# **Development Services**

# From Concept to Construction

Phone: 503-823-7300 Email: bds@portlandoregon.gov 1900 SW 4th Ave, Portland, OR 97201 More Contact Info (http://www.portlandoregon.gov//bds/article/519984)

## APPEAL SUMMARY

Status: Decision Rendered

Project Type: residential Stories: 2 Occupancy: R-1 Construction Ty   Building/Business Name: Fire Sprinklers: No   Appeal Involves: Alteration of an existing structure LUR or Permit Application No.: 17-199860-1		
Case No.: B-003 Appellant Phone: 503-460-9313   Appeal Type: Building Plans Examiner/Inspector: Maureen McCaff   Project Type: residential Stories: 2 Occupancy: R-1 Construction Ty   Building/Business Name: Fire Sprinklers: No   Appeal Involves: Alteration of an existing structure LUR or Permit Application No.: 17-199860-P	Appeal ID: 16055	Project Address: 6715 NE 24th Ave
Appeal Type: BuildingPlans Examiner/Inspector: Maureen McCaffProject Type: residentialStories: 2 Occupancy: R-1 Construction TyBuilding/Business Name:Fire Sprinklers: NoAppeal Involves: Alteration of an existing structureLUR or Permit Application No.: 17-199860-1	Hearing Date: 11/1/17	Appellant Name: Ed Spencer
Project Type: residential Stories: 2 Occupancy: R-1 Construction Ty   Building/Business Name: Fire Sprinklers: No   Appeal Involves: Alteration of an existing structure LUR or Permit Application No.: 17-199860-1	Case No.: B-003	Appellant Phone: 503-460-9313
Building/Business Name: Fire Sprinklers: No   Appeal Involves: Alteration of an existing structure LUR or Permit Application No.: 17-199860-1	Appeal Type: Building	Plans Examiner/Inspector: Maureen McCafferty
Appeal Involves: Alteration of an existing structure LUR or Permit Application No.: 17-199860-I	Project Type: residential	Stories: 2 Occupancy: R-1 Construction Type: V-B
	Building/Business Name:	Fire Sprinklers: No
Plan Submitted Ontion: pdf [File 1]	Appeal Involves: Alteration of an existing structure	LUR or Permit Application No.: 17-199860-RS
Fight Submitted Option, put [File 1] Floposed use. SFR	Plan Submitted Option: pdf [File 1]	Proposed use: SFR

## APPEAL INFORMATION SHEET

## Appeal item 1

Code Section	R305.1 ceiling height
Requires	Min. 7' ceiling heighthallways.
	(' -8" brochure 9)
Proposed Design	In applying for a Dormer permit the homeowner, Liz Idlewine, eventually realized that before she purchased the home a previous owner had converted the existing attic into living space. Liz insisted on including "legalizing" the converted attic within this permit. When the original unpermitted work was done it appears that the ceiling was furred down to provide additional space for insulation resulting in a slightly lower ceiling height along the stair railing. In the new design the passage distance with the lower ceiling was increased in length by 4'-8" to accommodate a bathroom. Currently, and as with the new design, there is 15" width of minimum 7'-0" ceiling height and 20" width of 6'-8" ceiling height. The lowest ceiling height width at 36" is 5'-8". With the addition of the Dormer the minimum 70 square feet of 6'-8" ceiling height has been greatly increased to 67'}6 of floor area. With regard to the additional 4'-8" length of reduced hallway ceiling height the homeowner would argue that she has been using the area with no limitations and also that the addition of insulation material in the furred down area is of greater importance to her.
Reason for alternative	The only way to absolutely rectify this issue would be to remove the additional framing material and insulation which perhaps would not even then provide full relief but would certainly reduce the Thermal Performance of the area. Therefore, we request that the existing conditions, as shown in the attached plan, be allowed to remain and be approved as shown.

#### APPEAL DECISION

https://www.portlandoregon.gov/bds/appeals/index.cfm?action=entry&appeal\_id=16055





#### Appeals | The City of Portland, Oregon

#### Appellant may contact John Butler (503 823-7339) with questions.

The Administrative Appeal Board finds with the conditions noted, that the information submitted by the appellant demonstrates that the approved modifications or alternate methods are consistent with the intent of the code; do not lessen health, safety, accessibility, life, fire safety or structural requirements; and that special conditions unique to this project make strict application of those code sections impractical.

Pursuant to City Code Chapter 24.10, you may appeal this decision to the Building Code Board of Appeal within 180 calendar days of the date this decision is published. For information on the appeals process and costs, including forms, appeal fee, payment methods and fee waivers, go to www.portlandoregon.gov/bds/appealsinfo, call (503) 823-7300 or come in to the Development Services Center.

### ENDPOINT DESIGN

.

.

Ed Spencer, Designer www.endpointdesign.com ed@endpointdesign.com <u>CUSTOM HOME DESIGN REMODEL PLANNING</u> <u>AND COMMERCIAL T.I.</u> (503) 460-9313 (office) (503) 516-4418 (cell) P.O. Box 55333

Portland, Or. 97238

#### BUILDING CODE APPEAL 17-199860-RS

Section R305 of the 2014 Oregon Residential Specialty Code required a ceiling height of 7 feet for, among other areas, Hallways, (Brochure #9 "Converting Attics and Basements...." Allow for 6'-8"). In applying for a Dormer permit the homeowner, Liz Idlewine, eventually realized that before she purchased the home a previous owner had converted the existing attic into living space. Liz insisted on including "legalizing" the converted attic within this permit. When the original unpermitted work was done it appears that the ceiling was furred down to provide additional space for insulation resulting in a slightly lower ceiling height along the stair railing. In the new design the passage distance with the lower ceiling was increased in length by 4'-8" to accommodate a bathroom. Currently, and as with the new design, there is 15" width of minimum 7'-0" ceiling height and 20" width of 6'-8" ceiling height. The lowest ceiling height width at 36" is 5'-8". With the addition of the Dormer the minimum 70 square feet of 6'-8" ceiling height the homeowner would argue that she has been using the area with no limitations and also that the addition of insulation material in the furred down area is of greater importance to her. The only way to absolutely rectify this issue would be to remove the additional framing material and insulation which perhaps would not even then provide full relief but would certainly reduce the Thermal Performance of the area. Therefore, we request that the existing conditions, as shown, be allowed to remain and be approved as shown.

