



CITY OF
Portland, Oregon

Official
Minutes

November 4-5, 2020

Date and time

November 4, 2020 at 9:35 a.m.

Council recessed at 11:27 a.m.

Officers in attendance

Keelan McClymont, Clerk of the Council; Robert Taylor, Chief Deputy City Attorney

Consent Agenda

On a Y-5 roll call, the Consent Agenda was adopted.

Date and time

November 4, 2020 at 2:01 p.m.

Council recessed at 4:16 p.m.

Officers in attendance

Keelan McClymont, Clerk of the Council; Linly Rees, Chief Deputy City Attorney

Date and time

November 5, 2020 at 2:02 p.m.

Council adjourned at 3:17 p.m.

Officers in attendance

Keelan McClymont, Clerk of the Council; Lory Kraut, Senior Deputy City Attorney

MARY HULL CABALLERO
Auditor of the City of Portland

Keelan McClymont

By Keelan McClymont
Clerk of the Council

**PORTLAND CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
City Hall - 1221 SW Fourth Avenue
WEDNESDAY, 9:30 AM, NOVEMBER 4, 2020**

Disposition:

THOSE PRESENT BY VIDEO AND TELECONFERENCE WERE: Mayor Wheeler, Presiding; Commissioners Eudaly, Fritz, Hardesty and Ryan, 5. Commissioner Eudaly left at 10:00 a.m., 4.

Please note, City Hall is closed to the public due to the COVID-19 Pandemic.

Under Portland City Code and state law, the City Council is holding this meeting electronically. All members of council are attending remotely by video and teleconference, and the City has made several avenues available for the public to listen to the audio broadcast of this meeting. The meeting is available to the public on the City's YouTube Channel, eGov PDX, www.portlandoregon.gov/video and Channel 30. The public can also provide written testimony to Council by emailing the Council Clerk at cctestimony@portlandoregon.gov.

The Council is taking these steps as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic and the need to limit in-person contact and promote social distancing. The pandemic is an emergency that threatens the public health, safety and welfare which requires us to meet remotely by electronic communications. Thank you all for your patience, flexibility and understanding as we manage through this difficult situation to do the City's business.

Provide Public Testimony: City Council will hear public testimony on resolutions and ordinances (first readings only). Testimony is not taken on communications, reports, second readings, proclamations or presentations in accordance with Code 3.02.040 F. and G. Public testimony will be heard by electronic communication (internet connection or telephone). Please identify the agenda item(s) you want to testify on, and then visit the Council Clerk's agenda webpage to register, www.portlandoregon.gov/auditor/councilagenda. Provide your name, agenda item number(s), zip code, phone number and email address. Individuals have three minutes to testify unless otherwise stated at the meeting.

The deadline to sign up for the November 4, 2020 Council meetings is Tuesday, November 3, 2020 at 4:00 p.m.

Email the Council Clerk at cctestimony@portlandoregon.gov with any questions.

COMMUNICATIONS

<p>867 Request of Sue Gemmell to address Council regarding safety of residents at shelter-in-place villages (Communication)</p>	<p>PLACED ON FILE</p>
<p>868 Request of Andre Miller to address Council regarding working with the leaders actively protesting for Black lives and reasons why we are working with Defend Democracy Coalition (Communication)</p>	<p>PLACED ON FILE</p>
<p>869 Request of Indi Namkoong to address Council regarding militarized police response to demonstrations and the City's role in protecting the right to protest following the general election (Communication)</p>	<p>PLACED ON FILE</p>
<p>870 Request of Smitha Chadaga to address Council regarding the need to defend our democracy on every level at this time in our history (Communication)</p>	<p>PLACED ON FILE</p>

<p>871 Request of Destiny Houston to address Council regarding use of force against protesters, what Council can do to support Black Lives Matter, and participating in Defend Democracy Coalition (Communication)</p>	<p>PLACED ON FILE</p>
<p>TIMES CERTAIN</p>	
<p>872 TIME CERTAIN: 9:45 AM – Adopt Code and administration rules for the Community Opportunities and Enhancements Program (Previous Agenda 844; Ordinance introduced by Mayor Wheeler; add Code Chapter 5.35 and Portland Policy Document ADM 1.25) 45 minutes requested Motion to strike the last sentences of sections 5.1.4 and 5.1.5 in Exhibit B – Admin Rule 1.25: Moved by Wheeler and seconded by Hardesty. (Y-4)</p>	<p>PASSED TO SECOND READING NOVEMBER 12, 2020 AT 9:30 AM AS AMENDED</p>
<p>CONSENT AGENDA – NO DISCUSSION</p> <p>Mayor Ted Wheeler</p> <p>Office of Management and Finance</p>	
<p>*873 Pay settlement of Jillian Brake bodily injury claim in the sum of \$20,000 resulting from a motor vehicle collision involving Portland Fire & Rescue (Ordinance) (Y-5)</p>	<p>190183</p>
<p>*874 Pay bodily injury settlement of Angelique Campos in the sum of \$36,500 resulting from a motor vehicle collision involving the Portland Police Bureau (Ordinance) (Y-5)</p>	<p>190184</p>
<p>*875 Amend Ordinance No. 190107 regarding 2021 Citywide Charitable Campaign to include additional City partners (Ordinance; amend Ordinance No. 190107) (Y-5)</p>	<p>190185</p>
<p>876 Authorize a 24-month lease extension with Multnomah County School District No. 1J at 2627 SW Stephenson St through July 31, 2022 at no cost for the Portland Bureau of Emergency Management’s emergency equipment storage (Ordinance)</p>	<p>PASSED TO SECOND READING NOVEMBER 12, 2020 AT 9:30 AM</p>
<p>Portland Housing Bureau</p>	
<p>*877 Amend contract between Housing and Development Services, Inc. and the City to extend licensing and maintenance of the Portland Housing Bureau’s core software system in the amount of \$185,708 (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 30002366) (Y-5)</p>	<p>190186</p>
<p>Commissioner Chloe Eudaly</p> <p>Portland Bureau of Transportation</p>	
<p>878 Authorize the Oregon Public Works Emergency Response Cooperative Assistance Agreement with the Oregon Department of Transportation and others for cooperative assistance during emergency conditions (Second Reading Agenda 857) (Y-5)</p>	<p>190187</p>
<p>Commissioner Amanda Fritz</p>	

November 4-5, 2020

Parks & Recreation		
*879 Authorize purchase of a conservation easement over a portion of the real property at 6816 N New York for \$50,000 to be used for park purposes (Ordinance) (Y-5)		190188
Commissioner Jo Ann Hardesty		
Bureau of Planning & Sustainability		
*880 Accept three technical assistance grants in an amount not to exceed \$145,000 from the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development for land use planning to comply with HB 2001 and HB 2003 (Ordinance) (Y-5)		190189
REGULAR AGENDA		
Mayor Ted Wheeler		
Office of Management and Finance		
881 Adopt City of Portland Investment Policy (Resolution) 10 minutes requested (Y-4)		37515
882 Assess properties for sidewalk, curb and/or driveway repair for the Portland Bureau of Transportation (Hearing; Ordinance; Y1101) 15 minutes requested		PASSED TO SECOND READING NOVEMBER 12, 2020 AT 9:30 AM
S-883 Initiate foreclosure action on three properties for the collection of delinquent City Liens placed against the properties (Second Reading Agenda 850) (Y-4)		SUBSTITUTE 190190
Portland Housing Bureau		
*884 Authorize conveyance of City-owned real property located at 333 NW 6th Ave to Central City Concern for a new affordable housing development located in the Oldtown neighborhood (Ordinance) 20 minutes requested (Y-4)		190191
Commissioner Amanda Fritz		
Bureau of Environmental Services		
885 Authorize Intergovernmental Agreement with the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality for the Columbia Slough Sediment Program not to exceed \$325,000 over five years (Second Reading Agenda 860) (Y-4)		190192
Parks & Recreation		
886 Authorize competitive bid solicitation and contract with the lowest responsive and responsible bidder for construction of the Gabriel Park Play Area Improvements Project (Second Reading Agenda 862) (Y-4)		190193
Water Bureau		

November 4-5, 2020

<p>887 Create a local improvement district to construct water main improvements in the SW Quail Post Road Local Improvement District (Hearing; Ordinance; C-10070) 20 minutes requested</p>	<p>PASSED TO SECOND READING NOVEMBER 12, 2020 AT 9:30 AM</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Commissioner Dan Ryan</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Bureau of Development Services</p> <p>888 Amend Trees in Development Situations Code to remove zone exemptions from tree preservation and tree density and amend regulations for preservation of private trees (Second Reading Agenda 866; amend Code Chapter 11.50)</p> <p>Motion to amend Exhibit A to add the words "Private trees" to code sections 11.50.040.B.1 and 11.50.050.B.1.c: Moved by Fritz and seconded by Hardesty. (Y-4)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">PASSED TO SECOND READING NOVEMBER 12, 2020 AT 9:30 AM AS AMENDED</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>WEDNESDAY, 2:00 PM, NOVEMBER 4, 2020</u></p> <p>THOSE PRESENT BY VIDEO AND TELECONFERENCE WERE: Mayor Wheeler, Presiding; Commissioners Fritz, Hardesty and Ryan, 4. Commissioner Fritz presided from 2:06 to 2:30 p.m.</p>	
<p>889 TIME CERTAIN: 2:00 PM – Adopt the River Plan / South Reach, amend the Comprehensive Plan and Comprehensive Plan Map, the Willamette Greenway Plan, Title 33, and the Zoning Map; repeal the Macadam Corridor Design Guidelines; and adopt the Willamette River Greenway Inventory and the Central City Natural and Scenic inventories as supporting documents (Ordinance introduced by Commissioner Hardesty; amend Code Title 33, amend the Portland Comprehensive Plan and zoning maps, amend Ordinance Nos. 160237 and 163957, and repeal Ordinance Nos. 157795 and 186858) 2 hours requested</p> <p>Motion to accept amendments package: Moved by Hardesty and seconded by Ryan. Vote not called.</p> <p>Motion to accept replacement Exhibit F, Findings Report: Moved by Hardesty and seconded by Ryan. (Y-4)</p> <p>Oral record is closed.</p> <p>Written record is open until November 11, 2020 at 5:00 p.m.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">CONTINUED TO DECEMBER 2, 2020 AT 3:00 PM TIME CERTAIN AS AMENDED</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>THURSDAY, 2:00 PM, NOVEMBER 5, 2020</u></p> <p>THOSE PRESENT BY VIDEO AND TELECONFERENCE WERE: Mayor Wheeler, Presiding; Commissioners Eudaly, Fritz, Hardesty and Ryan, 5.</p>	
<p>890 TIME CERTAIN: 2:00 PM – Adopt the FY 2020-21 Fall Supplemental Budget and make other budget-related changes (Previous Agenda 865; Ordinance introduced by Mayor Wheeler) 3 hours requested</p> <p>See page 5 for proposed and adopted amendments.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">PASSED TO SECOND READING NOVEMBER 12, 2020 AT 9:30 AM AS AMENDED</p>

Adopted Amendments to the FY 2020-21 Fall BMP Ordinance

Mayor Wheeler

1. Motion to strike and replace the language in directive h in the FY 2020-21 Fall Supplemental Budget as proposed:

- \$1.9 million in ongoing Recreational Cannabis Tax Fund and \$1.5 million in one-time General Fund resources will be allocated for a pilot initiative with Reimagine Oregon community partners as described in Exhibit 2. The pilot will provide for a community-led process that seeks to promote anti-racist investments by expanding economic opportunities, wealth creation, and restorative justice to communities that have been disproportionately impacted by police violence, mass incarceration, cannabis prohibition, and the criminal legal system and that conform with the uses identified in Measure 26-180 as related to the cannabis tax allocation.

The City Budget Office, Civic Life, and the Office of Equity and Human Rights will work with Reimagine Oregon and their chosen consultant to ensure alignment between pilot goals and the City's capacity in order to ensure pilot success and the building of trust in the City's processes. The one-time General Fund resources shall be dedicated to supporting the deployment of the pilot and the goals as determined by Reimagine Oregon in consultation with the aforementioned City bureau partners, and to providing sufficient City bureau capacity to provide requested support.

This pilot project is intended to dismantle anti-black processes. Lessons learned from the Pilot and practices supported by community will inform and be applied to the annual budget process and budget monitoring processes (BMP) by Council. Council commits to being updated on the program at each of the budget monitoring processes and during the annual budget to hear from community on its progress, revisit funding levels, and take recommendations from Reimagine OR community partners for improvements.

Moved by Wheeler and seconded by Fritz. (Y-5)

2. Motion to make the following adjustments to the supplemental budget as proposed in order to transfer funding allocated for the SOLVE contract from the Homeless & Urban Camping Impact Reduction Program in the Office of Management & Finance to the Bureau of Planning & Sustainability:

- Decrease bureau program expenses in the Office of Management & Finance in the Facilities Services Operating Fund by \$77,000, and reduce General Fund cash transfer revenues into the Facilities Services Operating Fund by \$77,000.
- Increase bureau program expenses in the Bureau of Planning & Sustainability General Fund by \$77,000 to reflect funding for the Keep It Pretty, Rose City volunteer cleanup project. Increase General Fund resources in the Bureau of Planning & Sustainability by \$77,000.
- Update Exhibits 1-5 as needed to reflect this change.

Moved by Wheeler and seconded by Ryan. (Y-5)

3. Motion to make the following adjustments to the supplemental budget as proposed:

November 4-5, 2020

- Increase bureau program expenses in Prosper Portland's General Fund by \$25,000 in one-time resources to help support a Downtown Retail Advocate position.
- Reduce unrestricted General fund contingency by \$25,000 one-time to fund this change.
- Update Exhibits 1-5 as needed to reflect this change.

Motion to amend the amendment to revise the amount from \$20,000 to \$25,000: Moved by Wheeler and seconded by Fritz. (Y-5)

Moved by Wheeler and seconded by Fritz. (Y-5)

4. Motion to make the following adjustments to the supplemental budget as proposed:

- Increase bureau program expenses in the Office of the Mayor's General Fund by \$70,000 in one-time resources to partner with CareOregon to further develop a comprehensive approach to assist first responders and community with sobering, addiction recovery, and behavioral health response.
- Reduce the General Fund policy set-aside allocated for the future sobering contract by \$70,000 one-time to fund this change.
- Update Exhibits 1-5 as needed to reflect this change.

Moved by Wheeler and seconded by Ryan. (Y-5)

5. Motion to make the following adjustments to the supplemental budget as proposed:

- Amend Exhibit #2 to include the following Current Appropriation Level Target adjustment:

Decrease the CAL Target for the Portland Police Bureau by \$2,159,003 for the housing and services contract currently managed by the Service Coordination Team. Increase the CAL Target by \$2,159,003 plus inflation in the Portland Housing Bureau for the Joint Office of Homeless Services to take over ownership of this contract.

Motion to amend the amendment to revise the amount from \$2,307,654 to \$2,159,003: Moved by Wheeler and seconded by Fritz. (Y-5)

Moved by Wheeler and seconded by Ryan. (Y-5)

6. Motion to make the following adjustments to the supplemental budget as proposed:

- Amend Exhibit #2 to include the following Current Appropriation Level Target adjustment:

Increase CAL Targets in bureaus with new ongoing General Fund allocations authorized in the Fall Supplemental Budget as amended by the estimated ongoing impact of these changes. These appropriations may be later adjusted based on costs to be funded through the General Fund overhead model, updated information from the Bureau of Human Resources, actual costs of positions hired, or other information that becomes available after the Fall Supplemental Budget is adopted.

Moved by Wheeler and seconded by Fritz. (Y-5)

7. Motion to make the following adjustments to the supplemental budget as proposed:

- Increase bureau program expenses in the Special Appropriations General Fund for the Emergency Coordination Center Food Security program by \$1,542,036 on a one-time basis.
- Increase bureau program expenses in the Prosper Portland General Fund by \$300,000 for Central City Small Business Repair Grants to support local small businesses and nonprofits.
- Decrease the General Fund policy set-aside for the Future Sobering Center contract by \$1,842,036 on a one-time basis to fund this change.
- Update Exhibits 1-5 as needed to reflect this change.

Moved by Wheeler and seconded by Fritz. (Y-5)

Commissioner Eudaly

1. Motion to make the following adjustments to the supplemental budget as proposed:

- Increase bureau program expenses in the Office of Community & Civic Life General Fund by \$1.75 million in one-time resources for the Oregon Worker Relief Fund.
- Decrease the General Fund Stability Reserve policy set-aside by \$1.75 million one-time to fund this change.
- Update Exhibits 1-5 as needed to reflect this change.

Moved by Eudaly and seconded by Hardesty. (Y-5)

Commissioner Fritz

1. Motion to make the following adjustments to the supplemental budget as proposed:

- Increase bureau program expenses in the Portland Parks & Recreation General Fund by \$22,185 in one-time resources to cover protest-related damages and labor costs.
- Decrease unrestricted General Fund contingency by \$22,185 one-time to fund this change.
- Update Exhibits 1-5 as needed to reflect this change.

Moved by Fritz and seconded by Wheeler. (Y-5)

2. Motion to make the following adjustments to the supplemental budget as proposed:

- Increase bureau program expenses in the Portland Parks & Recreation General Fund by \$87,946 in one-time resources to fund costs associated with restroom services from July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2021. These expenses are additional and directly associated with running one of the only public restrooms in downtown amid protests, COVID, and the current human health crisis.

November 4-5, 2020

- Decrease unrestricted General Fund contingency by \$87,946 one-time to fund this change.
- Update Exhibits 1-5 as needed to reflect this change.

Moved by Fritz and seconded by Ryan. (Y-5)

3. Motion to make the following adjustments to the supplemental budget as proposed:

- Increase bureau program expenses in the Office of Equity & Human Rights General Fund by \$170,000 in ongoing General Fund resources. \$110,000 of this total will support ongoing quarterly train-the-trainer workshops for 10-20 facilitators and trainers across City bureaus to help implement Results Based Accountability Citywide. \$50,000 of this total will establish an ongoing professional development budget for the Office of Equity & Human Rights staff, bureau equity staff, and Citywide training. The remaining \$10,000 will be used to develop new e-learning and in-person training courses.
- Decrease the one-time transfer of unallocated ongoing General Fund unrestricted contingency to the General Fund Stability policy set-aside by \$170,000 to fund this change in FY 2020-21. Ongoing funding for will come from the unallocated ongoing General Fund unrestricted contingency resources and be included as a CAL Target adjustment for FY 2021-22.
- Update Exhibits 1-5 as needed to reflect this change.

Moved by Fritz and seconded by Ryan. (Y-Fritz, Ryan, Wheeler ; N-Eudaly, Hardesty)

4. Motion to make the following adjustments to the supplemental budget as proposed:

- Reduce bureau program expenses in the City Budget Office General Fund by \$41,250 and reduce bureau program expenses in the Office of Government Relations General Fund by \$41,250 on a one-time basis to fund current year costs associated with a small bureau equity manager to be housed in the Office of Equity and Human Rights.
- Increase bureau program expenditures in the Office of Equity and Human Rights General Fund by \$82,500 on a one-time basis, and add 1.0 permanent regular FTE to provided dedicated, focused equity manager support to small bureaus managing key Citywide processes affecting City equity outcomes, beginning with the Office of Government Relations and the City Budget Office.
- Amend Exhibit #2 to direct the City Budget Office to increase the Current Appropriation Level target for the Office of Equity and Human Rights by \$165,000 to provide permanent and consistent equity manager support and ensure progress on equity outcomes in key Citywide processes managed by small City bureaus.

Moved by Fritz and seconded by Wheeler. (Y-5)

5. Motion to make the following adjustments to the supplemental budget as proposed:

- Increase bureau program expenses in the Portland Parks & Recreation General Fund by \$32,024 on a one-time basis to extend 4 seasonal maintenance workers in the Downtown Service Zone area for 8 weeks, and provide appropriate fleet rentals for 8 weeks.

November 4-5, 2020

- Reduce General Fund unrestricted contingency by \$32,024 on a one-time basis to fund this change.
- Update Exhibits 1-5 as needed to reflect this change.

Moved by Fritz and seconded by Wheeler. (Y-5)

6. Motion to make the following adjustments to the supplemental budget as proposed:

- Increase bureau program expenses in the Office of Equity & Human Rights General Fund by \$128,806 in ongoing General Fund resources and authorize 1.0 permanent FTE to support a policing-focused Equity Data Analyst housed in the Office of Equity & Human Rights whose assigned task is to work with the Portland Police Bureau's Equity and Inclusion Office and Strategic Services Division to increase the use of Equitable Data Practices.
- Decrease the one-time transfer of unallocated ongoing General Fund unrestricted contingency to the General Fund Stability policy set-aside by \$128,806 to fund this change in FY 2020-21. Ongoing funding for this position will come from the unallocated ongoing General Fund unrestricted contingency resources and be included as a CAL Target adjustment for FY 2021-22.
- Reduce bureau program expenses in the Office of Equity & Human Rights General Fund by \$64,403 in one-time resources to reflect the anticipated partial-year savings for this position. Increase one-time unrestricted General Fund contingency by \$64,403 to balance this change.
- Update Exhibits 1-5 as needed to reflect this change.

Moved by Fritz and seconded by Wheeler. (Y-Fritz, Ryan, Wheeler; N-Eudaly, Hardesty)

7. Motion to amend the supplemental budget as proposed to add directive j:

Council directs Portland Parks & Recreation to bring forward an interfund loan in early Calendar Year 2021 to facilitate spending against future levy proceeds as approved by Portland voters in Measure 26-213, which are anticipated to be collected starting in November 2021. This loan will include funding for a Levy Coordinator (estimated at \$175,000) and the Interstate Firehouse Cultural Center (IFCC) feasibility study (\$250,000) which are expected to move forward prior to authorization of the loan. Any expense charged against the General Fund for the Levy Coordinator or IFCC feasibility study following passage of the Fall Supplemental Budget but prior to the authorization of the loan will be transferred to and charged against the new levy fund.

Moved by Fritz and seconded by Wheeler. (Y- 5)

8. Motion to make the following adjustments to the supplemental budget as proposed:

- Authorize 1.0 regular permanent FTE in Portland Parks & Recreation to serve as the Levy Coordinator.
- This position is estimated to cost \$175,000 annually and will be supported by proceeds from the local operating levy authorized by Portland voters through Measure 26-213 in November 2020. Per directive j, this position will be temporarily supported by an

November 4-5, 2020

interfund loan to be brought forward for Council authorization in early 2021, which will allow spending against future levy proceeds to be temporarily backed by available resources in the Parks Capital Improvement Program Fund from System Development Charges.

- Update Exhibits 1-5 as needed to reflect this change.

Moved by Fritz and seconded by Wheeler. (Y-5)

Commissioner Hardesty

1. Motion to make the following adjustments to the supplemental budget as proposed:

- Decrease bureau program expenses in the Portland Police Bureau General Fund by \$18,022,101 in ongoing resources.
- This change will be balanced in two ways, both one-time and ongoing. To balance FY 2020-21 on a one-time basis:
 - o Increase external materials and services in the Special Appropriations General Fund for the Emergency Coordination Center food assistance program by \$7,450,000 in one-time resources.
 - o Increase external materials and services in the Special Appropriations General Fund for the Emergency Coordination Center hygiene stations program by \$1,075,000 in one-time resources.
 - o Increase external materials and services in the Special Appropriations General Fund for the Emergency Coordination Center outdoor shelter program by \$1,050,000 in one-time resources.
 - o Increase external materials and services in the Special Appropriations General Fund for the Emergency Coordination Center household essentials program by \$300,000 in one-time resources.
 - o Increase external materials and services in the Portland Housing Bureau General Fund by \$7,547,101 in one-time resources for universal eviction defense. The Portland Housing Bureau will work with the Joint Office Of Homeless Services and the Office of Commissioner Eudaly on this effort.
 - o Increase external materials and services in the Bureau of Planning & Sustainability General Fund by \$500,000 in one-time resources to help address the digital divide for our disabled community members.
 - o Increase external materials and services in Portland Fire & Rescue General Fund by \$100,000 in one-time resources for increased Crisis Intervention Training.
- To balance the reduction on an ongoing basis starting in FY 2021-22, Amend Exhibit #2 to add the following Current Appropriation Level Target adjustments:
 - o Increase General Fund Contingency Policy Set-Aside by \$1,000,000 in ongoing resources for the creation of a Latinx Youth Development fund.
 - o Increase General Fund Contingency policy set-aside by \$2,500,000 in ongoing resources for Portland Street Response.
 - o Increase General Fund unrestricted contingency by \$14,522,101 in ongoing resources to provide stability to FY 2021-22's General Fund budget, or to be available for additional emergent community needs.
- Update Exhibits 1-5 as needed to reflect this change.

November 4-5, 2020

Moved by Hardesty and seconded by Eudaly. (Y-Eudaly, Hardesty; N-Fritz, Ryan, Wheeler. Motion failed to pass)

2. Motion to make the following adjustments to the supplemental budget as proposed:

- Reduce bureau program expenses in Special Appropriations by \$2,125,000 in one-time General Fund resources for the COVID-19-related hygiene and outdoor shelter programs.
- Increase General Fund policy-set aside for Portland Street Response on a one-time basis by \$2,125,000 to balance this change.
- Update Exhibits 1-5 as needed to reflect this change.

Moved by Hardesty and seconded by Eudaly. (Y-Eudaly, Hardesty; N-Fritz, Ryan, Wheeler. Motion withdrawn)

3. Motion to make the following adjustments to the supplemental budget as proposed:

- Increase bureau program expenses in the Bureau of Emergency Communication in the Emergency Communications Fund by \$95,500 in one-time resources and authorize 5.0 permanent, regular Senior Dispatch positions for Portland Street Response. This represents the total anticipated cost for personnel expenditures starting in May 2021. The ongoing costs for these positions starting in FY 2021-22 will be funded through increased 911 tax revenue from the State of Oregon.
- Reduce General Fund policy-set aside for Portland Street Response on a one-time basis by \$95,500 to fund this change.
- Update Exhibits 1-5 as needed to reflect this change.

Moved by Hardesty and seconded by Eudaly. (Y-Eudaly, Ryan, Hardesty, Wheeler; N-Fritz)

November 4-5, 2020
Closed caption file of Portland City Council meeting

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised city council broadcast and should not be considered a verbatim transcript. The official vote counts for Council action are provided in the Official Minutes.

November 4, 2020 9:30 am

Wheeler: All right. Good morning, everyone. Before we begin today, I'd like to make a brief statement. I have an important pre-gavel item and then we will call the roll. First of all I want to begin by offering a brief statement on last night. I'm grateful to the people of Portland for the opportunity to— serve a second term as your mayor. It goes without saying that I have learned a lot during my first term. And I look forward to putting those lessons in to place during my second term. I'm grateful for the service of my friend and colleague, Chloe and I have appreciated partnership on the important issues the last four years. I am committed to ensuring a smooth council office transition as we head to 2021. I know that you are as well. To commissioner elect Mapps, congratulations. My colleagues look forward to welcoming you to the council in January. To commissioner elect Rubio gearing up to take office in the new year, thank you for your engagement on so many issues so far. We are all excited to serve with you as well in the coming year. I want to congratulate the parks bureau for their incredible work and the resounding victory last night on the parks levee. I'm proud to be part of the committee that referred it to the voters. Embedded with many challenges ahead is a great opportunity. My colleagues and I are committed to work together to make the most of the opportunities and to create a brighter future for all Portlanders. Thank you. With regard to the pre-gavel item before kick off the council session, I would like to take a moment to acknowledge veterans day. Some of you might wonder why we are acknowledging now when it's not until next week. The important thing is we acknowledge this has been a tumultuous year for all of us and we should never lose sight of the opportunity to celebrate the military service members. And as the draw would have it this year, veteran's day falls on a council day, next Wednesday. But we do not have a council session scheduled so I want to take this moment to read a proclamation to celebrate the military members across the nation and the many veterans in the community. Without further ado I want to read this on behalf of the city council. November 11, 2020, marks the anniversary of armistice day, the day of which fighting ended in first world war, a day we now celebrate as veteran's day.

November 4-5, 2020

Whereas, since the inception of our country, the courageous men and women of our army, navy, air force, marine corps and coast guard exemplified and continue to exemplify selflessness and steadfast commitment while risking their lives to protect rights and freedoms of all Americans. Whereas, by tirelessly defending our nation and the democratic values of liberty, equality, and justice, veterans have earned and deserved the highest degree of respect and support. Whereas, veterans and military members who endured and overcame suffering and injury inspire us with their dignity, their honor and their valor. And whereas, we admire and stand beside the families and the loved ones of those who have served and continue to serve and the determined resolution with which they bear their hardship. And whereas, we are forever grateful for the freedoms that we are able to enjoy in Portland and here -- in Portland and across the united states thanks to the service and the sacrifice of our military members and their families. Now therefore, i ted wheeler, the mayor of the city of Portland, Oregon, the city of roses, do hereby proclaim November 11, 2020, to be veterans day in Portland. And encourage all residents to honor those who have served and those who currently serve to preserve our values of justice, freedom and democracy. Thank you, colleagues. Good morning, everyone. This is the Wednesday morning November 4, 2020, session of the Portland city council. Good morning, Keelan. Please call the roll.

Clerk: Good morning, mayor. Eudaly?

Eudaly: Here.

Clerk: Fritz?

Fritz: Here.

Clerk: Ryan?

Ryan: Here.

Clerk: Hardesty?

Hardesty: Here.

Clerk: Wheeler?

Wheeler: Here. Under Portland city code and state law the city council is holding this meeting electronically. All members of the council attend remotely by video and teleconference and the city made several avenues available for the public to listen to the audio broadcast of this meeting. The meeting is available to the public on the city's YouTube channel, egov pdx. Portlandoregon.gov/video and channel 30. The public can provide written testimony to the council by e-mailing the council clerk at cctestimony@portlandoregon.gov. The council is taking these steps as a result of covid-19 pandemic. And the need to limit in-person contact and to

November 4-5, 2020

promote physical distancing. The pandemic is an emergency that threatens the public health, safety and welfare which requires us to meet remotely by electronic communications. As always, thank you all for your patience, your flexibility and your understanding as we manage through this difficult situation to conduct business. Now we will hear from the rulings of order and decorum from legal counsel. Good morning. How are you, Robert?

Robert Taylor: Good morning. To participate in the council meetings you may sign up in advance with the council clerk's office for communications to briefly speak about any subject. You may sign up for public testimony on resolutions or the first readings of ordinances. The published council agenda at portlandoregon.gov/auditor contains information about how and when you may sign up for testimony while the city council is holding electronic meetings. Your testimony should address the matter considered at the time. When testifying please state your name for the record. Your address is not necessary. Please disclose if you are a lobbyist. If you are representing an organization, please identify it. The presiding officer determines the length of testimony. Individuals generally have three minutes to testify unless otherwise stated. When your time is up, the presiding officer will ask you to conclude. Disruptive conduct; such as, shouting, refusing to conclude your testimony when your time is up, or interrupting other's testimony or council deliberations will not be allowed. If there are disruptions, a warning will be given that further disruption may result in the person being placed on hold or ejected for the remainder of the electronic meeting. Finally, please be aware that all council meetings are recorded.

Wheeler: Thank you, Robert. With that, we will move to communications. Item 876, please, Keelan.

Clerk: Request of Sue Gemmell to address council regarding safety of residents at shelter-in-place villages.

Sue Gemmell: Hi, council and mayor. Thank you very much for hearing me today. I am introducing myself as Sue Gemmell. I live in the Kearns neighborhood and I lived on the east side for 30 years. For the past decade, I have worked in humanitarian aid. Seven years at mercy corps and now I consult for unicef. My -- consult for unicef. My job is managing information systems. I have learned a lot that way. I worked in old town and i have experience around the homeless community. I have been a foster parent. I volunteered at warming stations in clark, sunnyside, nazarene, clackamas service center. I volunteer every Friday on 82nd and the c3po camp. That is why I'm speaking today. I want to strongly support the camps. I would recommend that the city look at the n.g.o. Model for emergency response. What we do in other countries and

November 4-5, 2020

globally. And most importantly, please continue to fund the tiny villages because these little clusters of tiny homes, i have observed and read studies. Just from working with participants, really know that they will help people have the stability they need to build the relationships they need. To have a better life and build a stronger Portland. I would like to see the funding used specifically for staff liaisons and infrastructure because my observation is that food and clothing and the like is often available. So, that is really my testimony today. It's very brief but it's as very well-informed resident of the city. I would like to say please, please continue to strengthen the villages. And give them the funding they need. Thank you for the time.

Wheeler: Thank you, sue. We appreciate your participation today. The next individual for item -- sorry, Keelan, 868.

Clerk: Request of Andre Miller to address council regarding working with the leaders actively protesting for black lives and reasons why we are working with defend democracy coalition.

Wheeler: Good morning, Andre.

Andre Miller: Guest speaker: Good morning, council. Thank you for inviting me. Good morning, mayor. My name is Dre Miller. I'm a black organizer with a group called "juice." juice is here to cultivate and lasting solutions to uphold pillar of justice, unity, integrity, unity and equality. I want to thank defend democracy for allowing us to collaborate with them on a common ground; that is, protecting the integrity of the 2020 elections. As we have seen it played out across the country, whether it be the proud boys being told to stand by or armed militia and the police attempting to disrupt the process through intimidation. This is not the time to shield ourselves from what is going on. We have a president that has declared victory last night and demanded the rest of our votes not be counted. Now is the time to stand up and defend our democracy. And ensure every vote gets counted. It is important that the city and the state officials fight back against this fascist, authoritative regime. It's important that racism is declared a health crisis in Oregon. It is important that in meetings and plans, agendas, measures that bright people are at the table. I have been in dozens of meetings. The first thing I see when I'm in those meetings is none of those people are actively demanding the change in the streets. It's important that city, and state officials meet with black organizers and activists that are unifying in the city and state. It is important for city and state officials to involve the organizers in future meetings as well as follow-ups and progress or stalls in the process for making Oregon a better place for blacks. It is important that we defund the police and redistribute the funds in to the black community. It is important that we defund and redistribute the funds from Portland police department, should be reallocated to marginalized students in the covid-19 pandemic, outside of school funds to

November 4-5, 2020

ensure that the pandemic does not widen the gap. It is important that funding go to comprehensive safety programs for example but not limited to the emergency response teams in training, crisis intervention, mental health, response team, domestic response team in training, traffic safety response team in training, as well as event organizing and conflict de-escalation training. It is important that in our schools, we have history curriculum that integrates black community and state history to counterbalance the long history of European education. The black history curriculum should be correlated by group of black community people. A review board should be established for reviewing old and new curriculum before it is approved to be taught in the class. It is important that funding is given to house bill 2016, the African American black student success plan. It is important that we continue to press with civil disobedience in peaceful ways that cause disruption to the system until we are heard. I have fought for the last four years to get Clackamas county school district to get disciplinary action when my kids are called derogatory names. But the district has failed myself, my kids and other kids of color in the school district who brought up similar concerns. So now that we have an opportunity we'll maximize on the opportunity. And we will not let up until we deem that our kids and our future kids of color have equity and the police officers are held accountable and justice is served.

Clerk: Three minutes.

Miller: Again, my name is Dre Miller and I was born and raised in Portland, Oregon, my father was a black panther and my mother worked in the jails for 25 years. I'm in the fight to make sure the kids and those of future generation of color don't go through this again. Thank you for inviting me to speak.

Wheeler: Dre, thank you. I appreciated that the meeting we had some time ago and now that the election is behind us, I would like to sit down with you again. Is that something I could orchestrate through my team?

Miller: Absolutely. They should still have my contact information.

Wheeler: Good. I look forward to that. Thank you. I appreciate your being here today.

Miller: Thank you.

Wheeler: Next individual today.

Clerk: The request of Indi Namkoong to address council regarding the militarized police response to demonstrations and the city's role in protecting the right to protest following the general election.

Wheeler: Good morning.

November 4-5, 2020

Indi Namkoong: Good morning council. Thank you for the opportunity to be here. I'm Indi Namkoong and I'm here with the defend democracy coalition. I work in the climate justice in Portland with 350 pdx. For several months i have been in the streets with the protest for black lives. Climate justice is racial justice and we are in one struggle. Black and indigenous folks across the united states and around the world have been the first and fiercest defenders against the economy and polluting industry. It's on everyone in our movement and anyone who call themselves a climate leader to stand with them now as they demand the right to life and possibly defend the integrity of the election. As we have learned this year when we stand together, unaccountable and frequently unrecognizable law enforcement responds with chemical warfare, brutality and hefty charges intended to suppress movement activity. As we saw last night elections alone will not win us the transformational change we need. That power rests with the people's actions alone. Your children's future, the safety from the police violence, from white supremacy and housing of job scarce i can and climate apocalypse hinges on the movement to survive what is to come. My friends, colleagues and i have been beaten, bruised and bloodied by the Portland police bureau nightly and the county, state and federal officers you allow to continue to police the streets. Many of us exposed to the known carcinogens and experience lasting health impacts. Those who experience pollution in the neighborhood and the impact of the covid-19 pandemic heavily this is a dangerous price tag for the protest for their lives. I'm calling on every one of you to join commissioner Hardesty to sign on to the defend democracy petition today and commit to upholding the first amendment rights and the will of the people of Portland. It means to put an end to the use of the punishment to militarize police response to the public protest, chemical weapons and the crowd control for the law enforcement agencies operating here. This means to use every tool and lever you have to ensure that the federal and federally deputized officers are kept juve duty and not allowed to respond -- kept off-duty and not allowed to respond to protest. The may 2020 election of the district attorney confirmed that the Multnomah county voters want civil disobedience and their rights to be protected and not prosecuted. Now it's more important than ever to honor the local mandate to protect your people. We are all at a crossroads in history now. You have a choice to make. Stand with the people of the city, mobilizing to defend our democratic institutions and to defend black lives that have long been under attack by the police you oversee or go down in the record as standing aside for the fascism, violence and repression. I'm asking every one of you to consider today: Which side are you on?

Wheeler: Thank you, Indi, for your perspective. Keelan, the next individual, please. 870.

November 4-5, 2020

Clerk: Request of Smitha Chadaga to address council regarding the need for to defend our democracy on every level at this time in our history.

Smitha Chadaga: Thank you. I'm Smitha Chadaga. My pronouncers are she/her. I'm an organizer with indivisible Oregon. I want to thank the defend democracy coalition for the opportunity to speak here today. The defend democracy coalition includes more than 50 community organizations in Portland and the pacific northwest. All working together at the intersection of electoral justice and racial justice. To stand up for black lives and protect the integrity of the 2020 election. My cospeakers here have and will clearly explain why we are working together at this intersection of electoral justice and racial justice to stand up for black lives and to protect the integrity of the 2020 election. Their testimony underscores why our petition is so important. I would like to take the opportunity to break down what exactly this petition entails. This is a petition to elected leaders including the mayor and the city council. And also the secretary of state, county commissioners and the governor. We state that we are in a presidential election where the incumbent candidate threatened to take power by force, by not counting all votes, ignores the votes if he loses. This threat comes at a time when the public protest is underway for months. If the president's threat materialize it would be larger public protest in Portland and across the country. We are asking you to commit to the following. One, acknowledge that black indigenous people of color and poor people experience greater threats to safe access to democratic participation. Uphold the rights of all citizens to vote without intimidation. Ensure that any voting irregularities or fraud will be impartially investigated and remedy. Commit to legally prescribed regular election protocols. Specifically do not recognize the authority of anyone declaring victory outside of regular election protocols. Two, uphold the first amendment rights by not interfering with the public protests. This means no use of the collective punishment or militarized local, federal, police response to the public protest including and not limited to the use of chemical weapons of any kind, crowd control munitions or the less lethal munitions. Three, protect our rights to maintain accountability with the local government agencies. Ensure that the federal and the federally deputized officers from any and all law enforcement agencies are kept off-duty and do not respond to protests. This upholds the integrity of the may 2020 election results for district attorney and restores control of the policing and prosecutorial decision-making process to state and local officials. We thank commissioner Hardesty for already signing on to this petition and we urge others to join us today. We ask you to join us at the intersection of electoral justice and racial justice to stand up for black lives and protect the integrity till of the 2020 election. Thank you.

November 4-5, 2020

Wheeler: Thank you. I appreciate your testimony. Last but not least the 871.

Clerk: Request of Destiny Houston to address council regarding use of force against protesters, what council can do to support black lives matter, and participating in defend democracy coalition.

Destiny Houston: Good morning, commissioners. Can you hear me?

Wheeler: Yep, loud and clear. You are good to go.

Houston: Guest speaker: Good morning, mayor, good morning, commissioners. My name is Destiny Houston. She/her. I was born and raised in Portland, Oregon. I'm a full circle doula and community activist and i march for black lives. For the purposes of the testimony today i am centering black people in the city of Portland, Oregon, and I am asking that you mayor wheeler and commissioners work to do the same in the daily lives in the words you share in the media, with your friends and families and when you are showing up as leaders of the city as you are today. What I want for myself and all black people in the city is immediate relief from the fear of being harassed, physically harmed or killed by any Portland, police officer. Mayor wheeler, i do not understand why you are not naming commissioner Hardesty the police commissioner. This one action would do so much for black lives. The acknowledgement that a black woman is ready, willing and able to lead and create real changes in the way community members are policed in the city would speak volumes to black people. And to me personally. It would create change in the body of black people and create change in if mind of the Portland police officers. I know commissioner Hardesty would implement changes that police officers would eventually feel in their bodies as well. Which directly impacts how they treat the people they are policing. In lieu of that, mayor wheeler, i want you to commit to preventing any deputized officers from working on the streets of Portland or showing up to protests. I want you to demand police no longer use force and that they actually do their job, which is protect our right to peacefully protest or stay off the streets. A few weeks ago i was marching in downtown from 8: 00 p.m. To 2: 00 a.m. Not one police officer was present. And not surprisingly, there was no violence. It is the police that bring violence with them, not the peaceful protesters. You are the boss and you have so much power. Power is an interesting tool, however. It can be used to withhold rights, to withhold dignity or used to preserve rights or restore dignity. Pow ker be used to block someone rising up to the challenge of the day or used to open the door to real change. It's time for you to use your power, mayor wheeler, in a restorative and transformative way. I am not just saying this. I truly believe that naming commissioner Hardesty as the police commissioner is one of the most powerful things you could do with your power. Complete and total abolition of the police and

November 4-5, 2020

replacing them with the community-led organizations is what the community truly needs. However, here we are with the system that we have. So, immediate changes that could include, for instance, a similar campaign as vision zero but have no more black people killed by the police in the city of Portland. No more armed traffic stops. Portland is the epicenter of fighting for black lives and it's time for our leadership to become the epicenter of what change is possible. To show the rest of the country that black lives matter. And how we can take immediate action. By looking at who is in the prison systems. Covid is still rising in the city. It's still a threat to black people. Taking a look at who to our prisoners that we could let out of jail. Not just let them out but let them out with support. I'm referring now to demands that Juice presented and wrote. They illustrate in their demands --

Clerk: That is three minutes.

Houston: Guest speaker: Is that three minutes? Okay. I'll just end saying please sign the petition today for defending democracy because it's black people that will bear the burden of this election. Whatever the outcome, and we need your support. Thank you for your time.

Wheeler: Thank you. I appreciate it. Thank you, all five of you. I appreciate your perspective and I agree with much of what you said. I disagree in all honesty with some of it but I appreciate when people come here and make a clear case. And provide us with good feedback and information. Where can people see the petition if they'd like to see it online?

Houston: Can you still hear me?

Wheeler: We can hear you, destiny. If somebody from the public is listening to this would like to see the petition, where may they see it?

Houston: Good question. [Defenddemocracycoalition.com](https://defenddemocracycoalition.com).

Wheeler: Very good. Thank you. I appreciate your participation today as well as all of your colleagues and the coalition. Thank you.

Houston: Thank you so much.

Wheeler: You bet. Keelan, next up is the consent agenda. Have any items pulled from the consent agenda?

Wheeler: No requests.

Wheeler: Call the roll.

Clerk: Eudaly?

Eudaly: Aye.

Clerk: Fritz

Fritz: Aye.

Clerk: Ryan?

Ryan: Aye.

Clerk: Hardesty?

Hardesty: Aye.

Wheeler: Wheeler?

Wheeler: Aye. Consent agenda is adopted. Keelan I show the first time certain being 872. But I don't see the time associated with it.

Clerk: 9: 45, mayor.

Wheeler: Very good. Then we are right on schedule. Let's go to item 872, please. Time certain item.

Clerk: Adopt code and administration rules for the community opportunities and enhancements.

Wheeler: Colleagues, this item adopts the city code and rules for the implementation of the community opportunities and enhancements program. In November 2017, council directed the office of manage and finance and equi it and human rights to develop community opportunities and enhancements program which would collect 1% of hard construction costs on each city, public improvement construction contract to increase equity and the diversity of the workforce and the contracting in the construction trades. You will recall that this past January we approved in intergovernmental agreement between the city of Portland and prospect Portland that authorized prospect Portland and work systems inc., to move forward with the program development and implementation to disburse through phase one of the community opportunities and enhancements program and the city continued to develop the administrative rules that are now before us today. We will hear more during the staff presentation about the investments in construction workforce development and the business technical assistance made in phase one of the program. The adoption of the city code language and the administrative rules allows for continuation of program including by details the processes for collection of the 1% hard construction costs, from city infrastructure bureaus. There is one methodology for bureaus funded by rates and fees and a separate for bureaus that are funded primarily through the city's general fund. Colleagues, you will recall that this ordinance was paired with the request from the office of management and finance for one-time general fund resources in the fall bump to cover the obligations of those general fund bureaus, that included parks and pbot equivalent to 1% of the hard construction cost of the current year and to increase the current appropriation level targets for those bureaus to cover the coep fees in future years. After the presentation, i

November 4-5, 2020

would like to come back to that to propose a technical amendment to administrative rules to align to what i have included in my proposed supplemental budget. Which allocates the one-time funds to make the resources from parks and pbob projects available to the coep program this fiscal year but requires the bureaus to prioritize the coep cost in the base budget in future years. I'll now turn it over to staff for presentation. Tom Rinehart, Dr. Markisha Smith, director of human rights and the Kimberly Branam, the director of prospect Portland. They are here to present. And answer any questions that you may have. Good morning, Tom Rinehart. Welcome.

Tom Rinehart: Guest speaker: Good morning. Tom, the chief administrative officer. It's good to be with you today. Thank you to the staff that are also present. Morgan from prosper is driving the slides. Thank you. I'm joined by my colleagues, dr. Smith and the director Branam. As the mayor mentioned we are happy and a little bit relieved to be honest to provide an update on phase one of the community opportunities and enhancements program. Like the mayor said we are asking you to adopt city code and administrative rules. As i have been able to brief all of you aside from commissioner Ryan in his new role, when i first took the c.a.o. Position i greatly underestimated the complexities of implementing what council passed in 2017. I want to be on the record for that. It has taken longer to get her that we wanted. Historical challenges around aligning the program and the bureaus, the issues with funding and the eligibility and the other issues. It took us a lot longer and we are happy to be here and we have great hopes for this program. As some of you know the office of the management and finance initiated a grant application process in 2018. I want to talk about that as part of the history before we go further. We received 39 grants in response to the application and we began evaluating them. At that point, the mayor decided to temporarily halt the work and transfer the work to prosper Portland, which makes a lot of sense from a business perspective. Since that, as the mayor mentioned we worked on intergovernmental agreement that you approved and we are heartened by the expertise now used through the inclusive resource business network and the partnership with work systems inc. I think it gives the program a much greater probability of success. Prosper Portland work systems executed contracts like the mayor mentioned in Portland building, Washington park and 10th and Yamhill for the phase one funds. You will hear more about that from Kimberly later. We should be on slide two, now. Sorry, Morgan. Thank you. I want to provide some context around all of the construction, social equity initiatives because even for many of us that have been working hard at this, sometimes we get, we have a hard time tracking it. The city really made an effort in a number of areas. And particularly for the commissioner elect Ryan and others that are newer to this, i want to, we want to provide this slide. In 2017, council

November 4-5, 2020

authorized development of this program. And implementation of a community benefits agreement, framework and community equity and inclusion plan for the different size project. As three key strategies together to ensure that the city was working hard and to achieve greater diversity in the workforce on the city construction projects. And more participation by disadvantaged firms. You might recall two weeks ago you heard from the bureau of environmental services about the Columbia boulevard wastewater project. The first city project to have community benefit agreement under the 2017 policy. You also heard about the audit. We thank the team for that and the good work they have done to implement many of the recommendations. With the part of antibacterial soap we are working with the auditor of Portland to execute recommendations. That brings us today where we are specifically focusing on what the mayor outlined, the community opportunities and enhancements program. Later this fiscal year you will hear a report on the usage of the ceip and the feedback and recommendations from the community equity and inclusion that is overseeing that work. There is a lot to track here. We are cognizant of that and looking at ways through procurement services to upgrade and simplify communication on this so not just council and the council staff but also bureau and the community members can understand all the efforts easily and integrated way. We should be on slide three. Thank you, Morgan. The ceip, the community opportunities and enhancements program represents a commitment and investment by the city to grow the passy of the disadvantaged, minority-owned and women-owned construction firms as well as the increase opportunity for people of color and women in the trades. As you know, the city has a long history with these issues. And has made slow but an incremental progress that we have never made in an investment like this. We are really working to take a great leap forward here. You can see by the graph, the investment in -- this is investment in one piece of the pie. Other interventions include city policy, which sets project-specific goals and the staff who monitor compliance. Additional oversight, committee i mentioned, the equity and the inclusion committee and later management committees on individual projects, which i know many current commissioners have talked about this. Many in this body talked about oversight as being key. The ceip has the benefit to fully understanding the practices and they provide meaningful and actional feedback on the specific projects. We also have industry-driven efforts like the construction career pathways project, affectionately called c2p2 with other local governments. And general contractors have relationships with mentoring subcontractors adopt policy around the job site culture change in this effort. We have heard a lot about that, that just the job site and the obstacles that the diverse workforce faces on construction sites as a huge barrier. Projects

November 4-5, 2020

that utilize the ceip and the community opportunities and enhancements plan and community benefit agreement will create demand for the diverse workers and the firms and what you are approving today, the rules for coep represents investment to support the supply of the workers to meet that demand. This is the first time that we as an organization are focusing on both ends of this effort. Not just diversifying the firms that participate in contracting with the city but also the workforce that is coming up through the projects. We believe that with this investment, future outcomes will improve but it will take time. I want to make it very clear that the coep is a long-term program. Ad min rules and the other actions you are taking today are not responsibility that the city-owned projects meet or exceed the workforce and the business equity goals. This is a long-term effort to increase diversity in the workforce. The goal of the program is to help build the supply. This will take time, as i said. We're going to need sustained city investment and all of us to continue to work together with the bureaus. We look forward to engaging with the council on other areas that i mentioned in the future to ensure we are aligning the city's contracting with the foundational values. Again, thank you for your patience as we put this complex set of initiatives together. Now I'm going to hand it over to dr. Smith to take us further.

Dr. Markisha Smith: Thank you, tom. Good morning, everybody. I'm the director for the office of equity and human rights. This is a targeted equity project and critical for addressing the historic exclusion of the black indigenous people of color and women from the construction trades. This approach leverages the power of the government to hold companies accountable and to invest in the construction trade pipeline so historically and currently excluded populations have the opportunity to reap the wealth development benefits from the construction industry. The community has given a projection of how much money this approach would generate, and it is important that we honor that projection by replacing restricted funds with general funds. Be an antiracist institution, as you remember we have that now as one of the core city values means that we actively seek to redesign how we as an institution are built, how we behave and how we distribute resources in power. The community opportunities and enhancements program has solid design bones and good structure. We need to work with the urgency to grow investments. If we are committed to the core value of the antiracism and equity we must be willing to acknowledge the harm inflicted on the community in the past and identify and execute plans to redress the harms and in partnership with the community. Next slide, please, Morgan. One way to work to the goals is with the additional project oversight. Slide demonstrates. The community equity and inclusion committee as tom mentioned in his comments reviews performance of the

November 4-5, 2020

city construction contracts, subject to community equity and inclusion plans. The office of equity and human rights was involved with the creation of the community. And the committee currently meets monthly and they are advising on two projects. Next slide. Here you can see the committee has diverse representations including gender, racial diversity. There may be name you recognize. Trade association, union and open shop representation, training and pipeline providers. To be clear -- i want to make this very, very clear to everyone -- this committee is not allocating any of the community opportunity enhancement program funding. They do advise the city on the split between the workforce and the business technical assistance funding and anyone who is an active coep service provider will not participate in those decisions. So they recuse themselves from that process. The office of equity and human rights will continue to work in partnership with prosper Portland, o.m.s. And especially the community to ensure the intention of the work is not lost. It is important to acknowledge the harms of the past, as i mentioned before, and commit to working with the community to repair the funds. Thank you for your time. Now I'll pass it to my colleague, Kimberly.

Kimberly Branam: Thank you, dr. Smith. Good morning. I'm Kimberly Branam and executive director of prosper Portland. I appreciate the opportunity to walk through what has been accomplished in phase i of the investment of the coep funds. With council's authorization of phase one of the coep, prosper Portland and work systems were able to develop programming and to enter the contract with ten community-based organizations. Collectively the city has invested just over \$1 million. In the coep funds from the ammill garage and the Portland building. After competitive selection process the city invested \$650,000 in the workforce development resources. And leveraged additional funds from the work systems. As a result of the funding, we expect approximately 100 Portlanders from a diversity of the backgrounds to begin pre-apprenticeship, apprenticeship and work on a job site. 50 participants to complete the training and earn credential and the availability of child care assistance or mentorship to support the retention of diverse talent in the trades. They also invested \$375,000 through five organizations to provide business technical assistance, prioritizing firms owned by people of color and women. Performance measures here include providing light touch support to 80 firms and intensive advising to 30 firms with the longer outcomes measured through the inclusive business resource network badge system. On the next slide, i am going to illustrate how a client might navigate through the workforce and the business technical assistance program. What you are going to see is a client centric approach. I want to take a client through both the workforce development and then on the next slide i'll walk through the business technical assistance

November 4-5, 2020

program. So, first, we have a recent high school graduate who has been recruited by the urban league to explore career opportunities in the construction trades. His career coach refers him to participate in pre-apprenticeship training with the poic where he learns about the different construction trades, gets hands-on experience, and earns pre-apprenticeship credential. Poic staff help him apply to become electrician. After rigorous application and the panel interview he becomes an electrical apprentice with the i.b.e.w. He is then dispatched to a job site to work as an electrical apprentice for five months before being dispatched to a different firm on a different project. Unfortunately, due to unforeseen circumstances, a year after becoming an apprentice he is forced to step in to the care for younger siblings. And the lack of child care resources force him to consider dropping out of the apprenticeship program and leaving the trades altogether. But he has been referred for retention services and he is connected to the child care services that allow him to continue apprenticeship training and earn the wages. So after serving as an apprentice with the multiple firms over four years he completes his apprenticeship classroom and on-the-job hours and becomes a journey-level electrician with increased wages and transferable skills. He is able to support himself and his family long-term. On the next slide i'll walk through how a construction firm might navigate the system. So we are going to start with a women-owned electrical firm that connects with Latino built. They assess the needs and together develop a support plan. The business owner attempts several workshops on topics including estimating and worker compensation and tax preparation hosted by Latino built. In line with that plan the navigator refers the firm to the small legal clinic to review the partnership agreements and templates. Latino built and the firm feel additional support and business development, with business development and financial planning would be helpful so the navigator refers the firm to pdeg to have one-on-one advising. So the company then works with the pbdg to secure additional technical advising and is connected with a mentor. And with that additional support the firm successfully bids on a larger project. Over time, the firm is able to increase the bonding capability and then ultimately they hire apprentices and the journey workers to complete larger projects. So before i hand this over to lester to talk about the details to the proposal before you today i want to close with three lessons we have learned since January as it pertains to the phase one of the coep. That is really the need to be flexible. In the environment of covid-19 we needed to transition to an all-virtual r.f.p. Outreach and selection process. That this meant expanding response deadlines. We went to all-virtual engagement approach with the potential responders and the evaluation process. We were successful ultimately but it did lead to longer on boarding process and we learned a lot in the process.

November 4-5, 2020

Second is really that the need is great. The city was able to make less funding available than anticipated due to the restrictions on resources for phase one. So we are hopeful that with the rate payer validation action and the administrative role clarity that there will be increased resources to provide sustained funding necessary to address the significant need. And then third is the value of the partnership. I would like to begin appreciating o.m.f., office of equity and human rights who are outstanding partners in this and the team of staff leading this work. We have appreciated both work systems and the Portland housing bureaus complementary investments which have augmented the program capacity. Work systems, additional funding to support a new service provider, stepping in to this work. And covering their administrative costs to manage the program is incredibly helpful. The Portland housing bureau provided funding to support the development of diverse firms and support their housing bond projects which makes it possible for us to support more firms in this process. So, with that, I will turn it over to Lester. Thank you.

Lester Spitler: Thanks, Kimberly. Good morning. For the record I'm Lester Spitler. I'm the city's chief officer. The action before you today is fulfilling a promise that was made by council in November of 2017. There is a chapter to the city code that outlines the program as well as the accompanying rule document and it establishes the roles and the responsibilities and the obligation for o.m.f. And the office of equity and human rights and sets a framework for the administrative rules. Administrative rule goes in to detail about how o.m.f. Works with the city infrastructure bureaus. And the mechanism for o.m.f. To collect money from bureau to fund the program. We established two methodologies. First is 1% fee based on each construction contract executed by the environmental services, water bureau and the o.m.f. Facilities. The second is annual contribution based on the historical analysis. It's because the two bureaus have more restriction on the sources of funds to pay for the project. Prosper has the annual total coep fees minus the administrative costs as follows -- 75% for workforce development and 25% for the business technical assistance. The committee can recommend adjustment the breakdown based on where the needs exist relative to the demand on the future city construction projects. The breakdown for the expenditure areas can be changed by agreement between the chief administrative officer and the director of the office of the equity and human rights. Today's action adopts the city code and administrative rules as a major step in confirming the funding availability for the ongoing program. As the mayor mentioned the fall bump proposes the one-time fund resources for pbot and for this fiscal year but requires them to find it in the annual budget in the future fiscal years. Additionally, we are work closely with the city attorney office on

November 4-5, 2020

validation with the court to determine the eligibility of the environmental services and water contributions. The amendment to the administrative rules that is being proposed as mayor mentioned initially removes the mention of a target adjustment by striking the last sentence of 5.14 and 5.15 in exhibit "b." administrative rules 2.25. This aligns with the fall bump i believe you will be voting on next week. For fiscal year 2021-'22, next fiscal year we have following assumptions on the information we have today about the water and sewer projects, as well as the contribution from parks and pbob. We expect half a million dollars, \$550,000 in the general fund thanks to the fall bump allocation. And we expect there to be \$2 million plus in the rate payer funds. Some of the contributing projects are the water bureau, the Washington park reservoir project, a pilot project. The water bureau corrosion control project, which you approved construction contract in September. And the b.e.s. Secondary treatment expansion project at the Columbia boulevard wastewater treatment plan voted on a couple of weeks ago. So, I'm going to hand it over to some folks from the community inclusion committee. They are four of them. I think I will hand it over to, I believe, Jan might be first.

Janice Mason: Guest speaker: Thank you, Lester. Good morning, mayor wheeler, commissioner fritz, commissioner Ryan, commissioner Hardesty and commissioner Eudaly. For the record, my name is Janice Mason, the president of the Filipino American chamber of commerce of Oregon. I'm the marketing director for regional integrated architecture and engineering design firm McKenzie based in Portland. I also sit on the governor's racial justice council. I'm here today to give testimony supporting the adoption of code and administrative rules proposed in my role as a member of the community equity and inclusion committee. As we continue to witness the events of 2020 and hear echoes of Portlanders decrying social injustice and economic inequalities in government, programs like the coep are essential to lift the native, black, indigenous and the people of color. And particularly, the bipoc community of the construction and trades people of the city. The game-changing policy like coep while well-intended becomes empty words without codifying the actions and activities necessary to redress the barriers that prevent directly impacting the businesses and lives of the intended beneficiaries. Further, i ask that you rebuild depth of your dedicated commitment to end racial disparities and authorize equity in the coep program and contracting which ultimately will enable economic empowerment and mobility by appropriating the funding for this program so that the budget ensures the original intent of the c.o.p. Truly becomes a reality. Today the outline with the administrative codes is crucial to moving initiatives and goals from a wish list to a method that lay the building blocks for the city to see successful outcomes. Now is the time to enact the laws

November 4-5, 2020

and commit fund something that the communities thrive and prosper. Now is the time to reinforce the concrete solutions for the economic and starts with the equity rather than applied as an afterthought. Now more so than ever, we must enact so that Oregonians historically marginalized and underfunded programs for the bipoc communities are prioritized. I urge you, mayor wheeler and commissioners, to adopt the administrative rules so procurement, leadership and staff know that we are serious about the coep outcomes. Thank you very much.

Wheeler: Thank you. Appreciate it.

Spitler: I believe we have Art Cortez next.

Wheeler: Good morning, Art.

Art Cortez: Sorry, everybody. Good morning. Thank you, Mr. Wheeler, mayor wheeler. And councilmembers. I'm Art Cortez for the record. I'm presented on the Latino build association, Art Cortez construction arbor company. Diversity, equity and inclusion building is important work. It is especially important we build plans that work for all communities involved. I'm speaking from a perspective of a minority who owns small construction businesses. And as someone who has experienced discrimination and equity firsthand from a small child to today. I will speak on three key areas. First, i ask for the support and approval of the administrative roles and fund for a single oversight committee. Such as, c.e.i..c. As proposed. Second, convey complexity of the construction industry and all of its variables at play, including solutions we might consider moving this program forward. Third, we need a long-term solution to build the construction industry and sustainable capacity for diverse equity and inclusion. Often programs are not given long enough to succeed giving all the complexity of the served communities. Programs end up being particularly -- excuse me. Not given the foundation if building a successful program, due to the influence of the communities changing every day. Time is needed to build infrastructure to create the positive change. When programs are prematurely judged they are considered ineffective, disruptive to the process of what is being built. Programs need time to move forward or we risk falling backwards. We are working with the general contractors, subcontractors are usually left out of if communication and are unaware of the changes aimed at building equity. Subs employ most of the people and are thus held responsible without resources. Smaller businesses acting as subs often do not have the ability to incorporate new workers. And if they do, it might create risk for them. It takes time, strategic effort and a commitment to build diverse workforces. Programs need to assume a ready skilled and diverse workforce is at ready to draw from, for projects. This is not true. In fact, nationally we can see that despite multiple attempts, the percentage of minorities in the trade has shown little increase. Equity programs must have

November 4-5, 2020

collaborative models and clear conduits for sharing information and working toward common outcomes. This implies apprenticeship programs, communicating better with the local communities. It also means recognizing large projects have extensive training and workforce requirements that meet changes on projects from day-to-day. When competing programs conflict, the key outcomes and benchmarks, it makes it difficult for them to be successful. As an industry we are fully aware of our flaws. Our culture is slow to modernize our thinking. We try to approach recruiting in a monolithic way. We put career sets above long-term improvement. But we are getting better. And we are working in a positive direction. We are glad to note that some of the better strategies are being implemented today. For instance, we see an industry-wide emphasis by contractors and training centers on positive job site cultures. This includes new apprenticeship requirements, models -- apprenticeship requirements and models for building equity and inclusion with an industry-wide commitment to save from hate pledges. This allows zero tolerance of hate, discrimination or harassment of any kind. In total we see a huge trend to develop behavior review models to correct inappropriate behaviors with positive results to train up an equitable workforce. In closing I ask that you support the single oversight committee model as to prosed. Thank you for your consideration.

Wheeler: Thank you.

Spitler: We have Kenechi Onyeagusi next. Hi.

Kenechi Onyeagusi: Hi. Good morning, mayor wheeler, commissioner Hardesty, commissioner fritz, commissioner Eudaly and commissioner Ryan. For the record I'm Kenechi, and I'm the executive director of the professional business development group. P.b.d.g. A non-profit association of certified firms, minority and women-owned firms in construction. I'm here today to give testimony supporting current procurement leadership and staff and the coop code and the administrative rules proposed in my role. As an appointed member of the community equity and inclusion committee, c.a.i..c. And one of the technical assistance providers under the community opportunities and enhancements. Coep. I want to uplift and applaud the procurement staff, o.m.f., prosper Portland staff, particularly John Cardenas and Morgan Masterman for their work in promoting equity in construction. And the co-op respectively. They worked very hard to get to us this point. In addition, i want to really celebrate Lester Spitler today. When the city discovered some of bureau's like transportation and parks had restrictions on the capital project. Lester spearheaded this effort to ensure that the community does not miss out on the funds and that we replace the restricted funds with the general funds. The reason why this is important is we will always have restrictions. We just need to come up with

November 4-5, 2020

the reasons why we can move around the restrictions. But through Lester's leadership, we are here today to propose a solution that I think will be amiable. We went through a competitive process and emerged as one of the technical assistance providers. Providing long-term technical assistance to firms. Through a unique partnership between the city, non-profit organization, with grassroots outreach, the business community and construction community, we are serving businesses owned by the people of color and women in this program. As you heard earlier, pbdg is a technical assistance provider and we leveraged the funds through the co-op to serve 15 businesses long-term. 93% of the businesses are owned by people of color and 46% are owned by women. Through the technical assistance program, we have identified three businesses that are owned by people of color who are interested in becoming general contractors. And have matched them up with the mentors who will mentor them on what that particular growth in the business looks like. All 15 businesses that we serve have been matched with mentors in the construction industry. Prime contractors who will help them get to that next level. But for these particular three businesses, at the end of the day, they get to decide if they want to become general contractors. And with either the limited amount of the bipoc owned general contractors in the city, this is a big deal. It's never been done before in any agency or bureau in the state of Oregon. The intentional growth plan for the businesses to consider growing from subcontractors to the general contractors in an environment that supports them and helps them to learn about the risk before taking on that risk is transformational. The 1% is significant investment that the city of Portland has made for the first time in helping build pipeline for the pre-apprenticeship programs and providing targeted support and technical support for businesses. It's a great start. But there are issues. We need the proverbial pie to be bigger. The need far outweighs the available resource. But turn down so many businesses in need of the services simply because we do not have the financial capacity to serve the businesses that come to us. Non-profit technical assistance providers like ourselves are forced to scrap and fight for funds because it's so limited. This needs to change. I urge you, mayor Wheeler, and commissioners to put words in to action today to support the grassroots work that is happening. And to support the efforts that we are all leading today for people of color and the women owned firms in construction. We need resources to water this work so that the community of color can thrive and flourish together. Thank you.

Wheeler: Thank you so much.

Spitler: Thanks Kenechi. Last but not least, Connie Ashbrook. Good morning.

Connie Ashbrook: Can everybody hear me?

November 4-5, 2020

Wheeler: Yep. You sound good, Connie.

Ashbrook: Well, good morning, mayor and commissioners. Thank you so much for your service to the city. My name is Connie Ashbrook. I'm here as an appointed member of testimony community equity and inclusion committee and to support approval of the code and administrative rules for the ceop. Many of you know me from my 21 years as director of Oregon trades women, now retired. But you might not know that before that, i worked for 17 years as a construction worker. And during that time i went through an apprenticeship. Later i served for neighbor years on the Oregon state apprenticeship and training council and for seven years on the federal advisory committee of registered apprenticeship. I share this with you to show i have deep knowledge of the problems and solutions for construction workforce equity. We applaud the work of the city staff, Lester, Paula, Kathleen, Mary, and Judith, they have all worked hard and been committed to success of the ceip. They have been consistently responsive to the committee's request and concerns. As many has said today, investment and workforce is critical for the city to achieve the equity goals. Pre-apprenticeship programs and the outreach organizations are struggling to come to scale. To meet the needs of both job-seekers and contractors seeking workers. The 1% funds are an important tool of the city to achieve its equity objectives and to alleviate the poverty among Portlanders. Especially black Portlanders. The ceip does have challenges. We are confronting the limitations of the language in the cpip and holding contractors accountable and recommendations for noncompliance. And -- remedies for noncompliance and authority to apply meaningful remedies to lead to the corrective action. The c.b.a. Model is more binding in a number of different ways and we hope to come back to council early next year or later this year with more data and suggestions for improvement. One thing that has been made clear is that equity past performance needs to be part of the bid selection wherever possible. One of the biggest areas where the city can advance racial and gender justice is how it ensures contractors are truly compliant with city policy. Procurement and compliance are the referees to ensure that all contractors are playing by the same rules. And executing our city policies and goals in good faith. Evidence rose of the role of the referee in construction is the erosion of equity, transparency, and fairness. To achieve equity in workforce and contracting, collaboration between the procurement and the bureau's, it's very important. I applaud Lester and his team for fostering that collaboration. Bureau staff do tend to be technical engineering types more focused on getting the project built rather than on equity performance. So i hope all those, the mayor and the city commissioners will support your bureau staff in fostering collaboration with procurement and support your bureau staff in holding contractors to an

November 4-5, 2020

equity performance. From bid submission on until project completion. In closing, thank you so much for your attention to developing a strong system for achieving equity in our city. Thank you very much.

Wheeler: Thank you. And Lester, does that complete, then, the invited testimony?

Spitler: It does. Yeah. Thank you.

Wheeler: Very good. This might be a good time. People on the council might have additional questions. You'd referenced an amendment that we would like to make. It's a technical amendment. It basically aligns administrative rules with what I proposed in the fall supplemental budget. The city budget office found the parks and pbob should absorb the cost of the annual obligations for the coep in the base budgets going forward so they did not recommend cal-target adjustment for the bureaus going forward. I don't know, has my colleagues seen the technical language proposed in the amendment? I don't want to get ahead of myself.

Commissioner Hardesty?

Hardesty: Thank you, mayor. I do have a couple of questions. Especially around maybe Lester or Tom can answer this question. It sounds like we are committing the general fund to fully fund the coep based on what, based on the fact that some of the smaller bureaus cannot absorb the cut. Did i understand that correctly?

Spitler: For this year, the fiscal year we are in, 2021, we did ask the general fund to contribute for parks and pbob. As the mayor said the city budget office believes that those two bureaus can pick up their fee in the annual budgets going forward. So this is the only fiscal year that the general fund will be paying a portion of the coep annual fee.

Hardesty: Thank you, Lester, for the clarification. I appreciate it. That was my question. Thank you.

Spitler: Mayor wheeler, I don't believe your colleagues have seen the actual amendment language. I have it. I have it in front of me.

Wheeler: Let me just read it. It's probably -- it's tedious, colleagues. I apologize. This is technical. So the amendment strikes the last section -- the amendment strikes the last sentences of section 5.1.4. And 5.1.5 in exhibit "b." administrative rule 1.25 as follows -- sorry, it doesn't get more exciting than that so far. 5.1.4. The fixed rate methodology sets the coep fee in the first full fiscal year of the program for bureaus as 1% of the original contract value of historical public involvement improve -- sorry, public improvement contracts as defined in exhibit 1. Then it strikes all of the next sentence. Which was for the general funded component of the coep fees the city budget office will establish a current appropriation level cal target funded by the general

November 4-5, 2020

fund discretionary revenue following the council adoption of the coep administrative rules. That sentence goes away. Then for the 5.1.5, it states in the second fiscal year of the program, and annually thereafter, the coep fees calculated under the fixed rate methodology will follow the city economist's methodology terming the cal target. This applies to the coep fees funded by both the general fund and non-general fund sources, and then the next sentence is stricken. That sentence used to read, "the coep fee targets will also be subject to any required percentage-based cal reduction targets set in that fiscal year's annual budget process." that sentence goes away. So are there any other questions or can I get a second on that technical amendment?

Hardesty: Commissioner: Second.

Wheeler: Comm Hardesty seconds. Colleagues, any further question on technical amendment? I'd like to go ahead and call the roll on technical amendment. So I don't forget.

Clerk: Eudaly?

Eudaly: Aye.

Clerk: Fritz?

Fritz: Aye.

Clerk: Ryan?

Ryan: Aye.

Clerk: Hardesty?

Hardesty: Aye.

Clerk: Wheeler?

Wheeler: Aye. The amendment is adopted. That completes the presentation and invited testimony. Do we have any public testimony on this item?

Clerk: We have one person signed up. Tom Perotti.

Wheeler: Very good. Welcome, Tom.

Tom Perotti: Public speaker: Good morning.

Wheeler: Good morning.

Perotti: Public speaker: Um, I have a lot of trepidation about what I'm about to say because I think this is probably a done deal. Only 11% of the people in quail park voiced their opposition to this I.I..d.

Fritz: Excuse me. This is a different item.

Wheeler: Yeah. So Tom, your item is going to come up, it's item number 887. Can you hold on a few minutes?

Perotti: Yes. Sorry.

Wheeler: Not a problem. It's not your fault. We'll make sure that you get sequenced in for that.

Perotti: Okay. Sorry.

Wheeler: No, it's always good to have a preview. Thank you for the preview. So colleagues, this is a first reading of a nonemergency -- this is a first reading of nonemergency ordinance and it moves to second reading as amended. Thank you, all. Lester, et al, thank you for the presentation. To everyone who provided testimony today on this. Appreciate it.

Wheeler: We have done consent. That is completed. Regular agenda. The first item is 881 on the regular agenda.

Clerk: Adopt city of Portland investment policy.

Wheeler: Colleagues, this investment policy sets forth the current criteria for the operation of the city of Portland investment activity. This is an administrative action taken to facilitate an annual review of the city's investment policy. This policy applies to the investment of all fund on deposit at the city of Portland's treasurer's office. As well as all trust funds for which the city has investment responsibility. The investment policy outlines the framework and criteria for managing the city's investment program. There are no changes to the existing policy. Our treasurer Brigid O'Callaghan is here to present.

Brigid O'Callaghan: Good morning. Thank you for having me today. I'm Brigid O'Callaghan and I'm honored to be the city's treasurer -- honored to be the city's treasure. Oregon law for investment of the public funds requires that the city adopt the investment policy annually. So that is what we are trying to accomplish today. The investment policy as the mayor said outlines the framework and the criteria for managing the city's investment program. The city's investment policy describes that framework, the criteria for investing in the cash assets. The city's policy is written in accordance with Oregon law or rs 2.94.135 and it is brought to council on annual basis for adoption. The city's investment objectives are pretty straightforward. First, preserve the principal of the funds we are managing for liquidity and then to earn a market rate of return. The investment earnings make a significant contribution to the city's budget and the program and the services that the bureaus provide. The investment earnings net of an admin fee to cover treasury's operating costs are all distributed to the bureaus. In fiscal year -- sorry, if in fiscal year 19-20, treasury earned \$43.5 million. If is call year to date, September 30, treasury earned \$6.4 million. As the mayor referenced there are no changes to investment policy since it was approved last December. The city of Portland's policy is a little more restrictive than the state law requires. We have chosen not to include corporate issuers involved with certain

November 4-5, 2020

industries; such as, fossil fuels, financial services, retail sale of firearms. Our policy also does allow for the purchase of very highly rated corporate securities. Corporate securities provides diversification in the portfolio and an opportunity to earn additional yield in the portfolio over other alternative types of investments. In the interest of transparency, the treasury division has posted the city's investment portfolio online since 2013. These month-end line-by-line portfolio reports can be found at www.portlandoregon.gov/bcrsf. If you click on review where the city's money is invested you can see the information there. Treasury will continue to use the professional expertise and discretion to make the investment decisions on behalf of the city. With that, i thank you. I would be happy to answer any questions.

Wheeler: Very good. Colleagues, any questions at this particular moment for Brigid? That was a good presentation. Keelan, do we have public testimony on this resolution?

Clerk: No. No one signed up.

Wheeler: All right. This is a resolution. Please call the roll.

Clerk: Fritz?

Fritz: Aye. Sorry.

Clerk: Ryan?

Ryan: Aye.

Clerk: Hardesty?

Hardesty: Aye.

Clerk: Wheeler?

Wheeler: Aye. The resolution is adopted. Thank you for your hard work on this, thanks to your team.

O'Callaghan: Thank you very much.

Wheeler: Next item, 882, please.

Clerk: Assess properties for sidewalk, curb and/or driveway repair for the Portland bureau of transportation.

Wheeler: Colleagues, this is a routine internal city business process to assess property owners for sidewalk repair charges. In this legislation, there are a total of 106 properties and 184,295.30 to be assessed. We are joined by Sherree Matias, lee Munson and Jim Correal who have a presentation for us. Welcome. Thank you for being here.

Sherree Matias: Thank you. Good morning, mayor and commissioners. Can you hear me okay?

Wheeler: Yep. You sound good.

Matias: Guest speaker: Great. I'm Sherree Matias from revenue division and with me is Lee

November 4-5, 2020

Munson from pbot. This ordinance is for the sidewalk repair on the property required by the city. Any remonstrances have been removed from the ordinance.

Wheeler: I hate to be done, was that the entirety of the presentation?

Matias: That is it. Yes.

Wheeler: Was even shorter than my press conference that only lasted 85 seconds. That is good. Is there any further presentation on the item or further questions? Do we have any public testimony on this item?

Clerk: No one has signed up.

Wheeler: All right. Very good. First reading of nonemergency ordinance and moves to the second reading. Thank you. Next up is s-883. It's a second reading substitute.

Clerk: Initiate foreclosure action on three properties for the collection of delinquent city liens placed against the properties.

Wheeler: Colleagues, this is a second reading of a substitute ordinance. We heard a presentation. There was opportunity for the public testimony. It's already been in discussion of the item. Seeing none, Keelan, call the roll.

Clerk: Fritz?

Fritz: I'm having -- I'm having difficulty with the mute button. Sorry about that. Aye.

Clerk: Ryan?

Ryan: Aye.

Clerk: Hardesty?

Hardesty: Aye.

Clerk: Wheeler? Aye. The ordinance is adopted. 84, please.

Clerk: Authorize conveyance of city-owned real property located at 333 northwest 6th avenue to central city concern for a new affordable housing development located in the oldtown neighborhood.

Wheeler: I am pleased to see another Portland housing bond project is speaking approval for city council action. This time it's for a disposition of the city-owned sites. City-own site at Flanders and 6th avenue. In the old town Chinatown area. This is a development that I have spoken about previously I'm excited about. This is the west wind. The west wind awarded to the development team of the central city concern, one of the non-profits providing housing and services to many of the chronically homeless in the community. In the uncertain times we are pleased to bring forward the action to advance the west wind project when p.s.h. Is needed now more than ever. With that, we will hear a presentation. Good morning. Looks like director

November 4-5, 2020

Callahan, you are on the phone. Welcome.

Shannon Callahan: Guest speaker: I am. Good morning, mayor and commissioners. Keelan, can you help me share the power point or do I need to do it myself?

Clerk: They are getting it up for you right now.

Wheeler: Thank you, so much.

Callahan: Guest speaker: Good morning. As the mayor indicated we are here with an agreement with central city concern regarding development and disposition of the site of the current west wind apartments. If you wouldn't mind advancing, please, Keelan. The site is one of the 12 identified bond projects. Two of which are already in operation. And this would be the second new project to move in to the construction phase. Next slide, please. The west wind was purchased by the city of Portland in 2018. It's a quarter block located in the oldtown neighborhood. We put the -- we put this property out in a solicitation in 2019 in April. Central city concern was the proposal that was selected by a group of experts, technical experts as well as the community members to develop the site. Next slide, please, Keelan. The Westwind apartments will be a seven-story new construction building. It will have 100 units of affordable housing. 92 of which will be at area median incomes at 30% or below what is the most precious in the community. And will be what we also consider deeply affordable units. It will be low-barrier housing. 70 of those units will provide permanent supportive housing serving those that are homelessness or at risk of homelessness coming out of our shelters. And off of our streets. We expect that construction will begin in spring of next year and complete in 2022. Next slide, please. So we typically come to you with a project at the point of financing. But today we are actually coming with a first step to transfer the property over to central city concern so that they can begin necessary predevelopment work on the site. Currently the building is ready to be demolished. But before we do that to build 100 new units, we need to address some issues with a shared wall on the neighboring site. And central city concern has agreed to do that as well as the demolition to make sure that the integrity of the neighboring buildings are protected as we move forward we are development. Next slide, please, Keelan. So that actually concludes the presentation. I know we have, I believe we have Mary on the line in case you have any questions. With this action you would allow us to convey the site to the central city concern so we can begin the development process. Thank you for your consideration this morning. That concludes my presentation.

Wheeler: Thank you very much, director Callahan. Excellent presentation. Colleagues, any questions at this point? I'm not seeing any. Keelan, do we have any public testimony on this

item?

Clerk: No one signed up.

Wheeler: This is an emergency ordinance. Please call the roll.

Clerk: Fritz?

Fritz: Aye.

Clerk: Ryan?

Ryan: Thank you, director. That was an excellent presentation. I'm so excited that 92% of the units are under 30%. That is highly affordable. And I'm delighted that we have a great partner in central city concern. Aye.

Clerk: Hardesty?

Hardesty: Aye.

Clerk: Wheeler?

Wheeler: I'm sorry. Was that for me? I couldn't hear that.

Clerk: Sorry, yes.

Wheeler: I vote aye on this. I think this is one of the most important investments we have made or will be making soon from the Portland housing bond. It's one thing to tell people we are bringing them inside. And that we have an opportunity to provide shelter to deserving people in the community. It's something even more amazing that we say we can bring some of the most chronically homeless on our streets off the streets. Off the sidewalks. And not only bring them inside in to great setting but also connect them best directorially with the services they need to be successful in that housing. And restore possibilities of their lives. So this project more than any other else, anything else we have done with the housing bond excites me. I want to thank you, director Callahan. I think this is a great vision. It's rethinking what s.r.o. Housing looks like. It's bringing it to the 21st century. I appreciate the work you and your team put in to this. I'm glad to vote aye. Ordinance is adopted. Next up a couple of second readings. 885.

Clerk: Authorize intergovernmental agreement with the Oregon department of environmental quality for the Columbia slough sediment program not to exceed \$325,000 over five years.

Wheeler: Second reading. Any further business? Call the roll.

Clerk: Fritz?

Fritz: Thank you, staff, for an excellent presentation. Aye.

Clerk: Ryan?

Ryan: Aye.

Clerk: Hardesty?

Hardesty: Aye.

Clerk: Wheeler?

Wheeler: I agree. Great presentation. I learned a lot. It was very thorough. Happy to support it. I vote aye. It's adopted. 886 is also a second reading.

Clerk: Authorize competitive bid solicitation and contract with the lowest responsive and responsible bidder for the construction of the Gabriel park play area improvements project.

Wheeler: Any further discussion on this item? Second reading. Call the roll.

Clerk: Fritz?

Fritz: Gosh, I'm so happy to vote for this. Thanks to the Portland voters for passing the parks levy, meaning we can maintain the parks so much better and this is new development with the development charges and this will be a fully accessible playground. In the fourth area that needs one. We still need one in southeast, but this is the fourth one and I appreciate the staff's work on it. Aye.

Clerk: Ryan?

Ryan: Yes. Portland is famous for the parks and I'm grateful for the opportunity to vote in favor of increasing accessibility at Gabriel park. So it can be enjoyed by everyone. I look forward to the siting and development of a park in southeast Portland. I vote aye.

Clerk: Hardesty?

Hardesty: Aye.

Clerk: Wheeler?

Wheeler: I want to thank the parks bureau and everybody who worked so hard on visualizing what the park can be. It's really amazing. The presentation last week was fantastic. And as commissioner Ryan indicated the accessibility component is front and center on this park. This is obviously going to be very, very well received throughout the community. It's great design. Excellent planning. Excellent placement. Great execution. I'm proud to support it. I vote aye. The ordinance is adopted. 887, water bureau.

Clerk: Create a local improvement district to construct water main improvements in the southwest quail post road local improvement district.

Wheeler: Commissioner Fritz?

Fritz: Thank you. We last saw this on December 30 of this year. When we adopted the resolution 37509. Declaring the intent to initiate local improvement district, formation proceedings and establishing the name as the local improvement district as was -- improvement district as just read. Since then, the staff continued to work with the homeowner's association as well as the

November 4-5, 2020

homeowners association board to provide information, listen and answer technical questions. And my office has received e-mails and phone calls regarding the proposed formation of the l.i.d. Some of the communication has been against the l.i.d. Formation. I certainly appreciated hearing and reading thoughtful comments about the timing and also the need to understand the technical aspects of the delivery. And to anticipate since we heard a little bit from somebody who is going to speak, it's not a done deal. When you bring things to council there is no point in having a public hearing if it doesn't matter what the public says when they show up. A question of should we do this? We will be hearing from staff about what, about the work they have been doing. We do have a high level of requests from the homeowner's association members to take over management of the system. It's a system that is approaching 50 years old. A system that when it fails could cause significant destruction of water and could cause harm to the nearby aquatic environment. We are getting chlorinated water in the stream close by would be devastating to the creek system. The most compelling communication we have had is the desire to transfer maintenance and management of a private system from the volunteer quail park board to a public works system like water works bureau. This is the first of two hearings. The second will be next week. So I'll hand it over to Andrew Aebi, the Portland bureau of the transportation local improvement district administrator.

Andrew Aebi: Thank you very much, commissioner Fritz. For the record I'm Andrew Aebi, the city local improvement district administrator. I wanted to summarize for council the remonstrance level that are summarized in exhibit "f" and the details included in exhibit "g." of the 96 accessible properties in the local improvement district we received the ten remonstrances by the filing deadline that represents 10.4% of the estimated assessment. According to city charter of 9.7% of the total area of the LID. And 5.8% -- excuse me 9.7% of the accessible Jared of the LID. And 5.8% of the total area of the LID. So all of those metrics are well below the 60% threshold specified in the city charter. So council retains jurisdiction to make a decision next week whether to form or not form this LID. This is a second reading. We did not receive any remonstrances from 86 of the 96 property owners of the l.i.b. My tally of the written testimony of the 17 items of the testimony were submitted by property owners of which example 14 were in favor and approximately three of which were in opposition to the LID. I want to highlight two change that have been made since September 30. We have spelled out a process by which this LID. Would be closed out earlier than 2039. We don't expect that to occur. But should that occur, the adjustment to the assessments have been spelled out in the LID. Formation ordinance. Also, just responding to the concerns from the commissioner Hardesty at the resolution hearing on

November 4-5, 2020

September 30, we have also included a directive that is a commissioner in charge of the water bureau be updated periodically on the status of the project. So, with that, I'm happy to answer any questions that council may have. Otherwise I -- council might have. Otherwise we can turn it over to property owners for testimony. Thank you.

Wheeler: Thank you very much. Does that complete the full presentation or do you have any other invited testimony?

Aebi: We do have a presentation. It's virtually the same as the presentation we ran back in September. So in the interest of council's time I wasn't going to run it. If you have questions after hearing the property owner testimony, we can certainly run it, mayor wheeler.

Wheeler: Okay. Why don't we do this? Colleagues, does anybody have questions right now before we go to the public testimony? Very good. All right. I know we have at least one person signed up for the public testimony on this item. Keelan?

Clerk: Yes. We have four people signed up. The first is Tom Perrotti.

Wheeler: All right. Tom, now is the time. Welcome. Thank you for your patience.

Perrotti: Public speaker: Yeah. I oppose this project for a few reasons. One, this was set up as an opt-out which i think skews the voting or the low percentage of people opposed to this project. I don't think that many of the 96 homeowners voice any opinion on this. And the quail park association board did not make any effort to get any sort of a referendum from the people involved. The people that live in the park. That is unfortunate. Especially since 60% or better was needed to oppose this project. Because it was board initiated, or commissioner fritz initiated, i think that the opt-out vote sort of skewed the deck from the very beginning. Another reason is i would like to have seen alternatives. This turned out to be an all-or-nothing project presented to the commission, to the council. And it's unfortunate that there weren't other options like another main line on Huber street and keeping the current existing line as a backup. That was not considered at all. And as a result of this enormous change, the homeowners are looking at \$45,000 lien in 2039. A third reason is the risk assessment was done in the park. And four holes were drilled and there was one potentially problem area. Why not fix that? The park has been taken care of their own water system here for many years. There is always 5,000 feet of pipe in the park. And my concern is we have just rushed to get through this LID. So I would ask that this vote be postponed to consider other options. And those are some of my comments. Thank you.

Wheeler: Thank you, Tom. I appreciate it. Thank you for your patience on that. Very good. Go ahead.

Clerk: Yeah. Next up we have Sean Cox.

November 4-5, 2020

Wheeler: Good morning, Sean.

Sean Cox: Good morning, mayor Wheeler. For the record, it's Sean Cox. I have lived in quail park for five years. Life-long Portlander. Long time recipient of a trust award which is one of the last times i was in the council chambers so virtual council chambers today. I want to thank you, commissioner fritz, for your leadership in helping our neighborhood avoid collapse of our water system. We need you to help us save ourselves. For the last 47 year, we have had an all volunteer group, a very well-meaning, well-intended individuals have responsibility for a mayor piece of infrastructure. That none of us in the neighborhood have technical understanding to manage and maintain. Quail park is a lovely neighborhood. It is a community full of caring, thoughtful, generous people. We look out for one another and we are quick to lend a hand. The homeowner's association has always been all volunteer and it's well suited for maintaining our architecture review procedures and maintain forestry landscape but we never had the expertise to manage the water system. For geography, the main water line runs underneath the creek that feeds Tryon that runs right behind my house. Up a hill. If there were to be a failure at the main intersection as commissioner fritz said, we would have untold numbers of millions of gallons pumping in to Tyron creek, eroding the hillside and destroying the ecosystem. It hasn't been a problem yet. It hasn't broken yet. But it's at risk of failure. What we have learned since this process was initiated is that shortcuts were made when the system was built 47 years ago. Some of the shortcuts led to the failing of many of the service lines to our homes which precipitated a replacement in the '90s. That replacement included number of shortcuts. We don't have enough fire hydrants in the neighborhood. And because of the half measures that were taken in the original development, it's unclear if our current system has the capacity to adequately respond to a fire emergency throughout the neighborhood. If the main line were to break that runs under the creek, we have no backup. We have no other connection. And simply putting a band aid on this system is an option that has significant risks. For some additional context on our history here, a leaky fire hydrant was flooding a neighbor's home for months. Us was discovered not to be, quote/unquote, new spring popped up but in fact a leak. It caused damage to her home. And because of our lay management, we didn't have the response necessary. And thankfully the water bureau was able to come help repair that. The suggestion to add back flow valve was the canary in the coal mine.

Clerk: Three minutes.

Cox: Okay. We do not have financial resources to do this but thankfully the LID. Proposal provides safeguards for immediate costs that could cripple financially our most at-risk residents

November 4-5, 2020

in the community. And I urge you to give us a peace of mind to support the LID. And not allow us to continue to band aid our system and continue to move through on the current timeline. Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony today.

Wheeler: Thank you, Sean. We appreciate it.

Clerk: Next up we have M'Chelene Shull.

Wheeler: Welcome.

M'Chelene Shull: Thank you for your time today. I'm M'Chelene. I had written out several comments mirror much of what Sean Cox stated so I will avoid going through that and repeated that but I feel in alignment with what he just stated. I do want to restate that we have been so fortunate to have so many members in the neighborhood work very hard and diligently to take care of the forests. And be really thoughtful about how they care for our community. However, we haven't really taken the lengths to take care of planning for the infrastructure. And the eventual maintenance that it was going to require. I have been living in Quail Park for 12 years. And when I first moved in, our h.o.a. Fees were just \$115. Which at the time felt like a really good deal. Most of that was the water bill. So, a lot of people in the park were interested in raising h.o.a. Dues to create a savings for the inevitability of needing to maintain some of the infrastructure. I think a lot of people on the board really were highly value frugality and really cared to not create stress financially for people in our neighborhood. However, this is also created a cost of lack of preparation for our community. And we haven't had the financial savings that would require us to deal with the potential emergency situations as Sean has presented here earlier. So it's not been a lack of good faith or a lack of hard work or thoughtfulness by the people that have help make decisions for the neighborhood. However, this is where we are at. I feel grateful for this opportunity that you guys are looking at the lid and potentially going to take over our water system. I really think it's what we need as a community to move forward. Like Sean said, we just simply do not have the expertise, nor the time, a lot of things to maintain this big project. Thank you so much for your time today.

Wheeler: Thank you. Appreciate it.

Clerk: The last person we have today is John Gibbon.

Wheeler: Welcome, John.

John Gibbon: Good morning, mayor. Congratulations.

Wheeler: Thank you.

Gibbon: My name is John Gibbon. I've lived in Quail Park for 25 years now. I have been involved with the matters related to the city of Portland water bureau since 1976. I appreciate my --

November 4-5, 2020

maybe i have kept my involvement in those issues more under the hat than i should have. I feel like i do have a pretty good handle on this. I have made recommendations to my neighborhood to deal with the homeowner's infrastructure system. I also am a retired attorney that worked in the homeowner's association industry for most of my 40-year career. And I will tell you in 1996, when we paid off our first repairs to our water system, I recommended to my fellow owners at that time that we set aside the \$30 a month that we had been paying as a special assessment for that water system in a reserve fund. So we would have resources to pay for the problems we are now facing. I was a new guy in the neighborhood. Everybody looked at me and said yeah, well, that is nice. But we don't do things that way around here. I guess I'd also say that the leaks we had in 2016 and 2017 eliminated or reduced by about 90% the operating reserve that we had diligently build up. My neighbors put money in that immediately after we knew about the back flow device and we built to save money to build the best black flow device we thought we could. Unfortunately and i should compliment the contractors, Mike Davis, from power plumbing. He identified a spot where everybody agrees downhill from the connection where everybody agrees would be the best place to put in a back flow device to protect the system. Unfortunately the work that the city did in evaluating that request showed that we had a compromised connection uphill from it and would have required -- that would have had to be passed over by heavy equipment to get down to put in the back flow device we were talking about on the existing line. So so we are faced with having to go back and meet the standards we were required to meet when quail park was created in the 1970s, which was to connect our water system from Huber street to Lancaster. We examined several options for that.

Clerk: Three minutes.

Gibbon: The city has decided to take the project over and complete the connection. I support this. I can answer any questions if there council has any.

Wheeler: Very good. Thank you for your testimony. Colleagues, any further questions or follow-up before I move this to second reading? Seeing none this is the first reading of a nonemergency ordinance. The second reading. Thank you all who testified. We appreciate it. Lastly but not least this morning. 888. Also a second reading.

Clerk: Amend trees in development situations code to remove zone exemptions from tree preservation and free density and amend regulations for preservation of private trees.

Wheeler: Commissioner Fritz?

Fritz: Thank you, mayor. This is a second reading. However I would like to propose an amendment. Because staff has been able to do some additional work and propose something

November 4-5, 2020

which I think you are going to want to hear. At least I hope so. As we know that we are subject to statewide 9 regarding the i..h. Heavy industrial land. And for private property in the buildable land industry and economical analysis was done to determine if reserving reservation to free planting would develop feasibility. It would. But in contrast, development on the city-owned property is not subject for the same building analysis as the private development. Affected bureaus that own or manage i..h. Property, have all confirmed they can accommodate potential additional costs related to the tree preservation and the tree planting requirements. So i am proposing an amendment to eliminate the exemption for the city-owned properties. Portland park and recreation bureau of services and bureau of transportation, the water bureau and the fire bureau all manage prompts zoned i.h. And are supportive of this amendment. It would result in 150 acres of currently exemption property being subject to the type 11 tree preservation and density requirements. Particularly grateful to Todd and Nick for their hard work in moving this amendment forward in the last few weeks. We're sorry we couldn't bring it over the finish line last week but we have -- but it's not done until it is done. This amendment was circulated to your offices and by e-mail yesterday. So i move to amend exhibit "a," title 11 code. To add the words "private trees" to code section 11.50.05b1c. So that it reads the exemption private trees on the portions of site located within an i..h. Zone.

Hardesty: Second.

Wheeler: Motion from commissioner Fritz. Second from commissioner Hardesty. Is there any further discussion? Looks like Linly has a comment. Greetings. Welcome. Good morning.

Linly Rees: Good morning. Sorry to interrupt. Commissioner, it's my understanding that there are two locations in the code where the addition of the private trees needs to be added. I just want to -- if I'm wrong about that, fine. But I want to make sure that it is also added to 11.50.040b1. If that is your intent.

Fritz: I thought that's what i just -- thank you for sending the amendments this morning. I thought that was the section I just said.

Rees: So, I will clarify for the record it's my understanding that the language private trees would be added to both 11.50.040b1 and 11.50.050b1c. So, 040 and 050.

Fritz: So moved. Thank you.

Wheeler: Commissioner Hardesty, I assume your second is still good in that case?

Hardesty: Correct.

Wheeler: All right. Good. Did you have another comment commissioner?

Hardesty: No. I have a question. My question is really around are we creating challenges for

November 4-5, 2020

other governments when we put in the language that just says, "private"? How is it going to impact the other governmental partners?

Fritz: Excellent question. Go ahead.

Rees: I can address that.

Fritz: Yes.

Rees: I'm on the call so the definition of "private trees" is specific -- "private trees" excludes everything -- I mean it includes trees that are not on city-owned or city-managed properties. So, city trees are trees on the city owned or managed. And private trees are everything else. It should not affect over government properties. I'm sorry I said that inartfully. I hope it answered the question.

Hardesty: That answered my question. Thank you. We won't have an impact on any other government by changing this language is what you are saying?

Rees: Correct.

Hardesty: Commissioner: Thank you. I'm good.

Wheeler: Very good. Excellent. So why don't we go ahead and vote on the amendment. And then my assumption is we'll move this to a second reading as amended. Commissioner Fritz, is that your intention?

Fritz: Correct.

Wheeler: Very good.

Fritz: I'll explain we have to consider also in added emergency clause but the bureau of development services doesn't have the capacity to change everything that they need to change right now. As fast as an emergency ordinance would make them do it.

Wheeler: Okay. This will only delay us a little bit. I think it is worth it. Thank you for the amendment. Please call the roll, Keelan, on the amendment.

Clerk: Fritz?

Fritz: Again, thank you to staff and all the bureaus and the bureau directors for being willing to make this change. We the city government need to hold ourselves to the highest standards and this further demonstrates our commitment to protect trees to the extent we are allowed to. Aye.

Clerk: Ryan?

Ryan: Yes, thank you. I want to thank my colleague, commissioner Fritz bringing forward the amendment and thank the staff at bps and parks for collaboration on the effort. I want to commend the staff at parks for doing leg work to consult all the bureaus that manage properties in the i..h. Zone. I applaud the city's commitment to increasing the tree canopy and stepping in

November 4-5, 2020

the values by removing exceptions, exemptions, to tree preservation and tree intensity requirements for our own city-owned properties and the heavy industrial zone. I vote aye.

Clerk: Hardesty?

Hardesty: Aye.

Clerk: Wheeler?

Wheeler. The amendment is adopted. This is a first reading of a nonemergency ordinance and it moves to second reading as amended. And with that, Keelan, that completes our business, does it not, for this morning?

Clerk: It does, mayor.

Wheeler: All right. We are adjourned until 2: 00 p.m. This afternoon. Take care, everybody.

At 11:27 a.m., Council Recessed.

November 4-5, 2020
Closed caption file of Portland City Council meeting

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised city council broadcast and should not be considered a verbatim transcript. The official vote counts for Council action are provided in the Official Minutes.

Key: ***** means unidentified speaker.

November 4, 2020 2:00 p.m.

Wheeler: Wed, Nov 4, 2020 afternoon session of the Portland City Council, Keelan, please call the role. [roll called].

Wheeler: Under Portland city code, the city council is holding this electronically. All are attending remotely. There are several avenues available for the meeting, it is available to the public on the city's YouTube channel and channel 30. The public can also provide council with written testimony by contacting the council clerk at cctestimony@portlandoregon.gov. The council is taking these steps as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic to promote physical distancing. The pandemic is an emergency that threatens public health and safety, which requires us to meet electronically. Thanks for your patience and continued understand as we make it through this difficult situation to conduct the city's business. And good afternoon, colleagues, we have just the one item here. Keelan, could you read the item, item number 89.

Clerk: Mayor did you want Linly to --

Wheeler: Yeah, sorry, Linly, I rained on your parade.

Linly Rees: To participate in Council meetings, you may sign up in advance with the council topic it talk about any subject. You may sign up for any first readings of ordinances and the council agenda at [Portland, oregon.gov/auditor](http://Portland,oregon.gov/auditor) and how to sign up to testimony. Your testimony should address the item on the council agenda today, when testifying makes state your name for the record. Please disclose if you're a lobbyist. When your time is up, the presiding officer will ask you to conclude. Disruptive conduct such as shouting and failing to complete your testimony when time is up and disruptions won't be allowed. A warning will be issued. Please be aware all council meetings are recorded. Thank you.

Wheeler: Item 889.

Clerk: Adopt the river way in the central city and scenic inventories and supporting documents.

Wheeler: All right. Very good. Thank you. And -- and never mind. Before I turn this item over to

November 4-5, 2020

commissioner Hardesty, I wanted to say a few words because until recently my office was overseeing this project. The river plan south reach is a long needed update to the plan. As you may know, I'm a swimmer and I enjoy swimming in this beautiful part of Portland. I learned about this part of the river from staff and others in August of 2019 when I had the honor of leading a boat tour of the area for tribal officials and staff and other government officials. Many thanks to John for being a staff organizer and the nation that is attended. I understand Laura will join us. I look forward to hearing more from her. Anyone that was planning to testify, the city of Portland does not regulate boating. The marine board does that. I understand staff will summarize the work in their presentation. I understand people will have comments since there was confusion earlier in the year. I want to acknowledge Hardesty's leadership since this is now in her portfolio. I turn this over to you.

Hardesty: Thank you, Mayor. Let me start by saying, thank you very much to the heart working staff at PBS for today. We want to make sure that our colleagues know that today we'll be holding the hearing on the river plan south reach and the river greenway inventory. The river is an important and defined natural feature for Portland. It is a recreation corridor and it is an important part about ecosystem. The plan is an update of a plan adopted in the 1980s before many of us key, before many of our key environmental laws were in place. I want to again to thank the staff and the community in advance for their contribution of this -- to this plan. I turn it over to Joe Zehnder, Andrea, Sallie Edmunds, and Jeff CAudill. They will walk us through the plan and inventory and I'll have proposed amendments.

Wheeler: Before you begin, Fritz were you able to get a copy of where we are in the presentation?

Fritz: Yes. Thank you.

Wheeler: Good, colleagues I will still be on the call. I'll be calling by phone and I will participate that I'm turning over to commissioner Fritz. Sorry to interrupt. Over to you Joe.

Joe Zehnder: Thank you Comm Hardesty and members of the council. Today as you heard you're considering a new plan. This is an update of the greenway plan that was originally adopted in 1987. It is time for an update. We've working on the state required plan in three phases. We adopted the 2035 plan that included the river plan for the central reach today is about the south reach. We took this project to implement part of the comprehensive plan. This is following up on that. With adoption of the plan, you will help the city meet federal requirements related to flood plains and endangered species. You'll follow through with partners to protect -- protect important architectural resources. The plan expands habitat protections and

November 4-5, 2020

identifies projects and management practices to improve parks and open spaces along the river corridor and identifies improvements to the area's bike and pedestrian and transit connections. And most importantly it strengthens the city's preparation and resilience in the face of climate change by improving how we mitigate the impacts of development in the flood plane and reduce risk of wildfire. This will improve the [indiscernible] they will move toward the comprehensive plan. I'm going to turn it over to Sallie Edmonds and Jeff who will give you a more detailed presentation of the content of the plan. Thank you.

Sallie Edmonds: Thank you for your time today and those watching. My name is Sallie Edmonds. I'm here to brief you on the river outreach. With me is Jeff Caudill who along with Debbie Bishop served as a project manager for this project until Debbie retired this summer. Also with us today are bps staff from the bureau of development services Stephanie Beckman and Morgan Steele and from bureau of environmental services, Kaitlyn. From parks, Brett, Shaun, and from pbot Courtney Duke. Jeff are you showing our PowerPoint presentation?

Jeff Caudill: I'm sending it right now.

Edmonds: Okay. If you move forward and I did the overview. Thank you. As Joe mentioned this is an update. It is a 20-year plan that includes a vision -- includes vision polls framework and updates to the plan policies and the zoning code and maps and implementation actions to be completed by city entities and others. The plan also includes natural and scenic research protection plans which inventory and make recommendations on the protections for these important resources. Along with the plan, we're updating the river greenway inventory which is exhibit e and this is something required by our state's planning goal 15. Next slide, please. You can see the south outreach. It is from the bridge to south of the other bridge on the east side and from south waterfront and the rethought area of refer detail. Next slide, please. You can see the west side of the city. There's pockets such as this. So after city council makes your decision on legislative projects like this. The council will hold a hearing and adopt a plan. As Joe mentioned we have key guidance. Planning goal 15 which is focused on the Lambert river specifically and then there's the policy in the comprehensive plan that is related to the outreach about we started out by understanding and documents our existing conditions and existing conditions report. We held numerous events in meeting and our plan document. We created several drafts and review draft and this was by legislative project. The intergovernmental review draft is -- is a draft that we developed specifically if the south reach because we wanted to insure that the tribal nations who we had been working with had the opportunity to review the draft before it was available it -- to the general public. We also included in the plan process is an update to the

November 4-5, 2020

river greenway inventory that we took to the planning and sustainability commission in spring 2019. We waited to bring it to council in order to have it travel to the river plan outreach. Next slide, Jeff. So throughout this process as I mentioned we had a variety of events and heard from members of the public. This included people that live, work, recreate and have specific interest in the area. Events including vision event and river walks and boat tours and natural resources and river sections and open houses. We worked with Laura John to reach out to tribes in the past, present and future. This included presentations at northwest tribal conferences. The nation's summit and the Columbia river fish commission. We had discussions from representatives of the Yakima nation and others. We collaborated with Judy from PSU's indigenous studies program to hold an event at the native center. We had a review draft for government relations with the tribes and also it provide the opportunity for other governmental partners to review and comment on the draft. In addition we coordinate with planning and leadership and especially given their -- the unincorporated urban county components to the plan. One of the first products we developed after our early outreach was development of a 20-year mission and urban design concept as shown here. Here's the vision that emerged from our early work and it identifies a variety of key improvements and connections to the area such as locations for river habitation and connections and voting facilities and the like. With that I'll turn it over to Jeff.

Caudill: I'm Jeff with the planning and sustainability. Key changes that are continuing. We have natural resources and one is health and the key driver of the plan. We had important objectives. We wanted to expand habitat and identify key habitat restoration opportunities. Important update is placement of a flag in the overlays within the river lay zones. They're already applied in the central city. The application is -- is modernizing the zoning in the area by allowing approval of land use review and make it more consistent with the zones in the city. For all properties and the overlay zone will be applied and the river, the river with the overlay is new. And would be added to the zoning code. This is applied to public park sites with limited uses. And the behavior overlays will be applied to within 100 feet of and resources which -- which are based on our inventory. And the entirety of the floodplains and then the special habitat areas where there's areas where there's key species that work in the city and where they have been identified. The key protections proposed. And for the entire area, excuse me, welfare and in water and on land. A 50-foot setback will be applied through the entire south reach. And the pfc amendment is applied. This basically is the area. 50 feet of the river setback. This will be applied to both the setback and the additional 50 feet. It has analysis for treating the exemption in the river setback and requires replacement of trees that are greater elsewhere in the zone. We have the setback. A

November 4-5, 2020

psc amendment, extending the required trade to figures for two -- two mitigation and simple corrections to violations. Also increase documented compliance up to five years as a part of a land review. And that left time if as part of our review will be up to us. Redevelopment services discretion. Then during that period, excuse me things will be required for continued compliance with the reservations. Also we have in the -- in the overlay zones and exceeded for both new and existing docks. Residential docks will be required to be no longer than 200 square feet and they must be able to be outside of shallow habitat. If there are in the vital options, there's a building to be able to locate it in [indiscernible] and need to be approved for the review. And then the students for existing and required an induction of the dock area and 50 percent or more of the docks are replaced. Expand and improve habitat and steelhead and -- and canopy and habitat with up lined areas. The plan is something -- as mentioned, it is a first step in the phase for regulation development and reg -- regulations through the city. And they issued a [indiscernible] and natural [indiscernible] program since 2016. The program provides subsidized flood insurance and the full and designated 100-year plane. And they will continue to determine that the initial church program facilitates the development and then impacts threatened in -- and at steelhead species. In response it that they have updates to floodplain regulations. If -- if -- the zone is to both the developed and undeveloped floodplain and can apply to developed floodplain. Application to floodplain will develop the floodplain and those areas in the floodplain. And establishing what is called a buffer area. You see, it is defined as the area where -- 170 feet of where you have water. They would need to indicate an improvement in one floodplain and the loss which is our normal approach to show improvement. Example of the floodplain value. This is the floodplain. Mitigation banks. This would allow future occupants for a certified bank and the own property of onseen and other avenues on the properties for that. We see this in -- if -- until moving this closer. We move toward complaints that are -- that are -- relations also. It is also moving the culture and the efforts and gain the confidence of the plan and climb action plan qualities that are in these floodplain protections. So we have -- we have -- we have [indiscernible]. And another important consideration was recreation. The szott reaches and what can be seen and often the plan based in water recreation opportunities. Our efforts by an objectives and we're looking to identifying physical improvements and [indiscernible] where it makes sentence and an identifying partnership for additional improvements and then project designated sources. It has three park sites and the area that once was the old sites and were on the west side of the bridge. And consider recreationalists and the businesses and such at light watercraft and small cafes. This man asserts a park and

November 4-5, 2020

redevelopment plan and includes funding of the riverbank and then -- then -- then additional shallow water. And along with the seasonal public beach in addition of a [indiscernible]. From there, there's more. The plan recommends that the parties conduct a study to evaluate sites and something like was done in central city. And it could lead to identification of funding in the beach in the outreach. So -- so i want to thank inventory and evaluating city resources. So one and two is the senior resources protection plan. And it meant while you wait and proposing different points and corridors. We evaluate within those corridors and make recommendations if protections of scenic resources. Some sources that are proposed for retirement because they can't see the plan. And this is identifying the leading and the sliding movements. These view pins are identified in a new map in the scenic resources chapter. It is the resources chapter. And the location and removal of adjacent trees was allowed in the corridor. That's how we maintain and make sure they maintain over time. I'll pass it back it Sallie.

Edmunds: Thanks, Jeff. Next slide, please. So one of the issues that got a lot of attention at the planning and sustainability commission. You heard about this from the mayor a few minutes ago. People seemed to think that the city had the authority to establish rules and the city does not. So, i are included a graphic on this slide. This is something we saw from the marine state board. You can see in the image, the board adopt new rules for the south beach area. That includes, they extended a no wake zone to the -- to the -- to the channel that is season a class right this. It is shown as smw extension. They adopted that extension to the wake zone. They al created seasonal past reserve balance directing north and south of the island. That's what is shown in purple. And the past student zones are areas are not limited. But boats are restricted. They also decided to consider another amendment and that's green there, it is this proposed zone. They will consider that and some other measures at their meeting on January 27th. Council members i just wanted to -- to fill you in on what the board is doing. And this is not something that the city has authority to -- to -- to -- to set regulations for. Next slide. Another important part that I mentioned before is tribal engagement collaboration. We -- we met with Laurie throughout the planning process to connect with native people about the south reach. It resulted in a number of changes, in addition to that -- to -- to the intergovernmental draft that I referred to. We also updated the 2035 comprehensive policies to refer to tribal nations and policies that talk about intergovernmental coordination. We added them to the list of entities that are part of intergovernmental coordination, so recognizing them as the government agencies that they are. Next slide. So early in the presentation i also mentioned the tribal summit, so -- so -- at the first tribal summit, there was a roundtable discussion that -- that jose

November 4-5, 2020

ender joined and others in the area. And they encouraged the city to provide protection mechanisms in the outreach and the importance of this area and as a usual and custom gathering and gatherings cultural resources. We hired a professional archaeologist and conducted research in the probability model if where the sources 0 more than likely to be found. This is based on the superfund area. The red areas on the map are the areas that are high probability areas if finding archeological resources. Is there's a position in the plan to require a survey of sites in this area, when there's a ground service permit for 200 square feet or greater. And if -- if a professional archaeologist completes the survey and they find the cultural resource, it is their responsibility to notify the city. Then -- then the city, I believe, takes it up upon -- upon ourselves to notify the preservation office and interested tribes are to make sure and make them all aware of the discovery so that they can engage in the appropriate way to address the situation. The details are in the -- in colanguage of the document and those -- those -- the code is based on similar requirements that -- that have been in place in the Columbia planned district since the 1990s. Thank you.

Caudill: The plan addresses improvements for the neighborhoods. And these are primarily focused on expanding access. Advancing the [indiscernible] development and design. And then in improving transportation facilities and services including more frequent bus service. So here today, you're booking the neighborhood in southeast Portland has a longstanding desire if the connection to the bike and pedestrian, connection it -- connection to Springwater corridor trail and the river. These designs are identified in their 1991 plan and has been talked about in lots of different communications since even before. This project demonstration and the system man that is in the fun at this time. And the questions for -- for the bureau of transportation this year and provides some additional [indiscernible]. Outreach is built and still some gaps and planning on making those final connections. We plan to extend the west side trail and would require additional study and funding. Access to council for boating and swimming. Our plan identifies a new update for users. Halloween development should be designed and built to engage. And it was a frequent topic for staff and community members. Communities in the south reach and zones applied. This is the southwest area. And so project, for example, with -- with -- with the design overlay in there. And so refer as zone to insure that the pathing area was reflected in the city design guidelines related to the river is specifically and this special design guidelines that were adopted in 1985 are recommended to be repealed and replaced with the city guidelines. And there's -- those -- that is expected to come to city council in the spring of 2021. And the sample [indiscernible] district character statement. And that would be adopt within the appeal

November 4-5, 2020

of the district special design guidelines. And both the pse and the design commission providing on the character statement. So just a little bit about what is in the [indiscernible] and we'll find that in volume two of the plan. And they have design character six for future development. Provides community character and some parks and river to the -- to the river as to how projects could respond to topography and development and potential and leases. It also suggests architectural texture. And this is the importance of development that connects poom to the waterfront and providing [indiscernible] trail. The natural resources character section is part of the information and including migrating and the importance of planting trees and the development through the native plantings and the like. They have visual connections to tree resources identified in the plan and preserving the streets that already exist in the reference in the plan district. So with that, we also wanted to highlight some keys that are part of the river plan outreach that will effect properties in the central city. As mentioned before, they're already applied in the central city. And they afford us to go further in the river and the general and river overlays and the updates related to floodplains and the corridor in general as we already discussed. A few updates effect riverfront properties and this is include lanes and being updated to the complexity. So -- is complying with the same is easier. And when it is on tree level, and we discussed it on [indiscernible] and river environment overlay and now apply in the central city. And then the application of the [indiscernible]. Central city was focused basically on river setback. So they were applied where limited. This will also apply. And so again that -- that is specifically on setbacks and the documentation plan and litigation and -- and other [indiscernible] also apply to the central city. Since no updates going to central city sources. And city resources own chapter. They're required in cooperation with [indiscernible] as i discussed before. As part of the map in the scenic resources actually adjusts the central city points as well. And I'm asking what to do with development and redevelopment, you would need it provide you know benches and mines and that sort of thing. This is the actual blueprints. Both are generally away from public property. In some cases the key points identified in the map didn't have the scenic overlay. Without the overlay it wouldn't be triggered so this was applied all the way to the viewpoint. And the changes, we notified the effected central city property as part of our outreach and notification. And with that I will hand it back to Sallie

Edmunds: Thank you, jeff, so that concludes our presentation except if a couple of amendments. We have -- we have -- we have two amendments packages and one is -- is -- included some amendments to the river plant south reach that -- that are -- are minor in nature and they're necessary to increase clarity of regulation and reduce redundancy of the

November 4-5, 2020

actions and update city documents including the comprehensive plan and title 11 so this is a connection between this work in title 11. This are also some amendments to the -- to the greenway inventory that as I mentioned earlier. This is updates to the maps and since that time there's projects in the interim and budget design. We want to be sure that -- excuse me? Commissioner Hardesty.

Hardesty: No worries, I was going to wait until you were done.

Edmunds: Okay. Great. And so -- we'll just need commissioner Hardesty to move this and -- and have someone second and that will be part of the public hearing.

Hardesty: Well let me first just say, thank you Joe, Andrea and Sally and Jeff, we greatly appreciate the information that you provided today. So mayor, I would like to propose a few amendments. The first one is I move to incorporate the amendments included in the October memo from vps staff. And that was the -- the first amendment that you were speaking to, the technical changes due to other updates that we had?

Edmunds: That's right. Commissioner Hardesty, Keelan and -- just sent that around to the various offices, just in case they -- they at any time get a copy of it. It is just referred to as an amendment package.

Hardesty: Excellent. Mayor, if I could move the amendment as presented, based on the memo from the bps staff.

Wheeler: Very good, do we have a second?

Ryan: Second?

Wheeler: We have a second. Commissioner Hardesty, you move the second amendment.

Hardesty: Yes, I do. Keelan would you please call, oh sorry, I didn't mean it yet. We didn't do it yet. So the second one is I like to -- I move to replace the findings with exhibit f.

Wheeler: Is there a second?

Ryan: I second.

Wheeler: Commissioner Ryan second.

Hardesty: And mayor we will need a vote on a second amendment.

Wheeler: Keelan, can you call the roll on the replacement of exhibit f. [roll called].

Wheeler: The amendment is adopted.

Hardesty: We need the commissioner fritz.

Fritz: I voted.

Hardesty: Did you, I missed that. My bad. Go ahead.

Wheeler: She can vote again, I guess. [laughter]. Are there any or amendments that anybody

like to propose at this time?

Hardesty: No, mayor there are no other amendments.

Wheeler: Let's move on, commissioner Hardesty.

Hardesty: Thank you, Mayor Before we start public hearing we have a few invited guests.

Fritz: Excuse me.

Wheeler: Commissioner fritz.

Fritz: I thought we can ask questions.

Hardesty: Yes.

Fritz: Two questions, like -- I don't understand the setback and the environmental zone and the river buffer zone. The setback is only 50 feet but the river environmental zone is 100 feet. What does that mean? What can you do in the second 50 feet and what -- what is the buffer that -- that goes out to 150 feet?

Caudill: That's so -- so, the river setback, that first 50 feet from the bank, you essentially can't do much of anything. We can put trails in that area. But anything that is in the really determined or related can't be built there. So the difference between that setback and then the area that is -- that is individually environmental and environmental has applied it a lot of natural resources in the area and we were declining the tool at minimum of 100 feet. In that additional 50 feet, there are a variety of different requirements that we would have to meet to minimize the impact of the development. It doesn't preclude development there. You would need to account for where it is on the site and design your -- your project to account for that and if you go through review, you need to provide alternatives to demonstrate that you're [indiscernible] there. What kind of buffer is specifically essential to what the floodplain and so, even that area is 170 feet from high water which is actually a -- a little at the top of the bank generally. It is contained by the floodplain. It is very focused on trying to improve habitat in floodplain. We could have -- you know, what kind of buffer you would have in the setback and it might have good environmental and [indiscernible] buffer. It might have been past the buffer area. But in that period of the buffer area, we need -- we have a standard that means a loss of mitigation. In the buffer that standard is higher. You need it show in addition to what is lost and all of the other subplain functions. Those three subplain functions show significant improvement in one of those three. It is raising the bar higher because of the importance of that area in the floodplain. And this draws specifically from the biological opinion.

Fritz: Thank you. I happen -- I had time to -- to get here through the materials this detail. In the river, is that from posed code language for the river environmental zone?

Caudill: Yes.

Fritz: Does it include -- does it include -- I know in the -- in the environmental zone there's standard to say how much development you can have in an environmental zone. Is there a maximum amount that can up has between the setback and the edge of the zone.

Caudill: We do have standards. We're changing. But it doesn't create in the same way as the zone that you refer to because that's just a general development. The development area that is in the environment. We have a standard that -- that draws on that same approach that is associated with residential development. And that's because we have -- we have to do that in June. Larger developments would two through river review. We don't have a general development standard like in the zone except if development. Pretty much everything is going to go through the process and as I said, I identified all kinds of analysis and work with pbs in insuring litigation on impacts.

Fritz: That's helpful. Second question, you mentioned the study to look for swimming beaches. How would that be different from the study that we did under the mayor when I was in charge of parks?

Caudill: I think it would be very similar. Look at the area again and the best location and the wall where I think it was a zoom to provide more information on what the concept is. It is to look at safety and -- you know, [indiscernible] and habitat and things if that. It would be different if potential sites in the region and identify priority areas.

Fritz: Yeah.

Edmunds: Excuse me. It is just that -- that the study we did for -- if -- for -- for mayor was under -- was just a central city and this would be for the south reach in particular. This very similar study.

Fritz: I see. Thank you. That's helpful. Last question is about process. What is the plan for -- if you have the hearing here to have public testimony, what is the thinking on the way forward for this item?

Edmunds: I was going to get to that at the end but we have two other sessions scheduled in December. Is our plan was to have the -- the -- the oral record closed after the hearing and have the written record open until the end of the day next Wednesday. And -- and then they have another council session on -- than -- on December second, evening -- yes, December second and then come back on December ninth again.

Fritz: Thank you, I appreciate you saying that so I'm in the panicking and trying to do my homework before the end of the meeting. Thank you, I appreciate your questions.

November 4-5, 2020

Hardesty: Any other questions before we move to invited testimony? So, so -- so -- so first let me turn to the commissioner of sustainability.

Mike Houck: Good afternoon.

Hardesty: Good afternoon.

Houck: I'm really pleased to be here representing the planning sustainability commission, to urge your adoption of -- of the plan and the amendments we come up with. I have to say that -- that I worked with -- with planning staff back in 1884 to 87 doing the original inventory. I would hardly agree it is high time that we have an update to the greenway plan. Sally did a great job of outlining what the vision is and Jeff covered a lot of the stuff that was really important to the commission to -- to implement that vision, including increasing river setback from 25 to 50 feet. If any of you have walked the greenway, especially since -- through the Johns Landing area, you can definitely appreciate the need if increasing that setback to at least 50 feet and many people argue it should be beyond that. Tree removal is critical. Updating the floodplains that Jeff referred to an requiring low impact exterior lighting. The amendments that we came up with included expanding the river environmental zone it 50 feet to minimum of 150 feet. Enhancing trees by reducing the threshold at 1 1/2 inches of the setback and 1 1/2 inches dbh and height. And three inches dbh elsewhere. Enhanced protection for the extended compliance requirements that Jeff referred to. And the new standards if residential docs and -- and we decided we knew, as we're planning for the commission that the city does not have -- have authority jurisdiction of wake. However, we felt from the perspective of equity of climate change and -- and environmental issues, which the marine board -- really did not consider, that it was -- it was in our purview to suggest it the city that the city work with the marine board to establish this along the zen between the -- between the [indiscernible] and the round powers marine park. The plan also includes 115 mind binding actions that we implement the goals and policies of the plan. Such as create -- creation of and funding and integrated management plan if Ross Island, and the ecosystem. Again advocating to the marine board to establish a wake zone, increasing access to and along the greenway trail and -- and as was mentioned by Sally, collaboration with tribal nations and urban native communities to enhance south reach natural areas and increase the viability of culturally and ecologically plans. And it was written in the testimony and numerous neighborhood open houses that Portlanders treasure the river. And we heard repeated and passionate testimony to better protect the river's natural resources and provide equitable and environmental sensitive access to the river. Among myriad issues that were raised at the psc. I expect you to hear for example recommendations to actually expand

November 4-5, 2020

the setback to 100 feet. Increase enforcement of removal. And species that were known and sport for and concern about establishing this wake zone. As yet mentioned i think would pfc have joint hearings and working groups to amend the citywide design guidelines, through the design overlays and the amendment process. That effort resulted in a recommendation to repeal the corridor design and the design standard. The guidelines and creation of what you heard of the calendar statement. I have to say as an aside getting the design commission together with the pfc was an incredibly valuable experience and i hope we continue to do that with the -- with the design commission in the future as well as other commissions. Finally, i know that eli our chair would want me to reiterate the pse's gratitude to the hundreds of Portlanders who contribute to the plan and staff from bps parks and pbot and office of government relations and tribal relations in the county, all of whom contributed to the development of the plan. We want to give special recognition to bps staffer Debbie Bishop who was mentioned early and recently retired for her work in neighborhoods on both sides of the river and just on a person note i would like to extend my thanks to Sallie Edmunds and jeff who you heard from for really going above and beyond working with the pfc to answer our questions and to address -- to address the concerns that we raised in the amendments. With that, we're hopeful you'll adopt much needed update to the -- to the greenway, including our amendments. Thank you.

Hardesty: Thank you, so much, Mike. I'll see if my colleagues have any questions for you. I'm not seeing hands raised. You gently recommended that we -- that we fund and advocate at the -- at the -- at marine board level. I just wanted you to give me more details about what you're hoping the city council will be able to do.

Houck: As I said. We understand that -- that the city doesn't have authority over -- of -- over wake zones. However, we as the pse in the city have to address issues like goal 15, issues like climate change. All of the issues that -- that the marine board doesn't consider. That was the basis for which we decided it was in our purview as -- as the planning and I want to emphasize sustainability commission that is -- that is -- use of the river is sustainability issue as well as safety. So we decided based on all of the testimony. We had overwhelming testimony in favor of the lake zone and from the bridge and many people suggested it should go beyond that. We felt that the biggest area of conflict was -- was around ross island between the two bridges. What we were hoping and in fact the amendment we submitted which is included in the action items in the plan the city would both -- both through council and enter city staff, work with the marine board over time to -- it to establish this zone between the hot one and -- and the bridges. The pass through zone is certainly better than -- better than the status quo. We have great concerns

November 4-5, 2020

over its enforceability and it is only in place for portions of the year.

Hardesty: I wanted to make sure it was on the record that clearly we had no jurisdiction over this. So advocating is one thing and I do not want to imply that we're investing resources. I want sure we don't leave that impression. Commissioner ryan?

Ryan: Mike, thank you for your service to the city. You mentioned a removal of invasive species and for those inquiring minds can you name one or between of the most invasive species?

Houck: The most significant, everybody knows is Himalayan back berry and ivy obviously, positions like that. If you again like the greenway through john's landing there, you will see that -- that the habitat has been severely impacted and people have in fact gone in and mowed everything down and then have not replaced with native species. That's one of our chief concerns. You'll hear testimony it that effect ads well. That's one of the most important aspects of the plan is to get a handle on that. And get real habitat along the banks of the river.

Ryan: Thanks. Appreciate it.

Hardesty: Thank you so much. Next we're going to hear from the bds director Mike Jordan. Welcome.

Mike Jordan: Thank you, Commissioner. Excuse me Mr. Mayor. I'm here for comments and from the perspective and primarily absolutely in support of the plan that you're considering today. The one that changed significantly over the last between centuries of colonization and will change significantly in the future due to climate change and continued growth in the Portland area. We witness the degradation. This is addressing past damage while protecting you for the future. The plan strengthens from techses in flood plane and creates along riverbank and slow down and attenuate flood. These protects people a their protect and cultural and natural resources including salmon that are safer. The plains support the community to improve the ecological health of the river. The south reach plan builds on the investments and the environmental services has made and is making to keep a cleaner and healthier river. We seen people enjoying and recreating in the river. In the southeast part, it is safer to get on and near the river and it is accessible by public transport. This could be a place enjoyed by all and not just those that are on the shores and buy boats. This plan furthers opportunities to open access to nature for everyone. The south reach plan changes the [indiscernible] versus the environment. They streamline and simplify some development while improving the environment both in river and in setback area. The city's river planning efforts are vital important to achieving a healthier river for people and fish and wildlife and to improve community and ecological resiliency. It impacts the river and river front. They strive to be a strong partner with the bureau of planning

November 4-5, 2020

and sustainability. In its planning processes and fully supports the south reach maps and important land use changes to protect habitat and provide better protections against -- against -- against flooding. And -- and climate change. Strengthen enforcement measures and make the river healthy. It protects the river as the ecological centerpiece of the city and insures that the river remains a place of respite even as Portland grows. I want to thank the staff that brought this plan to fruition. I want to thank Kaitlyn from the b.e.s. Staff and her work on this -- on this and -- and -- and certain my support more consideration of the plan. We'll take any questions. Thank you.

Hardesty: Thank you very much. Are there any questions for Mike? Thank you Mike, appreciate your support here. And I want to welcome tribal relations director Laura John.

Laura John: Thank you. Thank you commissioners. My name is Laura John. I'm pleased to be invited here today to talk about how tribal relations supported this work. You would have to say that bps is one of the first bureaus that we thought was higher in 2017. They were enthusiastic and ready to get to work. Want to improve their practices and their products to insure that the property represented protection of cultural resources and -- and their interest of tribal nations. I know regularly if a strategy and we need the need of bps and a process that would than courage engagement with bps as well as build a city and tribal relations. I want to thank the bureau of sustainability for the resolution that was passed and we would formalize agreements with the governments. The bureaus efforts insure the plan with tribal governance prior to the comment period was an example, genuine and meaningful action that recognized their sovereignty and their status as governments. The involvement in the drafting of this plan responds to early feedback that the city received requesting early and frequent involvement in projects that intersects with tribal governance. Another example as Sally mentions, the tribal officials attended a vote that was hosted by Mayor Wheeler. Today it is significant to report to you all that the determines of the tribes of Indians and the [indiscernible] tribe and the council member from the grand [indiscernible] tribe and the Acma nation. There was excellent feedback from these delegates and we received communication from them after. The feedback I received from the charts that attended was overwhelmingly positive. We felt it was a genuine gesture and also mentioned as they do frequently that was the first time that a local government reached out in that manner. They looked for participation. And I hear on the report that DPS has strategies to relate to the urban community. That planning resulted in community conversation that was held at the Portland State University at the student center with key stake holders from the -- from the Portland tribal community. Overall, the feedback gathered by PBS and tribal government and

November 4-5, 2020

urban community was fruitful and had additions to the final plan. As I conclude my comments I want to take a moment to express my admiration to the plan team. As each of us know, teams can be uncomfortable. Identifying and changing the process that has historically marginalized people is in the comfortable. I want to thank Debbie who has retired and Sally and Jeff for the many hours that they dedicated to working with the tribal relations program to develop this plan. Many lessons were learned that is now informing BTR's work as well as work done by other bureaus. Thank you again for the opportunity of the outcomes of providing support and guidance to the outreach and planning team. I look forward to the future projects.

Hardesty: Any questions for Laura John? In the seeing any. Thank you so much. I always appreciate your report back that shows how really -- we're really deepening relationships between tribal communities and -- and the folks for the rest of us. Thank you so much. Greatly appreciate that. Let me again say thank you to all of the speakers for your help with this plan and your service to the city of Portland. I'll turn it back over to the mayor.

Wheeler: Thank you commissioner Hardesty and thanks to Michael, Mike, and Laura. Thank you for reminding us all about the boat tour. That was actually a lot of fun. It was not only important in terms of educating that particular area. And I think we had -- we have a really great afternoon. One thing I remember is that's probably the hottest day in the last two years, there was a real breeze even on the river. We were particularly grateful for having stocked it well with water. We drank it all and then the problem we have delivering along with it. That was a great community going experience. It was -- it was really one of my best memories and going back on my first four years as mayor. So that concludes that the formal part of the presentation, now we're going to get to open public testimony. Keelan how many folks do we have signed up?

Clerk: Looks like we have 13 people.

Wheeler: Okay. That's good. Right on schedule, three minutes each and name if the record, please.

Clerk: First up, we have Dr. Anna Bar.

Dr. Anna Bar: Thank you. Can you hear me?

Wheeler: Sound good.

Dr. Bar: Congratulations on your re-election, mayor Wheeler.

Wheeler: Thank you.

Dr. Bar: I urge you to take a look at the plans 250-foot and 25-story buildings by a Texas developer with just the minimum setback on the river. This would be two buildings on the waterfront. This is not a good idea. The buildings excess height would shade the greenway

November 4-5, 2020

and teller over the public park. The south waterfront has basically one way in and out because one lane is taken up. The traffic adding the units will hurt access for patients and I.a. Employees. Amanda Fritz wisely voted no. Commissioners Ryan and Hardesty, I urge you to take a closer look at this development and approve a modest height development like the six-story development approved in 2017. Let's think about what is best for the river and Portlanders and not what is best if Texas developers. Thank you so much. Have a great day.

Clerk: Next up we have William Savery.

Clerk: Are you able to unmute?

William Savery: Can I have a delay.

Wheeler: We can hear you. Do you want to delay for some reason?

Savery: Yes, I would.

Wheeler: Okay. Keelan can you call it.

Clerk: Sure, in problem.

Clerk: Next up we have Willie Levenson.

Wheeler: Hello lilian. Lilian you're still muted.

Clerk: If you could star six on your phone.

Wheeler: Okay. Great.

Levenson: Can you hear me?

Wheeler: Yes.

Levenson: This is Willie Levenson from the human access project. Can you hear me okay?

Wheeler: Yeah, you sound good.

Levenson: Thank you. I like -- I like -- and human access project is happy with this plan.

Mentioned the first time i witnessed a planning document. And you know, there's -- there's -- there's a team of environmental activists working on -- on different goals and access projects and clearly I've spoken to the recreation as we work with the other partners. I like to thank Sally and Debbie who has done a great service for the city. They did a great job.

Wheeler: You faded out, are you still there? We can't hear you. Is it just me. Can anyone else hear him?

Hardesty: No. He faded.

Wheeler: Willie I think we just lost him. Let's try and get willie back later. We must have a bad connection.

Clerk: Okay next we have Jeanne Galick.

Jeanne Galick: Can you hear me?

Wheeler: Yes.

Galick: And my name is Jeanne Galick. Thank you for being here. This is quite a tumultuous time. And thank you staff. I've been deeply involved with greenway issues for decades. And the support is outreach plan and with modifications. A larger setback is a commitment to the future. I urge you to increase it to 100 feet. I like to see removal of 33 [indiscernible] which is -- allows -- allows encroachments. There's in good reason to allow even a five plain encroachment in the setback. The suggested quid pro quo won't appear in function and the public -- and the -- or in the [indiscernible]. The goal is to increase the setback, not to fragment it. I would like to see height and f.a.r. On any existing properties that are within the greenway setback to the 2018 size. Any increase should require outside the setback, again trying to increase it. We need to strengthen the requirements along with the greenway. The plan calls for a new or replacement trees to be only a half inch in diameter but any tree less than 1 1/2 it be cut down. It is a catch 22. Instead require trees to be larger than 1 1/2 inches in diameter or have a maintenance plan to insure that trees get established and can thrive. Removal of species should require other species, otherwise this is a loophole that is used all the time in the south Portland area. They allow them to be mowed down legally and they don't replant. We need enforcement of the regulations. So some via las vegas took four or more years to be resolved. This presumes public knowledge of the code and staff response and these are both very unrealistic. Manning is needed for better oversight. The plan, the commission pointed out our new character statement is weak and lacking specifics that could guide development, particularly among the greenway. We would like to see work done on the character statement. And our land use chair will speak more directly to this. I submitted really detailed testimony online and with photos, so I hope you all will look at it. Thank you.

Clerk: Next up Michael Kaplan.

Wheeler: Hi Michael. Michael I think you just muted yourself. This why you go.

Michael Kaplan: And I'm Michael Kaplan the president of the south neighborhood association. Congratulations mayor wheeler on your re-election and commissioner Ryan and your election.

Wheeler: Thank you.

Kaplan: So I'm just going to highlight and amplify a few things with genie who is on the board and indicated what Jim Gardner will further amplify. First we're deeply appreciative of the hard work that is going on with this man. As our neighborhood has more than two miles of rural front access the updated code and plans will have a major impact on us. However we did ask you to consider some modifications. Please increase the greenway setback to 100 feet. The current

November 4-5, 2020

narrow path on the west side of the river is not sustainable and even 50 feet won't do the job. Please consider increasing it 100 feet. There's -- there's significant ecological value, including fish habitats and over 80 percent in the watershed is ranked as high or medium natural resource. And 75 percent of the land and water is designated as special habitat area. Again because of the high resource value and the recreation use, the south reach merits more than the proposed minimum 50-foot setback. 1985, a 25-foot setback may have appeared generous. Look at the setback now. This can accommodate current pedestrian users. The larger setback is a condition for the future and this will some way enlarge and better sustain people in a healthier habitat. On network and design, we suggest you move it to height and f.a.r. Of any properties in the setback to their -- to their 2018 size. The property wishes to increase its height or f.a.r., it should be required to move outside the setback. Please don't allow any new encroachments into the greenway. We're to be supportive of the new landscaping regulations but as already indicated enforcement is desperately needed. Staff regularly said they don't have the manpower or budget to do this. We look to you to find a way to make this happen. Thank you very much.

Wheeler: Thank you, Michael.

Clerk: Next up Erin Patterson.

Erin Patterson: Hi, there. Can you hear me?

Wheeler: Yes.

Patterson: Thank you for allowing me to speak. My name is Erin Patterson. I'm a homeowner association of more than 100 members, a democrat and mom. South reach is the hub of -- of greater regulation. That was to be inclusive of all activities. Mayor wheeler in the area that the main goal makes the removalables and they to so based on these recommendations such as south beach. The city is responsible for the recommendations and i wanted to speak to that. The line board specifically noted to making a recent movement to support the city at the last meeting. Dps provided this for the zone that could cause safety issues. It has been noted and not inclusive to all users. This is what the city is asking for. We need to discuss the implications. This is directly on the outreach plan. They have a large sequence. That's not fair play. If the city doesn't want or support this or have position to the plan based on this recommendation, it should be from the south beach plan. This plan doesn't support everyone for the goal. This is recreation for [indiscernible]. There's also little evidence aside from some arbitrary opinions if our city to propose limiting public space for the benefit of one user and take away equal user rights from our tax paying citizens. I heard some trying to say it is if safety. Portland fire and rescue made it clear there are no safety concerns to support this. The proposal could increase safety

November 4-5, 2020

issues and congestion in other parts of the river. I'm asking dps to be accountable. And that warnings of the proposals are [indiscernible] faith. Transparency, dps is up front with some of their intentions. They have transportation and swimming over motorized traffic on the river or in a tricky way we provide a swimming area and therefore force your hand. I've spoken with several businesses that are only effected by the rulings on the river and they're not actively engaged in the testimony. And in order to not follow up, i have concern about the diverse audience needed it make a safe plan. The business plan has stake holders involved in the man. And this could be if all users. The city should not continue to push forward a narrow agenda. Portland is about equality and the city is accountable for that. There's support for education and everyone that enjoys the river. Not just motorized boats but everyone on the river. This leads to greater safety. The steps from the state are attributed to nonmotorized activities. Overall, the decision is made to support the group that could plan to effect the ability for the public it access the river is divisive and and more congestion. Thank you so much for your time.

Wheeler: Thank you Erin for you perspective, appreciate it.

Clerk: Next up is Andy Moos

Wheeler: Welcome.

Andy Moos: Can you hear me?

Wheeler: Awesome. Great.

Moos: I'm Andy Moos with the jet boat experience. This is not open to all users. Portland especially during this time of covid needs to promote tourism. This was on the committee that put this together. Mayor just mentioned how much fun he had when he took out many that were on the [indiscernible]. We were used to serving with the planners and never told that the route we were doing was being used to put us out of business. In a tourist destination for 20 years. We have school kids and many are Portland kids and the only way for many kid and underprivileged and disabled people to experience the water and thousands of kid in the programs and utilize our services. Such a low rate area would facilitate. It would be for future areas. They cannot make exemptions if use currently because of what rules are in place and that super creed any ruling that would include exemptions. Putting restrictions basically eliminates the ability for the motorized community to use the votes. And the no leg zone would take an hour each way for transit proposed 3 1/2 mile area. And many boats that were upriver and staying solid to go down river would take an hour just to go down and then to come back another hour. That's way too long if a motorized boat. This is jurisdictional lakes and please remove this section and let them rain board and what they do best. Thank you.

November 4-5, 2020

Wheeler: Thank you Andy, appreciate it.

Clerk: We have Josh Hetrick.

Wheeler: Hi, Josh.

Josh Hetrick: Hello. I'm speaking on behalf of the Brooklyn neighborhood. Like to thank staff and community members that contributed to this plan. We support many elements and we submitted details in writing on the specifics. Overall, we recommend council does not approve the plan as it stands now. We knew the current actions toward restoring the access during the time frame is not enough it fulfill the vision of the plan. We're asking for funding from Brooklyn's urban access to be moved to the next five year's time frame. As you may know, Brooklyn is the only neighborhood in the area that has direct access to the river. The river runs through but not to our neighborhood. There are in direct safe routes to the river for biking and walking and especially if all ages and abilities. Next years are critical and we need a low carbon future. And direct a pedestrian section to spaces and this is an important part of the future. Over the last 80 years or so, they saw the reduction and not eliminated. And we see this process, the plan's current timeline in six to 20 years, sounds a lot like 20 years which sound like never. And the effort to reestablish the success was done before i was born. I'm around age 40. My neighbors that are my age, with this timeline they may see our kid graduate from high school before the plans happen, let alone access fully reestablished. This includes river access. If our 20-year plan means something. We believe it makes commitment from previous plans. We acknowledge the difficulty of achieving us. We look forward to being at the table as we solve them. Thank you.

Clerk: Next up we have Jeffrey Lang.

Wheeler: Welcome.

Jeffrey Lang: Where did all this come from. 1973, National Geographic magazine did a feature article reporting or agreeing with effort to save the river. Most pollute add filthiest river in the pacific northwest. This article came out and i and everyone -- used to sit down near the park pier and swimming and also in clean clean-up days they moved cars from the Atlantic river from the bridge. We've come a long way. Since the governor's call bob stroud and made this legislation and creating green ordinances and goals to begin the healing and the embarrassment the river had become. The river plan is an important consideration of this work. And tribal nations responsible politicians and citizen acts and the public sector employees empowered to car out mandated legislation to protect the river. I support the plan. Let's follow the science. The greenway has become the way for citizens of Portland to experience the natural and the scenic world. Many neighborhoods touched the greenway so citizens can find an oasis for the waste

November 4-5, 2020

and congestion and hubbub and the world. Number two, i hike to suggest another placard along the greenway that would would describe the nations. Specifically that would be there for future generations to learn and respect them. To protect and enforce the greenway regulations related to illegal dumping, habitat protection and basic hygiene. It is our national park. It is a greenway that needs attention. [indiscernible]. Lastly, number four, and lance -- and retail from the greenway in the parks abutting the [indiscernible]. This allows citizens to commune and recreate and experience the greenway. Escaping ungettered a growth of Portland's builds worlds. The lastly, i would suggest that we -- that we eliminate any building in the environmental zone. We -- we -- we have a middle tier that commissioner fritz asked staff about. If we had done that, we wouldn't have had the controversy that we did over the Manhattan percentage he can't to -- to be more from the areas that we're discussing. So we make that -- that 50 feet which is right after the 50 feet in the bank of the river from the greenway. Fulton boulevard, [indiscernible] and i've been involved in greenway advocacy since the -- since the early 1990s. Thanks for the opportunity there. To speak today.

Wheeler: Thanks Mr. Lang.

Clerk: Next up, we have Bob Sallinger.

Wheeler: Welcome.

Bob Sallinger: I'm Bob Sallinger and the director for the Audubon society. I'm here it express my appreciation to staff and sally and her crew for the work on this. It is a good plan and we have recommendations and we're supportive of this plan. A lot of work has been done. I'm been involved with this since river renaissance. Give you perspective on that, that was two years before my first child was born. He's now in college. And the scary thing is we're only two third of the way through. They divided it into northeast central and the southeast now and the northeast we still have to go. I think we need it think hard about how we find a way to expedite these complicated processes and facilitate real public involvement and also move them forward at much faster timeline. As earlier speaker noted. It cannot take generations to get this done. So i did hope you you look carefully at the timeline and the implementation and al that we get the north reach done soon as well. We return that. And this has been a 20-year process. A couple of recommendations that we make, can i ask for speakers, we also support 100 feet yard green way. We think that's essential if providing for the recreational and ecological opportunities that we need on the river, 50 feet is just too small, especially with you're putting in bikeways. In order to protect and restore the river, it need to be 100 feet. Second we need mechanisms to reduce development in the greenway. This doesn't have that. To that degree, it is a paper victory. We

November 4-5, 2020

expand it on paper but unless we have regulations that reduce development over time, it really is not going to make a difference. That's a piece of unfinished business that we think is very important. We're very supportive of the -- of the strategies associated with the flood plains biological opinions. Those regulations are a result by Portland over a decade ago to make sure that the development would be protected and the species. Portland is leading on this effort. All cities in Oregon have to update their regulations to -- to -- to respond to those opinions and Portland is leading the way. It is also important that tomorrow when you view the fall bump vote, that machine that is in there to continue this work in other places outside the south reach remain there. There's funding for that work. That's important. This is the first step. I want to show you the enforcement issue. We don't have adequate enforcement on situations where people cut vegetation or put in legal docs. The last I want to put before you is Waverly country club. It is a site that has tremendous restoration opportunity along the river. It is really degraded along that stretch. Right now the city is providing urban services to Waverly country club and hasn't been annexed to the city. It is with the city, without the obligations as being part of the city's planning processes. That's unacceptable. It is a bad deal for the city and our river. So we strongly encourage the city to work with the voters of Waverly country club in the county to insure that if they're going to get the benefits of being part of the city services that they should also be -- be accountable to city regulations. So that's a big opportunity area that we see as -- that we see as again, unfinished business from the plan. And we appreciate the work you've done and appreciate it moving forward.

Wheeler: Thanks, appreciate it.

Clerk: Next up John Karabaic.

John Karabaic: I'm John and resident of Brooklyn. I'm an avid kayaker on the river. The problem I have is it takes prep for me to do any kayaking on the river because of the nature of the neighborhood of the -- of the Brooklyn neighborhood. 50 years ago we had our river access removed by McLoughlin avenue and at the top by Powell and bordered by home gate and on the east by the railroad tracks. It is hard to get access to this neighborhood. We appreciate this plan will improve access and it doesn't to it soon enough. There's unmet promises from the city on this. It would be nice if it was moved. Next year is the 50th anniversary of the loss of our river access and the 40th anniversary of loss of funding to restore the 40th anniversary of a plan that tried to put together a plan to -- to restore it. The park in the current plan is important to the Brooklyn neighborhood. I hope it gets moved up in priority and becomes part of the five-year goals. Thanks, that was it.

November 4-5, 2020

Clerk: Next up we have Paige Stoyer.

Paige Stoyer: Can you hear me?

Wheeler: Loud and clear.

Stoyer: Thank you for allowing me to talk with you today. I'm here to testify in response to the outreach plan and as it relates to river access and safety and facilities for people on the riverbank. This has been very one-sided. The plan is inappropriately prioritizing one group of river users in the voting public. And dp's planning process has lacked transparency and it is intentionally defective. The cited concerns that led to the request for the restrictions are sport bid evidence. We've been working around the clock. I want to clarify as well which is that nobody I've spoken to believes that the city -- that the city has [indiscernible]. Many people have been responding to what is -- what dps is clear agenda in terms of river access. They said at the last meeting they felt they needed to pass because of city and the city's requests for the voting restrictions. They have been engaged in the process. And the senior planner Debbie bishop was on the committee that i was on. This is like all of the critical issues we face. My deep concerns over the actions here in Oregon in relation to public access and safety along the river. I cannot stand by and watch what I know has been a very deeply biased decision that remains that will forever change public access in the river. Including those that will create public safety issues on the water. That's something Portland fire and rescue has repeatedly said. It will be submitted and a lot in detail and in writing as well. During my short time, i want to hit on a few reasons why the city lost the brakes on the plans and relates to riverfront facilities and recreational river until the documented issues of lack of than clusion and policy are fixed. As a mom who grew up with preschool and I am a member in Oregon and I'm Greenpeace out of college. This has not been a transparent process. There's steps for Andrea Durbin. She wants to sign for the board and she ended up moving her endorsement because of that. There's a number of issues here. I will not have the opportunity to say them all in person, I will send them in writing.

Wheeler: We look forward to that. Keelan, how many more folks to testify?

Clerk: Looks like we have three -- three more.

Wheeler: Very good, thank you.

Clerk: Next up we Jeff Malley.

Wheeler: Jeff, are you still muted?

Jeff Malley: Can you hear me?

Wheeler: Yes. There you go.

Malley: Yes, I'm Jess Malley, I represent the landings condominiums I'm also representing the

November 4-5, 2020

president of the landing boat club off McAdam. At the end of the boundary is the condos that were built in 1980 and 79 and in a time frame, 96 units and -- then there's the greenway and then -- then the landing boat club. Knows of you who know that area and the walk en, all day long people stop at that point and look out and enjoy the river and enjoy the boats and join the scenery. Our only interest, main interest is that -- is that -- with the plans that -- and there aren't drastic changes to this point and this area. Secondly, way back in the 80s we began trimming the landscape and so forth and now in recent years sharing with the boat club we work with Ethan Brown, city planner in development services and each year we ask under the guidelines of the city to keep that area trimmed. We want to we -- we are in support of the south reach, but we want to make sure that it isn't drastically changed, that particular area. And -- we thank you.

Wheeler: Thank you

Clerk: Next we are going to circle back to William Savery.

Wheeler: Alright, William let's try it again, are you ready.

Savery: I'd like to withdrawn what I was going to say. Sorry to take up any time.

Wheeler: Are you sure you didn't want it say something?

Savery: Yes, I am. Thank you.

Wheeler: All right. Thank you we appreciate your participation today.

Clerk: The last person we have is Willie Levenson who had trouble with the connection.

Wheeler: Welcome back Willie, hopefully we won't lose you again.

Willie Levenson: Yeah, well, I've got 2 bars. Just a reminder of how much I miss being face to face. Technology. Anyways, I want to thank Mike Houck, we are all linked in the change. How Portland has been overindustrialized and it needs to be a retro fit to how it was before. Tom McCall made a bit impact. This is a critical piece in the chain and there's a number of people in the environmental community that will continue in the chain and fight for our river. And Mike Jordan, amen to all of the comments you made. Touched my heart. The one thing I know like intentionally forget, parks and recreation. But there is -- there is a very clear connection between recreation and stewardship. Being able to partner with parks has more access points get created is critical. I love the fact that there's going to be a beach study and hopeful that this project can be avoided in the process. It is clear Portlanders don't have impact on that. I sense the marine boards. They look to jurisdictions to how they want their waterways danielled. I would say that we want to -- we want them it be accessible and welcoming to all people. It restricts for a lot of people. I like motor boats but how can we share the space. On this level, when it started ten years ago in my mind, I dreamed of a day that would be fighting on how to show a river. It shows

November 4-5, 2020

more people looking to the river for recreation. We took this spot. How do we find a space for use. I would say St. Johns is great for that. We create priorities. Last my, there's going to be a legislative fix that is needed. Nothing happens in a vacuum. This is human access strongly supports. They're going to provide very affordable fares for people in a motorized fashion. You'll be able to get to work and the quality of life of being in a bus or boat is tremendous. I want to put that in. Outside of that a big thanks to the whole planning crew and to all of my friend when fight and care very deeply about the river. Thanks.

Wheeler: Thank you Willie, glad the phone held out for you.

Levenson: Yeah thanks. Sorry about that.

Clerk: We have one other person signing up. Jim Gardner.

Jim Gardner: Great. I'm 2930, southwest second. And I'm in the land use chair of the south Portland neighborhood association. My comments today will focus on the character statement that's part of the southreach plan. These are a new tool. They're designed to guide development in places where the current code had design districts. They each had design guidelines. Having worked with those, [indiscernible] for many years. We're reluctant to see them disappear. We believe it need modifications. This is to protect the public access and create a more inviting experience. And [indiscernible] along the greenway should be lower than elsewhere, no more than 45 feet. And those facing the greenway should be encouraged to step back to help minimize their visual massing. And then in the greenway setback should not be allowed period. What happens along McAdam is important, especially in the residential area west of McAdam. The character statement doesn't have the specificity necessary. They have three distinct areas. They have and will need different limits on density. This is a rise in height. It is a rational transition from the high-rise on the waterfront. And further south, it has a more lower cast character. Here it will have a greater impact on the small scale residential community. McAdam's, the state sections don't have different guidelines in the character statement, then development of the same type and size, could be anywhere along dekalb. This we erase the distinctions between these areas and create much greater that homogenization in our area and Portland itself. Getting back to principles. The comp plan, the new one in policy 4.3 encourages development that responds it and enhances the positive qualities of the site and -- and the context. The variety is a critical part of our city's charm. A character statement for the corridor to proceed better protection for the greenway and it should also better describe the different context along McAdam in its different stretches. Spna is eager it work with staff to incorporate our concerns into a revised character statement. That's to allay an adoption of the plan to allow

November 4-5, 2020

the staff. Thank you today for your attention and happy it respond to questions.

Wheeler: Thank you. Jim, appreciate it.

Hardesty: I wanted to let Jim know we're not voting today. This will be back at city council on, on December 2nd.

Wheeler: Correct. No decisions today, Jim.

Gardner: But will there be any revisions to man between now and December?

Wheeler: Time will tell. Here's our chance to absorb. Commissioner fritz.

Fritz: I would like to request before the next hearing if there's more conversation between the neighborhood and the planning sustainability about the statement, I'm confirmed in hearing the testimony and I'm also wondering, I have a question about that and then another question. So we currently have -- we have design guidelines in the central reach, why would we not have the same ones in the outreach.

Wheeler: Sallie can you answer that or someone from staff?

Edmunds: When we adopted the central city plan, we repealed the greenway design guidelines for the city and are instead using the central city guidelines for that. We were not planning to keep the design guidelines or the greenway in the south reach because they are -- because we have standards and other tools. I welcome -- I welcome Stephanie batman or -- or cassia billow or jeff to chime in.

Fritz: Maybe someone could respond to the concern that Jim Gardner raised. How is the future council going to know what is appropriate for a building on McAdam corridor vice versa?

Cassie Ballew: Hi, commissioner Fritz. Cassie here.

Joe Zehnder: Cassie, you are hard to hear.

Ballew: Might be my microphone.

Wheeler: No, sounds good now.

Ballew: It's okay?

Wheeler: Yeah, that's better.

Ballew: So the character statement is designed to work in conjunction with the citywide design guidelines. It's supposed to separate guideline one. This is all, of course, yet to be adopted, as jeff mentioned in his presentation. But the idea with the character statement it would provide guidance for McAdam corridor and riverfront for development to enhance any contextual guidelines that were in the citywide design guidelines. So it's supposed to not replace any regulations for the greenway but it's supposed to be in addition to. Hopefully, I'm answering your question. To Jim's point, the comments about the difference in heights and development

November 4-5, 2020

density throughout McAdam corridor, has been a constant discussion. And one of the reasons we did not specify heights within the character statement was one, design overlay can't regulate heights or f.a.r. And so we tried to not specifically address, speak to that in the character statement but instead speak topography and differences in character along the boulevard. You think there's always room for improvement. And i would be willing to work with sbna, of course, to add on and improve that. I would also add the citywide design guidelines would further add regulations to how development masking and density are dealt with along major corridors, including McAdam.

Fritz: Thank you, that's helpful. And just again a process question. I think that the design guidelines aren't meant to come back until next year.

Ballew: That's right.

Fritz: So are we adopting the character statement as part of this plan?

Ballew: We are adopting the character statement as part of this plan. But, the ordinance, I think there's two ordinances. Correct me, sally, if i get this wrong. There's two effective dates. One is for the main plan, the second for the McAdam design recommendations which includes the character statement so the second would take effect after those have been approved or adopted.

Fritz: Okay. Either way we have some months. I appreciate your willingness to meet with Jim and the neighborhood association to see if there could be refinements. That's helpful. I have a different question, regarding the setback. The plan proposes to increase the setback from the river from 25 feet to 50 feet. How was that determined? What was the rationale for 50 feet versus 100 feet?

Caudill: We started with just looking at what was adopted at central city. We did evaluate additional distances and impacts on property within. A setback really limits what you can do. There are a handful of properties that are narrow. With a setback it would basically be completely within the setback. The setback we are asking for, mix between 50 foot setback environmental -- [indiscernible] those three working together provide significant protections. But without -- also reducing the number of parcels that would be completely within the setback.

Fritz: So it seems a shame to set the regulations to the most constrained sites. Would there not be a way to have a larger setback with exceptions for reasonable amounts of development like we have in the environmental zones? Was there consideration for something like that.

Caudill: There were considerations for different setbacks. I'm not sure i understand. Existing

November 4-5, 2020

development it was really just specifically trying to find a balance between, to preclude development on a good number of properties that could result in us having to address that legally.

Fritz: Thank you. If you could send me the map of which properties wouldn't be able to accommodate the bigger setback. I'm really interested to see if there are some sites where it would easily accommodate a greater setback as we discovered with the alamo and other development. 50 feet, a very narrow space to accommodate everything. And really, if you put a big building next to it, it impacts the greenway experience. Anyway, thank you, jeff for the answer. And thank you, Cassie for your answer on the previous question and something we will continue to discuss with the neighborhood association. I have one final question.

Caudill: One more comment. Also working with neighborhood development services department as much as we can we will continue to work with it and we can send you the map. There are certain areas where there are individual decisions to be made. It's difficult for us to decide where to draw the line there to try to be consistent across geographic areas but we are happy to talk.

Fritz: Sure. Even though we don't allow, in any developments, environmental protections, if your entire property is in a protection zone you might be able to do a minimal size based on the takings and all that kind of thing. I'm interested to see the extent of the challenge and i appreciate that. Final question, the concerns raised by the action board, would you like to comment on any of those concerns an requests?

*******:** I would like to call Courtney Duke.

Courtney Duke: I'm getting ready. Okay, hi.. This is Courtney Duke from the bureau of transportation. Here in my office. So what I think I will say at this point is the t.s.p., transportation system plan, component of the comprehensive plan does have a project further out for a crossing in this area. If this crossing is easy and affordable and met all of p-dot's other needs and metrics and approval criteria, I believe we would have had a crossing in my career, which is 24 years here in the city. This is not something we have ignored or made promises to. We have consistently kept it as a project to look at knowing the connections are needed to the river. It involves working with o-dot, the railroad and the ross island gravel company, as well as environmental zoning and quite a bit of structural issues related to -- and infrastructure. We recently updated the t.s.p. And comprehensive plan in 2018 and this project didn't move any further up based on our criteria which we had a pretty robust criteria process, including using equity matrix as well as other components. So, we have done a number of greenway projects

November 4-5, 2020

and other bike and pedestrian projects in and of itself to make the connections within the Brooklyn neighborhood safer. And there are some additional projects related to that type of work. But crossing down the slope to the river is a pretty big endeavor that we are still not comfortable with, or again really the criteria is the better way to say it, to move it up. And we looked at it again as a part of this process and we ran some of that criteria and it still did not meet many of our different goals, including strategic plan, the t.s.p. That's what we can say for now. We can do more now talking with you more directly one-on-one. But we did look at it again, and still have that same concern that it's not meeting our criteria as well as the priorities looking at the whole city in terms of the transportation system plan.

Fritz: Thanks so much, Courtney. I appreciate you putting all that on the record. I wanted to make sure you had the opportunity to do so. Yes, I'm very familiar with how long and hard you have been working to do what we can. But also to address these significant challenges. You appreciate, it's still on the to-do list, that's a good thing too. Thank you.

Duke: Thank you. Anyone else for p-dot? Okay.

Wheeler: All right. Very good. So does that complete public testimony, Keelan?

Clerk: Yes.

Wheeler: I just wanted to put one clarification out there for the record. Somebody mentioned earlier that a member of my team had said that the process was not a transparent process. The one engaged by b.p.s. That individual contacted me and said that's not the case. They said we directed b.p.s. To hold another public hearing. We allowed for testimony because of the pandemic and the difficulty in people being able to get together. B.p.s. Also did additional outreach before that hearing so people could submit oral and written testimony. So i just wanted to make sure that point was there. I don't know if anybody else has any more comments or thoughts they would like to share with us. Before we close this out today. Commissioner Hardesty, any further thoughts? Anybody else? This is everybody's chance.

Hardesty: Mayor, I just want to thank the staff once again. Our invited testimony personnel. And just really appreciate how hard this job is. That b.p.s. Has been taking on. Clearly it's not going to be a project where everybody is happy. Because I haven't seen too many of those since i have been at the city of Portland. But having said that, I think, I know that b.p.s. Is bending over backwards to accommodate public concerns and where they can't, they have been really clear about why they cannot. The great example is moving the time lineup to five years, which is really unrealistic based on the city's current economic reality. So i do appreciate that many of us live in parts of the city and community where the government has promised to do something. I mean, I

November 4-5, 2020

live in east Portland so I could tell you all about city of Portland promises that were not fulfilled. But having said that, I think the people involved now are trying to be as responsible and transparent as possible and I just want to appreciate the hard work they have done to get us to this point.

Wheeler: Thank you, commissioner. Anything else from anybody else? Not seeing anything. All right. So oral testimony is now closed. But the written record is going to remain open until the end of day on Wednesday, November 11th. The council will return on Wednesday, December 2nd at 3:00 p.m. Time certain for a vote on commissioner Hardesty's proposed amendments. Any others? Commissioner Fritz amendments as well. Any others? My colleagues would like to propose deliberations and adoptions of the final revised findings would presumably be at that time. Courtney? Your hand is raised.

Duke: When you say the 11th, it's a city holiday. Does that matter in terms of public testimony.

Wheeler: Good question. I don't think so.

Duke: I don't think so either, but just double checking.

Wheeler: I think that's fine. Because it's not a council session. It's just the due date for when written testimony would need to be in. So that's end of day Wednesday, November 11th. Unless anybody has any objections to that. All right, good. I'm not seeing anything. Keelan, that completes our business for today, does it not?

Clerk: Yes, it does.

Wheeler: Thank you for the public testimony, sounds like there will be some meetings between now and then and we will keep this going. Thank you, everybody. And we are adjourned.

At 4:16 pm, Council Recessed.

November 4-5, 2020
Closed caption file of Portland City Council meeting

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised city council broadcast and should not be considered a verbatim transcript. The official vote counts for Council action are provided in the Official Minutes.

November 5, 2020 2:00 pm

Wheeler: This is Thursday, November 5, 2020 afternoon session of the Portland city council. Please call the roll. [roll call]

Wheeler: Under Portland city code and state law the city council is holding this meeting electronically. All members of council are attending remotely by video and teleconference, and the city has made several avenues available for the public to listen to the audio broadcast of this meeting. The meeting is available to the public on the city's YouTube channel, egov pdx, www.portlandoregon.gov/video and channel 30. The public can also provide written testimony to council by emailing the council clerk at cctestimony@portlandoregon.gov. The council is taking these steps as a result of the covid-19 pandemic and the need to limit in-person contact and promote social distancing. The pandemic is an emergency that threatens the public health, safety and welfare which requires us to meet remotely by electronic communications. Thank you all for your patience, flexibility and understanding as we manage through this difficult situation to do the city's business. Ordinarily, i would have legal counsel read the rules and a quorum. Are not taking public testimony today. I will leave it up to the discretion of the legal counsel if they would like to read the rules of counsel and decorum.

Lory Kraut: I am perfectly fine not reading them.

Wheeler: I do not think it is necessary, if that changes we can come back to it. We have one issue for this afternoon, it is the full supplemental budget item number 890, a continued hearing.

Clerk: Adopt the fy 2020-2021 supplemental budget and make other budget related changes.

Wheeler: Thank you for that. This is a continuation of last Wednesday's hearing. The documents we receive include all of the amendments that were introduced during that hearing. The amendments already on the table. They have been proposed and seconded. We will start by hearing any new amendments and then vote on all of the amendments in order. I will go ahead and kick us off. I have a new amendment that i would like to list as wheeler amendment number seven. I would like to move to make the following adjustments to the supplemental budget as

November 4-5, 2020

proposed; i would like to increase vero program expenses and special appropriations general fund for the emergency coordination center food security program by \$1,542,036 on a one-time basis. I would like to increase vero program expenses in the prosper general Portland fund. By \$300,000 for central city small business repair grants to support local small businesses and nonprofits in particular those that have been impacted by recent criminal destruction. I would like to decrease the general fund policy set aside for the future sobering center contract by \$1,842,036 on a one-time basis to fund this change. The reason for that is because we will not be able to expend those dollars during the remainder of this calendar year. The work on the sobering center, in fact, i just received an update a few hours ago is very much moving forward. And then of course i would like to update exhibits 1-5 as needed to reflect this change. Just briefly, i am using the available resources and the policies set aside for the future sobering center. We won't need in this fiscal year to support emergency needs. Funding a continuation for the remainder of the fiscal year. \$300,000 will go to prosper Portland for central city business repair grants to support local small businesses who have been impacted by economic shocks from the pandemic. Compounded by recent physical damages at the \$300,000 in general fund will leverage \$150,000 in tax increment finance resources to provide immediate physical repair grants to support approximately 45 small businesses and we will leave it right there. Can I get a second.

Fritz: Second.

Wheeler: Commissioner fritz. Thank you. Does anyone else have any other additional amendments that they would like to provide at this time?

Fritz: I do.

Wheeler: Commissioner fritz.

Fritz: I moved to amend the supplemental budget as proposed to add directive j. Counsel directs Portland parks and recreation to bring forward and interphone loan in early calendar year 2021 to facilitate spending against future levy proceeds as approved by Portland voters in measure 26-213. Which will be collected starting in November 2021. It will include funding for a levy coordinator estimated at \$175,000. A feasible study for \$250,000. Living forward prior to the authorization of the loan. Any expense charged against the general fund for the levy coordinator or the interstate firehouse cultural center, feasibility study, following passage of the supplemental budget but prior to the authorization of the loan will be transferred to and charged against a new levy hunt.

Wheeler: I will second that commissioner fritz. Can I also ask a clear fine question? This sounds

November 4-5, 2020

like the vehicle is taxed anticipation notes. What is the specific vehicle?

Fritz: Maybe we can ask Adina Long for clarification?

Wheeler: Thanks. I just wanted to know with the vehicle was?

Claudio Campuzano: Sure, it's an interfund loan between the parks construction fund. We have sdc balance available that we would be able to loan on a short-term basis.

Wheeler: Okay, good. I would like to make a minor change to a prior amendment. This does not require a motion or a second i don't believe. Actually, it might require -- this is amendment five. This was the one that transferred management of ppb services contract from the service coordination team to the joint office of homeless services. I need to introduce a motion to modify the amendment correcting the dollar figure. The dollar figure was off slightly. It is a smaller dollar figure. I would like to correct the dollar figure to \$2,159,003. Can I get a second on that amendment to my amendment five?

Fritz: Second.

Wheeler: Any further questions, I would like to vote on that so the amendment is actually clean when we vote on amendment five? Please call the roll on the amendment to amendment five.
[roll call]

Wheeler: Thank you. The amendment to amendment five passes. We will discuss amendment five as we get to it as amended. Any other amendments? Commissioner fritz has put hers on the table. Any other you would like to put on the table before we go through each of the amendments that have been moved and seconded? Seeing none. Very good.

Kraut: I have a question. I received some documents indicating that you were going to make a motion to amend previous amendment number three. It's just the amount.

Wheeler: Negative on that. Someone must have given you the wrong number.

Kraut: Okay. Thank you.

Wheeler: Sorry about that. Commissioner fritz?

Fritz: I am being notified that there was a second amendment from parks. Sorry about that.

Wheeler: Let's hear it. By the way, before I forget, the last one on the interagency loan, I am calling fritz number seven, so we keep all of the street. This new one will be fritz number eight.

Fritz: Thank you. Things to Claudio and Adina for helping me out here. To make the following adjustments to the supplemental budget as proposed. One, authorized 1.0 regular permanent fte in Portland parks and recreation to serve as the levy coordinator. Number two, this position is estimated to cost \$175,000 annually and will be supported by proceeds from the local operating levy authorized by Portland voters to measure 26-213 in November of 2020. Brought forward for

November 4-5, 2020

council authorization and early 2021 which will allow spending against future levy proceeds to be temporarily backed by available resources in the parks capital improvement program fund from system development charges. Number three, update exhibits 1-5 as needed to reflect this change.

Wheeler: I will second that. This is the position of authority that goes with the resources that we included under fritz seven. I will second fritz eight. Anybody else? All right, we will get to the amendments than in order. We will go ahead and just do them in order of the way they were done. We will start with commissioner Eudaly's amendments. I would do my level best to keep all of this straight in everybody's head. Commissioner Eudaly, her amendment was the Oregon workers related to the Oregon workers relief fund. Is there any further discussion on that, or commissioner Eudaly, anything else you would like to include in that?

Eudaly: Nope.

Wheeler: Very good. Please call the roll.

Fritz: Excuse me, mayor.

Wheeler: Is this commissioner fritz?

Fritz: I wanted to get your input on this amendment, please.

Wheeler: I support it.

Fritz: Thank you.

Wheeler: Please call the roll. [roll call]

Eudaly: Providing these dollars to the Oregon relief fund as part of an equitable strategic effort by the city. One that directs our limited dollars to their highest use by targeting households that are still waiting for lifeline relief. Further funding to Oregon workers relief fund will provide an optimal return on our investment and encourage my colleagues to stand with me to support immigrant Oregonians. I vote, Aye.

Fritz: Thank you for bringing this forward, commissioner Eudaly. It does reduce the amount of rainy day funds available for next year. Since he will be in charge of the budget then, too. I'm happy to support it. Aye.

Ryan: We have to support our neighbors who don't have access to federal or state unemployment benefits. I vote Aye.

Hardesty: Thank you, commissioner Eudaly, in your leadership in bringing this amendment forward. I know this is one that is near and dear to your heart and you have done a lot of work on it. I am happy to vote Aye.

Wheeler: My colleagues have given the reasons why we must support this. Commissioner fritz is

November 4-5, 2020

also correct, it means we will have to dig deeper in the next fiscal year. The reality is we have families that are desperate right now, and i believe this is an appropriate and skilled response to address the emergency. I am hopeful that the united states congress will eventually understand that this is not a limited circumstance to Portland, Oregon. This is a situation many, many people are struggling with here in the city of Portland as they are in cities and communities all across the united states. I hope they take this to heart. I vote Aye. The amendment is adopted. We will move into commissioner fritz's eight amendments. First is fritz one relative to the parks and recreation protest related damages on labor costs. Any further discussion? Please call the roll.
[roll call]

Wheeler: The amendment is adopted. Fritz number three is parks and recreation pioneer courthouse square restroom; services. Any further discussion?

Eudaly: Isn't that number two?

Wheeler: Thank you, it is fritz number two. Please call the roll. [roll call]

Wheeler: The amendment is adopted. Fritz number three is the office of equity and human rights city wide equity training. Any further discussion on this item? Please call the roll. [roll call]

Eudaly: I strongly support this work. My office participated in the full training and has worked with our bureaus to implement rba in our work right however, given our financial situation i cannot support this amendment. No.

Fritz: This amendment benefits small offices by financing the training. It also provides the training for the relations program. Aye.

Ryan: I am a firm believer in results based accountability, and as this investment will be a multiplier in our city's effectiveness. It is clearly an excellent use of city resources. Aye.

Hardesty: I am concerned with the ongoing cost that we are taking on with a very thoughtful plan about with the outcomes are that will be achieved. I absolutely believe, and support um additional staff and the tribal relations office. I do know that we have just added additional staff into the office of equity and human rights. I am a big fan of the work that they do. This proposal was presented very last-minute and committing us to positions into the future that we don't know that we will have the resources to continue. I hate making promises that we cannot keep, and this, i believe is one of those promises. Therefore, I vote no.

Wheeler: I vote, Aye. The amendment is adopted. Fritz number four, the oehr, small bureau equity manager. Any further discussion? Please call the roll. [roll call]

Eudaly: I do support this increase, because the position will serve multiple small bureaus that don't have the funding to have their own equity managers. Obviously equity is a critical ongoing

November 4-5, 2020

issue at the city. We need to do better, and we need to do it more consistently across our entire enterprise. I vote, yes.

Fritz: Aye.

Ryan: For small bureaus need this shared investment. I vote, Aye.

Hardesty: Aye.

Wheeler: Aye. The amendment is adopted. Fritz number five, extend for park seasonal workers and fleet rentals for downtown service zone for eight weeks. Any further discussion? Please call the roll.

Eudaly: Aye.

Fritz: Aye.

Ryan: Aye.

Hardesty: Aye.

Wheeler: Aye. The amendment is adopted. Fritz number six; oehr equity data analyst to support ppb. Any further discussion? Please call the roll.

Eudaly: We have a lot of work to do as a city on police accountability, as we all know. I am not convinced that this position is the most effective way to do that work. Although I do hope the city will fund this position eventually win more resources are available. No.

Fritz: This budget adjustment will now have two new ways of providing equity advice, and work. Guided by the office of equity and human rights with two new mechanisms. This one I'm answering to to doctor smith and providing analysis to the police bureau is an exciting change. It was recommended by the police euros equity manager so I'm happy to support it. Aye.

Ryan: The main objective data as we move forward in implementing racial justice and police reforms. So our decisions can be rooted in evidence. I vote, Aye.

Hardesty: We hired six data analysts as part of the department of justice settlement agreement into Portland police bureau. My experience has been that it is absolutely impossible to get data outside of Portland police barrel about what the outcomes are that they have, without outside people actually doing the analysis. We see through audits heard we have seen that through the oir reports. I think that this is an aspirational position that we cannot afford at this time. There is no clear indication that the Portland police bureau will not provide the data necessary to do any kind of equity analysis. I vote, no.

Wheeler: I vote, Aye. For exactly the same reasons. If we don't seek the data and we don't have independent people calling for it and demanding it, were never going to get it. I vote, Aye. The amendment is adopted. Fritz number seven relating to the inner agency loan. Is there any

further discussion? Please call the roll.

Eudaly: Aye.

Fritz: Aye.

Ryan: Aye.

Hardesty: Aye.

Wheeler: Happy to support this. The amendment is adopted. Last, but not least, Fritz eight, the position of authority. Any further discussion? Please call the roll.

Eudaly: I am sorry, I don't recall with this amendment is.

Wheeler: This is the one fte for the levy coordinator. It's a position of authority. Thanks.

Eudaly: Oh okay, thanks. Aye.

Fritz: Thank you to everybody who voted and supported this levy and to all of the park supporters and park staff. Aye.

Ryan: Aye.

Hardesty: Aye.

Wheeler: Aye. The amendment is adopted. We will move on to commissioner Hardesty's amendment. Amendment number one, which is related to the reallocation of \$18 million in apb budget. Reallocation to support. Any further discussion? Please call the roll.

Eudaly: This amendment would fund food assistance, hygiene stations, outdoor shelters, universal eviction defense, technology kits for people with disabilities and crisis intervention training for Portland fire and rescue. These investments have the ability to address the destabilizing events that can lead to increased crime in a way that will not be accomplished by funding the Portland police bureau. This amendment would help more than 65,000 Portlanders, especially immigrant and refugee households keep food on the table. We could stabilize more than 10,000 Portlanders through universal eviction defense. And provide more than 300 people with disabilities, assistive technology kits to access crucial services and supports online and stay connected throughout this shutdown. This funding, these services, are critical to our community and they are what the community -- they represent with the community is demanding from us in this moment. I vote, yes.

Fritz: So, we agree on the vision for community-based Portland. We want the professionals responding to people experiencing challenges. Whether they are extending mental health care crisis, had a car stolen, or the victim of domestic violence, or living outside. We all want to stop desperate treatments of people of color and people with disabilities including mental health care challenges. We all want to stop desperate treatments of the people in the LGBTQ plus community.

November 4-5, 2020

We all want police officers who treat everyone with respect, who respond quickly to investigate crimes who are steeped in values of all communities in Portland and use the minimum force necessary in every situation. We all want more housing, and more safe shelter for people who live outside and for families who are unable to keep up with the cost of living in our city. We want healthcare for all, including mental health care services and better access for those who need them. We agree on values. We disagree on how to achieve these goals and the timing of making changes. Let's acknowledge the stress being experience in our communities with a pandemic, the resulting economic disaster for many families, the black lives matter movement and the election and then protest related to it on our minds. Now is the time to be kind to each other, to try to find agreement, to work together to address the many challenges that we face, including but not limited to public safety. Let's learn from the past. We kept the police positions during the great recession. The resulting low staffing 10 years later is partly responsible for so much overtime being needed now to cover minimum patrols and response times. Closing mental health hospitals in 80sand 90sseemed like a good idea at the time. People had been warehoused in state hospitals for extended period and it was acknowledge that providing care in the community would be more humane. The problem was, and is, that community care is woefully inadequate. Some people are worse off than when the state hospitals had more capacity. Commissioner Hardesty has been in charge of the Portland street response for a year, and despite her due diligence and working really hard to get it implement it, and also allocation of \$4.8 million, over a year later it is still not up and running, because it takes time to figure out how to provide community services. Cutting the police budget was only a vague concept of research responders resulting in people in need and responders getting little or no help at all. We received a letter from the coalition of advisory groups to the Portland police bureau representing the African American advisory council, the alliance for safer communities, the behavioral health units advisory council, the Latino advisory council, the Muslim advisory council, the slavonic advisory council. They worked a letter -- they wrote a letter expressing deep concerns. On police reform they wrote; criminal justice reform, not just police reform should be conducted with all interested parties. The police reform action plan that you proposed earlier this year with some actions, but the plan was developed without input from the computed tea and it lacked substance. If we are serious in making profound changes on addressing problems of the justice system we need to have a comprehensive discussion with participation of representatives of all marginalized disadvantage, and underrepresented communities. It is important that governments agencies know who the community leaders are

November 4-5, 2020

and engage them in the criminal justice reform. Portlanders should be given opportunities to say what changes they want. What programs and services they need so that communities are safe and thriving. Ample time should be allowed to have a thorough and transparent discussion. Hasty action taken in the heat of the moment, despite the best of intention that may underlie them are inherently fraught with unforeseen consequences to public safety and communal peace and may result in a community that is fractured rather than healed and united. The reform should include provision for Portland police bureau accountability through using data that will help identify those actions and practices that disproportionately impact people of color, the lgbt plus community, people experiencing behavioral health issues, immigrants, and other groups that face systemic biases within our society. Those were really wise words. They also wrote; we need a systemic approach. The current issues are not just with police. The entire justice system needs to be fixed. If police officers misbehave it is the system that allows it. We need to change the system which will include more transparency and accountability equity and education, revamping police training and restoring youth programs. The judicial system however also needs to revise its procedures to make sure that the efforts of the retrained police to bring peace and well-being are not met with failed follow-through tactics. I agree with these wise words. There must be a plan for an inclusive and ongoing process that brings community, police, and others to the table to discuss public safety. The process should engage both longtime leaders, activists, officers and staff in the new voices engaging in community organizing and actions. They should include black, indigenous people of color Portlanders and immigrants. Including people with disabilities including mental illness, and those who have been personally affected by police actions both helpful and harmful. It must be ongoing with sincere commitment from participants to strive authentic understanding a genuine relationship building. The western state center recently conducted two workshops for the city council and bureau directors on racism and police accountability that exemplifies the way the process could work. Representative Riordan also writes to us; when reading the five strategies in commissioner Hardesty's a proposal became concerned for the sequence of the work more than the individual strategies, which I support. The overall proposal appears to address downtown concerns about riot control, vandalism and support for peaceful protest which of course are all critical. It appears to fail, however, to address the impacts the vast majority of the city that is outside the call. Primarily, I am concerned that she would first choose to reduce and limit the size and scope of the police. I grant that doing so provides funding for other strategies. It has real-world implications in my district, which includes. [inaudible] in our part of town, my constituents have lost businesses,

November 4-5, 2020

can't walk sidewalks, witness drug and prostitution daily have long waits for police response to urgent 911 calls and much more. The proposal did not include anything about the metrics used to measure police department performance nor did it comment on how the level of staffing and funding compares to similar size and demographics. If you find Portland staffing and performance to be comparable, i still urge you to delay this first step until other strategies have been implement it. He mentioned delay. Last week, mayor wheeler, commissioner Ryan and I did not delay the vote. We expressed our desire to adhere the regular schedule for this vote and have additional analysis of proposed amendments. Now, we have the budget office independent confirmation that proposed cuts would cause layoffs of newly hired police officers representing diverse communities and experiences. We've also heard from Portland voters. Mayor wheeler will be the first to term mayor of the century, and he will be mayor for the next four years. He has the right to assign bureaus and lead the bureaus he assigns himself. Making request on recommendations and former demands is not helpful. These actions make it harder to find a way forward. I believe there should be a full public process to engage a broad range of Portlanders and figuring out next steps for community safety and our shared city. It should happen in conjunction with the fiscal year 2021-22 budget process. We can get to our shared goals if we work together, and seek census on the council. With willingness to listen, understand, and address the concerns of people with different perspectives and experiences. By the way, next year's council will be the most diverse in history, which is a very good thing for that counsel to be making decisions. We all want an inclusive city. Let's work together on how to achieve our shared goals and live our values. I can't support cutting the police budget further before there's an inclusive community process, plan to make changes rather than layoffs, and adequate public safety services in place to help Portlanders in need. No.

Ryan: Thank you. At a time when our country is more polarized than it has been in generations, we must remember that no situation is without nuance. Today's vote is no exception. Two weeks ago, at the work session dedicated to the fall budget monitoring process, i asked my colleagues to name their three priorities at this time. We all named; homelessness, public safety and economic uncertainty. The mayor and his roll provided a draft budget. At the end of the session, commissioner Hardesty made it clear she did not approve and would offer an alternative. So here we are. During my campaign for office earlier this year, i made repeated calls for a peace summit between police, protesters and now is an elected official i realize that we may also need a peace summit amongst ourselves. In these divisive times we must be intentional about building bridges. I have worked extensively over the last 15 years of issues of racial justice and

November 4-5, 2020

equity of people across the entire spectrum of our city to find common ground and innovative solutions to complex problems. The budget projections for next year are uncertain. Collaborate, streamline, leverage public assets like never before. The need for services is projected to increase while resources continue to decrease. Meanwhile, many of the city's direct service partners are stretched beyond their limits. In the face of a pandemic we are all experiencing fatigue, and yes, mental health challenges on all levels. As a council, we need to come together. I believe this means including the chair, and the commissioners. Creating budgets and government silos, especially her own impacting an entire community safety and well-being won't work in these uncertain times. Together we must build a community safety system and efficient response to food, shelter for our most vulnerable residents. As we heard from both the police chief and the budget office, this 18 million-dollar proposal is a threat to our current public safety. It is our responsibility to work together and to meet the demands of constituents and present a plan that is impactful, grounded in data, sound budgeting, and community engagement. When I say community engagement, I mean, reaching out to the community and bridging the gap between equity and access to city hall like never before. This will take intention and collaboration between the council offices and the bureaus. I asked that we consider who are we not hearing from? How can we engage them? These questions and the work to answer them will be crucial as we work towards the public safety system that works for everyone. We are all aware for the call to the cut -- police bureau last summer. The response was most recently reflected in the passing of a police oversight committee measure. Hats off to commissioner Hardesty for campaigning this measure, and i was delighted to be a part of that team. During the 20-21 budget process my colleagues also took action. First with the mayor's direction to the police bureau to make \$11.8 million in reductions, then the council move to reduce the budget by another 15 million. Resulting in a total of more than \$27 million this fiscal year. The fiscal year that we are into in month five. The chief was newly appointed during this turbulent time for the city, just last June. He took on the new roll and missed increasing violence and never getting cuts assigned to the bureau. It is my experience that the leaders need appropriate time to adjust, redirect and rebuild in these situations. We need to give the chief the opportunity to show us how the reforms from last summer look as we enter the 21-22 budget conversations. Increasing stress and tension between now and then, and sending unrealistic expectations and already challenging times will not produce the results we all want to see. It is also important to mention viable alternative strategies to our current policing model are not yet in place. My team expressed concerns about the numbers on the potential impacts including layoffs. Ultimately,

November 4-5, 2020

we received an undoubted final proposal with no clear plan for implantation. On Tuesday, the city budget office provided members of the council with the analysis of the proposed cuts and indicate that it is, and i quote "likely that the bureau will need to reduce staff to balance to this level of a reduction. What's more, Portland street response will not be operational until 2021, and it will begin in the Lentz neighborhood. That is a great place to start. Yet, the truth is much needed in program will be operated city wide until 22, or 23. "i keep hearing the time is now. Well, i am, we are, responding now. We are responding for a calls to reform the police to an unprecedented global health crisis and we are responding to the outcomes of one of the most consequential elections in generations. There are multiple amendments from each of my mercies and colleagues to address the priorities we all agreed upon during the work session, including public safety. There are amendments relating to the Oregon relief fund, Portland street response, the joint office of homeless services, the office of equity and human rights, and yes, the police bureau. I believe our responsibility as a counselor is to consider every one of those options and determine how they come together to maximize available resources and address the priorities we have all agreed upon in this time of multiple crises. We must remember cuts happen quickly. Building new resources that achieve proven results takes time, and intention. Let's move together methodically, especially as they come positive a counsel change in the near future. I am committed to an alternative police respond, auctions on reform. Cutting an additional 18 million at a time we have no viable alternative to fill the service up left behind is not my idea of police reform. I am committed to reallocating available funds and putting them into the community to support equity, safety, and economic stability. That is why I am supporting many of my colleagues proposed amendments today. I am committed to alternative police respond or options but i will support an advocate for funding and resources for the Portland street response team. We need to ensure it is successful by scaling up their work across the city by simultaneously scaling back the police bureau's roll in interacting with our house listed neighbors. I heard my colleagues, and i agree, we need preventative measures. I spent the last decade plus working towards these types of solutions. The middle schools students represented by clear disparities and discipline were negatively impacting children of color. Within the hallways, and the classroom, societal problem was painfully revealed. I knew data in the schools does not lie when those middle school kids become adults and they are victims of racial bias, and brutality by the police. Showing Portland's crowd control practices in 2020 are outdated and costly. Also, poor decision-making and inequitable policing practices are directed we negatively impacting people of color. My point is, these complex times require new, and

November 4-5, 2020

complex policies and practices. It will take work, time, and persistent attention from all of us. While it is true the community call for additional cuts last summer, there are no alternative options or programs to ensure the safety of our community if an additional \$18 million in reduction is approved at this time. I have hope for what our team can do moving together. The time to take a and strategic action is not just now, it will continue through this budget cycle and beyond. Action is now for police reform. For homelessness action plan, and for economic justice. As we go forward in this pandemic, our city deserves concrete and tangible result. So, here we are. The vote for today on this specific amendment is no.

Hardesty: On May 28, people took to the street in droves. They took to the street because once again, a black man was killed at the hands of a police officer. Anyone who thinks that it was about Mr. Floyd's death is living in a bubble. It is a cumulative impact of bias policing, identified by the city auditor over, and over again. Identified and other outside evaluations over, and over, and over again. Something amazing happened in June. This city council listen to the people and collectively got behind a set of cuts that we all can agree had racially biased outcomes from the very beginning of those programs. Since that time, Portland police has elected to not solve crimes. They have elected to tell community members that because their budget was cut by 50 million, they cannot respond. They can't respond to domestic violence calls. They can't respond to windows being broken. They can't respond to robberies. Their budget is over \$200 million yet, because of a \$15 million cut, they found themselves unable to solve crimes. Portland police has one basic job, and it is to solve crime and they are not that good at it. Since the doj came to town in 2012, we have spent over \$30 million additional, free Portland police officers, having community listening sessions, sitting around tables and talking about what's reform could look like. Here we are, 30 plus million dollars later, and guess what. We still have a police force that believes it is not answerable to elected officials, he believes that they get to decide with the resources are that they have. And they get to pick and choose where they use their resources. It will never be a good use of public resources having 30 Portland police officers protecting the Portland police association's building yet when crime is happening, the response from the public, and this has happened every since June. What we have heard, clearly, from the tens of thousands of people that have taken to the street from the 700 people who testified in June. The over 200 people that testified just last week. We are hearing a consistent message which is; police do not make us safe. What makes us safe is investing in community. To say that Portland street response will ever replace police is someone who just doesn't know what they talking about. There's never been an intense for Portland street response to replace police. We can have

November 4-5, 2020

a more compassionate, empathetic, community response to people who are house-less, rather than spending our resources arresting more than 50% of people who are house-less as Portland police have done over the last two years. What the people have asked us is not to study, not to create new training programs, not to bring everybody to the table. We have had lots, and lots, and lots of tables. I will say the African American advisory committee, if it has more than three people on it 20 years later, I would be shocked. It's been a dysfunctional committee for over 20 years. I would be shocked if there's more than three people on it and if they have been paying attention to what is happening here at city hall. This is what i know. I have been doing this for over 30 years. This council said that they were prioritizing voices, right. The voices of experience, and attempting to reform, adjust, and reimagine. I am disappointed that my colleagues cannot envision a life where police only solve crimes, and don't spend the bulk of their time harassing people who are house-less, or mentally ill, or without other resources. We had an opportunity to really invest in keeping people in their homes. Keeping them fed. While we know nobody actually wants to be a Portland police officer nowadays. To reimagine what we has a community want policing to look like. I can tell you, by the way, doctor john j has had conversations with the board of commissioners. The mayor was in that conversation, the da was in a conversation. Anybody that thinks that my focus is merely on the police, you really have not been paying attention. Our whole criminal justice system is dysfunctional, racially unjust and provides consistently racially despaired disparate outcomes. I've been around for a long time and i did not need a title to be involved and engaged, i just was because it was necessary. So over and over again, since May, we have heard this community voice that gave us a really clear mandate that we rethink what community safety looks like. What does it look like when you are poor? What does that look like when you are not quite? What does it look like when you have no or limited resources? That has what you have been compelled. At least i have been compelled. We have been asked to do. But instead, we want to use the traditional governmental approach. Let's have more studies for let's bring more people to the table. Let's not do something radically different. Let's not think differently, until we have other things in place. What we know is this pandemic has taught us that the community can rely on community members to provide mutual aid support. With this pandemic has shown us and it has made clear to everybody but the most blind individual around, is that racially inadequate outcomes are systemic and every single governmental entity that we have. I did not come here to play polite. I did not come here to sing "kumbaya". I came here to make a difference in people's lives every single day. When a community demands it, i stretch myself more. I don't tell them, this is not the time for that

November 4-5, 2020

conversation. This is not the day for us to discuss this. I knew when we were passing the resolutions that black lives were not matter long, and it would not be the centerpiece of what it was the is a city would do if we did not take advantage of every opportunity that we had at the moment. And we haven't. On election night, Portlanders overwhelmingly voted for a new police accountability system. By over 80%. Portland has continued to speak loud and clear that. [inaudible] and yes, the mayor was reelected barely. And yes, we have a new colleague coming in. And yes, i am thrilled that commissioner elect rubio will be joining us in January. It is disingenuous to say we should wait until they show up. Each of us was elected to lead in this moment. Not next year. Not next week. But, in this moment. I have heard some things today that truly disappoint me. I want to be really clear. I have spent my time, since may, having those community conversations. I have had the privilege of working with Kennedy to develop a communication campaign around "rethink Portland". I have talked to hundreds of Portlanders, new organizers, elders, people who have been working on the stuff for 30 plus years, and the community is ready. Unfortunately, our leadership isn't; yet. I wish i could say i am surprised by the outcome of this amendment today, but i am not. I wish i could tell you that it's going to be easier when we have to make the major cuts that we have to make next year. It won't be. Portland police is already \$4 million over their budget. I have no desire, won't to give them another penny in this year's budget. Nothing that is on the table today will make them live within their means. It is disappointing that the status quo will reassert itself was when this process. We will continue to talk about black lives, but we won't do anything to make black lives better. We will keep talking about let's do transformation, but let's talk about it first and who didn't come to the table? These tables have been open and expansive, and all of you have been invited. You have chosen not to come. Our roll as elected leaders is not to pretend that if we are not singing "kumbaya" that somehow we are spreading fear. I am spreading a vision of what is possible. I am spreading a vision that's our community has clearly told us what they want when we originally proposed this \$18 million cut, it was based on the 44 retirements that did not include the sergeants, because the department of justice settlement agreement does not allow us to cut sergeants. It was based on some very specific strategic cuts when we got pushed back we said, okay, we would just leave the number, tell us what the \$18 million -- a tell us where you cut \$18 million? As you can expect from a immediately -- we are going to have to cut staff. When the chief called these cuts to the bill devastating, i want to be clear, police violence is also devastating. What i have seen over the last 160 plus days has been terrifying. Something i never thought i would sea one Portland. I want you to know that your vote against this amendment

November 4-5, 2020

means that the status quo continues on. Black people will continue to be over policed. Houseless people will continue to be arrested at over 50% of the arrests that Portland police will continue to make. And Portlanders will be continuing to deal with the lasting devastation of an over aggressive force who believes that they don't have a boss. Communities have been at the forefront of these demands for reinvestment. We can't keep talking about how we, as a city are committed to centering voices, but not actually do it when it counts. I want to thank everyone who called, provided e-mail, to demand your changes be soundly heard. I want to appreciate my community partners who worked hard to help us reimagine what community safety could be and that includes unite Oregon, imagine black, the Portland metro people's coalition, and lastly, i want to thank commissioner Eudaly. Commissioner Eudaly did not have to. There is nothing in it for her to support the amendment that i presented. It wasn't something people were demanding that she jump on board to. But she, like i have listened to the cries and the demands of our community. We responded. That is what elected leaders are supposed to do.

Commissioner Eudaly, I'm going to miss you. Even though you and I don't agree on everything, our values are so aligned, and we know that when it counts -- we stepped up for our community. I look forward to continuing what we started in June. The people have spoken. They are watching. And i am pretty sure that they are just as disappointed as i am. With the lack of courage in this historic moment where just for a second, black lives mattered in Portland. I vote, Aye.

Wheeler: Thank you, colleagues. I appreciate your thoughtful comments this afternoon. I can't support this amendment and I intend to vote against it. I also want to take a few moments to explain why. Because, Portlanders deserve for this conversation to be completely transparent. In terms of process, and the integrity of our debates, i am very troubled that this proposal was not shared with our independent city budget office for review, or analysis. They are our budget watchdog by design, and they were not given the chance to weigh in. Without their input, we were not able to nail down even the most basic facts about this proposal. For example, last week, we heard from the proponents that this would not require layoffs. It turns out that is completely wrong. It will require layoffs, potentially significant layoffs. This is not just a minor detail. This is a critical piece of information that the council had the right to know. At the end of the day, this is a discussion about values, not about process. That is where i want to focus the balance of my remarks on the values. I am grateful to the many Portlanders who have written my office, who have called, who have approached me, my colleague's offices, the budget office, and the Portland committee on community engaged policing to give their perspectives. As mayor i have

November 4-5, 2020

both the responsibility as well as the privilege to hear, and read this testimony, these deeply personal stories from residents of our city, and to learn more about the community work that is bringing the people of the city to the table. I have met and i continue to meet with residents, local businesses, mission driven organizations and elected officials from counties, and the federal government to learn, align, and coordinate our collective work to change and improve the criminal justice system and the legal system. The testimony we have heard has been clear from both corners. The status quo is unacceptable. Many Portlanders and most of the people who testified about this particular item do not trust the criminal justice system as it stands today. And they do not trust the Portland police bureau. There are many ways that we can change the status quo to stop the criminalization of the poor and those experiencing homelessness, to stop the over policing of communities who are black, indigenous, and people of color. To end the use of excessive force against those with physical disabilities, or overcoming mental health challenges. I am committed to prevented and interrupting these patterns of injustice. I have been reflecting of late, on a piece of testimony that quoted no bill peace prize winner, desmond tutu. "there comes a point where we need to stop just pulling people out of the river. We need to go upstream and find out why they are falling in. We need to identify the root of our problems and to identify and invest in upstream solutions." i believe we succeeded in changing the status quo by continuing these conversations and facing investments in a wide array of services, policies, programs and skill sets that prevent and interrupt harm and promote healing. I'm grateful for the testimony we receive. It will inform the execution of my 19-point police reform action plan because when the cities vision for rebuilding our community safety system and help us to identify new onramp ramps for community engagement. It will inform our discussions that are ongoing with a variety of coalitions throughout the community and around the state that focus on the needs and the interest of the bipod community. Again, i want to thank my colleagues for their commitment, for their passion on this issue. Respectfully I vote no on this amendment. The amendment fails. The next item is Hardesty gulf, one-time resources returned allocated to ecc houselessness and hygiene response to the Portland street response policy. Any further discussion on this item? Please call the roll.

Eudaly: Aye.

Fritz: No.

Ryan: No.

Hardesty: Aye.

Wheeler: No. The amendment fails. Hardesty three, five fte in the bureau of emergency can

November 4-5, 2020

indications for the Portland senior dispatch position.

Hardesty: Mayor, I withdraw the rest of my amendments.

Wheeler: Any objections? Withdrawn. Withdrawn by the sponsor. I need that sack over there.

Hardesty: I misspoke, I withdraw number two, not number three.

Wheeler: Would like to call the roll number three.

Hardesty: That's correct.

Wheeler: And that is for the fte and emergency communications prayed any further discussion for Hardesty three? Please call the roll.

Eudaly: Aye.

Fritz: No. I think this should be done in the regular budget process.

Ryan: We need to build the Portland street response capacity to serve our communities as quickly as possible. It is going to be a longer road than any of us want us to be, this is when the places that we have a start. I vote, Aye.

Hardesty: Aye.

Wheeler: There is a lot of good justification for this capacity and the bureau of emergency communications. Definitely it is a leading infrastructure for the Portland street response program. Regardless there is still a personal shortage in our call center that leads to some increase in call times. My thinking is we need to continue to build the capacity and the bureau of emergency communications. We've done a good job over the last couple of years of increasing the capacity and reducing the response time. I vote, Aye. The amendment is adopted. To my six amendments. The first amendment is community led reinvestment initiative directive language update. Any further discussion? Keelan call the role, please.

Eudaly: I am sorry, which amendment was this?

Wheeler: It is the proposed bump that includes a new allocation of \$.9 million in ongoing recreational cannabis tax and -- it's a required technical amendment.

Eudaly: Aye.

Fritz: Aye.

Ryan: I am proud to support reimagine Oregon to have an immediate impact on dismantling systems of oppression and executing restorative justice. I vote, Aye.

Hardesty: Aye.

Wheeler: I was very privileged to be included in the discussions with reimagine Oregon. I want to give them a shout out for orchestrating what i thought was a very well orchestrated, well organized, thoughtful discussion that brought together a broad coalition from all around the

November 4-5, 2020

state. It was really an exceptional example of all of us holding each other accountable. We made a commitment, this this fulfills part of that commitment. I am proud to vote i put the amendment is adopted. Amendment two, transfer funding for saul contract to the bureau planning and sustainability. Any further discussion on this item? Keelan call the role, please.

Eudaly: I don't know if my name was called?

Wheeler: It was.

Eudaly: Aye.

Fritz: Aye.

Ryan: This small investment in expanding volunteer capacity will go a long way and having a positive impact on our city. I vote Aye.

Hardesty: Aye.

Wheeler: I have loved participating in these events. The second to the last one that I did may two months ago attracted almost 600 volunteers. They actually could not take any more volunteers they had about 400 people on stand who wanted to participate. I am very, very proud that the city has a strong partner and i am happy to support the amendment is adopted. We look amendment three is the downtown retail advocate position with a downtown clean and safe. Any further discussion on this item? Keelan call the role, please.

Clerk: Mayor, really quickly I want to check in with our city attorney. I do believe we might need to vote on the amendment for the amendment?

Wheeler: I thought we already put the amendment on the table.

Hardesty: When we did that earlier.

Kraut: You need to vote on the amendment to fix the number.

Wheeler: We put it on the table, is that all we did?

Kraut: Correct.

Wheeler: The amendment was to change of the a dollar amount from 20,000 up to \$25,000. Any further discussion on the amendment to the amendment? Please call the roll. [roll call]

Wheeler: The amendment to the amendment is adopted. Please call the roll on the main motion. [roll call]

Wheeler: This is the underlying motion, amendment three and we just amended amendment three. [roll call]

Eudaly: Sorry this is a little complicated. Aye.

Fritz: Aye.

Ryan: Aye.

Hardesty: Aye.

Wheeler: Alright, amendment three is adopted as amended. Amendment four is the partnership with CareOregon to develop comprehensive approach to sobering addiction recover and behavior health response, this is a reallocation. Any further discussion? Please call the roll. [roll call]

Eudaly: Aye.

Fritz: Aye.

Ryan: Aye.

Hardesty: Aye.

Wheeler: Aye. The amendment is adopted. Amendment five, we have already amended it. We actually voted on the actual amendment? Legal counsel, I am looking to you. Or do we need to vote on the amendment?

Kraut: I am not aware that you need to vote on this amendment.

Wheeler: No. We already modified the dollar figure earlier. Was that just putting it on the table, or do we need to vote on that amendment to the amendment? I'm trying to be parallel. I'm sorry?

Kraut: No. You have already made a motion. You seconded it and it passed. Now you are voting on the underlying.

Wheeler: That is not accurate. We made a motion to put it on the table; did we not?

Clerk: I have in my records that you voted on the amendment to the amendment and it passed.

Wheeler: Great. I just wasted all that time we saved. Amendment five, which is transferring management of the ppb housing services contract from the service coronation team to the joint office of homeless services as amended. Please call the roll.

Eudaly: Aye.

Fritz: Aye.

Ryan: Aye.

Hardesty: Aye.

Wheeler: Aye. The amendment is adopted as amended. Amendment six, the final one, is cal target adjustment for bureaus with ongoing allocations authorized in the fall bump. Any further discussion? Seeing none. Please call the roll. I have one more. Call the roll on this one, please. [roll call]

Eudaly: Aye.

Fritz: Aye.

November 4-5, 2020

Ryan: Aye.

Hardesty: Aye.

Wheeler: Aye. Amendment six passes. The last one is amendment seven, fund the ecc food security program and additional support for downtown businesses using available funds and policy set aside for the future sobering center. That is the one i put on the table earlier. Any further discussion? Please call the roll. [roll call]

Eudaly: Aye.

Fritz: Aye.

Ryan: Aye.

Hardesty: Aye.

Wheeler: Aye. The amendment is adopted. This is a first reading of a nonemergency ordinance, it moves to second reading as amended. Legal counsel, anything else we need to button down before we adjourn?

Kraut: I think you have everything.

Wheeler: Keelan, anything else?

Clerk: No, I think we are done.

Wheeler: Thank you colleagues. We are adjourned.

At 3:17 p.m., Council Adjourned.