## Current Central City Bonuses

- 18 bonuses
- Not calibrated to market
- Reflect old priorities



## Current Central City Transfers

- 6 transfers
- Reflect old priorities
- Private transactions - not tracked well



## Developer Input

## - Interviews \& Roundtables 2/19/15 and 6/22/15

- Sean Hubert, Central City Concern
- Ed McNamara, Turtle Island Development
- Julie Garver, Innovative Housing NW
- Phil Beyl, GBD Architects
- Dike Dame, Williams \& Dame Development, Inc.
- Dan Petrusich, Melvin Mark Companies
- Kurtis Fusaro, Gerding Edlen Development
- Scott Maclean, Senior VP, Norris, Beggs and Simpson (broker)
- Charlotte Larson, VP, Urban Works Real Estate (broker)
- Mark Edlen, Gerding Edlen
- Sarah Zahn, Gerding Edlen
- Katherine Schultz, GBD Architects

1. Bonus for affordable housing on-site and/or payment into affordable housing fund.
2. $80 \%$ MFI units with 60 year affordability.
3. Promote on-site development of units.
4. Have fewer bonus options to prioritize affordable housing.
5. Retain current limits on the amount of bonus FAR that can be earned per site.

## What could a new affordable housing bonus produce?

- If all affordable units are built on-site: 35-60 affordable units per year; 800 to 1,300 units over 20 years
- If all projects chose in-lieu payment: Up to \$120-200 million over 20 years




## Who are typical 60-80\% MFI households?

## Portland: What percentage of different communities earn 60-80\% MFl?

|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| White | $16 \%$ of all White Households |
| Black | $11 \%$ of all Black Households |
| American | $9 \%$ of all Native American <br> Households |
| Asian | $15 \%$ of Asian Households |
| Hawailan-Pacific Islander | 28\% of Hawaiian-Pacific Islander <br> Households |
| Hispanic-Latino | $19 \%$ of Latino Households |
| All Communities of Color | $17 \%$ of all households of <br> Communities of Color |



GERDINGEDLEN

## PROGRAM ASSUMPTIONS

|  | with Resid | ntial Bonus | 15\% Requirement |  | 25\% Requirement |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Gross Square Feet | 51,900 |  | 51,900 |  | 51,900 |  |
| Additional FAR Required | 0 |  | 11,900 |  | 11,900 |  |
| Affordability Requirement | 0 |  | 1,785 |  | 2,975 |  |
| Total Rentable Square Feet (RSF) | 38,850 |  | 38,850 |  | 38,850 |  |
| Average Unit Size | 670 |  | 670 |  | 670 |  |
| Unit Mix: | Market | 80\% MFI | Market | 80\% MFI | Market | 80\% MFI |
| Lofts | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Studios | 5 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| 1 Bedroom | 40 | 0 | 39 | 1 | 37 | 3 |
| 2 Bedroom | 10 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 9 | 1 |
| Total Units | 58 | 0 | 55 | 3 | 53 | 5 |
| Parking: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# Stalls /Stalls per Unit | $31 / 0.55$ |  | $31 / 0.55$ |  | $31 / 0.55$ |  |

GERDINGEDLEN

## REVENUE ASSUMPTIONS

Apartments:
Average Rent per RSF
Parking:
Rent per Stall per Month

| Base <br> with Residential Bonus | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ |
| $\$ 2.76$ | $\$ 2.69$ | $\$ 2.66$ |
| $\$ 125.00$ | $\$ 125.00$ | $\$ 125.00$ |

2015 HUD Income Limits

| Household Size | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $80 \%$ | 41,200 | 44,125 | 47,050 | 52,950 |

Rent Limits at 80\% MFI
Studio
983
1 BR
1,041
2 BR
1,247

## GERDINGEDLEN

## EXPENSE ASSUMPTIONS

Operating Expenses per Unit per Year ..... \$4,500
Property Taxes per Unit per Year ..... \$2,500
Vacancy ..... 5\%

## GERDINGEDLEN

## PROJECT COST

| Item | Amount |
| :--- | ---: |
| Due Diligence | 30,000 |
| Land Cost | $1,700,000$ |
| General Contractor Construction Cost | $9,981,000$ |
| Other Construction Hard Cost | 120,000 |
| Architecture \& Engineering | $\mathbf{7 6 2 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Permits \& Fees | $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Other Soft Costs | $\mathbf{5 8 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Contingencies | $\mathbf{7 1 9 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Developer Fee | $\mathbf{4 5 7 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Financing Costs | $\mathbf{1 6 , 1 8 3}, \mathbf{0 0 0}$ |

## FINANCING ASSUMPTIONS \& ANALYSIS

|  | Base with Residential Bonus | 15\% | 25\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financing Assumptions: |  |  |  |
| Maximum Loan to Value | 62\% | 62\% | 62\% |
| Required Debt Coverage Ratio | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Interest Rate to Size Loan | 5.75\% | 5.75\% | 5.75\% |
| Interest Rate (Mini-perm) | 3.50\% | 3.50\% | 3.50\% |
| Interest Rate (Perm) | 4.50\% | 4.50\% | 4.50\% |
| Financial Analysis: |  |  |  |
| Minimum Rental Constant Required for Feasible Project | 5.60\% | 5.60\% | 5.60\% |
| Calculated Rental Constant | 5.60\% | 5.40\% | 5.30\% |
| Note: Rental Constant = Net Operating Income divided by Total Project Cost |  |  |  |
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