DZ Ove	Overlay - Specific Design Standard Amendments 33.420.050.C						
Line #	Page #	Direction	Code Section	PSC Amendment	Rationale / Discussion		
Stand	lards C	ontext - C					
1	37	Amend and review with 3x3	Context C1 : Corner Features on a Building - 1 reqd, 4 pts max	 Provide the following amendments to this standard: 1. Remove the sign option (6th bullet). 2. Remove the 2nd bullet option to focus highest point of building at the corner. 3. Make several changes to the plaza option including: requiring 75% of area to be open to sky, expanding minimum size to 20' x 20' and requiring minimum ground floor window requirements on walls that face the plaza. 4. Consider rewriting this standards to provide two options for applicant to select from. 	Two of the proposed bullets do n while the plaza bullet should be s the standard may work better as		
2	39	Amend and review with 3x3	Context C3 : Tree Preservation - 4 pts max	Amend the standard to read: For each tree preserved that is greater than 20 inches in diameter 2 points may be earned up to a maximum of 8 points. 8 pts max.	Tree preservation should be wort		
3	39	Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Context C4 : Grouping of Trees - 2 pts	Consider alternative ways of meeting this standard such as a tree density of evergreen trees, or requiring a certain number of evergreen trees per area of lot. At the least, the existing sentence should be clarified.	As written it doesn't feel like the trees without more direction. The of evergreen tree concentration		
4	41	Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Context C5 : Native Landscaping - 1 pt	Make the following amendments: - Increase percentage of native vegitation required from 30% to 80%. - Potentially make this worth two points. - Allow existing native vegetation to count toward meeting this standard.	The PSC Working Group agreed the optional standard. However, 80% agreed to allow both preserved a keeping existing native plants		
5	41	Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Context C6 : Trees in Setbacks / Civic Corridor - 1 pt	Consider clarifying/amending the existing standard so that it covers a range of frontage lengths with a second row of planting to better align the standard with the site's frontage along the corridor.	The PSC Working Group felt that L1 standard may not have much e to have a range or minimum fron Division & 122nd in E.PDX, and ou		
6	41	Amend and review with 3x3	Context C7 : Preservation of Existing Facades - 3 pts	Based upon Historic Landmarks Commission testimony, amend the current 50% preservation allowance in to a graduated range of options with increasing points. HLC lays out the following point options for a building at least 50-yrs old with 1,000 sq ft in area and a street facing facade within 10-ft of lot line: 2-pts for preserving 75% of existing street-facing facade and meeting CCPD active use standard (33.510.225) 3-pts to preserve both 75% of existing street-facing facade and original building structure and meeting 33.510.225. 5-pts to preserve 90% of existing street-facing facade plus 75% of building structure, only applicable to buildings on HRI that also restores significant features.	A member brought up that they f a better solution than staffs single There was a question about whet right mechanism. There could be street overlay. Also, we may wan ground floor height etc. Note from staff (post Working Gr preserving significant features of was our intent that the standard facade is preserved (kept as is).		

o not provide much benefit and so should be removed e strengthened and clarified. With fewer overall options, as an option

orth more points for each mature tree that is preserved

ne standard would meet the intent of having a grove of There needs to be a different method to gain new areas n

I that 30% was a pretty low bar, especially for an 0% may warrant awarding more points. The group I and planted landscaping to meet standard to encourage

at the current standard for a minimum of 4 trees at the h effect on a site with a long frontage. The suggeston is ontage. (note this currently applies to 4 streets - Stark, outer Barbur in SW)

y felt that the Landmarks Commission suggestions were gle proposal.

nether the active use provision in the Central City was the be other active use provisions such as within the main ant to balance this with other standards we have for

Group) - The last option includes a provision about of an HRI property. This may be hard to quantify, plus it rd to preserve 50 or 75% of a facade means that the

DZ Ove	erlay - Spe	ecific Design Standard	d Amendments 33.420.050.C		
		Direction	Code Section	PSC Amendment	Rationale / Discussion
7	41	Amend and review with 3x3	Context C8 : Vertical Extension of Existing Building Features - 1 pt	The current standard with two options should be amended to remove the option for the vertical columns or pilasters. Instead, this standard should be split into two optional standards that provide the following: 1. An option to extend/align the windows on the upper floor with a clarification that the window area (not size) can be reduced 20%. This would be worth one point. 2. An option that new floors above the existing facade are set back a minimum of two- feet behind the existing facade. This would be worth two points.	The PSC working group agreed wi extension of columns could result They felt that the window alignm observations of Seattle's rules for upper floors were recessed from
8	41	Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Context C9 : Building or Site History Plaque 1 pt	Amend the standard to provide minimum requirements for plaque materials and mounting.	To ensure the plaque's "permane gain the point.
9	43	Amend and review with 3x3	Context C10 : Building Adjacent to "Commercial" Historic Landmark - 1 required, 3 pts max	 Consider the following amendments, one to C10 and one regarding a new standard: For C10 the first bullet should include a minimum height of 10-ft, or as tall as the landmark ground floor, whichever is greater. The last bullet should have the term "at least" in regards to the 10-ft setback. Per Landmarks Commission, consider providing a new optional standard (placeholder for 10A) in addition to C10 that would apply to buildings next to landmark residential buildings. Use some of the same standards as C10 including exterior materials, ground floor and setbacks. 	The PSC Working Group discussed landmarks not containing resider of forms those landmarks can tak corridor, which the Working Grou Landmarks Commission's suggest situations where a new building i the variety of potential situations standard.
10	New	Amend and review with 3x3	Context C10A : Building Adjacent to "Residential" Historic Landmark - optional pts	See C10 above regarding Landmarks Commission's suggestion. This would be a new standard which leads to renumbering other standards.	See above for rationale/discussio
11	43	Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Context C11 : Setback from Waterbodies - 4 pts	Amend this standard to limit the setback requirement for common open area to hard surface areas or plazas. More natural or landscaped open areas should still be allowed within the setback.	The PSC Working Group agreed w open areas that are more passive waterbodies.
12	43	Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Context C12 : Public View of Natural Feature - 2pts	Amend this standard so that non-nuisance trees greater than 6-inches in diameter cannot be removed from the view corridor and feature.	Members of the PSC Working Gro provisions to ensure that the nate qualities. An additional provision provide some of that assurance.

with the Landmarks Commission testimony that the sult in poor outcomes and felt this should be deleted. Inment was appropriate, and also were interested in staff for new additions to historic buildings in Seattle where m the street-facing facade.

nence", additional standards are needed for durability to

sed the staff decision to limit application of C10 to lential uses. Staff mentioned that there are a wide range take which might not always be desirable to repeat in a rou acknowledged. However, they also liked the estion to have some of the standards in C10 apply to g is adjacent to a historic multi-dwelling building. Due to ons, this standard should be an optional point-based

ion.

l with original suggestion so that natural landscaped ve could project into the 50-ft suggested setback from

Group were concerned that there were not enough atural feature and corridor keeps its natural and scenic on limiting tree removal within the corridor would

DZ Ove	DZ Overlay - Specific Design Standard Amendments 33.420.050.C				
Line #	Page #	Direction	Code Section	PSC Amendment	Rationale / Discussion
13	New	with 3x3	Adjacent to Willamette River - Required & Bonus pts	Create a new standard that requires new buildings with river frontage in the River overlay zones to provide at least two of the following features. Additional points can be granted for providing more than two features: 1. Having a maximum façade length of 100-ft (Reference standards within the Commercial Mixed-Use Zone code (33.130) to achieve goal of an articulated façade. However, keep in mind that while the standard of the Mixed-Use Zones addresses frontage along a commercial street, the context here is different as it addresses frontages along the Willamette Riverfront and major public trails. Need to clarify the depth of spacing and step-back and align with base zone but maintain the overall goal for this standard to allow for greater massing breaks and more porosity along the riverfront and trails.) 2. Providing balconies (meeting QR11) for at least 75% of dwellings with facades facing the river. 3. Requiring Ground Floor windows on 60% of the facade within 25-feet of the river setback. 4. Requiring 30% of the facade above the ground floor that faces the river to be windows or doors opening up to balconies. 5. Requiring one main entrance to a tenant space or to a residential lobby/dwelling be provided on the facade facing the river. The entrance must connect to the pedestrian circulations system and a major public trail if there is one.	This new standard provides cont and areas along the Willamette F new Citywide Design Guidelines include two contextual standard. The goal of this standard, and op facades with no articulation, visu public trails. Thinking of the river public realm, development with visual interest, but also work to p for greater massing breaks, this s riverfront and trails, preserving a The PSC working group agreed w front of the full PSC. The Workin backs/articulation of several feet also concern about entrances fac
14	New		along Willamette River Trail - Required	Create a new standard that requires new development on sites with river frontage in the River overlay zones to provide an outdoor area of 500 sq. ft. with a minimum dimension of 20-ft that includes 15% landscaping, a small canopy tree and at least 10 linear feet of seating (using similar requirements as other design standards). In addition, the outdoor area must include one of the following: 1. The outdoor area connects to the riverfront or major public trail as part of the site's pedestrian circulation system. 2. The outdoor area has a L2 landscaping adjacent to the river setback or major public trail. 3. The ground floor includes commercial space that abuts the outdoor area. At least one main entrance to a commercial tenant space must face the outdoor area. (After further internal discussion, it would be more beneficial for this standard to refer to 'active use' instead of 'commercial space'. This would allow for more opportunities to activate the ground floor outside of commercial uses.) 4. At least 15% of the outdoor area is covered by awnings, building eaves, or other covered structure.	This new standard provides contriver setback / Willamette Green The goal of this standard is to creat and major public trails that enhat It also indirectly benefits trail use adjacent to the trail/river setback safety. In addition to requiring the intended to help further define to (i.e. is it open with a public connec- private/secluded with additional new development, regardless of setback. The PSC Working Group agreed with staff continue refining the require impact links between outdoor ar since that is different from public open area and commercial space

ntextual standards specific to the Macadam plan district e River. The River South Reach team is considering using is for the Macadam design district and would like to ds for the design standards.

options (1-5), is to avoid long, continuous building sual interest or access along the riverfront or major erfront, and major public trails, as an extension of the h frontage along these resources should not only provide o provide eyes on these spaces. Additionally, by allowing s standard also aims to increase porosity along the g access to light and air along these corridors. with considering this standard but wanted it brought in ing Group would like staff to explore using step et as an option to an overall facade length. There was

acing the river and security issues

ntextual standards to help activate the area between the enway trail and new development.

create year-round outdoor spaces along the riverfront hance the experience of patrons, workers and residents. Here by visually expanding the sense of open area ack and can provide eyes on the trail" to increase public the outdoor space, additional standards (1-4), are the relationship this space has with the riverfront/trail function and commercial space or is more al landscaping and covered areas. This should apply to all of grade differences, and additions landward of the river

d with the concept of this standard but requested that irements. This included considering how grade can area and the river/trail, considering the 15% covering lic plaza standards in C1, and considering relationship of ce with the river trail.

DZ Ove	Z Overlay - Specific Design Standard Amendments 33.420.050.C					
	1 1	Direction	Code Section	PSC Amendment	Rationale / Discussion	
Stand	lards Pu	ublic Realm - PR				
15	45	Amend and review with 3x3	Public Realm PR1 : Ground Floor Height - Required	 Consider the following amendments for discussion with Commission 3x3: 1. Lower ground floor height requirements for certain situations such as on side streets and consider the impact that ground floor height requirements can have on meeting overall height limits. 2. Allow a certain percentage (up to 25%) of area of ground floor to be under the minimum height. 3. If a ground floor height minimum is kept, the woridng for both PR1 and PR2 should always state "at least" to distinguish minimum heights. 	The discussion at PSC approached create an added expense for sma height could push a building beyo bonuse isn't also triggered, poter a one-size standard. Requiremen requirements on side streets. Fo ground floor height for 100% of t	
16	45	Amend and review with 3x3	Public Realm PR2 : Ground Floor Height - 3 pts	See above (PR1). Note that this optional standard is for points and may be more relevant on corridors.	See above (PR1) for discussion. P	
17	45	Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Public Realm PR3 : Commercial Space - 2 pts	Add language that this optional standard only applies to sites outside the m-overlay.	The m-overlay already has this as with points	
18	45	Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Public Realm PR4 : Affordable Ground Floor Commercial Space - 2 pts	Amend language to require the letter from PDC stating that the space meets their requirements.	This was a request from PDC to ir Prosper) until City Charter gets an	
19	47	Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Public Realm PR5 : Oversized Street-Facing Opening - 2 pts	Make the following changes: Use language from ground floor window standards that limit what the overhead door may look into (i.e. in addition to utility, garbage and parking, also limit mechanical and bike parking. Reduce this standard to one point	These amendments came out of opening is to an active part of the	
20	47	Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Public Realm PR6 : Louvers and Vents - Required	Ensure this applies to mechanical louvers, not mail slots and use term "louvers and vents" throughout. Per Design Commission, ensure that color of louver is same as adjacent material Verify that 2-ft max from ground is feasible.	These changes were suggested b and feasibilirty	
21	47	Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Public Realm PR7 : Exterior Lighting - Required	Make the following changes: 1. remove the 'hanging' sentence from the end of the 3rd bullet. 2. on 3rd bullet just state that lights can only project downward. 3. remove the 4th bullet as 33.262 already applies a glare standard to other properties.	These are generally technical am	
22	49	Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Public Realm PR9 : Residential Entrance - 2 pts	Make the following changes: 1. Increase to 3 pts. 2. Require 3 of 5 bullets to get the 3 pts. 3. Don't allow bedroom windows to face street. 4. Consider aligning with private open space code provisions in base zone.	This provision can add value/livea should be worth more. But it sho private open space should refer t	
23	49	Amend for PSC recommendation cC ONSENT	Public Realm PR10 : Separation of Dwelling Entry from Vehicle Area - 2 pts	Align open space provision with private open space requirements in base zone	There is less concern with relatio space option should be consisten similar in language but on related parking areas.)	

ned this from several angles. First, the requirement can naller 1-2 story development. Second, the required eyond the maximum height allowance if the height entially disallowing the top floor. Third, this shouldn't be ents on Main Street corridors shouild be different from Fourth, with support beams, maintaining the internal f the ground floor may be difficult to achieve.

PR1 and 2 should be discussed as a package.

as a requirement, so it should be encouraged elsewhere

improve the standard. PDC remains the reference (not amended.

of the working group discussion on 1/2 to better ensure the use

by the Standards Working Group to ensure compatibility

mendments to clarify intent

reability between the sidwalk and the private units, and nould also have a higher bar to achieve. Also individual r to base zone requirements.

ionship between units and parking lot, but private open ent. (original staff proposal was for PR9 & 10 to be red to public sidewalk, and other related to private

		-	Amendments 33.420.050.C		
		Direction	Code Section	PSC Amendment	Rationale / Discussion
24	49	Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Public Realm PR11 : Ground Floor Entry - Required	Delete this required standard	The Standards Working Group fel unintended consequences leading
25	51	Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Public Realm PR13 : Pedestrian Access Plaza - 4 pts	Make the following changes: 1. Require 75% of plaza to be open to the sky (not blocked by overhanging buildings). 2. Use same dimensional language as base zone for common open area	PSC workgroup felt that the dime with base zone open space wordi the Design Commission that the a
26	51	Amend and review with 3x3	Public Realm PR14 : Weather Protection Minimum Requirements - Required	 Consider the following amendments for discussion with Commission 3x3: 1. The 4 weather protection standards may benefit from a structural reorganization for clarity, at least combining PR14 requirements with PR15-17. 2. Clarify what "other weather protection elements" may be or strike that language, and just focus on canopies and awnings. 3. Provide a maximum height to weather protection as well as the minimum. 4. Review whether entrance width of protection is adequate and whether more detail is needed for location on transit streets. 	PSC workgroup was in agreement standards was not clear. Clarity is as bays and balconies can be inco language should focus on awning develop alternative language for
27	51	See #26 above for PR14	Public Realm PR15 : Weather Protection at the Main Entrance - Required	See above for PR14	See above for PR14
28	53	See #26 above for PR14	Public Realm PR16 : Weather Protection Along a Transit Street - Required	See above for PR14	See above for PR14
29	53	See #26 above for PR14	Public Realm PR17 : Weather Protection Along a Transit Street - 2 pts	See above for PR14	See above for PR14
30	53	Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Public Realm PR18 : Location of Utilities - Required	 Consider the following amendments: 1. Identify radon mitigation equipment as a type of mechanical equipment. 2. Provide a clarification regarding whether this applies to equipment located at the ground floor or not. 3. Provide a more generic screening element (F2?) from the equipment as opposed to the requirement for a wall. Equipment must still be accessible (gate?). 4. Amend the standard so that screening/setbacks of utilities must also occur from major public trails such as the Willamette River trail within the river setback in the Macadam plan district. 	The first three clarify how this sta equipment to the types of utilites The fourth amendment adds the address an additional contextual reviewed with development in th
31	53	Amend and review with 3x3	Public Realm PR19 : Pervious Paving Materials - 2 pts	Amend this standard so only projects proposing larger parking areas (10 or more spaces as an example) can use this standard to gain points. With larger areas, it may be worth more points, but this should be discussed with the 3x3	This standard is supportable, but management (along with the aes parking provisions should involve

felt that this was less of an issue and could create ling to forced design review.

mensional wording would benefit from being consistent rding. The workgroup also incorporated a concern from e area could be covered, leading to amendment 1.

ent that the current layout of the weather protection y is also needed about if/when building projections such accorporated into weather protection, or whether the ngs and canopies. The workgroup directed staff to or discussion with the 3x3.

standard is to be used and adds radon mitigation tes considered.

ne screening requirement to major public trails to

al reference to a public amenity that is most likely to be the 'd' overlay along the Willamette River.

ut only if it achieves an impact on stormwater esthetics of pervious paving). Discussion on all paving / lve the 3x3

DZ Over	rlay - Spe	cific Design Standard	Amendments 33.420.050.C		
Line #	Page #	Direction	Code Section	PSC Amendment	Rationale / Discussion
32			Public Realm PR20 : Large Site Parking Setback	Staff should consider amendments that make it more clear what the purpose is for the setbacks. Is it to create a bigger landscaped setback, or to have other structures or buildings be placed between the street and the parking area? Also, if there is an increased setback between parking areas and a major trail, this may be for a different purpose.	The Standards Working Group an achieved by having a greater setb setback may have different purpo recreational trail. This may need t
33			Public Realm PR21 : Parking Areas - 1 pt	Amend this standard (no parking provided) so that the points apply only to sites of a minimum size, such as 10,000 square feet.	While the PSC members had differ providing no parking when the co benefit to the site layout is better like too much of a giveaway.
34		Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Public Realm PR23 : Alternative Shading of Vehicle Areas - 1 pt	Include photovoltaic shade structures in the list of shading options. Verify that the tree canopy calculations don't conflict with, or add to, the tree calculations.	Photovoltaic shade structures wo energy benefit. There is some cor with parking lot landscaping/tree
35		Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Public Realm PR24 : Original Art Murals - 1 pt	Remove this standard.	The PSC agreed with Design Com design oversite could create unin be part of the public art provisior
36		Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Public Realm PR25 : City Approved Art Installation - 2 pts	Amend the standard so that a RACC approved mural can qualify for the city -approved art installation.	There is support to incentivize pr should include public art murals a
37		Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Public Realm PR26 : Water Feature - 1 pt	Remove the sentence that the feature can be part of a BES stormwater feature per BES request.	Stormwater facilties generally do
Standa	ards Q	uality and Resilie	nce QR		
38			Quality & Resilience QR1 : On-site Building Separation - 1 pt	Staff to provide with better clarity of the intent of the standard (with photos if possible) for the 3x3 to discuss if the standard is worth keeping	Some PSC members did not see t standard that isn't much greater Portland issues were mostly reso
39		with 3x3 (related to	Quality & Resilience QR3 : Pedestrian Connection to a Major Trail - Required	Make this standard optional instead of required. However, consider requiring accessibility in order to gain the points (i.e. no locked gate)	It can be difficult for this standard trail. It should be optional with st
40		possible amendment	Quality & Resilieince QR5 : On-site Outdoor Common Area - 3pts	Amend the standard to ensure common area doesn't use defensible architecture to make visitors unwelcome. (note this was suggested for this standard but may be more applicable to other standards related to publicly accessible space.)	Some PSC members discussed lin architecture (no boulders, un we be accessible to the public and it creating certain space (reflcective was provided.
41		Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Quality & Resilience QR6 : Indoor Common Room - 2 pts	Remove this standard.	This may improve liveability, but BHBD has their own base zone st may support this anyway.
42		Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Quality & Resilience QR9 : Street-Facing Window Detail - Required	Amend the standard to clarify that alterations should match the trim and recess of existing windows "on street-facing facades".	Since this is a street-facing windo made clear.

and the full PSC need a greater understanding of what is etback to surface and structured parking. Also, this rposes if it is from a street versus from a major ed to be discussed with PR19

fferent opinions about the amount of benefit in code doesn't require it, there was agreement that the ter realized on larger sites. On 5,000 sq ft sites, it feels

vould achieve both a shading benefit as well as an oncern about whether the tree canopy calculations align ee calculations.

mmission concerns that the size of the mural and lack of intended consequences, and any mural proposal should on in PR25.

projects that involve rACC in their development, and this s as well as other public art.

lo not generally contain water year-round.

e the value in providing an additional building separation or than what building code requires. It was felt that East solved with BHBD

ard to be met in all situations where there is a major staff proposal on how many points.

limitations on features that create defensible velcoming items). However, this space may or may not it wasn't clear whether requirements would limit ive/rock garden etc). Note that no follow up information

ut doesn't improve the design of the building. Note, standards for common outdoor and indoor areas that

low requirement, the provision for alterations must be

DZ Overlay - Specific Design Standard Amendments 33.420.050.C						
Line #	Page # Direction	Code Section	PSC Amendment	Rationale / Discussion		
43	61 Amend and review with 3x3	Quality & Resilience QR10 : Upper Floor Windows - 2 pts	Staff should explore how this 30% window minimum on upper floors impacts energy usage. Determine whether there should be an upper limit as well.	This is a concern brought up by a sustainability staff		
44	63 Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Quality & Resilience QR12 : Sunshades for Windows - 2 pts	Reduce the requirement for the sunshade projection from 3-ft to 2-ft	PSC Standards Working Group fel summertime		
45	63 Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Quality & Resilieince QR14 : Windows on Upper Level Units with Multiple Exterior Walls - 2 pts	Amend this so that it can be met by providing at least one operable window per unit, instead of only applying it to corner units	There is a benefit in having an op opportunities for corner units.		
46	65 Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Quality & Resilieince QR16 : Exterior Finish Materials - Required	Amend this requirement to make the following changes: - Limit materials to 3 per site rather than per façade. - Limit the other 20% of allowed any materials to a single material. - Make technical changes for readability	The staff propsal would result in t potential lack of coherency betwe		
47	65 Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Quality & Resilieince QR17 : Exterior Finish Materials - 2 pts	Similar to QR16, limit materials to 3 per site instead of per façade	This ensures consistency betweer		
48	65 Amend and review with 3x3	Quality & Resilience QR16 & 17 & Table 420-3 : Exterior Finish Materials/ List of Approved Materials - Reqd & points	Consider providing points and/or adding to the list of acceptable materials those materials that further sustainability and resilience goals such as use of salvaged, reclaimed or certified wood, mass timber products or low carbon concrete	There is an interest among sustain incentivize/encourage sustainable table of finished materials and/or include these materials as a minir		
49	65 Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Quality & Resilience QR18 : Building Materials Application to Side Walls of Building - 1 pt	Revise standard so that 1 pt is granted if the materials on the street facing façade are wrapped to the side walls for at least 2-feet.	The standards working group felt sufficient without potentially imp		
50	69 Amend and review with 3x3		Consider the following possiblities: - Make the approved materials a list within an Administrative Rule instead of in the zoning code - Add materials that do not require coating such as certain metals like zinc, copper, etc - Consider allowing concrete in more situations on non-street facing walls. Also, "architectural concrete" is also a more aesthetic solution than plain concrete	The PSC considered that: - Putting approved materials in th admin rule list is more flexible. - There is some uncertainty about not all require a inherent or facto - There is some concern about the some applications are better than		
51	65 Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Quality & Resilience QR19 : Environmental Assessment of Building Materials - 1 pt	Drop this standard	This standard feels like something actually implementing/ learning f		
52	67 Amend for PSC recommendation CONSENT	Quality & Resilience QR20 : Roof Pitch - Required	Drop this standard	This standard is a little arbitrary a There are good examples of pitch more economical to build.		

a PSC member and through conversations with our felt that the 2-ft projection was enough to shade in the operable window, and there isn't always cross ventilation in too much clutter of dffering types of material and a ween building sides een QR17 and QR16 tainability staff and some PSC members to further ble products. This could eithe be through listing in the /or creating a separate optional point based item to nimum percentage of facade. elt that a minimum return of 2-ft to the side walls was pacting the location of the side wall.

the code makes it able to change with technology. A

but how certain metal materials should be treated and story appliec color.

the limitations of the use of concrete especially when nan others.

ing that someone can buy to get the points without g from the assessment scores

y and dictates a architectural style for civic corridors. ched roofs on taller buildings and a pitched roof can be

DZ Ove	rlay - Spe	cific Design Standard	Amendments 33.420.050.C		
Line #	Page #	Direction	Code Section	PSC Amendment	Rationale / Discussion
53		Amend and review with 3x3	Quality & Resilience QR22 : Ecoroof - 2pts	Consider a graduated number of points available for ecoroofs covering a varying percentage of the roof, such as 1 or 2 pts for 40%, 3 points for 60%, etc Note that a roof can accommodate solar and ecoroof	This could incentivize making mo points may depend on what othe
54		Amend and review with 3x3	Quality & Resilience QR23 : Solar Energy System - 2pts	Consider a different measurement for efficiency of the ecoroof other than area of the roof. Options could include energy production, energy offsets, etc. Note that a roof can accommodate solar and ecoroof	Size of the solar installation may
55		Discuss with other sustainability items with 3x3	Quality & Resilience QR24 : Reflective Roof Surface - 2pts		Is this considered standard proce not clear if this provides an energe benefit

nore of the roof surface into an eco roof. The number of her standards have for points

ay not result in best outcome

cedure for commercial buildings? Is it worth a point? It is ergy benefit and it doesn't provide stormwater or wildlife