

Portland Planning & Sustainability Commission

Department of Community Services Transportation Division July 13, 2021





Project Update



Funding Context



Must achieve an affordable Project to be viable





Note: City of Portland and other local cities agreed to forego VRF revenue to provide financial support of the project.

Project Update



Funding Opportunities and Approaches

Funding Opportunities

- Federal Transportation & Infrastructure Package
- Federal RAISE Grant
- Potential Future Regional Transportation Bond Measure
- Multnomah County Vehicle Registration Fee (secured)

Approaches

- Cost reductions
- Establishing a cost cap







Cost Saving Measures Under Analysis



Approach to Cost Saving Measures



Guiding Principles

- Moving forward with recommended Long Span Replacement
 Alternative
- Ensure the Purpose and Need is met
 - Seismic resiliency
 - Emergency response and regional recovery
 - Long term transportation needs
- Maintain County's equity lens
- Fiscal responsibility



Cost Saving Measures

EARTHQUAKE READY BURNSIDE BRIDGE

Range of Cost Saving Options being Considered

- 1. Bridge-specific Changes
 - 1a. Bridge Types
 - 1b. Bridge Width
 - 1c. Approach Span Lengths
- 2. Property Impacts / ROW Acquisition
- 3. Connections to Skidmore MAX, Eastbank Esplanade
- 4. Aesthetic Enhancements
- 5. Delivery Method



Cost Saving Measures NOT Pursued



Things we considered but chose **NOT** to pursue

- The Project will not:
- Reduce seismic design criteria
- Eliminate potential for future Streetcar
- Reduce to three vehicular lanes
- Eliminate capacity for oversized and specialized heavy haul vehicles
- Reduce bike/ped width to less than 14-feet
- Remove the crash worthy barrier between vehicular lanes and bike/ped space



West Approach Bridge Type



Girder Type with Two Supports in Waterfront Park

Existing condition



West Approach Bridge Type



Girder Type with Two Supports in Waterfront Park









Joint Historic Landmarks and Design Commissions – Design Advice Request, 3/4/21

Design Advice Provided to Project Team:

- Due to visual impacts to historic districts, Girder-styled west approach option best meets zoning code and historic guidelines
- Bascule movable bridge option minimizes impacts to views
- Cable Supported option offers similar scale and visual cohesion to east side building heights
- Cable Supported option offers more transparency
- Preference for "observable asymmetry" due to distinct differences in urban fabric on west and east sides





Bridge Cross Section





Bridge Cross Section



Narrower Bridge: Space Allocation Options

Project team will study

various ways space could be allocated ·---- 14'----- ⊦ **50'** 14'— BIKE / PED ROADWAY WIDTH BIKE / PED ۶ **উ**ঠি ෯ BUS 庎 11' 11' 11' 11' FUTURE STREETCAR FUTURE STREETCAR WESTBOUND EASTBOUND 47 ⊣ — **15.5'**—⊣ BIKE / PED ROADWAY WIDTH BIKE / PED 냣 **ক**ি ക് BUS Ŕ 10.5' 10.5' 10' 10' FUTURE FUTURE STREETCAR STREETCAR WESTBOUND EASTBOUND

* Note: Buffer between bike / pedestrian spaces not shown



(UNDER ANALYSIS)

Traffic Lane Configurations



Three Study Options

Option 1 (Balanced): 2 WB General Purpose + 2 EB (1 General Purpose and 1 Bus lane)

Option 2 (EB Focus): 1 WB General Purpose + 3 EB (2 General Purpose and 1 EB Bus lane)

Option 3 (Reversible Lane): 1 WB + 1 Reversible Lane + 2 EB (1 GP and 1 Bus lane)

(UNDER ANALYSIS)







East Approach: Near Skatepark

EARTHQUAKE READY

Cable Stayed DEIS Alternative

Burnside Skatepark

Note: The Cable Stayed option does not require any columns near Burnside Skatepark



2nd Ave

East Approach: Near Skatepark



Tied Arch DEIS Alternative



Does not apply to Cable Stayed bridge type

Property Impact / Right of Way



No Permanent ROW Impacts for Streetcar





Connections to MAX / Esplanade



Initial Assumptions





(UNDER ANALYSIS)

Connections to MAX / Esplanade



County to fund connections to facilities below

North & South Stairs to Skidmore Max Station

South Stairs to Eastbank Esplanade





Owner: City of Portland

Owner: Multnomah County



(UNDER ANALYSIS)



Location Overview





Other rampo

 $(\mathbf{3})$

(shown on os sumotion of or of the state of the second sec

Initial options under consideration

- 1. Switchback ramp along bridge
- 2. On-bridge signalized crossing
- 3. Stairway + Elevators
- ... or a combination of the above

Google Ea



Refined options under consideration

- 1. Switchback ramp along bridge
- 2. Street network upgrade
- 3. Stairs + Elevators
- ... or a combination of the above

TriMet Bus Stop (Starting point)

C Relindree



rth



North Street Network Routing: Bus Stop to Westbound & Eastbound MAX







South Street Network Routing: Bus Stop to Westbound & Eastbound MAX







Ramp Network Routing: Bus Stop to Westbound & Eastbound MAX





Connection to Eastbank Esplanade

Location Overview



🛛 EARTHQUAKE 🔛

READY

Connection to Eastbank Esplanade

Initial options under consideration

- **Ramp from bridge** 1.
- **On-bridge signalized crossing or under bridge crossing** 2.

Other ramp options under

consideration 3

- **Stairway + Elevator** 3.
- ... or a combinations of the above





50



Bridge Connection – East Side



Options under consideration

- 1. Switchback ramp
- 2. Stairway + Elevator
- ... or a combinations of the above

© 2020 Google



G000

Cost Saving Measures



Range of Cost Saving Options being Considered

Topic Buckets	Cost Savings Item	Preliminary Cost Savings Range
1a. Bridge Specific	Girder vs Long Span (on West Approach)	\$5M to \$10M
	Cable Stayed vs Tied Arch	(Pending Type Sel.)
	Lift vs Bascule	(Pending Type Sel.)
1b. Bridge Width	Roadway reduced from 5 to 4 vehicle lanes	\$85M to \$100M
	Sidewalks / Bike lanes reduced from 20' to 14'	\$55M to \$65M
1c. Tied Arch Approach Span Lengths	Additional columns (i.e., Burnside Skatepark)	\$15M to \$20M
2. Property Impacts / ROW Acquisition	No ROW Acquisition on Couch Couplet for Streetcar	\$5M to \$10M
 Connections to MAX / Esplanade 	County to fund connections to facilities below	TBD
4. Aesthetic Enhancements	Limit Aesthetics / Lighting /Urban Design/ Landscaping	\$5M to \$10M
5. Delivery Method	"Best Value" Bid vs CM/GC Delivery	TBD
	Cost Savings Range:	\$175M - \$220M







Workplan Update







Key Schedule Changes

- Final EIS moved out six months to accommodate additional analysis
- Construction start moved to reflect when we think we'll have funding by



Next Steps



- Spring / Summer 2021 Technical Analysis
- Fall 2021 CTF Meetings
 - Review analysis findings, county cost cap decision and cost saving recommendations
 - Seek CTF concurrence on recommendations
- Fall / Winter 2021 Continued Outreach to Community Stakeholders
- February 2022 Community Outreach with Publication of Supplemental Draft EIS
- Spring 2022 Finalize Type Selection Recommendation
- Summer 2022 Final EIS and Record of Decision







Open Discussion







Thank you!

