

# **CITY OF**

# PORTLAND, OREGON

# OFFICIAL MINUTES

A REGULAR MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON WAS HELD THIS  ${\bf 25}^{\rm TH}$  **DAY OF APRIL, 2018** AT 9:30 A.M.

THOSE PRESENT WERE: Commissioner Fish, Presiding; Commissioners Eudaly, Fritz and Saltzman, 4.

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE: Karla Moore-Love, Clerk of the Council; Lory Kraut, Senior Deputy City Attorney; and Nicholas Livingston and John Paolazzi, Sergeants at Arms.

Item No. 387 was pulled for discussion and on a Y-4 roll call, the balance of the Consent Agenda was adopted.

		Disposition:
	COMMUNICATIONS	
375	Request of Sandra Shotwell to address Council regarding historic districts in Portland and Oregon (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
376	Request of Kevin Fitts to address Council regarding City review of Home Forward contracts with A Home for Everyone and Intergovernmental Agreements with the City (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
377	Request of Alan Kessler to address Council regarding impediments to affordable housing (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
378	Request of David Kif Davis to address Council regarding city sponsored political terrorism: suppression of First Amendment rights of protesters, citizens, copwatchers, citizen journalists, whistleblowers and activists (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
379	Request of Christopher Ryan to address Council regarding some things to talk about (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
	TIMES CERTAIN	
380	<b>TIME CERTAIN: 9:45 AM –</b> Reauthorize Environmental Services Treebate Program to incent residential yard tree planting to contribute to Portland stormwater management, clean river and community livability goals (Ordinance introduced by Commissioner Fish) 20 minutes requested	PASSED TO SECOND READING MAY 2, 2018 AT 9:30 AM
381	<b>TIME CERTAIN: 10:05 AM –</b> Proclaim April 2018 to be Portland Metro Fire Camp Month (Proclamation introduced by Mayor Wheeler and Commissioner Saltzman) 15 minutes requested	PLACED ON FILE

	7,6111 20, 2010	
	CONSENT AGENDA – NO DISCUSSION	
	Mayor Ted Wheeler	
382	Reappoint Gwendolyn Griffith to the Business License Appeals Board for a term to expire December 31, 2019 (Report) (Y-4)	CONFIRMED
	Bureau of Planning & Sustainability	
*383	Accept a grant in the amount of \$12,500 from the Oregon State Historic Preservation Office, acting by and through Oregon Parks and Recreation Department, to support the City historic resources program (Ordinance)  (Y-4)	188900
	Office of Management and Finance	
*384	Pay property damage claim of CenturyLink in the sum of \$17,323 involving the Portland Water Bureau (Ordinance) (Y-4)	188901
*385	Pay property damage claim of Multnomah County in the sum of \$9,599 resulting from a motor vehicle collision involving the Bureau of Environmental Services (Ordinance) (Y-4)	188902
*386	Pay bodily injury claim of Alexis Paniagua-Reyes in the sum of \$36,588 resulting from a motor vehicle collision involving the Bureau of Environmental Services (Ordinance) (Y-4)	188903
*387	Authorize a lease with Bristol Equities, Inc. for warehouse space at 935 NE 24th Ave through April 30, 2020 at an average annual cost of \$36,600, for the storage and retrieval of personal property collected during campsite cleanups on City of Portland property (Ordinance)	RESCHEDULED TO MAY 2, 2018 AT 9:30 AM
*388	Authorize a grant agreement with New Avenues for Youth in an amount not to exceed \$50,000 for their service industry training and placement program (Ordinance)  (Y-4)	188904
	REGULAR AGENDA	
	Mayor Ted Wheeler	
	Office of Management and Finance	
389	Accept bid of Stettler Supply Company for the Fremont Pump Station Upgrade Project for \$1,256,845 (Procurement Report - Bid No. 00000838) 15 minutes requested	ACCEPTED
	<b>Motion to accept report:</b> Moved by Saltzman and seconded by Fritz.	PREPARE CONTRACT
	(Y-3; Eudaly absent)	

April 25, 2018

390	April 25, 2018	
	Accept bid of Wildish Standard Paving Co. for the Foster Road Streetscape: SE 50th - SE 92nd Avenue Project for \$5,091,419 (Procurement Report - Bid No. 00000839) 15 minutes requested Motion to accept report: Moved by Saltzman and seconded by Fritz.  (Y-3; Eudaly absent)	ACCEPTED PREPARE CONTRACT
*391	Authorize a five-year Price Agreement for purchase of furniture, accessories and related services from NewJee, LLC dba Hyphn, formerly known as SmithCFI, for an amount not to exceed \$24,128,800 Project No. 122022 (Ordinance) 15 minutes requested (Y-4)	188909
	Commissioner Nick Fish	
	Bureau of Environmental Services	
392	Authorize price agreements with CH2M HILL Engineers, Inc., Kennedy/Jenks Consultants Inc., Murraysmith, Inc. and WSP USA Inc. for professional engineering services for the continuation of the Large-Scale Sewer System Rehabilitation Program: Pipe Rehabilitation Phase 3, and Large Diameter Sewer Rehabilitation for a total of \$16,000,000 (Second Reading Agenda 369) (Y-3; Eudaly absent)	188905
	Commissioner Dan Saltzman	
	Bureau of Transportation	
393	Declare intent to initiate local improvement district formation proceedings to construct street, sidewalk, stormwater, and sanitary sewer improvements in the NE 55th-57th Aves and Killingsworth St Local Improvement District (Resolution; C-10062) 15 minutes requested (Y-4)	37351
394	Assess benefited properties for street, sidewalk, sanitary sewer, stormwater, ornamental street lighting and water main improvements in the NE 112 <sup>th</sup> Ave and Marx St Local Improvement District (Second Reading Agenda 371; C-10043) (Y-3; Eudaly absent)	188906
394	stormwater, ornamental street lighting and water main improvements in the NE 112 <sup>th</sup> Ave and Marx St Local Improvement District (Second Reading Agenda 371; C-10043)	188906 188907
	stormwater, ornamental street lighting and water main improvements in the NE 112 <sup>th</sup> Ave and Marx St Local Improvement District (Second Reading Agenda 371; C-10043) (Y-3; Eudaly absent)  Vacate portions of NE Deering Dr and NE 112th Ave and NE 111 <sup>th</sup> Ave subject to certain conditions and reservations (Second Reading Agenda 372; VAC-10114)	

At 11:32 a.m., Council recessed.

A RECESSED MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON WAS HELD THIS **25**<sup>TH</sup> **DAY OF APRIL, 2018** AT 2:00 P.M.

THOSE PRESENT WERE: Commissioner Fish, Presiding; Commissioners Eudaly, Fritz and Saltzman, 4.

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE: Karla Moore-Love, Clerk of the Council; Lauren King, Deputy City Attorney; and Nicholas Livingston and John Paolazzi, Sergeants at Arms.

		Disposition:
397	TIME CERTAIN: 2:00 PM – Amend the 2035 Comprehensive Plan Map and amend the Official City Zoning Map to carry out Portland's 2035 Comprehensive Plan (Previous Agenda 329; Ordinance introduced by Mayor Wheeler; amend Ordinance No. 188177) 2 hours requested for items 397-399	
Amendme	ent numbers as listed in Exhibit A-2, April 2018.	
	to change zoning at 5027 NE Mallory Ave from R2.5 to R1 (new ent #53): Moved by Eudaly and seconded by Fish. Vote not called.	
	arking lot site: Moved by Fritz and seconded by Fish. Vote not	CONTINUED TO MAY 2, 2018 AT 4:45 PM TIME CERTAIN
3. Comm	issioner Fritz withdrew amendment #9. No objection.	AS AMENDED
	n to amend #12 to add a third property, 6444 NE 66 <sup>th</sup> Ave: Moved and seconded by Fish. Vote not called.	
by Fritz ar <b>Votes tak</b>	en on amendments previously moved and seconded on March 14	
by Fritz ar  Votes tak  and April  5. Amend	en on amendments previously moved and seconded on March 14	
by Fritz ar  Votes tak  and April  5. Amend	en on amendments previously moved and seconded on March 14 4, 2018: dments 1-8, 10, 11, 13-16 and 18. (Y-4) dments 20-21, 22-52: (Y-4)  Amend Zoning regulations to implement the 2035 Comprehensive Plan through the Code Reconciliation Project (Previous Agenda 350; Ordinance introduced by Mayor Wheeler; amend Title 33)	SUBSTITUTE CONTINUED TO MAY 24, 2018 AT 2:00 PM
by Fritz ar Votes tak and April 5. Amend 6. Amend	en on amendments previously moved and seconded on March 14 4, 2018: dments 1-8, 10, 11, 13-16 and 18. (Y-4) dments 20-21, 22-52: (Y-4)  Amend Zoning regulations to implement the 2035 Comprehensive Plan through the Code Reconciliation Project (Previous Agenda	CONTINUED TO MAY 24, 2018
by Fritz ar Votes tak and April 5. Amend 6. Amend	en on amendments previously moved and seconded on March 14 4, 2018: dments 1-8, 10, 11, 13-16 and 18. (Y-4) dments 20-21, 22-52: (Y-4)  Amend Zoning regulations to implement the 2035 Comprehensive Plan through the Code Reconciliation Project (Previous Agenda 350; Ordinance introduced by Mayor Wheeler; amend Title 33) Motion to accept Substitute Ordinance: Moved by Saltzman and	CONTINUED TO MAY 24, 2018 AT 2:00 PM TIME CERTAIN  SUBSTITUTE CONTINUED TO MAY 24, 2018
Votes tak and April 5. Amend 6. Amend *S-398	en on amendments previously moved and seconded on March 14 4, 2018: dments 1-8, 10, 11, 13-16 and 18. (Y-4) dments 20-21, 22-52: (Y-4)  Amend Zoning regulations to implement the 2035 Comprehensive Plan through the Code Reconciliation Project (Previous Agenda 350; Ordinance introduced by Mayor Wheeler; amend Title 33)  Motion to accept Substitute Ordinance: Moved by Saltzman and seconded by Fritz. (Y-4)  Amend Tree, Noise and Sign regulations to effectively implement Portland City Code through the Code Reconciliation Project (Previous Agenda 351; Ordinance introduced by Mayor Wheeler;	CONTINUED TO MAY 24, 2018 AT 2:00 PM TIME CERTAIN  SUBSTITUTE CONTINUED TO

At 3:10 p.m., Council adjourned.

April 25, 2018

MARY HULL CABALLERO
Auditor of the City of Portland

By Karla Moore-Love Clerk of the Council

For a discussion of agenda items, please consult the following Closed Caption File.

# April 25, 2018 Closed Caption File of Portland City Council Meeting

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised City Council broadcast and should not be considered a verbatim transcript.

Key: \*\*\*\*\* means unidentified speaker.

April 25, 2018 9:30am

**Fish:** Council will please come to order, the mayor is traveling and in china so today, today as the president of council I have the honor of serving as presiding officer. Karla, would you please call the roll?

Saltzman: Here Eudaly: Here. Fritz: Here. Wheeler:

**Fish:** Here, I am now going to call upon the city attorney to read the council conduct document.

Lory Kraut, Senior Deputy City Attorney: Welcome to the Portland city council. The city council represents all Portlanders and meets to do the city's business. The presiding officer preserves order and decorum during city council meetings so everyone can feel welcome, comfortable, respected and safe. To participate in council meetings you may sign up in advance with the council clerk's office for communications to briefly speak about any subject. You may also sign up for public testimony on resolutions or the first readings of ordinances. Your testimony should address the matter being considered at the time. When testifying, please state your name for the record, your address is not necessary. Please disclose if you are a lobbyist, if you are representing an organization, please identify it. The presiding officer determines the length of testimony. Individuals generally have three minutes to testify unless otherwise stated. When you have 30 seconds left, a yellow light goes on. When your time is done, a red light goes on. If you are in the audience and would like to show your support for something that is said, please feel free to do a thumbs-up. If you want to express that you do not support something, please feel free to do a thumb down. Disruptive conduct such as shouting or interrupting testimony or council deliberations will not be allowed. If there are disruptions, a warning will be given that further disruption may result in the person being ejected for the remainder of the meeting. After being ejected a person who fails to leave the meeting is subject to arrest for trespass. Thank you for helping your fellow Portlanders feel welcome, comfortable, respected and safe.

**Fish:** Thank you very much. So we have five people who have signed up to testify. You do not need to give us your address and we'll do them in sequence. Karla, would you please read council item 375?

Item 375.

Fish: Welcome.

**Sandra Shotwell:** Thank you very much for welcoming me. Sandra shotwell and I would like to talk to you about how Portland manages its historic resources. The city developed local designations years ago with a broad city process. The designations take into account many issues important to the city and these local designations are being set aside in favor of a national process that completely by-passes city involvement. Now, a handful of self-selected people can control the process of designating a historic resource in the city, but they require the city to manage it. It's like a case of the tail wagging the dog. I would like to point out also that neighborhood association boards are not representing the interests of all of their neighbors, let alone the city in this process, and I would encourage you not to privilege them with additional rights. They do some things very well. I think land use regulation is not one of them. Using my neighborhood east moreland as an example, a

small group of people decided to seek national historic district designation. Why not use one of the local ones? The national process is much easier. You just have to show that the buildings are of a certain age. You don't have to take into account any other city values or priorities, nothing cultural and it has no process and for involving you the representatives of the city in the designation. So anyone can take an old neighborhood and put it in a national district and give it some of the most stringent restrictions available in the country. Why is the national process easier? It's not designed to be used this way, it's designed to be an honorary designation with voluntary guidelines, but as a result, it is an optout process. Everyone who's affected is assumed to be in support of it unless they sign a notarized objection. So the city also has practically no role in the designation process whatsoever. So I think -- a few old-school handouts. In this city of over 600,000 people with many wonderful resources we might like to preserve, our neighborhood which has 2,000 owners has been proposed to be a national historic district by 20 people. It's something only well off groups can do. This required the use of \$67,000 of the neighborhood association funds, which they were able to do because they controlled the board. But they did this without city participation and without asking the neighbors whether they wanted it. Several months after submitting the application, they took a poll. 666 people were in favor, 702 were opposed. The board decided to go forward, anyway. At the national level you have an opt out process. You must sign a notarized objection. 400 people sent in letters of support, this number's irrelevant everyone's assumed to support. 1,040 sent in written letters of objection. The neighborhood association board decided to move forward anyway, so is this the process you want to be used to designate historic resources in the city? No other state does this --

**Fish:** Please wrap up. Do you have written testimony you want to send up for the record? **Shotwell:** I will submit this to you. Thank you.

**Fritz:** And does it include your suggestions of what we can do about it if its at a national level? How could the council affect it?

**Shotwell:** The linkage between local land use happens at the level of the state of Oregon so it requires discussion with the legislature. I believe it was an unintended consequence of an actual attempt by the legislature to make sure that groups were not placed in the historic district against their will. They provided an exception for the national service because it's meant to be honorary and there are some grants that can come with it.

**Fritz:** We need to go with the legislature?

**Shotwell:** But the city council now has through goal five an option to manage the process after a new designation is made, and I think that certain things are required and certain things the city can develop if it chooses to so I'd be happy to submit that.

**Fritz:** Please send us those recommendations.

**Fish:** Thank you very much, Karla would you please read council item 376? **Item 376.** 

Fish: Mr. Fitts welcome.

**Kevin Fitts:** Thank you. Thank you, council. I have -- my name is Kevin Fitts, I'm a volunteer for an organization called the Oregon mental health consumer association. We don't have a budget. We're an all-volunteer group so no one is paying me as a lobbyist. I also want to say just for conflict of interest I'm also a member of the -- I'm also a member of the home for everyone coordinating council and on the unity psychiatric crisis advisory board so just to put that out there, but I don't speak for them. I was going to talk about like it said in the agenda about some home forward relationship -- I just turned it off.

Fish: We'll add some time back on because of the technical --

**Fitts** I was going to talk about some issues that I had with public housing and city and county bureaucracy's relationship to their municipal corporations or public housing authorities, but my windows machine died last night so I'm going to pinch-hit. This morning

on my way down from southeast Portland I bumped into Marc Jolin and the head of the home for everyone and sheriff chief reese and then down here in the pearl district I ran into homer williams. So it's been a busy morning. Here is the issue -- and it was kind of serendipitous that this came to me because I was talking to these three gentlemen about it. The mercury did a piece on Portland's homeless situation, yadda, yadda, yadda about wapato and that sort of thing and it's an encouraging discussion wherever you fall on whether you want an institution 20 miles outside of Portland, isolated from services and 400 people all living on top of each other, or you want to put them in permanent housing with vouchers and supportive services. Wherever you fall in that discussion I'm not here to make that discussion, but I do want to make the argument about the -- so just for selfidentification, I'm a person who is a recovering alcoholic, recovering addict, recovering recipient of your criminal justice system, and have lived with the diagnosis of schizophrenia for 25 years and a four time suicide survivor so here's the thing. When I was looking at this article talking about this issue, homer williams is quoted saying, the first thing he wanted to do is stabilize the homeless, and then you can start to make long-term plans. And then after that he goes on to say that investing in permanent housing isn't a practical solution right now because what you need to do first is to stabilize the homeless. And having been a person who has been homeless in transitional housing and shelters and living in 82nd avenue motels I just find this language about what we need to do to these people offensive as a service recipient. And stabilize, euthanize, sterilize, that kind of language makes me uncomfortable from a service recipient, about what your benevolent, paternalism, what you need to do to me a user of your system seems offensive and gets my fear up. I thought we're trying to make therapeutic bonds with your social service agencies and users of your systems and that kind of language I think is aggressive and makes me uncomfortable. So thank you.

**Eudaly:** Homer williams is not on city council and nor does speak for the city of Portland.

**Fitts:** True true, that's true.

**Fish:** Thanks Kevin very much for joining us.

**Fritz:** That was really helpful, thank you very much. **Fish:** Karla would you please call item number 377?

Item 377.

**Fish:** Welcome Alan, do you have a powerpoint?

Alan Kessler: I do.

**Fish:** Why don't you take a second to make sure that's up and running, we won't charge you with that time. It's actually a minor miracle that it's up and running so good for you.

**Kessler:** There it is. I saw one of these and I see this on the clock, which one?

**Fish:** Three minutes right now.

**Kessler:** Thank you. My name is Alan Kessler, I'm here to talk about the historic landmarks commission, specifically a project that's at 18th and hoyt. It's been through three design review sessions now. It's an affordable building in the alphabet district. This is an area where commissioner Saltzman proposed the amendment that retained the four to one zoning in the most recent comp plan. It's zoned 4:1.

**Fish:** Can I just interrupt for a second, is this going to be coming to council on appeal?

Kessler: I hope so.

Fritz: Can I check with the city attorney. are we allowed to hear about it --

Kessler: Right now, it's in design review. There's been no --

**Lory Kraut, Senior Deputy Attorney:** I would assume the purpose is to allow anybody to come and talk during these communications and that you're not taking testimony. You're allowing someone to address council.

**Fish:** And there's no pending land use matter, so I think it falls in that gray area, but I'm glad that commissioner Fritz flagged that. If at some point -- this won't be charged against

your time, but if this does at some point become a land use appeal this may very well be an ex parte communication that has to be disclosed.

**Fritz:** And would enter it into the record at that point.

**Kessler:** Great, thank you. It's a 4:1 base, there's a one to four affordable housing bonus, but the developer doesn't feel like he can use that. This is what he said.

# [Audio recording]

\*\*\*\*\*: I think we've received feebback that having a full half block development at six story's at the full floor plate is not a compatible design solution.

# [End of Audio recording]

**Kessler:** So the reason I'm here -- the reason I'm here is to talk about who's providing that feedback to affordable housing developers that they can't use the zoning that council is giving them. The first proposal came in for 164 affordable units, 49 were deeply affordable for seniors, 115 were affordable below 60%, if they used the full 5:1 they probably could have added 60 more units which is worth \$20 million in public benefit that the developer didn't try for. This is the response of the first dar.

# [Audio recording]

\*\*\*\*\*: I would support a more simplified massing if it were significantly shorter.

# [End of Audio recording]

**Kessler:** You can read about commissioner Chung in the Oregonian, she actually lives within the developer's shadow study and decided to go and preside over that dar. The second proposal came back so they spent -- they came back with 16 fewer units. One fewer deeply affordable and 15 fewer affordable homes. So we lost about \$5 million worth of public benefit based on that feedback from the historic landmarks commission. Here was the feedback of the second dar. That's a shame, this is the best quote. So commissioner roman asking whether we want to subject people to the indignity of living in an inferior building and cramming people in and commissioner Chung chuckling as she says affordable housing isn't within the hlc's purview. Then we got to the third proposal, they didn't cut any units here and we got similar feedback. I wish the audio worked. So commissioner Mahoney talking about the footprint not being so bad and commissioner roman saying he doesn't care about it in the context of the historic landmark commission's mission. So I think this is the wrong attitude and I think you have a commission that's making decisions that you probably never would know about. They cut 60 units, and they cut 16 units and then someday maybe this will get to you on appeal, but by then that damage has been done, we have a nonprofit who wants to build hundreds of affordable units in our neighborhoods and the historic landmark commission is taking this extremely protective myopic view that doesn't consider the value that affordable housing would bring to the city.

**Fish:** Thank you very much and thanks for the powerpoint handout.

**Fritz:** And to be clear the historic landmarks commission is required to make its decisions based on the approval criteria in the code. So the correct way to deal with this would be to change the code.

**Kessler:** I agree I think the commission could make it explicit that zoning isn't up for debate at the hlc like its not up for debate at the design commission. I would also say that that commission doesn't balk when you're talking about parking. They're more than happy to talk about parking and loading zones, which aren't really in their purview, either. They just don't want to talk about affordable housing because I guess they don't like that.

**Fish:** Thank you, Alan. Karla would you please read council item 378?

Item 378.

Fish: Mr. Davis.

**David Kif Davis:** A lot of this has to do with you, nick Fish, and how you're supposedly this constitutional lawyer yet you're okay with your staff members coming out in my face and

falsely accusing me of being a sex offender. My name is David kif Davis, 6-30-1970. Anybody can google my criminal arrest record, look into it, I have none of those charges so you all have your little goons come and falsely accuse me of stuff when you're actually the one who collaborators and hangs out with sex offenders.

**Fish:** Mr. Davis -- mr. Davis, you will suspend your comments. You can say whatever you want about a city commissioner. You are not allowed to take to people -- to go after city employees who have obtained restraining orders against you for your conduct. You will not use this platform to retaliate against public employees and if you do I will rule you out of order

**Davis:** So here's an article that I got published in the Willamette week right here. About you. And how you, along with Alan rosenbalm, get money from a child rapist, terry bean. **Fish:** Mr. Davis, you are not entitled to come here and make false allegations against citizens and it will not be tolerated. You do not have that privilege.

**Davis:** You are on record as someone who's got money from terry bean and we all know about all his accusations. Anyone can google the name. You totally side-stepped all the questions that the Willamette week gave to you, asking you about your conflict of interest getting money from a sex abuser that rapes kids.

**Fish:** So Mr. Davis, you are not privileged to defame citizens in this forum --

**Davis:** You said terry bean has been a national leader in the struggle for lgbt rights, he is supporting me because of my leadership on marriage equality and transgender rights. Give me a break. Why don't you just answer their question? And here's a quote from your playbook, okay? Joseph goebbels, the nazi head honcho propaganda guy. If you tell a lie big enough and keep repeating it people will eventually come to believe it. The lie can be maintained only for such time as the state can shield people from the political, economic and/or military consequences of the lie. It thus becomes vitally important for the state to use all its powers to repress dissent for the truth is the mortal enemy of the lie and thus by extension the truth is the greatest enemy of the state. So you and your little staff advisors use stuff straight out of the nazi playbook where you accuse the other side of which you are guilty.

**Fish:** Mr. Davis, your time is up, thank you very much. Thank you very much mr. Davis. Your time is up. You're being disruptive and if you continue to talk you'll be removed. Karla would you please call item 379?

Item 379.

**Fish:** Mr. Davis, take your seat, please. Is mr. Ryan here? Well, if he comes a little bit later, we'll try to -- thank you very much. Colleagues let's turn to the consent agenda. Karla, have any items been pulled?

**Moore-Love:** Yes, we had 387, the mayor requested that be rescheduled to next week.

Fish: Without objection?

**Moore-Love:** Can I read the title?

**Fish:** Why don't you read the title and we'll reschedule it.

Item 387.

**Fish:** So without objection, we'll set that over for next week. We're waiting for commissioner Eudaly to come back so Karla we're going to hold the vote. Is that the only item that's been pulled?

Moore: Yes.

**Fish:** Mr. Davis, that's the second time you've tried to disrupt the hearing. If you do it again you'll be asked to leave. Mr. Davis, you are now disrupting the meeting and you will be asked to leave. So Karla we'll hold off on the vote until commissioner Eudaly comes back. Why don't we -- is that her? Here she is. She has a flair for the dramatic. We're going to vote on the consent agenda. Karla please call the roll.

Saltzman: Aye. Eudaly: Aye. Fritz: Aye. Fish: Aye.

**Fish:** We now move to two time certains, the first one is council item 380, Karla would you please read the title?

Item 380.

**Fish:** Colleagues, the bureau of environmental services invests in green infrastructure to manage stormwater and to protect the health of Portland's watersheds. Trees are essential to the bureau's green infrastructure investments and they deliver significant cost effective stormwater management benefits to the city and to our rate-payers. The Portland city council first launched the tree bate program nine years ago. The program provides a modest incentive to Portlanders to plant trees in their residential yards. I would like to invite Jennifer Karps tree program coordinator for the bureau of environmental service to give a brief presentation and she will be followed by a panel of invited testimony, including Whitney dorer Deputy director friends of trees's, Ted Labbee policy and program director urban greenspaces initiative and Thuy Tu urban forestry commission member, welcome, Jennifer.

Jennifer Karps, Bureau of Environmental Services: Thank you, commissioner Fish and thank you commissioners for having me today. I'm excited to come to council in April, which as you know is arbor month to share a stainless plug for arbor month there. Today, we're here to talk about our tree bate program, which the authorization for expires at the end of the fiscal year June 30th and we're here to request reauthorization. So the environmental services bureau, a little bit of background about bes, is the stormwater and sewer management bureau so we are charged with making sure that our service waters are clean and we do that, there's a little picture story up on the screen here, we do that through investment in both gray infrastructure and green infrastructure to help to manage both sanitary, sewage and stormwater and to keep our rivers clean and keep our communities healthy and vibrant through both the gray and green investments that we make. As you know, Portland gets about 37 inches of rain a year. It may seem like more than 137 sometimes, but it's roughly 37 inches and that generates a lot of storm water because it involves the impervious services, the buildings and roads that we built as we urbanized, so as I mentioned, we have a complex suite of gray and green infrastructure that we use to manage that storm water and keep our residents safe. We use pipes and pump stations guite exhaustibly, but we have a lot of exciting treatments that we use as well. For my part we are in the tree planting and tree investment business. The bureau also invests in bioswales, rain gardens, eco roofs and other green infrastructure to manage storm water more naturally, but also provide a suite of complementary benefits, improving air quality, there's been a lot of data lately particularly about the human health benefits of trees, not just in terms of wellbeing and feeling good to be around trees but also that trees are actually conferring us health benefits that we might live longer and be less inclined to die from respiratory ailments. So to get to the tree bate program, tree bate began in 2009, it was funded at first under the gray to green initiative, which occurred from 2008 to 2013 and it was a significant investment over and above what the city had been doing in green infrastructure to try to catch us up with the level of development that had occurred in the city and again, manage storm water more naturally and improve the health of our communities. The tree bate program among other programs that the bureau runs helps us to meet our storm water management goals, it helps the city to meet its tree canopy cover goals which as you know our hope is to get to 33% tree canopy cover by 2030 and to improve community livability and our tree planting programs do that in a number of ways, not just with the benefits that the trees provide, but also that we involve a lot of community members in planting those trees, which I expect you'll hear from Whitney Dorer when she speaks and the tree bate program is fairly straightforward. This is one piece of a suite of programs that the bureau of environmental services offers to get trees planted in the city. Tree bate is for the resident who wants to plant a tree on their property and goes out to a

nursery, buys the tree or perhaps hires someone to plant a tree. They can choose just about whatever tree species they like as long as it's not on our nuisance plants list, and then they get a one time credit on their utility bill against their on site storm water fee as a thank you from us that they're going to help us manage that storm water. The amount of the credit varies depending on how big the tree will be when its mature. So a Douglas fir or a Oregon white oak, something that gets guite large, will get you half of what you paid for the tree up to a maximum of \$50 and if you plant a smaller tree, an apple tree, a dogwood, something like that, then you'll get half of what you paid for the tree up to \$15 and for the medium sized trees that maximum is \$25. You go to the nursery or wherever you get your tree, you plant it and then you fill in the application and send it to us, and then we give you that one time credit and it shows up on your utility bill as an adjustment so it's a very elegant process. And then, of course, one of my favorite parts about tree bate is that we partner with nurseries to get the word out about our program and there are a number of area nurseries. The image on the screen is one of the a frame signs that we have at a local nursery that advertises the tree bate program and we have found that our nursery partners really engaged with the program and they like to talk to customers about it. So since November of 2009, when the program started, we've served over 1,500 customers. We've given them 3,000 of these tree bate credits and spent about \$70,000 in the process so it's a very modest program. The average amount of the tree bate is under \$23 per tree so relative to other programs that we have, tree bate is very modest, but it provides a nice ability for if you want to do it your way, yourself, then you can participate in tree planting in the city, and I think it's interesting that we ask people to tell us where they bought their trees because we want to reach out to those businesses and see if they want our outreach materials and so far, 236 nurseries and landscape service providers have been involved in the tree bate program, people have purchased their trees there, which we think is wonderful that we're able to help support these industries. Just briefly the legislative history of tree bate as I mentioned, we started in 2009 for the five year term of the gray to green initiative. We came back to see you in 2013 and asked for another five years to run the program. In that time we've been very happy with the program and we would like to request today, to ask for your reauthorization on a permanent basis.

**Fish:** By the way, Jennifer I have to say, I really appreciate that we are starting to include legislative history in these powerpoints. We have two relatively new members of council, we'll have a new member of council next year. And many of the things that come to council do have significant legislative history and I love the idea of a slide that walks through how we got here. Thank you for including that.

**Karps:** Absolutely thank you. So finally, the future of tree bate, we're excited to get the reauthorization and are looking towards the future to refresh the program to improve its accessibility to all residents of Portland. As I mentioned before, tree bate is one part of a suite of programs that the bureau of environmental services offers. We have contracts with some landscape on-call contractors and we use them to plant trees that would be difficult or unsafe for volunteers to work so with commercial, industrial and multi-family partners generally, and then we have a contract with friends of trees and they actually plant most of our trees for us, and I think I recognize some faces in the room and behind the bench up here, folks who have come out and planted trees with friends of trees so you know what I'm talking about. So we're excited to improve accessibility to the tree bate program and to continue to work with our community partners to focus our efforts on low tree canopy, lowincome and racially diverse communities and thank you very much for your attention. **Fish:** Colleagues let's bring the panel up, and then we'll take questions for all of our participants. So thank you very much. If Whitney Dorer, Ted Labbee and Thuy Tu could

please come forward. And thank you for taking time out of your busy schedules to join us

today. Commissioner Thuy, let's start with you.

**Thuy Tu:** Thank you, and thank you for having me here, this is the second time I've addressed you as an urban forestry commissioner. My name is Thuy Tu I'm also an adjunct professor at the university of Portland and the university of Oregon and I teach a course in resiliency planning so a lot of what you'll hear about and a lot of what the city is working on is what will ultimately go to the next generations of students, but today, I'm here again on behalf of the urban forestry commission as well as a resident of east Portland and representing the communities of the jade district and beyond. It is our hope and it is important, it's imperative that the city continue to offer incentives to acknowledge the stewardship of our residents for taking proactive actions in planting trees to help our city meet our 2050, 100% renewable goals. In the case of the tree bate program, it helps the city meet those goals, such as the climate change preparation, storm water management and urban forestry canopy tree cover. As you may know, I do guite a bit of work with the jade district and the jade district has been really influential in piloting projects and one of the favorite ones that have come to light was the Oregon initiative project and greening the jade. It's been very successful and a very community gathering opportunity and also visioning out as to what we could do to help east Portland. So I don't have much else to say, but just to encourage that it is something that is very important with our community out in east Portland. Thank you.

**Fish:** Well, thanks for joining us. I seem to recall we took up your nomination a few months ago and here you are with your first presentation so thank you. Ted, welcome.

**Ted Labbee:** Thanks. My name is ted Labbee, and I serve on the Portland utility board as a volunteer, but today, I'm here representing the urban green space institute and as an individual. I'm really happy to support -- come out and support the extension of this program. I think it's a very important program that the city has and I would encourage you to renew it. The city of Portland is losing large-form trees and there are precious few places in our right-of-ways to plant large and medium-form trees. So a lot of residents now are favoring small-form trees in the right-of-way. It makes this program of encouraging and incentivizing people to plant trees in their yards that much more important. Aside from the removal of unnecessary pavement, there's no better way than tree planting to address storm water runoff and the urban heat island effect and we know that trees have a multitude of community environmental benefits, so it's perfectly appropriate for the city to incentivize their planting in private yards with discounts on storm water utility charges. With that said, I want to strongly also take a minute to strongly encourage you to fully fund the street tree task force as part of a spring bump process. We need some new, creative thinking about how to fund our street tree investments and that cannot happen without this new task force, so thanks again.

**Fish:** So ted I think we got an e-mail from you, I gotten a bunch of emails this is just for the public to understand, this is a \$100,000 ask that commissioner Fritz is a strong supporter of in our budget modification process and my understanding is that it will come back to council for a vote. Whitney, welcome.

Whitney Dorer: Thank you for having me. I'm Whitney Dorer the deputy director at friends of trees and as a long-term partner of bes, friends of trees is here today to support tree bate as an ongoing program that can be offered as a resource to city of Portland residents. As we are a group who plant over 2,400 neighborhood trees in the city of Portland each year, engaging thousands of residents, we also receive hundreds of calls that are tree-related from community members each month and we feel that this is a very complementary program to our work. The resource is so great for those residents who have missed their neighborhood plantings or are just interested in planting another tree in their private property and are eager to plant. It's important for residents to have opportunities like tree bate to engage in planting trees that benefit our community for years to come. This program also engages Portlanders in conversations about the importance of

city trees and the more we engage our communities in these issues, the better. Also, as a resident of north Portland I frequent many local nurseries and I've seen the wonderful signage that the tree bate program puts out. I feel like it's very approachable and friends of trees often holds brochures at our outreach tables that are a great resource for our community. Thank you.

**Fish:** Thank you very much. Would you like to put a plug in for your annual luncheon? **Dorer:** Sure, May 9th, it's actually an evening event this year, Lagunitas from 6:00 to 8:00 p.m., May 9th, check it out its on our website or you can just email whitneyd@friendsoftrees.org and I'll hook you up.

**Fish:** Colleagues any questions for our distinguished panel? Thank you all very much, Karla has anyone signed up to testify?

**Moore-Love:** No one else signed up.

**Fish:** Lightning, anyone else in the room that would like to testify? Mr. Walsh. I don't know whether this is in the record, but from fiscal year 2010 to fiscal year 2017, the total number of credits to customers was just under \$70,000 so that gives you a sense of the cost to rate-payers. Lightning, welcome.

**Lightning:** Yes, my name is lightning, I represent lightning super creativity watchdog. For my position I would like to discontinue this program. My reason being is that I don't think it should be a public utility program. I think it should be transferred over to parks and recreation and it's my understanding commissioner Fritz already asked for an additional \$100,000 possibly from the parks and recreation so to me, I guess I'm not on the public utility board, but it kind of just jumps out at me and I'm just saying I want to have it transferred, discontinued and transferred over to parks. Now, another issue is my understanding on this program, you're averaging four to 450 trees per year if I'm correct by my analysis. Again, I think that number is a little bit on the low side and one of the concerns I have is using the term plant trees for clean rivers. Well, the Willamette river is so polluted if you want to swim in it, take your chances on it. So obviously, in the past we've failed. And my question is that, as you know, on that glass company, which determined that the trees were unhealthy is the reality is we have a lot of unhealthy trees. If you look along the river, and you look at the trees themselves and the bark and how they're growing and different things like that, we've got a lot of unhealthy trees and, you know, from my position, I want to have a clear understanding that when you're saying clean rivers is that we don't have clean rivers. We have unhealthy trees and, you know, from my position we need to really focus on this issue and understand that do we have other type of methods that we can build houses from and not use trees? Do we have other ways that we can build commercial buildings and not use trees? Because now, it seems like we're almost going back to wanting to use more wood and we have certain projects lets use more wood and cut the trees down, but the reality is if you look at the past, on what we've done by cutting all these trees down, well, we've got seriously polluted rivers, we have unhealthy trees and let me tell you something. We have a lot of unhealthy people in this city because of that, because of the air they breathe, because of the ways that we've cut these trees down. So we need to have some straight talk on this is that exactly what are you doing to have clean air? Exactly what are you doing to cut down some of these emissions from diesel number one and different types of cars and different things that are making our trees unhealthy? Because we've got a serious problem here and I want to see more trees planted and I want to see different types of building methods to where we don't have to cut these trees down and we take it serious and say we don't want to cut these trees down to begin with so that's my position, thank you.

**Fish:** Thank you, Mr. Lightning, Mr. Walsh welcome.

**Joe Walsh:** Good morning my name is joe walsh, I represent individuals for justice. We fully support this. We think it shows the better part of our nature that we take care of the

trees and we take care of the rivers. We have to do those kinds of things and as long as we keep building with concrete, we're going to build ourselves into a major problem and we all know that. So if we have programs that perpetuate nature and bring it close to the urban area, we see that as a good thing. The second point is -- and that's a nonconditional support by the way. Second thing is to remind the presiding official that the powerpoints were again very difficult to read. So we have this running battle that the message below the presentation is not good enough. It leaves the people out that have visual impairments, of a part of the presentation and I'm going to call you on this a lot because sooner or later and I know this is going to take a while for everybody to get on board, if you present a power -- powerpoint or a power display, please, if you're listening, take into consideration us older folks that really want to read everything that's up there. We want to know what's going on and if you make the print too small and we can't read it, we don't have a clue what you're talking about. So once again, it's a technical criticism. It's not personal. It's a running problem that we've had for years and I hope to live to see it resolved.

Fish: Thank you, Mr. Walsh. This is a first reading of an ordinance, it will go to a second

**Fish:** Thank you, Mr. Walsh. This is a first reading of an ordinance, it will go to a second reading next week. Jennifer thank you and thank you for our distinguished panelists for joining us. Our next time certain is very timely. Karla, would you please read council item 381?

## Item 381.

Fish: Commissioner Dan Saltzman.

**Saltzman:** Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you, colleagues. Today, we are here to celebrate 10 successful years of Portland metro fire camp for young women and to talk about its growing popularity and demand, evident by its expansion this year with a second session being hosted by Tualatin valley fire and rescue. So I would like to invite up the presidents of the metro fire camp, Portland firefighter Liz Thompson, and recruiter Irene Conception-Sestric, as well as Portland fire chief mike Myers and they'll talk a little bit. I also want to thank Tualatin valley fire and rescue's chief Mike Duyck for being here with us today and after they present, we can read the proclamation and take a picture with all the great firefighters we have in the room.

Mike Myers, Chief, Portland Fire and Rescue: Thank you, good morning commissioners, for the record I'm mike Myers, fire chief for the city of Portland. To my right is firefighter Liz Thompson. she's a firefighter with Portland fire and rescue and to her right, firefighter Irene Conception-Sestric, also a firefighter with Portland fire and rescue. And thank you for recognizing some young women in the audience that are also firefighters with Tualatin valley fire and rescue that have joined us here today. Tualatin valley is always a fantastic partner with us for public safety in the valley and we appreciate them being with us here today. I'm going to turn this over to Liz and Irene to talk about the Portland metro area fire camp for young women. So thank you for having us today. Liz Thompson, Portland Fire and Rescue: Good morning mayor and commissioners, again I'm firefighter Liz Thompson. We have a video that shows fire camp I believe.

**Fish:** Nice to see the partnership you have with bureau of environmental sevices.

Myers: Karla thank you for your help.

# [video played]

\*\*\*\*\*: Your choice is to draw one of four different workers. A construction worker, a firefighter, an ER doctor and a fire pilot, thinking about what clothes these professionals wear, what kind of vehicles they drive, thinking about what kind of tools they use. These professionals are going to be coming here in real life after we draw the picture. Finishing touches time to everybody who's at a desk. ¶¶ ¶¶ I was thinking that it might be fun to actually meet a real life professional that has these jobs. So what do you know? They're walking in right now, everybody. Welcome. We're going to go ahead and let the professionals have a minute to introduce themselves.

Marcy: Hi, my name is Mary, I'm a carpenter and I build homes.

**Kim:** Hi, my name is Kim and I'm a fighter pilot.

**Diane West:** Hello, I'm Diane and I'm an emergency doctor.

**Liz Thompson:** Hi, I'm Liz, I'm a firefighter with Portland fire and rescue.

\*\*\*\*\*: Are there more dads than there are moms? You know you can any job that you want. So someone having trouble breathing you put that over their nose and plug this in and there's lots of oxygen that helps them breathe better.

\*\*\*\*\*: Does anybody know the tools that we're passing around today? What tools are you guys holding?

\*\*\*\*\*: Its really important for us to leave here today, knowing more than ever that no matter who you are, every job is open to you.

\*\*\*\*\*: Thank you ¶¶ ¶¶

# [end of video]

**Thompson:** I'll give you a little background on the video that was a project of mine and my acquaintance, e.r. doctor, Diane west. We started the conversation as we have about starting fire camp, why the seed isn't planted in young individuals that they can do any career that's possible for them. So that was our baby, our labor of love so I'm really happy that it's being shown here today and thank you for your time for that. Thank you for the opportunity to highlight the 10-year anniversary of Portland metro fire camp, as well. It again is the result of conversations with Portland firefighters, female firefighters, about why we didn't think of this career at a young age and how we can change the course. Over a decade ago our own Lisa Knight assisted with camp blaze in Washington which was at the time the only fire service-related camp for young girls and she brought that model back to us and we were supported in creating our own for that. 10 years later, we have mentored over 300 young women from camp and they have succeeded to become firefighters. paramedics, emts, nurses, a helicopter mechanic, they've pursued passions for law enforcement, served in the coast guard, and became a volunteer firefighter that started their own cadet program in her own department in our home town. So Portland fire and metro fire camp has become a model for development of other camps in bend fire department, in Lebanon, lacy, I.a. City, salt lake city and Atlanta, Georgia, have reached out to us to find out what we're doing, how we're succeeding, and taking that back to their fire department, as well. And it's also sparked the flame for our friends at Tualatin valley fire and rescue to contribute and have a bigger step in this goal. Our mission statement includes empowerment. So young women have the confidence to pursue not only fire careers, but non-traditional careers as well and they also have the tools and the skill set to force open those glass doors and ceilings. To conclude my part, the following statement is from a previous camper. I can't express how grateful I am to have spent three days with female firefighters who showed me what it really means to work as a team. I was horribly nervous on day one, almost to the point where I did not want to return day two. My body aches all over the place from lifting such heavy objects. I have never seen so many strong women with such a positive attitude in one place and if I could thank every councilor for encouraging me to be fearless in whatever career I choose, I would and I think that's the greatest impact. So thank you.

Irene Conception-Sestric, Portland Fire and Rescue: Yes, this should take about 90 seconds. Good morning commissioners, thank you for this opportunity to acknowledge the 10-year anniversary of Portland metro fire camp. As you can see by those present behind us, Portland metro fire camp is an outreach program that is not limited to our city. Present today are current and past councilors, previous fire campers, and Portland firefighter caylee callen who attended Portland metro fire camp in 2009. The success of this camp has everything to do with the women behind me and many of the women who were unable to attend. I would be remiss if I did not acknowledge and express our heartfelt gratitude to

the departments and the fire chiefs who support their female firefighters and often their one and only female firefighter to instruct at this three day camp. This collaborative effort comes from the professional fema firefighters from Oregon, including Tualatin valley fire and rescue, Salem, Clackamas, Albany, Lebanon, bend, Sunriver, port of Portland, Hillsboro, Medford and our neighbors to the north, camas, Washougal, Tacoma, Raymond, Lacey, Snohomish and Vancouver and as far south as I.a. city. Every year these young women commit to traveling to Portland to instruct and to be role models and mentors for the young campers. Our intentions with this camp is to give young girls a place to feel challenged, to experience fear with the attempt of something new and equally as important to feel a sense of accomplishment and empowerment. These skills are not unique to the fire service. These skills are applicable to any career they decide to choose. We continue to support and mentor the campers years after they attend. During camp and for weeks after camp, there's a general feeling that the wind is at our backs and hopefully, that we've impacted the young female campers in some capacity. Though there's hard work months in advance to prepare for fire camp the true courage starts after the completion of camp when each one of the professional female firefighters returns to their department, inspired and empowered, and choose to have difficult conversations with their administration on the recruitment efforts and their outreach efforts and how they're diversifying their workforce. These are not always easy discussions to have and oftentimes, these women are the only females in their department. These are the women that are moving the marker. Our ask of you today is that the city of Portland continues to support Portland metro fire camp and to proudly accept that we're obligated to be vanguards in outreach, recruiting and preparatory programs in the fire service. I believe it is our obligation to educate all women, all men, that a career in the fire service could be their calling. Thank you.

**Saltzman:** Thank you very much and maybe you could just tell people who might be watching this how they can go about, if they have a young girl or her family wants to get involved in the fire camp, who do they contact? And when is the camp?

**Thompson:** Our camp at the Portland location will be July 13th to the 15<sup>th</sup> and the Sherwood location, which is hosted by Tualatin valley fire and rescue is august 10th through the 12th. Our applications are online at the city of Portland and forward slash fire. Portlandoregon.gov on our website.

**Saltzman:** Is the application still open?

**Thompson:** The application deadline is June 10th and we will take approximately 50 campers for the July camp and about 35 campers for the august camp.

**Saltzman:** Well, thank you and we accept your challenges Irene, as fire commissioner, I accept those. And I would like to read the proclamation and maybe we can do a picture. **Fritz:** Can I ask a question?

**Fish:** Commissioner what we're going to do is have the proclamation and then questions and a picture.

**Saltzman:** I'll be reading this on behalf of mayor wheeler. Whereas 10 years ago, a group of female firefighters from Portland fire and rescue created a concept to introduce young women to a career in the fire service, a camp that teaches hands-on skills, instructed by local female firefighters; And whereas Portland fire and rescue supported this plan and hosted the free three-day camp at its training grounds; And whereas the group recruited female firefighters from the area and dubbed the camp Portland metro fire camp to reflect the broad support from our local agencies; And whereas the first camp instructed 14 young women and grew to almost 40 young women each year, introducing hundreds of women to the fire service and empowering each to confidently find their own path with multitudes going into fire careers across Oregon and the nation, including one hired by Portland fire and rescue; And whereas now in its 10th year, Portland metro fire camp is expanding to meet its growing demand by opening a second session hosted by Tualatin valley fire and

rescue; And whereas applications are now open for the 2018 camp and we encourage all interested young women to apply on our website, now therefore i, ted wheeler, the mayor of the city of Portland, the city of roses, do hereby proclaim April, 2018, to be Portland metro fire camp month in Portland and encourage all residents to observe this month. [applause]

**Fish:** In a moment we'll take a picture, but first comments or questions from my colleagues? Commissioner Fritz?

**Fritz:** Thank you, president Fish. I noticed that you used the word individual and girl interchangeable when you are making the presentation. Is this like the girl scouts where anybody who identifies as a girl or female is welcome to participate?

**Thompson:** Yes, that's correct.

**Fritz:** Fabulous, thank you. **Fish:** Commissioner Eudaly.

**Eudaly:** So thank you for being here today and thank you for the video which was adorable, but also a little disappointing, to be honest. I was born in 1970, I grew up in an era of free to be you and me. I grew up in a family that did their best not to enforce gender stereotypes or roles on me and when I was the age of those kids, I wanted to be a doctor, an artist and a zookeeper simultaneously, which I think perhaps I have now achieved in my role as commissioner, which, by the way, is --

**Fish:** I'll rule that statement out of order, Karla.

**Eudaly:** Which is also a male dominated field which president Fish just demonstrated by interrupting me. [laughter] so it's disappointing that children still envision men in all of these roles and so thank you for your efforts, thank you to Portland fire and rescue and the Portland metro fire camp for their decade of work educating young women in firefighting skills. The fire camp has introduced hundreds of women to this vital career path in an industry historically dominated by men. Despite this ongoing fact, women have been fighting fires in the united states for over 200 years. The first woman firefighter we know of was molly Williams, a slave in New York city, who became a member of the Oceanus engine company number 11 in about 1815. The first all-woman forest crew in California was assembled in 1942, and the first female fire chief was right here in Oregon, Ruth E. Capello was named chief of the butte falls fire department in 1973. I'm very pleased that we're continuing to build on the tradition of female firefighters and encourage women in our community to seek out this vital profession. Congratulations and thank you for your service.

**Fritz:** If I might add to that very eloquent history, I can't let this go past without thanking the former fire chief Eric Jensen for her leadership in the city of Portland and her support of this program. It was really fabulous for a long time that the mayor was able to go to places and talk about all of the women in leadership in our community and you have quite the legacy to carry on, chief Myers and I'm glad that you're doing that.

**Fish:** Chief I have one question and then we'll take a picture. If someone's watching this and they're interested in a career in firefighting, how do they apply to become a firefighter and how long does it take to get the training you need to actually, become a firefighter? **Myers:** That's an excellent question because our recruiter is actually sitting two chairs down to my right and that is Irene Conception-Sestric, so we actually have a full-time recruiter with us. Irene does a tremendous amount of work making sure individuals out there know that we have a program here that firefighting is available to them, and Irene do you want to kind of talk about how we do that?

**Conception-Sestric:** You bet. So your question is how do they apply and what does the training look like? So historically, our application -- we will draw in about 4,000 people for a dozen positions in the city of Portland. And though that seems initially rather flattering, what we're really trying to do is capture qualified candidates that will move through our

## April 25, 2018

process and so what that application period process looks like, it's an online application, they'll go through three to four months of a testing process and then our training academy currently is 12 months long.

**Fish:** Well, thank you very much. Should we take a picture?

Saltzman: Why don't we all --

**Fish:** We could invite our other guests to join us. Our friends from Tualatin valley. Okay. So we have now concluded our time certains and we're moving to our regular agenda. Karla would you please call council item 389?

Item 389.

**Fish:** Colleagues, this is a Larry why don't you come forward, this a procurement report on a competitively bid project for the bureau of environmental services to upgrade the Fremont pump station and provide long-term reliability to its waste water collection system. I would like to introduce Larry Pelatt interim chief procurement officer and I guess Scott Gibson is not here so Larry why don't you take it away?

**Larry Pelatt, Procurement Services:** Thank you very much, good morning commissioners. You have before you the procurement report --

**Moore-Love:** Larry, turn your mike on.

**Pelatt:** Thanks. I have a big mouth, you can hear me anyway. The Fremont pump station upgrade project in the amount of \$1,256,845. The engineers estimate on the project was \$1,440,000 and the bureau's confidence level in that was high. On November 8th, 2017, council approved ordinance number 188675 for the procurement services to competitively solicit the Fremont pump station. The project was advertised in the city's electronic procurement system and bids were open February 22nd, 2018. Three bids were received and Stettler supply company is the lower responsive bidder at \$1,256,845 which is 14.2% under the engineer's estimate. I must remind the council when we're under, this is a good thing. The Portland bureau of environmental services along with procurement services identified an aspirational goal for certified disadvantage minority women owned and emerging small business enterprises, sub contractor utilization that 20% of the hard construction costs, this is a relatively specialized project, there is a total of \$38,861, which is only 3.1% of dmwesb sub contractor participation. The portion follows dbe \$4,960 doing saw cutting, core drilling, who at \$5,875 tree protection, and emerging small business of \$28,026 with plumbing, insulation and scaffolding. Stettler Supply company is located in Salem, Oregon they are not a state certified dmwesb contractor. They do have a current city of Portland business tax registration, and they are eeo certification and they are in full compliance with the city's contracting requirements. Council has any questions relative to the bidding process I can answer those. I don't have anybody from bes, but I have the contractor here if there are questions relative to any other part of the presentation.

**Fish:** Thank you very much. Colleagues? No questions. Karla did anyone sign up to testify?

**Moore:** This is a report.

**Fish:** This is a report. So I'll accept a motion to accept the report.

**Saltzman:** Move to accept the report.

Fritz: Second.

Fish: It's been moved and second. Karla please call the roll.

Saltzman: Ave.

Fritz: Thank you for your work aye.

**Fish:** Aye, thank you very much and the report is adopted. Our next item is council item

number 390.

Item 390.

Fish: Welcome back interim chief procurement officer Larry Pelatt.

Larry Pelatt, Procurement Services: Didn't even get to move very far. Good morning commissioners. You have before you the procurement report recommending a contract award to Wildish Standard Paving for the foster road street scape southeast 50th to southeast 92<sup>nd</sup> avenue project \$5,091,418.52. The engineer's estimate was \$6,569,285.83. The bureau's confidence level was moderate. On December 6th, council approved ordinance number 188705 for procurement services to competitively solicit this project. The project was advertised on the city's electronic system, bids were open on March 8th, four bids were received in response and Wildish Standard Paving is the lowest responsive and responsible bidder at \$5,091,418.52. This is \$1,504,418.83 under the engineer's estimate. This is really, really good. I didn't -- again, I don't need to remind the council if we're saving money or the engineers estimate, this is good for us and it's not common today. This project is a federally funded highway project and as such the city's standard goal of 20% participation by disadvantaged minority women emerging small businesses participation is not applicable. Federal projects recognize the dbe disadvantaged business enterprise certification only. The Oregon department of transportation as the grand tour manager of the federal funds project assigns a goal to the project for dbe enterprises. This project had a goal of 15%, which is higher than most city projects get. We've been asking for a long time for higher goals and it's starting to have a good effect. So pbot has been working very hard at this. Wildish standard paving has committed to 15.12%, slightly over the dbe participation goals. A total of \$789,822 of committed dbe subcontractor participation for the project and an additional \$111,200 to state certified mwe firms, which is not calculated in the participation goal. Wildish standard paving is located in Eugene, Oregon. They are not a state certified contractor. They do have city of Portland business tax registration and are in full compliance with the city's contracting requirements. The council has any questions regarding the bidding process I can answer those. Okay we do have somebody from pbot if we need to.

**Fish:** Thank you very much Mr. Pelatt. Colleagues? No questions. Karla, has anyone signed up to testify?

**Moore:** This is a report.

**Fish:** That's right, thank you. If you keep saying that enough it will sink in, at some point. It's a report, we don't take testimony.

**Saltzman:** Move to accept the report.

Fritz: Second.

Fish: It's been moved and seconded, would you please call the roll?

**Saltzman:** This is pretty impressive for the bid coming in way below the engineer's

estimate. Hopefully, that's a trend that will hold up.

**Pelatt:** Let's hope so.

Saltzman: Two for two today. Aye.

**Fritz:** Could be a bit worrying. Maybe it shows that the construction boom is starting to fade and if there's any way it does pencil out better for the taxpayers right now. Aye. **Fish:** Aye. It passes. Thank you very much. Karla we're going to need four members of council to act on council item 391 so as we wait for Chloe to rejoin us if you don't mind colleagues I would like to dispense with the second readings and we'll come back to that item. If you could read council item number 392.

Item 392.

**Fish:** Colleagues this is a second reading. Vote only. Please call the roll.

Saltzman: Aye. Fritz: Aye.

**Fish:** Aye. The ordinance passes. Karla would you please read council item 394?

Item 394.

**Fish:** This is a second reading, vote only. Karla, please call the roll.

Saltzman: Aye. Fritz: Aye.

**Fish:** Aye. It passes. Karla please read council item 395.

Item 395.

**Fish:** This is a second reading vote only. Karla please call the roll.

Saltzman: Aye. Eudaly: Aye.

**Fritz:** Well, thank you, commissioner Eudaly, I mean, commissioner Saltzman, Matt Grumm and Karl Arruda for your work on this project. Also Jeanine Gates in my office, a lot of hard work getting the easements, the access to the Columbia slough, it was great to see community members here in support of this last week, and it's a long project that's getting done thanks to Andrew Aebi and various others who were involved in the larger project. Aye.

**Fish:** Ditto aye. It passes, Karla please read council item -- did we just do 396? If you could read council item 396.

Item 396.

**Fish:** This is the second reading, vote only. Karla, please call the roll.

Saltzman: Aye. Eudaly: Aye. Fritz: Aye.

**Fish:** Aye, the ordinance passes. So we have two items left. Karla let's go back to council item 391 and I have some talking points I want to make.

Item 391.

**Fish:** Colleagues, the mayor has asked me to read the following talking points. On March 15th, 2017, city council issued resolution number 37274, directing the office of management and finance to implement a space optimization plan. The plan was to be intentional and strategic with the goal of creating similarity in design, flexibility, and utility of function throughout city bureaus. These will allow for flexibility between bureau spaces for fluctuations in personnel requirements and will significantly reduce costs over time. We are proposing to make this investment because it will result in savings of \$25 million over the next 20 years by using the modern furniture and space configuration to reduce our need for additional office space. The city is financing these costs and bureaus will pay the debt back through their rental rates. The resolution includes a financing directive for the first major purchase under these standards for the space optimization project to be utilized in the Portland building reconstruction. The estimated cost for the furniture component for the space optimization project in the Portland building is approximately \$12,878,000. The city issued a notice of intent to award a price agreement to Newjee IIc dba hyphn on February 2nd, 2018, no protests were received. Existing citywide furniture contracts will remain in place to purchase task chairs and to allow for small purchases in support of existing furniture systems until those contracts expire. At that time, furniture purchases should be reassessed and may be added to this agreement. That is the preamble, Larry Pelatt is here to join us again, Larry take it away.

Larry Pelatt, Procurement Services: Well, the preamble covered pretty much everything in the report. The itb was 729. The project, it was actually listed on September 26th in 2017. All the vending community was notified, including certified firms. Seven bids were received and opened on October 31st, 2017, six bids were determined to be responsive to the solicitation or requirements including all the requirements surrounding availability for product demonstration and sustainable manufacturing. The city had requirements around all pieces relative to the climate action plan and to minimize the city's carbon footprint as well as other aspects of sustainable procurement. On February 2, 2018, the city issued a notice of intent to award a price agreement to newjee Hyphn formally known as smithcfi, no protests were received, the contract has been negotiated in the amount of \$24,128,800. This is a price agreement that is a maximum spend that is not a mandated minimum spend. The city's intent is made clear to the vendor's is to use the price agreement to provide first for the move back into the Portland building, roughly in the amount of \$12,878,000, and then to bring all city buildings into alignment with the product lines over

the course of the agreement. This was a very strategic and intentional process to create a climate of homogenous design and flexibility among all city facilities. While the process was undertaken at the same time as the Portland building reconstruction, it was somewhat driven by that project it never part of that budget and was always intended to be a much more functional and inclusive agreement than what would be required to refurnish one building. The process was undertaken and managed by the city's procurement services division, extensive evaluation and demonstrations were performed including product demonstrations and a review of the complete kit of parts, that's an industry term, that will eventually comprise the entire line of available products. The lines were evaluated for maximum flexibility by a group of representatives, as many city bureaus, community participants, including members of the city's minority evaluator program. Since this is a goods contract not a construction or goods and services contract there is no specific aspirational goal for participation by disadvantaged minority women or emerging small business firms. Such firms were notified about the project when it was posted on the city's electronic procurement system, but no certified firms actually submitted proposals. This is a very large contract, it might be a little too big for some of our standard small business type firms. If subcontract opportunities arise relative to installation, delivery, assembly, the city would certainly aggressively pursue participation by certified firms. Participating bureaus will be budgeting for the projects to be purchased based on this agreement in the coming years as they are identified. The contractor is in compliance with all the city's contracting requirements, including business tax registration and payment. If the council has any questions concerning the solicitation of the resulting price agreement I can answer those. If there are specific questions relative to individual bureau budgeting and how that will work and how it will be paid back in their rental rates, Aaron beck is in the chamber to answer those questions and if there are questions specific to the actual Portland building portion of this, Kristin wells is in chambers to answer those.

**Fish:** I have some questions, but let's start with my colleagues, colleagues questions. Commissioner Fritz.

**Fritz:** Was one of the criteria in the evaluation whether the furniture would be made locally?

**Pelatt:** Local participation was encouraged. The firm that has -- the successful firm has an assembly point here in the city. They have their kit of parts, warehouse is outside of Olympia, Washington. So they are to the extent possible local, yes.

Fritz: But that wasn't part of the scoring?

Pelatt: Not specifically, no.

**Fritz:** Because I know that there are some furniture companies that operate in the metro area.

**Pelatt:** There certainly are. Not generally to the size required to furnish this entire sort of -- understanding that the variety and the wide use by different bureaus, different assembles, different needs relative to bureau facilities means a firm has to have a pretty wide array of available products.

**Fritz:** I was thinking particularly of city liquidators. They have a lot of office furniture as well as desk couches, all those kinds of things and they use local companies to the extent they can.

**Pelatt:** I think one of the pieces that we probably should keep in mind for this and I'm just going to talk a little bit about the building, we moved about 1,400 people out of the building. We're moving about 1,800 people back in. So looking at that from a standpoint of all of that furniture really needs to be flexible, but the same thing. The cube sizes and all those kinds of things, local manufacturing would be problematic. I don't think it's a rule out commissioner. I think it's probably one of the things that there will be pieces and parts of

this that will have to come together, small fill-ins, that kind of stuff, and I think that local manufacturers would have a significant advantage there.

**Fritz:** And obviously I don't do a lot of massive purchases for 1800 people, is newjee a company that is well known for doing these kinds of things?

**Pelatt:** Smithcfi is their former operating number, has been in business since -- I think their brochure said 1981. They're a very well known national company, yes.

**Fritz:** And is there any opportunity for encouraging them to use local firms?

**Pelatt:** Absolutely, absolutely. The fill-in piece, when we get to assembly and installation, delivery, all that kind of stuff we will absolutely work towards that.

**Fritz:** Thank you.

**Saltzman:** So will all bureaus now be required to operate off of this contract for their furniture needs? Regardless if they're in the Portland building?

**Pelatt:** I think the term required -- I think strongly encouraged might be better. I know that it's a focused thought process to have homogenous design, homogenous installation so you could literally move a work set from the interstate project into the Portland building, so that's the thought process. At this point, nothing that I'm aware of -- that bureaus have been told, everything you have you're going to throw away and we're going to replace it with this. The thought process as we replace furniture, move people around, redesign, rebuild facilities, we'll end up so we're all in the same boat.

**Saltzman:** Okay. So we are getting rid of everything that was in the Portland building? **Pelatt:** That's already gone yes, that's been done. We're in the rental spaces, it was leased furniture so all of the furniture that's in, for instance, the congress center stays there when we move out. We move back into a fully furnished building.

**Fritz:** And tell folks at home what happened to all that furniture from the Portland building? **Pelatt:** It was sold, donated or sold. Sold in some cases at auctions, donated to other governmental agencies or to nonprofits and very little of it ended up in the landfill. Some pieces obviously, but very little.

**Fritz:** Do we know the total amount we got for it?

**Pelatt:** I do not. I could certainly find out and have that information sent to your office.

**Fritz:** I would be very interested to know, thank you.

Fish: Mr. Pelatt I have a couple of questions. First following up on commissioner Fritz's point. I think this question of how do we structure these procurements to promote the buy local aspects is very important and we had this conversation recently when fleet needed to go out and buy vehicles. And the council made it clear, stated preference for buying cars from dealers that are paying the business license fee. And not -- and trying to equalize that because obviously, companies outside Portland don't pay that tax, may be operating at a competitive advantage. We have a clear report of support for this concept of buy local. I understand the commerce clause has something to say about this so we can't completely structure our rules to advantage local businesses, but I wonder whether we're going far enough? It's a conversation I think this council is going to want to continue to have with procurement. The second question, though, has to do with just understanding how we came up with sort of guesstimates of what we needed and what the price would be. Someone looking at this ordinance is going to say \$24 million for furniture. That seems like a very big number. \$12 million of it is going to go into the Portland building. You said 1,800 people are going back to a Portland building. I used my phone to do some math. If you went off just a those employees and I understand there will be furnishings for other purposes, you're looking at \$6,000 per employee. So what's the benchmark? How do we know that this is in the right ballpark in terms of reasonable costs for things like furniture and modular items and other things?

**Pelatt:** Price was a significant part of the evaluation process, so basically, what we got to was the low bidder, you know. It was a piece of it so it was not the low bidder necessarily,

but price was a significant part of the evaluation. So where we end up with is as you said, we look at the total furnishing requirements specifically here to the Portland building, how it's structured, where it goes in, it brings in conference rooms and conference tables and all of the additional accoutrements to simply the cubes. Cubes aren't cheap and nor should they be, what you want is functional capacity for employees to do their work, not have issues with their surroundings and so \$6,000 seems like a little bit high. Just in my head also, but when we look at it and say we have 1,800 people, they have x amounts of requirements, the floors are going to look like this, it's going to be structured all the same. I don't know how to defend the cost of \$6,000 per employee. I mean, it was looked at, managed and designed in and this is where it is. So like I said I don't know how to defend that number.

**Fish:** Well, one of the ways that the office of management and finance talks about this and I know this just because I was given the mayor's talking points, which I read is that -- the question that we have to always ask is compared to what? So we're being asked to make an investment, what are the alternatives? And according to the office of management and finance analysis, if we spend a little extra in creating a functional and attractive workplace where we can get more people over time in that workplace it will significantly reduce our long-term cost in new space. That's one way they look at it. Would you care to comment on that?

**Pelatt:** Certainly we have a significant property in the Portland building, we have lots of people tied up. That's not the right term. The 1900 building. The city owns a lot of facilities or has business interests in lots of facilities. However if what we can do is reduce total lease space, the lease rates in the city of Portland have been going up, not just apartments but commercial lease spaces going up significantly as we move out of lease spaces and move into our own facility as we bring more people in, the savings are significant.

**Fish:** That's helpful.

**Eudaly:** I have a question. You just mentioned a \$6,000 per employee estimate.

Pelatt: That was math from commissioner Fish.

**Eudaly:** That would include common areas, conference rooms. I want to be clear for people listening we're not talking about \$6,000 for a small cubicle with a desk and a chair and filing cabinet.

**Pelatt:** Certainly correct, commissioner. That is essentially refurnishing the entire building. All conference rooms, all of everything.

**Fish:** I took 1800, divided it by the amount. I know there will be other public spaces and public areas. I'm just trying to get a ballpark. It's only four, \$5,000 but the question is how do -- what do we compare that to know that it's reasonable. Thank you, Mr. Pelatt. Has anyone signed up to testify?

Moore-Love: Two people signed up.

Joe Walsh: Good morning. My name is joe Walsh, I represent individuals for justice. I'm going to call this the Ben Carson caper, Ben Carsen spend \$31,000 for a table like this and everybody in the country went berserk because it was wasting money and they couldn't figure out how in god's name you could spend \$31,000 on one table. Well, folks, how in god's name can you spend \$24 million on furniture and commissioner Fish, you brought up that the intent was \$25 million for 25 years, but the documents say \$24 million in five years. That's a big difference.

**Fish:** Can I clarify what I said? I won't take it against your time.

**Walsh:** No. If you're asking me no.

Fish: Okay.

**Walsh:** You can do it later. This is hysterical. I thought it was a typo. I really did and somebody even reaffirmed it when I walked in they said, what are you going to do with furniture in Portland? I think that was asked and I think it was answered they are going to

move it back. No, we sold it, it's gone. So that means we have to replace it and I don't think we're going to good will. We're going to buy all new furniture. Let me put it to you this way. We have a building, the Portland building, that we got screwed on, that we're going to spend \$100 million minimum fixing it up, and you're going to put new furniture in there at the tone of \$12 million. If that doesn't cause pitch forks from the Portland people, I don't know what should be. I do have a request, I know you're going to vote for this, you're going to blow the money, not coming out of your wallet, you don't care, you're just going to rubber stamp it. These security guys need a chair downstairs and you \$12 million for the Portland building which should get these guys a couple of chairs they are all standing around, they are all old, they need a chair, take that chair there. That should cost you guys \$200,000 the way you spends money. This is an outrageous amount of money for furniture. Thank you very much, commissioner Fritz, for asking some really interesting questions. I had those questions also. I got a phone call last night. Is that a typo? I said, no, it's not a typo. Carry on. Keep spending the money. However, lot of long terms sitting here.

**Fish:** Thank you Mr. Walsh, Mary welcome.

Mary Sipe: Hi. Mary sipe. Good afternoon. I'm very familiar with space planning. I have done it in my previous career for a large organizations and many of the questions that I had about this were answered and I do appreciate the questions that commissioner Fritz asked. Ben carson bought one piece of furniture for \$31,000 for one office. This is furniture for 1800 people that we're talking about. We're talking about furniture that has to last a long time. This type of furniture needs to be periodically broken down and reconfigured as you need more space for more people. So it needs to be durable. It needs to stand up to being taken apart and put back together again and again and again. I have watched this happen over the years in places where I have worked and been involved in this. I also have been involved in working with smith cfi, years ago. Just because they maybe aren't local, most of the companies that are local purchase their products from all over the place. So it doesn't necessarily mean you're getting something made in Oregon, let's say. It would be interesting to know how old was the furniture sold from the Portland building? I can bet it was pretty old, it was big and inefficient. I completely support this from the documentation that I looked at and from the conversation here today, I think due diligence has been done. It's a lot of money but it's an investment. I think the fact that this type of space planning and this type of furniture will help to put more people in smaller spaces but allow them to work efficiently and also comfortably and ergonomically. I think this is important and what it does if you stop and think about it, this was stated, it's also going to result in saving money on leasing space. So you've got to look at both sides of the coin on this. I know of one instance with the noise control office where their manager the noise control officer was in a building clear across town for a year and a half because of space issues. It cost him great inefficiencies in his work because he wasted so much time traveling back and forth that he probably got half as much done in a day than what he was doing before. Now thankfully he's back here. Let's see. Let me see if I missed anything here. Looking at my notes. I think I covered it all and I appreciate the work that's being done and I support it.

**Fish:** Thank you. Colleagues, any follow-up questions?

**Fritz:** I just a clarification if I may. Because it's actually 12,878,000 for the Portland building. Roughly half of this is for the Portland building. That's about 3,000 per employee including their share of the community spaces. At 3,000 that's a lot different from the 31,000 for the table that happened at the national level. Also approximately half of this contract is for environmental services and the water bureau so it will be paid for through rates rather than by the general fund. So even though the contract is with the company to provide all the furniture some of it is paid for by rates and then the debt service is also paid

for with borrowed money. So there's \$1,800,000 for debt service of the general fund portion and 1,069,000 for the utilities. So when you really start drilling down, if we're going to look at the numbers, it becomes much more reasonable. I also want to say that I assume that the mayor put this on the agenda and gave you extensive talking points because he's in support of this. So my vote will reflect the fact that the commissioner in charge of the office of management and finance is supporting this and would vote for it if he were here.

**Fish:** If I could respond to one point and I'm having trouble with my phone so I won't vouch for the accuracy but I just took \$12 million and divided it by 1800 and I got \$6,000. I didn't put that number out there in order to mislead por alarm, I think for example when people come and testify before us and say there's a hundred units in affordable housing development the total cost is x, they do the math, that's highly misleading because it doesn't take into account common spaces, it doesn't take into account service enriched spaces and other things. I just did the math on my phone. My intent in putting a number out was just to have someone tell me compared to what. Some benchmark. I'm persuaded mostly by Mary's testimony that this is the right thing to do. The mayor supports it. If my colleagues are prepared to vote on it we'll call the roll. Karla, please call the roll.

Saltzman: Aye. Eudaly: Aye.

**Fritz:** Well, this is an item I had sticker shock when I looked at it. That's why my staff and I dove into it. I do think it's reasonable when you look at the cost of things like sitting-standing desks. Those are not inexpensive and yet save us a lot of money in workers' compensation and in employee health in order to be able to provide employees with the kinds of work stations that are efficient and go between people and as I say preserve health. I'm happy to support it. Aye.

**Fish:** Aye, the matter passes, thank you. Colleagues we have one final item. We saved the best for last. Andrew Aebi is here and Karla would you please read 393. **Item 393**.

Fish: Commissioner Saltzman.

**Saltzman:** Thank you president Fish. I believe we're all aware of this lid and it has now changed since our last hearing on it. Andrew Aebi will quickly walk us through this. This is a council initiated local improvement district, so we'll be voting today on the resolution, and that then kicks off the process. I will remind council this is a great opportunity to leverage habitat for humanity funding for the majority of this lid with substantial pbot bureau transportation system development charges dollars for significant economies of scale by delivering much needed infrastructure to diverse and underserved populations in northeast Portland.

**Fish:** Andrew before we call on you, can we ask our friends up in the second tier what school you're from? Buckman? Welcome, this is democracy in action. We're glad you're here, folks. Andrew Aebi.

Andrew Aebi, Bureau of Transportation: Thank you, commissioner Fish. Andrew Aebi, local improvement district administrator. We're having a minor technical difficulty with getting the presentation up and running. I have handed out hard copies and I'll walk those through while we get that squared away. The project that we're bringing before you today would double the number of north-south connections that we're proposing to build in cully. So previously we were just looking at building northeast 57th avenue. Some of the feedback that we got from property owners along 57th avenue was that with the lack of connecting and improved north-south connections and cully between northeast 52nd and 60th avenues, that there was concern that we not have one connection opened up and then have that one connection bear the brunt of the traffic. So the key change that we have made here is to also put northeast 55th avenue south of Emerson into the project scope so that we could do something unprecedented which we haven't done before to my

knowledge, which is to build not one but two new north-south connections in cully. That's an important model moving forward because cully does have such a severe lack of northsouth connections. One thing we grapple with is prioritizing where to improve streets. Sometimes there's a tendency to pick one street and improve that one but I think the big take-away from the outreach process with the property owners and the cully association of neighbors is we need to look at this holistically and in some cases it may be better for us to put a little more of our eggs in one basket and build two connections to more evenly disburse the traffic than one street in isolation. If you look at slide 2 of the power point you can see 57th would be improved from Killingsworth to Emerson. The amount proposed for assessment on those property owners is unchanged from what we were discussing with them late last year and earlier this year. We would have a new assessment for trinity lutheran church and school on 55th and Emerson and I think this really underscores the importance of making these connections. Cully is a very diverse community and it has majority the only minority neighborhood in the city of Portland. There's a disproportionate number of people that rely on multimodal options to get around. We have a mobile home park on the north side of Killingsworth at 57th, a school at 5th and Killingsworth, we have another school to the south, rigler elementary school. What we're attempting to do is build the needed connectivity in cully. As you know we had a five-alarm fire in cully in march as you know a couple weeks ago there was a police incident a few blocks away that resulted in streets be being blocked. When you have those kind of incidents come up then having these additional connections is really very important. Thank you very much, Karla. So here is the slide I have been describing to you. We're proposing 378 feet of improvements on northeast 57th avenue. We have a relatively short connection on northeast 55th avenue, a little over 100 feet here, we also have some sidewalk connections on Killingsworth street. I just want to put this into context for you. Cully has 9.3% of streets unpaved, which is about triple citywide average. We have paved through an lid one street since 1998. Just to put this into perspective, Vera Katz was mayor in 1998, she got elected 26 years ago, so improvements have been a long time coming in Cully, I mentioned the diversity of cully. As of 2010 it was 21% hispanic, 16% african-american. Just moving on to this next slide, this is the last lid we did in cully. This was the east-west street, Alberta street just east of Sacajawea park. We had a lot of discussion with the neighborhood that this was the only east-west street for a long distance around. Sophist to say we bit the bullet and got that street improved and residents have been very happy with it. The concern about a lot of cut-through traffic is not materialized. This is what the street looked like as we were constructing it in 2014. So it's just been a remarkable improvement and we would just like to build on this for the next lid in cully. Just to wrap up my presentation, this is the south side of Killingsworth. We have a high frequency bus line here. I believe the second highest ridership in the trimet system. There's no place for people to walk to get to the bus so we want to build sidewalks as part of this project. We received a -- I communicated with trimet and they indicated the importance of having sidewalks connecting the transit because t they make big investments in frequency and they want to see sidewalk not just on Killingsworth but also on the streets immediately feeding into Killingsworth to help encourage access to transit. Just one final note, I just wanted to highlight the funding aspect of this. The total lid amount roughly \$1.4 million. Pbot is kicking in a little over \$800,000. The lid is kicking in \$600,000, so pbot would fund the majority of cost of the project. Of the lid portion \$400,000 is from institutional property owners so roughly twothirds of the lid amount is from institutional property owners and one-third is are the residential property owners. It's a double win for the property owners. Majority city funding for this and then of the lid amount we're leveraging the developer and institutional funding. I'm happy to take any questions you might have.

Fish: Colleagues?

**Fritz:** Could you go back to the map, please.

Aebi: Yupp.

Fritz: Why isn't the property on the other side of 55th from trinity lutheran included in the

lid?

**Aebi:** The reason they are not included is that's not an existing passable right of way for them. So, that particular frontage really offers more of a systemic benefit. We just didn't -- it was a judgment call, commissioner, but you know it's fairly standard practice to assess people for streets that are open to vehicular use. It just seemed a little bit strange to knock on somebody's door and say this street doesn't currently exist. I think even more importantly, commissioner, there really isn't to my knowledge much redevelopment potential for that particular property. So it just didn't seem to be that nexus of impact to benefit.

**Fritz:** I knew you would have a good answer, which I didn't mind asking the question even though I hadn't asked a head of time. Is trinity lutheran in support of this in.

**Aebi:** Yes. I also want to note for the record we did notify the property owners along 57th in from Killingsworth to Prescott and the property owners on 55th that we were doing this. We don't make it a practice of asking permission to build things in the rights of way but in the interests of transparency we wanted to notify everybody we're proposing to do this. I only got one phone call since those letters went out. So I just wanted to note that we did communicate with the property owners as far as what our intentions were.

**Fritz:** I think they were watching this quite closely, so I don't see an army of folks with pitchforks. So, presumably they are happy at this point.

**Fish:** This is a resolution. Karla has anyone signed up to testify?

Moore-Love: We have four people.

**Fish:** Why don't we bring all four, if we can. I think we have four seats.

**Moore-Love:** We only have three chairs up there.

**Fish:** Okay. Andrew, could we provide one more chair? Yeah. Thank you all for taking time out of your busy lives to be here.

David Sweet: Thank you, commissioner Fish. Commissioners my name is David sweet, I am the transportation and land use chair for the cully association of neighbors. can. Cully association of neighbors is in full support of this lid. As Andrew was pointing out, we were annexed to the city of Portland in 1985 and we lack crucial transportation infrastructure particularly north-south connectivity, between 42<sup>nd</sup> avenue and cully boulevard, a distance of about a mile. There are no collectors and no arterials going north-south. There are just a couple of neighborhood streets that actually go through our neighborhood and we end up with pedestrian, bicycle and vehicular traffic all using just a few routes, often without sidewalks, 28% of the streets in cully have no sidewalks. So we're doing a lot of sharing of streets and many of those streets are not improved. The proposal would add two additional north-south connected routes. This is critical for us, it provides access to much needed Khunamokwst park, it provides access to rigler elementary school. The providence elder care center, and of course critical emergency access that we very much need as was pointed out recently with our five-alarm fire. So we're very grateful to andrew and the bureau of transportation for crafting a very creative proposal that gives us not one, but two new routes north-south, that pbot has found the money to bring down the cost of this for the neighbors, and very grateful to commissioner Saltzman for his leadership on this issue. Thank you very much, commissioner. We're really pleased with this. We urge you to support it.

**Fish:** Thank you very much, David. Welcome.

**Lanny Afrank:** I'm lanny afrank, I'm properties chairperson for trinity lutheran church.

**Fish:** Bring the mic a little closer to you.

**Afrank:** I'm also a resident of the cully neighborhood, about three blocks from the church. Trinity was established in 1891 and moved to this area in 1959. We consider ourselves a member of the community. We are for creating a better north-south connection to the inner part of the neighborhood. We have people cutting through our property because there is no street on 55th. We have them cut through our property to get to the bus and just the other day I realized that the high school students also cut through our property to get to the city bus. We have had some negative problems with this as one day we had somebody actually knock down the fence at the end of 55th and drive through. We have people cutting through our property when we are having recess, most of them really great people, but it sometimes makes the teachers a little bit nervous. One instance is that we think there was a drug deal going across there between 55th and Emerson. Our church and school are very active in the community. Last weekend we had a cleanup, cully cleanup, which I believe is probably the largest in the city of Portland and we volunteer our land for that. We also open it for Easter egg hunts with the community and we have no problems with children coming after school, playing on our playgrounds, playing soccer on our fields. Even running their dogs on our field. There are some really great people, they pick up after themselves and we respect them. The school and the church is very open with the Latino community. We have food bank on our property, and we also sponsor esl for the Latinos. Our school is about equally divided between Latinos, Afro-Americans and European Americans. So I hear the buzzer going off so I probably should close, but we would appreciate it if you approve this route between -- through there that we feel it will have very little impact on through traffic. In fact it will be just letting the inner neighborhood people access to Killingsworth.

**Fish:** Mr. Afrank thank you very much. Your statement will be made part of the record. Welcome.

Laura Hallett: My name is laura Hallett, I'm the onsite manager of the arbor mobile home park which I'm sure you're aware was a very negative space in time. We're a very positive park now and we are 100% in support of this. Many of my tenants are walkers, all of their children go to rigler. They have to walk up the street that is high traffic with no sidewalks if they choose to go to the school with their children and walk up there because there's no public transportation between our place and rigler elementary, so this would give them a safe environment to walk. On top of that we have had two very, very significant problems within cully, the fire then just a couple of weeks ago the hostage situation. We were locked in a position where we had minimal access to get out. So if you were in the zone to evacuate your choices were cully and down Killingsworth, which was closed or up cully, which is one lane and is congested. We didn't have enough access to get in and out. So for those reasons the arbor mobile home park and myself as a resident of the cully neighborhood for the last 11 years are 100% in support of this bill. Thank you.

**Fish:** Thank you. Sir, welcome.

**David Kurushima:** I'm David kurushima, I'm a resident on 57th between Killingsworth and Prescott. I have also been there for about ten years. Own a house there and also work for the community cycling center and taught a camp at rigler elementary. I'm in favor of this connection through. As a resident on 57th I'm just concerned about traffic calming measures that I was -- I didn't know if this was the best place to address this but this is the first thing I had heard about it. I'm concerned because on 52nd I think it's the other closest interconnection between Prescott, Killingsworth. There's plenty of speed bumps and traffic calming measures there, and as a resident of a neighborhood that has very few through connections and very long blocks, I do notice particularly late at night people drive very fast in the neighborhood. I just have concerns about this connection just increasing traffic and particularly high speed traffic on that neighborhood street. So that's really my only

## April 25, 2018

concern. I'm really excited to see more low-income housing coming into the neighborhood and more city improvements on the streets. I appreciate it.

**Fish:** Thank you all for coming. Thank you very much. Karla, does that conclude our public testimony?

**Moore-Love:** That's all who signed up.

**Fish:** Colleagues, any follow-up questions? This is a resolution, so we'll be taking it to a vote. Karla please call the roll.

**Saltzman:** I want to thank everybody who has participated in this. Particularly thank Andrew Aebi for the great work he does with neighbors and people to bring consensus. This is as I said in my opening remarks this is also very important for habitat for humanity which is creating part of the neighborhood out there too. I wanted to also thank matt grumm in my office for this as well. Aye.

**Eudaly:** Well, thank you, Andrew, and everyone that came here today to testify. Great to see this come to an amenable conclusion or agreement. Haven't concluded it yet until the streets are built. Aye.

**Fritz:** Thank you, commissioner Saltzman, for your dedication of transportation money to this project. It represents an investment in an underserved community from many standpoints and demonstrates the city's commitments and pbot's commitment to making those investments where they make the most difference. Thank you, andrew aebi, once again you have proven your worth to the citizens of Portland and taken a project which was contentious to say the least at the last time we had it here and gotten it so that everybody is in support. Thank you to those who took the trouble to come down. We often hear from people upset about things and not quite so often from people who are in support so thank you for doing that. Aye.

**Fish:** Aye. The resolution passes. That concludes our official business. We'll be back at 2:00 to take up the 2035 comprehensive plan amendments. We're adjourned. Thank you all

At 11:32 a.m. council recessed.

## April 25, 2018

# **Closed Caption File of Portland City Council Meeting**

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised City Council broadcast and should not be considered a verbatim transcript.

Key: \*\*\*\*\* means unidentified speaker.

# April 25, 2018 2pm

**Fish:** Council will please come to order, if I turn on my mic that will be helpful. The mayor is traveling in china, so as president of the council I'll be presiding officer today. Karla, we have a 2:00 time certain. Would you please read the item?

Moore-Love: Roll call?

**Fish:** Yes, lets do the roll call first.

Saltzman: Here. Eudaly: Here. Fritz: Here. Wheeler:

Fish: Here. Okay we have a couple of items this afternoon. We'll start with the 2:00 time

certain.

**Moore-Love:** Do we want to read the rules first?

Fish: Yes. let's do that too.

**King:** Happy to.

**Fish:** This could be a long afternoon.

Lauren King, Senior Deputy City Attorney: I'll go quickly. Welcome to Portland city council. The city council represents all Portlanders and meets to do the city's business. The presiding officer preserves order and decorum during the city council meeting so everyone can feel welcome, comfortable, respected and safe. To participate in council meeting's you may sign up in advance with the council clerks office for communications to briefly speak about any subject. You may also sign up for public testimony on resolutions or first readings of ordinances. Your testimony should address the matter being considered at the time. When testifying please state your name for the record. Your address is not necessary. Please disclose if you're a lobbyist. If you're representing an organization please identify it. Presiding officer determines the length of testimony. Individuals generally have three minutes to testify unless otherwise stated. When you have 30 seconds left a yellow light goes on, when your time is done a red light goes on. If you're in the audience and would like to show support for something that is said please feel free to do a thumbs up. If you want to express you do not support something feel free to do a thumbs down. Disruptive conduct such as shouting or interrupting testimony or council deliberations will not be allowed. If there are disruptions a warning will be given that further disruption may result in the person being ejected for the remainder of the meeting. After being ejected a person who fails to leave the meeting is subject to arrest for trespass. Thank you for helping your fellow Portlanders feel welcome, comfortable, respected and safe.

**Fish:** Thank you. I have been given a script, hopefully I won't screw this up. We're here for further deliberations on the council amendments to the map reconciliation project. The written record was reopened following last council session April 4th and closed yesterday on Tuesday, April 24th at 8:00 a.m. before we proceed, I want to ask my colleagues, do any of you intend to offer amendments today?

Moore-Love: Shall we read the title first?

Fish: Yes. Item 397.

Fish: Thank you, Karla. Colleagues does anyone intend to offer an amendment this

afternoon? **Eudaly:** Yes.

Fritz: Yes.

**Fish:** So, we have two amendments that will be offered, I have conferred with staff and here's my preferred way of dealing with this. Are both of the amendments to item 19? **Eudaly:** Yes.

Fritz: No, I have one on number 9 and one on 12 as well as 19.

**Fish:** So 9, 12 and 19. It's my intention on any amendment offered today to allow testimony, limited testimony at this hearing of up to two minutes on any matter for which there's an amendment. On item number 19, all that is required is a second to put the amendment on the table. We'll take testimony then it's my intention to schedule the final vote on amendments at a time certain for next week. All other matters will be addressed today during the hearing and before we have a staff presentation why don't we put the amendments on the table and see if there's a second. Commissioner Eudaly, would you like to offer your amendment?

**Eudaly:** Yes. Just to be clear, does my original amendment still need to be seconded? **Fritz:** No.

**Eudaly:** Okay. Since we discussed amendment number 19, we have heard from property owners of the adjoining property to the parking lot at 5027 northeast Mallory avenue. The hicks family. They have owned the adjacent property to the parking lot for over 40 years and they feel that the Alberta alive project will change the nature of their property and their enjoyment of their home. As such they have decided to sell if the Alberta alive is built. It's currently a multi generational household. They came to me and requested a zone change of cm2 so they could share in the wealth of a changing neighborhood. However, we have determined that their lot location does not make cm2 a good option, but we are -- I am proposing that we make an amendment to change the zoning on their property to r1, which is a more natural progression for the lot. R1 zoning will give the family the most options in redeveloping or selling that property while still maintaining it for strictly residential purposes.

**Fish:** I will second that amendment. So, make sure it's on the table.

**King:** Can I clarify that can be and staff can correct me if I'm wrong, but that's a new amendment, not amendment 19, so now it would be amendment 53. It's separate.

**Eudaly:** Sorry. It's related to 19 but I understand it would be a separate amendment. Just didn't know we were up to 53.

Fish: Alright, that's Eudaly -- amendment 53 proposed by commissioner Eudaly.

Commissioner Eudaly do you have any other proposed amendments?

**Eudaly:** That's all.

**Fish:** Thank you, commissioner Fritz.

**Fritz:** Thank you president Fish, I have an amendment to 19 and that is to change the zoning to r1 on both the church site and parking lot site. [applause]

**Fish:** Folks we're not going to allow people to demonstrate during the council meeting and it just takes up time so, please wave your hands, thumbs up but no other demonstrations. I'll second that amendment so that it's formally on the table. Commissioner Saltzman do you have any amendments?

**Fritz:** I have two more. I would like to withdraw amendment 9 which is the mt. Tabor park. If the 100 people who came to the Mt. Tabor neighborhood association were here they would all be doing thumbs up as well I really appreciate community input on that particular site. Thank you to the community who came out and I withdraw that amendment.

Fish: Without objection the amendment is withdrawn.

**Fritz:** Finally I have an amendment to number 12 which is a proposal I originally supported to add a third property to the rezone request, its all in the same ownership. This amendment would rezone 6444 northeast 66th along with the two adjoining properties 6416 northeast 66th and 6416 northeast 66th.

**Fish:** I'll second that also so that it's on the table.

Fritz: That's it.

**Fish:** Those are your three amendments, commissioner Saltzman do you have any

amendments? **Saltzman:** No.

**Fish:** Let's if we can staff make sure we have the complete list here. Amendment 53 offered by commissioner Eudaly, amendment to amendment 19 offered by commissioner Fritz. Commissioner Fritz is withdrawing and has withdrawn amendment number 9 and commissioner Fritz has offered an amendment to amendment number 12.

Marty Stockton, Bureau of Planning and Sustainability: We have those correct.

**Fish:** So, because those with the exception of the withdrawal of the amendment number 9 which is no longer on the table, because those are new we will take testimony today and keep the record open through the end of the week on these threes matters after we have a presentation from staff and then all the other matters before us I believe are subject to votes today. We scheduled today for votes, the record is closed, I think we can dispose of all the other amendments. These we'll take testimony on and we'll schedule with Karla a time certain for next week for the final vote on those amendments. So Marty Stockton, thank you.

**Stockton:** Thank you, so I just wanted to kind of summarize today on where we're at. Again, my name is Marty Stockton, I'm the southeast district planner with the bureau of bureau of planning and sustainability and I'm the project manager of the refinement project. So, where we're at today is on April 4th council deliberated on the recommended draft and moved and seconded 52 proposed additional amendments described in the council amendments table before you. The amendments in the council table include new map changes, modifications to the psc recommended map changes and a consent package which includes minor technical map change addition and errata. Those are now incorporated in the three versions of exhibit a-2 versions 1 through 3 before you today in reference in the substitute ordinance. The three versions reflect options for item 19 and a new amendment 53. So we actually have incorporated the new amendments in exhibit a-2 as discussed just now.

Fish: Good.

**Stockton:** So would like to discuss those items. Did you want to jump in? Go back to the script?

**Fish:** Let me see where we are here.

**Stockton:** Page 2, just to move into deliberations and vote on the council amendments in exhibit a2.

**Fish:** First we'll move into deliberations and vote on council amendments in exhibit a2, the council amendments are in addition to or will modify an supersede the changes shown in the recommended draft for the affected properties. I would like to propose with a couple of tweaks that we consider the amendments in exhibit a2 in the same batches as we did on April 4th. Let's start with items 1 through 18. I propose we take them up as a single batch with the exception of amendment 9, which has been withdrawn, and amendment 12, which will be set over for a vote next week. So this would be 1 through 8, 10, 11, and 13 through 18, which I propose we do as a batch.

**Stockton:** That sounds good.

**Fritz:** May I ask a question on amendment 17? That's the university park neighborhood change, which I understand the neighborhood association is supportive of that, but are concerned about heritage tree on one of the sites. Could you talk to me about the heritage tree, please?

**Stockton:** I'm just learning of the heritage tree. I'm sorry, that was amendment 17. I just want to get to it on the slide so we can look at it together. My understanding is that there is

a heritage tree, I don't know exactly which tax lot was referenced by the testimony, this was testimony that came in within the last 48 hours or so. So I'm still getting up to speed, but my understanding is that it is in I believe the sites south of -- I can't read it. If you look on the map it's the r5 to r2 area. So just speaking briefly to the land use chair, tom Karwaki of university park, again there's been some coordination with both pbot on a trail that would connect to the tree, but then also coordination with the housing bureau. So there are two infrastructure bureaus that are coordinating on this site. That's the best I can do at the moment.

**Fritz:** The challenge we're getting to on all of our amendments is that we can't have conditions of approval to a comprehensive plan map changes. I'm wondering if we could set this aside, commissioner Fish. Since mayor wheeler is not here ideally I would like assurance from the commissioner in charge of housing that the tree would stay in any development scenario.

**Fish:** That makes a lot of sense. My math is not great but I'm going to take another crack at what I think is the first bucket that we're going to vote on. It would be amendments 1-8, 10-13 -- excuse me. 1-8, 10, 11, 13-16 and 18 we call that bucket a, and we would vote that package as a complete package.

**Stockton:** Sounds good.

**Fish:** Does that make sense? Any objection, colleagues? Shall we take that up now so we get some momentum? We're going to be taking testimony for people that would like to testify on amendment 19. We'll get to that shortly. Karla, why don't we move to a vote on the package that I just identified.

Saltzman: Aye. Eudaly: Aye. Fritz: Aye.

**Fish:** Aye. So thank you. I'm skipping through this script because we have pulled -- it looks like the next bucket would be --

Stockton: Amendment 19?

**King:** Are there other amendments other than the ones that the council has set aside for testimony today that they could vote on?

Stockton: Yes. Fish: Can we do 20.

Stockton: 20 through 21 and then 22 through 52.

**Fish:** That effectively is 20 through 52.

Stockton: Correct.

**Fish:** I see, you want to keep it within the package. So, colleagues the proposal is to now vote on a consolidated bucket of 20 through 21 and then 22 through 52. These amendments have all been discussed and cleared. Any objection?

**Fritz:** Let's do them all in one bucket.

Fish: Lets do them all in one bucket, we'll call this is bucket b. Karla, please call the roll.

Saltzman: Aye. Eudaly: Aye.

**Fritz:** We're working through this, but this is incredible amounts of work in very fine detail. I want to thank the staff and all the community members who came in to testify about these amendments. I think they are a really good package. Aye.

**Fish:** Aye. Ms. Stockton, would you like us to adopt a substitute ordinance with the things we agreed too? Or do you want us to wait until next week to do that?

**Stockton:** I'm going to check with legal counsel on that.

**King:** I would recommend waiting and then the substitute can conform to all council's amendments as long as there's enough time -- I would recommend waiting on the substitute ordinance.

**Fish:** Very good. So it looks like at this point we should move to public testimony. **Stockton:** Do you want me -- I have all the amendments as discussed and just walk through them really quickly?

**Fish:** If you wouldn't mind.

**Stockton:** And then move to public testimony. **Fish:** You're going to take up 12, 19 and 53?

**Stockton:** I'm going to take up items 19, which include three versions to 19 including

commissioner Fritz's new amendment.

Fish: Okay.

**Stockton:** Then also the new 53, which commissioner Eudaly introduced today.

Fish: We also have amendment 12 where additional properties have been added. Do we

not need a discussion on that?

**Stockton:** No. **Fish:** Thank you.

**Stockton:** To walk through amendment 19 very quickly we have three versions before us today. The first version is the amendment that commissioner Eudaly entered seconded a motion on April 4th. That was the amendment for cm2 on the surface parking lot at 126 northeast Alberta as well as r1 on the Alberta abbey or church site. So that is version number one. Version number two is we would actually default back to the planning and sustainability commissions potentially. This is definitely in the mix if either version 1 or version 3 do not move forward. Just a reminder what the default is, again, the planning and sustainability commission recommended r1 on the surface parking lot and no change to the church and the rationale is the church really wasn't part of the conversation before the planning and sustainability commission. Version 3, again what has been introduced by commissioner Fritz today, is r1 on the surface parking lot and r1 on the church site.

**Eudaly:** I feel like it would be useful for me to make some explanatory comments before public testimony if that's --

**Fish:** Fine. At your discretion.

**Eudaly:** I understand this is a controversial item in the neighborhood. We have heard from neighbors who support it and from those who oppose it. My goal in this moment is just to set out what could happen on that site with r1 zoning versus cm2. So this is what could happen. If we stick with the recommended r1 zoning the site will become home to a single room occupancy development, sro, for short. I'm simply telling you what's possible with the zonings. With 35 rooms and a maximum height of three stories for anyone who doesn't know what an sro is, those are small studios for one individual with shared bathroom and kitchen facilities and will very likely target people in the extremely low income zero to 30% mfi. Given the flexibility of cm2 zoning the project will include either 20 one bedrooms and ten one to two bedrooms or 32 studios and ten two bedrooms and the potential of affordable ground floor commercial space. The maximum height would be five stories with a commercial ground floor or four stories without. Cm2 provides an added benefit to the community as well. It comes with a design overlay which includes staff overview, public input and an appeals process. R1 does not provide any of this. So I just wanted everyone to be clear what you're advocating for and against because it's one to two stories and it's different number of units. Something is going to happen on that parking lot despite what some people want, and I just want to make sure everyone is clear on what the potentials are.

**Fish:** Can I see a showing of hands as to how many people in the audience would like to testify today. Karla, we did not have a signup?

Moore-Love: Correct.

**Fish:** What I would like you to do if you wouldn't mind because we're making this up as we go along. Everyone who has raised their hands will you make a line in the back of the chambers and come over to Karla's desk. We'll take people on a first come first serve basis. She will ask for your name, she will call three at a time. You will each be given two minutes and to those of you in the audience who are demonstrating during the testimony

all I would say is this is our chance to hear from your neighbors their testimony, so I would urge us maybe to bring down the temperature on our commentary and let's have a hearing in which we listen intently to people that want to put their statements into the record.

**King:** Can I ask whether or not staff was planning on presenting about 53, which was related? I wasn't sure if you would also hear testimony --

**Fish:** I don't think anyone is here to testify on that. We'll do this first then ask if anyone wants to testify.

King: Okay.

**Fish:** 53 is in effect the third choice.

**Stockton:** 53 is the property that neighbors this site. **Fish:** I'm sorry Marty would you walk us through that?

**Stockton:** Very quickly. I want to respect people's time here.

**Fish:** While Marty is pulling this up, Karla do you think we could make up a sheet and have people sign it? And then what we'll do is once you put your name down you can be seated and then we'll call you forward to testify so you don't have to stand there the whole time. **Stockton:** So amendment 53, this is just a very quick slide I put together this morning, is the tax lot immediately north of the surface parking lot. The current zoning is r2.5 zone with an a-overlay. As I understand a new amendment is the proposal is for it to go to r1. I wanted to show you some aerial photos and a street view of what is being introduced as a new amendment today.

Fish: Commissioner Fritz.

**Fritz:** Thank you. I wanted everybody here and people at home to know I handed this out to the city attorney and council clerk and members of the council at the beginning of the hearing. This shows a wider version of what's being shown on the screen, which is the zoning of the entire area. We're talking about this is martin luther king boulevard. This is Alberta and Killingsworth. This is to the west of martin luther king, jr., boulevard and it shows how this area is the whole area is currently zoned r2.5 or single family. I realize that the two new members of the council were not here when we had the discussion of the comprehensive plan map but commissioner Fish and commissioner Saltzman and I along with mayor Hales and commissioner novick went through the whole city looking at what are the patterns of development, what makes sense, what doesn't make sense. This is the context of what we're talking about here and we're now being asked to hone in on just the single lot and now the lot next to it. This is a refinement project, however, as individual lots come in, then adjacent property owners as has just happened can say me too. On the other hand this is the last me too opportunity and so I think a case could be argued for doing the whole area or not the whole area and not the process we're in at this point. That's my take away on the issue.

**Fish:** Commissioner Eudaly?

**Eudaly:** It has in fact been very challenging to come in at the end of this process. I think the comprehensive plan is 17 bankers boxes full of documents. I have been reading them as they came back before council, but I want to be clear my amendment is not due to lack of understanding about the history of conversations around zoning in this neighborhood. My amendment is about acknowledging the ways in which the city and other entities have utilized discriminatory public policy to exclude certain types of housing and certain types of people. So when I look at this map, which is I think two and a half -- this corridor if I'm looking at it the right -- I can't quite make out where we are. I think the map supports up zoning on Alberta, not into the neighborhoods, and achieves -- could achieve greater racial and economic integration, which is my priority especially in north, northeast Portland.

Fritz: Something I believe the whole council shares.

**Fish:** Because of the importance of this issue we're sort of creating a hybrid process to make sure folks get to be heard. We're going to start calling people up three at a time. All

you have to do is give us your name. You don't have to give your address. I just want to offer some friendly guidance. If you think someone else has succinctly stated your case it's okay to simply say that rather than repeat word for word what your neighbor has just said. There's no extra credit for just repeating the same thing over and over. We usually get it the first or second time. We're paying a lot of attention today. We thank you for taking time out of your busy lives to be here. Please call the first three names.

**Moore-Love:** We have a total of nine signed up.

**Fish:** Welcome, everybody. Mr. Rogers would you like to start?

Rich Rogers: Thanks, commissioners, Rich rogers from community development partners, we're the affordable housing developer behind this proposal. Quick note on process, we submitted this proposal in July of last year, 2017, under the map refinement project. That project was set up I believe to facilitate development of affordable housing. Our project met the criteria, we read it, so we submitted the proposal through that process. When the staff report came out it was erroneous on two levels. One they failed to acknowledge that our proposal was an affordable housing development and that was confirmed to me by planning commission staff. Secondly it was silent on our request to deal with the zoning on the abbey property. Based on that error which we communicated to the mayor's office and to staff we asked for an amendment to correct what we felt was a mistake in the record and that's how I think we ended up here today. We're early in the process, we're committed to working with the neighbors. We're going to move our small office into the abbey across the street. This is just the beginning. We'll be back out when we have things farther along to work collaboratively with the neighborhood. The design overlay gives the neighbors an opportunity to take a bite out of the apple if it were. Furthermore I have lived in the northeast for 18 years, I've been working on housing and housing policy for 20 some odd years in this community. We want to be good neighbors. We're committed to good opportunities for affordable housing, but we want all these things to work together. Appreciate you creating this process and giving us the opportunity. Thanks.

**Fish:** Thank you very much. Welcome, all we need is your name.

Elizabeth Deal: Hi, my name is Elizabeth deal I live at 5045 northeast Mallory, which is three doors down from the proposed parking lot site and two doors down from now the hicks residence who is asking for -- eudaly's new amendment to include their lot as well. I first and foremost want to say that as a long time Portland resident and nurse I understand the housing crisis. I think that this is an urgent need and I'm glad to hear that community development partners is going to build affordable housing. What I oppose is the scale, I oppose the spot zoning. I hope the abbey can be r1 so cdp can turn that into a community art space. That sounds wonderful. It's currently a community art space and we would like that to be made legal. We would love to see affordable housing built on that lot. It is important and we welcome this but we think the scale commercial zoning will allow is way too big for a residential neighborhood. Additionally this is a classic example of spot zoning and just what's happening now it spreads. Where does this end? You know, can I throw in my lot to get up zoned so I can jump on the wagon? The process has been confusing. I don't understand why we are allowing amendments for spot zoning when the city has spent millions of dollars on these comprehensive plans, the Albina plan, the better housing by design project, all of these strive for consistency and for smart, sustainable development that addresses the housing need as well as old discriminatory practices. I'm troubled that we're even deliberating this and now proposing a new amendment to allow this to spread. I think we're undermining the hard work you've already done on the other projects.

**Fish:** Let me say in defense of a process that may not appear on the surface to be perfect by putting all the amendments on the table we're and by changing our rules and allowing

testimony we're creating a record. That was the goal. Enhancing transparency and I appreciate the concerns you have about it.

Deal: Thank you.

Fish: My daughter recently announced she wants to be a nurse.

**Deal:** We are passionate people.

**Fish:** There you go. Thank you for joining us. Welcome.

Jacquie Walton: I'm Jacquie Walton and I live in the king neighborhood. I'm going to read my statement. I enthusiastically support r1 zoning for the Alberta abbey church but cannot endorse cm2 zoning for the parking lot. Instead I'm asking you to approve commissioner Fritz's new amendment to amendment 19. I'm not alone in this opinion. The experts at the bps also endorse r1 zoning for the parking lot. So why aren't we listening to our experts? Rezoning to cm2 would allow the developer community development partners to build a five story almost 50 unit mixed use apartment building. A commercial building of that height and mass does not fit the residential character of the neighborhood which is zoned r2.5. In fact a building of that size would tower over the neighborhood's modest bungalows. Similarly the local infrastructure which includes narrow 24 foot roads is not designed to handle the density of mixed use apartment building of that size would bring. On the other hand with r1 zoning we could have a smaller residential building that could be constructed in harmony with the neighborhood and still provide the much needed affordable housing this developer says he wants to create. I also want to state how deeply disappointed I am in this city and in this developer for complete absence of community outreach and engagement. The city let us down by not telling us about the proposed zoning changes and not giving adequate time to give testimony. Only neighbors within 100 feet of the parking lot received notices from the bps and they were so vaguely worded they didn't even identify the address of the site or zoning code. For its part community development partners did not notify the king neighborhood association of its plans and to make matters worse during the past few weeks the developer has repeatedly insulted neighbors on social media and in misleading flyers. If not for the vigilance of a few neighbors no one in the neighborhood would have known about this project. In conclusion, we need residential solutions for residential neighborhoods, not spot zoning of commercial buildings to cater to a developer's need to make a project "pencil out". Please listen to the city's own experts, not a developer and implement r1 zoning on the Alberta abbey parking lot. Thank you. **Fish:** Thank you and if you gave your written testimony to Karla it becomes part of the official record.

**Walton:** I have and you all will get this plus a bunch of photographs of the houses near the site and other things from social media.

**Fish:** We'll keep the record open until Friday. Thank you. Please call the next three. **Tom Lakovic:** Hi. My name is tom Lakovic, I'm a resident, I literally live in the shadow of the proposed development. One point is the renderings that were provided to everyone were idealized in the sense of shown without any context. They are on a white background as though in a heavenly cloud. They are not. They are on our street. If you put so much as one of our houses next door to it, which somebody has, it would literally be in the shadow. It's not just about we don't want development on our street. I am completely a proponent of smart, responsible Portland growth. I know we're not in the era of keep Portland weird, we're growing the city and could anticipate double the population we have. Completely in favor of it happening in our neighborhood. The commercial strip and mlk strip, this is completely inappropriate. It's an appropriate proposition to put it right inside of this traditionally almost ladd's addition like pocket of northeast is irresponsible I think. One point that I wanted to address from you, commissioner Eudaly, was where you said and I called your office and got the same remark, we have been hearing from people for this and against this. We can't find one person that's for it in our neighborhood. So unless those

people are the hicks or the developer we can't find a single resident. The number of people here represent about one tenth of our facebook group. If there's someone in favor of it, I would -- I can't find them.

Fish: Thank you. Welcome.

**Ashley Lakovic:** Hello, thank you for your time. I am tom's wife.

Fish: I'm sorry put your name in the record.

Ashley Lakovic: Ashley Lakovic. This will be our house right here. This is the view from the other side of it. I did it to scale. I'm a designer for a living, but if you look at this is one floor, that's two floors, three, four, five. If you walk around our neighborhood you will not find a five-story building within two to three miles. It's just not in keeping with what the neighborhood is doing. We feel it's too big. We firmly believe affordable housing is really important and would welcome Amanda Fritz's proposition to make it r1. That seems very reasonable to us. Also our street right now is a wonderful street full of caring neighbors. It's 24 feet wide. We have to take turns and wave at each other to drive one car at a time down the street. You can't park on Alberta because it's just one lane. If you're adding another 51 units of families, how many people and how many cars are that? Where is the traffic going to go? Nobody on our block has driveways. It's just too big for what we have, and we believe that everything should kind of grow together. This will dominate and be a horrible addition to the neighborhood as proposed currently. Also -- okay.

**Fish:** You got 30 seconds left.

**Ashley Lakovic:** When we bought this house it was a little bit in disrepair. We overbid two developers who wanted to tear it down, but we believe in character, craftsman homes. We put a lot of work into the neighborhood and we believe that this is worth fighting for. Thank you for your time.

Fish: Thank you for joining us. Mr. Alexander welcome.

Art Alexander: Hello. Art alexander, northeast Portland. I think everyone else will cover the issues about the site specific. My big concern is the character question. I don't understand what kind of developer that claims to be community oriented would bring this forward to council without having gone to the neighborhood first. There's something basic in my gut bothers me about the idea that someone's first instinct would be to try to do it this way rather than put a big sign up on the parking lot saying, hi, I'm a developer, I want to do something wonderful in this neighborhood. I want to put housing up here, I want you guys to help me, I want you to be a part of it, I don't want to damage your life. That's what a good developer would do. I know when I worked for mike, if he asked me to work on a project like that that's the first thing I would do. There's something wrong with somebody whose first instinct is to hide it from the community and I'm also bothered by the person's second instinct when they first heard there was option rather than knocking on doors and talking to people and asking why are you opposing we start to hear suggestions that somehow there's nimbyism or just ridiculous stuff being sprinkled out there. I have a problem with the character of someone who behaves like that. I really question whether or not I believe anything that that developer says is going to happen. That's my statement. I want to add that while, yes, it could be sro on that, I don't mind sro and that that's not the only thing that could go on that. What I would like to see come out of this is that the developer actually does what he just said he wants to do. See, that's what's bothers me. He gave you that speech about working with the neighborhood, move in. Why didn't he do that two months ago? That's the end of my remarks. I'm bothered by the nature in which this happened, I'm bothered by the fact that council, nobody at council went back to him to say, oh, you know, this is kind of impactful. You should talk to the neighbors. As far as I know nobody directed him to even try that and that bothers me.

Fish: Thank you very much. How many more?

Moore-Love: The last three.

Fish: Welcome to city council.

\*\*\*\*\*: Thank you.

Fish: Who would like to go first?

Margaret O'Hartigan: Marta O'Hartigan, I live at 5001 Garfield avenue that is on the corner of Garfield and Alberta, we just had a beautiful grocery store open up across Garfield from us. We have been waiting 18 years, I have lived there 18 years. My disabled wife would be here but it's too hard to get around with breathing difficulties and the wheelchair. I can see the Alberta abbey directly from my kitchen window. If a five-story building went in on the parking lot I would be to see it over the top of the house behind me, which is already two and a half stories tall. I completely support affordable housing. When I was a kid my parents threw me out of the house because I was seeking sex reassignment at the university of Minnesota hospital program. I have lived on the street. I have done what you need to live on the street. I am not afraid of sros in our neighborhood. That is what helped get me off the street was having something to get. I am worried that the developer thinks that an sro is a threat to our neighborhood. Walnut park has a history of welcoming people. The western end has housing projects, we have welfare offices, we have the unemployment office. We embrace those that are less fortunate because we know we have been there, we could be there. They are our neighbors. We welcome more neighbors. We want them to enjoy a quality of life. If that's a two or three or four story building, but to stick commercial in our neighborhood and to not deal with any of the neighbors about this. I measured it. I'm like 120 feet from the parking lot. I never received any notice about this. It will impact me. We took 18 years to pay off that house. We didn't go to restaurants, we didn't go out. We knew the neighborhood would change but we did not know that that would be inflicted on us to that degree. Thank you so much for letting me testify.

Fish: Thank you. Welcome.

Ursula Kienbaum: Thank you, my name is Ursula Kienbaum and I am a resident of King neighborhood I live on Mallory avenue about four houses down from the proposed development site. I have lived within two or three houses I guess I'm five now of northeast Alberta avenue since 2001 when my husband and I moved to Portland from Montana, and we were able to buy our first home. Since that time, I know I'm not alone, I have watched the changes that have happened, particularly in the Alberta area and northeast Portland. During my years as a resident of king and Concordia prior to that I have watched developers come in again and again and oftentimes through predatory practices deprive homeowners of their property rights or encourage homeowners to leave their homes, to sell their homes. I have had my windows shaking on my house on northeast 22nd avenue and on Mallory avenue with construction of large buildings next door to my home. Development has been a reality of mine since I moved to northeast Portland in 2001. Vast majority of that development has not been in line with my values. I support affordable housing on the Alberta abbey lot and I want to be very clear about that. I do not support cm2 for the fact that it is too large and out of scale for the neighborhood. I also want to point out that there's a potential historic tree located on the hicks property, over 200-yearold Douglas fir tree that is straddling the property between the abbey and the hicks property that is now apparently up for grabs as well. I'm in the process of trying to nominate that tree but as you know it requires property owners' consent, which given the request for r1 is less likely. In other words I want to just state my support for commissioner Fritz's amendment for r1 for both lots, please.

**Fish:** Thank you. Welcome.

**Marika Naito:** Hi. My name is Marika Naito and I live next to Ursula at 5124 northeast Mallory. My husband Alex and I bought our home almost five years ago when we fell in love with the big trees and quiet, shady sidewalks and the neighborhood feel. We really fell

in love with the idea of raising our family there. I'm eight months pregnant -- sorry, emotional. Ignore that. We spent the last few months doing a lot of work on our house getting it ready to bring our baby home and raise our family here. However, last week my husband had major surgery and as I was sitting by his bed in the hospital I heard from our neighbors about amendment 19. Sorry. I'm not nearly as well-versed as everyone else here about it.

**Fish:** You're doing great. Take your time.

**Naito:** To say I'm disappointed is an understatement. I'm worried that adding a five-story building a few houses down from our house will really change the feeling of our neighborhood. It will stop it from being a place where we walk our kids down the sidewalk and it will be really busy. It will start a domino effect as we're already seeing with neighbors selling out their properties and maybe their houses being torn down and turned into other things. It's not the future we had imagined for our family. Also as it stands when the abbey has events it is impossible to find parking on our street, which we completely understand. We support the community events and when there are occasional things we happily park a few blocks away however as people mentioned there's not room for two people to pass on the street. We don't have driveways. I'm very concerned about where everyone would park if there was five stories of development here. I wouldn't be able to park in front of my house. I'll be parking five blocks away trying to tote my dogs and babies and groceries to get back to my house. I want to say I absolutely understand and support the need for development and affordable housing but I would like to see it done to scale with our neighborhood. If he weren't under doctor's orders my husband would be here as well. His family for generations has been a strong proponent of development in Portland, but it was tempered with respect for maintaining the history and character of our city and our neighborhoods. We aren't recent Oregon transplants. My husband was born and raised in northeast Portland, our family is almost all within a few miles and we're all committed members of the Portland community. We ask that you keep our neighborhood and our community and our family in mind when voting on this issue.

**Fish:** Thank you. Good luck to you. Karla, does that conclude the people who signed the list?

**Moore-Love:** That's all who signed up.

**Fish:** Is there anyone who did not get a chance to testify who would like to at this point? Okay. We're now going to conclude the oral testimony portion. Marty if you could come up, I just want to review the run of show for next week. It's my intention to find a time certain with Karla for next week to take up first the amendments, amendment 53, Fritz amendment to amendment 19 and amendment 12. So we would have discussion and votes only. Then at the same time following those votes we would vote on the substitute ordinance that incorporates all the votes that we took today and next week. Is that correct?

Stockton: Yes.

**King:** I also have 17 listed for next week. **Stockton:** That is the site in university park.

**Fish:** The one Mr. Karwaki has flagged about the heritage tree?

King: Yes.

**Fish:** That will also go to a vote next week. Do we need to have Karla now set the time?

**Stockton:** That would be lovely.

**Fish:** Can you find us 15 minutes time certain next week?

**Moore-Love:** The morning at 11:00 -- we have a very big agenda next Wednesday morning or 4:45 in the afternoon, May 2nd.

Fish: Colleagues, do you all intend to be here Wednesday afternoon? Dan?

Saltzman: If we're in session. Do we have something scheduled?

## April 25, 2018

**Fish:** We have something that afternoon, so this would be the last item. I would like to make sure we get a full complement of commissioners. Would that work with you ladies?

Fritz: So you're asking us, do we want to skip lunch or do we want to skip dinner?

**Fish:** I think if we say 11 it's not going to happen at 11:00. It makes sense to make it the last item in the afternoon.

Fritz: I agree.

**Fish:** We're going to schedule council discussion and vote on the amendments highlighted today and on the substitute ordinance which incorporates everything we voted on for next Wednesday, which is?

Moore-Love: May 2<sup>nd</sup>.

Fish: May 2<sup>nd</sup>.at 4:45 here at city council. The record will remain open until Friday. So --

**Stockton:** Friday at 5:00 p.m. Or do you have –

**Fish:** What's your preference Marty?

**Stockton:** 5:00 p.m. Is fine.

Fish: The record will be open until Friday --

Fritz: If I might make my usual amendment to make it Monday at 8:00 a.m.

**Fish:** Is that okay? **Stockton:** That's fine.

**Fish:** The record will be open until Monday at 8:00 a.m.

**King:** Monday April 30.

**Fish:** Right and anyone who is here or not here is entitled to submit written testimony if you want to supplement testimony you would have that right and it will be reviewed. Marty, where does that leave us?

**Stockton:** Oh, gosh. I think that's it. For today. We'll just come back on Wednesday, May 2nd, and do the full vote at that time.

**Fish:** We have another matter we're going to take up in a few minutes. We're going to segue. Commissioner Eudaly.

**Eudaly:** Everyone is still in the room, I want to address a few things that came up. First of all I want to thank everyone for coming and giving your testimony. If this wasn't my job I know I would have a hard time coming downtown in the middle of a weekday. So it's always appreciated. I'm heartened to hear that most or almost all of you support affordable housing, but I need you to understand that very few neighborhoods want it in their neighborhoods. So we are really facing an extraordinary challenge in finding sites that are suitable and acceptable to neighbors. That's why we're having this conversation. The sro comments, the developer in no way has suggested the sros are a threat or a negative in as far as who it will serve. The con to that is that fewer people will be housed and we don't get any family sized units out of that development and we're really short on family sized affordable units. As far as community outreach, Mr. Alexander, the developer did in fact hear quite an earful from my office about their lack of engagement with the neighborhood. Had I known that so little had been done I would have gone about this differently although it's not my job to go engage the neighborhood, it should be the developer's job. I wouldn't go so far to say that I wouldn't have made the amendment, but I am really sorry that this came as a surprise to all of you. I don't think the lack of community engagement negates the value of the development, but that's what we're here to talk about. The hicks family just to be clear that's a residential zoning. We're not -- it's not commercial. I had a meeting with the matriarch of the family and one of the children. It was a really tough moment for me where I realized in my pursuit of a remedy of historic wrongs I was perhaps negatively impacting a family who has been the subject of some of those historic wrongs. It's a complicated, tough issue and I just want you to know I'm listening to all of this and I really appreciate the input. Then finally parking probably the most important part of this conversation. We definitely need to look at that more. So thank you, everybody.

**Fish:** Commissioner Fritz?

Fritz: I want to thank everybody for coming as well. We won't be taking testimony next time so you don't need to get off work again. I agree with commissioner Eudaly we really appreciate the effort because as much as I and others enjoy reading emails it makes a difference when we hear it face to face in person. Thank you for that. There's so much rhetoric about the need for housing in Portland, in fact there is so much need for housing in Portland, so I want to send you home with some information because we worked on the comprehensive plan for the last four years, and as a result of that, we have zoned capacity in the current comprehensive plan for 249,000 more units, 249,000 more units. Most of them are multi family units. So when people tell you we have got to add more density to save the ugb, we have to add more density to get more housing, we have the capacity. What we have to do is get more housing and figure out how to do that. Again on the ugb issue metro's allocation to us is 130,000 new units. We have over 100,000 more units we can build. We have to figure out how to build them. I know Mr. Rogers has heard the community wanting to work on this project. The way to do it is to do that outreach and work together on it. I believe that we need to respect the processes legislative processes can do and the option of the quasi-judicial process if someone wants a particular lot to be zoned differently there is a process to do that as well. That's where I'm coming from. That's the argument I'll be making next week. Just remember that number. 249,000 more units can be built in Portland once we make this vote on May 24th. [audio not understandable] **Fish:** We're not taking any more testimony. Folks, we're going to take three-minute break. That concludes our deliberations today on the map refinement project. In three minutes we're going to come back and pick up the code reconciliation project where we have some business. We'll take a 3-minute break.

At 3:00 p.m. council recessed.

At 3:03 p.m. council reconvened.

**Fish:** Council will come back to order please, thanks for coming. Feel free to take your conversation back into the corner. We're going to resume our work that began with the 2:00 time certain. We're shifting now to the code reconciliation project run of show with Eric Engstrom and Barry manning. Please come forward. Gentlemen, my understanding is that staff has submitted substitute ordinances and exhibits that incorporate the amendments city council moved and considered during prior to deliberations on march 21 and April 11. Is that correct?

Moore-Love: I need to read the titles.

**Fish:** Karla, I'm going to get it right someday. Go ahead.

Item 398. Item 399.

**Fish:** Thank you, Karla. Again, my understanding, gentlemen, is that staff has submitted substitute ordinances and exhibits that incorporate the amendment city council moved and considered during prior deliberations on March 21st and April 11th. Is that correct?

**Barry Manning, Bureau of Planning and Sustainability:** Barry manning, planning and sustainability staff. That's correct. Thanks for having us here again today. We did submit substitute ordinances for both items 398 and 399.

Fish: Barry would you review those with us?

**Manning:** Sure. Item -- I believe it's 398, which is the title 33 ordinance -- Karla can you confirm that? Title 33. Is that correct?

Moore-Love: 398 is 33.

**Manning:** Yes, 398 is title 33. That includes a package of amendments to the zoning code, pretty thick package that we submitted as an exhibit to the substitute ordinance that we submitted this week to you. Item 399 is a substitute ordinance for amendments to title 11, the tree code, 18, which is the noise code, and title 32, the sign code, which we submitted

earlier this week that include amendments to those titles as well. If you recall if I can go back to what council considered before on 321 we held a public hearing and staff submitted a memo that included several proposed amendments that we wanted council to consider and include in that package. You did so at that point in time. We returned to city council on April 11th. Council deliberated on the recommended draft and moved to include some additional amendments that were also described in a staff memo dated April 10th. Those included several staff recommendations and one amendment package, couple of amendments sponsored by commissioner Fritz. As you'll recall that amendment which was the most substantive was the decision to retain the buffer overlay zones particularly as it applied to employment and industrial interfaces of residential zones. You received quite a bit of testimony on that, over 60 signatures on a petition or individual emails. The amendments from both of those memos the one on March 23rd and the one on April 10th, are now incorporated in these as amended documents. They are referenced as exhibits in the substitute ordinances that we submitted this week. So what bps is asking council to do is accept the substitutes ordinances and pass these on to vote on them today. Then pass them on to second reading on May 24 so that we can sync up all of the final decisions on code reconciliation and map refinement with the comprehensive plan implementation. I have in my notes that I should remind you that the two ordinances you have in front of you today for title 33 and title 32, 11 and 18 are both crafted as emergency ordinances so the idea would be on the 24th of may they would become effective immediately upon your second reading and vote on them.

**Fish:** Very good. So colleagues, we have a little business to take care of. I would like to begin with 398 and ask if I can get a motion on the first substitute ordinance.

**Saltzman:** Move the substitute ordinance.

Fritz: Second.

Fish: It's been moved and seconded. Any further discussion? Please call the roll.

Saltzman: Aye. Eudaly: Aye. Fritz: Aye.

**Fish:** Aye. The substitute ordinance is adopted and moves to a second and final reading on May 24th. Colleagues, can I get a motion and second on the next substitute ordinance, item 399.

Saltzman: I move the substitute ordinance.

Fritz: Second.

**Fish:** Its been moved and seconded, any further discussion? Please call the roll.

Saltzman: Aye. Eudaly: Aye.

**Fritz:** If people have been watching all afternoon and thought the code map project was complicated, I suggest they have not looked into this particular one. Barry Manning, I'm known for my attention to detail. You are the master. I absolutely incredible work on all these amendments. To be clear with everybody at home, we're taking off the buffer zone amendments which caused the most of the concern and then reconciling the tree noise and sign codes as well. Again, Barry, fantastic job. Thank you, aye.

**Manning:** I would like to thank you but acknowledge we have many other staff including our very adept code editor Shannon Buono and others working on this diligently, so I want to recognize them.

**Fritz:** I should mention you have an amazing team. Eric Engstrom, thank you because it clearly took an army to do this.

**Eric Engstrom, Bureau of Planning and Sustainability:** Some credit goes to bds staff who have been watching this carefully too and noting some issues.

Manning: They have been a pattern with us all along.

**Fish:** Aye. We have now substitute ordinances in place. These item have been moved on to a second reading on May 24th, 2018. Eric and Barry, is there anything else for us to take up today?

# April 25, 2018

**Manning:** I think that's it. I'm just going to look over at Lauren, have we done everything we need to do procedural?

**Lauren King, Senior Deputy City Attorney:** Do we have a time certain for May 24<sup>th</sup>? **Moore-Love:** 2 p.m.

King: Ok.

**Fish:** Time certain May 24th where we'll be voting on the substitute ordinances, the two we have moved to May 24th and next Wednesday afternoon we will take up the third substitute, which will then also move to may 24th. Council, that concludes our business for this topic. We are adjourned.

At 3:10 p.m. council adjourned.