City of Portland, Oregon - Bureau of Development Services	
1900 SW Fourth Avenue · Portland, Oregon 97201   503-823-7300   www.portlandoregon.gov/bds	
Type III Decision Appeal Form	LU Number: 16 - 265061 PZM
FOR INTAKE, STAFF USE ONLY Date/Time Received <u>3:50pm on 3/29/17</u>	Action Attached Fee Amount <u>85000.00</u> [Y] [M] Fee Waived Bill # [Y] [M] Unincorporated MC
	OR 97205 DEADLINE OF APPEAL March 31, 2017 at 4:30 pm
Name TMT Development Company, Inc.	
Address 760 SW 9th St., Suite 2250 City	Portland State/Zip Code_OR, 97205
Day Phone (503) 241-1111 Email vanessa@tmtdevelopment.com Fax	
Interest in proposal (applicant, neighbor, etc.) Applicant	
Identify the specific approval criteria at the source of the appeal:	
Zoning Code Section 33. <u>825</u> . <u>065</u>	Zoning Code Section 33
	Zoning Code Section 33
Describe how the proposal does or does not meet the specific approval criteria identified above or how the City erred procedurally: Please see attached.	
Appellant's SignatureCBAMALM	
FILE THE APPEAL - Submit the following: This completed appeal form	

the 5th Floor of 1900 SW 4th Ave, Portland, Oregon, between 8:00 am and 4:30 pm Monday through Friday. The Portland City Council will hold a hearing on this appeal. The land use review applicant, those who testified and everyone who received notice of the initial hearing will receive notice of the appeal hearing date.

the appeal, submit the completed appeal application and fee (or fee waiver request as applicable) at the Reception Desk on

## Information about the appeal hearing procedure and fee waivers is on the back of this form.

### **Type III Decision Appeal Application**

Case File Number: LU 16-265061 DZM PC #16-210997 Studio Building and Guild Theatre Alterations

### Specific approval criteria at the source of the appeal:

Zoning Code Section 33.825.065

TMT Development is appealing the Design Commission's conditions of approval rendered on March 2, 2017 for the Studio Building window replacement project. It is our position that the proposed window (Winco 3250) meets the Central City Fundamental Design Guidelines and the conditions of approval go beyond a reasonable interpretation of the intent of the code and result in extraneous additional costs for the owner.

Our position is stated below referencing the Design Commission's conditions of approval for the Winco 3250:

1. First condition - the glazing for all new windows shall have a greater clarity than the sample presented at the March 2, 2017 hearing, with a clarity comparable to- or greater that- the Solarban 60 Starphire glass product.

During the first Design Commission meeting on January 19, a sample of the window and the glass were presented to the Commission for their review and approval. At this time, there was no mention in regards to the clarity of the glass being an issue or as being a potential condition of approval. We feel the City erred procedurally by overlooking the glass sample in the first meeting; it is not the job of the applicant to try to interpret what the Design Commission views as potential issues with its proposal and bring it their attention.

In regards to the clarity of the glass, glass clarity is based off of Visible Light Transmittance (VLT); the Solarban 60 starphire glass only has a VLT that is 4% greater than the Solarban 60 clear + clear that was proposed to the Design Commission on January 19<sup>th</sup> and March 2<sup>nd</sup>. The tint of the glass is most visible at the edges and in this application, the edges will not be seen as they will be encapsulated by the frame of the window assembly itself. Additionally, the replacement windows start on the second floor, which is 16 feet above ground level and reaches 87.5 feet at the 9<sup>th</sup> level of the building. In this application, we do not feel that a 4% greater clarity more closely aligns with the Central City Fundamental Design Guidelines.

2. Second condition - All new windows shall feature simulated divided lights with both spacer bars and interior muntins in addition to the exterior muntins.

Per zoning code 33.825.035 the Design Review Commission is entitled to review exterior alterations of a project; the interior muntins being required as a conditional approval will not be visible from the street - please see photos included as Exhibit A. The above condition solely impacts the interior of the building.

In addition, the Central City Fundamental Design Guidelines, C3 Respect Architectural Integrity, states to "*respect the original character of an existing building when modifying its exterior*". Our proposed modification to the exterior of the building is respecting the original character of the Studio building by replicating the exterior muntins of the current window.

## Exhibit A

North Facing View:



South Facing View:





# West Facing View:



## East Facing View:

