

From: [Krueger, Kurt](#)
To: [Monroe, Staci](#)
Cc: [Montalvo, Teresa](#); [Haley, Robert](#)
Subject: RE: Transportation issues in 9/10-17 testimony
Date: Thursday, September 24, 2020 9:35:52 AM
Attachments: [image001.jpg](#)

Staci,

I wanted to provide you with information in response to the appellants arguments that the Bond Ave extension be completed before the Alamo development occurs. Transportation adequacy was a requirement of the land division that was recently completed for the Alamo property. That land use review resulted in a condition that the Alamo development make improvements to the South Portal (Intersection of SW Bancroft & SW Macadam). The Bond Avenue extension was not found to be necessary to support the land division application. Additionally, the Bond Ave extension does not currently have the necessary private property dedicated as public Right of Way across the Zidell property. It is anticipated that this section of Bond Ave would be constructed with the redevelopment of the Zidell property. Further, South Waterfront was contemplated to be a multimodal community with an emphasis on pedestrian, bicycle and transit being the primary modes of transportation to support the district.

Kurt
