

Final Draft: August 2015

CITY OF PORTLAND

ADA Title II Transition Plan Update - Parks Facilities

Table of Contents

Table of Contents.....	i
Introduction	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
Document Organization	iv
1.0 Transition Plan Process.....	1
1.1 Planning Process	1
Facility Evaluations.....	2
1.2 Public Engagement.....	3
Public Comment Summary	4
Stakeholders	4
Website.....	5
2.0 Transition Plan Methodology.....	7
2.1 Facility Evaluation Process.....	7
2.2 Prioritization Process.....	7
Park Tier	8
Category.....	9
Experience Priority.....	10
Final Priority Score	11
Tier Score + Category Score + Experience Priority Score = Final Priority Score	11
2.3 Barrier Approach.....	11
3.0 Parks Facility ADA Transition Plan.....	13

3.1 Barrier Removal Schedule 13
 Barrier Removal Actions and Project Types.....14

4.0 Appendices 25

4.1 Parks Facility Reports 25

4.2 Parks Public Outreach Materials & Comments 25

Introduction

On November 6th, 2014, City Council accepted the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Transition Plan update. Portland Parks & Recreation (PP&R) facilities were evaluated as part of the Transition Plan, though due to the large number (260) of publically-accessible park facilities, the evaluations took longer to accomplish than those of other bureaus. Additionally, given the quantity of resulting barriers (20,355), PP&R requested and received an adjusted schedule to develop their approach for addressing each identified barrier. This Parks ADA Transition Plan is a supplement to that Americans with Disabilities Act Transition Plan Update that was approved in November 2014.

PP&R has worked aggressively, in collaboration with the Parks Accessibility Advisory Committee (PAAC), the Portland Commission on Disability (PCOD) and their Accessibility in the Built Environment subcommittee, the ADA Transition Plan stakeholder group, and many staff and partner groups to review the barriers, categorize them, assess whether they are likely candidates to be addressed through maintenance activities or whether they would necessitate capital improvements, identify a priority score for each barrier, and propose targeted schedules for addressing each barrier. PP&R is presenting and soliciting feedback on the draft Parks Transition Plan at three public open houses, scheduled for July 2015.

Once the consultant team began to finalize their evaluation work in the form of facility reports, PP&R staff also began to review those reports when scoping capital projects to see if any barriers could be addressed as part of existing funded capital projects. This ensured that PP&R took advantage of any existing opportunities to begin to address these barriers at park facilities. To date, PP&R has been able to successfully address barriers at several facilities, and more are planned as part of existing capital projects.

Acknowledgements

In addition to all those acknowledged in the Citywide ADA Transition Plan, PP&R would like to acknowledge our Parks Accessibility Advisory Committee members who provided feedback specific to the Parks component of the Transition Plan.

Parks Accessibility Advisory Committee

Community Members:

- Steven Brown
- Georgena Moran
- David Nail
- Laurie Sitton
- Katherine Bang
- Fern Wilgus

Bureau Staff:

- Jay Rogers (PBOT)
- Susan Meamber (PP&R)
- Jane Doyle (PP&R)
- Art Hendricks (PP&R)
- Carla Waring (PP&R)
- David Galat (OEHR)
- Stefanus Gunawan (PP&R)

The PP&R Transition Plan was managed by the following PP&R team:

- Brett Horner, Planning Manager
- Sarah Huggins, Senior Planner
- Pauline Miranda, Senior Management Analyst
- Carla Waring, ADA Transition Plan Coordinator (former)
- Randy Webster, Asset Management Program Manager (former)

Document Organization

The document is organized into Chapters containing the following:

Chapter 1: Transition Plan Process


A description of the process for developing the Park ADA Facility Evaluations and Transition Plan, including the engagement of City and community stakeholders.

Chapter 2: Transition Plan Methodology

Portland Parks & Recreation’s process for developing the barrier removal timeline based on the findings of the facility evaluations.

Chapter 3: ADA Transition Plan

Portland Parks & Recreation’s anticipated timeline for addressing the barriers identified in the facility evaluations, pending available funding.



1.0 Transition Plan Process

To fully comply with the legal mandate for a Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan, the City of Portland must complete inventories of both physical barriers at City facilities and program barriers to City-provided services to all citizens. This plan addresses the initial inventory of physical barriers and establishes a target schedule for removing barriers identified for Parks facilities, pending available funding. The Self-Evaluation for programmatic access will be evaluated in the next phase of the planning process. The Self-Evaluation is explained in detail in Chapter 1 of Americans with Disabilities Act Transition Plan Update (2014).

This chapter outlines the process and stakeholders involved in producing the Parks Transition Plan including the physical barrier evaluations and public outreach. The larger Transition Plan process is identified in the previously accepted Americans with Disabilities Act Transition Plan update (2014).

1.1 Planning Process

With 260 publicly accessible park facilities to assess and evaluate, Parks had a modified schedule for developing the facility Transition Plan. First steps included identifying previous ADA Transition plans and policies, the establishment of a facilities list, and properties to include in the evaluation. Once the initial site evaluations had been completed, staff realized that the quantity of barriers would necessitate a modified schedule so that the barriers could be appropriately evaluated.

The facility evaluations were performed over a 15 month period. Facility reports identifying accessibility barriers were developed, and PP&R staff reviewed the findings of the draft reports and developed a timeline for addressing the barriers identified in the assessment. This process is included in the preparation of the Transition Plan.

To understand the barriers included in the Transition Plan, staff received training on barrier inspection and maintenance of accessible facilities.

Facility Evaluations

During 2013 and 2014, Parks completed a physical audit of publically-accessible facilities to identify facility barriers and identify recommendations and alterations in order to meet State and Federal accessibility standards. Undeveloped park facilities, or parks with no built infrastructure that would need to meet accessibility standards were not assessed. A complete list of the facilities evaluated for this Transition Plan is located in Chapter 3. The list of facilities evaluated include:

- City-owned parks;
- City-owned community centers and pools; and
- City-leased facilities with parks programming.

The facility evaluations were conducted using the ADA 2010 Standards, 2010 Oregon Structural Specialty Code Chapter 11 Accessibility, and the Architectural Barriers Act (ABA) 2009 Outdoor Developed Area Guidelines. Evaluators used accessibility checklists to evaluate barriers in City facilities. The checklist covers all manner of barrier conditions commonly found in Parks facilities and programs including parking, signage, paths of travel, buildings, restrooms, and outdoor recreation facilities.

Findings from the facility evaluations were entered into a database and facility reports outlining identified barriers and proposed barrier removal actions were produced. The resulting facility reports are available under separate cover from the City. See Appendix 4.1 for more information. Each facility report lists potential barriers, provides information about the relevant State and Federal codes; includes a planning level cost estimate to remove the barrier; identifies a scheduled removal date; and indicates a barrier removal category.

PP&R primarily used two ADA Transition Plan Analysis Tools to complete their ADA Transition Plan table, an Excel spreadsheet and an Access database. These tools assisted staff in sorting, organizing, and analyzing the large quantity of data associated with the parks assessments.

1.2 Public Engagement

Community involvement and input are priorities to Portland Parks on this project. The community has been involved in all stages of the Transition Plan development, as required by Federal Statute 28 CFR 35.150(d)(1):

“In the event that structural changes to facilities will be undertaken to achieve program accessibility, a public entity that employs 50 or more persons shall develop, within six months of January 26, 1992, a transition plan setting forth the steps necessary to complete such changes. A public entity shall provide an opportunity to interested persons, including individuals with disabilities or organizations representing individuals with disabilities, to participate in the development of the transition plan by submitting comments. A copy of the transition plan shall be made available for public inspection.”

The draft Transition Plan was made available online and copies of the Plan were made available to the public for review June 2015 at the following locations:

Office of Equity and Human Rights

421 SW 6th Ave, Suite 500 Portland, OR 97204
(503) 823-4433

Portland Parks and Recreation

1120 SW Fifth Ave, Customer Service Center, 1st floor, Portland OR 97204
503-823-6007

Midland Library

805 SE 122nd Ave, Portland, OR 97233
(503) 988-5392

St. Johns Library

7510 N Charleston Ave, Portland, OR 97203
(503) 988-5397

Portland Parks & Recreation provided the public the opportunity to comment on this draft Transition Plan. In addition to input from advisory groups, PP&R hosted three separate Open Forum events on July 18, July 21, and July 23, 2015 to inform the public about the Transition Plan, address any questions, and gather public comment. In an effort to best engage the public three open houses were held in different areas of Portland, and at

various times. Park staff were on hand to facilitate the open houses, engage the public, address questions, gather public comments, and provide information (both verbally, and with various documents). See Appendix 4.2 for materials provided. All the information provided at the open houses, and a copy of the draft Transition Plan Report were also made available online at: <http://www.portlandoregon.gov/oehr/67174>. Individuals could also submit comments through an online form.

Public Comment Summary

Public comments on the Transition Plan were received by Parks from comments submitted in writing at the three Open Forum events, and comments submitted online or via email. Information regarding the written comments and those received online or via email is available in Appendix 4.2 Public Outreach Materials & Public Comments.

Each comment was reviewed by Parks ADA Transition Plan team and the City ADA Title II Coordinator. All of those who commented on the plan felt that over time the PP&R ADA Transition Plan would increase accessibility in parks and facilities. Some commenters encouraged PP&R to continue looking for ways to make parks and facilities more inclusive, and to continue going beyond ADA minimums in designing new spaces. Some commenters indicated specific barriers that prevented their use of pools or playgrounds, illustrating the need for PP&R to address these barriers.

Stakeholders

It is important to note that the effort to complete a Citywide evaluation of City owned facilities and Transition Plan was developed in partnership with the Park Accessibility Advisory Committee (PAAC). Portland Park Transition Plan staff regularly attended PAAC monthly meetings as well as Portland Commission on Disabilities (PCOD), Accessibility in the Built Environment Subcommittee Meetings to update them on the progress and gather feedback.

The Stakeholders were involved with the development of the Plan and the initial facilities list. Stakeholders reviewed the findings and provided meaningful comments on the development of the plan and the schedule to remove barriers.

Website

The Internet is another tool for providing information regarding services, programs, activities, and facilities, and the City's website <http://www.portlandoregon.gov/> included information about the Transition Plan.

Providing public access to City publications online is an effective means of reaching persons with disabilities. New accessibility standards for electronic and information technology covered by Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act Amendments of 1998 have set forth the technical and functional performance criteria necessary for such technology to be accessible.

The City's website has a web page on the Americans with Disabilities Act Title II Program that provides information on the City's policies, including the nondiscrimination notice, and links to programs offered by the City. Service request forms, the ADA complaint form, and contact information are all included on the page. The web page can be found at <https://www.portlandoregon.gov/oehr/66522>.

There is also a web page for the City of Portland Disability Program that provides information about upcoming events, news, and services. The City of Portland's Disability Program is meant to connect, support, and encourage collaborative and inclusive engagement between the people of the disability community, neighborhoods, and city government. The web page can be found at <http://www.portlandoregon.gov/oni/28994>.

This page intentionally left blank



2.0 Transition Plan Methodology

The process of developing the Transition Plan includes the identification of barriers (the facility assessment), the evaluation of the barriers to assign a category as outlined by the ADA (categorization), and identifying a likely approach to addressing the barrier (maintenance or capital project). After the barriers have been identified, categorized, and evaluated, a timeline or schedule for the efforts can be established. The City of Portland has identified a 20 year timeline for barrier removal, pending available resources.

2.1 Facility Evaluation Process

At the time of the facilities evaluation, the ADA 2010 Standards, 2010 Oregon Structural Specialty Code Chapter 11 Accessibility, and the ABA 2009 Outdoor Developed Area Guidelines were used to identify barriers at City facilities. When one of the codes was more stringent than the other, the more rigorous of the codes was applied.

Building codes are revised every few years and this barrier evaluation provides a description of current conditions as viewed by current code and provides a baseline for future barrier removal. It is important to note with revisions to the building code, all future barrier removal projects shall comply with the code current at the time of the alteration.

2.2 Prioritization Process

The ADA Title II Technical Assistance Manual states “when choosing a method of providing program access, a public entity must give priority to the one that results in the most integrated setting appropriate to encourage interaction among all users, including individuals with disabilities”. Recognizing that the City has limited funds and cannot immediately make all buildings, facilities, and parks fully accessible, City staff and

stakeholders considered a number of items to prioritize facilities for removal of architectural barriers.

Park Tier

To begin, Parks and stakeholders prioritized all parks and facilities into three tiers. This informed the order of surveying work, with Tier 1 (or high priority) sites surveyed first. The park tier was assigned using the following criteria:

Level of use by the public: Facilities that have a high level of public use can be assigned a higher priority. Seasonal availability and hours/days of operation can be factored into this criteria;

Program uniqueness: Some programs are unique to a building, facility, or park and cannot occur at another location;

Geographic distribution: Selecting a range of facilities that are distributed throughout the City, and considering the proximity of these facilities to public transportation help provide maximum accessibility for all residents;

Critical nature of the service provided: Facilities that provide services related to accessibility, health, wellness, safety, emergency/disaster preparedness, and the administration of essential City services such as permitting and licensing can be assigned a higher priority;

Identified complaints: Facilities that have a history of citizen complaints related to accessibility can be assigned a higher priority;

City-Owned facilities: Facilities that are owned by the City can be assigned a higher priority over leased facilities, where another entity may be responsible to provide physical access improvements;

Social need/equity: Facilities that are identified by the Portland community of people with disabilities as high priority for accessibility improvement and facilities that serve historically underserved populations can be assigned a higher priority; and

Recent upgrades: Facilities that had not been renovated or constructed in the last two years were likely to have more items out of compliance with current ADA code.

Category

Next, each of the barriers was assigned a barrier category. The following categorization protocol is referenced in the ADA under 28 CFR Part 35, §35.150 and §35.150 under Subpart D - Program Accessibility. The term “priority” is used within 28 CFR Part 35, but is referred to as “category” within this Transition Plan document and its appendices. The principle of the protocol is to ensure that basic access is provided, access to activities is provided, amenities are accessible, and alternatives to architectural modifications are allowed when appropriate. Translating these categories into action plans must be accomplished using a programmatic approach. The criteria listed below were used to assist in the determination of specific program-based barrier removal actions within a building or facility for the ADA Transition Plan schedule.

Category One: The highest Category is placed on those barrier removal items that provide accessibility at the main entrance of a facility or improve a path of travel to the portion of the facility where program activities take place (e.g., parking, walks, ramps, stairs, doors, corridors, etc.).

Category Two: A second Category is placed on those barrier removal items that improve or enhance access to program use areas (e.g., playgrounds, gymnasiums, picnic areas, restrooms, etc.).

Category Three: A third Category is placed on those barrier removal items that improve access to amenities serving program areas (e.g., drinking fountains, telephones, site furnishings, vending machines).

Category Four: A fourth Category identifies areas or features not required to be modified for accessibility (no public programs located in this area, or duplicate features like more picnic tables or benches than meet accessibility requirements).

Category Five: Elements of the facility have been noted as historic.

Staff worked with stakeholders to identify a categorization approach. For example, in cases where a park had multiple picnic tables, and not all of them needed to be accessible to meet the Architectural Barriers Act, staff reviewed approach and in some cases visited the sites to identify which picnic tables would be targeted for accessibility, and categorized as ‘two’, and which picnic tables would be categorized as a ‘four’. Staff and stakeholders considered things like proximity to other program features, proximity to accessible paths, whether a table was reservable, and a desire to provide accessible features in all areas of the park to ensure a diversity of experiences.

Experience Priority

The third piece of information that informed the overall priority is the type of experience each barrier relates to. There are many experiences in parks and buildings, and in discussions with the Parks Accessibility Advisory Committee, Parks staff identified the following priorities for which experiences should be accessible, in order:

Higher priority experiences included:

Access paths of travel: Any impediment to an access path of travel, including parking areas, paths, ramps, etc.

Restrooms in community centers: Prioritize one restroom per community center.

Restrooms in parks: Prioritize one restroom per park.

Park program areas - priority: Full-service community centers, playgrounds, reservable sports fields, reservable group picnic areas, and one pool in each geographic area.

Lower priority experiences included:

Park program areas – non-priority: Non-reservable sports fields and picnic areas, non-full-service community centers, secondary outdoor pools in geographic areas that already had one pool prioritized.

Additional restrooms: One accessible restroom was prioritized per site (see above). Where sites have multiple restrooms, the additional restrooms were a lower priority.

Amenities: Drinking fountains, benches, and trash cans.

Final Priority Score

Each of the three pieces of priority information (Tier, Category, Experience Priority), were assigned numbers (1-3 for Tier, 1-5 for Category, and 1-7 for Experience Priority) and added together to provide a final priority score.

Tier Score + Category Score + Experience Priority Score = Final Priority Score

In this instance, the lower the final priority score, the higher the priority. A score of 3 is the highest priority score a barrier can receive, 15 is the lowest priority, though no project scored lower than 13. Table 2.2.1 shows the number of barriers assigned each priority score.

Table 2.2.1. Distribution of Final Priority Score

Final Priority Score	Number of Barriers	Percent of Barriers
3	977	4.8%
4	4,547	22.3%
5	3,647	17.9%
6	2,382	11.7%
7	1,892	9.3%
8	2,487	12.2%
9	1,635	8.0%
10	1,523	7.5%
11	845	4.2%
12	350	1.7%
13	70	0.3%
Grand Total	20,355	100.0%

Examples of projects with the highest priority scores include addressing access path of travel issues at full service community centers or highly used regional parks. Projects with middle priority scores could include addressing playground issues at neighborhood parks. Projects with lower priority scores could include addressing barriers at a trash can or non-reservable picnic area.

2.3 Barrier Approach

The evaluations assessed some barriers that are not scheduled to be addressed by PP&R. Of the 20,355 barriers and 260 park sites, 3,347 barriers and 20 park sites fall into this category. Some of these barriers are at three Schools Uniting Neighborhoods (SUN) schools, where PP&R offers programming in conjunction with Multnomah County and the school

district. PP&R has shared the Facility Reports for these sites with the respective school districts, and it will be their responsibility as property owner to address those barriers. Others include barriers assigned as a Category 4 or 5. This includes items like a picnic table that is above the percentage in any given park required to be accessible, or a park site that has not yet been developed and so has no existing infrastructure. This also includes some historic sites, where, PP&R will work to develop a plan that will likely combine some architectural, and some programmatic approaches to barrier removal.

The remaining 17,008 barriers were each assigned a barrier type, indicating whether they are likely to be addressed as a maintenance, or larger capital project. To assign that barrier type, staff used the barrier removal actions (address ramp slope issue, adjust door closure, etc.), identified during the evaluations. Staff then discussed the most likely method for addressing each action, based on anticipated complexity. Developing a precise approach to address each individual barrier of the 17,008 will occur as projects move forward, so projects may shift from maintenance to capital (or vice versa) as more details are known. Table 2.3.1 outlines the number and percentage of barriers assigned to each barrier type.

Table 2.3.1. Distribution of Barrier Type

Barrier Type	Number of Barriers	Percent of Barriers
Maintenance	8,736	51.4%
Capital	8,272	48.6%
Grand Total	17,008	100.0%

Barriers that are likely able to be addressed as maintenance activities will be easier for PP&R to accomplish given existing resources than barriers that require larger capital projects, which was a consideration when developing the barrier removal schedule, as outlined in section 3.1.



3.0 Parks Facility ADA Transition Plan

This supplement to the Transition Plan is focused on park facilities, though as individual barrier removal projects move forward there may, in some cases, be programmatic approaches to address facility barriers as well.

3.1 Barrier Removal Schedule

A phasing schedule reflects the ADA requirement that programs, activities, and services drive the development of the Transition Plan schedule. Barriers in parks facilities will be removed systematically, citywide, based on the established program priorities listed in Chapter 2. It is the intent of PP&R to address and remove barriers to accessibility in public parks based upon on the immediate necessity of programmatic access, degree of complexity, uniqueness of program, and overall cost. PP&R also intends to be opportunistic in pursuing addressing barriers as part of individual project scope development, and to pursue additional funding sources to address larger capital barriers.

The ADA Transition Plan table is the required schedule that summarizes when identified accessibility barriers will be removed, pending available resources. The Parks ADA Transition Plan table will represent the following timeline, though there are some barriers which have been scheduled and addressed prior to the finalization of this Transition Plan. Those mitigation dates and planned schedules are also reflected in the Plan. Schedule timeline:

Years 1-2, Fiscal Years: 2016/17 - 2017/18

Years 3-5, Fiscal Years: 2018/19 - 2020/21

Years 6-10, Fiscal Years: 2021/22 - 2025/26

Years 11+, Fiscal Years: 2026/27 +

Staff identified the schedule, or timeline targets for addressing barriers based on whether the barriers were likely maintenance or capital projects, and the priority score.

Table 3.1.1. Distribution of Scheduled Barrier Removals by Type, Priority Score

Transition Plan Schedule	Barrier Type	Priority Score Range	Number of Barriers	Percent of Barriers
Years 1-2	Capital	Varies*	9	0.0%
Years 1-2	Maintenance	3-4	2,558	15.0%
Years 3-5	Maintenance	5-7	3,293	19.4%
Years 6-10	Maintenance	8-13	2,885	17.0%
Years 6-10	Capital	3-4	3,962	23.3%
Years 11-20	Capital	5-13	4,301	25.3%
Grand Total		17,008	17,008	100.0%

*Capital barriers that were able to be addressed as part of existing funded capital projects.

In general, barriers with a higher priority score, which staff anticipated could be addressed with existing maintenance resources, were scheduled in Years 1-2. Barriers with lower priority scores, but which staff anticipated could be addressed with existing maintenance resources, were scheduled in Years 3-5 or 6-10. The capital projects do not have an identified funding sources, so were not scheduled in that first 1-5 year timeframe. The highest priority capital projects, and those that staff anticipated would be less complex, were scheduled in Years 6-10. Lower priority and more complex capital projects were scheduled in Years 11-20. The exception to the approach above, is that where PP&R has funded capital projects, and those projects are far enough along in development to have identified that their project scope will be addressing known barriers, the schedule reflects those actual anticipated project dates. As new capital projects are scoped staff will continue to look for opportunities to address barriers in advance of the Transition Plan target schedule.

PP&R will also continue to pursue funding sources, like the Parks Replacement Bond passed in 2014 by voters, to address the highest priority capital barriers.

Barrier Removal Actions and Project Types

The Transition Plan includes a summary of barrier removals. The detailed barriers are included in the Facility Reports. Each scheduled barrier will be entered into the PP&R work order system, MicroMain. This system will issue work orders for barriers to be addressed, and will track when they have been mitigated.

Table 3.1.2 is organized to show the number of anticipated barriers to be removed at each assessed park site by fiscal year.

Table 3.1.2. Barrier Quantities by Site and Target Schedule

Location	FY 2016/17	FY 2018/19	FY 2021/22	FY 2026/27	Total
Adams Community Garden	2	1	6	1	10
Albert Kelley Park			6	8	14
Alberta Park		8	36	50	94
Ankeny Plaza			11		11
April Hill Park		1	15	7	23
Arbor Lodge Park	4	7	37	9	57
Argay Park		2	16	26	44
Beach Community Garden	2	3	3	4	12
Berkeley Park		14	15	29	58
Berrydale Community Garden	2	4	3	7	16
Berrydale Park			11	12	23
Blair Community Garden		4	2	4	10
Bloomington Park		11	11	32	54
Boise-Eliot Community Garden		4	2	3	9
Boyles Community Garden	8	3	7	7	25
Brentwood Community Garden	3	4	4	4	15
Brentwood Park		18	26	25	69
Brooklyn Park		16	23	31	70
Brooklyn School Park		2	16	22	40
Brookside Park			12	7	19
Buckman Field	46	26	64	20	156
Buckman Pool		26	36	29	91
Burlingame Park	3	2	17	10	32
Cathedral Park	9	6	85	10	110
Cherry Blossom Park			1	6	7
Cherry Park			3	3	6
Chimney Park	15	1	15	1	32

City of Portland
 ADA Title II Transition Plan Update- Parks Facilities
 Final Draft

Location	FY 2016/17	FY 2018/19	FY 2021/22	FY 2026/27	Total
Clarendon Community Garden		5	2	3	10
Clinton Community Garden	2	2	8	5	17
Clinton Park	5	20	24	54	103
Colonel Summers Community Garden	2	2	4	3	11
Colonel Summers Park		28	13	34	75
Columbia Children's Arboretum			10	3	13
Columbia Park	36	43	215	59	353
Community Music Center	76	11	49	2	138
Couch Park		11	11	49	71
Council Crest Park	1		37	7	45
Creston Park and Pool	11	62	89	113	275
Crystal Springs Rhododendron Garden	17	7	171	3	198
Cully Community Garden		5	2	7	14
Custer Park		14	15	31	60
Dawson Park		8	1	5	14
Delta Park - Owens Sports Complex	15	40	83	89	227
Denorval Unthank Park		6	28	23	57
Dewitt Park			8	7	15
Dickinson Park		2	5	3	10
Duniway Park	3	11	29	13	56
Earl Boyles Park			8	21	29
East Holladay Park		4	3	4	11
Eastmoreland Garden			2	4	6
Eastmoreland Golf Course	36	31	57	12	136
Eastmoreland Play Ground Park			2	1	3
Eastridge Park	2		12	6	20
Ed Benedict Community Garden		5	2	3	10
Ed Benedict Park	4	5	45	45	99
Elizabeth Caruthers Park			8	17	25

City of Portland
 ADA Title II Transition Plan Update- Parks Facilities
 Final Draft

Location	FY 2016/17	FY 2018/19	FY 2021/22	FY 2026/27	Total
Errol Heights Property	3		7		10
Essex Park		18	17	29	64
Everett Community Garden		4	2	3	9
Farragut Park		10	28	43	81
Fernhill Park		15	25	36	76
Firehouse Theater	31	10	16	2	59
Flavel Park			1	5	6
Floyd Light Park & EPCC	136	25	88	15	264
Forest Heights Park	2	4	18	20	44
Forest Park Pittock Mansion	32	32	49	4	117
Frazer Park and Community Garden		5	8	17	30
Front & Curry Community Garden	2	3	4	4	13
Fulton Community Garden		3	2	4	9
Fulton Park	14	105	70	51	240
Furey Community Garden		4	1	4	9
Gabriel Community Garden	2		5	3	10
Gabriel Park & Southwest Community Center	150	55	222	48	475
Gammans Park			5	3	8
George Himes Park			5	2	7
George Park			6	1	7
Gilbert Heights Community Garden		7	1	5	13
Gilbert Heights Park		2	6	19	27
Gilbert Primary Park			2	11	13
Glenfair Park			2	5	7
Glenhaven Park	9	11	40	14	74
Glenwood Park		7	20	25	52
Governor Tom McCall Waterfront Park	26	3	168	7	204
Grant Park & Pool	7	91	80	140	318

City of Portland
 ADA Title II Transition Plan Update- Parks Facilities
 Final Draft

Location	FY 2016/17	FY 2018/19	FY 2021/22	FY 2026/27	Total
Hamilton Park			16	8	24
Hancock Park			6	5	11
Harney Park	4	19	11	20	54
Harrison Park			5	14	19
Hazeltine Park			9	4	13
Hazelwood Community Garden		4	2	5	11
Healy Heights Park			12	6	18
Helensview Community Garden		5	1	6	12
Heron Lakes Golf Course	18	39	72	13	142
Hillside Park & Community Center	51	24	72	7	154
Holladay Park			11	19	30
Holly Farm Park			9	16	25
Holman Park			2	2	4
Hoyt Arboretum	29	10	62	7	108
Ira Keller Fountain Park		19	7	17	43
Irving Park		21	34	67	122
Ivon Community Garden		4	2	4	10
Jamison Square		7	13	2	22
John Luby Park			11	23	34
Johns Community Garden		4	2	6	12
Johnson Creek Park		3	28	25	56
Joseph Wood Hill Park	1		7	1	9
Kelley Point Park	6	5	23	4	38
Kenilworth Park		16	21	58	95
Kennedy Community Garden		5	1	6	12
Kenton Community Garden		7		9	16
Kenton Park			31	33	64
Kern Park			10	7	17
King School Park		11	16	10	37
Knott Park	6	6	27	57	96
Ladd's Circles & Squares			19	9	28
Lair Hill Park	12	28	26	38	104
Lan Su Chinese Garden		50	62	32	144

City of Portland
 ADA Title II Transition Plan Update- Parks Facilities
 Final Draft

Location	FY 2016/17	FY 2018/19	FY 2021/22	FY 2026/27	Total
Laurelhurst Park & Dance Studio	50	30	149	63	292
Laurelwood Park			3	5	8
Leach Botanical Garden	34	11	38	2	85
Lents Community Garden	2	2	2	4	10
Lents Park	12	40	50	81	183
Lillis-Albina Park			11	11	22
Lincoln Park			6	18	24
Lotus Isle Park			9	14	23
Lovejoy Fountain		12	1	21	34
Lynchview Park				1	1
Lynchwood Park		5	5	16	26
Macleay Park	4	13	18	21	56
Madison Community Garden		8	2	7	17
Madrona Park		3	5	9	17
Mallory Meadows Park			3	3	6
Marquam Nature Park	7		13		20
Marshall Park		4	4	3	11
Matt Dishman Community Center	131	42	106	14	293
McCoy Community Garden	12	2	4	3	21
McCoy Park		18	22	23	63
McKenna Park		19	21	31	71
Merrifield Park			3	3	6
Midland Park	2		4	10	16
Mill Park				3	3
Montavilla Park and Community Center	8	88	81	82	259
Mt. Scott Park & Community Center	192	61	149	35	437
Mt. Tabor	49	20	146	42	257
Mt. Tabor Annex		69	33	36	138
Multnomah Arts Center	385	88	223	15	711
Normandale Park	44	30	107	34	215
North Park Blocks	7	2	90	4	103
North Powellhurst Park			1	2	3

City of Portland
 ADA Title II Transition Plan Update- Parks Facilities
 Final Draft

Location	FY 2016/17	FY 2018/19	FY 2021/22	FY 2026/27	Total
Northgate Park	3	18	29	49	99
Oaks Bottom Wildlife Refuge Trailhead	2		8	1	11
Oaks Crossing			2		2
Oaks Pioneer Church & Park	4	18	19	7	48
O'Bryant Square		13	1	22	36
Oregon Holocaust Memorial			1	1	2
Oregon Park		16	11	19	46
Overlook House Community Center		75	29	56	160
Overlook Park		15	17	32	64
Parklane Park			18	26	44
Patton Community Garden		3	3	3	9
Patton Square Park & IFCC	5	84	53	53	195
Peace Community Garden		4	2	3	9
Pendleton Park		8	17	28	53
Peninsula Park	149	38	185	31	403
Pettygrove Park		5	2	22	29
Piccolo Park		5	8	8	21
Pier Community Garden	4	2	10	8	24
Pier Park	4	37	120	41	202
Pioneer Courthouse Square	22	12	61	5	100
PlayHaven Park			11	16	27
Plaza Blocks	1	11	39	3	54
Portland Center Park		4	1	10	15
Portland Heights Park	6	14	15	31	66
Portland International Raceway	4	111	31	53	199
Portsmouth Community Garden		5	1	3	9
Portsmouth Park			6	7	13
Powell Park		8	21	41	70
Powers Marine Park		2		4	6

City of Portland
 ADA Title II Transition Plan Update- Parks Facilities
 Final Draft

Location	FY 2016/17	FY 2018/19	FY 2021/22	FY 2026/27	Total
Raymond Park			6	10	16
Red Tail Golf Course		40	53	37	130
Richmond Property			1	4	5
Rigler Community Garden		7	1	6	14
Rose City Golf Course	10	68	52	39	169
Rose City Park	2	9	21	24	56
Roselawn Park				5	5
Sabin Community Garden		5	8	8	21
Sacajawea Park		8	10	10	28
Sellwood Community Center	73	36	53	15	177
Sellwood Community Garden		6	2	3	11
Sellwood Park & Pool	25	54	121	41	241
Sellwood Riverfront Park	3	34	28	39	104
Senn's Dairy Community Garden	4	3	4	5	16
Senn's Dairy Park			6	18	24
Sewallcrest Community Garden	9	3	8	4	24
Sewallcrest Park			12	22	34
Simon Helen Director Park	11	6	34	6	57
South Park Blocks	11	3	218	8	240
South Waterfront Park	8	10	26	3	47
Spring Garden Park			7	6	13
Springwater Corridor	5	14	13	3	35
St. Johns Park	6	110	62	36	214
St. Johns Racquet Center	29	15	29	9	82
Stark Street Island			2	10	12
Stephens Creek Natural Area			2	2	4
Sumner Street Community Garden		4	1	7	12
Sumner-Albina Park			1	2	3
Sunnyside School Park			9	15	24
SW Hall and 14th			1	5	6

City of Portland
 ADA Title II Transition Plan Update- Parks Facilities
 Final Draft

Location	FY 2016/17	FY 2018/19	FY 2021/22	FY 2026/27	Total
SW Terwilliger Blvd Parkway		5	23	20	48
Sylvania Park				3	3
Tanner Springs Park	2		34	1	37
The Fields		14	14	11	39
Thomas Cully Property		4	2	2	8
Tideman Johnson Natural Area			12		12
Trenton Park			3	1	4
Two Plum Park		1	3	7	11
University Park/ Charles Jordan Community	17	249	76	77	419
Ventura Park		3	13	37	53
Vera Katz Eastbank Esplanade	15	2	101	10	128
Vermont Hills Community Garden		5	1	4	10
Vestal Community Garden		8		3	11
Vietnam Veterans of Oregon Memorial	4		6	17	27
Wallace Park		28	25	50	103
Washington Park	234	130	265	38	667
Washington Park International Rose Garden	37	2	158	3	200
Water & Gibbs Community Garden		4	3	5	12
Waud Bluff Trail		5	1	3	9
Wellington Park		6	8	19	33
West Powellhurst Park			2	7	9
Westmoreland Park		46	99	106	251
Whitaker Ponds Natural Area	6	2	28	7	43
Wilkes Park	1		6	8	15
Willamette Moorage	3	6	4	4	17
Willamette Park	16	8	37	31	92
Wilshire Park		9	31	54	94
Wilson Pool	6	10	17	2	35

Location	FY 2016/17	FY 2018/19	FY 2021/22	FY 2026/27	Total
Woodlawn Community Garden		3	1	4	8
Woodlawn Park		21	26	74	121
Woodstock Community Center	42	17	43	8	110
Woodstock Park		9	30	31	70
Grand Total	2,567	3,293	6,847	4,301	17,008

This page intentionally left blank



4.0 Appendices

The development of the Transition Plan was based on the findings of the facility evaluations and meetings with City staff and community stakeholders to establish the schedule for addressing barrier removal. After the draft Transition Plan has been prepared, the City will open the draft Transition Plan to the public for comment. The following appendices reference the parks facility reports, as well as materials used specifically for the parks public outreach.

4.1 Parks Facility Reports

The facility reports are available under separate cover and available by contacting the City's ADA Title II Coordinator or by accessing them on the City's website:

<https://www.portlandoregon.gov/oehr/article/507167>

4.2 Parks Public Outreach Materials & Comments

The public outreach materials and public comments are available on the City's website:

<http://www.portlandoregon.gov/oehr/article/498502>

This page intentionally left blank