Development Services

From Concept to Construction

Phone: 503-823-7300 Email: bds@portlandoregon.gov 1900 SW 4th Ave, Portland, OR 97201 More Contact Info (http://www.portlandoregon.gov//bds/article/519984)

| APPEAL | SUMMARY |
|--------|---------|
|--------|---------|

Status: Hold for Additional Information

| Appeal ID: 23502 | Project Address: 1320 SW Broadway |
|--|---|
| Hearing Date: 2/26/20 | Appellant Name: Tom Jaleski |
| Case No.: B-012 | Appellant Phone: 971-238-5266 |
| Appeal Type: Building | Plans Examiner/Inspector: Jeffrey Rago |
| Project Type: commercial | Stories: 6 Occupancy: M, S-2, S-1, B, A-3 Construction Type: I-B |
| Building/Business Name: Oregonian Building | Fire Sprinklers: Yes - Throughout |
| Appeal Involves: Alteration of an existing structure | LUR or Permit Application No.: 20-106307-FA |
| Plan Submitted Option: pdf [File 1] | Proposed use: Office Building |

APPEAL INFORMATION SHEET

Appeal item 1

| Code Section | 1004.1.2 |
|---|--|
| Requires | The number of occupants shall be computed at the rate of one occupant per unit of area as prescribed in Table 1004.1.2. For areas without fixed seating, the occupant load shall not be less than that number determined by dividing the floor area under consideration by the occupant load factor assigned to the function of the space as set forth in Table 1004.1.2. Where an intended function is not listed in Table 1004.1.2, the building official shall establish a function based on a listed function that most nearly resembles the intended function. Exception: Where approved by the building official, the actual number of occupants for whom each occupied space, floor, or building is designed, although less than those determined by calculation, shall be permitted to be used in the determination of the design occupant load. |
| Code Modification or Alternate Requested | Provide the 2nd floor a 100 s.f. per occupant designation in relation to Title 24.85 to match the same tenants approved occupant load calculation method approved by Appeal #16519 for the 1st and 2nd floors, #14728 for a 1st floor tenant, and #14458 for the 3rd, 4th and 5th floors. |
| Proposed Design | The Oregonian building is a 6 story historical building designed by the famous Oregon architect Pietro Belluschi and completed in 1948. The building went through a substantial core and shell remodel in 2015, including voluntary seismic improvements. A fast-growing Portland video encoding company plans to expand its headquarters on floors 3, 4, 4M, and 5 of this building to the 2nd floor. |
| | As permitted by section 1004.1.2 we are requesting that the building official approve an occupant load factor of 100sf/person gross for the entire floor area of all floors leased by this tenant. This will |

Appeals | The City of Portland, Oregon

be used for code compliance evaluations including determining the seismic triggers per Title 24.85 of the City Code for the entire 37,750 sf of leasable space on the 2nd floor.

The proposed design will match how D+H was approved through appeal # 16519 for the 2nd floor to use 100 s.f. per occupant load, with the tenant being replaced by the same tenant that appeal #14458 approved on floors 3, 4, and 5. Additional protection will be provided with a posted occupant load placard placed in the elevator lobby on the 2nd floor as approved for floors 3, 4, and 5 per appeal #14458.

Reason for alternative The Oregonian building is a 6-story historical building in Portland designed by the famous Oregon architect Pietro Belluschi and completed in 1948. Originally built as a newspaper production facility used for printing, office space, public reception, as well as a home to a television studio, the building has undergone renovations and attracted leading IT, architectural and financial services tenants.

Tenant Perspective:

The proposed tenant is a high-tech business that requires non-traditional office layouts. The competition for creativity, innovation, and staff retention is fierce in this market sector. Portland has typically not attracted attention from this market sector until recently; it has not been for a lack of trying. Now that we have gained a foothold it is important to make sure that the regulations recognize the unique needs of these companies and make appropriate adjustments. As if evident from the request there is no need for a reduction in life safety provisions. In fact these spaces are higher end than the average market, the request is for proper classification to accommodate the type of activities that take place in this business work spaces. The key features of the tenant's offices is:

All floors are private, there are no public areas anywhere. Every employee has an assigned desk. Anyone who enters this space is either an employee or an escorted visitor. Security is paramount due to intense competition in this innovation driven market sector with very short time frames for concept to market.

Typically 70% - 75% of employees are in their offices, rest are off site either at trade shows, customer locations, or working remotely.

Visitors - The data across multiple office locations indicates that there will be on average 20 visitors over any one month period for this location.

Firm wide events will not be held here, these are held at other locations already ready for such activities.

Even the rooms labelled as Conference room, AV room, Customer Experience room, Alternative Work Areas, Breakout Rooms, are office functions. As mentioned in item 2 this is a private office and all persons in all of these rooms are primarily those that have an assigned desk or those that have prearranged meeting and escorted by an employee.

We request that you grant this appeal for these reasons:

---No changes in use or occupancy are proposed.

---The actual occupant load is less dense than the permitted 100 sf/occupant.

---The number of exits provided could serve over 1,000 occupants.

---The 3rd, 4th and 5th floors were approved in appeal # 14458 for the same space configuration and same tenant.

---This floor was approved for this occupant calculation method for the previous tenant of this space, Appeal # 16519.

---The 1st floor is approved for the same occupant load calculation method, Appeal #14728, and #16519.

---The consistency of occupancy and use from approved appeals should maintain occupant load calculation method.

--A Maximum Occupant Load placard will be placed in the 2nd floor elevator lobby that states the

maximum number of occupants is 378 occupant based on 100s.f./occupant for the 37,750 s.f. gross floor area.

Based on the discussion above and other information included in the attached documents, we believe that calculating the occupant load for these floors using 100 sf gross will result in more than double the actual occupant load. Therefore, we urge you to approve this appeal.

APPEAL DECISION

Use of occupant load factor of 1:100 gross for office tenant based on actual number of employees and non-simultaneous use for determination of seismic upgrades: Hold for additional information. Appellant may contact John Butler (503 823-7339) with questions.

Additional information is submitted as a no fee reconsideration, following the same submittal process and using the same appeals form as the original appeal. Indicate at the beginning of the appeal form that you are filing a reconsideration and include the original assigned Appeal ID number. The reconsideration will receive a new appeal number.

Include the original attachments and appeal language. Provide new text with only that information that is specific to the reconsideration in a separate paragraph(s) clearly identified as "Reconsideration Text" with any new attachments also referenced. No additional fee is required.

| | | PARTITION SCHEDU | | | ЛЕ | |
|------|-------------|------------------|---|------------|---------------------|--|
| TYPE | FIRE RATING | UL # | DESCRIPTION | SSMA # | STUD SPACIN (IN) | |
| B01 | NR | NR | NON-RATED 2 1/2" METAL STUDS, FULL HEIGHT [SLAB-TO-SLAB] WITH ONE LAYER 5/8" GYPBOARD EACH SIDE. | 250S125-33 | 16 | |
| B07 | NR | NR | NON-RATED 2 1/2" METAL STUDS , FULL HEIGHT [SLAB-TO-SLAB] WITH TWO LAYERS 5/8" GYPBOARD EACH SIDE (OUTER LAYERS STOP 6" ABOVE CEILING). | 250S125-33 | 16 | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |





| IEET NOTES | | KEY NOTES |
|---|-----|---|
| | NO. | DESCRIPTION |
| AND ABBREVIATIONS. | 101 | EGD LOCATION. PROVIDE LEVEL 5 WALL FINISH. |
| FION EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE NOTED. | 101 | PROVIDE 16 GAUGE SHEET METAL BACKING PLATE AT ALL WALL MOUNTED GLASS WHITE BOARDS AND PEN TRAY, WALL |
| .N. DO NOT ADJUST DIMENSIONS INDICATED AS "CLEAR" WITHOUT WRITTEN DIRECTION CALED. VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND EXISTING AS BUILT FIELD CONDITIONS, | 102 | MOUNTED EQUIPMENT IN RESTROOM TOILET ROOMS, AND ALL OTHER WALL MOUNTED EQUIPMENT NOT NOTED. SEE DETAI |
| TART OF WORK. NOTIFY ARCHITECT WHERE DISCREPANCIES OCCUR. | | 04/A-8.0. |
| , | 103 | FREIGHT ELEVATOR VESTIBULE WALLS TO BE B01. NO ACOUSTIC INSULATION. |
| A TYPE AND IN LOCATIONS INDICATED IN THE PLAN. INSULATION AT RATED PARTITIONS | 104 | EGD LOCATION. EGD WRAPS ALL SIDES OF COLUMN. PROVIDE LEVEL 5 FINISH. |
| EQUIVALENT APPROVED IN THE PROJECT JURISDICTION. OR EQUAL AT PARTITIONS SCHEDULED TO RECEIVE CERAMIC TILE (U.O.N.). SEE FINISH | 108 | PROVIDE NEW COUNTERTOP. PROVIDE NEW GWB INFILL WHERE REQUIRED. |
| TON EQUAL AT FANTITIONS SCHEDULED TO RECEIVE CERAIVIC THE (0.0.11.). SEE THIST | 109 | PROVIDE NEW BASE CABINETS AND COUNTERTOP. |
| RTITION DETAILS, EXTENTS OF FRAMING AND FINISHES. | | |
| I HEIGHT, BRACE TO ADJACENT STRUCTURE. SEE A-8 DETAIL SHEET SERIES. | | |
| NT RATED ASSEMBLIES FOR ALL PENETRATIONS. | | |
| IS INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO: GRAB BARS, SHELVING, OVERHEAD CABINETS, IOUNT. EQUIPMENT, ETC. | | |
| NAL DEVICE LOCATIONS. | | |
| 5 FINISH AT ALL PARTITIONS TO RECEIVE WALL COVERING. REFER TO FINISH PLANS | | |
| Ν. | | |
| VER SMALL SCALE DRAWINGS. DETAILS TAKE PRECEDENCE OVERALL, NOTIFY | | |
| S OCCUR. RS SHALL HAVE A CONTINUOUS METAL CORNER BEAD. | | |
| R WINDOW WALL ARE TAKEN FROM THE INSIDE FACE OF THE VERTICAL MULLION. | | |
| E VERIFIED PRIOR TO START OF WORK UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. | | |
| IVAC, MECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL, PLUMBING, DESIGN BUILD FIRE PROTECTION AND | | |
| RCHITECT DISCREPANCIES FOR CORRECTION AND ADJUSTMENT PRIOR TO START OF | | |
| REASED COST DUE TO THE CONTRACTOR'S LACK OF COORDINATION. | | |
| TO NEW CONSTRUCTION OR WHERE PENETRATIONS HAVE BEEN MADE FOR RK. FIRESAFE AT UL RATED ASSEMBLIES CONSISTENT WITH EXISTING UL RATING. | | |
| NN, CONTRACTOR IS REQUESTED TO FIELD VERIFY AS BUILT FIELD CONDITIONS TO | | |
| CONDITIONS ARE FOUND, DEMO AND REPLACE WITH NEW EQUIVALENT UL ASSEMBLY, | | |
| WRITING WHO WILL PROVIDE WRITTEN DIRECTION. | | |
| TER DEMOLITION WHERE DAMAGE HAS OCCURRED AT UNPROTECTED LOCATIONS. | | |
| CCUR BEYOND WORK LIMITS SHOWN ON DEMOLITION PLAN DUE TO CONCEALED | | |
| NG SHALL MEET OR EXCEED PROPERTIES SPECIFIED FOR THE CORRESPONDING | | |
| iciation [SSMA] product technical information [ICC-es report no. er-4943P] | | |
| AN SOCIETY FOR TESTING AND MATERIALS, AMERICAN IRON AND STEEL INSTITUTE | | |
| THICKNESS THAT ONLY TRUE GAUGE FLAT STEEL CAN SATISFY. USE OF "EFFECTIVE | | |
| IVALENT PRODUCT (EQ) STUDS FURNISHED BY EQ STUD MANUFACTURERS, VENDORS | | |
| CEPTION. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

