

## ORDINANCE No. 187968

\*Authorize contracts as required with 22 service firms for on call architecture & engineering services to support implementation of the Transportation Capital Improvement Program for a combined total not to exceed \$5,500,000 (Ordinance)

The City of Portland ordains:

Section 1. The Council finds:

1. The Bureau of Transportation (PBOT) requires professional services to support implementation of the Transportation Capital Improvement Program. Specific project requirements have not been completely determined at this time.
2. PBOT does not have sufficient expertise in specific areas to accomplish these tasks.
3. The establishment of on-call services contracts based upon estimated amounts of work without specific City commitment to purchase any given amount of services is in the best interest of the City.
4. On-call services contracts for engineering services facilitate long-range planning, increase control and provide for an uninterrupted supply of needed services.
5. On-call services contracts save time and money by reducing repetitive solicitation processes on a project-by-project basis.
6. Contracting for professional, technical and expert services in this manner does not diminish competition or compromise M/W/ESB participation because solicitation is an open competitive process.
7. PBOT has advertised/and solicited for services (#223) and received 46 proposals in eight service areas for architectural and engineering support in accordance with Chapter 5.68 of the City Code for the purpose of entering into these on-call services contracts.
8. Evaluation committees evaluated all proposals received against defined performance criteria. 22 firms were selected to provide services as required in the eight service areas. Four of the eight MWESB firms that submitted a response will be receiving contracts as a result of this solicitation, a 50% award rate, and six of the twenty two firms receiving contracts are state certified for an overall utilization of MWESB firms of 27%. Total contractual dollar value combined of all 22 contracts is \$5,500,000.
9. The selected firms are:

**Service Area 1 Geo Technical Engineering**

- 1) Northwest GeoTech, Inc
- 2) Shannon & Wilson, Inc
- 3) Rhino One Geotechnical

M, & ESB # 1035

MBE # 6730

- Service Area 2 **Traffic Engineering**  
 1) Kittelson & Associates, Inc  
 2) HDR Engineers, Inc
- Service Area 3 **Street Design**  
 1) Harper Houf Peterson Righellis, Inc  
 2) CH2M Hill Engineers, Inc  
 3) KPFF Consulting Engineers, Inc  
 4) Emerio Design MBE # 5611  
 5) David Evans & Associates
- Service Area 4 **Structural Engineering**  
 1) Exeltech Consulting, Inc MBE # 548  
 2) HDR Engineers, Inc  
 3) David Evans & Associates, Inc
- Service Area 5 **Traffic Signal Design**  
 1) Global Transportation Engineering, Inc M, W, & ESB # 10033  
 2) Kittelson & Associates, Inc  
 3) HDR Engineers, Inc
- Service Area 6 **Street Lighting Design**  
 1) Global Transportation Engineering, Inc M, W, & ESB # 10033  
 2) Kittelson & Associates, Inc
- Service Area 7 **Transit Planning**  
 1) CH2M Hill Engineers, Inc  
 2) David Evans & Associates, Inc
- Service Area 8 **Rail Civil Design/Construction Services**  
 1) AECOM Technical Services, Inc  
 2) HDR Engineers, Inc

NOW, THEREFORE, the Council directs:

- a. That the Chief Procurement Officer is hereby authorized to execute agreements with the firms listed in Section 1, in a form substantially in accordance with attached contract labeled Exhibit A for a combined total amount not to exceed \$5,500,000 provided the contracts have been approved as to form by the City Attorney's office.
- b. The Mayor & Auditor are hereby authorized to pay for the services performed under the contracts as Task Orders are issued and funds encumbered against specific SAP Cost Objects which are yet to be determined.

Section 2. The Council declares that an emergency exists because current contract dollar authority in select service areas has been exhausted and projects are waiting in the capital projects queue for services as needed; therefore, this Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Council.

Passed by the Council, **AUG 31 2016**

Commissioner Steve Novick  
Prepared by: Alissa Mahar: TK  
Date Prepared: August 8, 2016

**Mary Hull Caballero**  
Auditor of the City of Portland  
By

  
Deputy

Agenda No.  
**ORDINANCE NO.**  
 Title

187968

\*Authorize contracts as required with 22 PTE service firms for on call architecture & engineering services in support of the Portland Bureau of Transportation, (Ordinance)  
 Implementation of the Transportation Capital Improvement Program for a combined total not to exceed \$5,500,000

<b>INTRODUCED BY</b> Commissioner/Auditor: <b>COMMISSIONER STEVE NOVICK</b>	CLERK USE: DATE FILED <u>AUG 23 2016</u>
<b>COMMISSIONER APPROVAL</b> Mayor—Finance and Administration - Hales Position 1/Utilities - Fritz Position 2/Works - Fish Position 3/Affairs - Saltzman Position 4/Safety – Novick <i>aw</i>	Mary Hull Caballero Auditor of the City of Portland  By: <u><i>[Signature]</i></u> Deputy
<b>BUREAU APPROVAL</b> Bureau: <b>Transportation</b> Business Services Manager: <b>Alissa Mahar</b> Asst. Director: <b>Maurice Henderson</b> <i>8/15/16</i> Prepared by: Alissa Mahar Date Prepared: August 8, 2016 Supervisor: Alissa Mahar <i>aw</i>	ACTION TAKEN:
Impact Statement Completed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amends Budget <input type="checkbox"/>	
Portland Policy Document If "Yes" requires City Policy paragraph stated in document. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>City Auditor Office Approval:</b> required for Code Ordinances	
<b>City Attorney Approval:</b> required for contract, code, easement, franchise, comp plan, charter <i>6F</i>	
<b>Council Meeting Date</b> <b>August 31, 2016</b>	

<b>AGENDA</b> <b>TIME CERTAIN</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Start time: _____ Total amount of time needed: _____ (for presentation, testimony and discussion)
<b>CONSENT</b> <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>REGULAR</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Total amount of time needed: _____ (for presentation, testimony and discussion)

FOUR-FIFTHS AGENDA	COMMISSIONERS VOTED AS FOLLOWS:		
		YEAS	NAYS
1. Fritz	1. Fritz	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
2. Fish	2. Fish	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
3. Saltzman	3. Saltzman	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
4. Novick	4. Novick	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Hales	Hales	<input type="checkbox"/>	