

**From:** Darren Aboulaflia [<mailto:multimaticaudio@gmail.com>]

**Sent:** Thursday, April 02, 2015 12:28 PM

**To:** Planning and Sustainability Commission; Hales, Mayor; Commissioner Saltzman; Commissioner Fish; Commissioner Fritz; Commissioner Novick

**Subject:** Statement in Opposition to Pembina Export Terminal

Dear Planning and Sustainability Commission,

I am writing in opposition to the proposed Pembina propane export terminal. There are three primary reasons that I oppose this terminal:

1. **Pembina's terminal is not consistent with Portland's Climate Change Action Plan.** The propane is a byproduct of fracking in Canada's Sedimentary Basin, the electricity required to serve the terminal would account for 0.7% of Portland's CO2 emissions, and the propane shipped to China from this terminal would account for 0.01% of global CO2 emissions. Portland should join other northwest cities that are saying "no" to becoming part of the fossil fuel export industry. The Planning and Sustainability Commission should say "no" to Pembina because the terminal would fundamentally undermine Portland's role as a national and international leader in addressing climate change.
2. **Pembina's terminal would have a huge hazard zone, threatening the health and safety of Portland!** An accident at the planned propane export terminal at the Port of Portland's Terminal 6 could release enough propane for a flammable propane vapor cloud to drift up to 5.3 miles downwind, according to a risk assessment conducted by Pembina. Or, a large release could cause an explosion that could break windows almost four miles away from the terminal. It's clear from Pembina's own analysis that the proposed propane export terminal could harm the safety of St. Johns and Hayden Island residents and businesses, and its hazards extend to Sauvie Island, downtown Vancouver, and the Interstate Bridge. The Planning and Sustainability Commission should say "no" to Pembina because of its huge hazard zone!
3. **There are unacceptable omissions in Pembina's application regarding rail and river safety.** Pembina's application fails to even address the hazards posed by huge quantities of explosive propane moving through our communities by rail and ship. The Planning and Sustainability Commission should say "no" to Pembina because some of the biggest threats to our communities go unaddressed in the application.

I thank you for standing in opposition of this environmentally unsuitable project.

Sincerely,  
Darren Aboulaflia  
837 NE Hancock St  
Portland, OR 97212