## CITY OF



### PORTLAND, OREGON

# OFFICE OF THE CITY AUDITOR Audit Services Division

Barbara Clark, City Auditor Richard Tracy, Director of Audits Mailing: 1220 S.W. Fifth Ave., Room 120

Portland, OR 97204

Walk In: 1400 S.W. Fifth Ave., 4th Floor (503) 823-4005, FAX (503) 823-4459

September 16, 1997

To: Mayor Vera Katz

Commissioner Jim Francesconi Commissioner Charlie Hales

Commissioner Gretchen Miller Kafoury

Commissioner Erik Sten

From: Barbara Clark, City Auditor

Subject: Audit Schedule for FY 1997-98

The following is the Audit Schedule for the Audit Services Division in FY 1997-98. Thank you for your input and suggestions on proposed audit topics. As the year progresses, we will inform you if we have changes or additions to this schedule. Please call me or Audit Director Dick Tracy if you have questions or comments.

#### **Audits in Progress**

#### **Estimated completion**

■ Emergency Response Times

October 1997

Why it's important: Council and bureau interest in response times to fire,

medical, and police incidents. Response times are key

performance goals for public safety agencies.

What's involved: Collect and analyze sample of response time data from

CAD system. Test accuracy, validity, and compliance with

goals.

■ Fleet Services: Comparison of Costs

November 1997

and Performance

Why it's important: Council and bureau interest in the relative costs and

performance of the City Fleet Services Division.

What's involved: Compare the costs of selected fleet services to comparable

cities and private firms. Collect and compare service

quality performance measures.

Bureau of Environmental Services:
 Audits of Selected Contracts

March 1998

Why it's important: Significant le

Significant level of capital expenditures by the Bureau.

High risk area that could benefit from periodic audit.

What's involved: Select sample of construction and professional services

contracts from each major program area. Audit compliance with contract provisions, contract management, and change

order rationale.

Annual Performance Report: SEA and

December 1997

Financial Condition Trends

Why it's important: Improve citizen trust in local government. Help Council

and management oversee City services. Help improve

program operations.

What's involved: Collect, audit, and report major performance and financial

condition indicators. Present in new, improved format

available on the Internet.

New Audits Estimated Start Date

Management Controls: Bureau Self-Assessment October 1997

Why it's important: Reduce risks of fraud, waste, and abuse. Improve control

environment and procedures.

What's involved: Collaborate with managers to develop model management

control process and help bureaus perform self-assessments

of their control systems.

■ Handling Citizen Phone Calls

December 1997

Why it's important: Council interest in good customer service.

What's involved: Test and evaluate phone response protocols at various

bureaus against national criteria for quality customer

service.

#### ■ Park Capital Improvements

January 1998

Why it's important: Significant expenditures to improve parks and recreation

facilities. Council and public interest in efficient and

effective use of public resources.

What's involved: Assessment of Bureau's progress using General Obligation

Bonds to improve parks and recreation facilities. Compare accomplishments to initial plan, audit for economical use of

resources, and assess impact on program quality.

Bureau of Environmental Services:
 Wastewater Collection System

April 1998

Why it's important: Good stewardship of the wastewater collection and

treatment system contributes to community health and

welfare, and helps control sewer user rates.

What's involved: Evaluate efficiency and effectiveness of infrastructure

improvement planning and maintenance activities.

■ Bureau of Traffic Management

March 1998

Why it's important: Council and public interest in the program. Audit coverage,

never audited.

What's involved: Identify program goals and objectives, evaluate strategies,

compare performance to standards and other similar programs, determine potential for improvements.

cc: Bureau Managers

BC:DT:rwc