Development Services

From Concept to Construction

Phone: 503-823-7300 Email: bds@portlandoregon.gov 1900 SW 4th Ave, Portland, OR 97201 More Contact Info (http://www.portlandoregon.gov//bds/article/519984)

|--|



NW Everett St
den Laverty
9136707
ctor: Peter Drake
: R-3 Construction Type: V-B
Throughout
ation No.: 18-241563-CO
nsient Duplex
lling units"
olex under the classification "Buildings that
ge of occupancy and require the building
s as follows:
e" does not restrict transient uses in the R-3 ving facilities, boarding houses and lodging akes clear that the word is intended to s no mention of buildings that would of the building is less risk than a congregate a dwelling/facility are not familiar with each
larification of R-1 occupancies of two
6

I have sent bundles of code language and state level interpretations regarding transient occupancies under R-3. I am submitting this appeal as a formality, but I have been told numerous times now that this is allowed by code and therefore isn't technically an appeal item. There is no language saying a duplex cannot be transient and there is no limit on the number of occupants for a transient duplex. The IBC clarified this with the action committee vote described above. I would also like to clarify that I am not attempting to permit this building under the residential code. I am attempting to permit it under OSSC and meet all requirements of the commercial code. My small business that, for now employs 15+ of your constituents, pays livable wages far above minimum requirements and is still keeping those employees whole at my own expense is already fighting to survive the current circumstances.

APPEAL DECISION

R3 transient duplex: Granted as proposed as R3 per the Oregon Structural Specialty Code.

The Administrative Appeal Board finds that the information submitted by the appellant demonstrates that the approved modifications or alternate methods are consistent with the intent of the code; do not lessen health, safety, accessibility, life, fire safety or structural requirements; and that special conditions unique to this project make strict application of those code sections impractical.

Pursuant to City Code Chapter 24.10, you may appeal this decision to the Building Code Board of Appeal within 90 calendar days of the date this decision is published. For information on the appeals process, go to www.portlandoregon.gov/bds/appealsinfo, call (503) 823-7300 or come in to the Development Services Center.

IBC: 310.2

Proposed Change as Submitted

Proponent: Daniel Willham, County of Fairfax, Virginia, representing Virginia Building and Code Officials Association (VBCOA) (daniel.willham@fairfaxcounty.gov)

2018 International Building Code

Revise as follows

310.2 Residential Group R-1. Residential Group R-1 occupancies containing *sleeping units* <u>or more than two dwelling</u> <u>units</u> where the occupants are primarily *transient* in nature, including:

Boarding houses (transient) with more than 10 occupants *Congregate living facilities (transient)* with more than 10 occupants Hotels (*transient*) Motels (*transient*)

Reason: There appears to be a gap in the code for hotels (*transient*) that provide *dwelling units*. As currently written, neither the R-1 nor the R-2 descriptions provide clear direction on the classification of hotels (*transient*) that provide *dwelling units*. The commentary clarifies that R-1 occupancies can contain either *sleeping units*, *dwelling units*, or both, but the code as written does not explicitly address *transient* residential occupancies that contain (more than two) *dwelling units*. The key characteristic of group R-1 occupancies is the transient nature of the occupants and not the absence of *dwelling units*. This proposal simply adds language for dwelling units that mirrors that used in the description of R-2 non-transient occupancies. With this clarification, the difference between R-1 and R-2 occupancies will be clearly defined to depend only on the transient or non-transient nature of the occupants, respectively. For reference, an excerpt from the IBC commentary (pg3-37) follows this change proposal.