Technology Oversight Committee

Quarterly Report

April – June, 2015

Project Name: BDS IT Advancement Project (ITAP)
Bureau: Bureau of Development Services

Reporting Date: 6/9/2015

	Initial Estimate at TOC Intake date: 3/7/2012 This was a speculative amount only.	Planned at Baseline* date: 7/1/2013	Current Revision (Based on Phase One Planning and Analysis as of date: 8/1/14)	QA Assessment			TOC Assessment		
Expected Completion	May 29, 2015	December 2015	Winter 2015-16	Apr	May	June	Apr	May	June
Confidence Level	Low	Medium	Medium	Red	Red	Red	Red	Red	Red
Budget	Approx. \$8.2 mil \$2.75 mil in vendor services and software license costs <u>plus</u> \$5.5 mil in City capital costs (Ordinance allowing BDS to start RFP process included \$3 mil vendor service and license costs)	Approx. \$11.8 mil \$6 mil in vendor services and software license costs plus \$5.8 mil in City capital costs (vendor costs does not include approx. \$1 mil for 5-years of maintenance fees or \$0.8 mil in vendor support post go-live)	Approx. \$11.8 mil Change in schedule may cause increase in City capital costs	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Red	Red	Red
Confidence Level	Low	High	Medium						
Scope Stability Confidence Level	High	High	High	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Red	Red	Red

*NOTE: Budget baselined on 7/1/2013 at \$11.8 M

Project Name:

Procurement Software System
Bureau of Internal Business Services Bureau:

Reporting Date: 5/18/2015

	Initial Estimate at TOC Intake as of date: 05/09/13	Planned at Baseline date: 6/17/13	Current Revision (if applicable) date: 09/16/2014	QA Assessment Red, Yellow, Green				TOC Assessment Red, Yellow, Green		
Expected Completion	May 2014	May 2014	May 2015	Apr	May	June	Apr	May	June	
Confidence Level	Medium	Medium	Very High	Green	Green	n/a	Green	Green	n/a	
Budget	\$200,000 - \$250,000	\$200,000 - \$250,000	\$522,000 – 561,000	Green	Green	n/a	Green	Green	n/a	
Confidence Level	Low	Low	High							
Scope Stability Confidence Level	Medium	Medium	Very High	Green	Green	n/a	Green	Green	n/a	

Lien Accounting System Auditor's Office **Project Name:**

Bureau:

Reporting Date: 6/5/2015

	Initial Estimate at TOC Intake As of : 6/9/14	Planned at Baseline As of : 6/9/14	Current Revision As of: 3/17/2015	QA Assessment			тос	TOC Assessment		
Expected Completion	6/19/2015	6/19/2015	No revision	Apr	May	June	Apr	May	June	
Confidence Level	Medium	Medium	Medium	Red	Red	Red	Red	Red	Red	
Budget	\$483,920	\$483,920	\$668,620 (revised Jan 2015)	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	
Confidence Level	Medium	Medium	Low							
Scope Stability Confidence Level	Medium	Medium	High	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	

Project Name: Payment Gateway

Bureau: Bureau of Technology Services/Public Finance & Treasury

Reporting Date: 6/8/2015

	Initial Estimate at TOC Intake as of date: 02/23/2-15	Planned at Baseline date: 2/23/2015	Current Revision (if applicable) date:	QA Assessment Red, Yellow, Green			TOC Assessment Red, Yellow, Green		
Expected Completion	12/31/2015	12/31/2015		Apr	May	June	Apr	May	June
Confidence Level	Medium	Medium					n/a	Green	Green
Budget	\$312K	\$312K					n/a	Green	Green
Confidence Level	Medium	Medium							
Scope Stability Confidence Level	Medium	Medium					n/a	Green	Green

Project Name: PCI

Bureau: Bureau of Technology Services/Public Finance & Treasury

Reporting Date: 6/8/2015

	Initial Estimate at TOC Intake as of date: 3/23/2015	Planned at Baseline date: 3/23/3015	Current Revision (if applicable) date:	QA Assessment Red, Yellow, Green			TOC Assessment Red, Yellow, Green		
Expected Completion	12/31/2015	12/31/2015		Apr	May	June	Apr	May	June
Confidence Level	Low	Low		n/a	Red	Red	n/a	Red	Red
Budget	TBD	TBD		n/a	Red	Red	n/a	Red	Red
Confidence Level	Low	Very Low							
Scope Stability Confidence Level	Low	Very Low		n/a	Red	Yellow	n/a	Yellow	Yellow

TOC note from May: We agree with the direction the project is taking, but agree with QA that VOIP is what is driving the caution regarding scope. Still, TOC is not convinced that this warrants a "red" response as the project knows what needs to be include