September 16, 2016

**RE: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony** 

Dear Members of the Planning and Sustainability Commission,

Please accept the comments of 40 individuals listed below. Thank you in advance for your efforts to implement Portland's historic November 2015 Fossil Fuel Policy.

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability (BPS) for its work to incorporate public input after releasing the initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal falls short of the bold resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to "actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland or adjacent waterways." Although this draft prohibits new bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine). The City's policy was clear, and new fossil fuel facilities that can store 5 million gallons of dangerous fossil fuels such as liquefied natural gas (LNG) or crude oil undermine the promise and impact of the City's 2015 Resolution.

Additionally, while **this proposal should mark any bulk terminal as 'non-conforming'**, it still needs to strengthen the regulations when it comes to the expansion of pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City's intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City's fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

To make City policy reflect the intent of the historic City Council Resolution, I request that the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission do the following:

- Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City's 2015 Resolution - "to actively oppose" new fossil fuel infrastructure.

- Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less. That exception could allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

-Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process. The City should use this process to ensure that seismic and safety improvements are the basis for any new changes to Portland's existing bulk fossil fuel terminals.

-Add language to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever, the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland can once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,

| Sean      | Tenney        |    | 1020 SW Taylor Street, Suite 275 | Portland     | OR | 97205 |
|-----------|---------------|----|----------------------------------|--------------|----|-------|
| Robert B. | Kaplan        |    | PO BOX 577                       | Port Angeles | WA | 98362 |
| Natalie   | Van Leekwijck |    | 444 Munn Street                  | Hazard       | NE | 68844 |
| Gary      | Gilardi       |    | 1132 8th Street                  | Hood River   | OR | 97031 |
| Glen      | Paris-Stamm   |    | 697 Oak Knoll Drive              | Ashland      | OR | 97520 |
| Francisco | Gadea         |    | 4110 SE Hawthorne Blvd           | Portland     | OR | 97214 |
| Martin    | Donohoe       | MD | 5031H foothills rd               | lake oswego  | OR | 97034 |

|           | 1         |     | 1                      | 1             |        |       |
|-----------|-----------|-----|------------------------|---------------|--------|-------|
| Kathryn   | Alexandra | RN  | 4311 ginnett rd        | anacortes     | WA     | 98221 |
| Monica    | Gilman    | MA  | 25525 S Laura Ln       | Estacada      | Oregon | 97023 |
| Jay       | Humphrey  | Mr  | 25525 S Laura Ln       | Estacada      | Oregon | 97023 |
| Christina | Pasillas  |     | 2607 Hope St.          | Klamath Falls | Oregon | 97603 |
| A.        | Todd      |     | PO Box 41783           | Eugene        | Oregon | 97404 |
| Annie     | McCuen    |     | 1825 Fairmount ave S   | Salem         | Oregon | 97302 |
| Richard   | Pasichnyk |     | 2132 W 14th Ct         | Eugene        | OR     | 97402 |
| Regna     | Merritt   | PA  | 260 NW Pittock Drive   | Portland      | Oregon | 97210 |
| Thomas    | Ward      | MD  | 260 NW Pittock Drive   | Portland      | Oregon | 97210 |
| Sybil     | Kohl      | MSW | 8001 Sand Point Way NE | Seattle       | WA     | 98115 |

| Ron      | Delp            | MPA     | 5622 SE Raymond St.       | Portland | OR     | 97206 |
|----------|-----------------|---------|---------------------------|----------|--------|-------|
| Mary     | Sievertsen      |         | 7705 SW Miner Way         | Portland | Oregon | 97225 |
| John     | Sievertsen      |         | 7705 SW Miner Way         | Portland | Oregon | 97225 |
| Barbara  | Tombleson       |         | 7526 SW Capitol Hill Road | Portland | Oregon | 97219 |
| Vivianne | Misca-Clark     | Mrs.    | 3565 E. fork Rd.          | Williams | OR.    | 97544 |
| Dave     | Ruud            |         | 14139 NW Charlton Rd      | Portland | OR     | 97231 |
| Kelly    | Campbell        |         | 2319 SE 41st              | Portland | OR     | 97214 |
| Theodora | Tsongas         | PhD, MS | 7324 SE Madison St        | Portland | OR     | 97215 |
| Damon    | Motz-Storey     |         | 1502 SE 84th Avenue       | Portland | Oregon | 97216 |
| Ann      | Turner          | MD      | 2007 NE Mason St.         | Portland | Oregon | 97211 |
| Sarah    | Klatt-Dickerson |         | 2135 NE 134th PI          | Portland | OR     | 97230 |

| Rebekah   | Creswell   | Registered Nurse | 8338 N Interstate Ave APT 205  | Portland   | OR     | 97217 |
|-----------|------------|------------------|--------------------------------|------------|--------|-------|
| Kellyn    | Bardeen    |                  | 5709 SE Reedway St.            | Portland   | Oregon | 97206 |
| Adriana   | Cvitkovic  |                  | 1502 NE 84th Ave               | Portland   | OR     | 97216 |
| Joseph    | Miller     | PhD              | 1030 SW Jefferson St., Apt 534 | Portland   | Oregon | 97201 |
| Patricia  | Murphy     | N.D.             | 1516 SE 43rd Ave.              | Portland   | OR     | 97215 |
| John      | Gillette   | M.D.             | 1133 N.W. 11th Ave., #405      | Portland   | OR     | 97209 |
| Sharon    | Miller     | MSW              | 3214 NE Cesar Chavez Blvd      | Portland   | OR     | 97212 |
| David     | Chatfield  |                  | 3105 S.E. 51st Avenue          | Portland   | Oregon | 97206 |
| Marjorie  | Kircher    | MS OTR           | 3023 SW Cascade Dr.            | Portland   | OR     | 97205 |
| Casey Jo  | Remy       |                  | 1742 Days Creek Rd             | Days Creek | OR     | 97429 |
| Nancy     | Crumpacker | MD               | 2351 NW Westover Road, #701    | Portland   | OR     | 97210 |
| Charlotte | Sahnow     | Dr.              | 2756 Chad Drive                | Eugene OR  |        | 97408 |

From: sierra.ansley@everyactioncustom.com [mailto:sierra.ansley@everyactioncustom.com] Sent: Thursday, September 15, 2016 12:39 PM To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov> Subject: [User Approved] PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony

Dear Portland PSC Planning and Sustainability Commission,

Thank you for the diligent effort and transparency with which the City is pursuing implementation of its November 2015 Fossil Fuel Policy.

To make the City's policy as strong as it can be, I ask the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

-Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City's 2015 Resolution, "to actively oppose" new fossil fuel infrastructure.

-An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary and could allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

-Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process. The City should use this process to ensure that seismic and safety improvements are the basis for any new changes to Portland's existing bulk fossil fuel terminals.

-Add language to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the fight against climate change. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland can remain at the forefront of addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely, Sierra Ansley 762 NW Harvest Moon Dr Hillsboro, OR 97124-2473 From: Kathy Austen [mailto:augsten@efn.org]
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2016 2:19 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Dear Portland City Council,

I am another signer of this letter composed by 350PDX. Portland must fulfill the intent of our 2015 Resolution to "actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels."

-- Kathy Austen

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to "actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland". Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as 'non-conforming', it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City's intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City's fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City's 2015 Resolution, "to actively oppose" new fossil fuel infrastructure.

2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

4 - Add language to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,

From: Kathy Austen Email: <u>augsten@efn.org</u> Address: 2628 SE 109th Ave., Portland, Oregon, 97266 From: Steve Cheseborough [mailto:chezztone@gmail.com] Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2016 9:07 PM To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov> Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a Full Ban!

Please enact a full ban on all fossil-fuel terminals of any size. Thank you.

Steve Cheseborough 2735 NE 37th Ave Portland, OR 97212 From: jerekko@everyactioncustom.com [mailto:jerekko@everyactioncustom.com] Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2016 6:14 PM To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov> Subject: [User Approved] PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony

Dear Portland PSC Planning and Sustainability Commission,

Thank you for the diligent effort and transparency with which the City is pursuing implementation of its November 2015 Fossil Fuel Policy.

To make the City's policy as strong as it can be, I ask the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

-Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City's 2015 Resolution, "to actively oppose" new fossil fuel infrastructure.

-An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary and could allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

-Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process. The City should use this process to ensure that seismic and safety improvements are the basis for any new changes to Portland's existing bulk fossil fuel terminals.

-Add language to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the fight against climate change. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland can remain at the forefront of addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely, Jason Chin 614 SW Nevada St Portland, OR 97219-3070 From: steph.e.chris@everyactioncustom.com [mailto:steph.e.chris@everyactioncustom.com] Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2016 8:41 PM To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov> Subject: [User Approved] PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony

Dear Portland PSC Planning and Sustainability Commission,

Thank you for the diligent effort and transparency with which the City is pursuing implementation of its November 2015 Fossil Fuel Policy.

To make the City's policy as strong as it can be, I ask the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

-Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City's 2015 Resolution, "to actively oppose" new fossil fuel infrastructure.

-An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary and could allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

-Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process. The City should use this process to ensure that seismic and safety improvements are the basis for any new changes to Portland's existing bulk fossil fuel terminals.

-Add language to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the fight against climate change. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland can remain at the forefront of addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Please keep Portland, and our shared waterways, protected from the threat of fossil fuel accidents - and use our resources to promote instead clean, renewable energy projects.

Sincerely, Stephanie Christensen 7502 SW Miles Pl Portland, OR 97219-3030 From: Anne Corbett [mailto:corbett.anne@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2016 6:30 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to "actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland". Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as 'non-conforming', it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City's intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City's fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City's 2015 Resolution, "to actively oppose" new fossil fuel infrastructure.

2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

4 - Add language to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely, Annie Corbett

From: Anne Corbett Email: <u>corbett.anne@gmail.com</u> Address: 6844 N. Greeley Ave., Portland, Oregon, 97217 From: bennett.sara@everyactioncustom.com [mailto:bennett.sara@everyactioncustom.com] Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2016 8:09 PM To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov> Subject: [User Approved] PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony

Dear Portland PSC Planning and Sustainability Commission,

Thank you for the diligent effort and transparency with which the City is pursuing implementation of its November 2015 Fossil Fuel Policy.

To make the City's policy as strong as it can be, I ask the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

-Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City's 2015 Resolution, "to actively oppose" new fossil fuel infrastructure.

-An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary and could allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

-Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process. The City should use this process to ensure that seismic and safety improvements are the basis for any new changes to Portland's existing bulk fossil fuel terminals.

-Add language to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the fight against climate change. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland can remain at the forefront of addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely, Sara Crowley 4116 SE Stark St Portland, OR 97214-3245 From: Adrienne and Robert Dickinson [mailto:dickinsonfam@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2016 3:44 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: Comment regarding fossil fuel codes to implement City Council Resolution

Esteemed Portland Planning and Sustainability Commission:

Thank you for showing such interest in Portland's present and future as to serve on this commission. Thank you for listening to public comment on the code implementation of Portland City Council's measure to ban any new infrastructure whose purpose is to store or transport fossil fuels in or through Portland or its adjacent waterways. This ban is waiting on the laws necessary to enforce it.

We were present at the very-well attended meetings leading up to this City Council ordinance in November, very exciting!

We attended your commission meeting yesterday (up until 3:30). The proposal regarding codes before your commission neither fits the ordinance nor does it justice. These codes allow new infrastructure through expansion, and that is not the intent of the ordinance at all. The codes cannot change the intent of the ordinance, bending to suit others' purposes.

The time is now to move into the future. "Time and tide wait for no man'" and our future cannot wait for terminals that want to expand in Portland or for those of us who can't see exactly how life can work for us beyond fossil fuels. We as a nation are moving and must move with full intention toward a fossil fuel-free, sustainable energy future. San Diego, CA, a sprawling city, the country's eighth most populace at 1.4 million people, has set a goal to be 100 percent clean energy, and "its climate action plan is legally binding, not just a vaguely worded proclamation." ("Tomorrowland, Today; The Clean Energy Future is Here;" Gunther, Marc; *Sierra Magazine*, May/June 2016, 36-40)

Here in the Northwest, a consortium of cities, labor, environmentalists, firefighters and others have been working diligently for years to protect our area from fossil fuel contamination and threat --environmental devastation; threat to human, plant, and animal life; and devastating climate change that is on a trajectory to make Earth uninhabitable for our human species. We used to think we needed to move to fossil fuels because they are limited and running out, and we had ideas of using it all up, and then moving on somehow, but now we know we have to leave fossil fuels in the ground if we are even going to survive as a species. This is imperative, this ordinance by City Council, and we need codes that do in fact totally ban future fossil fuel infrastructure, as written.

Our city is not alone, though we lead in this area as a city. Other local jurisdictions have also voted against proposed oil, gas, and coal terminals, including Vancouver, Washougal, Hood River, The Dalles, Mosier, and Stevenson, but the Portland resolutions (including the one on trains) go much further, and we are proud of our city!

Be bold and be strong in requesting better, stricter codes that fully implement this ordinance banning new fossil fuel infrastructure. Why could a code not state that no expansion of existing (nonconforming) terminals and facilities is allowed, and no increase in capacity is allowed, though upgrades to meet seismic safety are encouraged? (And work with the state to get ordinances about seismic safety passed, if more are needed.)

The fossil fuel industry can move to clean, green energy, and leave fossil fuels --dirty, expensive, wasteful, and toxic --in the ground. More jobs, more creativity, and more responsibility are in this future of green and clean energy. We have loved our natural gas fires and cars with gasoline, but the time is over now, and we have new adventures ahead of us, long into the future, but only if we can stop climate change and that means drastic changes and courage right now. Life on Earth is worth it. We individuals need our cities to help us in this transition, and our cities need us. Working together, we will figure it out.

Thank you for listening.

Sincerely, Adrienne Dickinson

14900 SE Rupert Drive Portland, OR 97267

September 14, 2016

From: barbara\_erlich@everyactioncustom.com [mailto:barbara\_erlich@everyactioncustom.com] Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2016 6:13 AM To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov> Subject: [User Approved] PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony

Dear Portland PSC Planning and Sustainability Commission,

Thank you for the diligent effort and transparency with which the City is pursuing implementation of its November 2015 Fossil Fuel Policy.

To make the City's policy as strong as it can be, I ask the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

-Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City's 2015 Resolution, "to actively oppose" new fossil fuel infrastructure.

-An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary and could allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

-Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process. The City should use this process to ensure that seismic and safety improvements are the basis for any new changes to Portland's existing bulk fossil fuel terminals.

-Add language to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the fight against climate change. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland can remain at the forefront of addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely, Barbara Erlich 7521 N Edgewater Ave Portland, OR 97203-5081 From: gdemocrat@everyactioncustom.com [mailto:gdemocrat@everyactioncustom.com] Sent: Thursday, September 15, 2016 12:34 PM To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov> Subject: [User Approved] PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony

Dear Portland PSC Planning and Sustainability Commission,

Thank you for the diligent effort and transparency with which the City is pursuing implementation of its November 2015 Fossil Fuel Policy.

To make the City's policy as strong as it can be, I ask the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

-Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City's 2015 Resolution, "to actively oppose" new fossil fuel infrastructure.

-An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary and could allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

-Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process. The City should use this process to ensure that seismic and safety improvements are the basis for any new changes to Portland's existing bulk fossil fuel terminals.

-Add language to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the fight against climate change. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland can remain at the forefront of addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely, Glenda Goldwater 932 SE 12th Ave Portland, OR 97214-2560 From: Craig Heverly [mailto:heverlyjc@hevanet.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2016 9:46 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

In recent revelations of sexual misconduct on college campuses, a strong mantra has emerged: "No means no." Please apply that to the ban on fossil fuel infrastructure. When the City Council passed it's courageous, visionary, and historic ban, I don't think it meant a "little" hanky-panky is OK. Or that a slight pat on the butt is allowable. I think they meant what they said. "No means no." Period. Amen. Thank you for your hard and careful service.

From: Craig Heverly Email: <u>heverlyjc@hevanet.com</u> Address: 3712 SE 9th Avenue, Portland, Oregon, 97202 From: Sarah lannarone [mailto:ss.iannarone@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2016 1:36 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Dear Commissioner:

Portland is seen around the world as a "sustainable city" a leader in green building, green energy, and green transportation. Please, let's ensure perception and reality align.

Please enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

Please strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

Please prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

Finally, let's shore up our vulnerable fuel infrastructure prior to undertaking an expensive cleanup of the Harbor Superfund Site.

Thank you for your dedicated service to our city.

From: Sarah Iannarone Email: <u>ss.iannarone@gmail.com</u> Address: 5636 SE 63rd AVE, Portland, Oregon, 97206 From: rmacgeorge@everyactioncustom.com [mailto:rmacgeorge@everyactioncustom.com] Sent: Friday, September 16, 2016 10:19 AM To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov> Subject: [User Approved] PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony

Dear Portland PSC Planning and Sustainability Commission,

Thank you for the diligent effort and transparency with which the City is pursuing implementation of its November 2015 Fossil Fuel Policy.

To make the City's policy as strong as it can be, I ask the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

-Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City's 2015 Resolution, "to actively oppose" new fossil fuel infrastructure.

-An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary and could allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

-Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process. The City should use this process to ensure that seismic and safety improvements are the basis for any new changes to Portland's existing bulk fossil fuel terminals.

-Add language to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the fight against climate change. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland can remain at the forefront of addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely, Rayne MacGeorge 4110 SE Hawthorne Blvd # 321 Portland, OR 97214-5246 From: tiffmccleary@everyactioncustom.com [mailto:tiffmccleary@everyactioncustom.com] Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2016 9:02 PM To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov> Subject: [User Approved] PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony

Dear Portland PSC Planning and Sustainability Commission,

Thank you for the diligent effort and transparency with which the City is pursuing implementation of its November 2015 Fossil Fuel Policy.

To make the City's policy as strong as it can be, I ask the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

-Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City's 2015 Resolution, "to actively oppose" new fossil fuel infrastructure.

-An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary and could allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

-Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process. The City should use this process to ensure that seismic and safety improvements are the basis for any new changes to Portland's existing bulk fossil fuel terminals.

-Add language to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the fight against climate change. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland can remain at the forefront of addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely, Tiffany McCleary 2823 NW Savier St Portland, OR 97210-2417 From: dnmolnar@everyactioncustom.com [mailto:dnmolnar@everyactioncustom.com] Sent: Thursday, September 15, 2016 10:55 AM To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov> Subject: [User Approved] PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony

Dear Portland PSC Planning and Sustainability Commission,

Thank you for the diligent effort and transparency with which the City is pursuing implementation of its November 2015 Fossil Fuel Policy.

To make the City's policy as strong as it can be, I ask the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

-Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City's 2015 Resolution, "to actively oppose" new fossil fuel infrastructure.

-An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary and could allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

-Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process. The City should use this process to ensure that seismic and safety improvements are the basis for any new changes to Portland's existing bulk fossil fuel terminals.

-Add language to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the fight against climate change. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland can remain at the forefront of addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely, Daniela Molnar 1845 SE Elliott Ave Portland, OR 97214-4813 From: powell440@everyactioncustom.com [mailto:powell440@everyactioncustom.com] Sent: Friday, September 16, 2016 12:34 PM To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov> Subject: [User Approved] PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony

Dear Portland PSC Planning and Sustainability Commission,

Thank you for the diligent effort and transparency with which the City is pursuing implementation of its November 2015 Fossil Fuel Policy.

To make the City's policy as strong as it can be, I ask the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

-Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City's 2015 Resolution, "to actively oppose" new fossil fuel infrastructure.

-An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary and could allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

-Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process. The City should use this process to ensure that seismic and safety improvements are the basis for any new changes to Portland's existing bulk fossil fuel terminals.

-Add language to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the fight against climate change. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland can remain at the forefront of addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely, David Powell 4117 N Haight Ave Portland, OR 97217-2919 From: Charles Purvis [mailto:chasprvs@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2016 3:34 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission psc@portlandoregon.gov
Subject: Fossil Fuels

I say YES! to banning fossil fuel terminals from Portland altogether.

If we can't wean ourselves off the fossil fuel addiction, it will quite literally be our downfall.

It's simple. We must choose between Life or easy profit.

I say LIFE!

**Charles Purvis** 

8200 SE 36th Ave Portland, Or 97222 From: mtinkham@everyactioncustom.com [mailto:mtinkham@everyactioncustom.com] Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2016 5:10 PM To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov> Subject: [User Approved] PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony

Dear Portland PSC Planning and Sustainability Commission,

Thank you for the diligent effort and transparency with which the City is pursuing implementation of its November 2015 Fossil Fuel Policy.

To make the City's policy as strong as it can be, I ask the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

-Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City's 2015 Resolution, "to actively oppose" new fossil fuel infrastructure.

-An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary and could allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

-Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process. The City should use this process to ensure that seismic and safety improvements are the basis for any new changes to Portland's existing bulk fossil fuel terminals.

-Add language to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the fight against climate change. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland can remain at the forefront of addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely, Mary Tinkham 6126 SE Grant St Portland, OR 97215-4055 From: Chris Turner [mailto:caturner458@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 15, 2016 7:48 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: Public comment fossil fuel bulk terminal amendment

After looking at the 3 options, the non-conforming should still stand. If safety, unstable ground, fossil fuels are the issues, this will prevent any expansion. No increased storage of hazardous fuels should be allowed that includes bio diesel or other blended fuels. Companies that need to upgrade (seismic or otherwise) should do so to protect their investment not to gather incentives. Current trend is to combine small terminals. Therefore, allowing small terminals will lead to aggregation. Also, policy needs to be brought forward to eliminate the possibility of a company changing type of products, change import to export etc. Different products, different safety procedures, loading concerns, storage requirements, pollution controls. New permits and full evaluation need to be done for every change of products especially for bulk terminals.

Chris turner

8 cedar gates rd

longview wa

98632

360 270 2914

Date: 16 September 2016

To: City of Portland Planning & Sustainability Commission

Subject: Bulk Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments - Additional Testimony

Esteemed Commissioners:

Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony on the proposed Zoning Code amendments covering Bulk Fossil Fuel Terminal (BFFT) Zoning amendments submitted by the City of Portland Bureau of Planning and Sustainability (BPS) on 12 August 2016, with suggested revisions per Memorandum dated 9 September 2016.

This testimony is an addition to the testimony I submitted on 12 September, and is in response to concerns raised by several of you at the public hearing held on 13 September.

## • BPS's recommendation that the original Zoning amendment proposal be revised to "allow limited expansion of existing terminals to not exceed 10 percent of the total terminal capacity" should be rejected because it gives preferential treatment to a single class of Uses – Bulk Fossil Fuel Terminals.

This assertion was part of my original testimony on the 12<sup>th</sup>, and is repeated for emphasis. The 10 percent expansion threshold is completely arbitrary on the part of the City and has no basis in any other legally adopted standard; it is based solely on the reluctance of BDS to see an increase in work load. <u>Adoption of this revision could expose the City to a LUBA challenge.</u>

## • Additional review criteria for BFFTs would incentivize seismic upgrades for existing facilities

Included with my testimony on the 12<sup>th</sup> were suggested additional review criteria to which BFFT expansion applications should be subjected. These criteria include evaluations of climate change impacts, impacts of potential fire and/or explosion, and impacts of potential release of fossil fuels into the Columbia River. It is clear that the City of Portland takes very seriously the hazard posed by the existing location of fossil fuel storage facilities on liquefaction soils.

One way to address this existing risk is by the establishment of the proposed additional review criteria. Risk of fire and explosion and also risk of release of fossil fuels into the Columbia River could both be ameliorated by upgrading the facilities to higher seismic construction standards. If an Owner of a BFFT desires to expand a facility, under the additional criteria there would be a strong incentive to reduce these risks in order to compensate for the negative impacts on climate change by the increased GHG emissions. <u>Approval of expansion proposals could be predicated upon reducing the risk of catastrophic fires, explosions, and spills that could occur in the event of a large earthquake.</u> Under the existing review criteria, approval does not depend on decreasing these risks and thus there would be no incentive for the applicant to propose the additional work of seismic upgrades.

This concludes my written testimony. Please feel free to contact me with any questions or concerns. Thank you for your attention to this issue.

Cordially

Patricia J Weber 2785 NW Marshall Drive Corvallis OR 97330 541-829-0887 trish.weber@gmail.com From: petillante@everyactioncustom.com [mailto:petillante@everyactioncustom.com] Sent: Thursday, September 15, 2016 12:07 PM To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov> Subject: [User Approved] PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony

Dear Portland PSC Planning and Sustainability Commission,

Thank you for the diligent effort and transparency with which the City is pursuing implementation of its November 2015 Fossil Fuel Policy.

To make the City's policy as strong as it can be, I ask the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

-Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City's 2015 Resolution, "to actively oppose" new fossil fuel infrastructure.

-An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary and could allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

-Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process. The City should use this process to ensure that seismic and safety improvements are the basis for any new changes to Portland's existing bulk fossil fuel terminals.

-Add language to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the fight against climate change. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland can remain at the forefront of addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely, Sarah Wiebenson 253 N Broadway Apt 304 Portland, OR 97227-1837 From: Deborah Wiley [mailto:da.wiley1@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2016 7:54 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

please - no more fossil fuel infrastructure in PDX! We can evolve into a community that says no to this and instead supports all the great alternatives available

From: Deborah Wiley Email: <u>da.wiley1@gmail.com</u> Address: 2652 se 48th ave, Portland, Oregon, 97206