

Decision Table B, Continued: Recreation Amendments

This table starts with the topic/proposed amendments that staff thinks that the PSC should discuss. It is marked with a check in the “discuss” box. The items below that are things that staff thinks that the PSC could approve by a straw poll. At the beginning of the meeting staff will ask the PSC if there are any additional items that they would like to discuss. If so, there would be a separate straw poll for those additional items.

Ref #	Commenter(s)	Topic	Comment or Requested Amendment	Staff recommendation	Staff rationale	Discuss?	PSC Straw Poll
B-11A	PSC Commissioner Houck Bureau of Development Services Bureau of Environmental Services	Residential Docks	There is a need to establish a limit on the number and extent of docks in the South Reach. BDS staff asked that the South Reach zoning code proposals help clarify details related to the review and approval of residential docks. BES (along with BPS and BDS) staff would like to see the negative impacts of residential docks on threatened and endangered species and their shallow water habitats reduced.	See forthcoming Memo B-11 (part 2) for the BPS staff recommendation.	See forthcoming Memo B-11 (part 2) for the BPS staff rationale.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Support staff rec. <input type="checkbox"/> Other
B-11b	Bureau of Development Services	Residential Docks Standard (33.475.230 .A)	Since the applicant will be addressing the Residential Dock purpose statement as part of the modification review if dock size is exceeded, can the purpose statement be revised to include more robust language? ODFW’s 2016 Residential Dock Guidelines provides information on impacts to fish, wildlife, and habitat causes by docks that could be used as such: A. Purpose. Limiting the size, coverage, and location of residential docks on the Willamette River will reduce the impact of these over-water structures on riparian and upland wildlife and their habitats. The Residential Dock Standards serve several purposes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prevent harmful shading that invasive fish species use to prey on endangered and threatened fish species; • Allow waterfowl access to important foraging areas; • Minimize disruption to waterflow patterns and natural sediment transport along the shoreline; and • Etc. 	See forthcoming Memo B-11 (part 2) for the BPS staff recommendation.	See forthcoming Memo B-11 (part 2) for the BPS staff rationale.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Support staff rec. <input type="checkbox"/> Other
B-16	Mike Houck	Recreation Action	Add another action under Objective #1: <u>Assess and develop strategies to eliminate negative impacts on parks and natural areas from live-aboard boats and illegal camping.</u>	Staff recommends no update to the plan.	This action is not necessary to add to the plan. The Proposed Draft contains two objectives and two action items that address this topic. See Objective #3 and Action W3E on p. 38, in the Watershed Health and Resilience section, and Objective #10 and Action R10B on p. 60, in Recreation section.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Support staff rec. <input type="checkbox"/> Other

Ref #	Commenter(s)	Topic	Comment or Requested Amendment	Staff recommendation	Staff rationale	Discuss?	PSC Straw Poll
B-17	Mike Houck	Parks and Natural Areas Land Acquisition	Add an action to Objective #1: <u>Secure funds from the city and Metro’s Parks and Nature acquisition programs to acquire additional lands within the Ross Island complex to add to existing city-owned land on Ross Island.</u>	Staff recommends the addition of the following new action: <u>R1I: Pursue funding to acquire, operate, and maintain additional city-owned lands on Ross Island.</u> <u>Timeframe: Ongoing Lead: PPR Partners: Metro, BES</u>	This action responds to the City’s future desire to acquire for public benefit the Ross Island complex portions that are in private ownership. As a note, staff did not specifically add acquisition of private land associated with the Willamette River, since it is typically the Oregon Department of State Lands that owns land below the ordinary high water mark and would likely pursue public acquisition given the opportunity. (See Table E, item E-59)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Support staff rec. <input type="checkbox"/> Other