

Caudill, Jeff

From: Bischoff, Debbie
Sent: Friday, May 1, 2020 8:20 AM
To: Paige Stoyer
Subject: RE: River Plan/South Reach Questions

Good Morning Paige,

Enjoy this beautiful spring day!

There are three existing public docks in the South Reach where motorboats have access to, they are Willamette Park, Macadam Bay dock and Sellwood Riverfront Park dock.

By the way, I did check and you were added a while back to the River Plan News e-newsletter to be notified of meeting dates, document releases and any future meetings/events related to river planning in Portland.

Have a good weekend and stay safe,

Debbie

From: Paige Stoyer <cpstoyer@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2020 6:20 PM
To: Bischoff, Debbie <Debbie.Bischoff@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: Re: River Plan/South Reach Questions



The City's email systems have identified this email as potentially suspicious. Please click responsibly and be cautious if asked to provide sensitive information.

eo. Our concern goes much deeper than just the comments made that day, they were in fact just a confirmation of what we had been hearing from people which is why I wanted to attend. We will be very interested to watch and hear the public feedback and comments that PSC provides to the OSMB, because what I have heard indicates to me that the PSC has a very biased opinion on boating matters not to mention does not have a full understanding of the facts but has been listening to a small and very vocal segment of river users who seem to feel entitled to limit other people access to our public river.

We will also be watching and listening maybe even more so to the behind the scenes plans meant to impact boating on our river and we fully expect that if any of your plans for the South Reach or otherwise are meant to try and affect boating on the river in any way that you will be very transparent and will make sure to notify us and other leaders who represent both public boating groups and businesses.

I have to say that the claim again that the PSC isn't trying to impact boater access through it's actions rings false to us based on a number of things we have seen and heard. There are certainly things even in the South

Reach plan meant to impact boating on the river and this was not made transparent during the public comment period.

Also, can you please clarify about the 3 existing boat ramps you talked about in your last email?

thanks very much, Paige

On Tue, Apr 28, 2020 at 2:11 PM Bischoff, Debbie <Debbie.Bischoff@portlandoregon.gov> wrote:

Hello Paige,

As you probably know City bureaus and the PSC are interested in providing comments to the OSMB. The OSMB will receive a lot of different comments from interested people and organizations. It is the OSMB who will make the boating regulation decisions not the City of Portland.

The PSC will be talking about their comments to the OSMB today at 3 PM. They may or may not agree on recommendations and may end up discussing it on May 12 when River Plan/South Reach comes back to be discussed on other matters. Since the PSC is making their comments to OSMB on bigger planning goals, it is not part of the River Plan/South Reach project, and since the PSC is not the decision maker on these rules, they will not hold a public hearing. Your attention on the rule making is best continued with the OSMB.

Here is the link to the PSC meeting today at 3 PM, if you want to watch the meeting. You can also review it later if you can't watch it live.

<https://beta.portland.gov/bps/psc/events/2020/4/28/planning-and-sustainability-commission-meeting-april-28>

Planning and Sustainability Commission Meeting – April 28 | Portland.gov

Agenda. 3:00 pm Call to Order Items of Interest from Commissioners Director's Report. 3:05 pm Consent Agenda Consideration of Minutes from the March 10, 2020 PSC meeting

beta.portland.gov

I apologize for the delay in response, it is an extremely busy time for our team on the river planning project.

Debbie

From: Paige Stoyer <cpstoyer@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 24, 2020 12:20 PM

To: Bischoff, Debbie <Debbie.Bischoff@portlandoregon.gov>

Subject: Re: River Plan/South Reach Questions



The City's email systems have identified this email as potentially suspicious. Please click responsibly and be cautious if asked to provide sensitive information.

I haven't received any notification about the new meetings dates so not sure if they have been confirmed or not yet. Please keep me posted.

In terms of my last questions I think it was pretty clear that I was not talking about access in terms of boat ramps, both because that was not anything we had been addressing in the LRRC and that was also not the subject of the PSC committee member's comments. I was talking about actual access once people are on the water because that was what the planning board is suggesting needs to be limited for motor boats specifically. The statements at your meeting were very clear on the intention to find a way, even if it was "sneaky", to restrict the navigation of motor boats in the South Reach, and we have also had this confirmed by some people who were privy to previous committee conversations about this.

In terms of there being no official policy on this, that is one of the major problems we see. The planning commission appears to be trying to sneak in these major changes to the nature of our river usage, affecting both commercial and recreational use, without having told the public about it or having created a clear policy to give transparency to their process and to the intent of their plans for the South Reach Project.

We think it's critical that the planning board does create an official policy and then share that with the public and the boating community, opening back up the public comment period so that people and businesses have a chance to weigh in on the many ways that this plan to prioritize non-motorized craft over motorized boats is going to impact them.

Likewise are concerned by the fact that you all have decided to address "boater safety" with your plan, without public transparency or input from the wide variety of user groups such as we have represented on the OSMB rule making committee. The result is that there are steps being taken that don't at all address the vast majority of the safety issues people experience on the river, and that in fact would create dangerous situations for many boaters. Having you on the committee was certainly an opportunity for the Portland Planning Commission to be a part of that rule making process and to share openly with all of us any concerns that they had, but that did not happen. Instead it appears that the Commission is taking their own steps to try and impact boating rules and rights, despite the fact that the city has told us many times themselves that this is not their job but that of the Marine Board. We believe the commission is doing this without a full understanding of river usage and without public transparency on their plans.

No one I have spoken to in our coalition had any idea that Portland was planning to try and restrict motor boats in this way and I think it's very important that we all have a chance to weigh in on this. I will share this with our rule committee so that they are aware of the commission's plans and we ask that you all do open up public comments again after issuing a public explanation of what your plans are to limit motor boat use on the river so that there can be a public discussion on those plans. The homeowners groups, businesses, and boating groups we work with, including a yachting club with 1800 members, want to make sure they have the opportunity to hear exactly what your plans are in regard to our public river and to give testimony on those plans and how it will affect them.

On a side note, in terms of your mention of there being 3 public boat ramps in the area, I believe only one of those is currently a public motorized boat ramp. Were you saying that your plan is to turn the other 2 into public boat ramps as part of the South Reach Plan? I think that any plans for added boat ramps, motorized or non-motorized, should certainly include input from the Marine Board and from a diverse group of river users such as our LRCC. Many of us spend a huge amount of time on the river and can offer important feedback on these plans, some of which appear to not be taking into consideration many of the realities on our river, such as existing boat traffic areas and the need to protect the safety of all boaters navigating on the river.

One of our coalition members had also asked if your plans, as they would affect the ability to safely navigate the river, have been discussed with the Army Corps of Engineers. Have you all discussed with them the PSC plans to limit areas where motor boats can operate and how that might affect commerce, navigation and safety?

We look forward to hearing back and if the PSC will make a public announcement of their intentions around boating and will then re-open public comments for people to weigh in on this new information.

much appreciation, Paige

On Mon, Apr 13, 2020 at 1:19 PM Bischoff, Debbie <Debbie.Bischoff@portlandoregon.gov> wrote:

Hi Paige,

We're sending out an announcement today and updating the project web site also to let folks know the next two PSC work sessions are May 12 at 12:30 PM and May 26 at 5 PM. Check the PSC meeting page one week before for the exact time on the agenda and for meeting materials.

All the best,

Debbie

From: Paige Stoyer <cpstoyer@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2020 1:11 PM
To: Bischoff, Debbie <Debbie.Bischoff@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: Re: River Plan/South Reach Questions



The City's email systems have identified this email as potentially suspicious. Please click responsibly and be cautious if asked to provide sensitive information.

What is the status of the April 14th meeting?

thanks very much, paige

On Mon, Apr 13, 2020 at 12:56 PM Paige Stoyer <cpstoyer@gmail.com> wrote:

Debbie,

Hi, I was sending back a response to you and also to some coalition members and I noticed that the planning meeting from 3/10 is not up on YouTube anymore. I had seen it and watched it there a month or so ago. Can you please send me that meeting video today please? Or the link on YouTube if it is back up there.

thanks very much, Paige

On Tue, Mar 31, 2020 at 5:15 PM Bischoff, Debbie <Debbie.Bischoff@portlandoregon.gov> wrote:

Hi Paige,

We appreciate your interest in the River Plan/South Reach project. I want to let you know that there is no formal policy of prioritizing light watercraft over motorboats. In fact, the City has been planning for river recreation for a while and completed the *Willamette River Recreation Strategy* in 2012 that provides guidance citywide and for the work in the South Reach. Motorboat facilities and services including new public docks are identified in that plan, but it did not call for any specific new public dock access facilities in the South Reach.

The South Reach plan builds on this strategy. The reason I may have said that light watercraft are emphasized in the plan is that there are recommendations for new light watercraft boat launches in the South Reach. You may know that the Willamette Park motorized boat launch and dock was improved a few years ago. The South Reach plan also includes seeking a marine fueling station, and completing a Sellwood Riverfront Park master plan as part of a larger recreation/management plan on the east side of the river. This would likely include a rebuild of the Sellwood Riverfront Park dock. When Parks and Recreation completes management/master plans they always include public participation. There are three public docks in the South Reach for motorboaters' use – at Willamette Park, at Macadam Bay dock and at Sellwood Riverfront Park. In the future there could be an opportunity for more motor boater dock access – for example, if Oaks Amusement Park builds a dock for visitors to access the park.

As I have stated in previous emails to you, the City is not doing anything in the River Plan/South Reach to restrict motorized boating access. We are looking to improve and build off of existing and future river recreation opportunities; and it is the OSMB that sets the rules for boating on the river.

What is it that is that you want to see in the River Plan/South Reach? We're interested to know. While you can't provide public testimony since that opportunity closed after the Planning and Sustainability Commission hearing, you will have an opportunity to provide testimony/comments/concerns to the Portland City Council when it holds a public hearing on the River Plan/South Reach later this year, most likely in the fall.

All the best,

Debbie

From: Paige Stoyer <cpstoyer@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2020 3:40 PM
To: Bischoff, Debbie <Debbie.Bischoff@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: Re: Resend Email with Questions



The City's email systems have identified this email as potentially suspicious. Please click responsibly and be cautious if asked to provide sensitive information.

ng as safe as

we can. I hope you are as well.

I copied and pasted my last email and questions below.

thanks again, Paige

Debbie,

Hi, first I hope that you are doing well and riding out this current craziness okay. I certainly also understand if you aren't able to respond as quickly as you usually do. But if you are able to get back to me by early next week that would be great as I have a conference call on Wednesday around some of this.

Thank you again for the helpful information you have always responded with. I was at the planning meeting a few weeks ago and I am trying to get up to speed on everything because the Willamette River Community Coalition is looking forward to getting more involved in following the process for the South Reach Plan. While I know you all reached out to a number of people during the creation of this plan, no one in our group was aware of what this plan entails and since I don't live on the river but only have a boat slip there, I never gotten any notification and did not even know major changes to boater usage like this were underway. Getting a chance to go through the entire plan and see just how much impact this would have on all of our coalition members, from homeowners to boaters to paddlers, we want to make sure we stay more engaged on it going forward.

1. Can you share with us the history of this policy decision that going forward Portland would make plans and policies to prioritize non-motorized activities and access over motorboats?
2. When was this decision made and by who?
3. In what way was it communicated to the public?
4. Please also provide the background and the research that was done and the conversations with boating groups and businesses that led to making this decision. In particular the conversations with the many groups this would impact, the commercial boating industry, the Marinas, fisherman, recreational boaters, homeowners with boats ranging from cruisers, wake boats, fishing boats, pontoons boats, cigarette boats, etc. What was their feedback to you all about how this will limit public access, affect their ability to navigate the river, impact the safety of all boating groups, and how they expect it will affect property values?
5. How much understanding do members of the planning board have regarding many different user groups on the river and the safety issues that have resulted from shutting down certain sections forcing more traffic into smaller areas? Of particular concern was the comment by Eli that perhaps they could force more areas to be no wake by creating more swimming areas. An approach like this trying to shut down areas of the river to motor boat traffic without transparent public communication and conversations about it, and without addressing the impact of such a decision to people's safety and right to access and recreate on our waterway seems reckless to us. Those of us who spent hundreds of hours on the river know how dangerous a situation this would create and question if people should be making those decisions who seem to have little understanding of our multi-use commercial urban waterway.
6. Finally can you tell me from a practical standpoint, since the planning commission has decided to prioritize paddlers over motor boats, what is the ultimate goal of this new policy? What should people with motor boats or whose businesses are related to motor boats expect you all to put in place in the years ahead to achieve this goal?

Thanks very much Debbie, let's hope we are all out and back to business in time to enjoy the river this summer!

warm regards, Paige

On Mon, Mar 30, 2020 at 3:07 PM Bischoff, Debbie <Debbie.Bischoff@portlandoregon.gov> wrote:

Hi Paige,

I hope you and yours are well and staying safe from this pandemic. Crazy times!

I wanted to review again and respond to the latest questions you sent me and i can't find them. We are working on a different platform than usual since we've been working from home and I can't tell where the email went or if I deleted it by accident.

I appreciate your resending the email with questions and I will reply to you soon.

All the best,

Debbie

--

Paige Stoyer

photographer, photo editor, executive director "Our Diversity is our Strength"photo exhibit

paigestoyer.com

paige@paigestoyer.com

503-830-3449

blog: <http://paigestoyer.wordpress.com>

on fb - <http://www.facebook.com/pages/Paige-Stoyer-photography>

twitter - <https://twitter.com/paigestoyer>

--

Paige Stoyer

photographer, photo editor, executive director "Our Diversity is our Strength"photo exhibit

paigestoyer.com

paige@paigestoyer.com

503-830-3449

blog: <http://paigestoyer.wordpress.com>

on fb - <http://www.facebook.com/pages/Paige-Stoyer-photography>

twitter - <https://twitter.com/paigestoyer>

--

Paige Stoyer

photographer, photo editor, executive director "Our Diversity is our Strength"photo exhibit

paigestoyer.com
paige@paigestoyer.com
503-830-3449

blog: <http://paigestoyer.wordpress.com>
on fb - <http://www.facebook.com/pages/Paige-Stoyer-photography>
twitter - <https://twitter.com/paigestoyer>

--

Paige Stoyer

photographer, photo editor, executive director "Our Diversity is our Strength" photo exhibit

paigestoyer.com
paige@paigestoyer.com
503-830-3449

blog: <http://paigestoyer.wordpress.com>
on fb - <http://www.facebook.com/pages/Paige-Stoyer-photography>
twitter - <https://twitter.com/paigestoyer>

--

Paige Stoyer

photographer, photo editor, executive director "Our Diversity is our Strength" photo exhibit

paigestoyer.com
paige@paigestoyer.com
503-830-3449

blog: <http://paigestoyer.wordpress.com>
on fb - <http://www.facebook.com/pages/Paige-Stoyer-photography>
twitter - <https://twitter.com/paigestoyer>

Caudill, Jeff

From: Bischoff, Debbie
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2020 5:15 PM
To: Paige Stoyer
Cc: BPS River Plan; Edmunds, Sallie; Caudill, Jeff
Subject: River Plan/South Reach Questions

Hi Paige,

We appreciate your interest in the River Plan/South Reach project. I want to let you know that there is no formal policy of prioritizing light watercraft over motorboats. In fact, the City has been planning for river recreation for a while and completed the *Willamette River Recreation Strategy* in 2012 that provides guidance citywide and for the work in the South Reach. Motorboat facilities and services including new public docks are identified in that plan, but it did not call for any specific new public dock access facilities in the South Reach.

The South Reach plan builds on this strategy. The reason I may have said that light watercraft are emphasized in the plan is that there are recommendations for new light watercraft boat launches in the South Reach. You may know that the Willamette Park motorized boat launch and dock was improved a few years ago. The South Reach plan also includes seeking a marine fueling station, and completing a Sellwood Riverfront Park master plan as part of a larger recreation/management plan on the east side of the river. This would likely include a rebuild of the Sellwood Riverfront Park dock. When Parks and Recreation completes management/master plans they always include public participation. There are three public docks in the South Reach for motorboaters' use – at Willamette Park, at Macadam Bay dock and at Sellwood Riverfront Park. In the future there could be an opportunity for more motor boater dock access – for example, if Oaks Amusement Park builds a dock for visitors to access the park.

As I have stated in previous emails to you, the City is not doing anything in the River Plan/South Reach to restrict motorized boating access. We are looking to improve and build off of existing and future river recreation opportunities; and it is the OSMB that sets the rules for boating on the river.

What is it that is that you want to see in the River Plan/South Reach? We're interested to know. While you can't provide public testimony since that opportunity closed after the Planning and Sustainability Commission hearing, you will have an opportunity to provide testimony/comments/concerns to the Portland City Council when it holds a public hearing on the River Plan/South Reach later this year, most likely in the fall.

All the best,

Debbie

From: Paige Stoyer <cpstoyer@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2020 3:40 PM
To: Bischoff, Debbie <Debbie.Bischoff@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: Re: Resend Email with Questions



The City's email systems have identified this email as potentially suspicious. Please click responsibly and be cautious if asked to provide sensitive information.

ng as safe as we

can. I hope you are as well.

I copied and pasted my last email and questions below.

thanks again, Paige

Debbie,

Hi, first I hope that you are doing well and riding out this current craziness okay. I certainly also understand if you aren't able to response as quickly as you usually do. But if you are able to get back to me by early next week that would be great as I have a conference call on Wednesday around some of this.

Thank you again for the helpful information you have always responded with. I was at the planning meeting a few weeks ago and I am trying to get up to speed on everything because the Willamette River Community Coalition is looking forward to getting more involved in following the process for the South Reach Plan. While I know you all reached out to a number of people during the creation of this plan, no one in our group was aware of what this plan entails and since I don't live on the river but only have a boat slip there, I never gotten any notification and did not even know major changes to boater usage like this were underway. Getting a chance to go through the entire plan and see just how much impact this would have on all of our coalition members, from homeowners to boaters to paddlers, we want to make sure we stay more engaged on it going forward.

1. Can you share with us the history of this policy decision that going forward Portland would make plans and policies to prioritize non-motorized activities and access over motorboats?
2. When was this decision made and by who?
3. In what way was it communicated to the public?
4. Please also provide the background and the research that was done and the conversations with boating groups and businesses that led to making this decision. In particular the conversations with the many groups this would impact, the commercial boating industry, the Marinas, fisherman, recreational boaters, homeowners with boats ranging from cruisers, wake boats, fishing boats, pontoons boats, cigarette boats, etc. What was their feedback to you all about how this will limit public access, affect their ability to navigate the river, impact the safety of all boating groups, and how they expect it will affect property values?
5. How much understanding do members of the planning board have regarding many different user groups on the river and the safety issues that have resulted from shutting down certain sections forcing more traffic into smaller areas? Of particular concern was the comment by Eli that perhaps they could force more areas to be no wake by creating more swimming areas. An approach like this trying to shut down areas of the river to motor boat traffic without transparent public communication and conversations about it, and without addressing the impact of such a decision to people's safety and right to access and recreate on our waterway seems reckless to us. Those of us who spent hundreds of hours on the river know how dangerous a situation

this would create and question if people should be making those decisions who seem to have little understanding of our multi-use commercial urban waterway.

6. Finally can you tell me from a practical standpoint, since the planning commission has decided to prioritize paddlers over motor boats, what is the ultimate goal of this new policy? What should people with motor boats or whose businesses are related to motor boats expect you all to put in place in the years ahead to achieve this goal?

Thanks very much Debbie, let's hope we are all out and back to business in time to enjoy the river this summer!

warm regards, Paige

On Mon, Mar 30, 2020 at 3:07 PM Bischoff, Debbie <Debbie.Bischoff@portlandoregon.gov> wrote:

Hi Paige,

I hope you and yours are well and staying safe from this pandemic. Crazy times!

I wanted to review again and respond to the latest questions you sent me and i can't find them. We are working on a different platform than usual since we've been working from home and I can't tell where the email went or if I deleted it by accident.

I appreciate your resending the email with questions and I will reply to you soon.

All the best,

Debbie

--

Paige Stoyer

photographer, photo editor, executive director "Our Diversity is our Strength" photo exhibit

paigestoyer.com

paige@paigestoyer.com

503-830-3449

blog: <http://paigestoyer.wordpress.com>

on fb - <http://www.facebook.com/pages/Paige-Stoyer-photography>

twitter - <https://twitter.com/paigestoyer>

Caudill, Jeff

From: Bischoff, Debbie
Sent: Friday, March 27, 2020 3:34 PM
To: Caudill, Jeff
Subject: FW: following up on getting summary of Portland planning discussions

Debbie Bischoff

From: Paige Stoyer <cpstoyer@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2020 12:57 PM
To: Bischoff, Debbie <Debbie.Bischoff@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: Re: following up on getting summary of Portland planning discussions



The City's email systems have identified this email as potentially suspicious. Please click responsibly and be cautious if asked to provide sensitive information.

Debbie,

Hi, first I hope that you are doing well and riding out this current craziness okay. I certainly also understand if you aren't able to response as quickly as you usually do. But if you are able to get back to me by early next week that would be great as I have a conference call on Wednesday around some of this.

Thank you again for the helpful information you have always responded with. I was at the planning meeting a few weeks ago and I am trying to get up to speed on everything because the Willamette River Community Coalition is looking forward to getting more involved in following the process for the South Reach Plan. While I know you all reached out to a number of people during the creation of this plan, no one in our group was aware of what this plan entails and since I don't live on the river but only have a boat slip there, I never gotten any notification and did not even know major changes to boater usage like this were underway. Getting a chance to go through the entire plan and see just how much impact this would have on all of our coalition members, from homeowners to boaters to paddlers, we want to make sure we stay more engaged on it going forward.

1. Can you share with us the history of this policy decision that going forward Portland would make plans and policies to prioritize non-motorized activities and access over motorboats?
2. When was this decision made and by who?
3. In what way was it communicated to the public?
4. Please also provide the background and the research that was done and the conversations with boating groups and businesses that led to making this decision. In particular the conversations with the many groups this would impact, the commercial boating industry, the Marinas, fisherman, recreational boaters, homeowners with boats ranging from cruisers, wake boats, fishing boats, pontoons boats, cigarette boats,

etc. What was their feedback to you all about how this will limit public access, affect their ability to navigate the river, impact the safety of all boating groups, and how they expect it will affect property values?

5. How much understanding do members of the planning board have regarding many different user groups on the river and the safety issues that have resulted from shutting down certain sections forcing more traffic into smaller areas? Of particular concern was the comment by Eli that perhaps they could force more areas to be no wake by creating more swimming areas. An approach like this trying to shut down areas of the river to motor boat traffic without transparent public communication and conversations about it, and without addressing the impact of such a decision to people's safety and right to access and recreate on our waterway seems reckless to us. Those of us who spent hundreds of hours on the river know how dangerous a situation this would create and question if people should be making those decisions who seem to have little understanding of our multi-use commercial urban waterway.

6. Finally can you tell me from a practical standpoint, since the planning commission has decided to prioritize paddlers over motor boats, what is the ultimate goal of this new policy? What should people with motor boats or whose businesses are related to motor boats expect you all to put in place in the years ahead to achieve this goal?

Thanks very much Debbie, let's hope we are all out and back to business in time to enjoy the river this summer!

warm regards, Paige

On Tue, Mar 3, 2020 at 9:40 AM Bischoff, Debbie <Debbie.Bischoff@portlandoregon.gov> wrote:

Hi Again Paige,

I realized I forgot to send you the link to the Planning and Sustainability Commission members. Here it is:

<https://beta.portland.gov/bps/psc/about-psc>

Debbie

From: Bischoff, Debbie
Sent: Monday, March 2, 2020 12:42 PM

To: Paige Stoyer <cpstoyer@gmail.com>
Cc: BPS River Plan (riverplan@portlandoregon.gov) <riverplan@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: RE: following up on getting summary of Portland planning discussions

Hi Paige,

Please see the below responses to your questions.

All the best,

Debbie

From: Paige Stoyer <cpstoyer@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 1, 2020 6:25 PM
To: Bischoff, Debbie <Debbie.Bischoff@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: Re: following up on getting summary of Portland planning discussions



The City's email systems have identified this email as potentially suspicious. Please click responsibly and be cautious if asked to provide sensitive information.

Hi, thank you again for the information about the South Reach project. I had hoped to follow up with you at our next RAC meeting but since those seem to have been put on hold I wanted to send you an email with a few questions. We have some meetings with legislators this week and I would appreciate if you could get back to me on these by items by the end of the day tomorrow, Monday.

1. Is the city of Portland involved in any way in the Portland River Center that may be built by OMSI? Will any public funds be used for this?

If the Portland River Center is a new Portland Boathouse facility, City staff are aware of the project. We are not aware of any public funding for this project.

2. Can you give me a list of the people who have been a part of the South Reach planning meetings who represent the different boating communities, motor boat groups in particular, in Portland?

The project team notified property owners and residents within the South Reach plan area 3 times of the planning project, inviting folks to participate in meetings, events and reviewing project documents. We have communicated about this project through electronic newsletters (BPS, River Plan News), social media, and articles in newspapers like the Sellwood Bee and SW Neighborhoods Inc. We held numerous meetings, events, tours and tabling about the project, over the last two years. As I have mentioned before, it is difficult to know when people come to meetings and events what their interests and representations might be. You are welcome to schedule a time to come to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability office and look at our event sign in sheets. I know there has been input from different boating interests in the planning process. We also notified and encouraged businesses in/near the plan area that sell or rent boats to be part of the planning. Many people who were invited to participate did not and we have no control over who voluntarily wants to engage with us on river planning.

3. We represent a number of boating and river community groups who feel that many of the river plans we have seen put forth potentially could impact their access and activities and would like to know if there is an opportunity to have someone join this process to make sure that everyone is being represented?

We have been completing a public engagement process for the past two years. We are now in the legislative adoption phase. Public testimony/comments on the *River Plan/South Reach Proposed Draft* ended on February 26, after a February 25 Planning and Sustainability Commission (PSC) public hearing on the draft plan. The next opportunity for public testimony/comment is to City Council on the PSC Recommended Draft of the *River Plan/South Reach*. This draft plan will go to the City Council at a public hearing this summer. We will schedule the City Council hearing date after the PSC wraps up its discussions and recommendations on the draft plan in spring.

4. I just saw that there is a meeting on March 10 around this plan. Is this open to the public and if so can you send me the details so we can try to attend? Who is on the planning and sustainability commission?

The March 10 PSC meeting on the River Plan/South Reach is a work session between staff and the PSC. No public testimony or comments will be taken. You are welcome to attend and listen to the discussion. It is set to start at 2:30 PM. FYI, PSC members have expressed an interest in knowing more about the OSMB rulemaking process and I've invited Josh Mulhollem to attend to highlight the rulemaking process and answer any questions the PSC might have. There is a chance we won't get to this topic on 3/10, we have a lot to cover before it. There will be another PSC work session on 4/14. I don't know the specific time for that meeting.

much appreciation,

Paige

On Wed, Feb 12, 2020 at 11:57 AM Bischoff, Debbie <Debbie.Bischoff@portlandoregon.gov> wrote:

Hi Paige,

Please see below responses to your questions.

All the best,

Debbie

From: Paige Stoyer <cpstoyer@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2020 8:32 AM
To: Bischoff, Debbie <Debbie.Bischoff@portlandoregon.gov>
Cc: MULHOLLEM Josh * OSMB <Josh.Mulhollem@oregon.gov>; WARREN Larry * OSMB <Larry.WARREN@oregon.gov>; MASSEY Ashley * OSMB <ashley.massey@oregon.gov>; Edmunds, Sallie <Sallie.Edmunds@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: Re: following up on getting summary of Portland planning discussions



The City's email systems have identified this email as potentially suspicious. Please click responsibly and be cautious if asked to provide sensitive information.

Hi, I wanted to loop back because I hadn't gotten a response on my last email. We have several meetings coming up this week with community groups and several politicians and there is a lot of concern by members of the public and homeowners groups that they are not getting full transparency on projects happening on the river, especially in regard to plans around Ross Island and anything that might be involving Willamette Riverkeeper.

Could you please get back to me today with answers on the above questions I had sent you.

much appreciated, Paige

On Thu, Jan 16, 2020 at 9:12 AM Paige Stoyer <cpstoyer@gmail.com> wrote:

Debbie,

Good morning and thanks very much for all of this information. I just read through all of the documents available through those links to get up to speed on things. We have a number of homeowners and businesses reaching out to us daily now concerned about a number of these issues, including a waterfront homeowners group who I know want to get more involved and they have been seeking some legal input as well. I did sign up for the newsletter but I really appreciate you adding me as well.

I'm sure we will have more questions going forward but for now I have a few follow up questions.

1. I see the overall mission of this plan but didn't see any specific plans yet or as of yet specific proposed changes to boating rights or recreation access. Is this correct? If there is anything specific already proposed in regard to either creating "no-wake" areas or limiting certain boating activities can you please point us to those?

Please see the recreation section of the River Plan/South Reach Proposed Draft: https://beta.portland.gov/sites/default/files/2020-01/2020_01_14_rpsr_pd_vol1_pt1_chapiii_0.pdf In-river recreation section begins on Pt. 1, page 56. There are objectives and numerous actions that are proposed. I believe I mentioned before, that the City of Portland does not make rules for boating activity. The City is focused on providing recreational facilities and related services. The plan does not limit boating activities on the river and encourages more boating access and improvements.

2. Can you share what impact it has on this project that the Willamette is a federally navigable waterway? What guidelines must you follow as a result and what if any federal agencies would have to sign off on any parts of this, particularly any restriction to boating, either commercial or recreational?

The River Plan/South Reach will result in no changes related to the federal navigable waterway. The City plays a pretty limited role in the area defined as shallow water habitat (depth of 35 feet or less from ordinary high water) by requiring an evaluation of potential impacts of proposed floating structures in that area, but approvals of in-water structures are primarily made by state and federal agencies. As a part of the River Plan/South Reach we are proposing to prohibit the placement of new private residential docks in shallow water habitat and to limit their size but have no other proposals for the river itself. The specific language for the proposed dock standard is found in: https://beta.portland.gov/sites/default/files/2020-01/2020_01_14_rpsr_pd_vol1_pt2_a_b_c_0.pdf, on Pt 2, page 61.

In-water government-based development proposals generally go through the Streamlining Team, which is made up of Federal, State and City of Portland staff responsible for the review of such proposals. Additional information on the Streamlining Team can be found here:

<https://www.portlandoregon.gov/bes/58878> There is also an early coordination meeting of complex private projects that include federal and state permitting in the river. Here's more information on that process: <https://www.oregon.gov/dsl/WW/Pages/PreApp.aspx>

3. In regard to any plans regarding the city and Ross Island, who or what agency should we contact to get more information in that regard? Just to confirm, there are no plans as part of this River Plan/south reach, for Ross Island or any other area, that either Willamette Riverkeeper or Travis Williams is an ongoing active participant in? I want to make sure that our members and the public have full transparency on this.

The River Plan/South Reach proposes to replace the currently-applied Greenway overlay zones with the River overlay zones for Ross Island, similar to the rest of the reach. There are no other substantive plans for Ross Island, other than a long-term vision that expects the island to, at some point, be entirely open space and that boating access to the lagoon will be expanded. The vision is not a guarantee of what will happen.

There is a separate broad evaluation of Ross Island as a State-lead project being undertaken by the Portland Metro Regional Solutions team. You can find more information on the Regional Solutions group and get contact information here: <https://www.oregon.gov/gov/admin/regional-solutions/Pages/Metro.aspx>

See you tomorrow, and thanks again,

Paige

On Thu, Jan 16, 2020 at 8:41 AM Bischoff, Debbie <Debbie.Bischoff@portlandoregon.gov> wrote:

Hi Paige,

Here are responses to the below questions. We do not know all of the activities that the Willamette Riverkeeper might be involved with as it relates to the river and river rights. The City of Portland is a large organization and there may be other City bureau projects that the Willamette Riverkeeper could be involved with, we have no way of knowing. There have been a number of meetings related to Ross Island in the past couple of years, organized by other entities that the Willamette Riverkeeper may have attended. These meetings have mostly focused on eliminating the Harmful Algal Blooms. I only attended one meeting and Willamette Riverkeeper was not in attendance.

We do not have a list on the website of all parties who have participated in south reach planning. Hundreds of people have participated and they do not necessarily identify to us who or what they might represent. We maintain an outreach log of meetings and events and sign-in sheets for who's attended (not all people sign-in at events). We did contact boating businesses in the study area to seek their engagement and sent project notices to all property owners within the project area – 3 times, prior to big project milestones and events. We also send periodic River Plan News electronic newsletters out to people who have expressed an interest in river planning. These folks often have interests that go beyond the south reach. We have tried to engage as many people of different interests in this planning project. There is an opportunity for more public participation at upcoming public hearings this winter and spring at the Planning and Sustainability Commission (February 25) on the *River Plan/South Reach Proposed Draft* and City Council (date to be determined). By the way, I'm adding your contact information to the River Plan News email list (if you're not already on it).

All the best,

Debbie

From: Paige Stoyer <cpstoyer@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2020 3:37 PM
To: Bischoff, Debbie <Debbie.Bischoff@portlandoregon.gov>

Cc: MULHOLLEM Josh * OSMB <Josh.Mulhollem@oregon.gov>; WARREN Larry * OSMB <Larry.WARREN@oregon.gov>; MASSEY Ashley * OSMB <ashley.massey@oregon.gov>; Edmunds, Sallie <Sallie.Edmunds@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: Re: following up on getting summary of Portland planning discussions



The City's email systems have identified this email as potentially suspicious. Please click responsibly and be cautious if asked to provide sensitive information.

Hi, great thanks very much, I look forward to seeing that. And then outside of the River plan/south reach project are there any other plans or projects that Riverkeeper or Travis Williams is involved in that would in any way impact the public or boaters' access or recreation rights on any part of the river? Is there any plan involving Ross Island that they are involved with that would potentially affect access or recreations rights for the public, businesses or boaters?

And in regard to the River plan/south reach project, is there a list on the website of all parties who have participated in the process so far? In particular anyone who has served as a representative for any boating groups, community groups, riverfront businesses like marinas/boat shops/boat rentals.

warms regards,

Paige

On Tue, Jan 14, 2020 at 2:39 PM Bischoff, Debbie <Debbie.Bischoff@portlandoregon.gov> wrote:

Hello Paige,

Thank you for your recent email reminding me of your request for information on South Reach planning. We have been working diligently the past few weeks trying to finish a *River Plan/South Reach Proposed Draft*. We hope to post the draft plan on the project website later this afternoon for public review. I will send you a link to the document as soon as it is uploaded on the web page and will provide you the page references to the in-river recreation section. I will send the draft plan link to the full rule advisory committee to for their information. Overall, the City of Portland does not make rules or regulations on the river; but does regulate land and structures attached to land. We defer to federal and state agencies, as appropriate, for river regulations.

Also, Travis Williams and the Willamette Riverkeeper, attended one meeting early on in the planning process with other environmental stakeholders, and has not participated in the River Plan/South Reach project since that meeting in February 2018.

I will be in touch again soon with the draft plan link information.

All the best,

Debbie

From: Paige Stoyer <cpstoyer@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2020 9:09 AM
To: Bischoff, Debbie <Debbie.Bischoff@portlandoregon.gov>
Cc: MULHOLLEM Josh * OSMB <Josh.Mulhollem@oregon.gov>; WARREN Larry * OSMB <Larry.WARREN@oregon.gov>; MASSEY Ashley * OSMB <ashley.massey@oregon.gov>
Subject: following up on getting summary of Portland planning discussions



The City's email systems have identified this email as potentially suspicious. Please click responsibly and be cautious if asked to provide sensitive information.

Hi, good morning. I wanted to follow up on my email from last week about getting a summary from you of conversations that are taking place regarding any plans on the river or riverfront that might affect rules and regulations on boaters and on public access and recreation rights.

After updating our coalition members following the last rule committee meeting many people expressed the importance of getting that information before our next meeting this Friday. In particular there were

also questions posed about wanting to make sure we were all aware of any discussions around potential planning that have been held with Willamette Riverkeeper or Travis Williams, given their recent attempts to ban some boaters and public comments towards other user groups on the river.

Can you please respond today and let me know when we can expect to receive this information?

much appreciation,

Paige

--

Paige Stoyer

photographer, photo editor, executive director "Our Diversity is our Strength"photo exhibit

paigestoyer.com

paige@paigestoyer.com

503-830-3449

blog: <http://paigestoyer.wordpress.com>

on fb - <http://www.facebook.com/pages/Paige-Stoyer-photography>

twitter - <https://twitter.com/paigestoyer>

--

Paige Stoyer

photographer, photo editor, executive director "Our Diversity is our Strength"photo exhibit

paigestoyer.com

paige@paigestoyer.com

503-830-3449

blog: <http://paigestoyer.wordpress.com>

on fb - <http://www.facebook.com/pages/Paige-Stoyer-photography>

twitter - <https://twitter.com/paigestoyer>

--

Paige Stoyer

photographer, photo editor, executive director "Our Diversity is our Strength" photo exhibit

paigestoyer.com

paige@paigestoyer.com

503-830-3449

blog: <http://paigestoyer.wordpress.com>

on fb - <http://www.facebook.com/pages/Paige-Stoyer-photography>

twitter - <https://twitter.com/paigestoyer>

--

Paige Stoyer

photographer, photo editor, executive director "Our Diversity is our Strength" photo exhibit

paigestoyer.com

paige@paigestoyer.com

503-830-3449

blog: <http://paigestoyer.wordpress.com>

on fb - <http://www.facebook.com/pages/Paige-Stoyer-photography>

twitter - <https://twitter.com/paigestoyer>

--

Paige Stoyer

photographer, photo editor, executive director "Our Diversity is our Strength" photo exhibit

paigestoyer.com

paige@paigestoyer.com

503-830-3449

blog: <http://paigestoyer.wordpress.com>

on fb - <http://www.facebook.com/pages/Paige-Stoyer-photography>

twitter - <https://twitter.com/paigestoyer>

--

Paige Stoyer

photographer, photo editor, executive director "Our Diversity is our Strength" photo exhibit

paigestoyer.com

paige@paigestoyer.com

503-830-3449

blog: <http://paigestoyer.wordpress.com>

on fb - <http://www.facebook.com/pages/Paige-Stoyer-photography>

twitter - <https://twitter.com/paigestoyer>