21 6

Incompatible and unrelated land uses exist side by side and structural conditions vary considerably even within a one block area. A predominant number of structures within the Eliot neighborhood date back to the period between 1890 and 1920, many of which are derelict and/or abandoned. Approximately 75% of the land area in Eliot is in non-residential uses, and it appears that industrial and commercial use intrusions into residential areas will continue under present conditions. Close to 15% of the land is vacant, and there is a high turnover in property ownerships.

Existing zoning patterns reinforce the trend toward more intensive non-residential uses. 69% of the land in Eliot is zoned for commercial and industrial uses. This figure also includes street areas, but such zoning is far in excess of actual industrial or commercial use. Residential zones cover 31% of the land, but there are no zones for single family development (R5). A2.5 is the most restrictive residential zone in the area but most of the existing residential structures are single-family dwellings. Zoning and land uses are more intense in the south half, and become less intense toward the north end of the neighborhood.

#### Housing

The area contained 2,173 dwelling units in 1960 and this number has decreased to about 1,567 in 1971. This reduction is due to the steady influx of industrial and commercial uses into the neighborhood and very little development of new housing units to replace dilapidated structures. About 95% of existing housing was built before 1940 and most of these fall into a value range of less than \$15,000. A majority of structures need rehabilitation and improvement. Most larger apartment buildings with 4 or more units are somewhat dilapidated.

#### Commercial - Industrial Uses

Much of the existing commercial uses are in a state of disrepair and in many instances do not properly serve the needs of the neighborhood. Most are owned by non-residents and do not offer goods and services that are specifically needed by residents of Eliot. Many residents have to walk or drive 10 - 15 blocks for groceries, and there is a lack of facilities that provide pharmacy items, dry goods, and other sundries.

The heaviest industrial concentration is located in the southwest portion of Eliot west of the 1-5 freeway. Most of these do not have a direct relationship to the neighborhood, but such uses are still encroaching into residential areas.

#### Traffic

Heavy traffic volumes also plague the neighborhood. Eliot's proximity to downtown and the freeway, together with the many industrial uses in the area have resulted in congested traffic conditons throughout the day. The problem is further compounded by through traffic generated outside the area and an incomplete freeway system to handle volume traffic.

#### Population

Eliot has experienced a sharp decline in population over the past 2 decades. During the period 1950-1960 population dropped 35.7%, and between 1960-1970 this decline amounted to about 32.2%. During the

same periods population in the Model Cities area and the City of Portland increased. This statistic is indicative of other social and economic deficiencies which do exist. 66.2% of the population is black and 13.5% is elderly. A little less than half of the Eliot population is either divorced, separated, or widowed, and about 30.5% of all households have incomes of less than \$3,000 annually. In contrast, households with incomes below \$3,000 in the Model Cities area runs about 23% and 15% for the City as a whole. Finally, Eliot<sup>1</sup>s unemployment rate is one of the highest in the City.

Crime is also a serious problem. 18.3% of all crimes in the Model City are committed in Eliot, but Eliot has only 8.6% of the Model Cities population. Opportunities for crime do exist in this neighborhood and much needs to be done physically, socially, and economically before conditions can improve in Eliot.

Source of Information:

Eliot Neighborhood Study December 1971 by Pierce Rampy & Associates, Eliot Meighborhood Planning Consultants 1970 U. S. Census Portland City Planning Commission Community Renewal Program, Sept. 1967 Field Surveys by Planning Consultants, 1971

#### Delineation of Boundaries

Boundaries of the Eliot Neighborhood are coincident with boundaries of the Eliot Planning Area as designated by the Model Cities Citizens

> ND 303 Paga 9

Planning Board. The neighborhood is generally bounded by N. E. Fremont Street on the north, the I-5 Freeway and Fremont Interchange on the west, N. E. Broadway on the south and N. E. 7th Avenue on the east. Boundaries encompass the last remaining portion of the Hodel Cities area that is not under the NDP.

The Emanuel Hospital Project under Section 112 is located within the above described boundaries, but will be excluded from the NDP. Selection of boundaries was made on the basis of citizen participation and commitment, as well as short and long range planning objectives for neighborhood improvement without regard to race, color, sex, religion or national origin of residents.

#### Relationship to Local Renewal Objectives

In the <u>Community Renewal Program</u> (CRP) developed by the Portland Planning Commission in 1967, the overall objective for improvement of northeast Portland was to encircle the blight core of Central Albina (of which Eliot is a part) with physical improvement programs to contain blight and then apply programs within the blight core itself which would provide for appropriate treatment measures. Programs have been instituted around this blight core according to plan and the time has arrived for treatment of the core itself.

Other documentation regarding the relationship of the Eliot MDP to local renewal objectives are similar to those described for other

Model Cities NDP areas. Improvement activities will reflect the development objectives of Eliot residents and will conform to the <u>Model Cities Comprehensive Development Plan</u> and the <u>Comprehensive</u> <u>Development Plan for the City of Portland</u>, both plans prepared by the Portland City Planning Commission. Additional guidelines and recommendations for improvement activities will be provided by a recently completed traffic study and a commercial land use study in the Model Cities area.

- Evidence Supporting Eligibility of the Eliot Urban Renewal Area.
   The Eliot Area qualifies under Category V. The area is built up and not predominantly residential. (See Land Use Map, Exhibit G). The north portion of Eliot is predominantly residential, and that area could be maintained as residential if rehabilitation activities are feasible and proper measures are taken to protect this area from intrusion by heavy commercial or industrial uses.
  - <u>Criteria Developed and Used in Classifying Buildings with</u> <u>Deficiencies</u>.

Criteria used in determining structural conditions in Eliot came from the <u>Techniques for Measuring Blight</u>, a method using County Assessment data as a major source of information, developed by the City Planning Commission for the Community

Renewal Program (CRP) published in 1967. A copy of <u>Techniques for</u> <u>Measuring Blight</u> is attached as Exhibit <u>L</u> in Section ND 303 in the initial NDP Application for the Action Year July 1, 1970 to July 1, 1971. This report describes criteria used and development of the technique used in rating structures as to condition.

#### (2) Description of Type of Surveys Made and Date of Surveys.

Techniques for Measuring Blight, developed as a tool to prepare the CRP in 1967, was used in determining building deficiencies in Eliot. Such determinations were visually checked by the Neighborhood Planning Consultants in November of 1971. Consultants have also gathered other basic neighborhood data regarding population statistics, streets, utilities, housing and other physical and environmental conditions from various agencies and departments of the City. Such data has provided an overall view of conditions existing within the area, and will help to determine neighborhood improvement objectives consistent with residents' needs and local planning and renewal objectives.

> ND 303 Page 12

"na na

#### ND 303 AREA ELIGIBILITY DATA - (Eliot), Cont<sup>1</sup>d

A neighborhood survey by mail was also conducted by the CDA during the early part of 1971 to determine needs and priorities of Model Cities residents which included residents of the Eliot area.

- (3) Justification for the Inclusion of Any Sizeable Area Not <u>Meeting "Distribution of Deficiencies" Test</u>. Not Applicable. There is no sizeable area included which does not meet the "Distribution of Deficiencies" Test. The Emanuel Hospital Project under Section 112 is located within the Eliot boundary but will be excluded from the NDP. See Exhibit H, Condition of Structures Map.
- (4) Criteria Used in Assigning Residential Character to Properties
   In Mixed Use and Vacant Parcels.
  - (a) Improved parcels used for both residential and nonresidential purposes are considered to be of residential character if 51% or more of the floor space is devoted to residential use.
  - (b) Vacant parcels are considered residential if:
    - They are used for residential accessory purposes (parking, gardens, etc.) or

- They are mixed in with improved residential parcels or with residential type improvements in areas appropriate for continued residential use.
- f. Statement Explaining How the Workable Program for Community Improvement Is of Sufficient Scope and Content to Furnish a Basis for Evaluating The Need for Urban Renewal Activities, How the Area is Identified For Renewal Treatment, and How Proposed NDP Activities are in General Accord With the Workable Program.

The current Workable Program for Community Improvement for the City of Portland is being reviewed by HUD for recertification. The program was previously certified by HUD on February 1, 1970 for the period February 1, 1970 to January 31, 1972. The Comprehensive Development Plan developed by the City Planning Commission in 1966 is a basic element of the Workable Program, and identifies the Eliot neighborhood as an area for public and semi-public development. Planning studies to update this Comprehensive Development Plan is a continuing function of the Portland City Planning Commission and its staff. Copies of the 1966 General Plan Map are on file in the Regional Office of the Department of Housing and Urban Development, and copies of all the Planning Commission's study reports relating to the Comprehensive Plan

adopted since the 1966 publication of the General Plan Map have been forwarded to the Regional Office of the Department of Housing and Urban Development as a part of the Workable Program recertification submissions. The Planning Commission has recently published a Preliminary Comprehensive Development Plan report for the Model Cities Area which describes recommended land uses and proposals in greater detail than is shown on the 1966 Plan. This Plan recommends mixed density housing with public and semi-public development for the west end of the neighborhood and industrial uses for the southwest portion. (A copy of the Model Cities Comprehensive Development Plan report is submitted to HUD Area Office under separate cover).

The City of Portland Planning Commission staff in 1967 completed <u>The Community Renewal Program</u> (CRP) Report. (Submitted to HUD for review and approved in February, 1968). This study provides the City with a thorough assessment of blight throughout the City of Portland and offers recommendations for comprehensive, longrange treatment.

The CRP identifies the Eliot Area as part of the Albina-Woodlawn blighted area in Northeast Portland, and proposes a strategy of encirclement and confinement of blight by undertaking initial treatment of areas around its periphery followed by an attack on the

lower Albina blight core itself. The NDP is now taking place on the periphery, and with the inclusion of Eliot the final step in this strategy can be carried out.

- g. If Required by State or Local Law, Evidence that each Urban Renewal Area As a Whole, or any Identified Clearance and Redevelopment Sections Thereof, Has Been Properly Designated by the Local Planning or Other Agency. Not Applicable.
- h. If Any Area is to be Excluded from the Urban Renewal Area, Reasons
   For Exclusion, and Description of Compatibility of Uses and Conditions
   Therein With Surrounding Area.

The Emanuel Hospital Project Area will be excluded. This Project is being carried out under Section 112 of the Housing Act for hospital redevelopment.

#### 2. PART B. Clearance and Redevelopment Area Report.

A limited amount of clearance activities in the southwest corner of the Eliot area is contemplated during the initial phase of the NDP for public and commercial re-use. However, properties are not more specifically identified at this time and details regarding specific land uses are now being developed. Additional documentation under this subsection will be provided along with an Urban Renewal Plan for this area in the near future, prior to any clearance actions taken under the NDP.

#### ND 303 AREA ELIGIBILITY DATA - (Eliot), Cont<sup>1</sup>d

#### 3. PART C. Spot Clearance Report.

Not Applicable at this time. No spot clearance is proposed for this Action Year. A spot clearance report will be submitted to HUD prior to the commencement of such activities which may take place in subsequent NDP Action Years. Spot clearance parcels are not identified at this time.

#### 4. PART D. Specific Substandardness Criteria to Justify Clearance.

Criteria to be used to justify clearance will be the same as that being used to qualify clearance in the Woodlawn and Irvington NDP areas A-5-1 and A-5-2. This criteria is described in the initial NDP application for the Action Year July 1, 1970 to July 1, 1971 in Woodlawn and Irvington,

# Submission Requirements for Alternate Approach. Not Applicable.

#### ND 303 AREA ELIGIBILITY DATA - (NORTHWEST)

#### 1. PART A. Urban Renewal Area Report

a. Locality Map

Attached hereto is a Locality Map, Exhibit A, which identifies the following:

- (1) Boundaries of NDP.
- (2) Boundaries of other Urban Renewal Areas.
- (3) Central Business District.
- (4) Model Cities Area.
- (5) OEO poverty areas.
- (6) Major thoroughfares.

#### b. Map of NDP Locality.

See Exhibit A, Locality Map.

#### c. Map of NDP Area and Vicinity

The following items are identified on maps attached as exhibits:

1

(1)	Boundaries -	Exhibit I.
(2)	Existing Land Use -	Exhibit I.
(3)	Total and number of buildings, with deficiencies in each block -	Exhibit J.
(4)	General distribution of Environmental deficiencies ~	Exhibit D.

# d. <u>Statement Explaining Selection of Area, Delineation of Boundaries, and</u> Relationship to Local Renewal Objectives.

Northwest Portland is one of the City's most unique neighborhoods. The area offers a variety of activities and services, and its population includes people of diverse life styles. The area, however,

is beginning to show symptoms of a declining neighborhood and the unique quality of its environment is being threatened. The following information describes existing conditions.

#### Land Use and Zoning

Northwest Portland has the most diverse mixture of land uses of any comparable sized area in the City. Uses range from heavy manufacturing and hospital use, to huge retail outlets and small "head shops", to single family dwellings and high rise apartments. There has been a steady expansion of industrial and other nonresidential uses over the years, the result being the erosion of the housing supply and its environment. Between 1965 and 1969, this erosion amounted to a net loss of approximately 330 housing units. Industrial, commercial, service organizations, single family, and multi-family residential usage is not only common in the area, but also exists within the same blocks. There is a trend toward increasing use of A0 zoned (high density apartment) land for office, medical clinic, parking lots, or other non-residential purposes.

The area is still going through this change, and land development is approaching a saturation point. A proposed 1-505 Freeway through the area north of the neighborhood will have a significant impact on the neighborhood as would a proposal by Good Samaritan Hospital to expand their facilities. A recently completed housing complex in the neighborhood for elderly with 101 units under Housing Authority of Portland sponsorship also affects the area. These changes, with other changes by industrial and commercial interests have resulted in a population reduction with an increase in population density.

There is a high degree of substandardness in the apartment house areas of the neighborhood -- nearing 50% or more for most of the area. This deterioration is especially bad in those residential areas within or next to predominantly non-residential sections of the Northwest. If the diversity of the Northwest neighborhood environment is to survive, the predominantly residential areas need to be protected from extensive encroachment by industry and other incompatible land uses.

Relative to recreational facilities, this neighborhood has the poorest rating in the City. Very little open space is available other than two small poorly equipped parks.

#### Traffic and Transportation

The traffic congestion problem is critical. Heavy traffic circulates on residential streets not designed to handle present volumes of traffic and there is very little off-street parking available. On many streets there is not enough space for on-street parking and traffic circultation, yet on-street parking is mandatory because of the lack of off-street parking for the high density apartments and commercial enterprises.

The partially completed I-405 Freeway has alleviated part of the congestion, but more traffic planning needs to be done in the neighborhood in order to eliminate the problem. Residential traffic conflicts with traffic generated by industrial and commercial uses, and the resultant congestion is beneficial to neither group. Traffic problems

in the Northwest are among the worst in the City, and the Traffic Engineer has assigned a high priority to traffic planning in this area.

#### Population and Social Conditions

The Northwest has several population characteristics unique in Portland, which are closely related to the extensive apartment development. Mobility rates are very high. Population figures show that there is a polarization of age toward young adults and elderly. Generally, it can be defined as a residential area for young adults awaiting marriage; adults who are not married, or if married have no children; and senior citizens whose families have grown up and left home. The Northwest is one of Portland's highest population density areas. Densities range from 24-59 persons per acre; compared to 11-15 persons per acre east of the Willamette River and about 4 persons per acre in Southwest Portland.

There is a high percentage of unmarrieds and the majority of area residents are renters. Only 16.8% are homeowners. Current surveys show that there is a high influx of youth and communal residents ("hippies") and a high out-migration of families. The average family size in the area is only 1.8 persons and at least 40% of all residents are unrelated.

Incomes in the Northwest are well below City-wide averages and there is a high rate of unemployment. The Northwest has become a neighborhood

of necessity for many of these people because of the low rents, social conveniences, convenience of public transportation services and shopping facilities, and proximity to employment in the downtown area.

The need for health care is great in this area. Nuch of the need is due to the high percentage of elderly and their lack of mobility and individuals of lower incomes. Available information suggests three things about health care in the Northwest.

- General health problems and needs are greater in Northwest
   Portland than in Multnomah County as a whole;
- (2) Health problems are generally more chronic and persistent for the individual;
- (3) There is more reliance in the Northwest upon public health facilities than private.

The Northwest is neither a high or low crime rate area. According to police records, the overall incidence of Class I and Class II crimes in the Northwest about equals that of the City: 144 crimes per 1000 population vs. 147.9 crimes per 1000 population for the City in 1970. However, the incidence of the more serious Class I crimes (rape, robbery, homicide, etc.) is higher in the Northwest than City wide (92.5 in the Northwest vs. 83.9 in the City). The predominantly nonresidential area along the 1-405 Freeway stands out as the center of most crimes and as the area showing the fastest increase.

Source of Information:

Northwest Comprehensive Plan Interim Report No. 1, Hay 1971 Northwest Comprehensive Plan Interim Report No. 2, Aug. 1971 Community Renewal Program (CRP), Sept. 1967 Portland's Industrial Areas, April, 1967 Portland's Commercial Areas, Oct. 1966 Portland's Residential Areas, Oct. 1965 Portland City Planning Commission

#### Delineation of Boundaries

The Northwest NDP area is generally bounded on the north by N. W. Thurman Street, on the east by the 1-405 Freeway, on the south by W. Burnside Street, and on the west by N. W. Westover and Cornell Roads and N. W. 23th Avenue.

The area between N. W. Thurman and N. W. Vaughn Streets also qualifies for NDP treatment under the regulations, but is excluded at this time. Studies are currently underway to determine the route for the proposed 1-505 Freeway and an alternate corridor is the area north of Thurman Street. When a final determination is made regarding the freeway, this area will be included in the NDP.

Selection of boundaries was made on the basis of distribution of physical and environmental blight and needs for neighborhood improvements based on a Comprehensive Development Plan for the Northwest by the Portland City Planning Commission. Such determination was made without regard to race, color, sex, or national origin of residents.

#### Relationship to Local Renewal Objectives

Proposed activities in the Northwest district under the NDP will conform to goals and objectives developed under a recently completed planning study of the district by the Portland City Planning Commission. Following is a list of some goals under the <u>Northwest Comprehensive Plan</u> which will serve as guidelines for project activities::

#### Housing

- (1) Preserve and rehabilitate existing housing.
- (2) Improve housing conditions for residents of the Northwest who represent all age groups, levels of income, life styles, renters and home owners.

#### Land Use

Enhance the urban residential environment for a diverse population through:

- (1) improved zoning regulations and procedures;
- (2) enhancement of the visual environment;
- (3) reduction and elimination of incompatible land uses and blight factors;
- (4) increase of residential land uses;
- (5) enhancement and protection of residential or predominantly residential areas bordering on industrial and commercial areas;
- (6) preservation of commercial areas within the district for small scale, convenience business;
- (7) location of large scale, regionally supported business along the perimeter of the district,

#### Transportation and Traffic

- Preserve streets within the district insofar as possible for local use, and limit through traffic by diversion to peripheral routes.
- (2) Integrate systems of movement into and within the district and promote their compatibility in a way which enhances and preserves the liveability of the Northwest district.
- (3) Improve the efficiency of on and off-street parking to gain maximum use of existing facilities.

#### Human Resources

- Provide improved and additional recreational, cultural and socialization opportunities to the residents of the district.
- (2) Create circumstances that will offer a greater sense of meaning and purpose in the lives of the people of the Northwest.

The 1967 <u>Community Renewal Program</u> discusses broad objectives for preservation of the Northwest neighborhood. This report recommends that steps be taken to alleviate conflicts between industrial and residential areas of the district, and discusses the need for intensive traffic planning to alleviate traffic congestion in the Northwest. The report also recognizes the need to preserve the residential areas of the neighborhood which includes Portland's only remaining concentration of 19th Century commercial and residential buildings. These objectives coincide with objectives for action under the NDP.

e. <u>Evidence Supporting Eligibility of the Northwest District Urban</u> <u>Renewal Area</u>.

The Northwest District qualifies under Category I. The area is built up and predominantly residential. (See Land Use Hap, Exhibit I).

(1) Criteria Developed and Used in Classifying Buildings With

#### Deficiencies.

Determination of the extent of deficiencies in buildings was based on criteria developed and used by the City's Bureau of Buildings. Such criteria is based on the age of the structure and condition of various structural and exterior elements on a building. Depreciation factors based on structural age and type of construction were also applied to non-residential structures.

## (2) Description of Type of Surveys Nade and Date of Surveys.

A visual survey of the exterior of each structure was made by City building inspectors in early 1970. A sample survey form used to document conditions is attached hereto as Exhibit K. Results of this survey were spot checked in the field by LPA staff in March, 1972.

(3) Justification for the Inclusions of Any Sizeable Area Not Meeting "Distribution of Deficiencies Test".

There is no sizeable area included which does not meet the "Distribution of Deficiencies Test". There is, however, a small area around Chapman School that appears to be in good condition, but is included. This area was included to allow for a reasonable boundary alignment, and to allow for treatment of deficiencies that affect the area due to its proximity to the

rest of the district containing deficiencies. There is also a possibility that planning and improvement activities for the Chapman School Area will be necessary in order to meet neighborhood improvement objectives.

- (4) Criteria Used in Assigning Residential Character to Properties In Mixed Use and Vacant Parcels.
  - (a) Improved parcels used for both residential and non-residential purposes are considered to be of residential character if 51% or more of the floor space is devoted to residential use.
  - (b) Vacant parcels are considered residential if:
    - They are used for residential accessory purposes (parking, gardens, etc.) or
    - They are mixed in with improved residential parcels or with residential type improvements in areas appropriate for continued residential use.
- f. <u>Statement Explaining How the Workable Program for Community Improvement</u> <u>Is of Sufficient Scope and Content to Furnish a Basis for Evaluating</u> <u>The Need for Urban Renewal Activities, How the Area is Identified For</u> <u>Renewal Treatment, and How Proposed NDP Activities are in General</u> <u>Accord with the Workable Program.</u>

The current Workable Program for the City of Portland is presently being reviewed by HUD for re-certification. The Workable Program was previously certified for the period February 1, 1970 to January 31, 1972. Statements in the Workable Program identify the extent of the City's

physical, social, racial, and economic problems. The magnitude of these problems clearly indicate the need for continued urban renewal activities throughout the City. The report shows that close to 20% of the dwelling units in Portland are substandard and that 15% of all Portland families have incomes of less than \$3,000. As one would assume, these conditions are concentrated in older sections of the City like the Northwest district.

The Workable Program cites the needs for physical improvements throughout the City as described in the <u>Community Renewal Program</u> (CRP), 1967 report. Problems in the Northwest described in the CRP are the same as those described above under Section 1.d.

The Comprehensive Development Plan for the City of Portland has also been a part of the Workable Program, and identifies the Worthwest area as predominantly residential with non-residential uses at the northeast and east section of the neighborhood along the 1-405 and the proposed 1-505 Freeways.

Proposals for action activities and goals for improvement of the Northwest neighborhood will alleviate the aforementioned deficiencies and further the goals under Portland's Vorkable Program.

g. <u>If Required by State or Local Law, Evidence that each Urban Renewal</u> <u>Area As a Whole, or any Identified Clearance and Redevelopment Sec-</u> <u>tions Thereof, Has Been Properly Designated by the Local Planning or</u> <u>Other Agency</u>.

Not Applicable.
#### ND 303 AREA ELIGIBILITY DATA, Cont'd

h. If Any Area is to be Excluded from the Urban Renewal Area, Reasons For Exclusion, and Description of Compatability of Uses and Conditions Therein With Surrounding Area. Not Applicable.

### 2. PART B. Clearance and Redevelopment Area Report.

It is anticipated that some clearance and redevelopment activity will be carried out in this area under the NDP during the initial phase. However, such areas have not yet been specifically identified. LPA Staff is presently working with the Northwest District Association in trying to identify such areas within the very near future in conjunction with the preparation of an Urban Renewal Plan for the neighborhood. The locally adopted Urban Renewal Plan will be submitted within the time limit specified under HUD regulations along with other specific information under this section.

### 3. PART C. Spot Clearance Report.

Spot clearance activities will be minimal in the first phase of the NDP in the Northwest district. Properties for spot clearance have not been identified as yet, but will be identified prior to submission of the Northwest Urban Renewal Plan along with development of other documentation required under this section.

### 4. PART D. Specific Substandardness Criteria to Justify Clearance.

Criteria to be used to justify clearance will be the same as that being used to qualify clearance in the Woodlawn and Irving NDP Areas A-5-1

### ND 303 AREA ELIGIBILITY DATA, Cont'd

and A-5-2. This criteria is described in the initial NDP application for the Action Year July 1, 1970 to July 1, 1971 in Woodlawn and Irvington.

### 5. <u>Submission Requirements for Alternate Approach</u>.

Not Applicable



MODEL CITIES AREA

- NDP AREAS
- ---- OEO POVERTY AREAS
  - EXISTING URBAN RENEWAL PROJECTS

### LOCALITY MAP NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM PORTLAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION MAY 1972









# environmental conditions











### CITY OF PORTLAND

HOUSING CONDITION SURVEY for NORTHWEST COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

Date
ITEM I - IDENTIFICATION
A. Address
B. Lot \_\_\_\_\_ C. Block \_\_\_\_\_\_
D. Addition \_\_\_\_\_

ITEM II - HISTORY

A. Date Constructed

B. Date and Description of Additions or Alterations since 1950

ITEM III - EXTERIOR OF BUILDING

		CONDITION			RATING	
		D	P	F	G	NA
Α.	FOUNDATION					
	Height above grade; condition			1		
Β.	SIDING			1		
с.	Condition of material, paint, mortar, etc. EAVES AND CORNICE					
<b>~</b>	Condition of material and brackets				-	++
D.	ROOF					
Е.	GUTTER AND DOWNSPOUTS					
F.	EXTERIOR FIREPLACE AND CHIMNEY					
	Check for settlement					
G.	PORCHES					
	Check for settlement and rot					
н.	EXTERIOR DOORS AND FRAMES		-	<u> </u>		
I.	WINDOWS AND FRAMES					
J.	EXTERIOR STAIRS				-	
	Rise-run condition			1		1
K.	FIRE EXCAPES					

ND 303 Exhibit K Page 1

### HOUSING CONDITION SURVEY

Address

ITE	M III - EXTERIOR OF BUILDING CONT	I - EXTERIOR OF BUILDING CONTINUES						
				CONDITION RATIN				
				D	P	F	G	NA
L.	COURTS							
м.	ACCESSORY BUILDING(S)							
	General Condition							
OVE	RALL CONDITION RATING				Γ	1	1	
REM	ARKS							
							_	
							1	
	The second se	이 아파 이 아파						34) (4)

ND 303 Exhibit K Page 2 URBAN RENEWAL PLAN (ND 401)

4

SUPPLEMENT NO. 3

for

### WOODLAWN NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

ORE. A-5-1

Portland, Oregon

April 10, 1972

Prepared by the Portland Development Commission, the Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Portland

> 1700 S. W. Fourth Avenue Portland, Oregon 97201

### THIRD SUPPLEMENT TO URBAN RENEWAL PLAN for WOODLAWN NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT (ORE, A-5-1)

The Urban Renewal Plan for the Woodlawn Neighborhood Development Project, approved by the City Council of the City of Portland by Resolution No. 30661, adopted on March 4, 1970, as supplemented, is hereby further amended and supplemented as follows:

 Section C, Subsection 3.b(1) is hereby amended by deleting the following paragraph:

"The portion of N. E. Claremont Avenue right-of-way located within the neighborhood park site, as shown on the Woodlawn Area Park Site Property Map (Exhibit C-1) will be closed to vehicular traffic."

2. Section C. Subsection 3.b. (2), entitled "(2) Vacation and Extension of Streets in Neighborhood Park and Multi-Family Housing Site, Phase 2" is hereby amended by deleting the entire subparagraph (2) as so entitled, and by substituting the following: "(2) Circulation Patterns, Multi-Family Housing Site. That portion of N. E. Bryant St., N. E. 13th Ave., N. E. Winona St., except any portion lying within the intersection of N. E. Winona St. and N. E. Claremont Ave., N. E. Dean St., and the alley running east and west between N. E. Bryant St. and N. E. Morgan St., lying within the Multi-Family Housing Project site will be vacated. The internal circulation pattern for the multi-family housing site will be developed at the time the site plan is prepared. The circulation pattern will provide for access by pedestrians, bicycles and motor vehicles and may reserve access rights for the public."

Page 1 - THIRD SUPPLEMENT TO URBAN RENEWAL PLAN FOR WOODLAWN NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

- 3. Section D, Subsection 2.c. (2), entitled <u>"(2) Woodlawn Neighbor-hood Park and Multi-Family Housing Site, Phase 2"</u>, is hereby amend-ed by deleting the entire subparagraph (2) as so entitled.
- 4. Section D, Subsection 2.d. is hereby supplemented by adding a subparagraph thereto to be designated, entitled, and to read as follows:

### "(1) Woodlawn Neighborhood Multi-Family Housing Project.

The property identified for housing in the Woodlawn Area Land Use Plan, (Park and Multi-Family Housing), Exhibit F, will be or has been under prior authorization acquired and cleared for redevelopment for multi-family dwellings in order to meet the diverse needs of the community in terms of cost, size, and types of housing within the Woodlawn Area. Building density will be at a maximum of 20 units per acre within the Multi-Family Housing site. Housing will be provided for a variety of family sizes and incomes. The properties to be or which have been acquired comprise the area bounded generally as follows:

### Page 2 - THIRD SUPPLEMENT TO URBAN RENEWAL PLAN FOR WOODLAWN NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

The following described property located in the City of Portland, County of Multnomah, State of Oregon:

Beginning at the most westerly corner of Lot 10. Block 13. Woodlawn; thence northeasterly along the back lot lines of Blocks 13 and 14, Woodlawn, and their extensions across N. E. Claremont Avenue to the westerly line of N. E. 13th Avenue; thence northerly along the westerly line of N. E. 13th Avenue to the intersection of the westerly extension of the northerly line of the alley in Block 5, Lowell; thence easterly along the northerly line of the alley in Block 5, Lowell, and the westerly extension thereof to the westerly line of N. E. 15th Avenue; thence southerly along the westerly line of N. E. 15th Avenue to the northerly line of N. E. Saratoga Street; thence westerly along the northerly line of N. E. Saratoga Street and the westerly extension thereof to the westerly line of N. E. 13th Avenue; thence southerly along the westerly line of N. E. 13th Avenue and the southerly extension thereof a distance of 250 feet, more or less, to its intersection with the westerly extension of the northerly line of N. E. Dekum Street from Block 25, Columbia Heights; thence westerly along the westerly extension of the northerly line of N. E. Dekum Street from Block 25, Columbia Heights, to its intersection with the southeasterly extension of the northeasterly line of N. E. Claremont Avenue; thence northwesterly along the southeasterly extension of the northeasterly line of N. E. Claremont Avenue to the northwesterly line of N. E. Dean Street; thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of N. E. Dean Street and its extension across N. E. Claremont Avenue to the northerly line of N. E. Dekum Street; thence westerly along the northerly line of N. E. Dekum Street to the westerly corner of Lot 5, Block 17, Woodlawn; thence northwesterly along the southwesterly boundary line of Lots 5, 4, and 3, Block 17, and the northwesterly extension thereof across N. E. Winona Street and along the southwesterly boundary line of Lot 10, Block 13, Woodlawn, to the point of beginning.

Page 3 - THIRD SUPPLEMENT TO URBAN RENEWAL PLAN FOR WOODLAWN NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT and include the following described parcels of real property:

Parcel No.	Legal Description
L5-14 & 15	Lots 14 & 15, Block 5, LOWELL ADDITION
L5-A	Lot A, Block 5, LOWELL ADDITION
L6-3	Lot 3, Block 6, LOWELL ADDITION
L6-4 & S14-TL10	Lot 4, Block 6, LOWELL ADDITION: & Tax Lot 10, Sec. 14-1N-1E (0.02 Ac.)
SI4-TL8	Tax Lot 8, Section 14-IN-IE (0.19 Ac.)
S14-TL11	Tax Lot 11, Section 14-1N-1E (0.09 Ac.)
\$14-TL12	Tax Lot 12, Section 14-1N-1E (0.40 Ac.)
\$14-TL120	Tax Lot 120, Section 14-1N-1E (0.11 Ac.)
\$14-TL136	Tax Lot 136, Section 14-1N-1E (0.01 Ac.)
14-9 & 10	Lots 9 & 10, EXC pt tkn for st. & EXC pt vac st NEly of & adj., Block 14, WOODLAWN
14-11	Lot 11, Block 14, WOODLAWN
14-12	Lot 12, Block 14, WOODLAWN
14-13	Lot 13, Block 14, WOODLAWN
14-14	Lot 14, Block 14, WOODLAWN
14-15	Lot 15, Block 14, WOODLAWN
14-16	Lot 16, Block 14, WOODLAWN
16-3 & 4	Lot 4, EXC pt tkn for St; All Lot 3, Block 16, WOODLAWN
16-5	Lot 5, EXC pt tkn for st; Block 16, WOODLAWN
CH3-TL1	Tax Lot 1 of Lot 1, North Block 3, COLUMBIA HEIGHTS
CH3-TL2	Tax Lot 2 of North Block 3, (W53' of Lot 2) COLUMBIA HEIGHTS
CH3-TL3	Tax Lot 3 of Lots 1 & 2, North Block 3, COLUMBIA HEIGHTS
CH3-3	Lot 3, North Block 3, COLUMBIA HEIGHTS

Page 4 - THIRD SUPPLEMENT TO URBAN RENEWAL PLAN FOR WOODLAWN NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

СН3-4	Lot 4, Except part in st., North Block 3, COLUMBIA HEIGHTS
CH3-TL5	Tax Lot 5 of Lot 1, North Block 3, COLUMBIA HEIGHTS
L5-11/12	Lot 11 and the N30' of Lot 12, Block 5, LOWELL ADDITION
L5-12/13	S10 <sup>1</sup> of Lot 12 and all Lot 13, Block 5, LOWELL ADDITION
L6-1	Lot 1, Block 6, LOWELL ADDITION
L6-2	Lot 2, Block 6, LOWELL ADDITION
13-9	Lot 9, Block 13, WOODLAWN
13-10	Lot 10, Block 13, WOODLAWN
16-1	Lot 1, Block 16, WOODLAWN
16-2	Lot 2, Block 16, WOODLAWN
16-6 & 7	Lot 6, Exc. pt. tkn for st. Lot 7, Block 16, WOODLAWN
16-8	Lot 8, Block 16, WOODLAWN
17-3	Lot 3, Block 17, WOODLAWN
17-4	Lot 4, Block 17, WOODLAWN
17-5	Lot 5, Block 17, WOODLAWN"

5. Exhibit E, Woodlawn Area Proposed Land Use Map, Phase 2, is hereby amended. Said Exhibit E as amended, shall hereafter be designated as Exhibit F, Woodlawn Area Land Use Plan (Park and Multi-Family Housing).

Page 5 - THIRD SUPPLEMENT TO URBAN RENEWAL PLAN FOR WOODLAWN NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT



### URBAN RENEWAL PLAN (ND 401)

### SUPPLEMENT NO. 1 for

### KING-VERNON-SABIN NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

ORE. A-5-3

Portland, Oregon

April 10,1972

Prepared by the Portland Development Commission, the Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Portland

> 1700 S. W. Fourth Avenue Portland, Oregon 97201

#### ND 401 URBAN RENEWAL PLAN - SUPPLEMENT NO. 1

The Urban Renewal Plan for the King-Vernon-Sabin Neighborhood Development Project, approved by the Portland City Council by Resolution No. 30991 and adopted on December 15, 1971, is hereby amended and supplemented as follows:

 Section C, <u>General Land Use Plan</u>, Subsection 2.c. is hereby supplemented by adding a subparagraph thereto to be designated, entitled, and to read as follows:

### Community Facility Land Use

A community facility may be developed on the site within the King-Vernon-Sabin area as shown on the attached <u>Land Use Plan</u>, Exhibit C. Acquisition, relocation, clearance, and development activities for such facility will be carried out under the HUD Neighborhood Facility Grant Program. Size and location of the facility are to be determined on the basis of community need and guidelines established by HUD for development of such a facility.

The facility will be multi-use to provide facilities for social, health, recreational, and other public services to serve residents of the area.

 Section D. Urban Renewal Techniques to be Used to Achieve Plan Obiectives, Subsection 2.c. is hereby further supplemented by adding a subparagraph thereto to be designated, entitled, and to read as follows:

Acquisition and Clearance of Properties for Development of a Community Facility.

> ND 401 Page 1

Properties within the King-Vernon-Sabin area may be acquired and cleared for redevelopment of a Community Facility under the Department of Housing and Urban Development Neighborhood Facility Grant Program. The designated area for the facility includes the following described parcels of real property:

Parcel No.	Legal Description		
L2-4	Lot 4, Block 2, LESHS Addition		
L2-5	Lot 5, Block 2, LESHS Addition		
L2-6	Lot 6, Block 2, LESHS Addition		
L2-7	Lot 7, Block 2, LESHS Addition		
L2-8	Lot 8, Block 2, LESHS Addition		
L2-9	Lot 9, Block 2, LESHS Addition		
DH2-1, 2 & D	Lot D; Lots 1 and 2, Block 2, Davis Highland		
DH2-23, 24 & C	Lot C; Lots 23 and 24, Block 2, Davis Highland		

3. The Urban Renewal Plan is hereby amended and supplemented by appending thereto exhibits to be designated and entitled "Exhibit C - Land Use Plan - Community Facility".



### ND 401 URBAN RENEWAL PLANS - (Eliot, Northwest)

Urban Renewal Plans for the Eliot and Northwest areas are not prepared at this time. Planning in both areas is now underway to determine action programs and to develop details needed to develop Urban Renewal Plans.

Locally adopted Urban Renewal Plans for each of these two areas will be submitted to HUD within the time limit following program approval prescribed by the NDP regulations and prior to commencement of action activities.

### ND 402 REPORT ON URBAN RENEWAL PLAN AND PLANNING ACTIVITIES REPORTS (Woodlawn, Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin, Boise-Humboldt)

### 1. Report on Urban Renewal Plan

Not Applicable. Urban Renewal Plans for each of the 4 NDP Areas have previously been submitted, and no substantial changes have been made other than the Supplements for Woodlawn and King-Vernon-Sabin shown in Section ND 401.

### 2. Planning Activity Reports

a. <u>Disposition and Rehabilitation Zoning Proposals</u>.
 Not Applicable. No zone changes are anticipated in any NDP area during this Action Year.

#### b. Acquisition and Clearance

 Statement of Basis for Determination that Objectives of the Urban Renewal Plan Cannot be Achieved Through Rehabilitation of Areas Designated for Clearance.

Recreational, health, and cultural facilities are very limited in each of the NDP neighborhoods. In the King-Vernon-Sabin neighborhood, residents have placed a high priority on the need to have a community facility to provide for these activities. As a result, an application with supporting data for a Neighborhood Facility Grant from HUD is now being prepared by the City of Portland and the Model Cities Agency for development of the community facility. Acquisition for this facility will involve eight properties.

In Boise-Humboldt, the overriding need is for new housing to replace dilapidated buildings. On this basis, the Boise-Humboldt

### ND 402 REPORT ON URBAN RENEWAL PLAN AND PLANNING ACTIVITY REPORTS, Cont'd (Woodlawn, Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin, Boise-Humboldt)

Coordinating Committee has designated the area south of Fremont Street for relocation, clearance, and redevelopment of housing. Structural conditions in this area are among the worst in the Boise-Humboldt, but specific properties for relocation and clearance have not yet been designated. Citizens and planners are presently working toward this end in order that clearance activities can be carried out in the 3rd Action Year.

Clearance activities in Moodlawn during the 3rd Action Year will be carried out as a result of the Woodlawn Neighborhood Association's desire to see more housing and park space developed in their neighborhood. The first phase of acquisitions during the Action Year will be done with 2nd Action Year funds and such properties (18 parcels) are shown in Exhibits B and C in Section ND 303. Other acquistions will involve 8 parcels for additional park space and development of a proposed community center. These other acquisitions will be made with 3rd Action Year funds, but are not identified specifically at this time. The majority of properties contemplated for acquisition and clearance are structurally substandard.

- (2) <u>Identification of Historic Sites or Structures for Clearance</u> Not Applicable.
- c. <u>Historic/Architectural Preservation</u>.

Not Applicable.

### ND 402 REPORT ON URBAN RENEWAL PLAN AND PLANNING ACTIVITY REPORTS, Cont'd (Woodlawn, Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin, Boise-Humboldt)

- d. Minority Group Considerations
  - Affirmative Action Taken or to be Taken to Assure Equal
     Opportunity and Nondiscrimination.

The Portland Development Commission will take affirmative action to assure that there will be equal opportunity and non-discrimination regardless of race, color, creed, sex, or national origin by requiring such a clause to be included in each contract for demolition, construction, or development on any property owned or sold by the Portland Development Commission. Also, such a provision will be inserted in any deed or lease in which the Portland Development Commission is a party. A statement assuring compliance with this policy will also be required of each agency or body generating non-cash local grant-in-aid.

(2) <u>Statement Explaining How Plan Proposals and Activities Will</u> <u>Contribute to a Reduction in the Concentration of Minority</u> <u>Group Families and to the Promotion of Equal Opportunity in</u> <u>Housing</u>.

All NDP Project Areas are integrated neighborhoods. Experience has shown that neighborhood rehabilitation increases the desirability of the neighborhood to the point that integrated neighborhoods are maintained and concentration of minorities avoided.

(a) The effect of the proposed activities upon minority group concentration within the community at large will be minimal

# <u>ND 402 REPORT ON URBAN RENEWAL PLAN AND PLANNING ACTIVITY REPORTS</u>, Cont'd (Woodlawn, Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin, Boise-Humboldt)

while at the same time maintaining the integrated nature of all NDP Areas.

- (b) Families that are displaced as a result of action activities will be given free choice and relocation assistance to locate where they desire. The Housing Authority has leased housing in all parts of the City and those displaced will be encouraged and given assistance to relocate into any part of the City they desire, in public or private housing.
- (c) As indicated above, rehabilitation in the NDP neighborhoods will tend to prevent concentration of minority groups and maintain neighborhoods as integrated areas.
- (d) Since the racial characteristics of each neighborhood will remain generally unchanged by Project activities, schools and other public facilities serving families living in these Areas will not be affected by such activities. The Portland School District does have an affirmative policy to weaken concentration of minority groups in particular schools and is presently considering further action to achieve racial balance in the schools.
- (3) <u>Statement of Overriding Considerations that Dictate Undertaking</u> of Activities

### ND 402 REPORT ON URBAN RENEWAL PLAN AND PLANNING ACTIVITY REPORTS, Cont'd (Voodlawn, Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin, Boise-Humboldt)

Plan proposals and activities for rehabilitation, housing, and recreational developments, and other public improvements will contribute to the livability of an integrated neighborhood and serve to prevent the concentration of minority groups which is an overriding consideration.

(4) <u>Statement on Substantial Reduction in Supply of Housing to Minority</u> <u>Group Families and Proposals for Provision of Standard Housing Else</u>where in the Locality.

During the life of the project there will not be a reduction in the supply of housing for minority group families. The overall quantity of housing units in Project Areas will be maintained by clearance of substandard and incompatible structures and redevelopment of new housing units. In fact, total housing may increase as a result of residential rehabilitation activities and development of other new housing. Densities may vary in different sections of the Project Areas to allow for orderly mixtures of housing types and improvement and development of essential non-residential uses to serve neighborhood needs.

## (5) Description of Consultation With and Participation by Minority Group Representatives in Planning and Other Activities. Citizen groups and working committees from each neighborhood, with technical assistance from the LPA and planning consultants, have prepared proposals and priorities forming the basis for their neighborhood plans. All neighborhood groups and committees include minority group representatives.

# ND 402 REPORT ON URBAN RENEWAL PLAN AND PLANNING ACTIVITY REPORTS, Cont'd (Eliot, Northwest)

Urban Renewal Plans for Eliot and the Northwest have not been prepared at this time. Planning in these two areas is underway and is geared toward developing the necessary details for developing the Urban Renewal Plan. Locally adopted Urban Renewal Plans and documentation under this section will be submitted within the time limit prescribed by HUD regulations prior to commencement of action activities.

### 1. Real Estate Acquisition Activity Report

This report describes acquisition activities that will be carried out in the Action Year in all NDP areas through spot clearance and clearance of other identified areas for redevelopment.

### a. <u>Real Estate Acquisition Activity Program</u>

It is estimated that 20 properties from all NDP areas will be acquired under spot clearance activities during the Action Year. Such properties are not identified at this time, but will be identified in the course of structural inspections for rehabilitation activities in each of the NDP areas. Such properties will be those that are economically infeasible of rehabilitation. Following is a list of properties, by NDP areas, that have been identified for acquisition and clearance during the Action Year.

### Woodlawn (See also Exhibit A)

13	Parcel No.	Legal Description
۱.	CH3-TL1	Tax Lot 1 of Lot 1, North Block 3, Columbia Heights
2.	CH3-TL2	Tax Lot 2 of North Block 3, (453' of Lot 2) Columbia Heights
3.	CH3-TL3	Tax Lot 3 of Lots 1 and 2, North Block 3, Columbia Heights
4.	CH3-3	Lot 3, North Block 3, Columbia Heights
5.	СН3-4	Lot 4, Except part in street, North Block 3, Columbia Heights
6.	CH3-TL5	Tax Lot 5 of Lot 1, North Block 3, Columbia Heights
7.	L5-11/12	Lot 11 and the N30' of Lot 12, Block 5, Lowell Addition

ND 501 Page 1

1	Parcel No.	Legal Description
8.	L5-12/13	SlO' of Lot 12 and all Lot 13, Block 5, Lowell Addition
9.	LG-1	Lot 1, Block 6, Lowell Addition
10.	L6-2	Lot 2, Block 6, Lowell Addition
н.	13-10	Lot 10, Block 13, Woodlawn
12.	16-1	Lot 1, Block 16, Woodlawn
13.	16-2	Lot 2, Block 16, Woodlawn
14.	16-6/7	Lot 6, Except part taken for street, Lot 7, Block 16, Woodlawn
15.	16-8	Lot 8, Block 16, Woodlawn
16.	17-3	Lot 3, Block 17, Woodlawn
17.	17-4	Lot 4, Block 17, Woodlawn
18.	17-5	Lot 5, Block 17, Woodlawn

The above properties will be acquired with Second Action Year funds. These parcels are adjacent to the existing site for proposed multifamily housing and will be combined with the existing site for such development.

It is anticipated that approximately 8 other parcels adjacent to the west end of the existing park site in Woodlawn will also be acquired in the Third Action Year for park expansion and development of a Community Center. These parcels will be acquired with Third Action Year funds, but have not specifically been identified at this time. The neighborhood association, planners, and LPA staff are presently work-ing with various City agencies and potential developers in dealing

ND 501 Page 2

### ND 501 REAL ESTATE ACQUISITION ACTIVITY REPORT, Cont'd (Woodlawn, Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin, Boise-Humboldt)

with the details of specific location and redevelopment. Hopefully, final determination will be made in the near future to allow timely acquisition of such properties in the Third Action Year.

### King-Vernon-Sabin (See also Exhibit B)

	Parcel No.	Legal Description	
۱.	L2-4	Lot 4, Block 2, LESHS Addition	
2.	L2-5	Lot 5, Block 2, LESHS Addition	
3.	L2-6	Lot 6, Block 2, LESHS Addition	
4.	L2-7	Lot 7, Block 2, LESHS Addition	
5.	L2-8	Lot 8, Block 2, LESHS Addition	
6.	L2-9	Lot 9, Block 2, LESHS Addition	
7.	DH2-1, 2 & D	Lot D; Lots 1 and 2, Block 2, Davis Highland	
8.	DH2-23, 24 & C	Lot C; Lots 23 and 24, Block 2, Davis Highland	

Properties described above will be acquired through the HUD Neighborhood Facility Grant Program by the City of Portland for redevelopment of a multi-purpose Community Facility. Acquisition, relocation, clearance, redevelopment, and related costs will not be borne by the NDP budget.

### Boise-Humboldt

In Boise-Humboldt, priority activities for the Third Action Year include the acquisition, relocation and clearance of parcels for housing redevelopment south of Fremont Street (20 parcels), and in the area between Fremont, Shaver, Mississippi, and I-5 Freeway (<sup>8</sup> parcels). Planning activities will be carried out during the latter phase of ND 501

Page 3

the 2nd Action Year to develop the details necessary for specific identification of these properties. Additional documentation regarding these 28 properties to be acquired in Boise-Humboldt and the projected 8 unidentified parcels in Woodlawn will be submitted early in the Action Year prior to acquisition of these 36 properties.

Acquisition activities for the 20 spot clearance parcels, and for the 18 parcels identified above in Moodlawn will commence July 1, 1972. Acquisition activities for the 36 unidentified parcels in Woodlawn and Boise-Humboldt will commence around September, 1972 after proper documentation and necessary approvals are made for acquisition and redevelopment of these 36 parcels. In all instances acquisition activities will be completed by July 1, 1973.

Following is an estimate of staff time and cost that will be attributed to property acquisition activities during the Action Year:

Chief, Real Estate	10% of time
Real Estate Specialist	50% of time
Real Estate Specialist	50% of time
Assistant to Chief, Real Estate	25% of time
Secretary Steno I	10% of time

### b. Estimate of Payments for Real Estate

Real Estate Section

The estimate of payments for real estate is based on current assessed value of each property and LPA staff analysis of LPA experience, comparable sales and other market data attributable to the Area. The

> ND 501 Page 4

\$ 21,179

estimate will be updated by actual acquisition appraisals prior to acquisition activities.

### c. Land Acquisition Policy Statement

A land acquisition policy statement has previously been submitted as part of the application for the 1st NDP Action Year, July 1, 1970 to July 1, 1971 in ND 501, Exhibit D.

### d. Property Maps

Property maps for identified properties to be acquired in Woodlawn are attached hereto as Exhibit A, and in King-Vernon-Sabin as Exhibit B.

Property maps for other unidentified, but proposed properties to be acquired, will be submitted prior to land acquisition with appropriate Urban Renewal Plan Amendments.

### e. Acquisition Appraisals

Acquisition appraisals will be contracted for after the appropriate Urban Renewal Plan Amendments and Supplements are approved by HUD. The preliminary estimate of payments for the 18 properties to be acquired in Moodlawn with 2nd Action Year funds is \$229,500; and for the 56 properties to be acquired in all NDP areas with 3rd Action Year funds the estimate of payments is \$376,000.

f. <u>Tabulation of Property to be Acquired</u> See Exhibit C.

### g. <u>Explanation of Differences Between Appraiser's Valuations and LPA</u> <u>Estimate of Acquisition Costs</u>

All estimates at this time are LPA staff estimates. Acquisition appraisals have not been made at this time, but will be made early in the Action Year prior to actual acquisition.

### 2. Estimate of Acquisition Cost

An overall adjustment factor of 20% is indicated by the experience of this LPA. This factor includes 10% for land assemblage, 5% for acquisition activity breeding price increase, and 5% for value appreciation due to the time span between estimates and acquisition. This adjustment factor assumes completion of acquisition by July 1, 1973.

### 3. Race, Creed, Color

Procedures for removal of restrictions based on race, creed, color, or national origin were previously submitted in the application for the 1st NDP Action Year, in Section ND 501, Exhibit F.
# ND 501 REAL ESTATE ACQUISITION ACTIVITY REPORT, Cont'd (Eliot, Northwest)

Preliminary action proposals for Eliot and the Northwest areas include real estate acquisition activities. However, specific property identification has not been made yet. LPA staff members are presently working with neighborhood associations in each of these two areas in finalizing action proposals and identifying properties for acquisition. Proper documentation under this and other ND Sections will be submitted along with adopted Urban Renewal Plans within the time limit specified under HUD regulations and before action activities commence in Eliot and the Northwest under Portland's NDP.





# TABULATION OF PROPERTY TO BE ACQUIRED

# THIRD ACTION YEAR

1	Estimates of Value and Acquisition Cost	No. of Parcels	Land Area in Square Feet	Appraise Valuatie Land Only		LPA Es- timate of Acquisi- tion Cost
a.	Total pur- chases and donations	* 18 ++ <b>56</b>	100,902 <b>291,200</b>	\$	\$	\$229,500 <b>\$376,000</b>
Ь.	Purchases (Total)	* 18 ++ <b>56</b>	100,902 <b>291,200</b>	\$	\$	\$229,500 <b>\$376,000</b>
	Federally owned or leased	- 0 -				
	Other pub- licly owned	- 0 -				2
	Private- ly owned	* 18 ++ <b>56</b>	100,902 <b>291,200</b>			\$229,500 <b>\$376,000</b>
	Public util- ity ease- ments	- 0 -	·····			
	Damage to property not taken	- 0 -				
c.	Donations (Total)	- 0 -		\$	\$	
	Vacation of streets and other public rights-of- way	- 0 -		·····	·····	······
-	Donations by LPA	- 0 -				
	Donations by other en- tities	- 0 -				

\* To be acquired with NDP 2nd Action Year Funds

++ To be acquired with NDP 3rd Action Year Funds

# ND 502 PROJECT IMPROVEMENT ACTIVITY REPORT - (Woodlawn, Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin, Boise-Humboldt

## 1. Project Improvements Activity Report.

- a. Project Improvements Activity Program
  - (1) Site Clearance

<u>Woodlawn:</u> It is anticipated that 8 structures will be cleared adjacent to the existing park site to allow for development of a community center and additional park space.

Boise-Humboldt: Clearance of 28 structures for housing redevelopment. 20 of these structures will be located south of Fremont Street and 8 will be in the area between Fremont, Shaver, Mississippi and the I-5 Freeway. Field surveys of these areas indicate that the 28 structures would most likely be dilapidated beyond economic rehabilitation.

In addition to the above, proposals call for clearance of 20 derelict structures out of the 4 NDP areas. Identification of these structures will be made in the course of rehabilitation activity housing inspections.

All clearance costs will be Item 1 expenses. Following is a summary of clearance costs:

Type of Structure	Quantity	Clear- ance Cost	Total Item 1 Project Cost
Wood Frame	53	\$ 825	\$43,725
Masonry	1	1,275	1,275

Unit costs are based on current demolition activities in the NDP areas. Demolition activities will be carried out through contract services which will also include costs for capping utilities, and granular fills in basement areas. No salvage or costs relative private utility companies are anticipated.

Demolition of the above structures will be accomplished as soon after they are vacated as may be feasible. Average time for demolition has been approximately 60 days after occupants have been relocated. All proposed demolition will be completed during the Action Year.

(2) Project improvements

The	following summarizes pr	oject improv	ements 1	to take	
pla Iter	ce during the Action Yea <u>n</u>	ur: Quantity	Unit Cost	ltem I Amt.	ltem II Amt. (Local)
We	oodlawn NDP Area		8 N	n summer and a second sec	
(a)	Street reconstruction a shared cost		\$17/LF	\$29,886	\$19,924
	Street reconstruction a 100% project cost	s 200 LF	20/LF	4,000	
	Engineering			4,114	
(ь)	Open Space Improvements		1. e - 1. K 1.	10,500	
	Design & Engineering	Sub-Total		<u>1,500</u> \$50,000	\$19,924
1	rvington NDP Area				
(a)	lrvington Park Impvts-P Design & Engineering	h 11		\$140,000 11,000	
(ь)	New Street Trees Design	500	\$20 ea	10,000 1,000	
(c)	School Traffic Signals Engineering	2 Sub-Total	\$5000	10,000 1,000 \$173,000	-0-
к	ing-Vernon-Sabin NDP Are	a			
(a)	Alberta Park Impvts. Design & Engineering			35,000 4,000	35,000 4,000
(Ь)	Pedestrian Overpass to Design & Engineering	Alberta Park		80,000 9,000	+
(c)	Greenway System (1 bloc Design & Engineering	k)		30,000 3,000	

	Quantity	Unit Cost	ltem I Amt.	Item II Amt. (Local)
(d) New Street Trees Design	500	\$20 ea	\$10,000 <u>1,000</u>	
Sub Total			\$172,000	\$39,000
Boise-Humboldt Area	a 8 _			
(a) Peninsula Park Impvts. Design & Engineering		11 65	35,000 4,000	35,000 4,000
(b) Street Lights-installed	150	\$165 ea	24,750	
(c) Open Space Development Design & Engineering			10,000 <u>1,250</u>	
Sub Total			<u>\$ 75,000</u>	\$39,000
Total - Above NDP Areas		ж.	\$470,000	\$97,924

The local share for Woodlawn Street construction will be provided through City of Portland assessment district. It is hoped that the local share for the Alberta and Peninsula Park may be provided from City of Portland recreation funds through the Bureau of Parks.

Street reconstruction in Woodlawn, school crossing signals in Irvington, and street lighting improvements in Boise-Humboldt along with necessary engineering preparations will be carried out through contracts administered by the LPA. All unit costs are based upon LPA and City experiences for similar activities in the NDP area. It is anticipated that the City of Portland will pass an ordinance creating the assessment district in the Woodlawn NDP area to finance the Item II improvements listed above. These improvements would be implemented during the second half of the action year through the Department of

Public Works maintenance crews or by a contractor through normal bidding procedures. No noncash grant-in-aid will be claimed for assessment work this action year.

There are no temporary Item 1 or Item 11 improvements planned for this action year.

# b. Narrative Statement Evaluating Eligibility of Cost Estimates for Item | Project Improvements Proposed to be initiated During the NDP Action Year.

The improvements listed in Part "a" above with exception of Alberta and Peninsula Park improvements, are 100% eligible for Item I project funds in accordance with the Urban Renewal Handbook, RHA 7209,, Chap. 1, Alberta and Peninsula are estimated at being at 50% eligible based on the percentage of the service area of each being within an NDP area. Improvements to be made within these parks are otherwise 100% eligible in accordance with the Urban Renewal Handbook, RHA 7209.1, Chap. 1.

The Item I street improvements will be constructed according to the City of Portland, Department of Public Works' standard plans and specifications. Traffic Signals will be installed not to exceed local or national standards. Preliminary cost estimates for all improvements shown in Part "a." and the supporting schedule, HUD Form 6220 in ND 301, were compiled with current unit cost figures and estimating procedures used by the Department of Public Works' and the LPA for similar activities in the NDP areas.

- c. Eligibility and Cost Data for Each Type of Improvement Proposed.
  - Identification of Improvement by Categories in Urban Renewal Handbook RHA 7209.1, Site Preparation and Project Improvements, Chapter 1.

(Only applicable Item | improvements are listed according to Handbook notation).

(a) Streets, Curbs & Gutters

Street Reconstruction - Woodlawn

(b) Publicly Built and Owned and Permanently Affixed Improvements on Streets and Other Rights-of-Way. The Following Improvements are Eligible:

Traffic lights - Irvington

Street Lighting - Boise-Humboldt

Street Trees - Irvington and King-Vernon-Sabin

Greenway System - King-Vernon-Sabin

Open Space Improvements (within street rights-of-way) -Woodlawn, King-Vernon-Sabin

(c) <u>Overpasses for Vehicular or Pedestrian Traffic which are</u> <u>Necessary to Prevent Hazards</u>.

Pedestrian Overpass to Alberta Park - King-Vernon-Sabin

(d) Local Parks and Playgrounds.

Irving Park Improvements - Irvington Alberta Park Improvements - King-Vernon-Sabin Peninsula Park Improvements - Boise-Humboldt

- (2) <u>Description of Scope</u>, Character and General Design Features.
  - (a) <u>Street Reconstruction</u> Woodlawn

This is a continuation of the street improvement program which began in the 1st Action Year. Streets to be improved presently have only their center lane paved. These

streets, including necessary curb replacement, will be reconstructed to City standards.

(b) School Traffic Signals - Irvington

For several years Irvington residents have been concerned about two quite hazardous scholl crossings, 15th Avenue at Brazee and Knott Street at 13th, which serve Irvington Elementary School two blocks away. There have been several accidents and near-accidents at these intersections, some involving school children. Signals proposed for installation would be the pedestrian actuated type or as otherwise stipulated by the Bureau of Traffic Engineering.

(c) <u>Street Lighting</u> - Boise Humboldt

Additional street lighting is proposed for the "impact" area which has one of the highest street-crime rates in the Model Neighborhood. Lights will be the standard pole light for residential streets (175 watt luminares) and will be installed on existing poles. This project will be similar to that presently being carried out in the Irvington NDP Area through the Bureau of Lighting.

(d) Street Trees - Irvington and King Vernon-Sabin

Irvington has completed two phases of street tree planting program with considerable resident interest and participation with approximately 900 trees planted (1" to  $1\frac{1}{2}$ " caliper 8' to 12' high). Phase III scheduled for the 3rd Action Year will complete the tree planting program in Irvington.

A master street tree plan similar to Irvington's plan is being prepared for King-Vernon-Sabin in the current year. Approximately 500 trees will be planted in the King-Vernon-Sabin "impact" area during the Action Year. (e) Greenway System - King-Vernon-Sabin

To help reduce through traffic on residential streets and to improve the general physical quality of the neighborhood relating to its street circulation system, residents and their planning consultants are preparing a preliminary plan for a nieghborhood "greenway" system. A single demonstration block of this greenway system is proposed for construction in the Action Year. Its design will be such that it can stand alone or be connected to the final plan of the proposed system. This block will include a restricted one-way, thru-access **street** designed to slow traffic and provide increased open space in the right-of-way area for landscaping, recreation and parking.

(f) <u>Open Space Improvements</u> - Woodlawn and Boise-Humboldt During the current year, Woodlawn residents and neighborhood planners have completed preliminary plans and negotiations with the City to develop a rectangular extension of the N. E. Holman Street right-of-way at N. E. 13th Avenue (approximately 100' x 70'). Development of open space will include landscaping, walkway, benches and lighting.

In the Boise-Humboldt Area, residents and neighborhood planners wish to develop a portion of a large triangular

intersection at N. Albina Avenue and N. Prescott Street into a passive open space area similar to the Woodlawn area discussed above. There are several senior citizens living near this intersection who have expressed great interest in having this development for their use.

(g) <u>Pedestrian Overpass</u> - King-Vernon-Sabin

Vernon residents, Vernon School faculty, neighborhood planners have expressed a great interest and need for a pedestrian overpass over N. E. Killingsworth Street near the Vernon School at N. E. 20th and Killingsworth. This overpass would have the north end in Alberta Park and the other near the Vernon Elementary School on the south side of Killingsworth. Killingsworth is a very busy arterial street and presents a particularly hazardous situation during the school year.

A preliminary master plan for this overpass is being prepared at this time. The overpass will have ramp approaches to accommodate bicycles and those using wheel chairs. The ramps will connect to existing walkways in the park and sidewalk near the school. In addition to its functional aspects, the aesthetic quality of this structure is a chief concern so it may be a well integrated addition to the school, park and surrounding vicinity.

(h) <u>Park Improvements</u> - Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin, and Boise/Humboldt

The Irving, Alberta and Peninsula Parks developed in the 1920's, need most of their facilities updated to adequately meet today's recreational standards and the needs of the residents the parks are now serving.

Phase I improvements for Irving Park are being carried out during the current year and include new tot play equipment, baseball field expansion, new basketball courts, walkways and lighting. Phase II improvements scheduled for the Action Year will complete most of the new park master plan prepared in the current year with the local residents. The improvements will include grade school-age play equipment, water play area, additional basketball courts, tennis court refurbishing and lighting and landscaping improvements.

Alberta and Peninsula Parks are in their early stages of master planning. Improvements to be done in the Action Year are expected to be similar to those carried out in Phase I of the Irving Park improvements in accordance with priorities established by the residents' park planning committees working in conjunction with the Park Bureau and the LPA.

(3) Justification of Each Improvement in Terms of Necessity to Achieve Urban Renewal Objectives and Conformance to Local Standards

The above proposed improvements are considered to be eligible as described in the Urban Renewal Handbook. All improvements are necessary to achieve the objectives of the NDP Urban Re-Newal Plan for this Project Area and will be constructed in conformance with local standards.

- (4) Estimated Cost of Improvement and Eligible Portion Thereof A supporting schedule, Form HUD 6220, for project improvements in the NDP areas is included in ND 301. This schedule provides a cost and quantities breakdown of the Item I improvements covered in this activity report. A breakdown of costs are also shown and discussed in Part a. and b. of this report.
- d. <u>Description of Proposed Solutions to any Special Site Preparation</u> No topographic, subsoil or flood problems are evident which will require special site preparation or land protection in this Project Area.
  - e. <u>If Not Previously Submitted, Information Relative to Local Design</u> <u>Standards</u>
    - Full Description of all Pertinent Established Local Design Standards (not required for First NDP Action Year)
       The Department of Public Works (Bureau of Design) for the City of Portland has design standards for all contemplated

improvements. A packet of standard designs and specifications has been previously submitted (with Part 1 of ORE. R-20) and is on file in the Renewal Assistance Office of Region X, Department of Housing and Urban Development.

- (2) If There Are No Established Local Standards, Description of Those Used by the LPA and the Basis for Their Adoption Not Applicable.
- (3) Justification for Use of Any Standards Higher Than Those Generally Observed in the Community No design standards higher than those generally observed in the community are proposed.
- f. For Each Project Improvement of Excess Size or Capacity to Serve Urban Renewal Area Covered by the Neighborhood Development Project There are no project improvements proposed of excess size or capacity in this Project Area.
- g. <u>Certified Copy of Assurance from Appropriate Public Body that</u> <u>Related or Connected Required Public Improvements Will be Avail-</u> <u>able When Needed</u>.

No related off-site public improvements are proposed or required.

h. Positive Showing that Water Pollution Control Needs have been Considered in Planning and Installing all Sewerage Improvements, that Sewerage to Be Included in NDP Costs will not Add to Water Pollution and a Statement that Pollution Control Standards will be met on a Continuing Basis.

There are presently no planned Item I NDP project improvements involving the sewer systems in the Woodlawn, Havington, King-Vernon-Sabin and Boise-Humboldt NDP areas.

A letter from the City Engineer shown as ND 502, Exhibit A following this report states positively that the City is meeting Pollution Control Standards on a continuing basis.

# Eliot

No project improvement activities will be carried out in the Eliot NDP area during the action year. Emphasis during this initial phase will be on acquisition and clearance of approximately 15 parcels in the south end of the proposed project area.

## Northwest

Project improvement activity proposals for the Northwest area are preliminary at this time and may include the following:

- 1. Installation of improvements in Couch Park.
- 2. Demonstration block improvements.
- 3. Street tree planting and related improvements.
- 4. Sewer and water improvements.

All activities during the action year will be carried out in the central portion of the Northwest area. LPA staff and the Northwest District Association are now developing an Urban Renewal Plan and other details relative to these activities. Additional documentation under this section will be submitted early in the Action Year prior to commencement of action activities.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS LLOYD E. ANDERSON COMMISSIONER



OFFICE OF CITY ENGINEER JAMES L. APPERSON CITY ENGINEER

# RECEIVED

CITY OF PORTLAND OREGON 97204

DEC 8 1971

1220 S.W. STH AVENUE . PHONE (503) 228-6141

December 6, 1971

Mr. John B. Kenward, Executive Director Portland Development Commission 1700 S.W. Fourth Avenue Portland, Oregon 97201

Dear Mr. Kenward:

This letter has reference to your request regarding the Neighborhood Development Program in the Woodlawn, Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin and Boise-Humboldt neighborhoods involving sewerage disposal in these districts.

Please be advised that the City of Portland operates a sewerage system on a continuous basis in the above-mentioned neighborhoods which complies with the requirements as set forth by the Oregon State Department of Environmental Quality.

The City is now in the process of evaluating the entire existing sewerage system in an attempt to establish priorities for upgrading and expanding the existing facilities. Inasmuch as the sewers in the above-mentioned neighborhoods have been in existence and are some of our oldest sewers, it would appear that it will be necessary to spend funds for sewer improvements in these areas. To accomplish this work it will be necessary to acquire Federal grants to aid in the costs of these projects.

For further information on this subject, please contact Mr. R.Sunnarborg of our Bureau of Sanitary Engineering, 228-6141, extension 401.

Very truly yours,

JAMES L. APPERSON City Engineer

J. Mountan By

W. T. MONAHAN Assistant City Engineer

ND 502 EXHIBIT A

抵抗共用

1.5

120

Contents of Report (See RHA 7386.1, Chapter 2)

- 1. PREPARATION OF APPLICATION General Narrative
- 2. NONCASH GRANTS-IN-AID REPORT
  - a. Form HUD 6285 Summary of Noncash Grants-in-Aid

Supporting Schedule 4 of Form HUD-6200 - Public or Supporting Facilities

Supporting Schedule 5 of Form HUD-6200 - Other Noncash Local Grants-in-Aid

b. Narrative Statements and Data

(1) John Adams High School - (benefit to King-Vernon-Sabin Area)

1.1.1.1.1.1

- (2) Section 112 Land Acquisition & Demolition (Good Samaritan Hospitai)
- c. Assurances of Nondiscrimination

Exhibits - Supporting Data from Donors with Narrative Statements

-- PREPARATION OF APPLICATION - General Narrative 

Budgetary approval is requested for "new" noncash grants-in-aid documented in this report totaling \$741,318, together with \$341,274 in "unutilized" noncash grant-in-aid from 2nd Action Year and \$856,157 in pooling credits from conventional projects to meet the 3rd Action Year local noncash grant-in-aid requirement of \$1,938,750.

The following is a summary of the NDP noncash credits as they relate to the lst, 2nd and impending 3rd Action Year:

John Adams High School credit benefiting;	
1. Woodlawn NDP Area - approved amount	\$1,166,993
2. KVS NDP Area - (certificate to be submitted before June 30, 1970) Total	<u>921,989</u> \$2,088,982
lst & 2nd Action Year Noncash require- ment (from latest HUD Financing Plan)	<u>(1,553,913</u> )
Balance of John Adams Credit	\$ 535,069
Sec. 112 Land Acq. & Demolition (from Good Samaritan Hospital)	206,250
Unutilized Noncash Credits (budget approval with 2nd A.Y. appli- cation)	341,274
Noncash pooling credits from conventional projects - (required amount to fulfill the local share requirement	856,157
Total (noncash local share requirement for 3rd A.Y.)	\$1,938,750

The John Adams High School noncash credit benefiting the <u>King</u>-<u>Vernon-Sabin NDP Area for \$921,989 will be certified and submitted</u>

to HUD in early June, 1972, during the Current Year. This credit was documented in the 2nd A.Y. application when only a limited amount of action had started in the KVS NDP area. This credit will be covered in this report based on NDP activities now being implemented throughout the <u>entire</u> KVS NDP Area.

## Unutilized Noncash Credits

Areas

Budgetary approval was given last year for several noncash credits that were fully documented and submitted with the 2nd Action Year (Current Year) application. The LPA plans to certify the credits during the first half of the 3rd Action Year. Therefore, in accordance with the Urban Renewal Handbook RHA 7386.1 Chapter 3, the LPA requests that the budgetary (tentative) approval of the credits be carried forward into the ensuing 3rd Action Year. These credits as documented in the NDP Second Action Year application are:

	Identification	Providing Entity	Noncash Credit Amt.
1.	Woodlawn NDP Street	-City of Portland	÷.,
	Impvts - Local Share	Assess. Dist.	101,057
_			
2.	Street Impvt N.E.	City of Portland	
	7th Ave., Bryant to Morgan	Assess, Dist.	3,613
3.	Street Resurfacing - N.E. Killingsworth, Grand to 19th	City of Portland	7,764
4.	School Traffic Signal N.E. 11th Ave. @ Lombard	Bur. Traffic Engr.	2,331
5.	Water Main Impvts. - Woodlawn & Irv. NDP	Bur, of Water Works	27,604

ND 503 Page 2

. . . 1

6.	Grant High School Impvts. Automotive Shop Add. Remodel Metal Shop Electrical Impvts.	Port, Pub, Schools	33,532
	creeti teat impets.		1. S. S. S.
7.	Classroom Alterations	Port. Pub. Schools	46,043
	Open Play Shed		
8.	Convert Shop to Class-	Port. Pub. Schools	18,140
	New Classrooms (2)	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	20. +C
	Open Play Shed	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
9.	Vernon Element. School New Classrooms (2)	Port. Pub. Schools	13,480
10.	Woodlawn Element, School New Classrooms (2) & Convert Ind, Arts Shops to classrooms	Port. Pub. Schools	52,977
н.	Matt Dishman Comm. Ctr. Pool & Parking Lot Impvts.	Bur. of Parks	24,929
12.	Irving Park Impvts.	Bur, of Parks	8,662
13.	Alberta Park Impvts.	Bur. of Parks	1,142
	Total		\$341,274

## Pooling Credits

To fulfill the required local share, \$856,157 in pool credits from conventional projects is <u>presently</u> required. Sufficient noncash credits from conventional projects that have received budgetary approval from HUD will be certified and submitted to HUD between now and approximately the end of the Current Year. As recently discussed with the HUD Area Office, details regarding the availability and commitment to the NDP of pooling credits from conventional projects

will be determined during the review period for this application.

It is hoped that the LPA may be allowed to reduce its conventional project pooling credit commitment to the NDP should Relocation Payments <u>remain</u> as a 100% reimbursable cost in the 3rd Action Year. These payments have been budgeted as shared cost in this application. It is also anticipated that additional NDP noncash credits not documented in this application are available and will be certified during the Action Year. In view of this, it is requested that final commitment of conventional projects pooling credits may be delayed until later in the Action Year.

### 2. NONCASH GRANT-IN-AID REPORT

## a. FORM HUD -6285, SUMMARY OF NONCASH GRANTS IN AID

This form follows with the appropriate supporting schedules.

Form Approved Budget Bureau No. 63-	R1218		HUD-628 (11-68
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM SUMMARY OF NONCASH GRANTS-IN-AID	K1210	Portland Program NUM	, Oregon
	-		
TYPE OF NONCASH GRANT-IN-AID (Enter all items on this page from appropriate supporting schedules of Form HUD-6200, identified by Schedule Number below.)	REQUEST BY LPA	ED	APPROVED BY HUD
1. Donations of Land (from Schedule 1)			
2. Site Clearance (from Schedule 2)			•
3. Project Improvements om Schedule 3)			
4. Public or Supporting Facilities (from Schedule 4)	\$535,069		- 21 64
5. Communitywide Facilities (from Schedule 4)		T	
6. Public Housing Credits (from Schedule 5)			
7. Section 112 Credits (from Schedule 5)	206,250		
8. TOTAL (Enter total on oporting schedule of srm HUD-6280) (sum of lines 1 thru 7)	\$741,319		

1.

HUD-6200 Page 5 of 6 (1-68)SUPPORTING SCHEDULES (Continued) ILE 3. PROJECT OR SITE IMPROVEMENTS --- NONCASH LOCAL GRANTS-IN-AID (Continued) SCF TO BE COMPLETED ESTIMATE SUBMITTED BY LPA BY HUD ESTIMATE ACCEPTED BY HUD CHARGE TO PROJECT NAME OF IDENTIFICATION TOTAL COST PROVIDING ENTITY AMOUNT % % AMOUNT ((c) X (d))(d) (f) (a) (b) (c) (c) (g) S 5 Ś 2 322 TOTAL PROJECT OR SITE IMPROVEMENTS TO BE Ś S CHARGED TO ITEM 2 OF GROSS PROJECT COST (Enter on line A-41 SCHEDULE 4. PUBLIC OR SUPPORTING FACILITIES TO BE COMPLETED ESTIMATE SUBMITTED BY LPA BY HUD ESTIMATE ACCEPTED CHARGE TO PROJECT BY HUD NAME OF IDENTIFICATION PROVIDING ENTITY TOTAL COST AMOUNT % AMOUNT % ((c) X (d)) (d) (f) (a) (b) (c) (e) (g) Ś 32.4 John Adams High School Portland Public 6,447,473 2,088,982 Schools (less noncash credit required for 1st & 2nd A. Y.) (1,553,913) Net amount submitted for this (3rd) Action Year 535.069 \* Relative Benefit to NDP Areas Wood1awn 18.1% 14.3% King-Vernon-Sabin (Charge to Project) 32.4% TOTAL SUPPORTING FACILITIES TO BE CHARGED \$ S 535,069

If a special assessment against project-acquired land is involved, apply the percent of direct benefit to the project from the improvement or facility to its total cost and subtract from that umount the total amount of the special assessment against the project-acquired land.

TO PROJECT (Enter on line A-5)

Page 6 of 6

HUD-6200 (1-68)

 $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$ 

pw-rent public housing)	TO BE COMPL	ETED BY LPA	TO BE COMPLETE
IDENTIFICATION	PROVIDING ENTITY	SUBMITTED BY LPA	ESTIMATE ACCEPTED BY HUD
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
	8 g	\$	\$
Section 112 Land Acquisition and	Orad Consultant	006 050	
Demolition-Good Samaritan Hospital Dev.	Good Samaritan Hospital	206,250	
	nosprear		
	10 A		
		$\gamma = \gamma_{ij} \times \gamma_{ij} \times \gamma_{ij}$	
		2 - 2 - 2 - N	
6			
	1		
			1 NS 11 11
4-1 GR			
		-	
			10 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
OLAL (Enter on line A-6)		\$ 206,250	8
CHEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT	S POOLED WITH THIS P		_
CHEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT		ROJECT (From latest	accepted
O LAL. (Enter on line A-6) CHEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT orm HUD-6200, line A-13, for the following projects:) PROJECT NUMBER	TO BE COMPL		accepted
CHEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT orm HUD-6200, line A-13, for the following projects:)	TO BE COMPL	ETED BY LPA ESTIMATE SUBMITTED	TO BE COMPLETE BY HUD ESTIMATE
CHEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT form HUD-6200, line A-13, for the following projects:) PROJECT NUMBER (Enter each project number)	TO BE COMPL DATE APPROVED BY HUD	ETED BY LPA ESTIMATE SUBMITTED BY LPA	TO BE COMPLETE BY HUD ESTIMATE ACCEPTED BY HUD
CHEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT form HUD-6200, line A-13, for the following projects:) PROJECT NUMBER	TO BE COMPL	ETED BY LPA ESTIMATE SUBMITTED	TO BE COMPLETE BY HUD ESTIMATE ACCEPTED
HEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT form HUD-6200, line A-13, for the following projects:) PROJECT NUMBER (Enter each project number)	TO BE COMPL DATE APPROVED BY HUD	ETED BY LPA ESTIMATE SUBMITTED BY LPA	TO BE COMPLETE BY HUD ESTIMATE ACCEPTED BY HUD
HEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT form HUD-6200, line A-13, for the following projects:) PROJECT NUMBER (Enter each project number)	TO BE COMPL DATE APPROVED BY HUD	ETED BY LPA ESTIMATE SUBMITTED BY LPA	TO BE COMPLETE BY HUD ESTIMATE ACCEPTED BY HUD
HEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT form HUD-6200, line A-13, for the following projects:) PROJECT NUMBER (Enter each project number)	TO BE COMPL DATE APPROVED BY HUD	ETED BY LPA ESTIMATE SUBMITTED BY LPA	TO BE COMPLETE BY HUD ESTIMATE ACCEPTED BY HUD
HEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT form HUD-6200, line A-13, for the following projects:) PROJECT NUMBER (Enter each project number)	TO BE COMPL DATE APPROVED BY HUD	ETED BY LPA ESTIMATE SUBMITTED BY LPA	TO BE COMPLETE BY HUD ESTIMATE ACCEPTED BY HUD
HEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT form HUD-6200, line A-13, for the following projects:) PROJECT NUMBER (Enter each project number)	TO BE COMPL DATE APPROVED BY HUD	ETED BY LPA ESTIMATE SUBMITTED BY LPA	TO BE COMPLETE BY HUD ESTIMATE ACCEPTED BY HUD
HEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT form HUD-6200, line A-13, for the following projects:) PROJECT NUMBER (Enter each project number)	TO BE COMPL DATE APPROVED BY HUD	ETED BY LPA ESTIMATE SUBMITTED BY LPA	TO BE COMPLETE BY HUD ESTIMATE ACCEPTED BY HUD
HEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT form HUD-6200, line A-13, for the following projects:) PROJECT NUMBER (Enter each project number)	TO BE COMPL DATE APPROVED BY HUD	ETED BY LPA ESTIMATE SUBMITTED BY LPA	TO BE COMPLETE BY HUD ESTIMATE ACCEPTED BY HUD
HEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT form HUD-6200, line A-13, for the following projects:) PROJECT NUMBER (Enter each project number)	TO BE COMPL DATE APPROVED BY HUD	ETED BY LPA ESTIMATE SUBMITTED BY LPA	TO BE COMPLETE BY HUD ESTIMATE ACCEPTED BY HUD
HEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT form HUD-6200, line A-13, for the following projects:) PROJECT NUMBER (Enter each project number)	TO BE COMPL DATE APPROVED BY HUD	ETED BY LPA ESTIMATE SUBMITTED BY LPA	TO BE COMPLETE BY HUD ESTIMATE ACCEPTED BY HUD
HEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT form HUD-6200, line A-13, for the following projects:) PROJECT NUMBER (Enter each project number)	TO BE COMPL DATE APPROVED BY HUD	ETED BY LPA ESTIMATE SUBMITTED BY LPA	TO BE COMPLETE BY HUD ESTIMATE ACCEPTED BY HUD
CHEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT form HUD-6200, line A-13, for the following projects:) PROJECT NUMBER (Enter each project number)	TO BE COMPL DATE APPROVED BY HUD	ETED BY LPA ESTIMATE SUBMITTED BY LPA	TO BE COMPLETE BY HUD ESTIMATE ACCEPTED BY HUD
HEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT form HUD-6200, line A-13, for the following projects:) PROJECT NUMBER (Enter each project number)	TO BE COMPL DATE APPROVED BY HUD	ETED BY LPA ESTIMATE SUBMITTED BY LPA	TO BE COMPLETE BY HUD ESTIMATE ACCEPTED BY HUD
HEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT form HUD-6200, line A-13, for the following projects:) PROJECT NUMBER (Enter each project number)	TO BE COMPL DATE APPROVED BY HUD	ETED BY LPA ESTIMATE SUBMITTED BY LPA	TO BE COMPLETE BY HUD ESTIMATE ACCEPTED BY HUD
CHEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT form HUD-6200, line A-13, for the following projects:) PROJECT NUMBER (Enter each project number)	TO BE COMPL DATE APPROVED BY HUD	ETED BY LPA ESTIMATE SUBMITTED BY LPA	TO BE COMPLETE BY HUD ESTIMATE ACCEPTED BY HUD
HEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT form HUD-6200, line A-13, for the following projects:) PROJECT NUMBER (Enter each project number)	TO BE COMPL DATE APPROVED BY HUD	ETED BY LPA ESTIMATE SUBMITTED BY LPA	TO BE COMPLETE BY HUD ESTIMATE ACCEPTED BY HUD
CHEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT form HUD-6200, line A-13, for the following projects:) PROJECT NUMBER (Enter each project number)	TO BE COMPL DATE APPROVED BY HUD	ETED BY LPA ESTIMATE SUBMITTED BY LPA	TO BE COMPLETE BY HUD ESTIMATE ACCEPTED BY HUD
CHEDULE 6. NET PROJECT COST OF OTHER PROJECT form HUD-6200, line A-13, for the following projects:) PROJECT NUMBER (Enter each project number)	TO BE COMPL DATE APPROVED BY HUD	ETED BY LPA ESTIMATE SUBMITTED BY LPA	TO BE COMPLET BY HUD ESTIMATE ACCEPTED BY HUD

SULT FOURIERSMENT TRANSING OFFICE - 1988 O - 354-655

# 2. NONCASH GRANTS-IN-AID REPORT Cont'd

- b. Narative Statements and Data
  - John Adams High School 5700 N. E. 39th Ave., Portland,
     Oregon

Amount of Noncash Grant-in Aid from total benefit to KVS NDP Area: \$921,989

The John Adams High School has been fully documented in the 1st and 2nd Action Year Applications. On June 21, 1971 certification and claim for noncash credit as it benefits the Woodlawn NDP Area was approved by HUD in amount of \$1,166,993. The letter of approval from HUD for this credit is shown as Exhibit (1) A.

A second or revised certificate (Form HUD 6202) for this facility as it benefits the <u>King-Vernon-Sabin NDP Area</u> will be submitted in early June prior to the end of the Current Year.

Approximately 45% of the King-Vernon-Sabin NDP Area is included in the John Adams Service District. The project benefit to this NDP area has been established at 14.3% (using "design capacity" in the calculation) by the Portland Public Schools, Evaluation Dept. The letter from Portland Public Schools dated April 19, 1971 documenting their project benefit determinations for the John Adams facility and other schools originally submitted with the 2nd A. Y. application is again included with this report as Exhibit (1) B. A map showing the John Adams service area within the KVS NDP Area previously submitted with the Woodlawn certification as shown as Exhibit (1) C in this report.

## **Project Benefit Calculation**

Calculation of the project benefit is based on data and Methodology used in Exhibit (1) B and is identical in approach to that used with the Woodlawn project benefit determinations.

Design Capacity of John Adams H. S. 1800 students

No. of High School Students in John Adams District

1755 students

257 students

No. of High School Students in John Adams District within the KVS NDP Area

Therefore:

Project Benefit =  $\frac{257}{1800}$  x 100 =  $\frac{14.3\%}{14.3\%}$ 

Amount of Noncash Grant-in-Aid

Eligible "Base" Amount of Noncash Grant-in-Aid (approved by HUD) =	\$6,447,473
Amount of Noncash Credit Claimed:	2 4
\$6,447,473 X 0.143 =	\$ 921,989
(Amt. req <sup>1</sup> d. for 2nd A.Y.)	\$ 386,920
Balance available for 3rd A.Y.	\$ 535,069

Ð	DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT	A
	AREA OFFICE	Po
8	CASCADE BUILDING 520 S.W. SIXTH AVENUE, PORTLAND, OREGON 97204	Se

AREA OFFICES Portland, Oregon Seattle, Washington

REGION X REGIONAL OFFICE JUN 28 1971 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

GTON PUILLAN LISE AND CARD

Mr. John B. Kenward Executive Director Portland Development Commission 1700 SW Fourth Avenue Portland, Oregon 97201

SUN

June 21, 1971 EX. DIR A. DIR. CAL D. 0717. SP. ASST.

IN REPLY REFER TO: 10.2PMU (LaCrosse Phone 226-3361 Ext. 2711)

Dear Mr. Kenward:

Subject: ORE A-5, Neighborhood Development Program Certificate of Cost of Noncash Local Grants-in-Aid (Woodlawn) John Adams High School (A New Supporting Facility) 5700 NE 39th Avenue, Portland

Subject certificate, submitted with your letter of May 20, 1971, has been reviewed by this office. The review of the certificate indicates that one item listed in Fixed and Permanently Installed Equipment (Attachment No. 3) is ineligible and, therefore, must be deleted. This item is drapery installation, which includes the cost of the drapes and installation in a total amount of \$4954. The percentage of benefit requested, 18.1%, is acceptable. The amount requested as eligible, \$6,452,427, has been reduced by \$4954. The total amount of noncash credit acceptable is \$1,166,993.

Returned herewith are 2 copies of the approved certificate.

Sincerely, nosel 2012

Russell H. Dawson Area Director

Enclosures

ND 503 Exhibit (1) A



PORTLAND PUBLIC SCHOOLS 631 Northeast Clackamas Street / Portland, Oregon 97208 Phone (503) 234-3392

Victor W. Doherty Assistant Superintendent

Clifford W. Williams Director

EVALUATION DEPARTMENT

April 19, 1971

Mr. John B. Kenward, Executive Director Portland Development Commission 1700 S.W. Fourth Avenue Portland, Oregon 97201

Attn: Mr. Mulvey S. Johnson, Planning Engineer

Re: Noncash Local Grant-in-Aid Documentation for Neighborhood Development Program

Dear Mr. Kenward:

In reply to your request for "project benefit" information regarding schools serving the proposed King/Vernon/Sabin Neighborhood Development Program area (bounded by Fremont Street, Grand Avenue, Killingsworth Street, and the east Model Cities boundary), the following is provided:

Facility	Designed Capacity	Actual Enrollment (10-2-70)	Total Number of Students in District (1969-70 Survey)	Number of Students in NDP Areas Within Dist.	Project Benefit (%) Dist. Basis
Tohn Adams High					
John Adams High School	1 900	1,661	1,755	257	14.6%
	1,800	-		- ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Grant High School	2,800	2,451	2,901	344	11.8%
King Elementary					3 2 1
School	783	695	1,219	563	46.2%
Vernon Elementary					
School.	612	518	906	272	30.0%
Sabin Elementary					
School	651	592	853	516	60.5%

The "project benefits" listed above were determined by using the same source data and methodology documented in our March 1 letter to you covering project benefits from schools serving the Woodlawn and Irvington Neighborhood Development Program areas.

For documentation relating to services provided by these facilities to Neighborhood Development Program areas and assurances of nondiscrimination, please refer to the March 1 letter.

Sincerely yours,

Victor W. Doherty, Assistant Superintendent for Evaluation

ND 503 EXHIBIT (1) B

VWD:sw



LOCALITY MAP

# JOHN ADAMS HIGH SCHOOL and the NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

John Adams H.S. Dist. Boundary

 Original John Adams H.S. Dist. Boundary (No longer in effect)

NDP Boundaries

Recent addition to John Adams H.S. District in the Woodlawn NDP Area

Other High School Dist. Boundaries

ND 503 EXHIBIT (1) C (2) Section 112 Land Acquisition and Demolition - Good Samaritan Hospital and Medical Center

Amount of Noncash Local Grant-in-Aid requested: \$206,250

The Good Samaritan Hospital and Medical Center will be an eligible donor of Section 112 noncash local grant-in-aid in accordance with the Urban Renewal Handbook RHA 7216.1 Chapter 2, Section 3 upon approval of the Northwest NDP Urban Renewal Plan to be submitted within 60 days after HUD approval of the NDP Third Action Year Program. A designated area for hospital use will be specified that would qualify for Section 112 renewal activities.

It is presently anticipated that this hospital use area will be concentrated in a six block area bounded by N. W. Overton, N. W. Lovejoy, N. W. 21st and N. W. 23rd as shown on the Draft Northwest Comprehensive Plan (see Exhibit (2) A). Final determination of this area will be subject to review and approval by Northwest Area residents through their neighborhood association (NWDA).

Good Samaritan Hospital has been acquiring properties in this general area for several years in connection with its own development program and has expressed interest in participating in the NDP (see Exhibit 2 (B).)

Submission Requirements (In accordance with U. R. Handbook 7216, Chapter 2, Sec. 3, Page 10 and 11)

Evidence that the local governing body determinations
 as specified in the U. R. Handbook 7205.1 Area Eligibility,
 Chapter 2 have been made for Good Samaritan Hospital
 and Medical Center.

These determinations were adopted and approved by the City Council for a Survey and Planning Application for a Good Samaritan Hospital Proposed Section 112 Project submitted to HUD on December 22, 1969. The application has since been returned to the LPA because of a subsequent change in the HUD funding policy. These determinations can be resubmitted upon request by the HUD Area Office or as otherwise directed.

 Evidence that the donor is eligible under the pertinent definitions given above.

The following will be provided under separate cover.

- Certified copy of articles of incorporation -Good Samaritan Hospital & Medical Center.
- Certified Copy Tax Exemption Certificate Good Samaritan Hospital and Medical Center
- <u>Donor's assurance of nondiscrimination</u> (This will be submitted to the HUD Area Office under separate cover.)

 Identification and certification (by letter) of properties acquired by Good Samaritan Hospital including demolition costs.

Good Samaritan property acquisitions and demolition costs from January 1, 1965 are documented in a letter dated March 31, 1972 from Good Samaritan Hospital shown as Exhibit (2) C. These properties are all in or within a 1/4 mile of the proposed six block Hospital use area discussed above. See Exhibit (2) B.

# Amount of Section 112 Noncash Grant-in-Aid Available & Requested

Assuming the "7 year prior" period going back from July 1, 1972 all property acquisitions and donations listed in Exhibit (2) C after July 1, 1965 amount to approximately \$1,243,000. At this time, however, budgetary approval is requested for \$206,250 which is the estimated local share required to support the Item I funding for proposed Northwest NDP Action Year activities.





60 3 EXHIBIT 503,
# RECEIVED

APR 0 1972

PORTLAND DE LECTORET GOMMISSION



Mr. Mulvey S. Johnson, Planning Engineer Portland Development Commission 1700 S. W. Fourth Avenue Portland, Oregon 97201

EX. DIR.

A. DIR.

D. OPER.

SP. ASST.

Re: Neighborhood Development Program

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Attached is the information which you requested:

Schedule A,	Property Acquisitions	
	from 1-1-65 to the present	\$932,293

File

maila

Schedule B,	Land Clearance Costs		
	from 1-1-65 to 4-1-72		21,053
		Total	\$953,346

The above does not include the property acquired as the result of the merger with the Rehabilitation Institute of Oregon, which took place on January 1, 1971:

2010 N.W.	Kearney St.,	Blk. 284,	, Lots 1, 2, & 6, Couch's Add.	\$316,800
2050 N.W.	Kearney St.,	B1k. 284,	, Lot 7, Couch's Addition	55,400

Total	\$372,200

This property is included in the long range plans of the hospital.

It was a pleasure to meet with you last Thursday and discuss this program. Please let us know if there is any other information which we can develop which will be helpful to you.

Very truly yours F. L. Thompson

Business Manager

FLT/mq Encls.

Schedile "A" April 1. 1972

## GOOD SAMARITAN HOSPI' & MEDICAL CENTER

# PROFERTY ACQUISITIONS FROM 1-1-65 AND COSTS

	DATE	ADDRESS	BLOCK	LOT	ADDITION	COST
	1-1-65	2251 N.W. Northrup	306	E 22 1/2' Lot 12 and W 5' Lot 9	Couch	\$ 18,109
	2-15-65	2187 N.W. Kearney	21	N 30' Lot 18	King's 2nd	8,553
	3-10-65	2265 N.W. Northrup	306	E 25' Lot 13	Couch	21,047
	4-10-65	2217 N.W. Kearney	20	Perts of Lots 3 and 4	King's 2nd	21,037
	4-14-63	1114 N.W. 22nd Ave.	300	N 35' Lot 17	Couch	15,965
	5-20-65	2134 N.W. Marshall	301	E 36 2/3' Lot 7	Couch	18,873
	9-311-65	2149 N.W. Lovejoy	301	W 20' Lot 9 and E 15' Lot 12	Couch	22,000
2	12-16-65	2183 N.W. Northrup	299	Lots 17 and 18	Couch	55,000
	4-10-66	2147 N.W. Northrup	299	Lots 9 and 12	Couch	44,000
	4-15-66	2186 N.W. Overton	299	W 50' Lots 15 and 16	Couch	25,000
	4-30-66	2172 N.W. Overton	299	W 19' Lot 14 and E 12' Lots 15 and 16	Couch	12,400
	6-10-66	2158 N.W. Overton	299	Lot 11	Couch	23,125
	<u>^</u> -10-66	2164 N.W. Overton	299	E 31' Lot 14	Couch	13,500
2	3-10-70	1338 N.W. 20th Ave.	265	Lots 15 and 16	Couch's	44,900
	6-1:-70	2123 N.W. Lovejoy	301	Lot 5	Couch's	26,535
	6-15-70	2122 N.W. Marshall	301	Lot 6	Couch's	37,040
	8-27 -70	2173 N.W. Kearney	21	E 40' Lot 18	King's 2nd	23,033
	9-10-70	Vacant Parking Lot	21	Lot 13, W 40' Lot 12	King's 2nd	69,576
	9-10-70	2181 N.W. Kearney	21	Mid 30' Lot 18	King's 2nd	19,500
	11 23-70	2140 N.W. Marshall	301	W 13 1/3' of Lot 7 and E 23 1/3' Lot 10	Couch's	28,500
	571	914 N.W. 22nd Ave.	21	Lot 17	King's 2nd	30,000
	9-15-71	2105 N.W. Lovejoy	301	Lots 3 and '	Couch's	75,000
	11-15-71	2178 N.W. Overton	299	W 50' Lots 15 and 16	Couch's	22,800
	3-10-72	2227 N.W. Kearney and				
		2222 N.W. Lovejoy	20	Lots 5 and 6	King's 2nd	100,000
	3-15-72	2135 N.W. Lovejoy	301	Lot 8 and E 40' Lot 9	Couch's	120,001
	4-20-72	2181 N.W. Marshall	300	Mid 33 1/3' Lot 18 and 15' Lot 17	Couch's	16,750
	4-20-72	2187 N.W. Marshall	J00	W 33 1/3' of Lot 18 and 15' Lot 17	Couch's	20,050
						-

1 Allergein

.T. L. Thompson, Business Mgr.

Total

\$932,29?

GOOD SAMARITAN HOSPITAL & MEDICAL CENTER

# LAND CLEARANCE COSTS: 1-1-65 to 4-1-72

DATE	DESCRIPTION	COSTS
1966	W 20' Lot 9 and E 15' Lot 12, Block 301, Couch's Addition	\$ 1,375
1967	Lots 9, 11, 12, 14, and E 10' and W 50' Lots 15 and 16, Block 299, Ceach's Addition	2,400
7-16-70	Lot 15, Block 265, Couch's Addition	575
11-24-70	Lots 5 and 6, Block 301, Couch's Addition	J_513
3-31-71	E 70' of Lot 18, Block 21, King's Second Addition	2,040
6-3-71	Lot. 7 and E 24' of Lot 10, Block 301, Coucn's Addition	1,750
12-17-71	Lots 3 and 4, Bock 301, Couch's Addition	. 2,400
3-10-72	E 38' of Lots 15 and 16, Block 299, Couch's Addition	1,500
3-30-72	Lot 8 and E 20' of Lot 9, Block 301, Couch's Addition	7,500

TOTAL

\$21,653

andin F. L. Thompson

Business Manager

#### ND 503 NONCASH GRANTS-IN-AID REPORT

## c. Assurances of Nondiscrimination

- 1. John Adams High School Previously submitted with original certification.
- Section 112 Land Acquisition and Demolition Good Samaritan Hospital and Medical Center to be submitted under separate cover.

ND 503 Page 10

## ND 504 PROPERTY MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY REPORT (Woodlawn, Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin, Boise-Humboldt)

#### 1. PROPERTY MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY REPORT

a. There may be under management as many as 117 properties at some time during the year, with an average of 92. These will be held only long enough to relocate the occupants and demolish the buildings. The land will be sold as soon as possible for redevelopment or for open space.

Rent will be collected from tenants on the same basis as for Urban Renewal projects in the City of Portland but no tenant will be required to pay more than 25% of his income for rent and utilities. A rent roll will be established to keep a record of rentals paid.

It is anticipated that security personnel will be necessary, the cost estimated to be about \$16,000. Other property management activity will be performed by urban renewal staff. The estimated cost of staff is \$33,152 and contract services \$16,000.

The property management activity for the reservation year will depend entirely upon acquisition of properties acquired pursuant to plans developed during the action year. Properties under management during the reservation year are estimated to be as many as 90 units at some time during the year with an overage of 58.

b. There will be no need to establish mobile homes as a relocation resource.

ND 504 Page 1

### ND 504 PROPERTY MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY REPORT - (Eliot, Northwest)

Action activities in these two areas may include acquisition and clearance during the initial phase of NDP. Property management activities will reflect the level of acquisition activity. Planning in both areas is now being geared toward the early identification of action programs and developing necessary details for documentation under this and other ND Sections prior to action. Such documentation will be prepared and submitted to HUD within the time limit set by HUD regulations.

## ND 505 REHABILITATION ACTIVITY REPORT (Woodlawn, Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin, Boise-Humboldt)

#### 1. <u>Rehabilitation Activity Report.</u>

- a. Rehabilitation Activity Program.
  - (1) Approximately 650 buildings in the four NDP areas will be rehabilitated during the 3rd Action Year. It is expected that about 150 of the total will be rehabilitated under the City's code enforcement program and with other non-Federally assisted funding. The remaining 500 structures will be rehabilitated with Section 115 Grants and Section 312 Loans under the NDP. Each property owner will be contacted by Community Services staff from the LPA to inform them of assistance that is available through the NDP and to arrange a time for City Building inspectors to make an inspection of the property to identify deficiencies that need to be corrected. After the building inspection, staff from the Finance Section of the LPA will meet with the property owner to discuss the cost of rehab work needed and to determine the owner's eligibility for a loan and/or grant. Subsequently, a Rehab Advisor will provide whatever assistance and counseling is necessary in obtaining a loan and/or grant to rehabilitate the structure. Such services will include the processing of necessary applications, arranging for architectural services, and obtaining a contractor to do the rehab work. After the work is completed, building inspectors will inspect the property for certification of work completed to Property Rehabilitation Standards.

## ND 505 REHABILITATION ACTIVITY REPORT, Cont<sup>1</sup>d (Woodlawn, Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin, Boise-Humboldt)

Following is an estimate of costs for staff time for rehabilitation activities during the 3rd Action Year in existing NDP areas:

Rehabilitation Section 1 Rehabilitation Supervisor 100% of Time 14 Rehabilitation Advisors 100% of Time 5 Secretaries and Clerks 100% of Time	\$201,100
Finance Section100% of Time1 Finance Supervisor100% of Time6 Finance Advisors100% of Time4 Secretaries and Clerks100% of Time	\$109,800
Community Services 3 Community Services Supervisors 5 Community Information Specialists 5 Secretaries 35% of	f Time
General Administration	\$ 51,000
SUB TOTAL	\$428,900
Vacations, sick leave, !3 1/2% Payroll taxes, deductions, etc. 12%	\$ 57,901 \$ 51,468
TOTAL	\$538,269

#### b. Basis for Property Rehabilitation Standards.

The basis for Property Rehabilitation Standards for each of the NDP areas have previously been documented in previous NDP applications, and such basis will also apply during the action year.

## ND 505 REHABILITATION ACTIVITY REPORT, Cont<sup>1</sup>d (Woodlawn, Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin, Boise-Humboldt)

- c. <u>Finding of Physical and Financial Feasibility of Rehabilitation</u> Documentation under this subsection has also been submitted with previous NDP applications.
- d. <u>Improvement</u>, by the LPA Using NDP Funds, of Individual or Groups of Properties Prior to Resale.

Not Applicable.

2. Property Rehabilitation Standards (PRS).

Property Rehabilitation Standards for each area and supporting documentation have previously been submitted in other applications.

> ND 505 Page 3

#### ND 505 REHABILITATION ACTIVITY REPORT -(Eliot, Northwest) Con't

It is expected that there will be a limited amount of rehab activity in the Northwest area during the action year. Preliminary plans indicate that there may not be rehab activities in Eliot during the action year, and that emphasis on action in Eliot during this period may be on acquisition and clearance activities.

Procedures and restrictions for carrying out rehab activities in other ongoing NDP areas will also be applied in these two areas when rehab activities are carried out.

> ND 505 Page 4

## ND 506 LAND MARKETING ACTIVITY REPORT (Moodlawn, Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin, Boise-Humboldt)

### 1. Land Marketing Activity Report.

#### a. Land Marketing Activity Program.

The following properties have been or will be cleared through the spot clearance program and ready for disposition during the 3rd Action Year:

#### Woodlawn NDP Area

Parcel No.	Legal Description	Address
1. W-C2-10	Lot 10, B1. 2, Cloverdale Tract	524 N. E. Jessup
2. W-ET4-12	Lot 12, Bl. 4, El Tovar Addition	733 N. E. Buffalo
3. W-8-5	Lot 5, Bl. 8, Woodlawn	964 N. <b>E. Oneon</b> ta
4. W-13-9	Lot 9, Bl. 13, Woodlawn	1075 N. E. Winona
5. W-HP8-26	Lot 26, Bl. 8, Highland Park Addition	1635 N. E. Ainsworth
6. W-LI-16	Lot 16, Bl. 1, Lowell Addition	1635 N. E. Bryant
7. W-CH18-4/5	₩ ½ Lot 4, All Lot 5, Block 18 Columbia Heights Addition	1534 N. E. Portland Blvd.
8. W-22-7	Lot 7, Bl. 22, Woodlawn	716 N. E. Portland Blvd.

#### Irvington NDP Area

Parcel No.	Legal Description	Address
1. 1-90-8	Lot 8, Bl. 90, Irvington	2323 N. E. 12th

In addition, it is expected that six other parcels will be available for disposition to developers during the Action Year. Such properties have not been identified at this time, but LPA experience in previous NDP Action Years, regarding spot clearance activities, indicate that these additional properties will be available.

#### ND 506 LAND MARKETING ACTIVITY REPORT, Cont'd (Woodlawn, Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin, Boise-Humboldt)

Parcels to be disposed of will be redeveloped for low-moderate income housing compatible to existing zoning. No additional promotional efforts are deemed necessary because the current lot inventory is being readily absorbed. The main financing program for purchasers for this development is FHA Section 235.

Following is an estimate of staff costs:

Real Estate Section		\$ 13,728
Chief, Real Estate	10% of time	
Real Estate Specialist	40% of time	
Real Estate Specialist	10% of time	
Assistant to Chief, Real Estate	25% of time	
Secretary Steno !	10% of time	

- b. Appraisal reports are not submitted at this time. Reasonable disposition value of land will be based on two acquisition and/or disposition appraisals of each property and formulated in accordance with HUD Proclaimer procedures and regulations.
- c. Properties for disposition do not necessarily have any special adaptability for redevelopment, nor is development by any one particular developer critical to the NDP. Building sites generated by spot clearance activities will be made available to area residents and other redevelopers with the capability to develop housing on these sites with emphasis on low-moderate cost housing.

## ND 506 LAND MARKETING ACTIVITY REPORT, Cont'd (Woodlawn, Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin, Boise-Humboldt)

- d. Economic and Market Data
  - (1) Economic Status of Locality

A summary of Portland's economic status was submitted in the 1st NDP Action Year application in code number ND 506, Exhibit C.

(2) Economic and Market Analysis Study (EMAS)

The relatively small number of properties to be disposed of does not warrant such a study, and such study has not been requested by the Regional Office.

- (3) Interim Disposal to a Public Agency or Non-Profit Corporation Not Applicable. Interim disposal to a public agency or nonprofit corporation is not contemplated.
- (4) <u>Hotel or Other Transient Housing Accommodations</u> Not Applicable. No hotel or other transient housing will be developed on these parcels to be disposed of.

### 2. Disposition Proceeds Estimates

a. For Land to be Acquired During the NDP Action Year:

The attached tabulation (Summary of Disposition Proceeds, Exhibit A) lists properties in low density residential areas with a redevelopment potential of single family or duplex structures. LPA experience in disposition of properties in urban renewal areas proximate to subject properties indicates a range of \$1,500 to \$2,400 per building site. For purposes of this estimate an average of \$2,000 has been used per buildable site disposition price.

## ND 506 LAND MARKETING ACTIVITY REPORT, Cont'd (Woodlawn, Irvington, King-Vernon-Sabin, Boise-Humboldt)

## b. Revisions and Adjustments for Previously Acquired Land:

The Land Inventory Adjustment Schedule is attached hereto as Exhibit B and shows the current inventory of properties that will be ready for disposition during the 3rd Action Year. Disposition values shown reflect current disposition experience by the LPA of other similar properties in the same NDP areas.

# ND 506 LAND MARKETING ACTIVITY REPORT, Cont'd (Eliot, Northwest)

There will be no land marketing activities in the proposed Eliot or Northwest NDP areas during the action year.

# SUMMARY OF DISPOSITION PROCEEDS

	Арргох.		Valua	tions
Redevelopment	No. of Parcels	Area in Sq. Ft.	Appraisers Estimate	
l. Total uses	15	82,500	\$	\$30,000
2. Total public and quasi- public uses	- 0 -		\$	\$
a. Streets & other public R/W (by dedication)				
b. Streets and other public R/W				
c. Parks, playgrounds, etc. (by dedication)				
d. Parks, playgrounds. etc.				
e. Public utility easements				
f. Low-rent public housing				
g. Other public uses				
h. Nonprofit institutional				
i. Moderate Income Housing				
3. Total private uses	15	82,500	\$	\$30,000
a. Residential				
b. Commercial				
c. Light industrial				
d. Heavy industrial				
e. Other				
f, Moderate Income Housing	15	82,500		\$30,000

ND 506 Exhibit A

# LAND INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT SCHEDULE

-

# (For Land Acquired Prior to NDP Action Year)

			DISPOSITIO	N VALUE	
			Previous	Revised	
		Area	Inventory	Inventory	ADJUSTMENT
		Sq.Ft.	Value	Value	+ or -
1.	Total uses				
		49,400	N/A	\$18,000	N/A
2.	Total public and				
	quasi-public uses	-0-			
	a. Streets & other				
	public R/W				
_	(by dedication)				
	b. Streets & other				
	public R/W				
	c. Parks, play-				
	grounds, etc.				
	(by dedication)				
	d. Parks, play-				
_	grounds, etc.				
	e. Public utility				
_	easements				
	f. Low-rent public				
_	housing				
	g. Other low inc.				
-	housing				
	h. Mod. inc. hous.				
	i. Nonprofit inst.				
	j. Other pub. uses				
3.	Total Private uses	49,400	N/A	18,000	N/A
	a. Residential	49,400		18,000	
FHA	235/2. Mod. Inc. nous.	49,400		18,000	
	3. Other				
	b. Commercial				
	c. Light indus.				
	d. Heavy indus,				ann fa saon a bard talan fa sa bardan
	e. Other				







