

ORDINANCE No. 187966 As Amended

*Authorize a competitive solicitation for the purchase of Data Center Colocation Services for an estimated \$9.7 million (Ordinance)

The City of Portland ordains:

Section 1. The Council finds:

1. The Bureau of Technology Services (BTS) is responsible for the maintaining data center facilities for the City of Portland's mission critical and business critical applications.
2. The Portland Building houses the City's primary data center and is subject to significant seismic concerns. Additionally, the Portland Building will be undergoing major reconstruction efforts by late 2017, posing considerable risk to critical City operations if the primary data center remains in its current location.
3. A secondary colocation facility outside of the region, managed by the same vendor and network connected to the primary colocation facility, to provide disaster recovery capabilities for City systems is also desired. The timeline for deployment of this facility has more flexibility, so deployment of, and migration to, the primary colocation facility will take precedence.
4. Data Center Colocation Services obtained through a competitive solicitation will ensure the best value for the City.
5. The estimated cost of the Data Center Move project is \$9.7 million. Project funding was established in the FY 2016-17 budget process, and the source of funding is BTS interagencies with customer bureaus.
6. BTS seeks Council's authorization for Procurement Services to issue a competitive solicitation for the Data Center Colocation project.
7. The Bureau's confidence level in the estimated cost is "Moderate".

NOW, THEREFORE, the Council directs:

- a. The Chief Procurement Officer to facilitate the use of the competitive solicitation process in accordance with City Code for the purchase of the Data Center Colocation services.
- b. Upon Council acceptance of the Chief Procurement Officer's Report, Procurement Services is authorized to negotiate and execute a contract with the selected offeror, provided the contract has been approved as to form by the City Attorney's Office.

Section 2. The Council declares that an emergency exists because a delay in proceeding with

the solicitation will result in undesirable delays or expenses to the dependent Portland Building Reconstruction project; therefore, this Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by Council.

Passed by the Council: AUG 31 2016

Mayor Hales

Prepared by: Carolyn Glass

Date Prepared: 8/5/2016

Mary Hull Caballero

Auditor of the City of Portland

By



Deputy





982

Agenda No.

ORDINANCE NO. 187966 As Amended

Title

*Authorize a competitive solicitation for the purchase of Data Center Colocation Services (Ordinance)
for an estimated \$9.7 million

INTRODUCED BY Commissioner/Auditor: Mayor Charlie Hales	CLERK USE: DATE FILED <u>AUG 23 2016</u>
COMMISSIONER APPROVAL  Mayor—Finance and Administration - Hales Position 1/Utilities - Fritz Position 2/Works - Fish Position 3/Affairs - Saltzman Position 4/Safety - Novick	Auditor of the City of Portland By:  Deputy ACTION TAKEN:
BUREAU APPROVAL Bureau: OMF Bureau of Technology Services Bureau Head: Jeff Baer  OMF CAO: Fred Miller 	
Prepared by: Carolyn Glass Date Prepared: 08/05/2016	
Financial Impact & Public Involvement Statement Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Amends Budget <input type="checkbox"/>	
Portland Policy Document If "Yes" requires City Policy paragraph stated in document. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
City Auditor Office Approval: required for Code Ordinances	
City Attorney Approval: required for contract, code, easement, franchise, comp plan, charter	
Council Meeting Date August 31, 2016	

AGENDA
TIME CERTAIN <input type="checkbox"/> Start time: _____ Total amount of time needed: _____ (for presentation, testimony and discussion)
CONSENT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
REGULAR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> per Rachael W. Total amount of time needed: _____ (for presentation, testimony and discussion)

FOUR-FIFTHS AGENDA	COMMISSIONERS VOTED AS FOLLOWS:		
		YEAS	NAYS
1. Fritz	1. Fritz	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
2. Fish	2. Fish	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
3. Saltzman	3. Saltzman	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
4. Novick	4. Novick	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Hales	Hales	<input type="checkbox"/>	