

Residential Infill Project

Planning and Sustainability Commission Work Session TOPIC: NARROW LOTS

August 14, 2018



Bureau of Planning and Sustainability Innovation. Collaboration. Practical Solutions.



Follow along...

PSC meeting materials are available on the project website: <u>www.portlandoregon.gov/bps/76961</u>

Or go to <u>www.portlandoregon.gov/bps/infill</u> Look for "documents and resources" and then look for "Planning and Sustainability Commission Materials"

PSC Goals

Generalized Project Goal	Commissioners Mentioned				
Equitable benefits and costs Lower displacement Increased home ownership	7 2 4	13			
	6				
More housing options Increased range of types More locations	6 6 5	24			
Internal conversions Age friendly options	5 2				
Less expensive options 5					
Smaller units More supply Lower SDCs/costs	2 2 1	10			
Also mentioned:					
Urban canopy/open space Flood/hazards protection Context Reduce 1:1 demolitions Infrastructure adequacy Public involvement/process Code simplicity Energy efficiency/climate goals	2 1 3 1 1 3 1 1	14			

Residential Infill | 3

Project Proposals



SCALE OF HOUSES

- **1.** Reduce size
- 2. Revise height
- **3.** Increase setbacks
- 4. Improve design



HOUSING OPTIONS

- 5. Housing types
- 6. New 'a' overlay map
- 7. Existing house retention incentives
- 8. Cottage clusters

NARROW LOTS

- 9. Rezone lots
- **10.** Improve building design
- 11. Revise R2.5 rules

Today's Agenda

- 2 hours:
 - 9. Rezone historically narrow lots 45 min
 - 10. Improve building design 15 min
 - 11. R2.5 zone improvements 20 min

Other recommendations - 30 min





9. Narrow Lots

Historically Narrow Lots A primer

- Historically narrow lot = platted before zoning and narrower than standard lot
- Typically 25 feet wide by 100 feet deep
- Lots are already "divided" so no land division required
- Substandard lot size and width for R5 zone
- Currently Lots in R5 vacant for 5 years are buildable







<u>Street</u>

Proposed R2.5 rezone:



Stre

F



Street

ruics.







6

7

eet

 С Г Г

Street

Issue 9.1 Rezone some historically narrow lots



Narrow Lots

Issue 9.1 - Rezone Historically Narrow Lots

- Proposal: Rezone historically narrow lots within 2-3 blocks of centers and corridors (~7000 tax lots)
- 2. <u>Amendment</u>: Rezone only where adjacent to corridors (<7000 tax lots)
- 3. <u>Amendment</u>: Rezone all historically narrow lots to R2.5, unless in natural hazard area (~14,000 tax lots)



Narrow Lots

Issue 9.2 - Development on remaining HNLs

<u>Proposal</u>: For HNLs that are not rezoned to R2.5, do not allow development unless the lot meets min dimensions for the zone (e.g. R5–3000 sq. ft. 36 ft wide)

<u>Amendment</u>: Continue to allow corner lot PLAs that swivel existing narrow lot property lines









10. Improve Narrow Lot Building Design

Current Rules

	Pre 2003 narrow lots	Post 2003 narrow lots	Standard lots
	(RF-R5=<36' wide) (R2.5=<1,600 sf)	New narrow lots (<36'w) (R10-R2.5)	(RF-R5=36'+ wide) (R2.5= <u>></u> 1,600 sf)
Form	Attached or detached	Attached or detached	Attached or detached
Height	All: 1.5 times width	R2.5: 1.5 times width R10-R5: 1.2 times width	R2.5: 35' RF-R5: 30'
Building coverage	40%	base	base
Main Entrance	4' of avg grade	4' of avg grade	
Parking	Not required	Required	Required
Alley Access	No requirements	Generally req'd with LD	No requirements
Garage	12' wide allowed	Not allowed	<22' façade: Not allowed
Other	Exterior materials, trim, eaves Landscaping		
Exceptions	Design Review	Planned Development	Adjustment





Proposed rules

Lot width (All SD zones)	0-25'	More than 25' - less than 32'	32' and wider
Form	Attached only	Attached or detached	Attached or detached
Height for	R2.5 - 35'	R2.5 - 35'	R2.5- 35'
attached houses	RF-R5 - 30'	RF-R5 - 30'	RF-R5 - 30'
Height for	1.5x width	1.5x width	R2.5 - 30'
detached houses			RF-R5 - 30'
Building	base	base	base
coverage			
Main Entrance	4' of avg grade	4' of avg grade	4' of avg grade
Parking	Not required, and <22' façade: Prohibited btwn bldg. and street	Not required, and <22' façade: Prohibited btwn bldg. and street	Not required and <22' façade: Prohibited btwn bldg. and street
Alley Access	Alley access req'd	Alley access req'd	Alley access req'd
Garage	<22' façade: Prohibited	<22' façade: Prohibited	<22' façade: Prohibited
Other	Landscaping	Landscaping	
Exceptions	Adjustment	Adjustment	Adjustment



10. Improve building design on lots less than 32 feet wide

Require pairs of attached houses, unless precluded by existing development





10. Improve building design on lots less than 32 feet wide

Limit height of detached houses to 1.5 times their width





Bureau of Planning and Sustainability Innovation. Collaboration. Practical Solutions.



10. Improve building design on lots less than 32 feet wide Prohibit parking between building and street







11. Rules for the R2.5 Zone

Issue 11.1 - Require two dwelling units

<u>Proposal</u>: Require at least two dwelling units on 5,000+ size lots in R2.5 lots

<u>Amendment</u>: Require two dwelling units on double sized lots in R5 and R7.





Issue 11.2 - Lot width

<u>Proposal</u>: Reduce minimum lot width from 36 feet to 25 feet/20 feet for attached houses.

<u>Amendment</u>: Reduce minimum lot width from 36 feet to 21 feet/16 feet or 23 feet/18 feet for attached houses.







Four-lot attached house land division



Two-lot "semi-detached" house land division



Issue 11.3 - Small flag lots (<3,000 sf) <u>Proposal</u>:

- Allow property line adjustment to create small flag lot
- Must retain existing house







Issue 11.4 - Small flag lots (<3,000 sf)

Proposal: New flag lot house limited:

- 1,000 sf
- 20 feet tall
- Exterior design elements

<u>Amendment</u>: Remove height limits on flag lot homes where base density allows 2 units.







Issue 11.5 - Landscape standards

<u>Proposal</u>: Require development on narrow lots (less than 32 ft wide) to provide landscaping in the front yard

<u>Amendment</u>: Drop the landscaping standard for the R2.5 zone





Issue 1 - Tree code

<u>Amendment</u>: Remove tree code exemption on sites less than 5,000 sq ft in area. Require all sites 2,500 sq ft and larger to comply with tree preservation standards





Issue 2 - Line between single- and multidwelling zones

<u>Amendment</u>: Shift R2.5 into multi-dwelling zone/Better Housing by Design project





Issue 3 - Land divisions

<u>Amendment</u>: Allow duplex/triplex lots to be divided

- Allow land divisions
- RF-R5 (1,600 sf lots)
- R2.5 (no min lot size)







Issue 4 - Land division—How to calculate density

<u>Amendment</u>: Calculate density before rightof-way dedication





Issue 5 - Floodplain

Amendments:

- Measure building height from 100-year floodplain (as opposed to lowest point)
- Exclude above-ground basement area in flood plains



Include in City Council recommendation letter

- Advocate for parking permit program support (restrict permits for sites with off street parking)
- 2. Develop a curb cut fee/tax proposal
- Pursue a local exception to State building code to allow Portland to require visitability on all new single dwellings
- Recommend a maximum limit on SDC waivers to 2 ADUs





Next Steps

8/28 Worksession: Cottage Clusters (1 hr)9/11 Worksession: Putting it all togetherFall 2018 Vote





Cottage Clusters Proposal: allowed in <u>all</u> single dwelling zones





Issue 8.1 - Density

- 1. <u>Proposal</u>: Allow 1 ADU with each primary detached unit (i.e. 2x current PD density, density on par with LD)
- Amendment: 2x base density, <u>and</u> allow 1 ADU with each primary (4x current PD density, on par with overlay)
- 3. <u>Alternate approach</u>: 2x base density, but units not restricted to ADU/primary (2x current PD density, more primary units)









Issue 8.2 - Open Area

- Proposal: Require 50% of dwelling units to be oriented around a common open area. ADUs count in total # of du.
- 2. <u>Amendment</u>: Delete this proposed requirement.







5 T



Issue 8.3 - Review process

- 1. <u>Proposal</u>: Process 11 or more dwelling units (not counting ADUs) as a Type III.
- 2. <u>Amendment</u>: Review more than 20 dwelling units as a Type III.





Issue 8.4 - Building coverage

- 1. <u>Proposal</u>: No change to base zone building coverage standards. Allow a modification to the base zone building coverage standard as part of the PD process.
- 2. <u>Amendment</u>: Cap building coverage at the greater of Table 110-4 allowance or 35% of site area.



building coverage





