

From: Jennifer Adkins [mailto:azulclarojo@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 9:23 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland”. Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- 1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution, “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- 2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- 3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process.
- 4 - Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,

From: Jennifer Adkins

Email: azulclarojo@gmail.com

Address: 3414 SE 21st Ave, Portland, Oregon, 97202

From: Anthony Albert [mailto:albert2910@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 5:29 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

From: Anthony Albert
Email: albert2910@msn.com
Address: Apt. 8, Corvallis, Oregon, 97330

From: Pennellope Allee [mailto:wordpress@350pdx.org]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 9:41 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

I support a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. We should be urgently moving away from fossil fuel dependency, and the oil companies have the resources to do so and develop clean energy. The only thing preventing them from doing so is greed. If we make fossil fuel extraction and use less accessible and profitable, they will be forced to invest in the cleaner, safer alternatives. They will not do it willingly, therefore, it is up to us to force the redirection of effort and investment through our actions and legislation. The City of Portland has the power to change the energy/climate paradigm. Embrace this opportunity to be leaders and energy policy shapers for the betterment of our local and global environments.

From: Pennellope Allee
Email: pennellope99@yahoo.com
Address: 17139 SW Berkeley Ln, Beaverton , Oregon, 97003

From: Alan Smith [mailto:wordpress@350pdx.org]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 5:29 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland”. Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- 1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution, “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- 2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- 3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process.
- 4 - Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,
Alan Smith

From: Alan Smith

Email: a23smith@yahoo.com

Address: 5908 SE 17th Avenue, Portland, Oregon, 97202

From: Stephen Bachhuber [mailto:srbachhuber1@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 2:08 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

To BPS,

In regard to the possible ban on fossil fuel terminals, keep it strong.

Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

From: Stephen Bachhuber

Email: srbachhuber1@gmail.com

Address: 3428 SE 9th Ave., Portland, Oregon, 97202

From: Kathy Bangertt [mailto:kbangertt@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 1:12 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a Full Ban!

Dear Members of the Planning and Sustainability Commission,

Thank you for the diligent effort and transparency with which the City is pursuing the implementation of its November 2015 Fossil Fuel Policy. The City's draft makes real progress towards the 2015 Resolution's goal to "actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland or adjacent waterways." As a resident of Portland, I am proud to see City leaders working to make Portland an example that other cities can follow.

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes much closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution. It is good to see the city making decisions that are not only environmentally responsible, but also responsive to citizen input.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to "actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland or adjacent waterways." Although this draft prohibits new bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine). The City's policy was clear, and new fossil fuel facilities that can store 5 million gallons of dangerous fossil fuels such as liquefied natural gas (LNG) or crude oil undermine the promise and impact of the City's 2015 Resolution.

Additionally, while this proposal will mark any bulk terminal as 'non-conforming', it still needs to strengthen the regulations when it comes to the expansion of pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City's intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City's fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

To make the City's policy as strong as it can be, I ask the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to consider the following:

- Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City's 2015 Resolution - "to actively oppose" new fossil fuel infrastructure.

- An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary and could allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

-Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process. The City should use this process to ensure that seismic and safety improvements are the basis for any new changes to Portland's existing bulk fossil fuel terminals.

-Add language to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever, the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,

Kathy Bangertt
4540 SE Taylor St
Portland, OR 97215

From: Barbara Bartschi [mailto:bartschi@imagina.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 10:08 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Ban all fossil fuel terminals. We don't need any more pollution. We already are dealing with the mistakes of Hanford! We are running out of time - we need to think of our children's future.

Barbara Bartschi

From: Barbara Bartschi
Email: bartschi@imagina.com
Address: 5117 Se Naef Rd, Milwaukie, Oregon, 97267

From: Joshua Berger [mailto:josh@plazm.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 5:56 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Please Please Please

Let's continue to be a leader in sustainability!

Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

From: Joshua Berger
Email: josh@plazm.com
Address: 1123 SE 36th Ave, Portland, Oregon, 97214

From: Denise Busch [mailto:standleydm@involved.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 8:37 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

From: Denise Busch
Email: standleydm@involved.com
Address: 7160 SW Raleighwood lane, Portland, Oregon, 97225

From: Andrew Butz [mailto:wordpress@350pdx.org]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 12:09 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Dear Planning and Sustainability Commission:

Please enact a full ban on any new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. We don't need an exception for new facilities of 5 million gallons or less. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

Moreover, we must strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

The bottom line is, we need to prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

From: Andrew Butz
Email: anbunz@yahoo.com
Address: 3735 SE 9th, Portland, Oregon, 97202

From: Lynda Byers [mailto:lebyers484@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2016 2:38 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

From: Lynda Byers
Email: lebyers484@gmail.com
Address: 2171 NE Schuyler St Apt 4, Portland, Oregon, 97212

From: Eric Canon [mailto:canonmetals@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 6:32 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Ban fossil fuels terminals in Portland. No more. Turn the future toward sustainable alternatives.
Do it now.

From: Eric Canon
Email: canonmetals@gmail.com
Address: 1923 Elm Street, Forest Grove, Oregon, 97116

From: Tracy Ceravolo [mailto:wordpress@350pdx.org]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 10:29 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

There is no reason to expand fossil fuel infrastructure. It is a dying, outdated industry which is ruining our environment all for greed. We must invest in renewable energy infrastructure like we are at war with Climate Change! This must happen, and the sooner we start, the less painful it will be. Portland must be a leader in this message to the country and the world. No more fossil fuel infrastructure AT ALL.

From: Tracy Ceravolo
Email: cyclwomn@yahoo.com
Address: 1721 NE 209th, Vancouver, Washington, 98642

From: Farrah Chaichi [mailto:FNChaichi@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 1:56 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland”. Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- 1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution, “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- 2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- 3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process.
- 4 - Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,
Farrah

From: Farrah Chaichi

Email: FNChaichi@gmail.com

Address: 12320 SW Center St #41, Beaverton, Oregon, 97005

From: Dana Brown [mailto:danaconsulting@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 8:51 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Dear Mayor and City Council Members -

This is your opportunity to leave an historic legacy for future Portlanders. Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, strengthen restrictions on expansions at existing terminals and prevent any increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland. There is only one way forward to a future that will be sustainable for humanity and all life on the planet. Be the leaders you hoped to be. Thank you!

From: Dana Brown
Email: danaconsulting@comcast.net
Address: 2606 NE 30th Ave, Portland, Oregon, 97212

From: Tony and Phyllis DeCristofaro [mailto:wordpress@350pdx.org]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 8:22 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland”. Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- 1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution, “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- 2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- 3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process.
- 4 - Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,

Tony and Phyllis DeCristofaro

From: Tony and Phyllis DeCristofaro

Email: pdecrist@yahoo.com

Address: 472 N Hayden Is Dr, Portland, Oregon, 97217

Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 11:40 AM

To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>

Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Please consider the following:

Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

Thank you very much, Ineke Deruyter

From: Ineke Deruyter

Email: ideruyter@hotmail.com

Address: 9322 N Oswego Ave, Portland, Oregon, 97203

From: Darrell Kay [mailto:drckay@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 7:20 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

We the people do not want any new fossil fuel terminals in this region. Nor do we want expansions of any existing facilities. Conservation and conversion to renewable fuels should be promoted. We certainly DO NOT want to encourage more oil by rail in any way. We should actively decrease this disaster in the making before it strikes again!

From: Darrell Kay
Email: drckay@gmail.com
Address: 5356 NE Neptune Ln, Lincoln City, Oregon, 97367

From: Devin Kelly [mailto:devin.scott.kelly@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2016 10:37 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Portland does not need new fossil fuel terminals. The cycle must be broken by restricting the implementation of new terminals and expand renewable energy.

From: Devin Kelly
Email: devin.scott.kelly@gmail.com
Address: 5807 SE Belmont , 2, Portland, Oregon, 97215

From: Dolores Wood [mailto:wordpress@350pdx.org]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 12:26 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Fossil fuels are proven harmful to our health and safety. I advocate for clean air, soil and water, and against increased transport of fossil fuel, and against new storage facilities for fossil fuels. I advocate for clean energy, and for restoration of polluted areas. I advocate for maintenance, and upgrading, but not expansion of existing fossil fuel facilities.

From: Dolores Wood
Email: pgna_greening@yahoo.com
Address: 4834 SE 115 Ave, Portland, Oregon, 97266

From: Judith Eda [mailto:judyeda@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 5:30 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: enact a Full Ban!

Dear Members of the Planning and Sustainability Commission,

As a Portland resident, I am concerned that the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland or adjacent waterways.” Although this draft prohibits new bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine). The City’s policy was clear, and new fossil fuel facilities that can store 5 million gallons of dangerous fossil fuels such as liquefied natural gas (LNG) or crude oil undermine the promise and impact of the City’s 2015 Resolution.

Additionally, while this proposal will mark any bulk terminal as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations when it comes to the expansion of pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

To make the City’s policy as strong as it can be, I ask the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to consider the following:

- Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution - “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure.

- An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary and could allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

- Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process. The City should use this process to ensure that seismic and safety improvements are the basis for any new changes to Portland’s existing bulk fossil fuel terminals.

- Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever, the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,

Judith Eda
4655 NE Killingsworth St
Portland, OR 97218

From: Deborah Einbender [mailto:pursona@teleport.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 5:32 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Aggressive, bold action is needed NOW. We should be leaders in the movement to make sure that a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals of any size is immediately passed, with no exceptions for size. No increase in dangerous fossil fuels, like Bakken crude oil should be allowed. The city should act to strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

From: Deborah Einbender
Email: pursona@teleport.com
Address: 1326 SE Pine St, Portland, Oregon, 97214

From: Steve Elliot [mailto:secondselliot@peoplepc.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 7:57 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Climate change is real. Staying the course is obviously unacceptable as it will only hasten the pace of global warming, causing more catastrophic storms, and loss of plant and animal species. One of the greatest causes of global warming is from the use of fossil fuels in internal combustion engines.

New infrastructure for fossil fuel extraction, transport, storage, and refining indicate a willingness to go forward to planet disaster. This is unacceptable. We must transition to other sources of energy if we are going to have a livable planet for our grandchildren's grandchildren.

From: Steve Elliot
Email: secondselliot@peoplepc.com
Address: 15036 Stagecoach, Swisshome, Oregon, 97480

From: Cynthia Enlow [mailto:hienlow@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 6:29 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

2.Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

3.Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

From: Cynthia Enlow
Email: hienlow@msn.com
Address: 1460 NW Ashley Dr, Albany, Oregon, 97321-1176

From: Elle West [mailto:wordpress@350pdx.org]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 6:41 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

fossil fuels are now unnecessary and unwanted. for a fuel company to make a decision based on profit that is projected is irresponsible and NOW life threatening. WE DO NOT WANT OIL, WE WANT A FUTURE.

From: Elle West
Email: appleface25@yahoo.com
Address: 3333 ne evertee, Portland, Oregon, 97232

From: virginia feldman [mailto:feldmanvi@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 7:20 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Dear Portland Sustainability Council:

As a physician, mother, & grandmother, I urge you to enact a full ban on fossil fuel terminals-- large or small. Please remember the 2015 Resolution--to 'actively oppose' new fossil fuel infrastructure.

Similarly, please remove the exception for new facilities that are <5 million gallons.

Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

And I urge you to strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals, through adding binding limits and safety criteria in re climate impacts in the City's use review process.

And finally, please make your language precise to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating, thus increasing fossil fuel shipments through our city.

The City of Portland can be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could be at the forefront on the most pressing concern of our time.

From: virginia feldman
Email: feldmanvi@gmail.com
Address: 11230 sw collina ave., portland, Oregon, 97219

From: Jason Fiske [mailto:jasondanielfiske@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 1:08 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Let Portland live up to its potential by taking this "risk" and pioneering the standards for other American cities.

From: Jason Fiske
Email: jasondanielfiske@gmail.com
Address: 2704 SE 47th Ave, Portland, Oregon, 97206

From: Ryan Francesconi [mailto:hello@are-f.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2016 4:01 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Hello!

Let's be a world example and get this right. Full ban on fossil fuel transport!!

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- 1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City's 2015 Resolution, "to actively oppose" new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- 2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- 3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.
- 4 - Add language to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

From: Ryan Francesconi
Email: hello@are-f.com
Address: 2833 SE 45th Ave., Portland, Oregon, 97206

From: Martin Frazier [mailto:martinfrazier52@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 8:08 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

From: Martin Frazier
Email: martinfrazier52@gmail.com
Address: 18th Avenue, Portland, Oregon, 97202

From: Eileen Fromer [mailto:efromer@msn.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 10:47 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland”. Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- 1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution, “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- 2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- 3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process.
- 4 - Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,
Eileen Fromer

From: Eileen Fromer

Email: efromer@msn.com

Address: 8175 SW 71st Ave., Portland, Oregon, 97223

From: Kate Gessert [mailto:katerg@igc.org]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 8:27 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Dear Sirs:

Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

Thank you! Best, Kate Gessert

From: Kate Gessert

Email: katerg@igc.org

Address: 86070 Cougar Lane, Eugene, Oregon, 97402

From: G. Gibson [mailto:mistergibson@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 5:44 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland”. Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution, “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure.

2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process.

4 - Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,

G. Gibson

mistergibson@gmail.com

Citizen

From: G. Gibson

Email: mistergibson@gmail.com

Address: 2837 S.E. Steele, #2, Portland, Oregon, 97202-4530

From: Sheila Golden [mailto:goldensheila6@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 12:09 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland”. Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- 1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution, “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- 2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- 3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process.
- 4 - Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,

Sheila Golden

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland”. Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- 1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution, “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- 2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- 3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process.
- 4 - Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,

From: Sheila Golden

Email: goldensheila6@gmail.com

Address: 1411 NE 16th Ave., Portland, Oregon, 97232

From: Gisela Ray [mailto:giselaray@frontier.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 6:55 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

We know that fossil fuels have to be phased out and soon. It would be utter folly then to spend precious resources on new infrastructure for those fuels. That money must instead be diverted to renewables. That's the future and the future needs to start now. Fossil fuel companies must not be allowed to bring new terminals and other new infrastructure to Portland or Oregon for that matter. If they do they will clamor to recoup their investment by recovering and selling ever more fossil fuels.

From: Gisela Ray
Email: giselaray@frontier.com
Address: 85 SE 16th Court, Gresham, Oregon, 97080

From: Mark Grossman [mailto:wordpress@350pdx.org]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 4:48 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

My family lived in Oregon for years and value its environmental health. We ask for a full ban on new fossil fuel terminals of any size. Existing terminals should not be expanded. Fossil fuels are destroying the atmosphere and the oceans - let's not contribute to this any further.

From: Mark Grossman
Email: grossman_mark@yahoo.com
Address: 2063 Byron St, Palo Alto, California, 94301

From: John Hahn-Francini [mailto:johnhf@hevanet.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 5:46 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

I would like to see a full ban on all new fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland. A full ban should not have exceptions for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less. The code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous, highly combustible fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. I would like to see the code strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process. I would like to see the code prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

From: John Hahn-Francini
Email: johnhf@hevanet.com
Address: 4617 NE Killingsworth St. Unit 9, Portland, Oregon, 97218

From: Anne Hamburg [mailto:wordpress@350pdx.org]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 7:34 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

New and expanded fossil fuel terminals are not a good idea for Portland. If this does end up happening, please ensure the fossil fuel industry has and can prove it has it's own spill and fire suppression crews on duty at all times and ready to respond within a few minutes...do not waste our fire bureau resources on it. Please increase renewable and/or safe energy sources.

From: Anne Hamburg
Email: akaseyh@yahoo.com
Address: 3231 SE 109th Ave, Portland, Oregon, 97266

From: Glenna Hayes [mailto:wordpress@350pdx.org]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 8:37 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland”. Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- 1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution, “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- 2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- 3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process.
- 4 - Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,
Glenna Hayes
7254 SW 53rd Av
Portland, OR. 97219

From: Glenna Hayes
Email: gahportand@yahoo.com
Address: 7254 SW 53rd Ave, Portland, Oregon, 97219

From: Susan Haywood [mailto:wordpress@350pdx.org]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 3:59 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

We must have a full ban all new fossil fuel terminals of any size. There must be no exceptions; we must not allow any more trains fossil fuels, especially Bakken crude, through our city (and hopefully the state).

As it is now, trains dragging oil tanks run frighteningly close to Max tracks and our freeways.

We cannot afford to increase the risk of explosions that would harm so many people.

In addition, we should prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland. We are on the confluence of two rivers and risk pollution that can move far and wide via their waters. It was wise to pull out of the Pembina project last year. Let's shrink our risks and not allow ourselves to be used by big corporations to export their foul products.

We need to strengthen restrictions on any and all expansions at existing terminals by adding binding limits and criteria for safety and climate impacts in Portland's non-conforming use review process. In fact, it would be great to reduce terminals now in existence for our own safety.

From: Susan Haywood
Email: susansaphone2@yahoo.com
Address: 2146 NW Everett St, Portland, Oregon, 97210

From: Eve Heidtmann [mailto:eveandden@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 5:54 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

I am adding my voice in support of a ban on ALL new fossil fuel terminals and the strongest possible restrictions on the expansion at existing terminals. The age of fossil fuels is over. The sooner we put an end to fossil fuel use, the better for it will be for our children and all species.

From: Eve Heidtmann
Email: eveandden@gmail.com
Address: 7820 SW Walnut Lane, Portland, Oregon, 97225

From: Lynn Herring [mailto:lynnhe@outlook.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2016 4:01 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony

To the Planning and Sustainability Commission, City of Portland,

Regarding Fossil Fuel Zoning, I encourage the City of Portland to amend the proposed draft to better reflect the city's fossil fuel goals laid out in the resolution last fall.

Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing facilities through adding binding limits in the City's non-conforming use review process.

Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

Take action now to ensure Portland fulfills the promise of its historic fossil fuel resolution.

Sincerely,

Lynn Herring

1090 Chandler Road

Lake Oswego, OR 97034

From: Craig Heverly [mailto:heverlyjc@hevanet.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2016 11:39 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

"No" means "No". Make it clean. Make it simple. No fossil fuel infrastructure. Period.

Thank you for your work.

From: Craig Heverly
Email: heverlyjc@hevanet.com
Address: 3712 SE 9th Ave, Portland, Oregon, 97202

From: P Horter [mailto:wordpress@350pdx.org]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 6:50 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

From: P Horter
Email: lacengh@yahoo.com
Address: 17827 NW Sauvie Is.Rd., Portland, Oregon, 97231

From: Cecelia Hurley [mailto:diablaella@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 9:41 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Dear City of Portland,
Please consider this ban. I am a tax payer and native Portlander. Please Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.
Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

These are the things I would like you to consider while making these important and impact full decisions.

Kind Regards,
Cecelia Hurley
7465 N Huron
PORTLAND OR
97203

From: Cecelia Hurley
Email: diablaella@gmail.com
Address: 7465 N Huron, PORTLAND, Oregon, 97203

From: Maya Jarrad [mailto:maya@350pdx.org]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 3:04 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Congratulations to all of you at the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for your work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution, and to truly protecting Portland and its residents from further health, safety, and climate risks.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland”. Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- 1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution, “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- 2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- 3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process.
- 4 - Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,

From: Maya Jarrad

Email: maya@350pdx.org

Address: 3414 SE 21st Ave, Portland, Oregon, 97202

From: Joseph Meyers [mailto:dearsmilejoe@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 10:02 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland”. Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- 1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution, “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- 2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- 3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process.
- 4 - Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,

Joe Meyers

From: Joseph Meyers

Email: dearsmileyjoe@gmail.com

Address: 5035 NE 25th Ave, Portland, Oregon, 97211

From: Janet Roxburgh [mailto:hummingbirdzoo@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2016 3:56 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a Full Ban!

Dear Members of the Planning and Sustainability Commission,

Thank you for the transparency with which the City is pursuing the implementation of its November 2015 Fossil Fuel Policy. The City's draft makes real progress towards the 2015 Resolution's goal to "actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland or adjacent waterways."

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes much closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution. It is wonderful to observe the city making decisions that are environmentally responsible and responsive to citizen input.

Sadly, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to "actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland or adjacent waterways." This draft still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine). The City's policy was clear, and new fossil fuel facilities that can store 5 million gallons of dangerous fossil fuels such as liquefied natural gas (LNG) or crude oil undermine the promise and impact of the City's 2015 Resolution.

Additionally, while this proposal will mark any bulk terminal as 'non-conforming', it still needs to strengthen the regulations when it comes to the expansion of pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City's intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City's fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

To make the City's policy as strong as it can be, I ask the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to consider the following:

- Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City's 2015 Resolution - "to actively oppose" new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary and could allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary and undesirable: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process. The City should use this process to ensure that seismic and safety improvements are the basis for any new changes to Portland's existing bulk fossil fuel terminals.
- Add language to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever, the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,

Janet Roxburgh
1503 N Hayden Island Drive, 860
#860
Portland, OR 97217

From: Neal Keefer [mailto:nvkeefe@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 9:30 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Please enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

Please strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

Please take steps to prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

From: Neal Keefer
Email: nvkeefe@msn.com
Address: 4025 NE Couch St, Portland, Oregon, 97232

From: Katherine Gibson [mailto:ktdid3542@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 7:11 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

What is it about NO that is not understood?!

From: Katherine Gibson
Email: ktdid3542@gmail.com
Address: 1957 NW Florence Avenue #325, Gresham, Oregon, 97030

From: Dave King [mailto:landd_2@q.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 9:57 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

The resolution gave me hope that there would be no infrastructure built and the existing facilities would be grandfathered but not added to. That's what I expect the ordinance to provide. Please don't cave.

Climate is changing now, please don't speed it up with new carbon.

From: Dave King
Email: landd_2@q.com
Address: 8728 N Edison, Portland, Oregon, 97203

From: jeff kipilman [mailto:jbkip@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2016 2:43 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a Full Ban!

Dear Members of the Planning and Sustainability Commission,

Thank you for the diligent effort and transparency with which the City is pursuing the implementation of its November 2015 Fossil Fuel Policy. The City's draft makes real progress towards the 2015 Resolution's goal to "actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland or adjacent waterways." As a resident of Portland, I am proud to see City leaders working to make Portland an example that other cities can follow.

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes much closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution. It is good to see the city making decisions that are not only environmentally responsible, but also responsive to citizen input.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to "actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland or adjacent waterways." Although this draft prohibits new bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine). The City's policy was clear, and new fossil fuel facilities that can store 5 million gallons of dangerous fossil fuels such as liquefied natural gas (LNG) or crude oil undermine the promise and impact of the City's 2015 Resolution.

Additionally, while this proposal will mark any bulk terminal as 'non-conforming', it still needs to strengthen the regulations when it comes to the expansion of pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City's intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City's fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

To make the City's policy as strong as it can be, I ask the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to consider the following:

- Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City's 2015 Resolution - "to actively oppose" new fossil fuel infrastructure.

- An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary and could allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

- Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process. The City should use this process to ensure that seismic and safety improvements are the basis for any new changes to Portland's existing bulk fossil fuel terminals.

- Add language to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever, the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,

jeff kipilman
3315 NE 41st Ave
Portland, OR 97212

From: David Kreisman [mailto:dkreisman@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 10:50 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

It is extremely important that Portland NOT weaken this precedent setting policy! For future generations and the livability our planet I strongly urge the Portland City Council to:

1. Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.
2. Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.
3. Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

Sincerely
David Kreisman

From: David Kreisman
Email: dkreisman@hotmail.com
Address: 15066 SE Robinette Ct, Portland, Oregon, 97267

From: Barbara Krupnik-Goldman [mailto:bkgold2@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 7:26 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Dear Commissioners,

The revised version of the fossil fuel proposal is much improved, however it still needs improvement in the following areas:

- 1) Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.
- 2) Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.
- 3) Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

In order to keep global warming under 2C and have a livable future, we need to immediately transition to clean, renewable energy sources. We can't allow new multi-decade investments in fossil fuels that lock us into a world of climate chaos. Instead, we must draw the line to stop the growth of the fossil fuel industry, protect the health and safety of our community, and transition to a 100% renewable energy economy now!

Thank-you,

From: Barbara Krupnik-Goldman
Email: bkgold2@gmail.com
Address: 11439 SE Market Street, Portland, Oregon, 97216

From: Sharon Labreck [mailto:sharonlabreck@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 1:01 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland”. Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- 1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution, “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- 2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- 3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process.
- 4 - Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,

Sharon Labreck

From: Sharon Labreck

Email: sharonlabreck@gmail.com

Address: 18141 SE Troge Rd, Damascus, Oregon, 97089

From: Alissa Leavitt (Green) [mailto:green.alissa@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 3:09 PM

To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>

Subject: Fwd: Opposition to Planning Bureau proposal to allow existing tank farms to expand

I am writing to oppose the expansion of tank farms. This is against the City Council resolution to limit carbon based fuels. As you know having nearly all of Oregon's energy infrastructure in one place is careless, and presents an extreme hazard for the City in the event of accidents or natural disasters.

There are many safety issues raised by having volatile, dangerous chemicals near busy highways and communities.

Thank you,

Alissa Leavitt
9437 NW Germantown Road
Portland, Oregon 97231

--

Alissa Gabrielle Leavitt, MPH, MCHES

green.alissa@gmail.com

[503-358-2331](tel:503-358-2331)

From: Rachel Lewine [mailto:rachel@lewine.net]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 7:01 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland”. Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- 1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution, “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- 2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- 3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process.
- 4 - Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,

Rachel Lewine

From: Rachel Lewine

Email: rachel@lewine.net

Address: 3616 Widgeon Way, Eagan, Minnesota, 55123

From: Shawn Looney [mailto:looneys@involved.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 7:58 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Please enact a full ban on ALL new fossil fuel terminals, and strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals. Portland absolutely should not increase its fossil fuel infrastructure. Burning of fossil fuels is a huge contributor of global warming. We cannot afford to continue being part of the problem. Thank you.

From: Shawn Looney
Email: looneys@involved.com
Address: 12937 NW Newberry Rd, Portland, Oregon, 97231

From: Jan Madill [mailto:jan@janmadill.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 8:55 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Please ban all new fossil fuel terminals. Also strengthen restrictions on existing terminals and do NOT allow any overall increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland. For future generations we need to move now to renewable energy.

From: Jan Madill
Email: jan@janmadill.com
Address: 2717 NE 12th Ave. , Portland, Oregon, 97212

From: Linda Magnuson [mailto:lmagnuson1@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 6:16 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

From: Linda Magnuson
Email: lmagnuson1@gmail.com
Address: 4346 NE SKidmore, Portland, Oregon, 97218

From: Joy Mamoyac [mailto:salmonberries@msn.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 1:38 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

As a long time Oregonian who loves her state I am VERY concerned about fossil fuel terminals whether they are large or small infrastructures. There needs to be a ban in place, When you consider the fires, derailments, environmental disasters and explosions that have happened as in Mosier it is very dangerous to all Oregonians on so many levels. We need this ban to prevent future loss of life and damage to our ecosystem. It's not a matter of "if " these dangerous events will happen but "when"! We need to give careful thought when considering any expansions to existing terminals. You have the responsibility and DUE diligence to protect The state of Oregon as a whole!

From: Joy Mamoyac
Email: salmonberries@msn.com
Address: 2988 NW Angelica Dr., Corvallis, Oregon, 97330

From: Barbara Manildi [mailto:bmanildi@earthlink.net]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 8:28 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

From: Barbara Manildi
Email: bmanildi@earthlink.net
Address: 3525 Red Cedar Way, Lake Oswego, Oregon, 97035

From: marjory bryan [mailto:djinstigatah@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 10:09 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Please, enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

From: marjory bryan
Email: djinstigatah@gmail.com
Address: 1032 SE 35th Ave , Portland , Oregon, 97214

From: Annie McCuen [mailto:mccuen7691@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 8:31 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Please do everything in your power to reduce the dangers created by oil-fossil fuel-industries, terminals, trains, reduce, eliminate.

From: Annie McCuen
Email: mccuen7691@comcast.net
Address: 1825 Fairmount ave s, Salem, Oregon, 97302

From: Mary McGaughey [mailto:wordpress@350pdx.org]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 2:37 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Oregon has just voted for Clean Energy. To move forward with sustainable, non-polluting energy. We, the whole world is working to divest from dirty oil. Many nations have signed on to the 2016 Paris Climate Agreement, even China! Building terminals to transport oil to Asia will 'fly in the face' of our commitment to eliminate oil as the major energy source of the world. Backward is not where We are going!

From: Mary McGaughey
Email: marymcgaughey@yahoo.com
Address: 381 NE Village Squire Av Unit 2, Gresham, Oregon, 97030

From: Colleen McNally-Murphy [mailto:cmcnallymurphy@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 10:40 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

To whom it may concern:

It is time for Portland to reclaim its role as a leader in green infrastructure and renewable energy. To that end, I write to urge you to:

1. Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.
2. Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.
3. Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

Thank you very much.

From: Colleen McNally-Murphy
Email: cmcnallymurphy@gmail.com
Address: 5406 NE Everett St, Portland, Oregon, 97213

From: Mona McNeil [mailto:monarandy@monarandy.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 2:55 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Please protect our Oregon/Washington environment and people. Ban all new fossil fuel terminals.

From: Mona McNeil
Email: monarandy@monarandy.com
Address: 15704 NE 28th Court, Vancouver, Washington, 98686

From: CatAshleigh Mead [mailto:cat_list1@featherforge.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 5:25 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Please keep Portland safer from fuel spills, fires, and other contamination, and set an example of leadership for other cities by putting the brakes on the major cause of climate change through showing the industry it is unwelcome.

Please enact a FULL ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, with no exceptions, and no code changes to allow more trains of fossil fuels.

Please also strengthen restrictions on expansions at existing terminals and prevent any increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

Thank you.

From: CatAshleigh Mead
Email: cat_list1@featherforge.com
Address: 3520 SE 9th Ave, Portland, Oregon, 97202

From: Alain Millar [mailto:jakeshouseajm@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 5:41 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

We need to lead the country (as we have before on environmental issues) and

1. enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. No more Mosiers.
2. strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.
3. Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

From: Alain Millar
Email: jakeshouseajm@comcast.net
Address: 3161 SE Balfour, Portland, Oregon, 97222

From: Susan Millhauser [mailto:susanm@spiretech.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 3:50 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Thank you for the hard work done to date to develop the draft Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. I am writing today to encourage the addition of further restrictions on size and expansion of existing terminals to better meet the intent of the original City Council resolution, which called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland”. Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I encourage the City and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- 1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small, as clearly stated in the 2015 resolution.
- 2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.
Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- 3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process.
- 4 - Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now is the time to tighten up these amendments to ensure Portland's people and the natural environment are protected from fossil fuel transport and the location and expansion of terminals within our community. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Thank you for your consideration!

From: Susan Millhauser
Email: susancm@spiretech.com
Address: 5834 NE 23rd Ave, Portland, Oregon, 97211

From: Michael Mitton [mailto:mmitton@nvgbell.net]
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2016 5:46 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland”. Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- 1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution, “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- 2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- 3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process.
- 4 - Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,

Michael and Marilyn Mitton

From: Michael Mitton

Email: mmitton@nvgbell.net

Address: 1260 NW Naito Pkwy #801, Portland, Oregon, 97209

From: Monica Mueller [mailto:monica.mueller@pdx.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 8:45 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. I encourage the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

Thanks in advance for considering these changes.

From: Monica Mueller
Email: monica.mueller@pdx.edu
Address: 3339 SE Caruthers St, Portland, Oregon, 97214

From: John Nettleton [mailto:jpn5710@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 12:27 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony

Dear Members of the Planning and Sustainability Commission,

Thank you for the diligent effort and transparency with which the City is pursuing the implementation of its November 2015 Fossil Fuel Policy. The City's draft makes real progress towards the 2015 Resolution's goal to "actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland or adjacent waterways." As a resident of Portland, I am proud to see City leaders working to make Portland an example that other cities can follow.

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes much closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution. It is good to see the city making decisions that are not only environmentally responsible, but also responsive to citizen input.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to "actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland or adjacent waterways." Although this draft prohibits new bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine). The City's policy was clear, and new fossil fuel facilities that can store 5 million gallons of dangerous fossil fuels such as liquefied natural gas (LNG) or crude oil undermine the promise and impact of the City's 2015 Resolution.

Additionally, while this proposal will mark any bulk terminal as 'non-conforming', it still needs to strengthen the regulations when it comes to the expansion of pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City's intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City's fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

To make the City's policy as strong as it can be, I ask the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City's 2015 Resolution, "to actively oppose" new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary and could allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits in the City's non-conforming use review process. The City should use this process to ensure that seismic and safety improvements are the basis for any new changes to Portland's existing bulk fossil fuel terminals.
- Add language to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now, more than ever, the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate

justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

John Nettleton
4311 SE 37th Ave. #21
Portland, OR
97202 971.207.1142

From: Allen Neuringer [mailto:allen.neuringer@reed.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 5:20 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland”. Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- 1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution, “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- 2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- 3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process.
- 4 - Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,
Allen Neuringer

From: Allen Neuringer

Email: allen.neuringer@reed.edu

Address: 4140 SE 37th Ave., Apt. 9, Portland, Oregon, 97202

From: Laura M. Ohanian [mailto:lmo@efn.org]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 9:30 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

I want to thank the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the City to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland.” Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to five million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e., rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming,’ it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

1 -- Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution, “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure.

2 -- Remove the exception for new facilities that are five million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

3 -- Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process.

4 -- Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now, more than ever, the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,
Laura M. Ohanian

From: Laura M. Ohanian

Email: lmo@efn.org

Address: P.O. Box 811, Eugene, Oregon, 97440

From: P Anna Johnson [mailto:aj@mercedlake.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 6:11 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

From: P Anna Johnson
Email: aj@mercedlake.com
Address: 6934 NE 13th Avenue, Portland, Oregon, 97211

From: Mirabai Peart [mailto:mirabaipeart@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2016 3:20 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

I want to say thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. I appreciate that the current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution. However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015.

More than anything, the city's responsibility is to ensure a liveable climate for our future generations. All signs and studies are showing that climate change is moving on us faster than we want to acknowledge. If Portland can pass a true strong resolution on this then we will be taking one step in the right direction as a city, towards a reasonable future, and towards taking full responsibility to help reduce the severity of this global crisis.

This visionary resolution of 2015 called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland”. Although the current draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- 1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution, “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- 2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- 3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process.

4 - Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time. We really need to do this, for now, and for all future generations. Let's make a resolution that Portland can be proud of.

Sincerely,
Mirabai Peart

From: Mirabai Peart
Email: mirabaipeart@gmail.com
Address: 2833 SE 45th Ave, Portland, Oregon, 97206

From: Nancy Pfeiler [mailto:nancypfeiler6@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 8:26 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

I do not live in Portland. I live in Salem. We need you to continue to be the model for all cities in Oregon.

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland”. Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- 1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution, “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- 2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- 3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process.
- 4 - Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,

From: Nancy Pfeiler

Email: nancypfeiler6@gmail.com

Address: 448 Sunwood Dr NW, Salem, Oregon, 97304

From: Emily Platt [mailto:platte@ohsu.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 9:18 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland”. Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- 1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution, “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- 2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- 3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process.
- 4 - Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,

From: Emily Platt

Email: platte@ohsu.edu

Address: 2808 SE 18th Ave, Portland, Oregon, 97202

From: Angelica Pray [mailto:wordpress@350pdx.org]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 10:09 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland”. Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- 1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution, “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- 2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- 3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process.
- 4 - Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,
Angelica Pray

From: Angelica Pray

Email: angelicapray@yahoo.com

Address: 4822 Ne Glisan St, Portland, Oregon, 97213

From: Fraser Rasmussen [mailto:rasmussenf43@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 6:30 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

I am requesting that by regulation the city of Portland will remain free of any new fossil fuel terminals. That city will not accept any amount of crude oil shipments to be stored or processed within its boundaries.

From: Fraser Rasmussen
Email: rasmussenf43@gmail.com
Address: 45 Eagle Crest Dr., Apt 300, Lake Oswego, Oregon, 97035

From: Natalie Reich [mailto:natmobile@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 8:21 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

I know there is ample evidence that continuing to frack oil and harvest coal, transport and burn these fuels is a sure road to utter disaster and we may already be past the point of no return. I know there will be earth scientists and environmentalists presenting the numbers -temperatures, atmospheric ppm, methane, CO2, etc. This is simply an emotional appeal that our city stay consistent with its stated commitment to ban ALL FOSSIL FUEL INFRASTRUCTURE AND TRANSPORT WITH NO LOOPHOLES OR EXCEPTIONS.

From: Natalie Reich
Email: natmobile@comcast.net
Address: 6109 SW Nevada Court, Portland, Oregon, 97219

From: Bernadette Rodgers [mailto:bernadetterodgers350@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 12:35 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Dear Mayor Hales & Portland City Council,

Portland made national and international headlines last year with the first-ever resolution calling for no new fossil fuel infrastructure projects in Portland. I was so proud of Portland that day! Now as you code that resolution into law, let's stay true to its intent and ensure that it is not watered down to allow projects that clearly violate the intent of the resolution. Specifically, this law should:

- Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.
- Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.
- Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

Thanks for all you do to serve and protect this great city, and to make sure Portland leads the way to a clean and just energy future!

Sincerely,
Dr. Bernadette Rodgers
PSU and PCC faculty
350PDX Board Chair

From: Bernadette Rodgers
Email: bernadetterodgers350@gmail.com
Address: 5105 SW Richardson Dr, Portland, Oregon, 97239

From: Judy Romano [mailto:judy92809@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 3:55 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

We want to:

Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

From: Judy Romano

Email: judy92809@gmail.com

Address: 2058 SE Elliott, Portland, Oregon, 97214

From: Mary Rose [mailto:zambonirose@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 11:05 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

It is time for us to boldly step into the change that needs to happen now. Portland has a chance right now to lead the way in the transition toward renewable energy. We cannot do so if we don't take the leap of banning new oil infrastructure. Please refine the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments to make this change possible.

I stand with many other Portlanders who want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- 1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City's 2015 Resolution, "to actively oppose" new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- 2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- 3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.
- 4 - Add language to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Sincerely,
Mary Rose

From: Mary Rose
Email: zambonirose@gmail.com
Address: 4334 NE 23rd Ave, Portland, Oregon, 97211

From: Aaron Schalon [mailto:aaron.schalon@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 5:42 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Thank you to the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability for its work in incorporating public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the bold and visionary resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland”. Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I want the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to:

- 1 - Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution, “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure.
- 2 - Remove the exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less which would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.
- 3 - Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process.
- 4 - Add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,
Aaron Schalon

From: Aaron Schalon

Email: aaron.schalon@gmail.com

Address: 2033 ne couch street, Portland, Oregon, 97232

From: Daniel Senic [mailto:euromerican@msn.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 11:00 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

I am writing to voice my opposition to any expansion of fossil fuel transport through my beloved city.

I moved to Portland in 2009 not because of job opportunities but because of Portland's reputation as a leader in all environmental issues. This is important to me because I am a person of faith and as such am called to environmental stewardship. Our miracle planet, it's vegetation, oceans, atmosphere, climate, humans and animals are all part of God's creation and are thus good and sacred.

Corporate greed for profit threatens all that is perfect in it's originally created state and our Rose City should not give into temptation and intimidation and thereby aid in the destruction of creation. This city, country, and world has more than enough acres of parking lots and rooftops for solar panels and plenty of wind to go around to spin countless wind turbines. Not to mention other renewables. I ask my city to stand on the side of righteousness and I want the following:

1. Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.
2. Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.
3. Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

From: Daniel Senic
Email: euromerican@msn.com
Address: 330 NW 116th Ave , Portland, Oregon, 97229

From: Robert Spies [mailto:rspiesr@netscape.net]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 5:47 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

The US should not be exporting fossil fuels! We'll need them ourselves when the crunch comes. The US should be working on renewable energy, and phasing out fossil fuels. Therefore new fossil fuel terminals are unnecessary.

From: Robert Spies
Email: rspiesr@netscape.net
Address: 9271 SE Clay St, Portland, Oregon, 97216

From: Paul Spindel [mailto:pspindel@msn.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 8:10 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Hi.

I am writing you to ask that:

1. Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.
2. Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.
3. Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

We can do better. Be leaders.

Thank you

From: Paul Spindel
Email: pspindel@msn.com
Address: 1465 HOLLY ST, WEST LINN, Oregon, 97068

From: Jane Stackhouse [mailto:jane@janestackhouse.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 4:13 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

We are so close to putting Portland in the forefront of action against climate change. Please keep with your original vision and correct the proposed zoning rules.

1. Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary.
2. Do not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.
3. Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process. The only infrastructure work that should be done is strengthening existing storage to withstand a major earthquake.

Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

From: Jane Stackhouse
Email: jane@janestackhouse.com
Address: 2133 NE Brazee Street, Portland, Oregon, 97212

Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 9:30 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a Full Ban!

Dear Members of the Planning and Sustainability Commission,

The fossil fuel industry sees the region downstream from the I-5 Bridge over the Columbia as the shortest path for getting our natural resources on to a marine vessel.

You do not want to allow propane trains, oil trains, LPG trains or coal trains to come through the Columbia Gorge to a terminal in Portland.

In Vancouver we are fighting NuStar which proposed to handle 1 million gallons of crude oil per day, and you seem willing to allow storage of 5 million gallons.

One year ago, the Los Angeles City Council voted 15-0 to oppose a project that would have generated 5 oil trains per week of oil train traffic. Based on safety considerations, they would have opposed 1 oil train per week because their fire department cannot handle an oil train fire. One train holds approximately 1 million gallons.

The treaty tribes of the Columbia Gorge are adamantly opposed to coal and oil trains through the Gorge. Many of their councilmembers are right now in North Dakota blocking a pipeline and fending off attack dogs.

Twice within the last five years there has been a major pipeline leak into the Missouri. The Tribes are blocking a pipeline that would go under the Missouri and jeopardize the water. Back in the early 40s, Woody Guthrie wrote a song saying, "If I was president Roosevelt . . . I'd shoot the first big oil man that killed the fishing creek."

You don't want ethanol terminals either. The NTSB says that ethanol trains are just as dangerous as crude oil trains. Your Fire Department was maxed out on May 4, 2011, when a log fell off another train and caused an ethanol train to puncture and catch fire. This happened on Highway 30 near Cornelius Pass Road.

To make the City's policy as strong as it can be, I ask the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to consider the following:

- Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City's 2015 Resolution - "to actively oppose" new fossil fuel infrastructure.

- An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary and could allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

- Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process. The City should use this process to ensure that seismic and safety improvements are the basis for any new changes to Portland's existing bulk fossil fuel terminals.

- Add language to prevent smaller related "terminals" from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever, the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,

Don Steinke
POB 822393
Vancouver, WA 98682

From: Carolyn Stuart [mailto:wordpress@350pdx.org]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 10:27 AM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

I am in full agreement with all of these points!

Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

From: Carolyn Stuart
Email: touchmonk@yahoo.com
Address: 4920 NE 55th, Portland, Oregon, 97218

From: satya vayu [mailto:satyavayu@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 6:04 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

I appreciate that the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability incorporated public input on its initial draft of the Fossil Fuel Terminal Zoning Amendments. The current draft is much improved, bringing the proposed changes closer to the original intent of the 2015 Resolution.

However, the current Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments proposal still falls short of the strong resolution that Portland supported in the fall of 2015, which called for the city to “actively oppose expansion of infrastructure whose primary purpose is transporting or storing fossil fuels in or through Portland”. Although this draft prohibits new larger bulk terminals, it still allows new terminals up to 5 million gallons, provided that the terminal cannot shift fossil fuels from one transportation mode to another (i.e. rail to marine).

While this proposal will mark any bulk terminals as ‘non-conforming’, it still needs to strengthen the regulations on the size and type of expansion to pre-existing terminals. It is not clear from the draft if there is any binding limit to potential expansion at existing bulk fossil fuel terminals. The City’s intention with its 2015 Resolution was to ensure that changes to the City’s fossil fuel infrastructure would be used to improve safety, seismic resiliency, and the use of lower-carbon fuels.

I urge the City of Portland and the Planning and Sustainability Commission to enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. After all, this was the plain language of the City’s 2015 Resolution, “to actively oppose” new fossil fuel infrastructure. The exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less needs to be removed as it would allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil. Additionally, allowing new LNG storage in Portland is unnecessary: NW Natural has no current plans for more LNG storage in Portland.

Also, restrictions need to be strengthened on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City’s non-conforming use review process. Finally, please add language to prevent smaller related “terminals” from clustering and aggregating to increase fossil fuel shipments through Portland.

Now more than ever the City of Portland has the opportunity to be a leader in the U.S. climate justice movement. With just a few more improvements to the Fossil Fuel Zoning Amendments, Portland could once again be on the forefront addressing the most pressing concern of our time.

Sincerely,

Satya Vayu

From: satya vayu

Email: satyavayu@gmail.com

Address: 6608 SE 81st Ave, Portland, Oregon, 97206

From: Joanne Walters [mailto:greengirlspdx@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 6:09 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Please ban all new fossil fuel anything. Keep it in the ground and out of the rails and ships!

From: Joanne Walters
Email: greengirlspdx@gmail.com
Address: 7103 N. Maryland, Portland, Oregon, 97227

From: Susan Wechsler [mailto:susanwechsler@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 7:18 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

Please enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, and prevent ANY aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

We cannot afford to wait for others to act.

From: Susan Wechsler
Email: susanwechsler@comcast.net
Address: 1820 NE Vine Ave, Corvallis, Oregon, 97330

From: William Whitaker [mailto:wwhitak@boisestate.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 10:04 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

I am an Oregon grandfather concerned about leaving a heritage of a habitable world for grandchildren everywhere. We must keep carbon in the ground.

I urge you to enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

It is essential to strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

We must prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

From: William Whitaker
Email: wwhitak@boisestate.edu
Address: 1108 G Ave., La Grande, Oregon, 97850

From: Christine Yun [mailto:cpypdx@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 9:12 PM
To: Planning and Sustainability Commission <psc@portlandoregon.gov>
Subject: PSC Fossil Fuel Zoning Testimony: I want a full ban!

Testimony:

I would like City Council to consider the following:

Enact a full ban on all new fossil fuel terminals, large or small. An exception for new facilities that are 5 million gallons or less is unnecessary. The City's code changes should not allow more unit trains of dangerous fossil fuels like Bakken crude oil.

Strengthen restrictions on expansions allowed at existing terminals through adding binding limits as well as criteria for safety and climate impacts in the City's non-conforming use review process.

Prevent any aggregate increase in fossil fuel infrastructure in Portland.

From: Christine Yun
Email: cpypdx@gmail.com
Address: 1915 SE Alder St., Portland, Oregon, 97214