# PEMBINA FACILITY QRA Pembina Propane Export Terminal Facility QRA Report

Pembina Marine Terminals Inc.

**Report No.:** PP124992, Rev. 1 **Document No.:** PP124992-1MICKCR-1 **Date:** March 16, 2015



Project name:	Pembina Facility QRA
Report title:	Pembina Propane Export Terminal Facility QRA
	Report
Customer:	Pembina Marine Terminals Inc.
Date of issue:	March 16, 2015
Project Number.:	PP124992
Organisation unit:	Environmental and Navigational Risk
Report Number:	PP124992, Rev. 1
Document Number:	PP124992-1MICKCR-1

Det Norske Veritas (U.S.A.), Inc. DNV GL Oil & Gas **Risk Advisory Services** 1400 Ravello Dr Katy, TX 77449 United States Tel: +1 281 396 1000

Task and objective:

The objective of this study is to identify and quantify all potential credible failure modes that may lead to a major hazardous event, assess the associated risk to personnel, and make recommendations to ensure tolerable risk.

Prepared by: 4 hones

Verified by: Cuntara Mat

Approved by:

Cheryl L Stahl

Hong Wu

Consultant

Cynthia Spitzenberger Principal Consultant

Cheryl Stahl Principal Consultant

Propane, Pembina, Consequence Modeling, Risk, QRA

□ Unrestricted distribution (internal and external)

☑ Unrestricted distribution within DNV GL

□ Limited distribution within DNV GL after 3 years

□ No distribution (confidential)

□ Secret

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible.

Revision	Date	Reason for Issue	Prepared by	Verified by	Approved by
0	2015-03 <b>-13</b>	Draft report	WHON, HCHEN	LLAT, CSPI	CSTAHL
1	2015-03-16	Updated based on Client Comments	WHON, HCHEN	LLAT, CSPI	CSTAHL

Keywords:

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1 2		
2.1 2.2 2.3	INTRODUCTION	
3	METHODOLOGY	
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6	Overview of QRA Approach Brief of Study Basis Scenario Development Consequence Assessment Frequency Assessment Risk Evaluation	
4	RISK RESULTS	21
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	Individual Risk Societal Risk Accidental Loads Uncertainties.	
5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
5.1 5.2	Conclusions Recommendations	
6	REFERENCES	40
A	dia I Chudu Basia	

Appendix I.	Study Basis
A 11 TT	

- Appendix IIScenario DevelopmentAppendix III.Scenario DevelopmentAppendix III.Frequency AnalysisAppendix IV.Consequence Analysis

#### List of figures

Figure 2-1: Facility Transportation, Refrigeration, Storage and Loading (Ref. /1/)	11
Figure 3-1: Risk Assessment Flowchart	14
Figure 3-2: UK HSE LSIR Criteria	20
Figure 3-3: UK HSE R2P2 Criterion point (Ref. /10/)	20
Figure 4-1: Outdoor LSIR Contours (Zoom 1)	22
Figure 4-2: Outdoor LSIR Contours (Zoom 2)	
Figure 4-3: Outdoor LSIR Contours (Zoom 3)	23
Figure 4-4: Receptor Locations – Onsite	
Figure 4-5: Receptor Locations – Offsite	
Figure 4-6: Overall FN Curve Compared to UK HSE Criteria	29
Figure 4-7: FN Curves by Ship Presence Compared to UK HSE Criteria	30
Figure 4-8: FN Curves by Event Compared to UK HSE Criteria	31
Figure 4-9: 1 psi Overpressure Risk Contours	33
Figure 4-10: 3 psi Overpressure Risk Contours	33
Figure 4-11: 5 psi Overpressure Risk Contours	33
Figure 4-12: Jet Fire Radiation – Frequency Contours for 35 kW/m <sup>2</sup>	34
Figure 4-13: Pool Fire Radiation – Frequency Contours for 35 kW/m <sup>2</sup>	34
Figure 4-14: Fire Radiation – Frequency Contours for 35 kW/m <sup>2</sup> (all fire hazards)	35
Figure 4-15: LFL Flash Fire Risk Contours	36

#### **List of tables**

Table 3-1: Hole Size Categories – Releases	17
Table 4-1: LSIR at Onsite Receptor Locations – Outdoor and Indoor	
Table 4-2: LSIR at Offsite Receptor Locations – Outdoor and Indoor	25
Table 4-3: Top Contributing Events for Risk Ranking Point Locations (Outdoor LSIR)	26
Table 4-4: Top 10 Contributors to PLL	28
Table 4-5: Contribution from Different Population Areas to PLL	28
Table 4-6: Top Risk Contributors to Fatality Range (From N=4 to N=8)	32

#### **Abbreviations and Units**

Abbreviations			
ACDS	UK Advisory Committee on Dangerous Substances		
ALARP	As Low As Reasonably Practicable		
BLEVE	Boiling Liquid Expanding Vapor Explosion		
BOG	Boil-off Gas		
CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamics		
CLE	Contingency Level Earthquake		
EC	European Commission		
ESD	Emergency Shutdown		
FN Curve	Cumulative Frequency (F) of Various Accidents against Number (N) of Fatalities Curve		
HAZID	Hazard Identification		
HCRD	Hydrocarbon Release Database		
IR	Individual Risk		
LFL	Lower Flammable Limit		
LSIR	Location Specific Individual Risk		
ME	Multi-Energy		
Phast	Process Hazard Analysis Software Tool		
PLL	Potential Loss of Life		
QRA	Quantitative Risk Assessment		
SMEDIS	Scientific Model Evaluation of Dense Gas Dispersion Models		
UK HSE	UK Health Safety Executive		
VCE	Vapor Cloud Explosion		
VLGC	Very Large Gas Carrier		

Barrels			
Feet			
Gallons			
Inches			
Kilograms			
Pounds			
Pounds per hour			
Meters			
Mile			
Minutes			
Millimeters			
Pounds per square inch			
Seconds			
	Feet Gallons Inches Kilograms Pounds Pounds per hour Meters Mile Minutes Millimeters Pounds per square inch		

#### Definitions

DEFINITION	IS
Hazard	Hazard is the physical situation which has the potential to cause harm. For example, a refinery is regarded as a hazardous operation, due to the toxicity of hydrogen sulfide and flammability of gases and liquids in the process. The word 'hazard' does not express a view on how likely it is that harm will actually occur.
Risk	Risk is the combination of likelihood and consequence of accidents. More scientifically, it is defined as the probability of a specific adverse event occurring in a specific period or under specified circumstances. Although <i>risk</i> and <i>hazard</i> are colloquially used as synonyms, risk is distinct from hazard.
Incident	An unintentional unwanted event, not a near miss, which might or might not result in a release event.
Accident	An accident is an incident that results in the release of propane, which is the actual realization of a hazard.
Probit	A unit of measurement of statistical probability based on deviations from the mean of a normal distribution
Thermal Probit equation	An equation that relates the intensity, duration, and thermal radiation exposure to the probability of a resulting fatality

## **1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Pembina Marine Terminals Inc. and its affiliates (hereinafter referred to as "Pembina") propose to construct and operate a liquid propane export terminal in Portland, Oregon, the Pembina Portland Propane Terminal. The facility will be located at Terminal 6 in the Port of Portland. DNV GL was requested by Pembina to perform a Quantitative Risk Assessment (QRA) of the facility.

This report documents the results and findings by assessing the risk from the Pembina Propane Export Terminal during normal operation.

This study estimates the risk from flammable releases, such as jet fires, pool fire, flash fire, vapor cloud explosions, fireball and Boiling Liquid Expanding Vapor Explosion (BLEVE). The risk is presented as individual risk in the form of location specific individual risk (LSIR) contours, and as societal risk in the form of Potential Loss of Life (PLL) and FN (Cumulative Frequency (F) of Various Accidents against Number (N) of Fatalities) curves.

LSIR is a measure of the average annual risk (of fatality in this case) an individual would see (from the realization of specific hazards such as flammable releases) if one were to continuously remain at a specified location.

The societal risk for a hazardous activity is defined as the probability that a group of one or more persons would become fatalities due to an accident from the hazardous activity. PLL is the average number of fatalities per year. It is calculated by summing the products of impact frequency and the number of fatalities. The societal risk can be represented by FN curves, which are plots of the cumulative frequency (F) of various accidents against the number (N) of the fatalities.

Since there are not requirements for individual and societal risk criteria in the US, UK HSE risk tolerability criteria for individual and societal risk are presented for the project. The estimated risk levels on the facility are evaluated against the risk tolerability criteria.

The study input data and assumptions applied in this analysis are fundamental to the validity of risk results and are provided in Appendices I-IV.

#### Results

The overall outdoor LSIR contours are presented in Figure 1. The outdoor LSIR contours are shown in decades of risk starting from a risk level of 1E-08 per year (1 in 100,000,000 years of operation) up to a level of 1E-03 per year (1 in 1,000 years of operation). The iso-risk contour is a line of equal risk. For example, an individual standing in the open on the 1E-06 per year risk contour line for one entire year (24 hours per day for 7 days per week for 365 days) will have a risk of one in a million of being a fatality. This risk value does not take into account the potential exposure time for the individual.

The maximum outdoor LSIR onsite is about 1E-03 per year (1 in 1,000 years) at the propane railcar unloading area and areas surrounding the refrigerated propane storage tanks.

The total PLL is 2.6E-02 per year, which is equivalent to 1 statistical fatality every 38 years.

The FN curve for the total population (onsite and offsite) is presented in Figure 2. The figure shows the total societal risk FN curve result for the Pembina propane terminal, which is below the risk tolerability criteria adopted by the UK HSE.



Figure 1: Overall Site Outdoor Location Specific Individual Risk (LSIR) Contours



Figure 2: Overall FN Curve Compared to UK HSE Risk Criteria

# Conclusions

#### <u>Individual Risk</u>

- A few locations have risk levels of 1E-03 per year (1 in 1,000 years) onsite: Pressurized Propane Storage Bullets, Refrigerated Propane Storage Tanks and Railcar Unloading.
- The LSIR for control room is 2.1E-04 per year, which is in the As Low As Reasonably Practicable (ALARP) region according to the UK HSE tolerability criteria.
- The highest risk level offsite is 1E-03 per year (1 in 1,000 years) in the channel area and to the south of facility
- The offsite point locations evaluated are either in the ALARP or broadly acceptable region according to the UK HSE tolerability criteria widely accepted by the marine industry.

#### <u>Societal Risk</u>

- The total PLL is 2.6E-02 per year, which is equivalent to 1 statistical fatality every 38 years.
- The societal risk result is below the UK HSE tolerability criteria on the FN curve. Although the FN result is very close to the criteria line between N = 4 to 8.
- The scenario of a liquid release (300 mm, 12 in) from Refrigerated Propane Storage Tank 1. The earthquake hazard dominates the risks, making up 55% of the PLL and almost 76% of the overall risk in the FN curves. The drivers for this contribution include the high associated release frequency and a large consequence zone; note however that the current model is conservative with respect to both aspects.
- The key release scenarios contributing to the overall risk levels are: Refrigerated Propane Tanks, Marine Liquid Loading Arm, Propane Unloading Storage Bullets and Jetty Loading Pipe.

# Recommendations

The following recommendations may be considered in developing the facility design and siting:

- 1. <u>Minimize the potential for BLEVE scenarios</u>. Given the number of pressurized propane bullets at the site, there is the potential for escalation scenarios and BLEVEs. Although a number of mitigations have been input to the design to minimize these impacts, the site should further minimize this potential by focusing on the grading, drainage in the vicinity of the bullets to ensure flammable liquids will not collect in the area in the event of a release.
- 2. **Focus on Key Contributors**. Consider further reducing the risk posed by the high risk contributors, in particular, aim risk mitigation efforts toward the pressurized storage vessel bullets, refrigerated propane tanks, and marine loading.
- 3. **Impoundment Areas.** Bunding, curbing and secondary containment is recommended to limit the potential pool sizes. The model does not account for the topography of the site; nor does it account for the bunding resulting from the existing and proposed rail lines. As no defined bunds were indicated in the facility, the liquid pool releases in the model spread a large distance that may not be realistic. To better contain the potential pool hazards and spread of the pool fires to other areas of the facility or to offsite, bunding or other containment measures should be considered.
- 4. <u>Detection and Isolation</u>. Leak detection and isolation are key control measures accounted for in the model. Although their primary influence is to limit the potential for escalation. The more rapidly that isolation occurs the greater the benefit in terms of risks to personnel, potential for escalation,

and reduction in overall duration of event. Focus should be placed on the installation and maintenance of the systems to further optimize their reliability and effectiveness.

- 5. **<u>Uncertainty</u>**. To obtain a more accurate risk picture for the facility and remove some uncertainty and/or conservatism in the study assumptions, it is suggested that the following be more closely evaluated:
  - Frequency of earthquake scenario the current design criteria used for the storage tank civil and geotechnical works exceeds the QRA modeled earthquake frequency (meaning the tank design has accounted for a 1 in 2,495 year event, whereas the current QRA has assumed the design is for a 1 in 475 year event) and therefore the current QRA results are too conservative.
  - Design bunding The existing and proposed rail lines provide bunding which is not incorporated in the model and thus the current result is conservative.
  - Onsite population The model assumes a higher than expected outdoor population during marine loading and thus is conservative. The marine loading outdoor population on ship and shore should be estimated in detail to better understand the potential onsite impacts.
  - Offsite population distribution the offsite population is evenly distributed within each zip code; however some locations near the site may be more or less densely populated than others. The offsite population should be estimated in detail to better understand the potential offsite impacts.

# **2** INTRODUCTION

Pembina Marine Terminals Inc. and its affiliates (hereinafter referred to as "Pembina") propose to construct and operate a liquid propane export terminal in Portland, Oregon, the Pembina Portland Propane Terminal. The facility will be located at Terminal 6 in the Port of Portland.

The facility will receive approximately 3.2 million gallons of liquid propane from rail tracks every two days. There will be two rail tracks; each track will have 13 railcar unloading stations for a total of 26 railcar unloading stations. The liquid propane will be cooled at a rate of up to 1.7 million gal per day and stored in two refrigerated double-walled storage tanks with the capacity of 550,000 bbl (23.1 million gal) and 250,000 bbl (10.5 million gal). A Very Large Gas Carrier (VLGC) up to approximately 23 million gal capacity will load at the facility approximately two to three times per month for transit down the Columbia River to foreign markets.

A simplified schematic of the operation diagram of the Pembina **Portland Propane** Terminal is shown in Figure 2-1.





# **2.1 Study Objectives**

The objectives of the Quantitative Risk Assessment (QRA) are to:

- Identify and quantify all potential credible failure modes that may lead to a hazardous event
- Evaluate the frequencies and consequences of the identified hazardous events, and assess the associated risk to personnel
- Based on the risk results; make recommendations to ensure that risks are tolerable

# 2.2 Scope of Work

The following main activities are completed to meet the above objectives of the QRA:

- Data collection and review
- Risk assessment
  - System definition
  - Identification of scenarios
  - Frequency and consequence analysis
  - Impact assessment
  - Risk calculation
  - Risk evaluation
- Identification of risk reduction measures and critical issues and challenges

The boundaries of this risk study are from the railcar unloading arms to the marine loading arms, including the loading pipe to dock for normal terminal operation (i.e., facility equipment, storage tank.). Risk related to railcar transit inside/outside the terminal, carrier transit, and the collisions to a carrier or the dock are not part of the current QRA scope. Note that these excluded hazards are evaluated in separate studies.

The following units and systems are identified in this QRA as possible sources for hazardous releases:

- Propane Railcar Unloading: Unit 1001
- Propane Refrigeration: Unit 1002
- Propane Ship Loading: Unit 1003
- Propane Refrigerated Storage Tanks: Unit 1004

## 2.3 Report Structure

The report consists of a main report body (this document) and four appendices. The main report provides a general description of facilities and presents the key risk results and risk drivers for the facility. The report documentation is organized as follows:

Section 1	Executive Summary	Summary of the study, risk results, and conclusions and recommendations
Section 2	Introduction	Describes the scope and objectives of the study
Section 3	Methodology	Outlines the methodology used in the study, as well as an explanation of the risk terms and measurement
Section 4	Risk Results	Describes the risk results of the study, comparing them to the tolerability risk criteria adopted by UK HSE
Section 5	Conclusion and Recommendation	Discusses the conclusions to the study and recommendations based on the risk results
Section 6	References	Contains references cited in the report
Appendix I	Study Basis	Detailed study basis and assumption sheets defined for the study

Appendix II	Scenario Development	Describes the hazards and scenarios defined for the analysis based upon review of the facility design documents
Appendix III	Frequency Analysis	Presents detailed frequency results for the scenarios modeled in the analysis
Appendix IV	Consequence Analysis	Presents detailed consequence results for the scenarios modeled in the analysis

# **3 METHODOLOGY**

This section presents an overview of the QRA methodology applied in this study. Key modeling assumptions are briefly summarized.

# **3.1 Overview of QRA Approach**

The QRA is conducted in five steps:

- 1. Data Gathering
- 2. Hazard / failure case identification and selection of events for modeling
- 3. Consequence analysis
- 4. Failure frequency analysis (based on facility design combined with generic accident data)
- 5. Risk assessment and evaluation of results.

Figure 3-1 presents the interrelationship of each step in the QRA process. It also shows how, once the risks have been estimated, risk assessment and management are used to identify and evaluate risk reduction measures. Risk criteria are used to determine if the estimated risks are tolerable. A more detailed description of the tasks performed in the QRA is provided in subsequent sections.



Figure 3-1: Risk Assessment Flowchart

#### 3.1.1 Data Gathering

Prior to significant effort to identify and analyze scenarios, a study basis was drafted to guide the analysis and to document key assumptions that are common for all scenarios (also called background data). The study basis is documented in Appendix I.

# 3.1.2 Hazard Identification

Hazards are identified for units and piping segments, classifying the risk by hazardous material and operating conditions. The development of potential release scenarios ranging from small leaks to more catastrophic leaks is necessary to fully understand the overall risks. The approach taken in this QRA is to systematically identify the hazards and quantify leak scenario parameters based on operation conditions. The assumptions used to define the hazardous scenarios are documented in Appendix I; the outline of the defined scenarios is presented in Appendix II.

# 3.1.3 Consequence Analysis

The potential leak scenarios are processed through consequence models in Phast to evaluate the potential hazard zones to the levels of concern. For this study, both flammable and explosive outcome consequence zones are calculated for a specified endpoint (*e.g.*, flammable concentration, thermal radiation, or overpressure).

## 3.1.4 Frequency Analysis

Once the hazards are known, the likelihood of their potential occurrence is estimated using historical leak frequency data. For this study, DNV GL's analysis of the Hydrocarbon Release Database (HCRD) is utilized (Ref. /2/), complemented by the frequency data from the UK Advisory Committee on Dangerous Substances (ACDS) (Ref. /3/) specifically for loading arms and hoses. The failure rates of pressurized propane bullets and refrigerated storage tanks are obtained from UK HSE historic data for UK facilities (Ref. /4/).

## 3.1.5 Risk Analysis

The risk is estimated using Phast Risk v.6.7 (Ref. /5/), which compiles the consequences, the likelihood of each event occurring (based on the frequency analysis and the background data) and the resulting impacts (vulnerability) to estimate risk. The risk is presented as Individual Risk in the form of Location Specific Individual Risk (LSIR) and Societal Risk in the form of Potential Loss of Life (PLL) and FN (cumulative Frequency versus Number of fatalities) curve.

# 3.2 Brief of Study Basis

The study basis (Appendix I) documents the background data and assumptions applied in this study in detail. Refer to Appendix I regarding specific information applied in the analysis for meteorology, population data, ignition sources, definition of source terms, and definition of receptors for reporting risk results, and similar detailed information.

# **3.3 Scenario Development**

Detailed information about scenario development is documented in Appendix II. The following sections aim to provide a summary of the general approach and key assumptions relevant to all the releases covered within the scope of this study.

# 3.3.1 Scenario Identification

The analysis is conducted on a sectional basis. Failure cases (i.e., specific release scenarios to be modeled in the QRA) are defined by dividing the facility and systems into sections with similar characteristics using the following process:

- The first level of sectionalizing is achieved by identifying the equipment within an isolatable section. An isolatable section is defined as all equipment and piping between Emergency Shutdown Valves (ESDs). In doing so, the maximum inventory available for release is defined, assuming that shutdown will be initiated within a specified time after a release occurs.
- 2. Further sectionalizing of the facility is then performed on the basis of location. Equipment items in the same section with significantly different geographical locations are identified and different failure cases applied to each. However, the inventory available for release may be the same for both pieces of equipment.
- 3. Having divided the facility according to isolatable sections and location, the next step is to further sectionalize according to the material or operating conditions handled by each equipment item. This process involves identifying the physical nature (i.e. phase, pressure, and temperature) of the material within each subsection and deciding if the subsections present significantly different characteristics that are worth differentiating because they could materially contribute to a difference in the modeled consequences.

To summarize, the key factors in the selection of these representative sections are:

- Isolation (consideration is given to whether the inventory that may be released can be isolated by ESD, noting that the time taken for such isolation to occur will be a key factor)
- Release location (the area in which the release occurs, including the height)
- Material / phase released (gas, pressurized liquid, cryogenic liquid, etc.)
- Operation conditions (temperature and pressure)

## 3.3.2 Definition of Scenario Inputs for Modeling

The representative release scenarios applied to the model are detailed in Appendix II. The following process systems and corresponding unit numbers are included in the analysis:

- Propane Railcar Unloading: Unit 1001
- Propane Refrigeration: Unit 1002
- Propane Ship Loading: Unit 1003
- Propane Refrigerated Storage Tanks: Unit 1004

Model input for each selected scenario is defined for each of the below parameters:

- Release material and phase
- Operation pressure and temperature
- Release frequency concerning detection and isolation status
- Release inventory corresponding to detection and isolation status
- Release location and direction
- Release hole size
- Release rate

For each of the release scenarios from facility equipment or piping, four representative release sizes are considered as listed in Table 3-1.

Size Category	Equivalent Round Diameter Hole Size Range	Modeled Representative Hole Size	
	(mm)	(mm)	(in)
Small	3 - 25	10	0.4
Moderate	25 - 75	50	2
Large	75 - 125	100	4
Full Bore Rupture	125 – Line Diameter	Line Diameter (if applicable)	

Table 3-1: Hole Size Categories – Releases

## 3.3.3 Release Detection and Isolation Duration

The isolation time is the estimated duration to detect a leak and initiate isolation, including isolation valve closure time. The detection and isolation time has key influences on the release duration and the total release inventory from the representative release hole size. The response time (detection and isolation) is affected by many factors including release size, release conditions, and release material. In general, the larger release rate (either caused by large hole size or high operation pressure), the shorter response time (i.e., the worse consequence, the shorter response time). The assumed response times for the various releases are documented in Appendix I, Study Basis.

# 3.3.4 Earthquake Scenario

The 2014 Oregon Structural Code requires that every structure shall be designed and constructed to resist the effect of earthquake motions (Ref. /6/). The Contingency Level Earthquake (CLE) event (1 in 475 years) is the minimum design seismic criteria for this facility. Note that a design in accordance with the CLE frequency represents a design performance level of controlled and repairable structural damage. A 300 mm (12 inch) release from the largest refrigerated propane storage tank is conservatively modeled, and represents the potential consequences from a CLE earthquake. Note that the tank is to be designed to a 1 in 2,475-year event. This information was not updated before the current analysis was performed. The QRA model will be updated later to reflect this.

# **3.4 Consequence Assessment**

A detailed method description for the consequence assessment is documented in Appendix IV. The following sections summarize the general methods adopted in deriving the consequences associated with the defined release scenarios.

# 3.4.1 QRA Consequence Modeling

Consequence modeling is conducted in Phast version 6.7. Phast is a comprehensive hazard analysis tool applicable to all stages of design and operation across a wide range of process industries. Its theory and performance have been independently reviewed as part of the European Commission (EC) funded project – Scientific Model Evaluation of Dense Gas Dispersion Models (SMEDIS), and it has excelled in both areas.

Appendix I (Study Basis) summarizes the methods used to estimate the scenario consequence endpoints of concern. All releases are modeled to either the Lower Flammable Limit (LFL) or ½ LFL. The hazards

reviewed in this study include jet fire, flash fire, pool fire, fireball (applicable only if the release duration is less than 20 seconds), and vapor cloud explosion (VCE). Boiling Liquid Expanding Vapor Explosion (BLEVE) scenarios are also considered for the pressurized propane storage bullets. Acute toxic hazards are not considered relevant to this study.

Jet fires and pool fires are modeled as relevant depending on the release phase. If the release is a pressurized vapor or two-phase release, a horizontal jet fire is modeled. A pool fire is modeled for flammable liquid and two-phase releases with rainout. The pool fires are modeled as circular pools and will spread until the pool reaches a bund or reaches a steady state condition. Jet and pool fires are modeled for their thermal radiation impact endpoints. Flash fires are modeled for flammable cloud dispersion.

Congested areas provide the potential for Vapor Cloud Explosions (VCE) to occur under certain conditions. For the QRA, the TNO Multi-Energy (ME) model was used to predict explosion effects in terms of peak overpressure in the vicinity around an explosion center within a congested region. The congested regions are defined in terms of location, geometry, and the degree of congestion/confinement. Each congested region is given a corresponding ME curve number (Ref. /7/) to reflect the level of congestion and confinement within the region. Details regarding the definition of the congested volumes can be found in Appendix I, Study Basis. The predicted overpressure caused by a VCE is associated with the volume (mass) of the flammable cloud confined within the obstructed region(s), which needs to be differentiated from the entire volume of the vapor cloud or the total released inventory. In this study, all of a flammable cloud confined within the congested region(s) with a hydrocarbon concentration between LFL and UFL is used for the overpressure calculation.

BLEVE refers to any sudden loss of containment of a fluid above its normal boiling point at the moment of vessel failure. A common cause of BLEVE event is fire engulfment of a vessel, which contains liquid under pressure, where the heating both raises the pressure in the vessel and lowers the yield strength of the equipment material. DNV GL assessed the frequency of thermal loads to the pressurized storage tank area first, to determine the potential failure rate of vessels for the occurrence of BLEVE event. The BLEVE event can give rise to a blast wave, to fragment projection, and to a fireball, a flash fire or a vapor cloud explosion with propane involved. Note that BLEVEs require a period of time to form, and thus, onsite personnel should not be exposed given time to escape. The BLEVE scenarios were included in the risk model in the current study to reflect the potential escalation hazard. A BLEVE was modeled for the pressurized propane storage bullets. To ensure the safety of the personnel under the modeled BLEVE events, appropriate emergency response plans need to be developed by the project.

#### 3.4.2 Consequence Analysis

This study includes a detailed analysis on the following hazards: jet fire, flash fire, pool fire, fireball, VCE and BLEVE. Consequence tables are presented in Appendix IV, and comprise a detailed consequence analysis of all the defined scenarios.

Six weather conditions were considered to represent the range of wind speeds and atmospheric stabilities that are present at the site location. The six weather conditions were modeled separately for winter and summer conditions, reflecting differences in the average atmospheric temperature and humidity.

This may be used by the Pembina facility project as decision support in developing the facility, for example, as input for design specifications for and location of buildings and equipment, storage tank spacing, and

location of escape routes. Additionally, the hazard zone distances can be used to assist in planning for emergency response.

## **3.5 Frequency Assessment**

Appendix III details the estimation of the event release frequencies. The frequencies are estimated using best available data.

For the typical facility and mechanical equipment failures, application of data from historical databases was used to estimate release frequencies. The UK HSE Hydrocarbon Release Database (HCRD) (Ref. /2/), provides the base frequency data for most scenarios, complemented by the frequency data from ACDS (Ref. /3/) specifically for loading arms and hoses. The failure rates of pressurized propane bullets and refrigerated storage tanks are obtained from UK HSE historical facility data (Ref. /4/).

## **3.6 Risk Evaluation**

The risk is estimated using PhastRisk version 6.7, which compiles the consequences, the likelihood of each event (based on the frequency analysis and the background data) and the resulting impacts on populations (vulnerability). The key assumptions related to risk modeling are presented in Appendix I.

An additional model input, *vulnerability*, relates the scenario consequences (thermal radiation / overpressure) to the number of fatalities for a given population. A vulnerability value is assigned to each hazard type (e.g., jet fire, VCE), which is used by the model to estimate the number of fatalities. An input vulnerability of "1" would result in a risk estimate based on 100% fatalities within the (calculated) lethally exposed area. An input vulnerability of 0.1 would result in a risk estimate based on 10% fatalities among the population within the (calculated) lethally exposed area. The vulnerability assumptions for all relevant types of flammable impacts are presented in Appendix I.

#### **Risk Criteria**

#### Location-Specific Individual Risk Criteria

The following risk criteria are used by the UK Health & Safety Executive (HSE) to assess the location-specific individual risk exposed to employees, contractors as well as public people (Ref. /8/):

- Maximum tolerable risk for workers 1E-03 per year
- Maximum tolerable risk for the public 1E-04 per year
- Broadly acceptable risk 1E-06 per year
- As Low As Reasonably Practicable (ALARP): 1E-03 1E-06 per year for workers

1E-04 - 1E-06 per year for the public



Figure 3-2: UK HSE LSIR Criteria

#### Societal Risk Criteria

In 2001, HSE published "Reducing Risks, Protecting People" (known as "R2P2"), with the purpose of informing external stakeholders about HSE's approach to regulatory decision-making (Ref. /9/). R2P2 gives limited guidance on criterion values for societal risks. R2P2 defines one point, (N=50, F(N)=1/5000 per year), and if this point is placed on an FN curve, and a line is drawn through it with a slope of -1, it can provide a criteria comparison line. To use this, a calculated curve for a site can be superimposed, and if any point of this curve lies above the criteria line at any point, then this could indicate unacceptability. This begs the question whether the actual curve must be below the criterion line at all points, or can some excursions above the line be allowed, if these are balanced by points where the curve is below the criterion line. There is no technical widespread agreement on this issue (Figure 3-3).



Figure 3-3: UK HSE R2P2 Criterion point (Ref. /10/)

# 4 **RISK RESULTS**

The risk of each event is estimated by combining the frequency and the consequence of the event. This section summarizes the estimated risk levels posed by the Pembina Propane Export Terminal.

# 4.1 Individual Risk

Individual Risk (IR) is the annual probability of fatality for an individual person. This QRA analysis reports IR in the form of Location Specific Individual Risk (LSIR) contours, and point location risk values.

# 4.1.1 Location Specific Individual Risk Contours

LSIR gives the frequency of fatality over a year period for personnel at a certain location, when permanently exposed. LSIR is commonly presented as iso-risk contours on a map by drawing lines that connect locations with the same value of risk. The contour maps (Figure 4-1 to Figure 4-3) present the LSIR contours for the Pembina facility and surrounding areas, accounting for all scenarios within the scope of the QRA. These contours reflect the outdoor LSIR to onsite workers, and any potential public populations, assuming continuous presence, outdoors, at each point.

The LSIR contours show that:

- More than half of the purple 1E-04 per year (1 in 10,000 years) LSIR contour (elevated public individual risk (LSIR) criteria adopted by UK HSE) is confined within the Pembina propane terminal. The 1E-04 per year LSIR contour exceeds the boundary of the terminal to the south.
- The highest outdoor LSIR value found in the terminal is around 1E-03 per year (1 in 1,000 years) (illustrated by the red contour). The pressurized propane storage bullets, the refrigerated propane tanks, and the railcar unloading area are exposed to this LSIR level.
- The 1E-03 per year LSIR contour (red) is covers the main part of the facility area (near the storage tanks and unloading operation); there is an exceedance of the property line to the channel area and the south of the facility. The onsite control room is located between the 1E-04 per year and 5E-03 per year LSIR contour.



Figure 4-1: Outdoor LSIR Contours (Zoom 1)



Figure 4-2: Outdoor LSIR Contours (Zoom 2)



Figure 4-3: Outdoor LSIR Contours (Zoom 3)

# 4.1.2 Location Specific Individual Risk Ranking Points

Eleven onsite and eleven offsite receptor points were set up in the risk model to estimate the value of the outdoor/indoor LSIR at each point (as shown in Figure 4-4 and Figure 4-5). The estimated overall LSIR at each point assumes the risk target is permanently present at the receptor location. Table 4-1 and Table 4-2 presents the outdoor and indoor (building specific) LSIR results at each onsite and offsite receptor location. A building's specific indoor LSIR accounts for the fire and blast rating assumed for the building.



Figure 4-4: Receptor Locations – Onsite



Figure 4-5: Receptor Locations – Offsite

Receptor No.	Receptor Description	Outdoor IR per year	Outdoor Average Recurrence Interval [years] (Given 100% Exposure)	Indoor IR per year	Indoor Average Recurrence Interval [years] (Given 100% Exposure)
1	Pressurized Propane Storage Bullets 1	2.6E-03	380		
2	Refrigerated Propane Storage Tank 1	2.3E-03	430		
3	Refrigerated Propane Storage Tank 2	2.3E-03	440		
4	Railcar Unloading	2.2E-03	450		
5	Pressurized Propane Storage Bullets 2	2.0E-03	500		
6	Pressurized Propane Storage Bullets 3	1.1E-03	880		
7	Jetty	3.4E-04	2,930		
8	Control Room / Warehouse	2.1E-04	4,770	2.1E-04	4,670
9	MCC Building	1.7E-04	5,790	1.7E-04	5,900
10	Substation	2.4E-05	41,700	-	
11	Admin. Building	6.5E-08	15.4 million	< 1E-12	>1 trillion

Table 4-1: LSIR at Onsite Receptor Locations – Outdoor and Indoor

Green – Broadly Acceptable, Blue – ALARP, Black – Exceeds Criteria

Receptor No.	Receptor Description	Outdoor IR per year	Outdoor Average Recurrence Interval [years] (Given 100% Exposure)
1	Neighboring Point 3 (NP3)	2.2E-06	0.45 million
2	Neighboring Point 1 (NP1)	1.7E-07	5.99 million
3	Smith Natural Area (SNA)	1.2E-07	8.45 million
4	Hayden Island West Point (HIWP)	8.2E-08	12.2 million
5	Neighboring Point 2 (NP2)	3.8E-09	260 million
6	Residential Area (RA)	1.8E-09	561 million
7	Kelley Point Park (KPP)	1.3E-09	799 million
8	Neighboring Point 4 (NP4)	1.2E-09	824 million
9	Hayden Island North East Point (HINEP)	1.1E-09	946 million
10	Floating Home (FH)	1.0E-09	976 million
11	Oregon West Point (OWP)	2.8E-10	3.53 billion

#### Table 4-2: LSIR at Offsite Receptor Locations – Outdoor and Indoor

Green – Broadly Acceptable, Blue – ALARP, Black – Exceeds Criteria

The following general conclusions may be drawn:

• The Pressurized Propane Storage Bullets 1 location has the greatest estimated outdoor LSIR, 2.6E-03 (1 in 380 years) followed by two refrigerated propane storage tanks with LSIR of 2.3E-03 per year (1 in 430 years).

- All of the onsite buildings (Control Room/Warehouse, MCC building and Admin. building) are exposed to LSIR no greater than 1E-03 per year; falling in the ALARP or broadly acceptable region according to the UK HSE tolerability criteria.
- Neighboring Point 3, which is at the south of the facility, has an LSIR of 2.2E-06 per year (1 in 450,000 years). It is the only offsite point that is exposed to LSIR exceeding 1E-06 per year (1 in 1 million years). All other selected offsite receptor locations are exposed to LSIR in the broadly acceptable region according to the UK HSE tolerability criteria.

The top ten contributing release events to risk ranking points (Pressurized Propane Storage Bullets 1, Refrigerated Propane Storage Tank 1, Neighboring Point 3, Floating Home, and Control Room) are presented in Table 4-3. In general, release scenarios from the following systems are the main risk contributors:

- Refrigerated Propane Storage Tank 1 300mm (12 inch) Release due to Earthquake
- Propane Unloading Storage Group (connections) Liquid
- Propane Unloading Storage Group Bullets
- Refrigerated Propane Storage Tanks

IR Ranking	Top Contributing Events					
point	Event	Event Description	Risk (per year)	Percentage (%)		
	EQ-R	Storage Tank 1 300mm Release due to Earthquake	1.2E-03	44.1		
	B01-06A	Propane Unloading Storage Group1 (connections) - Liquid	7.3E-04	27.7		
	B01-07A	Propane Unloading Storage Group2 (connections) - Liquid	1.8E-04	6.7		
	B01-06C	Propane Unloading Storage Group1 - Bullets	7.5E-05	2.9		
Pressurized	F02-03A	MP Suction Drum - Liquid	7.4E-05	2.8		
Propane	B01-07C	Propane Unloading Storage Group2 - Bullets	7.2E-05	2.7		
Storage Bullets 1	B01-08C	Propane Unloading Storage Group3 - Bullets	6.9E-05	2.7		
Dunets 1	B01-08A	Propane Unloading Storage Group3 (connections) - Liquid	5.7E-05	2.2		
	F02-04A	LP Suction Drum - Liquid	4.2E-05	1.6		
	F02-06B	Propane Rundown Pipe to Storage Tank	3.3E-05	1.2		
	Total for T	op Contributors	2.5E-03	94.7		
	Total for O	ther Events	1.4E-04	5.3		
	EQ-R	Storage Tank 1 300mm Release due to Earthquake	2.1E-03	89.2		
	B01-06C	Propane Unloading Storage Group1 - Bullets	4.6E-05	2.0		
	B01-07C	Propane Unloading Storage Group2 - Bullets	4.5E-05	1.9		
	B01-08C	Propane Unloading Storage Group3 - Bullets	4.2E-05	1.8		
Defining wated	S04-02C	Storage Tank 2	4.0E-05	1.7		
Refrigerated Propane	S04-01C	Storage Tank 1	4.0E-05	1.7		
Storage Tank	F02-06B	Propane Rundown Pipe to Storage Tank	1.8E-05	0.8		
1	M03-01Z	Marine Propane Loading Line - Loading Mode	5.6E-06	0.2		
	B01-06A	Propane Unloading Storage Group1 (connections) - Liquid	4.9E-06	0.2		
	S04-02B	Storage Tank 2 - connections - Liquid	3.8E-06	0.2		
	Total for Top Contributors		2.3E-03	99.7		
	Total for O	ther Events	5.9E-06	0.3		
Neighboring	S04-01C	Storage Tank 1	1.3E-06	57.4		

#### Table 4-3: Top Contributing Events for Risk Ranking Point Locations (Outdoor LSIR)

IR Ranking	Top Contributing Events				
point	Event Event Description		Risk (per year)	Percentage (%)	
Point 3 (NP3)	S04-02C	Storage Tank 2	9.4E-07	42.6	
	M03-01Z	Marine Propane Loading Line - Loading Mode	1.7E-09	0.1	
	M03-05Z	Jetty Loading Pipe	1.2E-19	<0.01	
	Total		2.2E-06	100.0	
Floating	S04-01C	Storage Tank 1	1.0E-09	100.0	
Home	Total		1.0E-09	100.0	
	B01-07C	Propane Unloading Storage Group2 - Bullets	5.2E-05	24.4	
	B01-08C	Propane Unloading Storage Group3 - Bullets	5.2E-05	24.4	
	B01-06C	Propane Unloading Storage Group1 - Bullets	5.2E-05	24.4	
	B01-08A	Propane Unloading Storage Group3 (connections) – Liquid	2.5E-05	11.5	
	B01-07A	Propane Unloading Storage Group2 (connections) – Liquid	1.0E-05	4.8	
Control Room / Warehouse	S04-02C	Storage Tank 2	9.1E-06	4.3	
,	S04-01C	Storage Tank 1	8.1E-06	3.8	
	B01-06A	Propane Unloading Storage Group1 (connections) - Liquid	<b>4.5</b> E-06	2.1	
	F02-03A	MP Suction Drum – Liquid	9.3E-07	0.4	
	R01-05Z	Propane Unloading Pipe	2.1E-07	0.1	
	Total		2.1E-04	100.0	

# 4.2 Societal Risk

# 4.2.1 Potential Loss of Lives (PLL)

The PLL is dependent on the likelihood of an event resulting in fatalities, the frequency of that event occurring and the number of persons present in the hazard zone at the time the situation materializes. Therefore, events that can affect areas with a large population are likely to contribute more to the PLL than those that affect areas with a small or infrequent population. The total PLL is 2.6E-02 per year, which equates to 1 statistical fatality every 38 years. Table 4-4 summarizes the top 10 contributors to the total PLL. The earthquake scenario of a liquid release (300 mm) from Refrigerated Propane Storage Tank 1 makes up 55% of the PLL. The drivers for this contribution include the high associated release frequency and a large consequence zone; note however that the current model is conservative with respect to both the assumed frequency and the size of the hazard zone.

Table **4-5** presents the distribution of the PLL among the assessed areas. The indoor and outdoor population in zip code 97203, where the Pembina propane export terminal is located, contributes 60% of the total PLL. This PLL result is likely conservative given the approach to define the offsite populations. The offsite population is evenly distributed within each zip code; however some locations near the site may be more or less densely populated than others. The variation of population density within the zip code has not been reflected.

Event Description	PLL (/yr)	1 Statistical Fatality Every # Years	Contribution to PLL (%)
Storage Tank 1 300mm Rupture due to Earthquake	1.4E-02	69	55.1
Propane Unloading Storage Group3 – Bullets	1.8E-03	560	6.8
Storage Tank 1	1.7E-03	580	6.6
Propane Unloading Storage Group1 – Bullets	1.7E-03	590	6.5
Propane Unloading Storage Group2 – Bullets	1.7E-03	590	6.5
Liquid Loading Arm	1.7E-03	600	6.3
Storage Tank 2	1.6E-03	620	6.1
Propane Unloading Storage Group1 (conn.) – Liquid	3.6E-04	2,760	1.4
Jetty Loading Pipe	2.6E-04	3,900	1.0
Propane Unloading Storage Group2 (conn.) – Liquid	2.2E-04	4,500	0.8
Total for Top 10 Contributors	2.6E-02	39	97.0
Total for Other Events	7.9E-04	1,300	3.0
Total PLL	2.6E-02	38	100.0

Table 4-4: Top 10 Contributors to PLL

#### Table 4-5: Contribution from Different Population Areas to PLL

Outdoor / Indoor	Population Area	Contribution to PLL (%)
	97203	30.6
	Facility Area	19.3
	Railcar Unloading	10.2
	Carrier	3.6
Outdoor populations	Jetty	3.3
	97217	1.6
	98660	0.01
	Admin Building	< 0.01
	97231	< 0.01
	97203	29.8
Indoor Populations	Control Room & Warehouse	1.5
	97217	0.2

## 4.2.2 FN Curves

The societal risk is presented as an FN curve. An FN curve is used to identify the frequency associated with a given number of fatalities (or more). These curves are graphed as cumulative frequency (F) versus the number of fatalities (N). As there is no US societal risk criteria requirement, the UK HSE criteria are applied. The FN curve in this project counts for all the onsite and offsite populations.

Figure 4-6 shows the societal risk FN curve for the Pembina propane terminal during normal operations. As indicated by the figures, the societal risk is below the risk tolerability criteria line adopted by UK HSE. The cut-off on the FN curve shown in the figure is 1E-08 per year since it is a quite low frequency. The actual maximum estimated N is 3,927 fatalities at a frequency of 1.1E-22 per year (1 in 9.1E21 years). The activities in the period when no ships are present dominates the contribution to the higher N part of the FN curve, as these activities are more frequent.



Figure 4-7 shows the FN curves by ship presence. No ship presence and ship presence contribute comparably to the total risk for the following reasons:

- During the majority (85%) of the time, the ship is not present at the Pembina propane terminal (details can be found in Appendix I), leading to the significant contribution to the total risk from no ship presence.
- When ship is present, the liquid loading arm is the key contributor to the total risk.



Figure 4-8 presents the contributions to the overall FN curve from the different events. The Common Events Day and Night – includes the railcar facilities and unloading operation, the pressurized and refrigerated storage tanks, and other main facility equipment – are the dominant contributors to the overall risk result given the significant time fraction and the number of events. The Marine Loading in Day and Night imposes less risk than common events. It is because averagely 26 shipments per year are expected in the Pembina propane export terminal. Events associated with the recirculation activity contribute minimal risk because recirculation only occurs for 24 hours before ship loading (a minimal time in comparison to the operations).



To understand the risk results and potential risk reduction measures, it is necessary to identify the risk contributors within a specific range of N values. Table 4-6 presents the top risk contributors to the societal risk in the fatality (N) range between 4 and 8. The top 10 contributors to the overall societal risk in terms of QRA release events are listed in Table 4-6. These contributors make up about 99% of the societal risk in this fatality range.

It can be seen from that the largest contributors are liquid releases from Storage Tank 1 due to an earthquake resulting in flashing pools that generate significant vapor clouds, which then have the potential for delayed ignition resulting in flash fires, explosions and pool fire hazards. The drivers for this contribution include the associated high release frequency and a large consequence zone; note however that the current model is conservative for both the earthquake hazard frequency and release hazard zone. Note the model does not account for bunding associated with the existing and planned rail lines.

The Marine Liquid Loading Arms are another major societal risk contributor. A majority of the risk comes from fatal impact at the jetty location. The population at the jetty is estimated to have a 100% chance of fatality if exposed to a radiation level greater than 35kW/m<sup>2</sup> (Ref. /11/), which results from the liquid loading arm pool fire, given a release. The leakage rate from potential failures of the Liquid Loading Arms is significant, imposing severe consequences to any nearby personnel. Since the loading arm release is at the jetty, as detailed in Appendix IV – Consequence Assessment, the model shows the entire jetty area to be inside the 35 kW/m<sup>2</sup> thermal radiation zone in the loading arm rupture release case. Therefore, all the jetty population contributes to the PLL in this scenario. Note the model includes a higher outdoor population than expected and is thus conservative.

Scenario Section	Risk Percentage (%)
Storage Tank 1, 300mm Rupture due to Earthquake	76.0
Liquid Loading Arm, Rupture	12.8
Propane Unloading Storage Group3 - Bullets, BLEVE	3.3
Liquid Loading Arm, Medium Release	1.8
Jetty Loading Pipe, Large Release	1.3
Jetty Loading Pipe, Medium Release	1.3
Storage Tank 2, 300 mm Rupture	1.2
Storage Tank 1, 300 mm Rupture	1.1
Propane Unloading Storage Group3 (connections) - Liquid, Large Release	0.3
Propane Unloading Storage Group2 (connections) - Liquid, Large Release	0.2
Total	99.2%

Table 4-6: Top Risk Contributors to Fatality Range (From N=4 to N=8)

# **4.3 Accidental Loads**

The risk model focuses on estimation of the potential fatal risk to personnel. Additionally, it is possible to extract the frequency of impact and impairment to key receptor locations to assess the frequency of hazardous loads to a structure, specifically the frequency of side-on overpressure and thermal radiation. A summary of the impairment frequency results are presented in this section.

# 4.3.1 Overpressure-Frequency Contours

Figure 4-9 to Figure 4-11 show the frequency contours of impact from different overpressure levels (1 psi, 3 psi and 5 psi), taking into account all possible explosion hazards from the identified scenarios. Overpressure of 1 psi will cause partial damage of a house, e.g. window breakage; overpressure of 3 psi will cause a steel frame building to distort and pull away from its foundation and 5 psi overpressure will cause a wooden utility pole to snap and nearly completely destroy a house. The 5 psi overpressure-frequency contour centers on the pressurized propane storage bullets. The control room/warehouse is located outside of the  $5 \times 10^{-4}$  per year (1 in 20,000 years) zone for overpressure level of 5 psi.





Figure 4-9: 1 psi Overpressure Risk Contours





Figure 4-11: 5 psi Overpressure Risk Contours

# 4.3.2 Radiation-Frequency Contours

Figure 4-12 and Figure 4-13 show the frequency contours of impact from jet fire and pool fire thermal for a radiation level of 35 kW/m<sup>2</sup>. Figure 4-14 shows the radiation-frequency contours at radiation levels of  $35 \text{ kW/m}^2$  accounting for all the fire hazards: jet fire, pool fire and fireball.

Thermal radiation of 35 kW/m<sup>2</sup> will pose significant fatality risk to people. All of the contours are plotted based on 1 second exposure, which means the radiation - frequency contours take into account the total leak frequency for all release events that result in a fire hazard (since all fires will last at least 1 second). The contour centers are around the two refrigerated storage tanks and the loading **area**, where the relatively higher frequency release events are located.



Figure 4-12: Jet Fire Radiation – Frequency Contours for 35 kW/m<sup>2</sup>

Figure 4-13: Pool Fire Radiation – Frequency Contours for 35 kW/m<sup>2</sup>



Figure 4-14: Fire Radiation – Frequency Contours for 35 kW/m<sup>2</sup> (all fire hazards)

# 4.3.3 Flash Fire-Frequency Contours

Figure 4-15 shows the frequency contours for flash fire with the ignition concentration at LFL, taking into account all possible flash fire hazards from the identified scenarios.



Figure 4-15: LFL Flash Fire Risk Contours
# **4.4 Uncertainties**

All quantitative risk analyses are subject to uncertainty. A QRA can, for instance, be compared to a weather forecast; based on models and available data it attempts to predict what can be expected. The quality and accuracy of the "weather forecast" is dependent on knowledge, available calculation models, data quality, and degree of detail.

All risk assessments are, in general, aiming to give a "best estimate". A QRA is therefore generally not based on a systematic conservatism. However, this QRA errs on the conservative side for several of the scenarios that have been modeled, in order to extend the area of applicability.

Uncertainty can be divided into five categories:

**Assumptions regarding design and operation of the facility:** These assumptions are diverse, ranging from inventory volume for the segments and manning distribution.

**Statistical uncertainty in data sources:** The risks at the facility have been calculated using industry generic event frequency or leak frequency data as a basis. The databases reflect the experience of the offshore and onshore industry over a large number of exposure years. The failure data is deemed to be the best available source to apply in the analysis; however the data is not specific to propane export terminal operations and thus introduces a degree of uncertainty.

**Applicability of the data sources and models to Pembina:** The data sources for the assessment were selected from both offshore and onshore facility experience. In general, the hazards identified for Pembina propane export terminal are common to other facilities intended for similar service and the use of existing databases representing good practice is considered appropriate for assessing such hazards.

**Limitations of the tools and methods used:** For consequence and frequency modeling, a number of tools are used. All modeling of physical events have their limitations, related to, for example, the number of parameters that are taken into account. No consequence modeling, no matter how good the final graphics look, is precise. All risk assessment based on such consequence modeling must take this into consideration. Simplified free-field, obstacle dispersion and radiation modeling is applied in the analysis, and thus introduces conservatism and uncertainties in the hazard zone estimation.

**Engineering judgment** is applied to a number of areas and evaluations within the risk assessment model. In areas where engineering judgment is applied, there is always a large degree of uncertainty. In general, systematic conservatism is not intentionally built into models. However, where uncertainty exists it has been approached from the conservative side. Subsequently, this has an influence on the risk results.

For all practical purposes, it is not possible to eliminate or to quantify the uncertainty of a risk analysis. It is, however, important to identify and discuss parameters being both uncertain and with large influence on the risk results. This report strives to illustrate the uncertainty either quantitatively through sensitivities, or by highlighting uncertain issue in the discussions.

# **5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

# **5.1 Conclusions**

# 5.1.1 Individual Risk

The following are the key findings related to the individual risk results:

- A few locations have risk levels of 1E-03 per year (1 in 1,000 years) onsite: Pressurized Propane Storage Bullets, Refrigerated Propane Storage Tanks and Railcar Unloading.
- The LSIR for control room is 2.1E-04 per year, which is in the ALARP region according to the UK HSE tolerability criteria.
- The highest risk level offsite is 1E-03 per year (1 in 1,000 years) in the channel area and to the south of facility.
- The offsite point locations evaluated are either in the ALARP or broadly acceptable region according to the UK HSE tolerability criteria widely accepted by the marine industry.

# 5.1.2 Societal Risk

The following are the key findings related to the individual risk results:

- The total PLL is 2.6E-02 per year, which is equivalent to 1 statistical fatality every 38 years.
- The societal risk result is below the UK HSE tolerability criteria on the FN curve. Although the FN result is very close to the criteria line between N = 4 to 8.
- The scenario of a liquid release (300 mm, 12 in) from Refrigerated Propane Storage Tank 1. The earthquake hazard dominates the risks, making up 55% of the PLL and almost 76% of the overall risk in the FN curves. The drivers for this contribution include the high associated release frequency and a large consequence zone; note however that the current model is conservative with respect to both aspects.
- The key release scenarios contributing to the overall risk levels are: Refrigerated Propane Tanks, Marine Liquid Loading Arm, Propane Unloading Storage Bullets and Jetty Loading Pipe.

# **5.2 Recommendations**

The following recommendations may be considered in developing the facility design and siting:

- Minimize the potential for BLEVE scenarios. Given the number of pressurized propane bullets at the site, there is the potential for escalation scenarios and BLEVEs. Although a number of mitigations have been input to the design to minimize these impacts, the site should further minimize this potential by focusing on the grading, drainage in the vicinity of the bullets to ensure flammable liquids will not collect in the area in the event of a release.
- 2. **Focus on Key Contributors**. Consider further reducing the risk posed by the high risk contributors, in particular, aim risk mitigation efforts toward the pressurized storage vessel bullets, refrigerated propane tanks, and marine loading.
- 3. **Impoundment Areas.** Bunding, curbing and secondary containment is recommended to limit the potential pool sizes. The model does not account for the topography of the site; nor does it account for the bunding resulting from the existing and proposed rail lines. As no defined bunds were indicated in the facility, the liquid pool releases in the model spread a large distance that may not be

realistic. To better contain the potential pool hazards and spread of the pool fires to other areas of the facility or to offsite, bunding or other containment measures should be considered.

- 4. <u>Detection and Isolation</u>. Leak detection and isolation are key control measures accounted for in the model. Although their primary influence is to limit the potential for escalation. The more rapidly that isolation occurs the greater the benefit in terms of risks to personnel, potential for escalation, and reduction in overall duration of event. Focus should be placed on the installation and maintenance of the systems to further optimize their reliability and effectiveness.
- 5. <u>Uncertainty</u>. To obtain a more accurate risk picture for the facility and remove some uncertainty and/or conservatism in the study assumptions, it is suggested that the following be more closely evaluated:
  - Frequency of earthquake scenario the current design criteria used for the storage tank civil and geotechnical works exceeds the QRA modeled earthquake frequency (meaning the tank design has accounted for a 1 in 2,495 year event, whereas the current QRA has assumed the design is for a 1 in 475 year event) and therefore the current QRA results are too conservative.
  - Design bunding The existing and proposed rail lines provide bunding which is not incorporated in the model and thus the current result is conservative.
  - Onsite population The model assumes a higher than expected outdoor population during marine loading and thus is conservative. The marine loading outdoor population on ship and shore should be estimated in detail to better understand the potential onsite impacts.
  - Offsite population distribution the offsite population is evenly distributed within each zip code; however some locations near the site may be more or less densely populated than others. The offsite population should be estimated in detail to better understand the potential offsite impacts.

## 6 **REFERENCES**

- /1/ Propane Export Terminal Design Overview. Portland, Oregon: Pembina Pipeline Corporation, 10-01-2014
- /2/ Hydrocarbon Release Database (HCRD), UK Health and Safety Executive (HSE), October 1992 March 2010.
- /3/ DNV GL Expert Judgement based on ACDS. Major hazard Aspects of the Transport of Dangerous Substances Advisory Committee on Dangerous Substances. HMSO Major hazard aspects of the transport of dangerous substances. Health & Safety Commission, 1991.
- /4/ Failure Rate and Event Data for Use within Risk Assessment, June 28 2012, UK HSE.
- /5/ PhastRisk V.6.7, DNV Software
- /6/ 2014 Oregon Structural Specialty Code. Chapter 16 Section 1613: Structural Design Earthquake Loads
- Pitblado, et al., "Facility Siting Rule Set for the TNO Multi-Energy Model for Congested Volumes (PES) and Severity Levels", 10th Global Congress on Process Safety, 2014Obstructed region explosion model (OREM) theory, DNV Software, March 2010.
- /8/ HSE, 1989, Quantified Risk Assessment : Its Input to Decision-Making, Health & Safety Executive, HMSO
- /9/ HSE, 2001. Reducing Risks, Protecting People: HSE's decision-making process, (R2P2), HSE
   Books. London: HSE. [Online] Available at: http://www.hse.gov.uk/risk/theory/r2p2.pdf
- /10/ HSE, 2009, Societal Risk: Initial Briefing to Societal Risk Technical Advisory Group, Research Report, RR703.
- /11/ VROM, Guidelines for Quantitative Risk Assessment (Purple Book), PGS 3, Ministerie van Verkeer en Waterstaat, December 2005.

## **About DNV GL**

Driven by our purpose of safeguarding life, property and the environment, DNV GL enables organizations to advance the safety and sustainability of their business. We provide classification and technical assurance along with software and independent expert advisory services to the maritime, oil and gas, and energy industries. We also provide certification services to customers across a wide range of industries. Operating in more than 100 countries, our 16,000 professionals are dedicated to helping our customers make the world safer, smarter and greener.

# **APPENDIX I: STUDY BASIS**

# Table of Contents

Ι	ASSUMPTIONS OVERVIEW	I-3
I.1 I.1.1 I.1.2	Description and Background Data Facility Description Facility Operational Philosophy	I-4
I.1.3 I.1.4 I.1.5	Operational Periods Population / Manning Wind Rose	I-8 I-10
I.1.6 I.1.7	Meteorological Data Ignition Probability Calculation Method	I-26 I-28
I.1.8 I.1.9	Ignition Sources - People Site-Specific Delayed Ignition Locations and Probabilities	
I.2 I.2.1 I.2.2 I.2.3 I.2.4	Release Scenario Definition. Inventory. Release Location/Height/Direction. Release Sizes. Detection, Isolation Philosophy (Propane Facility).	I-35 I-37 I-38
I.3 I.3.1	Frequency Analysis Leak Frequency – Facility Equipment	
I.3.2 I.3.3 I.3.4	Isolation Failure Immediate Ignition Probabilities Isolation of Ignition Sources	I-43 I-44
I.4 I.4.1	Consequence Modeling Release/Discharge Parameters: Release Rate	I-46
I.4.2 I.4.3	Release/Discharge Parameters: Release Duration Release/Discharge Parameters: Inventory	I-47
I.4.4 I.4.5	Release/Discharge Parameters: Other Inputs Obstructed Regions	I-49
I.4.6 I.4.7 I.4.8	Consequence Modeling Parameters Consequence Model Outputs Drainage	I-56
I.5 I.5.1 I.5.2 I.5.3	Impact and Risk Analysis Impact to People Receptor Identification Risk Results	I-60 I-62 I-68
I.5.4 I.6	IR Criteria and SR Criteria Facility & Other Specific Propane Pressure Storage Tanks	I-73
I.6.1 I.6.2 I.6.3	Propane Pressure Storage Tanks Propane Refrigerated Storage Tanks Earthquake Hazard	I-74
I.7 I.7.1 I.7.2 I.7.3	Rail Car Unloading Rail Unloading Description Rail Car Specification Rail Car Unload Arm Scenarios & Leak Frequency	I-76 I-76 I-78
I.8 I.8.1 I.8.2	Marine Loading Vessel Visits and Propane Loading Operation Marine Loading Arms, Scenarios & Leak Frequency	I-82 I-82

#### **ASSUMPTIONS OVERVIEW** I.

This study basis consists of the assumptions for conducting a quantitative risk analysis (QRA) for the Pembina Propane Export Terminal designed by Pembina Marine Terminal Inc., hereafter referred to as "Pembina". The intent of this document is to clarify the assumptions made by DNV GL related to how the key aspects of the Pembina terminal site configuration have been interpreted in the QRA study and what has been assumed when detailed information has not been available.

These assumptions form the basis for the QRA. If any of these assumptions are altered, the results presented for the study are no longer valid. Consequently, alteration of any of these assumptions may generate a need for an update of the analysis.



# I.1 Description and Background Data

Assumption No.:	1		
Revision:	1	Prepared by:	WHON
			2015-02-24
Date:	24 February 2015	Verified by:	CSPI
			2015-02-24
Relevant Analysis:	General	Category:	Design

#### **Specifications:**

Pembina intends to construct and operate a Propane Export Terminal in Portland, Oregon on the Columbia River capable of

- receiving propane via rail,
- storing the propane on receipt,
- refrigerating propane,
- storing 800,000 bbl in a refrigerated state,
- loading propane onto vessels to be transported down the Columbia River to Asia Pacific markets,
- supplying all of the utilities and safety systems to support the propane terminal

The proposed simplified flow diagram for the propane export terminal is shown in Figure I-1.



Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible.

#### I.1.1 Facility Description **Assumption No.:** 1 The major equipment at the terminal includes Rail unload racks ٠ Offload propane pressure storage tanks • Refrigerated propane storage tanks • Refrigeration compression • Boil off compression ٠ Vapor compression • Product transfer pumps • Ship loading pumps • Marine loading arms

All of the above equipment are included in the evaluation of the facility risk assessment.

Potential releases related to the propane carrier and rail transit are evaluated in separate studies.

**Implication of Assumption:** 

Defines boundaries and scope of the analysis.

**References:** 

1. Pembina Marine Terminal Inc.: Propane Export Terminal Design Overview. Oct 01 2014

#### I.1.2 Facility Operational Philosophy 2 **Assumption No.: Revision:** 1 **Prepared by:** WHON 2015-02-24 Verified by: Date: 24 February 2015 CSPI 2015-02-24 **Relevant Analysis:** QRA, CA **Category:** Design

#### Specifications:

The following are important operation philosophy details (Ref. 1)

- Two trains of the propane refrigeration compressor package are identified based on PFD 14088D-PR-PF-1002-001 and 002. Normal operation has only one refrigeration compression train operational. In event propane train rail cars being late, the spare refrigeration compression unit is operated to unload the train more quickly than in normal operation.
- The line (stream 43), which recirculates propane from tank to downstream of rundown pump (PFD 14088D-PR-PF-1002-001), generally remains empty during normal operation. If refrigeration compression has to be run (e.g. a rail train is late), refrigerated propane is recirculated to the lines downstream of the rundown pumps. There may be some potential use of this pump as one refrigeration compressor is brought on line, prior to dropping the running unit offline for maintenance. This depends on final design and length of piping that is needed to be cooled from the unit coming online.
- Although normal operation for propane rundown is to one tank, there is no operational reason to restrict rundown to only one tank unless the facility is loading a ship from one of the tanks. So rundown is assumed into two propane storage tanks (PFD 14008D-PR-PF-1002-003 and 004) simultaneously. (Ref. 1).
- The cool down only runs for 24 hours prior to ship arrival (probably shorter). The ship is loaded using the propane load line to dock and vapor return line from the ship to the large refrigerated storage tanks. Upon completion of loading, the marine load arms are isolated, and propane load line / vapor return lines are left open to the large refrigerated storage tanks allowing all propane to vaporize from the lines leaving only propane vapors at the pressure of the storage tanks (up to 19 psia) until the next ship arrives and cool down is needed for the lines (Ref. 2).

There are five Cases of Heat & Material Balances provided by Pembina Facility (Ref. 3). For normal operation and ship loading, the risk modelling is based on Case 1 (Base Case: Average Feed + Ship Loading + High Amb. Temp. 82F). For operation specific to propane recirculation, the risk modelling is based on Case 2 (Average Feed + Holding + Average Amb. Temp. 52F)

#### Implication of Assumption:

The above assumptions each have key influences on the risk results.

## I.1.2 Facility Operational Philosophy 2

**Assumption No.:** 

#### **References:**

- 1. Email from Chris Hayes "More Clarification Questions", January 27, 2015
- 2. Email from Chris Hayes "Additional Data Request", January 27, 2015
- 3. Heat & Material Balances, rev. A November 14 2014. Pembina Marine Terminal Inc.: Pembina Propane Terminal Project (14088D), Portland Oregon

#### I.1.3 Operational Periods **Assumption No.:** 3 **Revision:** 1 **Prepared by:** WHON 2015-02-24 Verified by: Date: 24 February 2015 CSPI 2015-02-24 **Relevant Analysis:** QRA **Category:** Operational

#### **Specifications:**

Day time and night time is split equally: 12 hours for day and 12 hours for night.

For the normal operation, the following information applies to vessel calls (Ref. 1):

- 26 ships per year for 83,000m<sup>3</sup> ship
- Cooling the loading equipment starts up to 24 hours prior to ship arrival.
- Loading is assumed to start within a couple of hours after the ship is berthed (assuming during the day time).
- Propane loading time is approximately 38 hours for very large propane carrier.
- The ship is assumed to be held at dock up to 12 hours after being loading waiting to sail.
- The ship port time is assumed to be 52 hrs.

Total:		52 hours
Preparation for Departure	-	12 hours
Loading time	-	38 hours
Preparation for Loading	-	2 hours

To simplify the risk model, it is assumed that the loading activity always starts in the beginning of the day. The data is presented according to the different scenario that occur:

- 1. Common Events\* ship present, Loading day;
- 2. Common Events ship present, Loading night;
- 3. Common Events ship present, no-loading day;
- 4. Common Events ship present, no-loading night;
- 5. Common Events no ship present day;
- 6. Common Events no ship present night;
- 7. Recirculation no ship present day;
- 8. Recirculation no ship present night;
- 9. Recirculation ship present day;
- 10. Loading ship present day;
- 11. Loading ship present night;

\*Common events are normal operations that exclude marine recirculation and loading events.

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible.

# I.1.3 Operational Periods

3

Assumption No.:

The following are the annual time fractions that apply for the different operational phases (assuming 26 vessel shipments every year):

- 0.0178, Common Events ship present, Loading day;
- 0.0237, Common Events ship present, Loading night;
- 0.0653, Common Events ship present, no-loading day;
- 0.0475, Common Events ship present, no-loading night;
- 0.4169, Common Events no ship present day;
- 0.4288, Common Events no ship present night;
- 0.0297, Recirculation no ship present day;
- 0.0356, Recirculation no ship present night;
- 0.0059, Recirculation ship present day;
- 0.0653, Loading ship present day;
- 0.0475, Loading ship present night;

#### **Implication of Assumption:**

The risk level is directly influenced by the frequency of the loading operation.

#### **References:**

1. Email from Chris Hayes, January 23 2015 and January 27 2015

I.1.4 Population / Manning								
Assumption No.:	4							
Revision:	1	Prepared by:	WHON					
			2015-02-24					
Date:	24 February 2015	Verified by:	CSPI					
			2015-02-24					
<b>Relevant Analysis:</b>	QRA	Category:	Operational					

#### **Specifications:**

The presence and locations of people within the terminal (onsite) and surrounding areas (offsite) are required to evaluate the impact of a hazardous release.

Personnel counts are categorized by day, night, ship presence and whether loading activities are being conducted. Day time and night time is split equally: 12 hours for day and 12 hours for night. The manning areas within the site area have been highlighted in Figure I-2. Table I-1 presents a summary of original onsite populations data (Ref. 1) and Table I-2 to Table I-4 present the onsite populations with different shift patterns and assumed working locations. The Ship Crew is assumed to be 1/3 outdoors and 2/3 indoors. The Jetty building is assumed to be at the dock housing mooring system controls and loading arm controls / ESD's, etc.

The population areas offsite of the facility by zip code have been highlighted with different colors in Figure I-3. The population for zip code 97203 (facility) and its neighboring areas with zip codes shown in Table I-5 are considered in the QRA model. Table I-5 presents a summary of the offsite populations that live or work near the Propane Export Terminal. The "total population living in the area" (**A**) is obtained from census population data by zip code (Ref. 2). Additional census information is used to determine "total number of people who *work*" within the zip code (**B**) and "total number of *workers* who *live*" within the zip code (**C**) (Ref. 3). The day population for the area equals population **A** + **B** - **C**, and night population is population **A**. DNV GL assumes that the offsite population spends 70% of time indoors and 30% of time outdoors during the day, and 90% of time indoors and 10% of time outdoors at night.



#### **Implication of Assumption:**

Societal risks (risks to groups of people) are directly influenced by the numbers of personnel exposed to hazardous events and hence the group risk (societal risk) results are sensitive to the manning assumptions.

#### **References:**

- 1. Email from Chris Hayes, January 23 2015 and January 27 2015
- 2. Census Population Data by Zip Code http://www.census.gov/geo/www/gazetteer/files/Gaz\_zcta\_national.txt
- 3. Worker Information by Zip Code, <u>http://onthemap.ces.census.gov/</u>

### Table I-1: Onsite Population

Warker Croup	Ship L	oading	Ship H	olding	No Ship	Present
Worker Group	Day	Night	Day	Night	Day	Night
Foreman	2	-	2	-	2	-
Control Room Operator	1	1	1	1	1	1
On Site Operators	2	2	2	2	2	2
Train Unloading	4	4	4	4	4	4
Maintenance	6	-	6	-	6	-
Dock Staff	3	3	-	-	-	-
Ship Crew	25	25	25	25	-	-
Security	2	2	2	2	-	-
Manager + Admin.	3	-	3	-	3	-

## Table I-2: Onsite Population – Summary Table (No ship)

No Ship	Area	-	Indoor			0	utdoor	
Worker Group	Population	Admin. Building	Control Room and Warehouse	Carrier	Jetty	Facility Area	Railcar Unloading	Carrier
DAY								
Manager + Admin.	3	3						
Foreman	2		2					
Control Room Operator	1		1					
On Site Operators	2		1			1		
Train Unloading	4						4	
Maintenance	6		1			5		
Dock Staff	0							
Ship Crew	0							
Security	0							
Total:	18	3	5	-	-	6	4	-
NIGHT								
Manager + Admin.	0							
Foreman	0							
Control Room Operator	1		1					
On Site Operators	2		1			1		
Train Unloading	4						4	
Maintenance	0							
Dock Staff	0							
Ship Crew	0							
Security	0							
Total:	7	-	2	-	-	1	4	-

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible.

Ship Loading	Area		Indoor			Οι	ıtdoor	
Worker Group	Population	Admin. Building	Control Room and Warehouse	Carrier	Jetty	Facility Area	Railcar Unloading	Carrier
DAY								
Manager + Admin.	3	3						
Foreman	2		2					
Control Room Operator	1		1					
On Site Operators	2		1			1		
Train Unloading	4						4	
Maintenance	6		1			5		
Dock Staff	3				3			
Ship Crew	25			17				8
Security	2				2			
Total:	48	3	5	17	5	6	4	8
NIGHT								
Manager + Admin.	0							
Foreman	0							
Control Room Operator	1		1					
On Site Operators	2		1			1		
Train Unloading	4						4	
Maintenance	0							
Dock Staff	3				3			
Ship Crew	25			17				8
Security	2				2			
Total:	37	-	2	17	5	1	4	8

### Table I-3: Onsite Population – Summary Table (Ship Loading)

Ship Holding	Area		Indoor			0	utdoor	
Worker Group	Population	Admin. Building	Control Room and Warehouse	Carrier	Jetty	Facility Area	Railcar Unloading	Carrier
DAY					-			
Manager + Admin.	3	3						
Foreman	2		2					
Control Room Operator	1		1					
On Site Operators	2		1			1		
Train Unloading	4						4	
Maintenance	6		1			5		
Dock Staff	0							
Ship Crew	25			17				8
Security	2				2			
Total:	45	3	5	17	2	6	4	8
NIGHT								
Manager + Admin.	0							
Foreman	0							
Control Room Operator	1		1					
On Site Operators	2		1			1		
Train Unloading	4						4	
Maintenance	0							
Dock Staff	0							
Ship Crew	25			17				8
Security	2				2			
Total:	34	-	2	17	2	1	4	8

### Table I-4: Onsite Population – Summary Table (Ship Holding)

	Population A	Population B	Population C	Day Po	pulation	Night Po	opulation
Zip Code	total number of <i>people</i> who <i>live</i> within the zip code	total number of <i>people</i> who <i>work</i> within the zip code	total number of <i>workers</i> who <i>live</i> within the zip code	Indoor	Outdoor	Indoor	Outdoor
97203	31,042	9,280	10,978	20,541	8,803	27,938	3,104
97210	10,887	20,463	5,347	18,202	7,801	9,798	1,089
97217	31,438	22,588	14,352	27,772	11,902	28,294	3,144
97229	58,217	8,496	26,014	28,489	12,210	52,395	5,822
97231	4,280	752	1,822	2,247	963	3,852	428
98660	11,858	11,872	5,141	13,012	5,577	10,672	1,186
97227	3,847	11,764	1,899	9,598	4,114	3,462	385
97209	14,950	21,394	6,405	20,957	8,982	13,455	1,495
97205	7,688	16,654	2,969	14,961	6,412	6,919	769
97204	1,036	34,361	277	24,584	10,536	932	104
97201	15,484	22,293	5,469	22,616	9,692	13,936	1,548
97211	31,254	12,797	16,352	19,389	8,310	28,129	3,125
97212	24,126	5,839	10,669	13,507	5,789	21,713	2,413
97213	29,219	19,107	15,239	23,161	9,926	26,297	2,922
97214	23,813	19,067	11,839	21,729	9,312	21,432	2,381
97215	16,375	3,047	7,096	8,628	3,698	14,738	1,638
97218	14,561	12,503	6,344	14,504	6,216	13,105	1,456
97232	11,472	25,079	5,499	21,736	9,316	10,325	1,147
98663	14,115	3,784	5,873	8,418	3,608	12,704	1,412
98661	41,740	18,516	15,947	31,016	13,293	37,566	4,174
98665	24,057	7,536	9,732	15,303	6,558	21,651	2,406
98685	26,217	3,744	10,838	13,386	5,737	23,595	2,622
98664	21,771	6,073	8,449	13,577	5,819	19,594	2,177
98662	31,644	9,941	12,343	20,469	8,773	28,480	3,164
98686	17,385	5,092	7,605	10,410	4,462	15,647	1,739
97124	48,349	43,403	22,726	48,318	20,708	43,514	4,835

### Table I-5: Offsite Population – Summary Table







Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible. DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

16 March 2015

Page I-17

I.1.5 Wind	Rose		
Assumption No.:	5		
Revision:	1	Prepared by:	WHON
			2015-02-24
Date:	24 February 2015	Verified by:	CSPI
			2015-02-24
Relevant Analysis:	QRA, CA	Category:	Design

#### **Specifications:**

Data on the wind direction, wind speed and atmospheric stability are combined to form a set of representative weather categories. The wind speed by direction is analyzed from the raw data for Pearson Airport, Vancouver WA (Ref. 1) and generates the wind rose. Pearson Airport is the closest weather station to the proposed site. The stability data is obtained for Pearson from NCDC (National Climatic Data Center) based on a 10-year average (2000-2009 (Ref. 2). Note that all calm stability weather is excluded in our wind rose and stability data processing.

- Data on distribution of wind speed and wind direction in the surroundings of Pembina terminal are presented in Table I-6, Table I-7 and Table I-8.
- The day, night, and total wind roses based on the data are presented in Figure I-4, Figure I-5 and Figure I-6. The wind roses are plotted using a freeware program WRPLOT View (Ref. 3).
- The analyzed stability class data is presented in Table I-9.
- In combining the wind rose and stability data we assume six weather categories for Pembina terminal Project. The probability of each weather category (stability and speed) is presented in Table I-10.
- The wind data input to the risk model is presented in Table I-11.

#### Implication of Assumption:

The weather conditions have a key influence on flammable cloud dispersion and hence the consequences associated with any release. The influence of any specific weather category and direction will vary for each and every release. Minor changes in the meteorological assumptions will have a negligible influence on the risk results.

#### **References:**

- 1. NOAA Weather Station: Pearson Airport, Vancouver WA (ASOS), 01/01/2005 12/31/2014.
- 2. NCDC, Stability Array, Pearson Airport, 2000 2009
- WRPLOT View (freeware wind rose plots for meteorological data): http://www.weblakes.com/products/wrplot/

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible.

Direction (From)	0.5 - 2.1 m/s	2.1 - 3.6 m/s	3.6 - 5.7 m/s	5.7 - 8.8 m/s	8.8 - 11.1 m/s	>11.1 m/s	Total
Ν	2.54E-02	9.12E-03	4.73E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	3.50E-02
NNE	5.72E-03	1.13E-03	9.45E-05	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	6.95E-03
NE	4.63E-03	7.56E-04	0.00E+00	9.45E-05	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	5.48E-03
ENE	5.95E-03	5.67E-04	9.45E-05	2.36E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	6.85E-03
E	2.73E-02	1.61E-02	1.03E-02	7.47E-03	1.32E-03	1.89E-04	6.27E-02
ESE	6.03E-02	7.72E-02	4.54E-02	5.86E-03	3.31E-04	4.73E-05	1.89E-01
SE	5.47E-02	5.22E-02	1.69E-02	1.04E-03	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.25E-01
SSE	3.03E-02	2.31E-02	6.76E-03	4.73E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	6.07E-02
S	3.00E-02	3.97E-02	1.98E-02	4.30E-03	3.31E-04	4.73E-05	9.42E-02
SSW	1.58E-02	2.12E-02	1.16E-02	1.98E-03	2.36E-04	0.00E+00	5.09E-02
SW	1.26E-02	1.10E-02	2.41E-03	5.67E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	2.66E-02
wsw	1.29E-02	1.02E-02	2.13E-03	9.45E-05	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	2.53E-02
W	2.38E-02	1.64E-02	3.21E-03	8.51E-04	4.73E-05	0.00E+00	4.44E-02
WNW	3.13E-02	1.90E-02	4.54E-03	1.89E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	5.50E-02
NW	6.74E-02	5.09E-02	7.47E-03	2.36E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.26E-01
NNW	5.26E-02	3.10E-02	2.46E-03	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	8.61E-02
Total	4.61E-01	3.79E-01	1.34E-01	2.34E-02	2.27E-03	2.84E-04	1.00E+00

Table I-6: Wind Rose Data – Day – Site Location, Normalized Probability

### Table I-7: Wind Rose Data – Night – Site Location, Normalized Probability

Direction (From)	0.5 - 2.1 m/s	2.1 - 3.6 m/s	3.6 - 5.7 m/s	5.7 - 8.8 m/s	8.8 - 11.1 m/s	>11.1 m/s	Total
Ν	1.61E-02	1.94E-02	4.51E-03	3.29E-05	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	4.01E-02
NNE	3.26E-03	1.61E-03	3.95E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	5.27E-03
NE	2.17E-03	6.58E-04	1.32E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	2.96E-03
ENE	4.02E-03	1.91E-03	2.07E-03	1.15E-03	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	9.15E-03
E	1.26E-02	1.32E-02	1.65E-02	1.39E-02	1.51E-03	4.28E-04	5.81E-02
ESE	2.66E-02	4.79E-02	3.54E-02	7.21E-03	3.62E-04	6.58E-05	1.18E-01
SE	2.81E-02	3.81E-02	1.59E-02	7.57E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	8.28E-02
SSE	1.73E-02	2.09E-02	6.52E-03	4.28E-04	6.58E-05	0.00E+00	4.52E-02
S	1.83E-02	2.98E-02	1.69E-02	4.11E-03	3.29E-04	6.58E-05	6.95E-02
SSW	1.62E-02	2.10E-02	1.22E-02	2.17E-03	1.65E-04	0.00E+00	5.18E-02
SW	1.83E-02	1.63E-02	5.30E-03	7.57E-04	3.29E-05	0.00E+00	4.07E-02
wsw	1.73E-02	2.27E-02	6.91E-03	8.56E-04	6.58E-05	3.29E-05	4.79E-02
W	2.34E-02	3.69E-02	1.83E-02	4.11E-03	9.87E-05	0.00E+00	8.28E-02
WNW	2.15E-02	3.71E-02	2.36E-02	2.93E-03	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	8.51E-02
NW	3.46E-02	6.81E-02	4.78E-02	4.61E-03	3.29E-05	0.00E+00	1.55E-01
NNW	2.92E-02	5.29E-02	2.33E-02	5.92E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.06E-01
Total	2.89E-01	4.28E-01	2.36E-01	4.36E-02	2.67E-03	5.92E-04	1.00E+00

Direction (From)	0.5 - 2.1 m/s	2.1 - 3.6 m/s	3.6 - 5.7 m/s	5.7 - 8.8 m/s	8.8 - 11.1 m/s	>11.1 m/s	Total
N	1.99E-02	1.52E-02	2.85E-03	1.94E-05	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	3.80E-02
NNE	4.27E-03	1.42E-03	2.72E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	5.96E-03
NE	3.18E-03	6.98E-04	7.76E-05	3.88E-05	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	4.00E-03
ENE	4.81E-03	1.36E-03	1.26E-03	7.76E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	8.21E-03
E	1.86E-02	1.44E-02	1.40E-02	1.12E-02	1.44E-03	3.30E-04	6.00E-02
ESE	4.05E-02	5.99E-02	3.95E-02	6.65E-03	3.49E-04	5.82E-05	1.47E-01
SE	3.90E-02	4.38E-02	1.63E-02	8.73E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.00E-01
SSE	2.26E-02	2.18E-02	6.62E-03	4.46E-04	3.88E-05	0.00E+00	5.16E-02
S	2.31E-02	3.38E-02	1.81E-02	4.19E-03	3.30E-04	5.82E-05	7.96E-02
SSW	1.61E-02	2.11E-02	1.20E-02	2.10E-03	1.94E-04	0.00E+00	5.14E-02
SW	1.60E-02	1.41E-02	4.11E-03	6.79E-04	1.94E-05	0.00E+00	3.49E-02
WSW	1.55E-02	1.76E-02	4.95E-03	5.43E-04	3.88E-05	1.94E-05	3.86E-02
W	2.36E-02	2.85E-02	1.21E-02	2.77E-03	7.76E-05	0.00E+00	6.70E-02
WNW	2.55E-02	2.96E-02	1.58E-02	1.80E-03	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	7.27E-02
NW	4.81E-02	6.11E-02	3.12E-02	2.81E-03	1.94E-05	0.00E+00	1.43E-01
NNW	3.88E-02	4.39E-02	1.47E-02	3.49E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	9.78E-02
Total	3.60E-01	4.08E-01	1.94E-01	3.53E-02	2.50E-03	4.66E-04	1.00E+00

#### Table I-8: Wind Rose Data - Total Weather Probability



Figure I-4: Day Wind Rose, Normalized



Figure I-5: Night Wind Rose, Normalized



#### Wind **Pasquill Stability Class** Total Speed В С F Α D-Day **D-Night** Е G (knot) 0-3 6.00E-04 1.83E-02 1.02E-02 2.66E-02 4.51E-02 0.00E+00 1.58E-02 3.83E-02 0.155 4-6 4.30E-03 4.61E-02 4.78E-02 3.98E-02 9.19E-02 0.458 1.17E-01 1.11E-01 0.00E+00 7-10 0.00E+00 2.51E-02 5.77E-02 9.33E-02 9.08E-02 4.84E-02 0.00E+00 0.00E+00 0.315 11-16 0.00E+00 0.00E+00 3.80E-03 3.62E-02 2.68E-02 0.00E+00 0.00E+00 0.00E+00 0.067 0.00E+00 0.00E+00 0.00E+00 0.00E+00 17-21 3.91E-05 2.70E-03 2.10E-03 0.00E+00 0.005 21 +0.00E+00 0.00E+00 0.00E+00 3.00E-04 9.78E-05 0.00E+00 0.00E+00 0.00E+00 < 0.001 Total 0.005 0.090 0.120 0.276 0.276 0.088 0.108 0.038 1.000

 Table I-9: Stability Class Distribution, Pearson Field (Ref. 2)

Table I-10: Representative Weather Categories for Pembina

	Fre	om Analysis		To be modeled			
	Representative Stability Class	Average wind speed (m/s)	Fraction	Representative Stability Class	Average wind speed (m/s)	Fraction	
	В	1.8	0.132	В	1.8	0.132	
Day	C/D	2.2	0.355	C/D	2.2	0.355	
	D	7.2	0.013	D	7.2	0.013	
	D	2.7	0.241	D	2.9	0.317	
	D	7.2	0.023	D	2.5	0.317	
Night*	Е	3.5	0.076	D	7.2	0.023	
	F	2.2	0.104	F		0.160	
	G	1.0	0.056	r -	1.8	0.160	
	Total		1.000	Tot	al	1.000	

\* D 2.7 m/s and E 3.5 m/s weather categories are combined and represented as D stability, 2.9 m/s wind speed. F and G weather categories are combined and represented as F stability, 1.8 m/s wind speed.

					-						555						
Z		NNE	ЫR	ENE	ш	ESE	SE	SSE	S	SSW	SW	WSW	3	MNW	ŇN	NNN	
1.08	3E-02	1.08E-02 2.22E-03 1.75E-03 2.17E-03 1.45E-02 4.50E-02 3.32E-02 1.67E-02 2.23E-02 1.20E-02 7.20E-03 7.08E-03 1.24E-02 1.57E-02 3.59E-02 2.55E-02	1.75E-03	2.17E-03	1.45E-02	4.50E-02	3.32E-02	1.67E-02	2.23E-02	1.20E-02	7.20E-03	7.08E-03	1.24E-02	1.57E-02	3.59E-02	2.55E-02	
2.4	2E-02	C/D 2.42E-02 4.73E-03 3.64E-03 4.45E-03 3.92E-02 1.38E-01 9.05E-02 4.35E-02 6.72E-02 3.66E-02 1.88E-02 1.82E-02 3.11E-02 3.91E-02 8.99E-02 6.06E-02	3.64E-03	4.45E-03	3.92E-02	1.38E-01	9.05E-02	4.35E-02	6.72E-02	3.66E-02	1.88E-02	1.82E-02	3.11E-02	3.91E-02	8.99E-02	6.06E-02	
0.0	0E+00	D 0.00E+000.00E+009.45E-05 2.36E-04 8.98E-03 6.24E-03 1.04E-03 4.73E-04 4.68E-03 2.22E-03 5.67E-04 9.45E-05 8.98E-04 1.89E-04 2.36E-04 0.00E+00	9.45E-05	2.36E-04	8.98E-03	6.24E-03	1.04E-03	4.73E-04	4.68E-03	2.22E-03	5.67E-04	9.45E-05	8.98E-04	1.89E-04	2.36E-04	0.00E+00	
	z	NNE	NE	ENE	E	ESE	SE	SSE	S	MSS	MS	wsw	Μ	WNW	ΝW	NNW	
2.	39E-02	D 2.39E-02 2.88E-03 1.53E-03 5.09E-03 3.04E-02 7.73E-02 5.23E-02 2.74E-02 4.37E-02 3.26E-02 2.37E-02 2.89E-02 5.19E-02 5.64E-02 1.06E-01 6.94E-02	1.53E-03	5.09E-03	3.04E-02	7.73E-02	5.23E-02	2.74E-02	4.37E-02	3.26E-02	2.37E-02	2.89E-02	5.19E-02	5.64E-02	1.06E-01	6.94E-02	
ъ.	29E-05	3.29E-05 0.00E+00 0.00E+00 1.15E-03 1.58E-02 7.64E-03 7.57E-04 4.94E-04 4.51E-03 2.34E-03 7.90E-04 9.55E-04 4.21E-03 2.93E-03 4.64E-03 5.92E-04	0.00E+00	1.15E-03	1.58E-02	7.64E-03	7.57E-04	4.94E-04	4.51E-03	2.34E-03	7.90E-04	9.55E-04	4.21E-03	2.93E-03	4.64E-03	5.92E-04	
÷	61E-02	F 1.61E-02 2.39E-03 1.43E-03 2.91E-03 1.19E-02 3.27E-02 2.97E-02 1.73E-02 2.12E-02 1.68E-02 1.61E-02 2.67E-02 2.67E-02 2.58E-02 4.46E-02 3.60E-02	1.43E-03	2.91E-03	1.19E-02	3.27E-02	2.97E-02	1.73E-02	2.12E-02	1.68E-02	1.61E-02	1.81E-02	2.67E-02	2.58E-02	4.46E-02	3.60E-02	

Table I-11: Weather Data Input into QRA Model

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible. DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

16 March 2015

Page I-25

#### I.1.6 Meteorological Data **Assumption No.:** 6 **Revision:** 1 Prepared by: WHON 2015-02-24 24 February 2015 Verified by: CSPI Date: 2015-02-24 Relevant QRA, CA Category: Design **Analysis:**

#### **Specifications**

In addition to the weather categories, certain meteorological constants are defined as inputs to the consequence modeling. These values are summarized below and are taken from the design document (Ref. 1):

Parameter	Value	Notes and References
Atmospheric temperature	35 F (Winter) 82 F (Summer)	Based on ambient temperatures quoted in the project design data (Ref. 1). Note that this has a relatively minor influence on the dispersion characteristics (although there is some influence on the buoyancy of gas clouds).
Atmospheric pressure	1.019 bar (14.77 <b>4</b> psia)	Based on average atmospheric pressure. Negligible influence on dispersion / consequence results.
Relative humidity	69% (Winter) 40% (Summer)	The data are taken from www.weathspark.com (Ref. 1). Based on average yearly humidity. The relative humidity typically ranges from 40% (comfortable) to 95% (very humid) over the course of the year. This has a relatively minor influence on the dispersion of buoyant gases, but can significantly affect the dispersion range of vapor generated from propane spills (which are sensitive to the heat transfer from airborne moisture).
Surface temperature	35 F (Winter) 82 F (Summer)	Same as atmospheric temperature.
Surface roughness parameter	0.1	Land value (0.3) is appropriate for open flat terrain with grass and few isolated objects. Water value (0.05) is applied for coastal waters. 0.1 is used as an average.
Solar flux	Day - 266 W/m <sup>2</sup> Night - 4 W/m <sup>2</sup>	Solar radiation of 266 $W/m^2$ is applied for the day weather and 4 $W/m^2$ is applied for the night weather based on the average solar radiation for Washington State University, nine miles from Portland (Ref. 2)
Wind speed reference height	10 m	Standard for meteorological measurements.

### Implication of Assumption:

The dispersion and consequences associated with propane are relatively sensitive to assumptions affecting the heat transfer to the cloud. Hence, the above values are relatively conservative representative conditions, but will not necessarily correspond to the worst-case dispersion conditions that may occur.

# I.1.6 Meteorological Data

6

Assumption No.:

#### **References:**

- Basic Engineering Design Data (BEDD) Pembina Propane Terminal Project (14088D), Doc. No. 14088D-PR-DB-0000-001, Rev. A, date: October 20, 2014.
- 2. AgWeatherNet (http://weather.wsu.edu/awn.php) at 45.677726N, 122.651280W (WSU Vancouver RE, Vancouver, Clark County)

I.1.7 Ignition	Probability Calculati	on Method	
Assumption No.:	7		
Revision:	1	Prepared by:	WHON
			2015-02-24
Date:	24 February 2015	Verified by:	CSPI
			2015-02-24
<b>Relevant Analysis:</b>	QRA, CA	Category:	Analytical

#### **Specifications**

Information is required about the ignition sources, which are present in the area over which a flammable cloud may drift, to calculate the risk from flammable materials. For each ignition source considered, the following factors need to be specified:

#### Location

This allows the position of the source relative to the location of each release to be calculated. The results of the dispersion calculations for each flammable release are then used to determine the size and mass of the cloud when it reaches the source of ignition.

#### Presence Factor

This is the probability that an ignition source is active at a particular location.

#### • Ignition Factor

This defines the "strength" of an ignition source. It is derived from the probability that a source ignites a cloud if the cloud is present over the source for a particular length of time.

If these three factors are known for each source of ignition considered, then the probability of a flammable cloud being ignited as it moves downwind over the sources can be calculated.

### **Operation:**

The basis for determining the on-site ignition probabilities within the Pembina Propane Export Terminal is taken from the method developed by Atkins (Ref. 1). Atkins onsite ignition model is an area-based approach, which assesses the ignition probability for drifting vapor clouds over onsite areas. The model uses a grid system to address the various land use and ignition source characteristics (ignition potential, ignition source density, the frequency at which the source becomes active, and the probability of the source being active) within the path of the vapor cloud. The model determines the time the cloud takes to pass over the various ignition sources, and hence chance of ignition within the time window.

Generic estimated ignition source parameters given in the Atkins On-site Ignition Probabilities study represent those for typical industrial activities, including plants with light, medium, and heavy equipment levels, utilities areas, etc. with typical level of ignition control. The modified ignition probabilities are also proposed within the study with respect to the quality of ignition controls.

The Pembina Propane Export Terminal is assumed to be a modern, best-practice onshore facility with respect to onsite equipment, material handling as well as ignition control,. Hence the recommended ignition probabilities for this analysis fall into the "ignition source parameters with 'good' ignition controls" category proposed in Atkins ignition model (see Table I-12).

## I.1.7 Ignition Probability Calculation Method 7

**Assumption No.:** 

Table I-1	2: Atkins Area Ignition Prol	bability Data wit	th Ignition Contr	ols
Land-use Type	Ignition Source	Ignition Probability (Typical Control)	Ignition Probability (Good Control)	Ignition Probability (Poor Control)
	'Rush hour' vehicles	0.2	0.2	0.3
Parking Lot	'Other' vehicles	0.2	0.2	0.3
	Smoking	1	0	1
	'Rush hour' vehicles	0.1	0.1	0.2
Road Area	'Other' vehicles	0.1	0.1	0.2
	'Delivery' vehicles	0.1	0.1	0.2
	Traffic control	1	0	1
Controlled Roads	'Delivery' vehicles	0.2	0.2	0.2
Boiler House	Boiler	1	0.5	1
	Continuous, indoors	1	0.5	1
	Continuous, outdoors	1	0.5	1
Flames	Infrequent, indoors	1	0.5	1
	Infrequent, outdoors	1	0.5	1
	Intermittent, indoors	1	0.5	1
	Intermittent, outdoors	1	0.5	1
	'Heavy' equipment levels	0.5	0.2	1
Facility Areas	'Medium' equipment level	0.25	0.1	0.5
	`Light' equipment levels	0.1	0	0.2
Classified Areas	None	0	0	0.05
Classified Areas (External)	Material handling	0.05	0.05	0.1
Storage (External)	Material handling	0.1	0.1	0.1
Office	'Light' equipment level	0.05	0.05	0.05

#### **Implication of Assumption:**

Key influence in determining the likelihood of flash fire and explosion hazards and the extent of each (i.e. time of ignition relative to size of cloud).

#### **References:**

1. UK HSE, "Development of a method for the determination of on-site ignition probabilities", WS Atkins Consultants Ltd., Research Report 226, 2004.

I.1.8 Ignition S	Sources - People		
Assumption No.:	8		
Revision:	0	Prepared by:	WHON
			2015-02-10
Date:	February 10 2015	Verified by:	CSPI
			2015-02-10
Relevant Analysis:	QRA	Category:	Analytical

#### **Specifications:**

The presence and activities of personnel that may contribute to ignition are already accounted for within the Atkins ignition model (Ref. 1).

The default value assigned within Phast Risk for the ignition source associated with onsite people is adjusted to zero to eliminate potential double-counting of contribution of personnel towards ignition potential.

The ignition source associated with offsite population is set to 1.68E-4 per person per second of cloud exposure as suggested by Purple book (Ref. 2). This value has been derived to account for the probability of ignition associated with people in general, and includes an allowance for smoking and general human behavior associated with residential areas.

#### **Implication of Assumption:**

Key influence in determining the likelihood of flash fire and explosion hazards and the extent of each (i.e. time of ignition relative to size of cloud).

#### **References:**

- 1. UK HSE, "Development of a method for the determination of on-site ignition probabilities", WS Atkins Consultants Ltd., Research Report 226, 2004.
- 2. RIVM, Guidelines for Quantitative Risk Assessment (Purple Book) Part one: Establishments.

I.1.9 Site-Spe	cific Delayed Ignitic	n Locations	and Probabilities
Assumption No.:	9		
Revision:	2	Prepared by:	WHON
			2015-03-07
Date:	7 March 2015	Verified by:	CSPI
			2015-03-07
Relevant Analysis:	QRA	Category:	Analytical, Operational

#### **Specifications:**

The onsite ignition sources considered in this QRA study are based on available project documentation such as PFDs and Plot Plans, specifying type and location of each onsite ignition source in relation to the Atkins ignition model areas.

Figure I-7 presents the locations of the onsite specific ignition sources / areas on the Pembina terminal plot plan and Figure I-8 presents the locations of the offsite ignition sources / areas to the Pembina terminal. The ignition probabilities for each identified ignition source are determined based on the ignition probability value from the Atkins onsite ignition probability study (Ref. 1). Table I-13 defines site specific ignition sources/areas and their relevant ignition probability input adopted in Phast Risk for the Pembina Propane Export Terminal.

The ignition probability from the **propane carrier is reflected as** present or not for the different situations as relevant, such as no ship or **ship present**.

A generic ignition source is specified for the channel to represent ship traffic.

Additional offsite ignition sources have been defined for industrial areas near the terminal.

Offsite populations have ignition potential based on the population density, refer to Assumption No. 7.

### Implication of Assumption:

Key influence in determining the likelihood of flash fire and explosion hazards and the extent of each (i.e. time of ignition relative to size of cloud). The overall effect is that there are many low ignition probability sources defined, rather than combining as one overall ignition source area.

#### **References:**

- 1. UK HSE, "Development of a method for the determination of on-site ignition probabilities", WS Atkins Consultants Ltd., Research Report 226, 2004.
- 2. Pembina Propane Project Plot Plan 14088D-PI-PP-00000-001, Rev. B
| Identifie | r  | Туре               | Source                                   | Elev. |                                | p - Ignition | a -<br>Operating<br>Prob. | µ - area<br>(per<br>hectare) |
|-----------|----|--------------------|--|-------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
|           | 1  |                    | Fire Water Pumps                         | 0.3   | Facility Medium<br>equip.      | 0.1          | 1                         | 50                           |
|           | 2  | 1                  | Propane Unloading Compressor             | 0.3   | Facility Medium<br>equip.      | 0.1          | 1                         | 50                           |
|           | 3  | ]                  | Propane Feed Pumps                       | 0.3   | Facility Medium<br>equip.      | 0.1          | 1                         | 50                           |
|           | 4  | 1                  | Propane Refrigerant Compressor<br>1      | 0.3   | Facility Heavy equip.          | 0.2          | 1                         | 50                           |
|           | 5  |                    | –<br>Propane Refrigerant Compressor<br>2 | 0.3   | Facility Heavy equip.          | 0.2          | 1                         | 50                           |
|           | 6  | 1                  | –<br>Propane Rundown Pumps 1             | 0.3   | Facility Medium<br>equip.      | 0.1          | 1                         | 50                           |
| Red       | 7  | Equipment          | Propane Rundown Pumps 2                  | 0.3   | E                              | 0.1          | 1                         | 50                           |
|           | 8  | 1                  | Propane Refrigerant Air Cooler 1         | 0.3   | Facility Medium<br>equip.      | 0.1          | 1                         | 50                           |
|           | 9  |                    | Propane Refrigerant Air Cooler 2         | 0.3   | E 111 AA 11                    | 0.1          | 1                         | 50                           |
|           | 10 |                    | Boil of Gas Compressor                   | 0.3   | Facility Heavy equip.          | 0.2          | 1                         | 50                           |
|           | 11 | 1                  | Boil of Gas Air Cooler                   | 0.3   | Facility Medium<br>equip.      | 0.1          | 1                         | 50                           |
|           | 12 | 1                  | Emergency Generator Package              | 0.3   | Facility Heavy equip.          | 0.2          | 1                         | 50                           |
|           | 13 |                    | Flare                                    | 68.6  | Flame,<br>Continuous, outdoors | 0.5          | 1                         | 200                          |
|           | 14 | Buildings          | Administration Building                  | 0.3   | Office area                    | 0.05         | 1                         | 20                           |
|           | 15 |                    | мсс                                      | 0.3   | Office area                    | 0.05         | 1                         | 20                           |
| Dhua      | 16 |                    | Control Room/Warehouse                   | 0.3   | Office area                    | 0.05         | 1                         | 20                           |
| Blue      | 17 |                    | Jetty                                    | 0.3   | Office area                    | 0.05         | 1                         | 20                           |
|           | 18 |                    | Parking Lot at Control Room              | 0.3   | Car park, other<br>vehicles    | 0.2          | 0.1                       | 3                            |
|           | 19 |                    | Parking Lot at Admin. Building           | 0.3   | Car park, other<br>vehicles    | 0.2          | 0.1                       | 3                            |
|           | 20 | Traffic            | Traffic Road 1                           | 1     | Controlled roads               | 0.2          | 0.2                       | 20                           |
| Orange    | 21 | Roads              | Traffic Road 2                           | 1     | Controlled roads               | 0.2          | 0.2                       | 20                           |
|           | 22 |                    | Railcar Tracks                           | 1     | Controlled roads               | 0.2          | 0.2                       | 20                           |
|           | 23 | Power              | Power Line 1                             | 30    | Process Light equip.           | 0.04         | 1                         | 50                           |
| Green     | 24 | Lines              | Power Line 2                             | 30    | Process Light equip.           | 0.04         | 1                         | 50                           |
|           | 25 | Substation         | Substation                               | 0.3   | Process Light equip.           | 0.04         | 1                         | 50                           |
| Black     | 26 | Marine<br>Terminal | Propane Carrier*                         | 0     | Car park, other<br>vehicles    | 0.2          | 1                         | 3                            |
|           | 27 | -                  | Parking Lot, North of the Facility       | 0.3   | Car park, other<br>vehicles    | 0.2          | 0.1                       | 3                            |
| Purple    | 28 | Offsite<br>Sources | Parking Lot, South of the Facility       | 0.3   | Car park, other<br>vehicles    | 0.2          | 0.1                       | 3                            |
|           | 29 |                    | Parking Lot, East of the Facility        | 0.3   | Car park, other<br>vehicles    | 0.2          | 0.1                       | 3                            |
|           | 30 | 1                  | Water traffic                            | 0.3   | Road, other vehicles           | 0.1          | 0.1                       | 3                            |
|           |    | 1                  | •  |       | 1                              | 1            |                           | 1                            |

Note - The ignition probability from the Propane carrier is reflected as present or not for the different situations as relevant, such as no ship or ship present.



Figure I-7: Ignition Source Areas Applied to Pembina Propane Export Terminal QRA Model – part 1



Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible. DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

Figure I-8: Ignition Source Areas Applied to Pembina Propane Export Terminal QRA Model – part 2

16 March 2015





16 March 2015

# I.2 Release Scenario Definition

I.2.1 Inventor	y		
Assumption No.:	10		
Revision:	1	Prepared by:	WHON
			2015-02-24
Date:	24 February 10 2015	Verified by:	CSPI
			2015-02-24
<b>Relevant Analysis:</b>	QRA, CA	Category:	Design

#### Specifications:

The quantity of material available to be released in the event of a leak is specific to each isolatable segment. Key assumptions that apply to the analysis in general are the following:

- The static inventory associated with each isolatable segment is defined as the mass within each segment under normal operating conditions.
- Total inventory is calculated as a sum of static inventory and dynamic inventory of isolatable segments. Static inventory is based on vessel and piping dimensions. Dynamic inventory is based on normal flow rate of the representative stream for the duration till isolation.
- The vapor inventory defined for each section includes an estimate of the quantity of gas that would flash from any associated liquid inventory (based on the operating temperature).
- The normal operation fill levels from each vessel are taken from design drawings (Ref. 1).
- If normal fill levels are not available, the following assumptions on the fill fraction of each equipment are applied (Ref. 2):
  - The liquid fill fraction of horizontal vessels is generally taken as 0.5.
  - Drums and other vessels that are primarily filled with gas (e.g. compressor suction drums) or liquid (e.g. refrigerant drums) are conservatively treated as 100% gas or liquid, respectively.

Estimates of the **inventory associated** with pipework, filters and heat exchangers are included within the inventory of each section.

#### **Implication of Assumption:**

The inventory available for release is based on isolation success or failure. In the isolation success case the release duration is determined by the isolation time, the release rate, and the available static inventory to be released after isolation; in the isolation failure case the release is assumed to last at least an hour. The inventory is a key parameter with respect to the detailed modeling of each scenario. However, any specific inventory assumption will have limited influence on the overall risks given that there are many scenarios modeled and each scenario is a small contribution to the total risk result.

# I.2.1 Inventory Assumption No.: 10 References:

- 1. PFDs rev A1, provided by Pembina Propane Terminal.
- 2. DNV GL expert judgment.

I.2.2 Release Location/Height/Direction						
Assumption No.:	11					
Revision:	2	Prepared by:	WHON			
			2015-03-07			
Date:	7 March 2015	Verified by:	CSPI			
			2015-03-07			
Relevant Analysis:	QRA & CA	Category:	Design			

#### Location

A representative release location for each release scenario is derived from the plot plan of the respective area. The location is generally selected as that of the vessel containing the main inventory of the isolatable section or, where a number of vessels apply, as the center of the section.

#### Height

The representative release height from standard equipment has a default value of 1 m above the ground. It is considered that the majority of the equipment / fittings (where a higher leak frequency is anticipated) are located close to the ground level.

Since all entries to the refrigerated storage tanks are through the roof of the tank, the representative release height from the refrigerated storage tank is 40.8 m (the height of the storage tank: 134') above the ground.

All populations are assumed distributed on the ground level.

#### Direction

All releases are modeled in a horizontal orientation as a conservative estimate. Other release directions are less conservative and not modelled. Jet fires are conservatively treated as horizontal, and effectively unobstructed in all cases.

#### Implication on Assumption:

A change of release height will have impact on the consequence results. The current assumption tends to lead to slightly conservative impacts to personnel, since a proportion of the releases will, in reality, occur from elevations where the gas cloud do not have the potential to reach personnel or ignition sources at ground level in surrounding areas.

#### **References:**

1. DNV GL expert judgment

I.2.3 Release Sizes						
Assumption No.:	12					
Revision:	1	Prepared by:	WHON			
			2015-02-24			
Date:	24 February 2015	Verified by:	CSPI			
			2015-02-24			
<b>Relevant Analysis:</b>	QRA, CA	Category:	Design			

Leak data is presented in most databases as a distribution. For use in a QRA, the distribution is split into representative hole sizes and ranges. Several approaches exist for doing this with the most common being where each range is represented by the upper limit of the range; or by a representative size within the range. For this study, the average representative size of the range is applied.

To define the hazardous release events applied to each standard equipment release scenario, four hole-size distributions with representative hole sizes are modeled as listed below (Ref. 1). Note that the range of hole sizes and representative size are based on standard industry practice.

Size Category	Size (mm)	Representative Hole Size for Range (mm)
Small	3 - 25	10
Medium	25 - 75	50
Large	75 - 125	100
Rupture	125 - Line diameter	Line diameter (if applicable)

Refer to Assumptions 30 and 31 for the release sizes modeled for the propane pressure storage tanks and refrigerated storage tanks, respectively.

#### **Implication on Assumption:**

The release size selected as representative is a key factor in the release parameters and subsequent consequences for each case. However, the use of representative releases is inherent in QRA and the frequencies are assigned according to each of the defined leak size ranges. Nevertheless, the representative nature of each release size should be recognized.

#### **References:**

1. DNV GL expert judgment.

I.2.4 Detection, Isolation Philosophy (Propane Facility)						
Assumption No.:	13					
Revision:	1	Prepared by:	WHON			
			2015-02-24			
Date:	24 February 2015	Verified by:	CSPI			
			2015-02-24			
<b>Relevant Analysis:</b>	QRA	Category:	Operational, Analytical			

#### Facility ESD:

Local emergency isolation block valves are provided around each major piece of equipment such as each major compressor, around each individual pressure storage vessel (offload storage from rail cars), and each refrigerated tank (Ref. 1).

The activation of ESD is designed to be triggered **automatically** on **overpressure** set points and fire / gas detection levels, which operator will not be able to override.

#### Detection and Isolation Time:

Given that ESDs are designed mainly to be activated manually, the key factor in determining whether and when isolation occurs is the human factor aspect of the operator's response to the alarm. This can only be quantified as a representative detection and isolation time.

The times required to detect a release and then to initiate isolation and blowdown are summarized in the table below, which gives the representative times assumed for isolation events. Longer detection and isolation times are required for relatively "smaller" events assuming that "smaller" events may take time to investigate before activating isolation versus "larger" events, which would bring immediate attention and response to activate isolation. Blow down relief systems to flare is designed to drop the pressure in the equipment by half within 15 min (Ref. 1).

The following tables present the total isolation time to address events at different locations in the facility, depending on the detection level.

# I.2.4 Detection, Isolation Philosophy (Propane Facility)

Assumption No.:	13
-----------------	----

#### Representative Detection and Response Times\*(Main Facility and Jetty):

Look Cine	Response Ti	me (min)	Cumulative Time to	
Leak Size	Detection	Isolation	Isolation (min)	
Small	5	1	6	
Medium	5	1	6	
Large	2	1	3	
Rupture	1	1	2	

#### **Representative Detection and Response Times\*(Aboveground Pipe Locations):**

	Response Ti	me (min)	<b>Cumulative Time to</b>
Leak Size	Detection	Isolation	Isolation (min)
Small	15	5	20
Medium	5	5	10
Large	2	1	3
Rupture	1	1	2

#### \* Definition of Response Time Categories

A release event occurs at time = 0s.

Detection: This is the time from when the release event starts till someone (or detector) becomes aware of the release event. This may be the time for an operator in the field to detect the release or for the release cloud to trigger the gas detector alarms in the control room, further alerting the operator in the control room.

Isolation: This is the time from detection till the segment is isolated and the shutdown valves are closed. This period of time includes the time for operators to discuss the situation and decide whether to activate isolation and shutdown. This also includes the time for an operator to push the isolation / shutdown button and for the valves to close.

#### **Implication on Assumption:**

The detection and **isolation assumptions influence** the release duration. The inventory is a key parameter with respect to the detailed modeling of each scenario.

#### **References:**

1. Email from Chris Hayes. January 24 2015

# I.3 Frequency Analysis

Assumption No.:	quency – Facility Equipment 14				
Revision:	1	Prepared by:	WHON		
			2015-02-24		
Date:	24 February 2015	Verified by:	CSPI 2015-02-24		
Relevant Analysis:	QRA	Category:	Analytical		

#### **Specifications:**

#### Generic leak frequencies

The generic failure data used as the basis for the frequency analysis through the LEAK software (v3.3) is the UK HSE's Hydrocarbon Release Database, or HCRD (Ref. 1). Note that the HCRD generic data is applied to all onshore sections of the plant.

The majority of release events considered for risk analysis are meant to be released at normal operating conditions, or "full" pressure conditions. Experience within the oil and gas industry has shown that a significant proportion of incidents result in smaller releases than would be predicted using the data directly, due to incidents occurring during maintenance ("zero pressure" release) or due to the influence of local isolation prior to ESD activation ("limited" release). A Joint Industry Project (Ref. 2) provides detailed analysis of the proportion of leaks that are either "limited" or "zero pressure" releases. In the current project the "full" pressure leaks, which include both the "full" volume leak and "limited" volume leak are applied.

#### Parts-count

The frequency analysis will be conducted at a "PFD" level for the different sections identified. This entails counting only the major equipment items (from the PFDs) and the major valves, flanges and small-bore fittings. Note that since this approach is less detailed than on a "P&ID" level, a factor of 2 will be applied to the frequency result.

#### Inter-unit piping & Loading lines

Facility piping failure frequencies are applied to estimate the inter-unit piping and loading line release frequencies. It is widely accepted that the application of facility pipework failure data tends to give overly conservative values with respect to longer inter-unit pipe segments, particularly for loading lines. Based on discussions from previous QRA studies for a range of operators, and drawing from operations experience, it is considered appropriate to apply a factor of 10 reduction (multiply by 0.1) to the estimated frequency for inter-unit piping (Ref. 3).

It should also be noted that the generic frequency data is not modified to account for dropped objects. The generic data includes leaks from all causes, including dropped objects, such that additional dropped object risks should only be included where identified as a particular hazard or potential leak cause.

#### **Implication on Assumption:**

Key influence on the risks (i.e. risk is directly proportional to frequency).

# I.3.1 Leak Frequency – Facility Equipment

Assumption No.: 14

#### **References:**

- 1. HSE, 2010. Offshore Hydrocarbon Release Statistics, HSE Offshore Safety Division (OSD), March 2010.
- DNV, 2009. Offshore QRA Standardized Hydrocarbon Leak Frequencies (for Hydro ASA), DNV Report No. 2008-1768, Revision 0, January 2009.
- 3. DNV GL internal expert judgment

I.3.2 Isolation Failure							
Assumption No.:	15						
Revision:	0	Prepared by:	WHON				
			2015-02-10				
Date:	February 10 2015	Verified by:	CSPI				
			2015-02-10				
Relevant Analysis:	QRA	Category:	Analytical				

For simplification, isolation failure scenarios are not considered and modelled.

If applicable, isolation failure may be included in the sensitivity modelling.

#### **Implication on Assumption:**

The probability of isolation (and blow down) failure has a key influence on the frequency of release events that have sufficient duration to lead to escalation.

#### **References:**

1. IEC 61508-1, Functional safety of electrical/electronic/programmable electronic safety-related systems – Part 1: General requirements, Edition 2.0, 2010-04.

I.3.3 Immediate Ignition Probabilities						
Assumption No.:	16					
Revision:	1	Prepared by:	WHON			
			2015-02-24			
Date:	24 February 2015	Verified by:	CSPI			
			2015-02-24			
<b>Relevant Analysis:</b>	QRA	Category:	Analytical			

#### **Immediate Ignition Probability from Release**

Immediate ignition takes place when there is an active ignition source present at where the release happens. In this study, the immediate ignition probability is calculated from the total estimated ignition probability for propane releases (Ref. 1) from the UKOOA look-up correlations, published in the Energy Institute report.

The UKOOA look-up correlations (Ref. 2) which relate ignition probabilities in air to release rates for typical scenarios both onshore and offshore are used to estimate the total ignition probability of a propane release. The relative probabilities of ignition of 0.24, which applies for releases happening at the jetty and above ground pipes within the first second of release, and 0.22, which applies for releases happening at the facility, are applied to estimate the immediate ignition probability in this study (Ref. 1).

Therefore, the immediate ignition probability can be calculated as,

Jetty and above ground pipes:  $P_{immediate} = P_{total} \times 0.24$ ,

Facility:  $P_{immediate} = P_{total} \times 0.22$ ,

Where, *P*<sub>total</sub> is calculated from UKOOA look-up table (Ref. 3).

#### **Implication on Assumption:**

The immediate ignition probability has a direct influence on the risks associated with jet and pool fire risks to personnel (and to assets). The immediate ignition probability also directly affects the potential reduction of flammable cloud and explosion hazards.

#### **References:**

- 1. IP Research Report Ignition Probability Review, Model Development and Look-Up Correlations, January 2006, Energy Institute, London
- 2. OGP Risk Assessment Data Directory Ignition Probabilities, Report No. 434-6.1, March 2010, International Association of Oil & Gas Producers
- 3. UKOOA/HSE/EI Look-up Correlation Workbook (Version D1), ESR Technology (formerly the Engineering Safety and Risk Business of AEA Technology).

I.3.4 Isolation of Ignition Sources				
Assumption No.:	17			
Revision:	0	Prepared by:	WHON	
			2015-02-10	
Date:	February 10 2015	Verified by:	CSPI	
			2015-02-10	
<b>Relevant Analysis:</b>	QRA	Category:	Operational, Analytical	

The Atkins ignition model already takes into account ignition source **control measures**, thus no further calculations are performed to reflect the impact of the ignition isolation.

Refer to Assumption I.1.7. Pembina facility is assumed to be a modern, best-practice onshore facility, the ignition probabilities for the analysis fall into the "ignition source parameters with 'good' ignition controls" category from the Atkins ignition model.

#### **Implication on Assumption:**

Overall effect of the various ignition sources has a key influence on the risk from delayed ignition hazards.

#### **References:**

1. UK HSE, "Development of a method for the determination of on-site ignition probabilities", WS Atkins Consultants Ltd., Research Report 226, 2004.

# I.4 Consequence Modeling

Assumption No.:	18		
Revision:	0	Prepared by:	WHON
			2015-02-10
Date:	February 10 2015	Verified by:	CSPI
			2015-02-10
<b>Relevant Analysis:</b>	QRA & CA	Category:	Analytical

#### Specifications:

The representative release rate, Q (kg/s), selected in each case is generally taken as the initial maximum release rate, Qo (kg/s), which is calculated within the Phast discharge model. However, certain key scenarios are considered where the representative release rate is adjusted from the initial maximum Qo:

- If the initial maximum release rate, Qo, is very large (greater than 2 x NFR [normal flow rate]) the initial peak release rate is very short in duration and hence, the representative release rate (to be considered in Phast) is instead based on the average rate over the first minute. This typically results in Q being between 1/4 and 2/3 of Qo, where any residual release at the inflow rate (after depletion of the segment inventory, before isolation occurs) has a negligible impact in comparison to this initial release.
- For less substantial releases (i.e. Qo lower than 2 x NFR) the representative release rate is taken as the initial peak rate (i.e. Q = Qo). Where Qo is greater than the inflow rate, this assumption is conservative and compensates for the likelihood of a longer duration residual release at the NFR.
- The above considerations apply where the initial release is driven by the inventory of the segment, or by that of a specific vessel. Where releases occur downstream of a pump, expansion turbine or compressor, the release rate is typically driven by the normal flow rate of the section in forward flow. Therefore, where back-flow from the upstream inventory is not credible, the release rate (Q) is capped at a maximum of 125% of the inflow rate, i.e. Q = 1.25 x NFR.

#### **Implication of Assumption:**

The selection of a representative release rate is a key assumption in ensuring that the model is as realistic as possible in reflecting the likely consequences. The release rate directly impacts the modeled duration and released inventory.

#### **References:**

1. DNV GL expert judgment - using Phast Risk defaults and DNV GL Technical data

Assumption No.:	19		
Revision:	0	Prepared by:	WHON
			2015-02-10
Date:	February 10 2015	Verified by:	CSPI
			2015-02-10
<b>Relevant Analysis:</b>	QRA & CA	Category:	Analytical

The representative release duration applied is based on the total mass inventory (static + dynamic) of the isolatable segment and the selected release rate:

- If the segment inventory is depleted before isolation occurs, i.e. if the release rate, Qo, is significantly greater than the inflow rate to the segment (i.e. Qo > 2 x NFR) then the duration is assumed to be the time required to release the initial inventory of the segment. T = Mass / Qo.
- If the opposite applies, i.e. Qo < 2 NFR, then the release duration is based on the time assumed for isolation to occur, plus the time required to release the residual inventory of the segment after isolation. T =  $T_{isolation}$  + Mass / Qo. In this case, if isolation does not occur the duration is set to a maximum of 60 minutes.

For reference, static inventory refers to the isolated inventory defined by the volume of the isolated equipment. Dynamic inventory refers to the inventory flowing into the system until time of isolation, NFR x  $T_{isolation}$ .

#### Implication of Assumption:

The selection of representative release duration is linked to the representative release rate and inventory and hence is a key assumption in ensuring that the model is as realistic as possible in reflecting the likely consequences.

#### **References:**

1. DNV GL Expert Judgment

I.4.3 Release/Discharge Parameters: Inventory				
Assumption No.:	20			
Revision:	1	Prepared by:	WHON	
			2015-02-24	
Date:	24 February 2015	Verified by:	CSPI	
			2015-02-24	
Relevant Analysis:	QRA & CA	Category:	Analytical	

The total segment inventory is calculated simply as the total mass of **gas/liquid contained in the** section based on available facility information. The following assumptions **are made for inventory calculation**:

- Isolatable segments are defined based on the PFDs provided by the client. Isolatable segments are piping/equipment between ESDs/blocking equipment (such as compressor and pumps).
- For all the facility piping not running on the piperack, the lengths have been estimated based on the equipment/facility placement as shown in Plot Plan and equipment layouts.
- For the inter-unit piping/pipelines running on the piperack, the lengths have been estimated based on the measured lengths from the Plot plan.

It should be noted that the *inventory released* is distinct from the inventory of the isolatable segment, or the *inventory available for release*, which is a key factor in determining the release duration. The selection of the inventory or mass available for release is specific to the isolatable segment considered, where the key considerations are summarized below.

- Where the inventory of the isolatable segment is not depleted before isolation occurs, the isolatable mass of the segment is the key factor.
- For releases that are restricted by a pump, turbine or compressor, the inventory available for release is that of the isolatable segment plus any flow into the segment before isolation.

#### **Implication of Assumption**

The selection of a representative release inventory is linked to the representative rate and duration and hence is a key assumption in ensuring that the model is as realistic as possible in reflecting the likely consequences and enabling the influence of isolation on the duration and released inventory to be accounted for.

#### **References:**

1. Pembina Propane Export Terminal PFDs, Rev A1 provided by Pembina Marine Terminal Inc.

Assumption No.:	21		
Revision:	1	Prepared by:	WHON
			2015-02-24
Date:	24 February 2015	Verified by:	CSPI
			2015-02-24
Relevant Analysis:	QRA & CA	Category:	Analytical

The velocity is calculated within the Phast discharge model for each release, where the maximum limit for all gas releases is the sonic velocity. However, important corrections are applied if the velocity calculated by the Phast discharge model corresponds to the initial peak release (i.e. accompanies the maximum release rate, Qo). The velocity calculated by the Phast discharge model corresponds to the initial peak release (i.e. accompanies the maximum release rate, Qo). The velocity calculated by the Phast discharge model corresponds to the initial peak release (i.e. accompanies the maximum release rate, Qo). Where Qo is not used in the model (as described in Assumption - Release / Discharge Parameters: release rate), the velocity used is decreased by the same proportion as the release rate (i.e. a factor of Q/Qo is applied).

The discharge temperature required for input to the Phast dispersion model is the temperature of the material after expansion to atmospheric pressure and before the addition of any air for pre-dilution. This is generally calculated within the Phast discharge model, although it is noted that the approach used within Phast is theoretical and generally reduces the temperature of vapor releases to close to the boiling point. In many cases, the facility temperature is significantly above the material's boiling point and the maximum temperature drop that is considered credible, for vapor releases, is to up 40 °C below the operational temperature.

The droplet diameter and liquid fraction are also required to define liquid releases. Together with the velocity, these parameters determine how far the droplets travel in the release before raining out, or conversely whether they evaporate before rain-out occurs. These parameters are derived from the initial discharge modeling conducted within Phast.

#### Implication of Assumption

The above assumptions each have key influences on the Phast consequence modeling results.

#### **References:**

1. DNV GL expert judgment - using PhastRisk defaults and DNV GL Technical data

I.4.5 Obstructed Regions				
Assumption No.:	22			
Revision:	1	Prepared by:	WHON	
			2015-02-24	
Date:	24 February 2015	Verified by:	CSPI	
			2015-02-24	
<b>Relevant Analysis:</b>	QRA & CA	Category:	Analytical	

Within the facility areas, obstructed regions are defined as areas with the potential for confinement and congestion of a flammable cloud, which may promote explosion hazards.

The critical separation distance is a parameter that is used to determine if confined areas can essentially be considered as one area if a flammable plume were to occupy both areas. A 9.1 m (30 ft) separation distance between adjacent congested volumes is suggested for the volumes to be treated as separate explosion sources (separate potential explosion sites, separate PESs). The 9.1 m (30 ft) separation distance is intended to be conservative (Ref. 1).

The height of a congested region is taken to be the lesser of the actual height of a congested region and 7.6 m (25 ft). That is, 7.6 m (25 ft) is to be taken as the maximum congested volume height, with any portion of the volume above 7.6 m (25 ft) neglected. A maximum height is selected since a unit fill approach is adopted. It is judged unlikely that a flammable cloud filling the entire congested volume footprint would extend from ground level past 7.6 m (25 ft). The 7.6 m (25 ft) maximum height restriction also applies to fin-fan coolers. While it is recognized that such coolers draw air upwards and hence could pull a cloud into them, it is judged that the use of a 7.6 m (25 ft) height across the footprint of the congested area is sufficiently conservative (Ref. 1).

Table I-14 presents a list of the congested regions and their defining properties related to the explosion calculation. Figure I-10 presents the location/area of the congested regions defined on the layout.

The Multi-Energy (ME) model predicts explosion effects in terms of peak overpressure in the vicinity around an explosion, for an explosion occurring at the stoichiometric concentration within a congested region. The congested regions are defined in terms of location, geometry, and the degree of congestion/confinement. The amount of obstructions within each volume is further defined by use of the volume blockage ratio, i.e., the amount of the volume occupied by piping/equipment. Each congested region is given a corresponding ME curve number (Ref. 2).

The correlation of the TNO's ME curve number to peak side-on-overpressure is displayed as curves in Figure I-9.



Figure I-10: TNO Multi-Energy Curves (Ref.3)

The following strength levels (Multi-Energy curve numbers) are used as guidance in determining the strength of the congestion level:

- Curve 4 for ponds in Tank farm, for any unconfined area such as a pipeline corridor, street, etc.
- Curve 5 for low congested units; typically a unit where most of the equipment is on the ground and there is no upper level
- Curve 5.5 typical for a unit designed with standard distances between equipment items
- Curve 6 typical for a unit with several open (no concrete) floors but without excessive confinement, for example, the internal volume of a congested pipe-rack
- Curve 7 typical for very congested units

### I.4.5 Obstructed Regions

22

Assumption No.:

The volume blockage ratio (VBR) is defined as the "volume of obstacles divided by the total volume of the obstructed region." A VBR of 0.2 is typically used for high congestion, 0.15 is used for medium, and 0.1 for low congestion.

#### Implication of Assumption

The above assumptions each have key influences on the consequence results predicted in Phast

#### **References:**

- Pitblado, et al., "Facility Siting Rule Set for the TNO Multi-Energy Model for Congested Volumes (PES) and Severity Levels", 10<sup>th</sup> Global Congress on Process Safety, 2014Obstructed region explosion model (OREM) theory, DNV Software, March 2010.
- 2. TNO GAMES Report, 1998. Application of correlations to quantify the source strength of vapour cloud explosions in realistic situations.

	I abic I III. congested hegions	כווטוקטוו אסונט		
Region "	Description	Curve Number	Volume Blockage	Upper Elevation
<b>‡</b>			Ratio	(m)
1	Emergency Generator Package	5	0.1	7.6
2	IA / PA Package	5	0.1	7.6
3	Propane Unloading Compressor	5.5	0.1	7.6
4	Nitrogen Package	5	0.1	7.6
5	Propane Pressure Storage Vessels	5.5	0.1	7.6
9	Propane Refrigeration	5.5	0.1	7.6
7	Propane Refrigerant Compressor	5.5	0.1	7.6
8	Propane Custody Transfer Meter Station Package	5	0.1	7.6
6	Boil of Gas Compressor	5.5	0.1	7.6
10	Propane Storage	5.5	0.1	7.6







Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible. DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

16 March 2015

I.4.6 Consequence Modeling Parameters				
Assumption No.:	23			
Revision:	0	Prepared by:	WHON	
			2015-02-10	
Date:	February 10 2015	Verified by:	CSPI	
			2015-02-10	
Relevant Analysis:	QRA & CA	Category:	Analytical	

The key inputs to the consequence modeling are taken directly from the discharge and dispersion modeling inputs and results. A wide range of additional parameters are applied within the models, where in general the widely accepted Phast Risk default values are applied. The key parameters that are specific to the consequence models for this study are summarized below.

- Jet fire maximum surface emissive power (SEP): 250 kW/m<sup>2</sup>
- Jet fire release rate modification factor (determines the proportion of the liquid fraction that contributes to the jet fire for 2-phase jets): 3
- Pool fire minimum duration 10 seconds
- Pool fire maximum surface emissive power (SEP): 150 kW/m<sup>2</sup>
- Fireball / BLEVE maximum SEP: 400 kW/m<sup>2</sup>
- Fireball / BLEVE mass modification factor: 3
- Flammable mass for explosion calculation based on mass between LFL and UFL

End-point criteria for reporting consequence results can be found in Assumption I.4.7.

#### Explanation of Jet fire, rate modification factor:

The default value for the parameter ( $f_{correction}$ ) is 3. This is used in calculating  $M_{flammable}$ , the flammable release rate involved in a jet fire:

$$M_{\text{flammable}} = \begin{cases} M_{\text{input}} & f_{\text{vapor}} \ge \frac{1}{f_{\text{correction}}} \\ f_{\text{correction}} f_{\text{vapor}} M_{\text{input}} & f_{\text{vapor}} < \frac{1}{f_{\text{correction}}} \end{cases}$$

where  $M_{Input}$  is the mass release rate,  $f_{correction}$  is the Rate Modification Factor, and  $f_{vapor}$  is the mass fraction of vapor calculated in the discharge calculations.

#### Explanation of Fireball/BLEVE, mass modification factor

The default value for the parameter ( $f_{correction}$ ) is 3. This is used in calculating the mass of material,  $M_{fiammable}$ , involved in the fireball:

$$M_{flammable} = \begin{cases} M_{input} & f_{vapor} \ge \frac{1}{f_{correction}} \\ f_{correction} f_{vapor} M_{input} & f_{vapor} < \frac{1}{f_{correction}} \end{cases}$$

# I.4.6 Consequence Modeling Parameters

Assumption No.: 23

where  $M_{Input}$  is the mass release rate,  $f_{correction}$  is the Mass Modification Factor, and  $f_{vapor}$  is the mass fraction of vapor released following the rupture of the vessel.

#### Explanation of Flash fire mass calculation

The flammable masses used in explosion calculations are calculated by numerical integration of the concentration profile of the plume or cloud. This parameter sets the choice for the upper and lower limits of the integration. One option is "Mass above LFL" which produces a larger flammable mass and therefore more conservative result; whilst the "Mass between LFL and UFL" option is more correct theoretically.

The flash fire hazard zone will be determined based on the shape of the cloud and its footprint extending to the criteria endpoint, either LFL or 1/2 LFL.

#### **Implication of Assumption**

The above assumptions each have key influences on the consequence results.

#### **References:**

1. DNV GL Expert Judgment- using PhastRisk defaults and DNV GL Technical data

# I.4.7 Consequence Model Outputs

Assumption No.:	24		
Revision:	1	Prepared by:	WHON
			2015-02-24
Date:	24 February 2015	Verified by:	CSPI
			2015-02-24
<b>Relevant Analysis:</b>	QRA & CA	Category:	Analytical

#### **Specifications:**

The following consequence results are reported for this study:

#### **Consequence results:**

- Thermal radiation heat flux
  - Hazard zone distances to the thermal radiation levels 35, 12.5, and 5 kW/m<sup>2</sup> (Ref.1)

Thermal Radiation	Effect
5 kW/m <sup>2</sup>	Will cause pain in 15-20 seconds and injury after 30 seconds exposure
12.5 kW/m <sup>2</sup>	Significant chance of fatality for medium duration exposure. Thin steel with insulation on the side away from the fire may reach thermal stress level high enough to cause structural failure.
35 kW/m <sup>2</sup>	Cellulosic material will pilot ignite within one minute's exposure. Significant chance of fatality for people exposed instantaneously.

- Flammable vapor dispersion
  - Hazard zone distances LFL (2% propane concentration) and ½ LFL (1% propane concentration)

#### • Explosion overpressure

Explosion hazard frequency contours for 1 psi (0.07 bar), 3 psi (0.2 bar) and 5 psi (0.3 bar) (Ref. 2)

Overpressure	Effect
1 psi (0.07 bar)	Partial damage of houses
3 psi (0.2 bar)	Steel frame building distort and pulled away from foundations
5 psi (0.3 bar)	Wooden utility poles snap; nearly complete destruction of houses

#### Implication of Assumption

The above assumptions influence the presentation of the consequence results that are reported.

## I.4.7 Consequence Model Outputs 24

**Assumption No.:** 

#### **References:**

- 1. UK HSE, Indicative human vulnerability to the hazardous agents present offshore fore application in risk assessment of major accidents, Supporting Document: "Methods of approximation and determination of human vulnerability for offshore major accident hazard assessment", SPC/Tech/OSD/30, Version 3, 2013.
- 2. Daniel A Crowl and Joseph F. Louvar, Chemical Process Safety : Fundamentals with Applications 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition 2001

I.4.8 Drainage	I.4.8 Drainage				
Assumption No.:	25				
Revision:	0	Prepared by:	WHON		
			2015-02-10		
Date:	February 10 2015	Verified by:	CSPI		
			2015-02-10		
Relevant Analysis:	QRA	Category:	Design, Analytical		

There are no dikes or walls around the refrigerated storage tanks. The tanks are bounded by rail line embankments to the NE and SW. The rail lines converge to the SE. The area to the NW past the flare area is open parking lot for autos offloaded from ship by Honda. This paved area to the NW is relatively flat but with a mild grade such that all water and liquid drains toward a storm water drain system located along the NE boundary of the parking lot. The drain system parallels the road and rail tracks that themselves generally parallel the river to the NE of the parking lot. There is a ditch planned between storage tanks and the road to the SW. There is also a ditch between the SW road and existing SW rail lines as shown in the picture below Figure I-11. The new rail to the NE will have a ditch between it and the storage tanks. The rail bed itself is 1 - 2' above the site elevation.



#### Figure I-12: Ditch location

The QRA study conservatively assumes that pools form around the release location. No bund is

# I.4.8 Drainage

**Assumption No.:** 

considered in the model.

#### **Implication of Assumption**

The above assumptions have key influences on the pool fire consequence modeling.

#### **References:**

1. Email from Chris Hayes, January 24 1015.

25

# I.5 Impact and Risk Analysis

o People		
26		
2	Prepared by:	WHON
		2015-03-07
7 March 2015	Verified by:	CSPI
		2015-03-07
QRA	Category:	Analytical
	26 2 7 March 2015	26     2     7 March 2015   Verified by:

#### **Specifications:**

The consequence assessments conducted within the risk analysis can be used to predict the distance to (or strictly, the area covered by) any desired hazard level, such as a specific radiation level or overpressure. However, for risk calculations, it is necessary to associate hazard levels with their effect, or impact, on personnel.

This is done by setting the modeling end point (i.e. impact) criteria for the various consequences to correspond to levels at which the likelihood of fatality is estimated (for example, based on established best-practice). With a simple cut-off model, as possible in Phast Risk, the assumption is that if the hazard exceeds the specified level (the "end-point criterion") at that location, any exposed people suffer fatality with the defined probability (the "vulnerability criterion").

The end-point criteria, used to determine the impacts at a given location, and the corresponding vulnerability parameters, defining the probability of fatality of any exposed people, are summarized in the tables below.

Fire, Flash Fire and Fireball) (Ker. 1)				
Area	Individual Risk	Societal Risk Indoors	Societal Risk Outdoors	
Inside flame area (LFL)	1	0	1	
Radiation above 35 kW/m <sup>2</sup>	1	0.5	1	
Radiation below 35 kW/m <sup>2</sup>	$\begin{array}{l} P_{lethal} \\ (P_{lethal} = -36.38 + 2.56 \times \\ In[(W/m^{-2})^{4/3} \times T] \\ \text{where exposure time T is in seconds and maximum exposure time is 20 \\ seconds) \end{array}$	0	0.14*P <sub>lethal</sub> (it is assumed that people outdoors are protected from heat radiation by clothing until it catches fire. The protection of clothing reduces the number of people dying by a factor of 0.14 compared to no protection of clothing)	

#### End Point (Impact) and Vulnerability (Fatality) Criteria for Thermal Radiation (Jet Fire, Pool Fire, Flash Fire and Fireball) (Ref. 1)

Based on the above table, the LFL is used as the flash fire end point for estimating fatality risk. A thermal radiation probit is used to estimate the risk from jet and pool fires. People located indoors are assumed to be protected from flash fire and thermal radiation hazards.

# I.5.1 Impact to People

**Assumption No.** 

26

#### Explosion Criteria (Ref. 2&3)

Population / Building Type	0.1 bar	>0.35 bar	>0.5 bar
Brick building, indoor population	0.15	0.7	1
Outdoor population	0.01	0.3	0.5

Explosion loads to buildings may cause collapse of the building and result in injury or fatality to personnel indoors. Outdoor people may receive a higher explosion load without injury.

For the control room, DNV GL assumes that the overpressure design is in accordance with CIA1 category – hardened structure building (Ref. 3).

<b>Control Room Overpressure</b>	Design	(Ref. 3)
----------------------------------	--------	----------

Building	0.45 bar	0.6 bar	1 bar
Control room	0.01	0.55	1

#### **Implication of Assumption:**

The risks are directly influenced by the impact and fatality assumptions, which quantify the severity of the consequences. The above assumptions include some allowance for different escape characteristics in different areas of the facility, but remain consistent with established, conservative best-practice.

#### **References:**

- 1. VROM, Guidelines for Quantitative Risk Assessment (Purple Book), PGS 3, Ministerie van Verkeer en Waterstaat, December 2005.
- 2. International Association of Oil and Gas Producers, OGP, Risk Assessment Data Directory, "Vulnerability of Humans", Report No. 434-41.1, March 2010.
- 3. CIA Chemical Industries Association (CIA), 2003. *Guidance for the location and design of occupied buildings on chemical manufacturing sites*, 2nd. ed., London: Chemical Industires Association, ISBN 1 85897 114 4.

I.5.2 Receptor Identification			
Assumption No. :	27		
Revision:	2	Prepared by:	WHON
			2015-03-07
Date:	7 March 2015	Verified by:	CSPI
			2015-03-07
Relevant Analysis:	QRA, CA	Category:	Analytical

The following key locations are evaluated as receptors for the various hazard impacts. Detailed location of the receptors can be found in Figure I-12 - Figure I-15.

Receptor No.	Receptor Description	
Onsite Locations		
1	Admin. Building	
2	Substation	
3	MCC	
4	Control Room / Warehouse	
5	Propane Pressure Storage Tank Group 1	
6	Propane Pressure Storage Tank Group 2	
7	Propane Pressure Storage Tank Group 3	
8	Railcar Unloading	
9	Refrigerated Propane Storage Tank 1	
10	Refrigerated Propane Storage Tank 2	
11	Jetty	
Offsite Locations	5	
12	Neighboring Point1 (NP1)	
13	Neighboring Point2 (NP2)	
14	Neighboring Point3 (NP3)	
15	Neighboring Point4 (NP4)	
16	Hayden Island West Point (HIWP)	
17	Hayden Island North East Point (HINEP)	
18	Hayden Island East Point (HIEP)	
19	Kelley Point Park (KPP)	
20	Oregon West Point (WR)	
21	Smith Natural Area (SNA)	
22	Residential Area (RA)	
23	Floating Home Community (FH)	

#### **Implication of Assumption:**

LSIR results are reported on those receptor locations, which are used to assess the individual risk to key locations of interest, such as the onsite buildings, fence lines, and storage area.

I.5.2 Receptor	Identification		
Assumption No. :	27		
References:			
Comments:			



16 March 2015







16 March 2015

Page I-66



# Figure I-16: Offsite Receptor Locations

16 March 2015

Page I-67

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible. DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1
I.5.3 Risk Res	ults		
Assumption No.:	28		
Revision:	1	Prepared by:	WHON
			2015-02-24
Date:	24 February 2015	Verified by:	CSPI
			2015-02-24
<b>Relevant Analysis:</b>	QRA	Category:	Analytical

The following risk results are reported in the QRA:

- Location Specific Individual Risk (LSIR) contours, indicating potential onsite and offsite exposure
- LSIR at point locations
- FN (cumulative frequency vs. number of fatalities) curve for both onsite and offsite populations

Refer to Section 1.5.4 for further discussion.

**Implication of Assumption:** 

**References:** 

I.5.4 IR Criteria and SR Criteria				
Assumption No.:	29			
Revision:	1	Prepared by:	WHON	
			2015-02-24	
Date:	24 February 2015	Verified by:	CSPI	
			2015-02-24	
Relevant Analysis:	QRA	Category:	Operational, Analytical	

No risk criteria have been identified related to Federal, State, or Portland regulations or Pembina, based on DNV GL's regulatory review. Therefore the following risk criteria are proposed for the evaluation of the site:

#### Individual Risk

A determination of individual risks to the public, and to employees, forms the basis for risk-decision making. It provides an overall assessment of the level of risk to the exposed population and highlights the major contributors to the risk. Individual risk assessment combines the results of the consequence modeling, with a detailed assessment of frequencies, utilizing event tree analysis, fault tree analysis, and failure frequency data bases.

The following risk criteria are used by the UK Health & Safety Executive (HSE) to assess the individual risk exposed to employees, contractors as well as public people (Ref. 1):

- Maximum tolerable risk for workers 1E-03 per year
- Maximum tolerable risk for the public 1E-04 per year
- Broadly acceptable risk 1E-06 per year

In between the maximum tolerable and broadly acceptable levels, the UK HSE requires that risk be reduced to a level which is as low as reasonably practicable (ALARP), taking account of the costs and benefits of any further risk reduction. Near to the broadly acceptable criterion, the risks are considered tolerable if the cost of risk reduction exceeds the improvement gained. Near to the maximum tolerable criterion, the risks are only considered tolerable if risk reduction is impracticable or if its cost is grossly disproportionate to the improvement gained.

# I.5.4 IR Criteria and SR Criteria Assumption No.: 29 Image: State of the system of t

Figure I-17: HSE Framework for the tolerability of risk (Ref. 1)

#### Societal Risk

A determination of societal risks to the public and to employees provides important input to riskdecision making. It provides an assessment of the magnitude of risk associated with major events, in terms of impact to large numbers of people. Major contributors to the societal risks are also identified.

Societal risk can be represented

- graphically, in the form of FN curves
- numerically, in the form of a risk integral

#### FN Curves

Societal risk can be represented by FN curves, which are plots of the cumulative frequency (F) of various accident scenarios against the number (N) of casualties associated with the modelled incidents. The plot is cumulative in the sense that, for each frequency, N is the number of casualties that could be equalled or exceeded. Often 'casualties' are defined in a risk assessment as fatal injuries, in which case N is the number of people that could be killed by the incidents. 'Criterion lines' on FN plots have been suggested as a means to define risk zones/ categories.

In 2001, HSE published "Reducing Risks, Protecting People" (known as "R2P2"), with the purpose of informing external stakeholders about HSE's approach to regulatory decision-making (Ref .2). R2P2 gives limited guidance on criterion values for societal risks. R2P2 defines one point, (N=50,

### I.5.4 IR Criteria and SR Criteria Assumption No.: 29

F(N)=1/5000 per year), and if this point is placed on an FN curve, and a line drawn through it, with a slope of -1, it can provide a criteria comparison line. To use this, a calculated curve for a site can be superimposed, and if any point of this curve lies above the criterion line at any point, then this could indicate unacceptability. This begs the question whether the actual curve must be below the criterion line at all points, or can some excursions above the line be allowed, if these are balanced by points where the curve is below the criterion line. There is no universal agreement on this (Figure I-17).



Figure I-18: Example of an FN curve and the R2P2 criterion point (Ref. 2)

#### <u>Risk Integrals</u>

The potential loss of life (PLL) is the average number of fatalities per year. HSE does not have the criteria for PLL of onsite population. PLL will only be presented to discuss the relative ranking of hazards and the key risk contributors.

## I.5.4 IR Criteria and SR Criteria Assumption No.: 29 Implication of Assumption: Risk acceptance criteria are used to evaluate whether the risk to people is unacceptable or within tolerable limits. References:

- 1. HSE (1989a) : "Quantified Risk Assessment : Its Input to Decision-Making", Health & Safety Executive, HMSO
- 2. HSE, 2001. *Reducing Risks, Protecting People: HSE's decision-making process, (R2P2),* HSE Books. London: HSE. [Online] Available at: http://www.hse.gov.uk/risk/theory/r2p2.pdf
- 3. Societal Risk; Initial Briefing to Societal Risk Technical Advisory Group, HSE 2009

#### I.6 Facility & Other Specific

	Pressure Storage	Idliks	
Assumption No.:	30		
Revision:	0	Prepared by:	WHON
			2015-02-24
Date:	24 February 2015	Verified by:	CSPI
			2015-02-24
<b>Relevant Analysis:</b>	QRA	Category:	Design, Analytical

#### **Specifications:**

There are twelve propane pressure storage tanks at the railcar unloading area. Each tank (16' dia x 90' high) is assumed to have 461 m<sup>3</sup> working volume (assumed to be 90% full) (Ref. 1).

The table below presents the failure rate for use within the risk assessment for the propane pressure vessels (Ref. 2). The below frequencies are based on propane vessel failures in the UK.

Size Category	Size (mm)	Failure Rate (per vessel)
Small	13	1E-05
Medium	25	5E-06
Large	50	5E-06
Catastrophic Rupture	-	2E-06
BLEVE*	-	1E-05

Note: For BLEVE event, DNV GL will assess the frequency of thermal loads to the pressure storage tank area (in order for BLEVE to occur, external fire must be present at the tank location).

#### **Implication of Assumption:**

The above assumptions influence the selection of release scenarios for the consequence and risk modeling.

#### **References:**

- 1. Email from Chris Hayes, February 03 2015.
- 2. Failure Rate and Event Data for Use within Risk Assessment, UK HSE, June 28 2012.

I.6.2 Propane	Refrigerated Stora	ige Tanks	
Assumption No.:	31	-	
Revision:	0	Prepared by:	WHON
			2015-02-24
Date:	24 February 2015	Verified by:	CSPI
			2015-02-24
Relevant Analysis:	QRA	Category:	Design, Analytical

There are two propane storage tanks at the storage area. The larger refrigerated propane storage tank (176' dia x 134' high) is assumed to have 550,000 bbl (87,443 m<sup>3</sup>) working volume (assumed to be full) (Ref. 1) and the smaller tank (140' dia x 100' high) is assumed to have 250,000 bbl (39,747 m<sup>3</sup>) working volume (Ref.2).

The tanks are double walled steel tank within a tank. They are single primary containers with an outer shell designed and constructed so that the primary container is required to meet the low temperature ductility requirements for storage of the product.

A leak or rupture of the tank, releasing some or all of its contents, can be caused by brittle failure of tank walls, welds or connected pipework due to use of inadequate materials, combined with loading such as wind, earthquake or impact. DNV GL considers a catastrophic rupture of a double-walled tank credible and hence this is considered and modeled in the QRA.

The table below shows the failure rates and release sizes used in the risk model for double-walled refrigerated storage tanks that are larger than 12,000m<sup>3</sup> (Ref.3). The below frequencies are based on refrigerated storage tank failures in the UK

Size Category	Size (mm)	Failure Rate (per vessel)
Minor Release	300	3E-05
Major Release	1000	1E-05
Catastrophic Rupture	-	5E-07

#### Implication of Assumption:

The above assumptions influence the selection of release scenarios for the consequence and risk modeling.

#### **References:**

- 1. Propane Storage Tanks TK-02A Equipment Datasheet, Doc. Number: 14088D-ME-DS-1002-001, rev.1, Oct 01 2014 and Email from Chris Hayes "Facility QRA Model Run", January 16, 2015.
- 2. Propane Storage Tanks TK-02B Equipment Datasheet, Doc. Number: 14088D-ME-DS-1002-002, rev.0, Oct 01 2014.
- 3. Failure Rate and Event Data for Use within Risk Assessment, June 28 2012, UK HSE.

I.6.3 Earthquake Hazard				
Assumption No.:	32			
Revision:	3	Prepared by:	WHON	
			2015-03-16	
Date:	16 March 2015	Verified by:	CSPI	
			2015-03-16	
Relevant Analysis:	QRA, CA	Category:	Design, Analytical	

According to 2014 Oregon structural code, every structure, and portion thereof, including nonstructural components that are permanently attached to structures and their supports and attachments, shall be designed and constructed to resist the effects of earthquake motions in accordance with ASCE 7 (Ref 1).

Two levels of seismic performance will be adopted for the wharf structures:

Operating Level Earthquakes (OLE)

- Minor or no structural damage
- Temporary or no shutdown in operations

Contingency Level Earthquake (CLE)

- Controlled inelastic structural behaviour with repairable damage
- Life safety must be maintained
- Prevention of structural collapse
- Temporary loss of operations, restorable within months

1 in 72-year event and 1 in 475-year\* event are reported for OLE and CLE, respectively (Ref.2).

\*Note that the tank is to be **designed to a 1** in 2475-year event. This information was not updated before the current **analysis** was **performed**. The QRA model will be updated later to reflect this.

A large release event (300mm hole) from the propane storage tank is selected to represent a potential release from a CLE event. It is modelled with the CLE frequency.

Implication of Assumption:

#### **References:**

- 1. 2014 Oregon Structural Specialty Code. Chapter 16 Section 1613: Structural Design Earthquake Loads
- 2. Basic Engineering Design Data (BEDD) Pembina Propane Terminal Project (14088D), Doc. No. 14088D-PR-DB-0000-001, Rev. A, date: October 20, 2014

#### I.7 Rail Car Unloading

Assumption No.:	33		
Revision:	0	Prepared by:	MINMIN
			2015-02-24
Date:	24 February 2015	Verified by:	LDEAL
			2015-02-24
Relevant Analysis:	Rail Car Consequence Study	Category:	Design

#### Specifications:

Feedstock for the Pembina Portland Propane facility, pressurized propane at ambient temperature, is planned to be shipped along two rail lines in dedicated rail cars and offloaded at the facility using articulated loading arms. The facility is expected to receive approximately 3.2 million gallons of liquid propane from rail tracks every two day via one train equipped with 100 rail cars (tankers) (Ref. 1, 2).

Based on the tentative facility layout of the Pembina Portland propane terminal, there are two rail tracks each capable of accommodating one 7,000 ft unit train (one track to receive a loaded train and one track to contain an empty train for departure). A third track is anticipated to move the locomotives from one end of the train to the other. The facility rail offloading racks have 13 double-side racks planned, for a total of 26 unloading stations (Ref. 3).

There will be two liquid arms (2 inch) and one vapor arm (2 inch) attached to each propane tanker during propane unloading along the double-side rail racks (see Figure I-20). The peak unloading rate is approximately 1,700,000 pounds per hour when 26 rail cars are all hooked up for unloading (around 66,000 lbs/hr for each propane tanker).

#### Implication of Assumption:

Defines boundaries and scope of the analysis.

#### **References:**

- 1. DNV GL Report PP118986 Rev. 2, Preliminary WSA for Pembina Columbia River Preliminary Waterway Suitability Assessment, 01/27/2015.
- 2. Chris Hayes, RE: Pembina facility QRA data request, Attachment: Copy of Stream Data for Unloading Compressor and Rundown Pumps (2), pdf. [email] Pembina, dated 2/19/2015.
- 3. LPG Export Terminal Design Summary USCG 2014 11 06.pdf



Figure I-19: Rail Car Offloading Arrangement (Ref. 3)

I.7.2 Rail Car Specification				
Assumption No.:	34			
Revision:	0	Prepared by:	MINMIN	
			2015-02-24	
Date:	24 February 2015	Verified by:	LDEAL	
			2015-02-24	
Relevant Analysis:	Rail car consequence	Category:	Design	

Figure I-19 provides a schematic view of the rail car configuration (Ref. 1). There are no bottom outlets on the propane rail car tank and the top fittings are listed as below:

- Manway Diameter: 20 inch
- Siphon Pipes (2) Sch 40: 3 inch
- Liquid Angle Valves, (2) with check valves: 2 inch
- Vapor Angle Valves, with check valve: 2 inch
- Sample Line, Sch 80: <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> inch
- Thermowell, Sch 80: <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> inch
- Safety Valve: 280.5 psi
- Gauging Device: magnetic

One rail car has a capacity of 33,800 gallons with the shipping capacity at 5% outage of 32,000 gallons. The load limit is 162,800 pounds and lightweight limit is 100,200 pounds. The tank test pressure is 340 psi and the safety valve set pressure is 280.5 psi.

Assuming propane will reach the maximum ambient temperature of 85 °F during transit in summer time, this leads to a storage pressure of 150 psia (Ref. 2). During winter time, DNV GL assumes the propane will reach the ambient temperature of 35 °F with a storage pressure of 75 psia.

The Pembina Facility QRA is scoped to assess the risk from and including the propane unloading arms up to the marine loading arms. However, any other potential rail tanker releases due to collision, derailment or equipment failures are not within the scope of the facility study.

#### **Implication of Assumption:**

The rail car configuration and its top fittings/bottom outlet will aid in identifying the potential unloading release locations.

**References:** 

- 1. Anhydrous Ammonia & Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) Car Non-Insulated, Thermally Protected Rail Car Configuration, Received from Chris Hayes Dated January 14, 2015.
- 2. Email from Chris Hayes, Subject: Input for Worst-Case Rail Car, Dated January 29 2015.



Figure I-20 Rail Car Configuration (Ref. 1)

I.7.3 Rail Car Unload Arm Scenarios & Leak Frequency					
Assumption No.:	35				
Revision:	0 Prepared by: MINMIN				
	2015-02-24				
Date:	24 February 2015	Verified by:	LDEAL		
			2015-02-24		
Relevant Analysis:	Rail Car Consequence	Category:	Methodology		

The best available source of leak frequencies from transfer equipment for rail is provided by ACDS (Ref. 1), based on LPG road tanker data. This is expressed in the DNV standard hole sizes in the table below.

Range	Nominal	Frequency (per transfer)		
3-10 mm	5 mm	9.0E-07		
10-50 mm	25 mm	9.0E-07		
Full bore	50 mm	1.8E-07		
Tot	tal 2.0E-06			

#### Table I-15: Summary of Onshore Transfer Leak Frequencies for Liquefied Gas

In the current study, the "per transfer" based frequency is used to estimate the propane unload leak rate accounting for 2 liquid arms. Three hole size categories are defined to cover the possible release ranges (from a 3 mm hole to the full bore rupture of 2 inch arm). Each category is represented by an nominal hole size (representative hole size) assigned with a generic leak frequency on a per transfer base.

In order to unload 100 rail cars per every two days, each unload station along the 13 double-side racks needs to offload averagely 3.8 times every other day, which is about 702 times per station per year. Table **I-16** summarizes the calculated propane unload scenarios and leak frequencies to be analyzed in the Pembina facility QRA.

Since it takes time to hook up all 26 stations to reach the peak unloading rate of 1,700,000 pounds per hour, it is assumed that unloading of the 100 rail cars will take around 12 hours.

	Table 1-16: Sun	imary of Pro	pane Unioad	Leaк +req	uencies
Hole Diameter		Frequency (per unload station)			Frequency Total (26 stations)
Size (mm)	Range	per transfer	<pre># of transfer     per year</pre>	Frequency per year	Double-side racks per year
5	3 - 10 mm	9.0E-07	702	6.32E-04	1.64E-02
25	10 - 50 mm	9.0E-07	702	6.32E-04	1.64E-02
50	Full Bore (2 inch)	1.8E-07	702	1.26E-04	3.29E-03
				1.39E-03	3.61E-02

Table I-16: Summary of Propane Unload Leak Frequencies

#### **Implication on Assumption:**

#### I.7.3 Rail Car Unload Arm Scenarios & Leak Frequency

Assumption No.:

Key influence on the risks (i.e. risk is directly proportional to frequency).

35

#### **References:**

1. ACDS (1991), "Major Hazard Aspects of the Transport of Dangerous Substances", Advisory Committee on Dangerous Substances, Health & Safety Commission, HMSO.

#### I.8 Marine Loading

Assumption No.:	36		
Revision:	0	Prepared by:	MINMIN
			2015-02-24
Date:	24 February 2015	Verified by:	LDEAL
			2015-02-24
<b>Relevant Analysis:</b>	Marine Loading Risk	Category:	Design

#### Specifications:

Marine Loading preparations at the facility begin before the propane carrier arrives. Propane is circulated through the recirculation line to cool the loading equipment to a suitable temperature. Recirculation occurs for a maximum of 24 hours prior to ship arrival. Loading is assumed to start within a couple of hours after the ship is berthed. After all preparations are complete, the vessel begins to receive propane through the loading line and simultaneously deballast. During this process, some of the cargo is boiled-off and returned to the facility through the vapor return line. Time to load a very large propane carrier with the capacity of 83,000 m<sup>3</sup> is assumed to be approximately 38 hours.

Upon completion of loading, the marine loading arms are isolated, and propane load line/vapor lines are left open to the large refrigerate storage tanks allowing the remaining inventory from the lines to vaporize. These lines are connected to the large propane storage tanks such that the pressure in the lines reaches equilibrium with that of the tanks (maximum of 19 psia). The lines remain in this state until preparations for the next vessel arrival begin. Once the vessel has undergone preparations for departing, it is ready to be pulled off the dock and back down the river, around 5000 ft to where it is turned, off Kelly Point. The ship could be held at dock up to 12 hours after being loaded waiting to sail based on passage availability at the mouth of the Columbia River.

In summary, the following key assumptions are applied for marine loading operations:

- Propane carrier proposed for the Pembina Portland terminal has the capacity of 83,000 m<sup>3</sup>
- Approximately 26 vessel calls are assumed per year (averagely 2 ship visits per month) for the selected representative carrier
- Actual propane loading time is approximately 38 hours (based on ship size and propane loading rate of approximately 2200 m<sup>3</sup>/hour) per visit
- Propane loading always begins during the day time
- There are two (2) 16" propane loading arm and one (1) 16" vapor return arm at the loading dock
- Size of the propane loading above ground pipe: loading line 24", vapor return line 20", recirculation line 8".

#### I.8.1 Vessel Visits and Propane Loading Operation

Assumption No.: 36

#### **Implication on Assumption:**

Key influence on the risks (i.e. risk is directly proportional to frequency).

#### **References:**

- 1. Hayes, Chris. Additional data request. [Email] Pembina, Jan-27-2015.
- Process Flow Diagram Propane Ship Loading, Pembina Propane Terminal Portland Oregon, Rev. A1. SK E&C USA, Drawing no.14088D-PR-PF-1003-001.
- 3. DNV GL Expert Judgment.

#### I.8.2 Marine Loading Arms, Scenarios & Leak Frequency

1.0.2 Harme Loading Arms, Seenanos & Leak frequency								
Assumption No.:	37							
Revision:	0	O Prepared by: MINMIN						
			2015-02-24					
Date:	24 February 2015	Verified by:	LDEAL					
			2015-02-24					
Relevant Analysis:	Propane Loading Risk	Category:	Design					

#### Specifications:

The estimated leak frequency for loading arms per transfer is 7.6E-05 (Ref. 1). This is a generic failure rate for liquefied gas loading arm releases, and is considered likely to give a conservative total leak frequency. Note that it is largely based on loading with 2 arms, and thus could be factored to account for the actual number of arms. Assuming 26 transfers per year, the total loading arm leak frequency is 2.0E-03 per year.

Based on the failure data the following release sizes and probabilities are applied based on DNV GL's experience and comparison against hole size distributions for typical process leaks and road tanker loading arm failures (Ref. 2):

- 1. Full bore rupture disconnection events such as ranging and PERC failures, major leaks or loading arm failures, due to mechanical or other failure modes (13%)
- 2. Large leak as above, but release size is limited to hole size diameter of 75 mm; will apply the "Medium" category hole size of 50 mm (23%)
- 3. Small leak as above, but release size is limited to hole size diameter of 12 mm; will apply the "Small" category hole size of 10 mm (64%).

#### Implication of Assumption

Key influence on the loading arm risks (i.e. risk is directly proportional to frequency).

#### **References:**

- 1. DNV GL Expert Judgement based on ACDS. Major hazard Aspects of the Transport of Dangerous Substances Advisory Committee on Dangerous Substances. *HMSO Major hazard aspects of the transport of dangerous substances.* Health & Safety Commission, 1991.
- 2. DNV GL Expert Judgment.

**APPENDIX II: SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT** 

#### Table of Contents

II	SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT	II-1
II.1	Introduction	II-1
II.2	Scenario Definition	II-1
II.2.1	Release Scenario Selection	II-1
II.2.2	Scenario Group Operation Conditions	II-5
II.2.3	Hole Size Scenarios	II-5
II.2.4	Release Detection and Isolation	II-5
II.3	References	II-11

Attachment II-1 PFDs Marked by Isolatable Sections

#### **II SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT**

#### **II.1 Introduction**

This appendix presents the analysis of major accident hazards identified and assessed for the Pembina Propane Terminal QRA Study, which includes all sections from the receiving propane from railcar to the propane marine loading arms at the jetty. Above ground pipe release scenarios, such as the inter-unit pipe within the facility area, the rundown pipe, the propane loading/circulation pipe, and the vapor return pipe are also included in this QRA study.

#### **II.2 Scenario Definition**

The following sections provides a summary of the general approach adopted in defining representative release scenarios and describes the scenarios and key assumptions relevant to all the processes covered within the scope of this study.

#### II.2.1 Release Scenario Selection

The analysis was conducted on a sectional basis. Failure cases (i.e., specific release scenarios to be modeled in the QRA) have been defined by dividing the facility and systems into sections with similar characteristics using the following approach:

- The first sectionalizing is achieved by identifying the equipment within an isolatable section. An isolatable section is defined as all equipment and piping between Emergency Shutdown Valves (ESDs). In doing so, the maximum inventory available for release is defined, assuming that shutdown will be initiated within a specified time after a release occurs.
- 2. Further sectionalizing of the plant is then performed on the basis of location. Equipment items in the same section with significantly different geographical locations are identified and different failure cases applied to each. However, the inventory available for release may be the same for both locations.
- 3. Having divided the facility according to isolatable sections and location, the next step is to further sectionalize according to the material or operating conditions handled by each equipment item. This process involves identifying the physical nature (i.e. phase, pressure, and temperature) of the material within each subsection and deciding if the subsections present significantly different characteristics that are worth differentiating.

To summarize, the key factors in the selection of these representative sections are:

- Isolation (consideration is given to whether the inventory that may be released can be isolated by ESD, noting that the time taken for such isolation to occur will be a key factor)
- Release location (the area in which the release occurs, including the height)
- Material / phase released (gas, pressurized liquid, cryogenic liquid, etc.)
- Operation conditions (temperature and pressure)

The representative release scenarios applied to the model are listed in Table II-1. The table gives a brief description of the release scenarios applied to the Phast Risk model for each section. An event ID is given to each release event representative of the defined sections:

- The first letter (area code) of the event ID corresponds to the area where the event occurs: R railcar unloading, B – pressurized propane storage bullets, F – facility, S – refrigerated propane storage tanks, and M – marine propane loading.
- The number after the area code corresponds to the unit to which that event belongs.
- The number after the "-" corresponds to the isolatable segment within the related unit.
- Letters of the alphabet in the last digit of the ID (e.g. A and B) are used to further differentiate any related events within the same isolatable segment. Z denotes that this is the only event defined for the isolatable segment within the unit.

The following facility systems and corresponding unit number are included in the analysis:

- Propane Railcar Unloading: Unit 1001
- Propane Refrigeration: Unit 1002
- Propane Ship Loading: Unit 1003
- Propane Refrigerated Storage Tanks: Unit 1004

The Process Flow Diagrams (PFDs) marked up with the isolatable sections are attached to this appendix.

Event ID	Event Name	Representative Material (mole %)	Phase (Gas or Liquid)	Flow Rate (lb/hr)
R01-01Z	Railcar Unloading Arm	97% C3, 3%C2	L	33,000
R01-02Z	Railcar Vapor Return Arm	97% C3, 3%C2	G	77,704
R01-03Z	Unload Vapor Return – Compressor	97% C3, 3%C2	G	77,704
R01-04Z	Unloading Vapor Return - Piping to Railcar	97% C3, 3%C2	G	77,704
R01-05Z	Propane Unloading Pipe	97% C3, 3%C2	L	1,723,926
B01-06A	Propane Unloading Storage Group1 (connections) – Liquid	97% C3, 3%C2	L	1,723,926
B01-06B	Propane Unloading Storage Group1 (connections ) – Gas	97% C3, <b>3%C2</b>	G	77,704
B01-07A	Propane Unloading Storage Group2 (connections ) – Liquid	97% C3, 3%C2	L	1,723,926
B01-07B	Propane Unloading Storage Group2 (connections ) – Gas	97% C3, 3%C2	G	77,704
B01-08A	Propane Unloading Storage Group3 (connections ) - Liquid	97% C3, 3%C2	L	1,723,926
B01-08B	Propane Unloading Storage Group3 (connections ) - Gas	97% C3, 3%C2	G	77,704
B01-06C	Propane Unloading Storage Group1 – Bullets	97% C3, 3%C2	L	1,723,926
B01-07C	Propane Unloading Storage Group2 – Bullets	97% C3, 3%C2	L	1,723,926
B01-08C	Propane Unloading Storage Group3 – Bullets	97% C3, 3%C2	L	1,723,926
F02-06A	Propane Rundown Pumps	96% C3, 4%C2	L	348,044
F02-06B	Propane Rundown Pipe to Storage tanks	96% C3, 4%C2	L	348,096
S04-01A	Storage Tank 1 (connections) – Gas	86% C3, 14%C2	G	52,136
S04-01B	Storage Tank 1 (connections) – Liquid	96% C3, 4%C2	L	348,044
S04-02A	Storage Tank 2 (connections) – Gas	86% C3, 14%C2	G	52,136
S04-02B	Storage Tank 2 (connections) – Liquid	96% C3, 4%C2	L	348,044
S04-01C	Storage Tank 1	96% C3, 4%C2	L	
S04-02C	Storage Tank 2	96% C3, 4%C2	L	
S04-03Z	Vapor from Tank to BOG – Pipe	86% C3, 14%C2	G	52,136
M03-01Z	Marine Propane Loading Line to Onshore ESD - Loading Mode	97% C3, 3%C2	L	2,935,173
M03-02Z	Marine Propane Loading Line to Onshore ESD - Holding Mode	97% C3, 3%C2	L	100,000
M03-03Z	Propane Recirculation	97% C3, 3%C2	L	100,000
M03-04Z	Loading Vapor Return Line from Onshore ESD to Tank	97% C3, 3%C2	G	13,826
M03-05Z	Jetty Loading Pipe	97% C3, 3%C2	L	2,933,707
M03-06Z	Vapor Return from Jetty Pipe	97% C3, 3%C2	G	13,826
M03-07Z	Liquid Loading Arm	97% C3, 3%C2	L	2,933,707
M03-08Z	Vapor Recovery Loading Arm	97% C3, 3%C2	G	13,826
F02-01A	Propane Feed Pumps	97% C3, 3%C2	L	295,964
F02-01B	Propane Subcooler	97% C3, 3%C2	G	295,964
F02-01C	HP Suction Drum – Liquid	91% C3, 9%C2	L	459,052
F02-01D	HP Suction Drum – Gas	74% C3, 26%C2	G	234,666
F02-01E	HP Propane Compression	76% C3, 24%C2	G	345,673
F02-01F	BOG Air Cooler	86% C3, 14%C2	G	52,142
F02-02Z	BOG Compressor	86% C3, 14%C2	G	52,136
F02-03A	MP Suction Drum – Liquid	94% C3, 6%C2	L	386,842
F02-03B	MP Suction Drum – Gas	77% C3, 23%C2	G	72,210

Table II-1: Release Scenario Piping and Equipment Groups

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible.

Event ID	Event Name	Representative Material (mole %)	Phase (Gas or Liquid)	Flow Rate (lb/hr)
F02-03C	MP Propane Compression	78% C3, 22%C2	G	111,008
F02-04A	LP Suction Drum – Liquid	96% C3, 4%C2	L	348,044
F02-04B	LP Suction Drum – Gas	80%C3, 20%C2	G	38,798
F02-04C	LP Propane Compression	80%C3, 20%C2	G	38,798
F02-05A	Propane Air Cooler – Liquid	76% C3, 24%C2	L	345,673
F02-05B	Propane Air Cooler – Gas	76% C3, 24%C2	G	345,673
F02-05C	Propane Accumulator & Condenser – Liquid	76% C3, 24 <b>%C2</b>	L	345,673
F02-05D	Propane Accumulator & Condenser – Gas	76% C3, <b>24%C2</b>	G	345,673
EQ-01C-R1	Propane Storage Tank 300 mm due to Earthquake	96% C3, 4%C2	L	

#### II.2.2 Scenario Group Operation Conditions

The representative location and operating conditions selected for each of the release scenarios defined in the previous section are summarized in Table II-5. The selection of the group scenarios is based on the assumptions summarized below:

- The operating conditions (normal flow rate, pressure and temperature) are taken from the Pembina Propane Terminal PFDs Rev A1, Ref. (1).
- The representative release height from equipment has a default value of 1 m above ground.
- Releases related to the connections to the propane refrigerated storage tank (S04-01A/B and S04-02A/B) are assumed to be at 40.8 m (S04-01A/B) and 30.5 m (S04-02A/B) above ground level since the majority of the flanges, valves and connection points are located on top of the propane storage tanks. The large and rupture scenarios related to the tanks (S04-01C and S04-02C) are located at 1 m above ground.
- The material in each case is defined as either a single representative material or a mixture (the composition of which is described in terms of the mole % of each component) as described in the Heat & Material Balances (H&MB) Sheet, Ref (2).
- Note that the phase in each case is defined as either vapor or liquid, which corresponds to the phase of the fluid in the system (rather than the fluid on release). Two-phase releases apply to certain sections and are accounted for within the discharge modeling.

#### II.2.3 Hole Size Scenarios

For each of the release scenarios from equipment or piping, four representative release sizes are considered as listed below. This is also reported in Appendix I, Study Basis Assumption 12, Ref. (3).

Size Category	Representative Hole Size Range	Representative Hole Size					
Size Category	(mm)	(mm)	(in)				
Small	3 - 25	10	0.4				
Medium	25 - 75	50	2				
Large	75 - 125	100	4				
Full Bore Rupture	125 – Line Diameter	Line Diameter (if applicable)					

#### Table II-2: Hole Size Categories - Leaks

#### II.2.4 Release Detection and Isolation

A leak from any release source can be broken down into four distinct phases:

- Dynamic
- Detection and shutdown
- Isolation
- Static leak

During the dynamic phase, the operators have not yet recognized that a leak has occurred and the leak is continually fed by the source of supply. If the leak size is sufficiently large, the pressure will noticeably drop in the system and will be detected before making a decision to isolate the leak. The function of isolation valves is to limit the amount of material that can ultimately escape from the release point. Following closure of the isolation valves, the leak will continue until the pressure of the fluid in the system equals the

atmospheric pressure. This phase could last for an extended period of time, depending on the size of the leak.

The detection and isolation time has key influence on the release duration and the total release inventory from the representative release hole size. The response time (detection and isolation) is affected by many factors including release size, release conditions, release material, etc. In general, the larger release rate (either caused by large hole size or high operation pressure), the shorter the response time; i.e. the worse consequence, the shorter the response time.

The following tables present the total isolation time to address release events at different locations in the facility, depending on the detection level (Appendix I, Study Basis Assumption 13), Ref. (3). Note that detection and response times may be considered conservative.

Table 11-3: Representative Detection and Response Times*(Main Facility and Jetty)							
Leak Size	Response Tim	e (min)	Cumulative Time to				
Leak Size	Detection	Isolation	Isolation Success (min)				
Small	5	1	6				
Medium	5	1	6				
Large	2	1	3				
Full Bore Rupture	1	1	2				

Table II-3: Representative Detection and Response Times\*(Main Facility and Jetty)

Look Cine	Response Time	e (min)	Cumulative Time to	
Leak Size	Detection Isolation		Isolation (min)	
Small	15	5	20	
Medium	5	5	10	
Large	2	1	3	
Rupture	1	1	2	

#### \* Definition of Response Time Categories

A release event occurs at time = 0s.

Detection: This is the time from when the release event starts till someone (or a detector) becomes aware of the release event. This may be the time for an operator in the field to detect the release or for the release cloud to trigger the gas detector alarms in the control room, further alerting the operator in the control room.

Isolation: This is the time from detection till the segment is isolated and the shutdown valves are closed. This period of time includes the time for operators to discuss the situation and decide whether to activate isolation and shutdown. This also includes the time for an operator to push the isolation / shutdown button and for the valves to close.

The total release inventory is calculated as a summation of static inventory and dynamic inventory feeding each isolatable segment. The static inventory is estimated based on vessel and piping dimensions combined with the density of the release material within the vessels and piping. In the event of an accidental release it is assumed that the associated shutdown valves will be actuated (where present), with some delay. The inventory source of supply continues to send release material to the release point until isolation valves close. The inventory that continues to flow into the system (e.g. delivered by pumps) during the detection and isolation periods is referred to as dynamic inventory. Dynamic inventory is considered to be the release amount through the leak hole until isolation takes place, which is calculated by multiplying the release rate by the time to isolation for each hole size category.

The representative release scenarios are listed in Table II-5. The total inventory released considers the static inventory (inventory in the equipment group) plus the dynamic inventory (inventory flowing into the

system, prior to isolation). Storage tank scenarios were modeled as "liquid inventory", where the inventory is more relevant than incoming flow.

Table II-5: Scenario Summary Total Inventory Total Inventory										
Event Description	Scenario ID	Leak Size	Material (mole %)	Gas or Liquid	Т (°F)	P (psia)	Static Inventory (lb)	Flow Rate (lb/hr)	(kg)	(Ib)
	R01-01Z-S	Small leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	150	162,946		73,911	162,946
Railcar Unloading arm	R01-01Z-M	Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	150	162,946		73,911	162,946
Railcar vapor return	R01-02Z-S	Small leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	82	147.3	162,946	77,779	73,911	162,946
arm	R01-02Z-M	Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	82	147.3	162,946	77,779	73,911	162,946
	R01-03Z-S	Small leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	108.3	195.1	459	77,779	302	666
Unloading Vapor Return - compressor	R01-03Z-M	Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	108.3	195.1	459	77,779	2,548	5,617
	R01-03Z-L	Large leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	108.3	195.1	459	77,779	1,970	4,343
	R01-03Z-R	Rupture	97% C3, 3%C2	G	108.3	195.1	459	77,779	1,383	3,049
	R01-04Z-S R01-04Z-M	Small leak Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2 97% C3, 3%C2	G	82 82	147.3 147.3	348 348	77,779 77,779	396 3,127	873 6,894
Unloading Vapor Return - piping to railcar	R01-04Z-M	Large leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	82	147.3	348	77,779	1,920	4,233
	R01-04Z-R	Rupture	97% C3, 3%C2	G	82	147.3	348	77,779	1,333	2,939
	R01-05Z-S	Small leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	82	189.7	22,441	1,723,043	12,196	26,888
Propago Liploading Ripo	R01-05Z-M	Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	82	189.7	22,441	1,723,043	35,394	78,030
Propane Unloading Pipe	R01-05Z-L	Large leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	82	189.7	22,441	1,723,043	40,437	89,148
	R01-05Z-R	Rupture	97% C3, 3%C2	L	82	189.7	22,441	1,723,043	36,235	79,884
	B01-06A-S	Small leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1 <b>,72</b> 3,043	223,066	491,776
Propane Unloading Storage Group1	B01-06A-M	Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
(connections) – Liquid*	B01-06A-L	Large leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
	B01-06A-R	Rupture	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
Propane Unloading	B01-06B-S	Small leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	82	147.3	491,776	77,779	223,066	491,776
Storage Group1	B01-06B-M B01-06B-L	Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	82 82	147.3 147.3	491,776	77,779	223,066	491,776
(connections) – Gas*	B01-06B-L B01-06B-R	Large leak Rupture	97% C3, 3%C2 97% C3, 3%C2	G	82	147.3	491,776 491,776	77,779 77,779	223,066 223,066	491,776 491,776
	B01-00B-K B01-07A-S	Small leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
Propane Unloading	B01-07A-M	Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
Storage Group2 (connections) – Liquid*	B01-07A-L	Large leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
(connections) - Liquid	B01-07A-R	Rupture	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
	B01-07B-S	Small leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	82	147.3	491,776	77,779	223,066	491,776
Propane Unloading	B01-07B-M	Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	82	147.3	491,776	77,779	223,066	491,776
Storage Group2 (connections) – Gas*	B01-07B-L	Large leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	82	147.3	491,776	77,779	223,066	491,776
(	B01-07B-R	Rupture	97% C3, 3%C2	G	82	147.3	491,776	77,779	223,066	491,776
	B01-08A-S	Small leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
Propane Unloading Storage Group3	B01-08A-M	Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
(connections) - Liquid*	B01-08A-L	Large leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
	B01-08A-R	Rupture	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
Propane Unloading	B01-08B-S	Small leak Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	82	147.3	491,776	77,779	223,066	491,776
Storage Group3	B01-08B-M B01-08B-L	Large leak	97% C3, 3%C2 97% C3, 3%C2	G	82 82	147.3 147.3	491,776 491,776	77,779 77,779	223,066 223,066	491,776 491,776
(connections) – Gas*	B01-08B-R	Rupture	97% C3, 3%C2	G	82	147.3	491,776	77,779	223,066	491,776
	B01-06C-S	Small leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
	B01-06C-M	Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
Propane Unloading Storage Group1 –	B01-06C-L	Large leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
Bullets	B01-06C-R	Rupture	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
	B01-06C-	BLEVE	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
	BLEVE B01-07C-S	Small leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
	B01-07C-M	Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
Propane Unloading Storage Group2 –	B01-07C-L	Large leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
Bullets	B01-07C-R	Rupture	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
	B01-07C-	BLEVE	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
	BLEVE B01-08C-S	Small leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
	B01-08C-M	Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
Propane Unloading Storage Group3 –	B01-08C-L	Large leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
Bullets	B01-08C-R	Rupture	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
	B01-08C-	BLEVE	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	174.7	491,776	1,723,043	223,066	491,776
	BLEVE F02-06A-S	Small leak	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-42.2	18.5	450	347,624	300	661
Dranana Dundawa	F02-06A-M	Medium leak	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-42.2	18.5	450	347,624	2,607	5,747
Propane Rundown Pumps	F02-06A-L	Large leak	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-42.2	18.5	450	347,624	5,010	11,045
	F02-06A-R	Rupture	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-42.2	18.5	450	347,624	5,465	12,048
	F02-06B-S	Small leak	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-46.5	16.5	22,979	347,624	10,645	23,468
Propane Rundown Pipe	F02-06B-M	Medium leak	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-46.5	16.5	22,979	347,624	13,189	29,077
to Storage Tank	F02-06B-L	Large leak	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-46.5	16.5	22,979	347,624	13,743	30,298
	F02-06B-R	Rupture	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-46.5	16.5	22,979	347,624	15,685	34,579
	S04-01A-S	Small leak	86% C3, 14%C2	G	-41	15.7	118,076,193	52,382	53,558,524	118,076,193
Storage Tank 1 -	S04-01A-M	Medium leak	86% C3, 14%C2	G	-41	15.7	118,076,193	52,382	53,558,524	118,076,193
connections – Gas*	S04-01A-L	Large leak	86% C3, 14%C2	G	-41	15.7	118,076,193	52,382	53,558,524	118,076,193
	S04-01A-R	Rupture	86% C3, 14%C2	G	-41	15.7	118,076,193	52,382	53,558,524	118,076,193
Storage Tank 1 -	S04-01B-S	Small leak	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-44	19	313	347,624	483	1,065
connections – Liquid*	S04-01B-M	Medium leak	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-44	19	313	347,624	4,405	9,711

#### Table II-5: Scenario Summary

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible. DNV GL  $\,$  – Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

			Material	Gas or	or T	Р	Static	Flow Rate	Total Inventory		
Event Description	Scenario ID	Leak Size	(mole %)	Liquid	(°F)	(psia)	Inventory (lb)	(lb/hr)	(kg)	(lb)	
	S04-01B-L	Large leak	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-44	19	313	347,624	5,258	11,592	
	S04-01B-R	Rupture	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-44	19	313	347,624	5,403	11,912	
	S04-02A-S	Small leak	86% C3, 14%C2	G	-41	15.7	55,755,572	52,382	25,290,332	55,755,572	
Storage Tank 2 - connections – Gas*	S04-02A-M	Medium leak	86% C3, 14%C2	G	-41	15.7	55,755,572	52,382	25,290,332	55,755,572	
	S04-02A-L	Large leak	86% C3, 14%C2	G	-41	15.7	55,755,572	52,382	25,290,332	55,755,572	
	S04-02A-R	Rupture	86% C3, 14%C2	G	-41	15.7	55,755,572	52,382	25,290,332	55,755,572	
	S04-02B-S	Small leak	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-44	19	313	347,624	483	1,065	
Storage Tank 2 - connections – Liquid*	S04-02B-M	Medium leak	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-44	19	313	347,624	4,405	9,711	
	S04-02B-L	Large leak	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-44	19	313	347,624	5,258	11,592	
	S04-02B-R S04-01C-R1	Rupture Rupture1	96% C3, 4%C2 96% C3, 4%C2	L	-44 -44	19 19	313 118,076,193	347,624	5,403 53,558,524	11,912 118,076,19	
Storage Tank 1	S04-01C-R1	Rupture1 Rupture2	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-44	19	118,076,193		53,558,524	118,076,19	
Storage rank I	S04-01C-R3	Rupture3	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-44	19	118,076,193		53,558,524	118,076,19	
Storage Tank 2	S04-02C-R1	Rupture1	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-44	19	55,755,572		25,290,332	55,755,572	
Storage Tank 2	S04-02C-R2	Rupture2	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-44	19	55,755,572		25,290,332	55,755,572	
-	S04-02C-R3	Rupture3	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-44	19	55,755,572		25,290,332	55,755,572	
	S04-03Z-S	Small leak	86% C3, 14%C2	G	-41	15.7	71	52,382	42	93	
Vapor from Tank to	S04-03Z-M	Medium leak	86% C3, 14%C2	G	-41	15.7	71	<b>52,</b> 382	159	351	
BOG – pipe	S04-03Z-L	Large leak	86% C3, 14%C2	G	-41	15.7	71	52,382	185	408	
	S04-03Z-R	Rupture	86% C3, 14%C2	G	-41	15.7	71	52,382	820	1,808	
	M03-01Z-S	Small leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	-42.9	110.9	354,778	2,934,173	162,538	358,335	
	M03-01Z-M	Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	-42.9	110.9	354,778	2,934,173	1 <b>8</b> 1,085	399,224	
Line - Loading Mode	M03-01Z-L	Large leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	-42.9	110.9	354,778	2,934,173	185,117	408,113	
	M03-01Z-R	Rupture	97% C3, 3%C2	L	-42.9	110.9	354,778	2,934,173	205,288	452,582	
	M03-02Z-S	Small leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	-42.6	81.1	354,485	100,002	162,132	357,439	
	M03-02Z-M	Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	-42.6	81.1	354,485	100,002	168,349	371,146	
Line - Holding Mode	M03-02Z-L	Large leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	-42.6	81.1	354,485	100,002	163,059	359,483	
	M03-02Z-R	Rupture	97% C3, 3%C2	L	-42.6	81.1	354,485	100,002	162,303	357,816	
	M03-03Z-S M03-03Z-M	Small leak Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	-42.6 -42.6	81.1	40,470	100,002	19,698	43,427	
Propane Recirculation	M03-03Z-M M03-03Z-L	Large leak	97% C3, 3%C2 97% C3, 3%C2	L	-42.6	81.1	<b>40</b> ,470 40,470	100,002	25,915 20,625	57,133 45,470	
	M03-032-L	Rupture	97% C3, 3%C2	-	-42.6	81.1	40,470	100,002	19,869	43,470	
	M03-04Z-S	Small leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	-10	17.6	1,129	13,492	530	1,168	
Loading Vapor Boturn	M03-04Z-M	Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	-10	17.6	1,129	13,492	730	1,609	
	M03-04Z-L	Large leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	-10	17.6	1,129	13,492	773	1,704	
	M03-04Z-R	Rupture	97% C3, 3%C2	G	-10	17.6	1,129	13,492	721	1,590	
	M03-05Z-S	Small leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	-42.9	110.9	3,616	2,932,586	3,253	7,172	
	M03-05Z-M	Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	-42.9	110.9	3,616	2,932,586	21,800	48,061	
Jetty Loading Pipe	M03-05Z-L	Large leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	-42.9	110.9	3,616	2,932,586	25,832	56,950	
	M03-05Z-R	Rupture	97% C3, 3%C2	L	-42.9	110.9	3,616	2,932,586	45,982	101,373	
	M03-06Z-S	Small leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	-10	17.6	37	13,492	35	77	
	M03-06Z-M	Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	-10	17.6	37	13,492	234	516	
Pipe	M03-06Z-L	Large leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	-10	17.6	37	13,492	278	613	
	M03-06Z-R	Rupture	97% C3, 3%C2	G	-10	17.6	37	13,492	226	498	
	M03-07Z-S	Small leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	-42.9	110.9	805	2,932,586	848	1,870	
Liquid Loading Arm	M03-07Z-M	Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	-42.9	110.9	805	2,932,586	12,461	27,472	
Vapor Return from Jetty Pipe Liquid Loading Arm Vapor Recovery Loading Arm Propane Feed Pumps	M03-07Z-R	Rupture	97% C3, 3%C2	L	-42.9	110.9	805	2,932,586	44,706	98,560	
Pipe Liquid Loading Arm Vapor Recovery Loading Arm	M03-08Z-S	Small leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	-10	17.6	4	13,492	7	15	
	M03-08Z-M	Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	-10	17.6	4	13,492	132	291	
	M03-08Z-R	Rupture	97% C3, 3%C2	G	-10	17.6	4	13,492	211	465	
	F02-01A-S F02-01A-M	Small leak Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2 97% C3, 3%C2	L	85 85	161.2 161.2	8,064 8,064	296,036 296,036	4,213 17,078	9,288 37,651	
Propane Feed Pumps	F02-01A-M	Large leak	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	161.2	8,064	296,036	10,368	22,858	
	F02-01A-R	Rupture	97% C3, 3%C2	L	85	161.2	8,064	296,036	8,132	17,928	
	F02-01B-S	Small leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	50.3	96	170	296,036	124	273	
	F02-01B-M	Medium leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	50.3	96	170	296,036	1,245	2,745	
Propane Subcooler	F02-01B-L	Large leak	97% C3, 3%C2	G	50.3	96	170	296,036	2,412	5,318	
	F02-01B-R	Rupture	97% C3, 3%C2	G	50.3	96	170	296,036	4,551	10,033	
	F02-01C-S	Small leak	91% C3, 9%C2	L	37.6	96	11,964	458,737	5,850	12,897	
HP Suction Drum -	F02-01C-M	Medium leak	91% C3, 9%C2	L	37.6	96	11,964	458,737	15,993	35,258	
	F02-01C-L	Large leak	91% C3, 9%C2	L	37.6	96	11,964	458,737	11,687	25,765	
iquiu	F02-01C-R	Rupture	91% C3, 9%C2	L	37.6	96	11,964	458,737	12,365	27,260	
	F02-01D-S	Small leak	74% C3, 26%C2	G	37.6	96	11,462	234,924	5,245	11,563	
HP Suction Drum - asc	F02-01D-M	Medium leak	74% C3, 26%C2	G	37.6	96	11,462	234,924	6,337	13,971	
Succión Druitt – yas	F02-01D-L	Large leak	74% C3, 26%C2	G	37.6	96	11,462	234,924	7,475	16,480	
	F02-01D-R	Rupture	74% C3, 26%C2	G	37.6	96	11,462	234,924	8,746	19,282	
	F02-01E-S	Small leak	76% C3, 24%C2	G	174.5	341.9	46	345,243	173	381	
	F02-01E-M	Medium leak	76% C3, 24%C2	G	174.5	341.9	46	345,243	3,822	8,426	
	F02-01E-L	Large leak	76% C3, 24%C2	G	174.5	341.9	46	345,243	7,622	16,804	
Compression	F02-01E-R	Rupture	76% C3, 24%C2	G	174.5	341.9	46	345,243	5,246	11,565	

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible. DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

BOG Compressor	Scenario ID F02-01F-M F02-01F-R F02-017-R F02-02Z-S F02-02Z-M F02-02Z-M F02-03A-S F02-03A-S F02-03A-R F02-03A-R F02-03B-S F02-03B-M F02-03B-L	Leak Size Medium leak Large leak Rupture Small leak Medium leak Large leak Rupture Small leak Medium leak Large leak Rupture Small leak	(mole %) 86% C3, 14%C2 86% C3, 14%C2 86% C3, 14%C2 86% C3, 14%C2 86% C3, 14%C2 86% C3, 14%C2 86% C3, 14%C2 94% C3, 6%C2 94% C3, 6%C2 94% C3, 6%C2	Liquid G G G G G G G L	(°F) 107.9 107.9 122 122 122 122 122	(psia) 96 96 113 113 113	Inventory (Ib) 159 159 159 15 15 15	(lb/hr) 52,382 52,382 52,382 52,382 52,382 52,382	(kg) 1,137 1,254 860 57 1,253	(lb) 2,507 2,765 1,896 126
MP Suction Drum –	F02-01F-L           F02-01F-R           F02-02Z-S           F02-02Z-L           F02-02Z-R           F02-03A-S           F02-03A-M           F02-03A-L           F02-03A-R           F02-03B-S	Large leak Rupture Small leak Medium leak Large leak Rupture Small leak Medium leak Large leak Rupture	86% C3, 14%C2 86% C3, 14%C2 86% C3, 14%C2 86% C3, 14%C2 86% C3, 14%C2 86% C3, 14%C2 94% C3, 6%C2 94% C3, 6%C2 94% C3, 6%C2	G G G G G L	107.9 107.9 122 122 122	96 96 113 113	159 159 15	52,382 52,382 52,382 52,382	1,254 860 57	2,765 1,896 126
MP Suction Drum –	F02-01F-R           F02-02Z-S           F02-02Z-M           F02-02Z-L           F02-02Z-R           F02-03A-S           F02-03A-M           F02-03A-L           F02-03A-R           F02-03B-S           F02-03B-M	Rupture Small leak Medium leak Large leak Rupture Small leak Medium leak Large leak Rupture	86% C3, 14%C2 86% C3, 14%C2 86% C3, 14%C2 86% C3, 14%C2 86% C3, 14%C2 94% C3, 6%C2 94% C3, 6%C2 94% C3, 6%C2	G G G G L	107.9 122 122 122	96 113 113	159 15	52,382 52,382	860 57	1,896 126
MP Suction Drum –	F02-02Z-S           F02-02Z-M           F02-02Z-L           F02-02Z-R           F02-03A-S           F02-03A-M           F02-03A-L           F02-03A-R           F02-03B-S           F02-03B-M	Small leak Medium leak Large leak Rupture Small leak Medium leak Large leak Rupture	86% C3, 14%C2 86% C3, 14%C2 86% C3, 14%C2 86% C3, 14%C2 94% C3, 6%C2 94% C3, 6%C2 94% C3, 6%C2	G G G L	122 122 122	113 113	15	52,382	57	126
MP Suction Drum –	F02-02Z-M F02-02Z-L F02-03A-S F02-03A-M F02-03A-L F02-03A-R F02-03B-S F02-03B-M	Medium leak Large leak Rupture Small leak Medium leak Large leak Rupture	86% C3, 14%C2 86% C3, 14%C2 86% C3, 14%C2 94% C3, 6%C2 94% C3, 6%C2 94% C3, 6%C2	G G G L	122 122	113				
MP Suction Drum –	F02-02Z-L           F02-02Z-R           F02-03A-S           F02-03A-M           F02-03A-L           F02-03A-R           F02-03A-S           F02-03A-R           F02-03B-S           F02-03B-M	Large leak Rupture Small leak Medium leak Large leak Rupture	86% C3, 14%C2 86% C3, 14%C2 94% C3, 6%C2 94% C3, 6%C2 94% C3, 6%C2	G G L	122		15	52,382	1,253	
MP Suction Drum –	F02-02Z-R F02-03A-S F02-03A-M F02-03A-L F02-03A-R F02-03B-S F02-03B-M	Rupture Small leak Medium leak Large leak Rupture	86% C3, 14%C2 94% C3, 6%C2 94% C3, 6%C2 94% C3, 6%C2	G		113				2,762
liquid	F02-03A-S F02-03A-M F02-03A-L F02-03A-R F02-03B-S F02-03B-M	Small leak Medium leak Large leak Rupture	94% C3, 6%C2 94% C3, 6%C2 94% C3, 6%C2	L	122		15	52,382	1,189	2,621
liquid	F02-03A-M F02-03A-L F02-03A-R F02-03B-S F02-03B-M	Medium leak Large leak Rupture	94% C3, 6%C2 94% C3, 6%C2			113	15	52,382	795	1,753
MP Suction Drum – liquid MP Suction Drum – gas	F02-03A-L F02-03A-R F02-03B-S F02-03B-M	Large leak Rupture	94% C3, 6%C2		-8.5	40	12,804	386,514	6,051	13,340
liquid	F02-03A-R F02-03B-S F02-03B-M	Rupture	94% C3, 6%C2	L	-8.5	40	12,804	386,514	11,884	26,200
MP Suction Drum – gas	F02-03B-S F02-03B-M	•	94% (3 6%(2)	L	-8.5	40	12,804	386,514	14,578	32,139
MP Suction Drum – gas	F02-03B-S F02-03B-M	•		L	-8.5	40	12,804	386,514	11,655	25,695
MP Suction Drum – gas	F02-03B-M		77% C3, 23%C2	G	-8.5	40	12,229	72,223	5,566	12,271
MP Suction Drum – gas		Medium leak	77% C3, 23%C2	G	-8.5	40	12,229	72,223	6,013	13,256
		Large leak	77% C3, 23%C2	G	-8.5	40	12,229	72,223	6,478	14,282
	F02-03B-R	Rupture	77% C3, 23%C2	G	-8.5	40	12,229	72,223	6,639	14,636
	F02-03C-S	Small leak	78% C3, 22%C2	G	78.3	96	13	111,113	49	108
MD Dropping	F02-03C-M	Medium leak	78% C3, 22%C2	G	78.3	96	13	111,113	1,093	2,410
	F02-03C-L	Large leak	78% C3, 22%C2	G	78.3	96	13	111,113	2,180	4,806
	F02-03C-R	Rupture	78% C3, 22%C2	G	78.3	96	13	111,113	1,684	3,713
	F02-04A-S	Small leak	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-42.2	18.5	13,360	347,624	6,156	13,572
LP Suction Drum – liquid	F02-04A-M	Medium leak	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-42.2	18.5	13,360	347,624	8,463	18,658
	F02-04A-M	Large leak	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-42.2	18.5	13,360	347,624	10,866	23,955
	F02-04A-L	Rupture	96% C3, 4%C2	L	-42.2	18.5	13,360	347,624	9,691	23,933
	F02-04A-K	Small leak	80%C3, 20%C2	G	-42.8	16.5	12,745	38,889	5,785	12,754
	F02-04B-3	Medium leak	80%C3, 20%C2	G	-42.8	16.5	12,745	38,889	5,884	
LP Suction Drum – gas	F02-04B-M								,	12,972
-	F02-04B-L F02-04B-R	Large leak Rupture	80%C3, 20%C2 80%C3, 20%C2	G G	-42.8	16.5 16.5	12,745 12,745	38,889 38,889	5,986	13,197 14,039
	F02-04B-R F02-04C-S	Small leak	,	G	27.5			38,889	6,368 20	
-			80%C3, 20%C2			40	7			44
LP Propane	F02-04C-M	Medium leak	80%C3, 20%C2	G	27.5 27.5	40	7	38,889	450	992
	F02-04C-L	Large leak	80%C3, 20%C2	G	-			38,889	882	1,944
	F02-04C-R	Rupture	80%C3, 20%C2	G	27.5	40	7	38,889	589	1,299
MP Propane Compression LP Suction Drum – liquid	F02-05A-S	Small leak	76% C3, 24%C2	L	110	336.9	2,608	345,243	1,881	4,147
	F02-05A-M	Medium leak	76% C3, 24%C2	L	110	336.9	2,608	345,243	16,857	37,163
Liquiu	F02-05A-L	Large leak	76% C3, 24%C2	L	110	336.9	2,608	345,243	9,020	19,886
	F02-05A-R	Rupture	76% C3, 24%C2	L	110	336.9	2,608	345,243	6,407	14,125
+	F02-05B-S	Small leak	76% C3, 24%C2	G	174.5	341.9	143	345,243	217	478
	F02-05B-M	Medium leak	76% C3, 24%C2	G	174.5	341.9	143	345,243	3,863	8,516
Gas	F02-05B-L	Large leak	76% C3, 24%C2	G	174.5	341.9	143	345,243	7,661	16,890
	F02-05B-R	Rupture	76% C3, 24%C2	G	174.5	341.9	143	345,243	5,290	11,662
F	F02-05C-S	Small leak	76% C3, 24%C2	L	110	336.9	9,952	345,243	5,212	11,490
	F02-05C-M	Medium leak	76% C3, 24%C2	L	110	336.9	9,952	345,243	20,188	44,507
Condenser - Liquia	F02-05C-L	Large leak	76% C3, 24%C2	L	110	336.9	9,952	345,243	12,351	27,229
	F02-05C-R	Rupture	76% C3, 24%C2	L	110	336.9	9,952	345,243	9,738	21,469
	F02-05D-S	Small leak	76% C3, 24%C2	G	174.5	341.9	9,689	345,243	4,547	10,024
	F02-05D-M	Medium leak	76% C3, 24%C2	G	174.5	341.9	9,689	345,243	8,193	18,062
Condenser - Gas	F02-05D-L	Large leak	76% C3, 24%C2	G	174.5	341.9	9,689	345,243	11,991	26,436
	F02-05D-R	Rupture	76% C3, 24%C2	G	174.5	341.9	9,689	345,243	9,620	21,208

Note:\*: These events are not releases from the tanks but releases from the connections associated with the tanks.

#### **II.3 References**

- 1. Pembina LPG Terminal Process Flow Diagram, Rev. A1, SK E&C USA, 2014-11-21.
- 2. Pembina LPG Terminal Project Heat & Mass Balances, SK E&C USA, Rev. A, 2014-11-18.
- 3. Pembina Propane Facility QRA Study Study Basis, Rev. 0, DNV GL 2015-02-24.

#### **ATTACHMENT II-1**

#### **PFDS MARKED BY ISOLATABLE SECTIONS**

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible. DNV GL – Report No. PP124992, Rev. 1 16 March 2015












# APPENDIX III: FREQUENCY ANALYSIS

# **Table of Contents**

III.	FREQUENCY ANALYSIS	III-3
III.1	Frequency Estimation from Historical Databases	III-3
III.1.1	Background of the Hydrocarbon Release Database (HCRD)	III-3
III.1.2	HCRD Hole Size Distribution	III-3
III.1.3	HCRD Frequency Modification Estimates	III-4
III.1.4	Frequencies Applied to this Study	III-5
III.2	Equipment Parts Estimation	III-6
III.3	Frequency Results Discussion	III-7
III.3.1	Frequency by Sub-Area	III-7
III.3.2	Frequency by Isolatable Section	III-9
III.3.3	Frequency by Release Events	III-13
III.4	References	III-17

# **III. FREQUENCY ANALYSIS**

This appendix describes the general approach used to derive the release frequencies and details the values obtained for each release scenario. Note that earthquake frequency is not documented in this appendix and can be found in Appendix I- Study Basis Ref. (1)

#### **III.1 Frequency Estimation from Historical Databases**

For typical facility and mechanical equipment failures, application of data from historical databases was used to estimate release frequencies. The UK HSE Hydrocarbon Release Database (HCRD) Ref. (2), provides the base frequency data for most scenarios, complemented by the frequency data from the UK Advisory Committee on Dangerous Substances (ACDS), Ref. (3), specifically for loading arms and hoses.

A parts count was performed on the "PFDs" to estimate the number of equipment parts, to which the historical failure data was applied for estimation of the scenario-specific release frequencies. Section III.2 discusses the detailed parts count approach.

# III.1.1 Background of the Hydrocarbon Release Database (HCRD)

Following the Piper Alpha accident, UK North Sea Operators were required to record data on incidents involving the release of hydrocarbons on offshore installations for submission to the HSE. These submissions are compiled and published each year, resulting in the HCRD. The HCRD provides a large, high quality collection of leak experience with matching equipment populations. It has become the industry standard source of leak frequencies for offshore QRA and can be applied to or adjusted for onshore QRA.

In 2004, DNV GL performed an analysis of the HCRD as part of a joint venture project involving most of the major North Sea operators to develop leak frequency correlations. The leak frequency correlations have been updated in accordance with the HCRD 2010 and documented in DNV GL's newly published guidance on the process equipment leak frequency data for use in QRA Ref. (4).

# III.1.2 HCRD Hole Size Distribution

Experience shows that when using all data from the HCRD to establish leak frequencies, the calculated leak frequencies of very large releases are found to be higher than actually experienced. To make best use of the data, the HCRD information is divided into two main scenarios: full pressure leaks and zero pressure leaks. (Note that zero pressure leak data was not applied in this study.)

HCRD full pressure leaks are represented by modeling a release through a defined hole size, beginning at the normal operating pressure, until controlled by Automated Block Valve (ABV) or Emergency Block Valve (EBV) and blowdown, with a probability of ABV/blowdown failure. Full pressure leaks are of two types:

Full leaks, consisting of:

- ABV/EBV isolated leaks.
- Late isolated leaks, modeled as cases where there is no effective ABV/EBV for the leaking system, resulting in the highest outflow.

<u>Limited leaks</u> are presumed to be cases where the outflow is less than from a leak at the operational pressure controlled by the quickest credible ESD (after 30 seconds) and blowdown (according to API) initiated 60 seconds later. The limited leaks are relevant for releases where the flow is restricted, as a result of local isolation valves initiated by human intervention or process safety systems other than ABV/EBV and blowdown.

The probabilities listed in Figure III-1 were the averages for all releases.



For this study, only Full pressure leak frequency data (including *Full* and *Limited* leaks) were applied to develop the leak frequencies for the release scenarios. The Limited leak scenarios are conservatively modeled as Full leak scenarios.

# III.1.3 HCRD Frequency Modification Estimates

A key aspect of quantitative risk assessment is the derivation of leak frequencies, which are necessarily representative. Direct application of the generic data described is dependent on the assumption that the leak frequencies associated with the facility correspond to 'typical' industry levels of inspection, maintenance, and so forth.

As a new facility, it may be the case that the leak frequencies associated with the facility are generally lower than that derived from historical incident data. However, while a new, modern facility may be less likely to have leaks due to deterioration of parts, the leak rates associated with start-up and the early stages of operation are historically higher than during normal, established operation. On balance, the generic failure data corresponding to 'typical' industry failure levels is considered to be the most appropriate for this study, providing a conservative best estimate of the process failure rates.

By applying the generic failure data directly, no account is taken of the potential for increased corrosion / failure rates due to pipelines and equipment operating at low / high temperatures. This has not been considered further on the basis that:

- The generic failure data used does not contain sufficient detail to enable any correlation between the operating temperature and corrosion / failure rate. It is not known of any other source that would provide a reliable statistical basis for such an interpretation.
- It is assumed that the overall design is consistent with best-practice, and the pipelines and equipment are designed in accordance with codes that account for operating temperature aspects.

# III.1.4 Frequencies Applied to this Study

#### III.1.4.1 HCRD Frequencies

The HCRD leak frequencies are applied to the equipment considered typical for both onshore and offshore such as pressure vessels, compressors, pumps, heat exchangers, filters, valves, flanges, and small bore fittings.

#### III.1.4.2 Propane Storage Tanks

In addition to the process release events, which include all facility equipment and pipework up to and including the connections to the propane storage tanks, consideration was also given to releases from the tanks themselves.

There are twelve propane pressure storage tanks/bullets at the railcar unloading area, each with the estimated working capacity of  $461 \text{ m}^3$ .

The two refrigerated propane storage tanks (with the capacity of 87,000 m<sup>3</sup> and 40,000 m<sup>3</sup>, respectively) located closer to the jetty area are double-wall steel tanks, storing the liquid propane at close to atmospheric pressure. The failure rates and release hole sizes associated with these two refrigerated storage tanks are defined based on the failure rate and event data for use in risk assessments recommended by UK HSE, Ref. (5).

#### III.1.4.3 Inter-Unit Piping & Loading Lines

Facility piping failure frequencies are **applied to estimate** the inter-unit piping and loading line release frequencies. It is widely accepted that the **application** of facility pipework failure data tend to give overly conservative values with respect to longer inter-unit pipe segments, particularly for loading lines. Based on operations experience, it is considered appropriate to apply a factor of 0.1 to the estimated frequency for the above ground transfer pipe.

It should also be noted that the generic frequency data is not modified to account for dropped objects. The generic data includes leaks from all causes, including dropped objects, such that additional dropped object risks should only be included where identified as a particular hazard or potential leak cause.

#### III.1.4.4 Marine Loading Arms

The leak frequency for marine loading arms per cargo is 7.6E-05 per year, Ref. (3). This is a generic failure rate for liquefied gas loading arm releases, and is considered likely to give a conservative total leak frequency. Note that it is largely based on loading with 2 arms. There are 26 shipments per year; therefore the leak frequency of 1.98E-03 per year is applied to represent the two liquid loading arms. For one vapor return arm, half of this frequency (9.89E-04/year) is applied.

Using the above failure data the following release sizes and probabilities are applied based on DNV GL's experience and comparison against hole size distributions for typical process leaks and road tanker loading arm failures:

- Full bore rupture considered disconnection events such as ranging and PERC failures, major leaks or loading arm failures, due to mechanical or other failure modes (13%)
- Large leak as above, but release size is limited to hole size diameter of 75mm; will apply the "Medium" category hole size of 50mm (23%)

Small leak – as above, but release size is limited to hole size diameter of 12mm; will apply the "Small" category hole size of 10mm (64%).

#### III.1.4.5 Railcar Unloading Arms

The best available source of leak frequencies from transfer equipment for rail is provided by ACDS, Ref. (3), based on LPG road tanker data. This is expressed in the DNV GL standard hole sizes in the table below.

Range	Nominal	Frequency (per transfer)
3-10 mm	5 mm	9.0E-07
10-50 mm	25 mm	9.0E-07
Full bore 50 mm		1.8E-07
Tot	al	2.0E-06

Table III-1: Summar	y of Onshore Transfer	· Leak Frequencies fo	r Liquefied Gas
---------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------

In the current study, the "per transfer" based frequency is used to estimate the propane unloading leak rate accounting for 2 liquid arms. Three hole size categories are defined to cover the possible release ranges (from a 3 mm hole to the full bore rupture of a 2 inch arm). Each category is represented by a nominal hole size (representative hole size) assigned to a generic leak frequency on a per transfer base.

In order to unload 100 rail cars every two days, each unloading station along the 13 double-side racks needs to offload on average 3.8 times every other day, which equates to about 702 times per station per year. Table III-2 summarizes the calculated propane unloading scenarios and leak frequencies to be analyzed in the Pembina facility QRA.

Since it takes time to prepare all 26 stations to reach the peak unloading rate of 1,700,000 pounds per hour, it is assumed that unloading of the 100 rail cars will take around 12 hours Ref. (1).

Но	le Diameter	Frequen	cy (per unload	station)	Frequency Total (26 stations)
Size (mm)	Range	per transfer	# of transfer per year	Frequency per year	Double-side racks per year
5	3 - 10 mm	9.0E-07	702	6.32E-04	1.64E-02
25	10 - 50 mm	9.0E-07	702	6.32E-04	1.64E-02
50	Full Bore (2 inch)	1.8E-07	702	1.26E-04	3.29E-03
			Total:	1.39E-03	3.61E-02

Table III-2: Summary of Propane Unload Leak Frequencies

#### **III.2 Equipment Parts Estimation**

A parts count approach was carried out at the "PFD" level for the different isolatable sections identified for this study. This approach entails counting only the major equipment items, valves, flanges, facility pipework, and small bore fittings. From the equipment item size (based on incoming and exit piping diameters), the scenario frequencies were then estimated based on the historical leak database. Since this parts count is less detailed than one performed on a "P&ID" level, the estimated leak frequencies estimated from PFDs were multiplied by a factor of 2 to account for less conservative leak frequency numbers.

In the current study, DNV GL also performed a facility piping estimate from facility drawings. The frequency analysis was performed for the counted piping by using the actual line diameter and estimated length. It should be noted that by either approach the failure frequencies for above ground transfer pipe, such as unloading line to storage tanks, unloading vapor return line, vapor line from tank to BOG and from Jetty to

tank, propane loading and recirculation line are estimated based on length measures from the facility plot plan.

# **III.3 Frequency Results Discussion**

To represent a more realistic frequency distribution across different hole size categories, a small adjustment was made to the frequency of the large hole size (75mm~125mm) and the full bore rupture (> 125 mm) release categories. A 90/10 split was applied to the summation of the large and full bore rupture release frequencies. The adjusted large release frequency is taken as 90% of this summed frequency while the full bore rupture frequency is assumed to be 10% of this summed value.

The following sections present and discuss the frequency results in greater detail.

#### III.3.1 Frequency by Sub-Area

Table III-3 and Figure III-2 present the total release frequency estimates by sub-area. Propane Refrigeration has the highest contribution to the overall frequency with 40% of the total. Small leaks contribute approximately 83% to the overall release frequency.

Unit Sub Area	Small (3mm~ 25mm)	Medium (25mm~ 75mm)	Large (75mm~ 125mm)	Full Bore Rupture (>125mm)	BLEVE/ Tank Rupture	Total (per year)	%
Railcar Unloading	5.1E-02	5.1E-03	1.6E-04	1.8E-05	-	5.7E-02	27.4%
Propane Bullets	4.2E-02	3.6E-03	5.9E-03	6.7E-04	1.2E-04	5.2E-02	25.1%
Propane Refrigeration	6.6E-02	7.3E-03	8.6E-03	9.5E-04	-	8.3E-02	40.0%
Propane Ship Loading	3.2E-03	8.0E-04	1.6E-04	4.1E-04	-	4.6E-03	2.2%
Propane Storage Tank	9.0E-03	9.6E-04	8.2E-04	1.5E-04	2.1E-05	1.1E-02	5.3%
Total	1.7E-01	1.8E-02	1.6E-02	2.2E-03	1.4E-04	2.1E-01	100.0%
%	82.7%	8.6%	7.5%	1.1%	0.1%	100.0%	

#### Table III-3: Summary of Leak Frequency by Sub-Area



Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible.

DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

Section
Ð
0
ס
H
0
Ц
Ω
р Р
cy b
ancy
~
ancy
luency
requency
duency
Frequency
requency
Frequency
2 Frequency

Table III-4 and Figure III-3 present the release frequency according to the isolatable sections defined for the process. There are 25 defined isolatable sections for the railcar unloading, common area and marine loading. The railcar unloading arms and vapor return arm contribute about 25% to the total release frequency. The large contribution from the railcar unloading results from the high frequency of the operation and the large number of unloading stations. The 12 pressurized propane storage bullets contribute about 25% of the total frequency.

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible.

DNV GL - Report No. PP124992, Rev. 1

	%	17.5%	8.7%	1.1%	0.02%	0.03%	8.4%	8.4%	8.4%	3.0%	2.4%	2.4%	0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	1.0%	0.5%	15.2%	7.6%
	Total	3.6E-02	1.8E-02	2.3E-03	4.3E-05	5.2E-05	1.7E-02	1.7E-02	1.7E-02	6.2E-03	5.1E-03	5.1E-03	8.2E-04	2.6E-04	1.7E-04	1.4E-04	2.3E-04	5.1E-04	3.2E-04	2.0E-03	9.9E-04	3.1E-02	1.6E-02
	BLEVE/ Tank Rupture	ı	I	I	I	-	4.0E-05	4.0E-05	4.0E-05	I	1.1E-05	1.1E-05	I	I	I	I	I	I	ı	ı	I	I	ı
: Segment	Full Bore Rupture (>125mm)		-	1.6E-05	7.2E-07	9.3E-07	2.2E-04	2.2E-04	2.2E-04	3.9E-05	7.2E-05	7.2E-05	7.5E-06	2.8E-06	1.8E-06	1.6E-06	2.7E-06	5.0E-06	4.1E-06	2.6E-04	1.3E-04	4.2E-04	1.1E-04
by Isolatable Segment	Large (75mm∼ 125mm)		-	1.5E-04	6.5E-06	8.4E-06	2.0E-03	2.0E-03	2.0E-03	3.5E-04	3.7E-04	3.7E-04	6.8E-05	2.6E-05	1.6E-05	1.4E-05	2.4E-05	4.5E-05	3.7E-05	ı	ı	3.7E-03	1.0E-03
equency, b	Medium (25mm∼ 75mm)	3.3E-03	1.6E-03	2.1E-04	3.0E-06	3.6E-06	1.2E-03	1.2E-03	1.2E-03	4.8E-04	4.5E-04	4.5E-04	5.5E-05	1.8E-05	1.1E-05	9.0E-06	1.5E-05	4.9E-05	2.1E-05	4.5E-04	2.3E-04	2.5E-03	1.5E-03
of Leak Frequency,	Small (3mm∼ 25mm)	3.3E-02	1.6E-02	1.9E-03	3.3E-05	3.9E-05	1.4E-02	1.4E-02	1.4E-02	5.4E-03	4.2E-03	4.2E-03	6.8E-04	2.2E-04	1.4E-04	1.1E-04	1.8E-04	4.1E-04	2.5E-04	1.3E-03	6.3E-04	2.5E-02	1.3E-02
Table III-4: Summary	ISO-Segment Name	Railcar Unload Arms	Railcar Vapor Arms	Unloading Vapor Return	Unloading Vapor Return	Propane Unloading Line	Propane Unloading Storage Group 1	Propane Unloading Storage Group 2	Propane Unloading Storage Group 3	Propane Rundown Pipe	Storage Tank 1	Storage Tank 2	Vapor from Tank to BOG	Propane Loading Line - Loading Mode	Propane Loading Line - Holding Mode	Propane Recirculation Line	Loading Vapor Return Line to Tank	Jetty Loading Pipe	Vapor Return Jetty Pipe	Liquid Loading Arm	Vapor Recovery Arm	C3 Feed & Comp. 3rd	BOG Compressor
	Unit Name	Railcar Unloading	Railcar Unloading	Railcar Unloading	Railcar Unloading	Railcar Unloading	Propane Bullets	Propane Bullets	Propane Bullets	Propane Refrigeration	Propane Storage Tank	Propane Storage Tank	Propane Storage Tank	Propane Ship Loading	Propane Ship Loading	Propane Ship Loading	Propane Ship Loading	Propane Ship Loading	Propane Ship Loading	Propane Ship Loading	Propane Ship Loading	Propane Refrigeration	Propane Refrigeration
	ISO- Segm ent ID	R-01	R-02	R-03	R-04	R-05	B-06	B-07	B-08	F-06	S-01	S-02	S-03	M-01	M-02	Ю-03	M-04	M-05	90-W	<i>2</i> 0-М	M-08	F-01	F-02
	ISO- Segm ent #	1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22

16 March 2015

Page III-10

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible. DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

ar	ISO-Segment Name C3 Comp. 2nd
5.6E-03	C3 Comp. 1st 5.
1.1E-02	C3 Accumulator 1.1
1.7E-01	1.75

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible.

DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

16 March 2015

Page III-11





DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

16 March 2015

Page III-12

#### III.3.3 Frequency by Release Events

The isolatable sections may be split into several sub-release events depending on the variable process conditions. Table III-5 and Figure III-4 present the release frequency corresponding to the release events defined for the railcar unloading, common area and marine loading.

There are 48 release events defined for the facility, each with up to four hole sizes modeled. In addition, propane bullets BLEVE and refrigerated tank rupture scenarios are modeled as well.

The Railcar Unloading Arms (R01-01Z), Railcar Vapor Return Arms (R01-02Z) and BOG Compressor (F02-02Z) are the top three events, contributing approximately 34% of the total frequencies across the facility.

Table I	II-5: Relea	ase Frequenc	Table III-5: Release Frequency (per year) by Release Event Scenario	by Release Ev	ent Scenario			
		Small	Medium	Large	Full Bore	BLEVE/	Total	
Event Description	Event ID	(3mm∼ 25mm)	(25mm∼ 75mm)	(75mm∼ 125mm)	Rupture (>125mm)	Tank Rupture	Frequency (per year)	%
Railcar Unloading Arm	R01-01Z	3.3E-02	3.3E-03	-	-		3.6E-02	17.5%
Railcar Vapor Return Arm	R01-02Z	1.6E-02	1.6E-03	-	-		1.8E-02	8.7%
Unloading Vapor Return - Compressor	R01-03Z	1.9E-03	2.1E-04	1.5E-04	1.6E- <b>05</b>	I	2.3E-03	1.1%
Unloading Vapor Return - Pipe to Railcar	R01-04Z	3.3E-05	3.0E-06	6.5E-06	7.2E-07	I	4.3E-05	0.0%
Propane Unloading Pipe	R01-05Z	3.9E-05	3.6E-06	8.4E- <b>06</b>	9.3E-07		5.2E-05	0.0%
Propane Unloading Storage Group1 (connections) - Liquid	B01-06A	7.7E-03	6.4E-04	8.3E-04	9.2E-05	1	9.3E-03	4.5%
Propane Unloading Storage Group1 (connections ) - Gas	B01-06B	6.1E-03	5.4E-04	1.1E-03	1.2E-04	I	7.9E-03	3.8%
Propane Unloading Storage Group2 (connections ) - Liquid	B01-07A	7.7E-03	6.4E-04	8.3E-04	9.2E-05	I	9.3E-03	4.5%
Propane Unloading Storage Group2 (connections) - Gas	B01-07B	6.1E-03	5.4E-04	1.1E-03	1.2E-04	I	7.9E-03	3.8%
Propane Unloading Storage Group3 (connections) - Liquid	B01-08A	7.7E-03	6.4E-04	8.3E-04	9.2E-05	I	9.3E-03	4.5%
Propane Unloading Storage Group3 (connections) - Gas	B01-08B	6.1E-03	5.4E-04	1.1E-03	1.2E-04	I	7.9E-03	3.8%
Propane Unloading Storage Group1 - Bullets	B01-06C	4.0E-05	2.0E-05	2.0E-05	8.0E-06	4.0E-05	1.3E-04	0.1%
Propane Unloading Storage Group2 - Bullets	B01-07C	4.0E-05	2.0E-05	2.0E-05	8.0E-06	4.0E-05	1.3E-04	0.1%
Propane Unloading Storage Group3 - Bullets	B01-08C	4.0E-05	2.0E-05	2.0E-05	8.0E-06	4.0E-05	1.3E-04	0.1%
Propane Rundown Pumps	F02-06A	4.1E-03	3.7E-04	2.1E-04	2.4E-05	I	4.7E-03	2.3%
Propane Rundown Pipe to Storage Tank	F02-06B	1.3E-03	1.0E-04	1.4E-04	1.5E-05	I	1.6E-03	0.8%
Storage Tank 1 - Connections Gas	S04-01A	1.5E-03	2.4E-04	9.9E-05	1.1E-05	ı	1.9E-03	0.9%
Storage Tank 1 - Connections Liquid	S04-01B	2.6E-03	2.1E-04	2.8E-04	3.1E-05	ı	3.1E-03	1.5%
Storage Tank 1	S04-01C	-			3.0E-05	1.1E-05	4.1E-05	0.0%
Storage Tank 2 - Connections Gas	S04-02A	1.5E-03	2.4E-04	9.9E-05	1.1E-05	ı	1.9E-03	0.9%
Storage Tank 2 - Connections Liquid	S04-02B	2.6E-03	2.1E-04	2.8E-04	3.1E-05	ı	3.1E-03	1.5%
Storage Tank 2	S04-02C	-	'	ı	3.0E-05	1.1E-05	4.1E-05	0.0%
Vapor from Tank to BOG - Pipe	S04-03Z	6.8E-04	5.5E-05	6.8E-05	7.5E-06	ı	8.2E-04	0.4%
Marine Propane Loading Line - Loading Mode	M03-01Z	2.2E-04	1.8E-05	2.6E-05	2.8E-06	ı	2.6E-04	0.1%
Marine Propane Loading Line - Holding Mode	M03-02Z	1.4E-04	1.1E-05	1.6E-05	1.8E-06		1.7E-04	0.1%
Propane Recirculation	M03-03Z	1.1E-04	9.0E-06	1.4E-05	1.6E-06	I	1.4E-04	0.1%

cv (ner vear) hv Release Event Scenario ..... Table III-5: Release Fre

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible. DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

Page III-14

Event Description	Event ID	Small (3mm∼ 25mm)	Medium (25mm∼ 75mm)	Large (75mm∼ 125mm)	Full Bore Rupture (>125mm)	BLEVE/ Tank Rupture	Total Frequency (per year)	%
Loading Vapor Return Line to Tank	M03-04Z	1.8E-04	1.5E-05	2.4E-05	2.7E-06	-	2.3E-04	0.1%
Jetty Loading Pipe	M03-05Z	4.1E-04	4.9E-05	4.5E-05	5.0E-06	-	5.1E-04	0.2%
Vapor Return Jetty Pipe	M03-06Z	2.5E-04	2.1E-05	3.7E-05	4.1E-06	I	3.2E-04	0.2%
Liquid Loading Arm	M03-07Z	1.3E-03	4.5E-04	-	2.6E-04	I	2.0E-03	1.0%
Vapor Recovery Arm	M03-08Z	6.3E-04	2.3E-04	-	1.3E-04	-	9.9E-04	0.5%
Propane Feeding Pumps	F02-01A	7.8E-03	7.1E-04	9.6E-04	1.1E-04	-	9.6E-03	4.6%
Propane Subcooler	F02-01B	5.2E-03	5.3E-04	9.4E-04	1.0E-04	-	6.8E-03	3.3%
HP Suction Drum - Liquid	F02-01C	1.7E-03	1.9E-04	2.4E-04	2.7E-05	-	2.1E-03	1.0%
HP Suction Drum - Gas	F02-01D	1.9E-03	2.2E-04	3.6E-04	4.1E-05	-	2.6E-03	1.2%
HP Propane Compression	F02-01E	2.5E-03	2.8E-04	2.3E-04	<b>2.6E-</b> 05	ı	3.0E-03	1.5%
BOG Air Cooler	F02-01F	5.7E-03	5.7E-04	1.0E-03	1.1E-04	-	7.4E-03	3.6%
BOG Compressor	F02-02Z	1.3E-02	1.5E-03	1.0E-03	1.1E-04	ı	1.6E-02	7.6%
MP Suction Drum - Liquid	F02-03A	1.2E-03	1.6E-04	2.1E-04	2.3E-05	I	1.6E-03	0.8%
MP Suction Drum - Gas	F02-03B	1.9E-03	2.2E-04	<b>3.6E-</b> 04	4.1E-05	ı	2.6E-03	1.2%
MP Propane Compression	F02-03C	2.5E-03	<b>2.8</b> E-04	2.3E-04	2.6E-05	ı	3.0E-03	1.5%
LP Suction Drum - Liquid	F02-04A	1.2E-03	1.6E-04	2.1E-04	2.3E-05	ı	1.6E-03	0.8%
LP Suction Drum - Gas	F02-04B	1.9E-03	2.2E-04	3.6E-04	4.1E-05	ı	2.6E-03	1.2%
LP Propane Compression	F02-04C	2.5E-03	2.8E-04	2.3E-04	2.6E-05	ı	3.0E-03	1.5%
Propane Air Cooler Liquid	F02-05A	2.5E-03	2.5E-04	4.6E-04	5.1E-05	ı	3.2E-03	1.6%
Propane Air Cooler Gas	F02-05B	1.7E-03	1.8E-04	2.9E-04	3.2E-05	ı	2.2E-03	1.0%
Propane Accumulator & Condenser - Liquid	F02-05C	<b>2.1E-</b> 03	3.2E-04	2.7E-04	3.0E-05	ı	2.8E-03	1.3%
Propane Accumulator & Condenser - Gas	F02-05D	5.2E-03	7.1E-04	8.6E-04	9.5E-05	I	6.8E-03	3.3%
Total		1.7E-01	1.8E-02	1.6E-02	2.2E-03	1.4E-04	2.1E-01	100.0%

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible.

DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

16 March 2015

Page III-15



Page III-16

16 March 2015

DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

#### **III.4 References**

- 1. Pembina Propane Facility QRA Study Study Basis, Rev. 0, DNV GL 2015-02-24.
- 2. Hydrocarbon Release Database (HCRD), UK Health and Safety Executive (HSE), October 1992 March 2010.
- 3. ACDS, 1991. Major Hazard Aspects of the Transport of Dangerous Substances, Advisory Committee on Dangerous Substances, Health & Safety Commission, HMSO Major hazard aspects of the transport of dangerous substances.
- 4. DNG GL 2013, Failure Frequency Guidance Process Equipment Leak Frequency Data for Use in QRA.
- 5. UK HSE 2012, Failure Rate and Event Data for Use within Risk Assessment.

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible.

**APPENDIX IV: CONSEQUENCE ASSESSMENT** 

# Table of Contents

IV	CONSEQUENCE ASSESSMENT IV-2	3
IV.1	IntroductionIV-	3
IV.2	Scenario DevelopmentIV-3	3
IV.3	Release RateIV-	3
IV.4	Consequence modeling	4
IV.4.1	MeteorologyIV-4	4
IV.4.2	General ApproachIV-	5
IV.4.3	Flammable ScenariosIV-e	5
IV.4.4	Toxic ScenariosIV-9	
IV.5	Fire Consequence Results IV-9	
IV.5.1	Jet Fire Events	10
IV.5.2	Pool Fire Events	10
IV.5.3	Flash Fire Events	
IV.5.4	BLEVE and Fireball Events	44
IV.5.5	Key Hazard ZonesIV-4	
IV.6	Worst Case Assessment IV-4	
IV.7	ReferencesIV-2	49

Attachment IV-1 Pembina Portland Propane Terminal Worst Case Assessment Rev. 2

Attachment IV-2 Pembina Portland Propane Terminal Additional Hazard Zone Models Rev. 2

# **IV CONSEQUENCE ASSESSMENT**

# IV.1 Introduction

This appendix presents the consequence analysis of major accident hazards identified and modeled for the Pembina Propane Terminal, which includes all sections from the propane unloading from railcar up to and including the marine loading arms at the jetty. All representative release scenarios identified from propane receiving from the rail car, refrigeration compression, transfer pipelines, propane storage, and propane loading and vapor return arms at the jetty are included in this appendix.

#### IV.2 Scenario Development

The scenario selection is conducted on a sectional basis. Failure cases (i.e., specific release scenarios to be modeled in the QRA) are defined by dividing the facility and systems into sections with similar characteristics. The scenario development is documented in Appendix II: Scenario Development Ref. (1).

# IV.3 Release Rate

The key parameters determining the behavior of each release, and the subsequent consequences, are: the representative release rate, the duration of the release (which is related to the inventory available for release), and the release velocity. The temperature of the release and additional liquid and vapor properties are also relevant parameters. The general approach adopted in deriving each of these parameters is described in Appendix I: Study Basis Ref. (2). Release rate is discussed in more detail in the current appendix.

The actual mass flow rate from any release scenario varies with time as the inventory and pressure in the isolatable section decreases following emergency shutdown (ESD) and isolation. However, any impacts to personnel from immediate ignition events are rapid, and if not immediately ignited, the subsequent dispersion (relevant to delayed ignition events) is largely determined by the release rate within the initial moments.

The representative release rate, Q (lb/hr), selected in each case is generally taken as the initial maximum release rate,  $Q_0$  (lb/hr), which is calculated within the Phast discharge model. However, certain key scenarios are considered where the representative release rate is adjusted from the initial maximum  $Q_0$ :

- If the initial maximum release rate, Q<sub>0</sub>, is very large, greater than 2 × NFR (normal flow rate), the initial release rate is of very short duration:
  - a) For vapor releases, the representative release rate (to be considered in Phast) is based on the average rate over the first minute. This typically results in Q being between  $\frac{1}{4}$  and  $\frac{2}{3}$  of Q<sub>0</sub>, where any residual release at the inflow rate (after depletion of the segment inventory, before isolation occurs) has a negligible impact in comparison to this initial release.
  - b) For liquid releases, the representative release rate is the average of  $(0.1 \times Q_0)$  and NFR. This approach is from the DNV GL's internal practice applied on previous projects.
- For less substantial releases (i.e.  $Q_0$  lower than 2 × NFR) the representative release rate is taken as the initial release rate (i.e.  $Q = Q_0$ ). Where  $Q_0$  is greater than the inflow rate, this assumption is

conservative resulting in larger consequence zones, and compensates for the likelihood of a longer duration residual release at NFR.

• The above considerations apply where the initial release is driven by the inventory of the segment, or by that of a specific vessel. Where releases occur downstream of a pump or compressor, the release rate is typically driven by the normal flow rate of the section in forward flow. Therefore, where back-flow from the upstream inventory is not credible, the release rate (Q) is capped at a maximum of 125% of the inflow rate, i.e. Q = 1.25 × NFR.

Table III-1 summarizes the release parameters applied for this study.

Туре	Description	Release Rate, Q (kg/s)
Inventory	Liquid/vapor releases downstream of a vessel (or significant inventory), i.e. inventory-driven releases. Influenced by the available mass, which includes consideration of connected / linked inventories.	If $Q_0 > 2 \times NFR$ : apply average rate over the first minute for vapor releases; Apply $Q = (0.1 Q_0 + NFR)/2$ for liquid release. If $Q_0 < 2 \times NFR$ , apply initial rate calculated by Phast, $Q_0$
Pumped/ Compressed	Liquid/vapor releases restricted by flow rate (with allowance for pump/ compressor overrun to compensate for release).	Restricted to a maximum of 125% of NFR: If $Q_0 > 1.25 \times$ NFR, apply $Q = 1.25 \times$ NFR. If $Q_0 < 1.25 \times$ NFR, apply $Q = Q_0$

#### **Table III-1: Release Parameters**

# IV.4 Consequence modeling

This section summarizes the methods adopted in deriving the consequences associated with the defined release scenarios. The following descriptions are based on the potential different hazard types modeled, which include jet fires, pool fires, and vapor cloud dispersion which may lead to flash fires or vapor cloud explosions (VCE).

#### IV.4.1 Meteorology

The dispersion of a cloud of hazardous material is governed by the wind speed, wind direction and the atmospheric stability. Factors, which increase the dilution of a hazardous cloud with respect to distance traveled, are increasing wind speeds and decreasing stability of the atmosphere. However, high winds may transport hazardous materials far downwind before they become sufficiently diluted to no longer pose a hazard. An unstable atmosphere, typically experienced on a sunny day, causes increased vertical mixing, which further dilutes the hazardous clouds as they disperse downwind. The effect of wind direction is obvious in that only receptors downwind of the release are affected.

The meteorological data used in the Phast model consist of wind speed, humidity, solar radiation flux and ambient temperature. The temperature and humidity used for this study are 82°F and 0.4 for summer condition, 35°F and 0.69 for winter condition. The general meteorological data applied in the analysis are documented in the Study Basis Assumption 5 Ref. (2). The weather stability classes used in the study are

- B1.8 (B stability and 1.8 m/s or 4.0 mph wind speed)
- C/D2.2 (C/D stability and 2.2 m/s or 4.9 mph wind speed)
- D7.2 (D stability and 7.2 m/s or 16.1 mph wind speed)
- D2.9 (D stability and 2.9 m/s or 6.5 mph wind speed)
- F1.8 (F stability and 1.8 m/s or 4.0 mph wind speed)

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible.

# IV.4.2 General Approach

For each release event defined, the magnitude of the potential consequences / hazard zones is estimated using DNV GL's proprietary software package Phast v6.7. These consequence results are used as input to the risk model within Phast Risk to calculate risk to personnel.

Each release event may pose several different types of hazards as described in Table III-2.

		Hazard Type (Consequence)	
Release Type	Immediate Ignition	Delayed Ignition	Toxic (no ignition)
Vapor release – leak	Jet fire	VCE / flash fire	-
Vapor release – instantaneous release	Fireball	VCE / flash fire	-
Flashing (2-phase) liquid	Jet fire	VCE / flash fire / jet or pool fire	-
Liquid release	Pool fire	Pool fire + VCE / flash fire of vaporized cloud Possible BLEVE (due to escalation)	-
Toxic gas release	-	-	Toxic gas dispersion

When a release occurs in an open field, free of obstructions in the downwind direction, the vapor plume tends to have a longer dispersion distance but smaller cross-sectional width. If the release occurs in a congested area, it is expected that the release jet likely impinges on the surrounding obstructions. This impingement alters the jet's momentum, resulting in a wider plume width as forward momentum is transferred laterally, thus increasing plume-air mixing and reducing the downwind dispersion distance. An impinged release may also divert the dispersion direction depending on the geometry of the obstruction and release condition. The Pembina Propane Terminal generally has a low congestion level; hence the releases are modeled as unobstructed, horizontal releases.

If delayed ignition occurs, this can result in either a Vapor Cloud Explosion (VCE) if ignition occurs in a congested area, or a flash fire if ignition occurs in an unconfined area. Liquid releases may result in different consequences according to the release conditions. These are generally determined by whether there is a significant initial flash (if the liquid is pressurized or the temperature is above the boiling point of the liquid) or whether the release is predominantly liquid upon release (if the liquid is stabilized or cryogenic). Flashing liquid releases may or may not have rainout. If no rainout occurs, pool fire hazards are not credible. Where rainout occurs, pool fire and pool vaporization consequences are modeled.

The general release schematics from any stream follow the flowchart shown in Figure III-1 Ref. (3). In this study most of the releases falls into the area marked in red in the figure.



Figure III-1: Consequence Release model

# IV.4.3 Flammable Scenarios

All immediately ignited releases are modeled as either jet or pool fires, unless the release is instantaneous or very rapid (less than 20 seconds) in which case a fireball is applied. All delayed ignition events are modeled as flash fires or VCEs, where pool fires will accompany the flash fires/VCEs for liquid spills.

Most delayed ignition events also burn-back to form jet or pool fires that follow the initial flash fire or VCE, although the impacts to personnel are dominated by the initial flash fire/VCE effects. The jet or pool fire, however, is important to the escalation potential.

#### IV.4.3.1 Jet fires

The widely used Cone (Shell) model is applied as the basis for the jet fire modeling within Phast, which describes the shape of a jet flame as a frustum of a cone. The parameters describing the frustum, accounting for choked flow, are derived from comparisons with experimental data from laboratory and field tests. The key input parameters in defining jet fires are release rate, velocity, material, and release elevation. For the purpose of the risk calculations, immediate fatality is assumed for all personnel within the 35 kW/m<sup>2</sup> radiation contour of a jet fire or a pool fire.

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible.

A horizontal jet fire typically results in a larger hazard zone than a vertical or angled release and is generally more hazardous for personnel and equipment. The jet flame lengths and the subsequent radiation hazard ranges are primarily driven by the release rate and the material.

#### IV.4.3.2 Pool fires

The pool fire model in Phast calculates the shape and intensity of the flame, and a range of radiation results. A pool fire flame is modeled as a cylinder sheared in the direction of the wind, with diameter, height, and tilt angle (measured from the vertical). The flame shape gives input to the radiation calculations. The pool diameter is calculated by

- <u>Continuous liquid leak</u> The stable burning size is calculated, where the mass burning rate balances the mass release rate of liquid; the pool diameter is, then, the lesser of the stable burning size or the bund diameter if a bund is defined.
- <u>Unbunded leak</u> If a bund area is not defined, the pool fire model takes into account any physical barriers to the spread of the liquid pool. As such, the pool is allowed to spread at a uniform depth until it attains a minimum thickness at a steady state. Factors such as sloping, drainage, and curbing in the immediate area are therefore not taken into account in determining the pool diameter.

The surface area of a pool is a critical parameter for fire calculations. Models are available for spills onto flat ground for both continuous spills (Mudan and Croce) Ref. (4) and instantaneous spills (Raj and Kalelkar) Ref. (5).

The simplest calculation Ref. (6) is for continuous spills, where the steady state pool diameter is calculated when the (burning rate x surface area) = (leak rate). This assumes no confinement by a dike or curb.

 $D_{max} = 2 \times (V_L / \pi y)$ where (all in common units),  $D_{max} = maximum pool diameter$  $V_L = Volumetric discharge rate$ y = burning rate

Consequences from ignition of an "infinite" spreading pool are overly conservative. Phast model tends to overpredict pool vaporization effects due to the increased surface area as the pool spreads when there is no bund present. To reduce some conservatism in the model, a bund is specified for all liquid releases with an area of 360,000 m<sup>2</sup> (3,875,010 ft<sup>2</sup>). This reduces the potential for overly conservative and unrealistic rainout distances from the source and limits pool diameter.

#### IV.4.3.3 Fireballs

All immediately ignited releases are modeled as either jet or pool fires, unless the release is instantaneous or very rapid (less than 20 seconds) in which case a fireball is applied.

#### IV.4.3.4 Flash fires

A flash fire is effectively the advancing flame front of an ignited vapor cloud. Although it presents significant personnel hazards (any outdoor personnel caught within the flash fire envelope are considered immediate fatalities), flash fires do not cause significant structural damage. There is little radiation outside of the LFL contour, and damage done by the flash fire should be restricted to ignition of easily ignitable materials such as flammable vapor vents, cabling and plastic. Furthermore, flash fires do not generally create overpressures and as such their damage is limited to thermal impacts only.

The consequence results for potential flash fire events are presented in the form of flash fire effect zones represented by LFL and ½LFL contours. Wind speed and atmospheric stability may have a significant effect on the dispersion of a vapor cloud, which ultimately determines distances to LFL and ½LFL concentrations. It should be noted that the results relate to worst-case hazard ranges, i.e. maximum downwind distance reached.

#### IV.4.3.5 Vapor Clouds

The gas dispersion model within Phast requires as inputs: material, phase, release rate, duration, and velocity. Where the cloud is ignited without being in contact with any area of congestion, a *flash fire* is assumed to occur. The flammable cloud envelope defining the flash fire envelope is taken as the distance to lower flammable limit (LFL), i.e. is equivalent to the cloud dimensions.

The TNO or Multi-Energy (ME) model Ref. (7) is applied for the VCE assessment. The TNO model predicts explosion effects in terms of peak overpressure in the vicinity around an explosion, for an explosion occurring at the stoichiometric concentration within a congested region. The congested regions are defined in terms of location, geometry, and the degree of congestion/confinement. Each congested region is given a corresponding ME curve number. The correlation of the TNO's ME curve number to peak side-on-overpressure is displayed as curves in Figure III-2.

Curves 6 to 10 converge in the far field, i.e., the overpressure predicted in the far field is the same for Curve 6 to 10, and only in the near field is the predicted overpressure different. Therefore, the impact of vapor cloud explosion on offsite populations (more likely located in the far field) is not sensitive to the TNO curve selection if curve 6 or above is used. However, as indicated in Figure III-2 impact on the near field working personnel is very sensitive to the TNO curve used for explosion modeling. Selection of the TNO curve is mainly based on the congested level of the obstructed areas on the facility Note that all of the congested areas, to which the TNO curve 5 or 5.5 are generally suitable, are defined in the in Appendix I Study Basis Assumption 22 Ref. (2).

The predicted overpressure caused by a VCE is associated with the volume (mass) of the flammable cloud confined within the obstructed region(s), which needs to be differentiated from the entire volume of the vapor cloud or the total released inventory. In this study, the amount of the flammable cloud confined within the congested region(s) with the concentration between LFL and UFL is used for the overpressure calculation.



Figure III-2: TNO Multi-Energy Curves

# IV.4.4 Toxic Scenarios

The toxic hazards are not considered in this QRA study.

# IV.5 Fire Consequence Results

Table III-4 and Table III-5 summarize hazard zones for jet fire and pool fire downwind distance to the following thermal radiation levels at 1 m height:  $5 \text{ kW/m}^2$ ,  $12.5 \text{ kW/m}^2$  and  $35 \text{ kW/m}^2$ .

Table III-6 summarizes hazard zones for flammable cloud downwind dispersion distance to LFL and 0.5 LFL concentrations, at 1 m (3.3 ft) height for each release event.

The downwind distances are reported at 1 m (3.3 ft) height as this is typically where personnel are generally located. In most cases, the radiation received downwind from the jet fire radiation is worse than the pool fire radiation.

Note that although 12 different weather conditions (six for both summer and winter each) are modeled, only the worst distances are reported for each scenario and hazard.

# IV.5.1 Jet Fire Events

Significant jet fire hazards occur from several sections due to high pressure releases from rupture or large events. The largest jet fire thermal impact distance is found to be generated by the rupture of the propane storage tank 1 (S04-01C-R2), which has a release rate of 22,555,908 lb/hr at -44°F / 19 psia. The 5 kW/m<sup>2</sup>, 12.5 kW/m<sup>2</sup> and 35 kW/m<sup>2</sup> thermal radiation levels can reach 528 m (1,732 ft), 429 m (1,407 ft) and 354 m (1,160 ft), respectively, at F 1.8 m/s (4.0 mph) winter-night weather condition.

#### **IV.5.2** Pool Fire Events

For pool fires, the largest hazard distance from a steady state pool fire event is caused by the rupture release with 1000 mm (40 inch) hole size from the refrigerated storage tank 2 (S04-02C-R2), which has a release rate of 22,555,908 lb/hr at -44°F / 19 psia. The 5 kW/m<sup>2</sup>, 12.5 kW/m<sup>2</sup> and 35 kW/m<sup>2</sup> thermal radiation levels can reach 517 m (1,696 ft), 365 m (1,198 ft) and 249 m (816 ft), respectively, at D 7.2 m/s (16.1 mph) winter-day weather condition.

#### IV.5.3 Flash Fire Events

For the flash fire, the largest hazard distance is also caused by the catastrophic rupture release from the refrigerated storage tank 1 (S04-01C-R3). The ½LFL and LFL can travel as far as 4,931 m (16,179 ft / 3.1 mi) and 3,762 m (12,341 ft / 2.3 mi), respectively, at F1.8 m/s (4.0 mph) summer-night weather condition.

		DC .C-TTT -DI		ם למו ל			no no	Table 111-3. Jet The Hazard 201165 (1111 [3:3 11] above ground level) by weather Category	רמרכא	× 10	
		Doloseo		ō	stance to 5 kW/m²		Dista	Distance to 12.5 kW/m <sup>2</sup>		Dista	Distance to 35 kW/m <sup>2</sup>
<b>Event Description</b>	Event Name	Rate	Dist	Max Distance	Weather Condition	Ma Dista	Max Distance	Weather Condition	Max Distance	x nce	Weather Condition
			(m)	(ft)		(m)	(ft)		(m)	(ft)	
Railcar Unloading	R01-01Z-S	26,528	41	135	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	34	111	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	28	92	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
arm	R01-01Z-M	294,751	125	412	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	104	341	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	88	288	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Railcar vapor return	R01-02Z-S	3,534	7.3	24	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D
arm	R01-02Z-M	39,263	39	127	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	33	107	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	25	83	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
	R01-03Z-S	2,063	8.0	26	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D	7.3	24	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	6. <b>6</b>	22	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
Unloading Vapor	R01-03Z-M	51,579	44	144	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	38	125	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	34	111	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
compressor	R01-03Z-L	97,092	59	195	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	51	168	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	45	147	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
-	R01-03Z-R	97,092	59	195	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	51	168	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	45	147	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
	R01-04Z-S	1,571	7.0	23	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D	6.4	21	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	5.2	17	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) W-N
Unloading Vapor	R01-04Z-M	39,263	39	126	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	34	111	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	30	98	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
railcar	R01-04Z-L	97,075	60	195	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	51	169	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	45	148	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
	R01-04Z-R	132,775	70	231	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	57	188	<b>D</b> 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	52	171	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
	R01-05Z-S	13,344	30	100	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	25	84	<b>B 1.8m</b> /s (4.0mph) W-D	22	72	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Propane Unloading	R01-05Z-M	333,599	132	432	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	109	358	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	92	303	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Pipe	R01-05Z-L	1,334,397	247	809	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	203	666	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	171	562	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	R01-05Z-R	4,717,775	462	1515	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	377	1238	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	316	1035	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Dronane   n oading	B01-06A-S	12,729	30	67	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	25	81	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	21	70	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Storage Group1	B01-06A-M	318,219	128	421	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	106	349	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	90	296	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
(connections) –	B01-06A-L	1,272,878	241	789	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	198	650	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	167	549	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
riquia*	B01-06A-R	2,150,501	305	1000	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	251	822	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	211	692	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Pronane Unloading	B01-06B-S	1,571	7.0	23	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D	6.4	21	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	5.2	17	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) W-N
Storage Group1	B01-06B-M	39,263	39	126	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	34	111	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	30	98	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
(connections) –	B01-06B-L	156,641	74	242	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	63	207	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	55	180	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
uds."	B01-06B-R	963,648	171	561	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	133	436	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	111	364	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
Pronane Unloading	B01-07A-S	12,729	30	97	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	25	81	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	21	70	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Storage Group2	B01-07A-M	318,219	128	421	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	106	349	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	90	296	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
(connections) –	B01-07A-L	1,272,878	241	789	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	198	650	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	167	549	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
ridnia*	B01-07A-R	2,150,501	305	1000	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	251	822	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	211	692	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Pronane Unloading	B01-07B-S	1,571	7.0	23	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D	6.4	21	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	5.2	17	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) W-N
Storage Group2	B01-07B-M	39,263	39	126	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	34	111	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	30	98	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
(connections) –	B01-07B-L	156,641	74	242	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	63	207	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	55	180	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
uas*	B01-07B-R	963,648	171	561	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	133	436	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	111	364	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
Propane Unloading	B01-08A-S	12,729	30	97	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	25	81	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	21	70	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Storage Group3 (connections) –	B01-08A-M	318,219	128	421	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	106	349	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	90	296	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Liquid*	B01-08A-L	1,272,878	241	789	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	198	650	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	167	549	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D

Table III-3: Jet Fire Hazard Zones (1m [3.3 ft] above ground level) by Weather Category

DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible.

Page IV-11

		Dologo		Dista	Distance to 5 kW/m <sup>2</sup>		Dista	Distance to 12.5 kW/m <sup>2</sup>			Distance to 35 kW/m <sup>2</sup>
<b>Event Description</b>	Event	Rate	Σ	Max		Max	X		Max		
	Name	(Ib/hr)	(m)	n) (ft)	Weather Condition	(m) (ft	ance (ft)	Weather Condition	(m) (ft)	(ft)	Weather Condition
	B01-08A-R	2,150,501	305	1000	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	251	822	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	211 (	692	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Dronane Hnloading	B01-08B-S	1,571	7.0	23	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D	6.4	21	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	5.2	17	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) W-N
Storage Group3	B01-08B-M	39,263	39	126	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	34	111	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	30	98	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
(connections) –	B01-08B-L	156,641	74	242	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	63	207	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	55	180	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
Gas*	B01-08B-R	963,648	171	561	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	133	436	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	111 3	364	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
	B01-06C-S	21,512	38	123	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	32	103	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	27	89	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Propane Unloading	B01-06C-M	79,555	68	224	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	57	187	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	49	159	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Bullets	B01-06C-L	318,219	128	421	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	106	349	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	90	296	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	B01-06C-R	2,153,812	305	1001	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	251	823	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	211 (	693	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	B01-07C-S	21,512	38	123	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	32	103	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	27	89	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Propane Unloading	B01-07C-M	79,555	68	224	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	57	187	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	49	159	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
storage Groupz - Bullets	B01-07C-L	318,219	128	421	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	106	349	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	06	296	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	B01-07C-R	2,153,812	305	1001	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	251	823	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	211 (	693	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	B01-08C-S	21,512	38	123	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	32	103	<b>B</b> 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	27	89	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Propane Unloading	B01-08C-M	79,555	68	224	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	57	187	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	49	159	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
storage Groups - Bullets	B01-08C-L	318,219	128	421	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	106	349	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	2 06	296	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	B01-08C-R	2,153,812	305	1001	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	251	823	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	211 (	693	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	F02-06A-S	2,119	15	50	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	13	42	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	11	35	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Propane Rundown	F02-06A-M	52,987	58	192	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	49	160	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	41	133	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Pumps	F02-06A-L	211,948	101	331	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	83	273	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	69	228	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	F02-06A-R	434,989	131	431	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	108	355	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	90	296	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	F02-06B-S	1,463	11	36	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	9.2	30	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	8.2	27	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Propane Rundown	F02-06B-M	36,580	43	140	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	36	117	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	30	98	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	F02-06B-L	146,321	75	246	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	62	205	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	52	172	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	F02-06B-R	1,397,738	174	572	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	144	472	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	120	394	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	S04-01A-S	67			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D
Storage Tank 1 -	S04-01A-M	1,679			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D
connections – Gas*	S04-01A-L	6,716			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D
	S04-01A-R	41,972			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D
	S04-01B-S	2,255			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D
Storage Tank 1 -	S04-01B-M	56,381	43	142	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D
Liquid*	S04-01B-L	225,524	130	426	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	90	296	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D
-	S04-01B-R	434,857	181	595	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	138	453	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	94	310	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
F	S04-02A-S	67			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D
connections – Gas*	S04-02A-M	1,679			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D	·		B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D
	S04-02A-L	6,716			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D
	-	•									

16 March 2015

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible. DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

		Doloco		Distar	nce to 5 kW/m <sup>2</sup>		Distai	Distance to 12.5 kW/m <sup>2</sup>		Dista	Distance to 35 kW/m²
Event Description	Event	Rate	Σ	Мах		Max	X		Σ	Max	
	Name	(lb/hr)	Dist (m)	Distance n) (ft)	Weather Condition	Distance (m) (ft)	(ft)	Weather Condition	(m)	Distance n) (ft)	Weather Condition
	S04-02A-R	41,972		1	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D	1	1	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D	1	1	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D
	S04-02B-S	2,255			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D
Storage Tank 2 -	S04-02B-M	56,381	63	207	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D
comecuous - Liquid*	S04-02B-L	225,524	136	445	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	103	339	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	71	231	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
-	S04-02B-R	434,857	188	616	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	147	482	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	110	361	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Earthquake	EQ-R	2,029,714	228	746	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	186	612	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	155	508	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	S04-01C-R1	2,029,714	228	746	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	186	612	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	155	508	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Storage Lank L	S04-01C-R2	22,552,378	528	1732	F 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-N	429	1407	F 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-N	354	1160	F 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-N
C JacT operat	S04-02C-R1	2,029,714	228	746	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	186	612	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	155	508	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	S04-02C-R2	22,552,378	528	1732	F 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-N	429	1407	F 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-N	354	1160	F 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-N
	S04-03Z-S	29	3.6	12	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-N			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D
Vapor from Tank to	S04-03Z-M	1,679	11	37	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	11	37	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	11	37	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
BOG – pipe	S04-03Z-L	6,716	20	65	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	20	64	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	20	64	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
	S04-03Z-R	53,053	57	186	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-N	54	178	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-N	52	172	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-N
	F02-01A-S	12,220	29	96	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	25	80	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	21	69	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Propane Feed	F02-01A-M	305,492	127	416	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	105	345	<b>B</b> 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	89	292	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Pumps	F02-01A-L	370,183	138	454	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	115	376	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	97	319	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	F02-01A-R	369,779	138	454	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	114	376	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	97	318	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	F02-01B-S	1,029	8.3	27	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	7.1	23	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	6.1	20	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	F02-01B-M	25,725	37	121	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	31	102	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	27	87	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	F02-01B-L	102,899	70	229	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	58	192	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	50	164	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	F02-01B-R	386,032	131	430	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	109	357	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	93	304	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	F02-01C-S	9,316	28	91	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	23	76	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	20	65	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
HP Suction Drum -	F02-01C-M	232,893	120	392	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	66	324	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	84	275	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
liquid	F02-01C-L	279,041	130	426	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	107	352	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	91	297	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	F02-01C-R	520,522	172	564	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	142	465	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	119	392	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	F02-01D-S	1,003	5.6	18	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D	5.0	17	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	3.1	10	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
HP Suction Drum -	F02-01D-M	25,082	31	102	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	28	91	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	25	81	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
gas	F02-01D-L	100,330	61	199	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	52	172	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	46	151	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
	F02-01D-R	477,567	125	409	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	66	326	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	85	278	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
	F02-01E-S	3,352	10	33	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D	9.0	30	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	8.2	27	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
HP Propane	F02-01E-M	83,797	54	178	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	47	153	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	41	134	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
Compression	F02-01E-L	335,188	104	342	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	87	284	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	74	242	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
	F02-01E-R	431,949	117	385	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	96	315	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	81	267	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
BOG Air Coolar	F02-01F-S	939	5.3	17	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D	4.7	16	C/D 2.2m/s S-D	3.0	10	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
	F02-01F-M	23,480	29	96	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	26	85	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	23	76	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
Deference to next of this remost which may lead to micintermatation is not nermissifile	vem dridw trong	, lead to micintern	atation i	e not nerm	viccibla						

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible. DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

16 March 2015

Forth Description         Description <thdescription< th=""></thdescription<>			Delease		Dista	Distance to 5 kW/m <sup>2</sup>		Distar	Distance to 12.5 kW/m <sup>2</sup>		Distan	Distance to 35 kW/m²
Mane         (ii), iii         Distance         Wasther Condition         Optime	Event Description		Bate	Σ	ах		Ma	×		Ma	_	
Inc201E         (65,16)         (4)         (12)         (14)         (12)         (14)         (12)         (13)         (12)			kate (lb/hr)	(m)	ance (ft)	Weather Condition	Dista (m)	nce (ft)	Weather Condition	star	ft)	Weather Condition
R2-01FK         65.169         39         121         P 2.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         38         12           R2-02FK         2.7.739         5.7         139         D.7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         34         12           R2-02FK         2.7.739         5.7         139         D.7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         34         12           R2-02FK         55.156         49         100         D.7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         23         12         27           R2-03FK         55.156         49         100         D.7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         23         12         27           R2-03FK         55.156         49         100         D.7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         23         12         27         12           R2-03FK         533/57         129         12         D.7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         13         12         12           R2-03FK         533/57         120         12         D.7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         13         12         12           R2-03FK         733/57         130         12         D.7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         13         12           R2-03FK         131         120         120         120         12         12         12         12         12		F02-01F-L	65,169	49	161	7.2m/s (16.1mph)	43	140		38	123	
Re2-022:         1,099         5,7         19         0 12,m/s (6,1mph) 5,0         23         13         0 7,2m/s (6,1mph) 5,0         23         13           Re2-022:         6,5136         39         160         0 7,2m/s (6,1mph) 5,0         23         12           Re2-023:         5,336         39         160         0 7,2m/s (6,1mph) 5,0         37         122           Mun-         Re2-03A:         5,336         39         100         0 7,2m/s (6,1mph) 5,0         37         123           Mun-         Re2-03A:         5,336         24         30         11,m/s (4,0mph) Wun-         133         24         30         11,m/s (4,0mph) Wun-         133         24         30         11,m/s (4,0mph) Wun-         133         24         30         12,m/s (4,0mph) Wun-         133         24         31         27,m/s (6,1mph) 5,0         23<		F02-01F-R	65,169	49	161	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	43	140	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	38	123	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
Off         F12-012-(i         D3.4         D12.2m/s (6.1mmp) S-D         D2         D2 <thd2< th=""> <thd2< th="">         D2</thd2<></thd2<>		F02-02Z-S	1,099	5.7	19	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D	5.2	17	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D	3.6	12	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Mm         F02-0254         65,136         49         160         D 7.2m/s (6.1mmb) 5-D         37         122           F02-022-R         65,136         49         160         D 7.2m/s (10mmb) W-D         139         37         123           F02-022-R         5,336         24         80         B.18m/s (4.0mmb) W-D         135         50         B.18m/s (4.0mmb) W-D         137         52           Mm         F02-038-K         5,337         139         60         D 7.2m/s (6.1mmb) V-D         136         51         133         50         133         50         133         50         133         50         133         100         133         50         133         130         133         130         133         133         133         130         133         133         130         133         130         133         130         133         130         133         130         133         130         130         130         133         130         130         130         130         130         130         130         130         130         130         130         130         130         130         130         130         130         130         130		F02-02Z-M	27,479	32	103	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	28	91	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	25	81	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
(F0.2032-R)         (55)15         (4)         (10)         (7.2m)         (10)         (7.2m)         (10)         (7.2m)		F02-02Z-L	65,136	49	160	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	42	139	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	37	122	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
Holicology         5233         234         80         B Lamix 4 (nomp) W-D         20         6         21         B Lamix 4 (nomp) W-D         17         23           Holicology         535,817         139         34         B Lamix 4 (nomp) W-D         186         612         B Lamix 4 (nomp) W-D         139         439           Holicology         535,817         139         34         B Lamix 4 (nomp) W-D         186         612         B Lamix 4 (nomp) W-D         136         51         137         439           Holicology         102         23         11         D Zamix (6.1mph) S-D         23         12         02         23         100           Holicology         11         D Zamix (6.1mph) S-D         23         12         D Zamix (6.1mph) S-D         23         100           Holicology         210         20         13         B Lamix (6.1mph) S-D         23         12         13         100           Holicology         23         64         18         B Lamix (6.1mph) S-D         23         12         13         100           Holicology         20         13         13         13         12         13         100         13         10         13 <t< td=""><td></td><td>F02-02Z-R</td><td>65,136</td><td>49</td><td>160</td><td>7.2m/s (16.1mph)</td><td>42</td><td>139</td><td>D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D</td><td>37</td><td>122</td><td>7.2m/s (16.1mph)</td></t<>		F02-02Z-R	65,136	49	160	7.2m/s (16.1mph)	42	139	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	37	122	7.2m/s (16.1mph)
un-         F02-03A-M         133,954         104         300         B1.8m/s (4.0mb) W-D         156         213         435           F02-03A-M         133,954         104         3.9         13         435         133         435           F02-03A-L         535,817         129         534         B1.8m/s (4.0mb) W-D         156         511         513         133         435           F02-03B-K         773,37         129         13         12         D7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         13         133         435           F02-03B-K         41,020         22         11         D7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         20         65         11         6.9         13         6.9           F02-03B-K         216,218         81         81.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         7         23         12         20         21         21         21         23         20         21         20         21         21         21         20         21         21         21         21         20         21         21         21         20         21         21         20         21         21         21         21         21         21         21         21         21         21 <td></td> <td>F02-03A-S</td> <td>5,358</td> <td>24</td> <td>80</td> <td>B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D</td> <td>20</td> <td>67</td> <td></td> <td>17</td> <td>57</td> <td>B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D</td>		F02-03A-S	5,358	24	80	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	20	67		17	57	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
F02-03A-L         535,817         193         634         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         158         520         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         133         133           P02-03B-K         77.3.377         2.32         74         B1.8m/s (4.1mph) S-D         12         D7.2m/s (15.1mph) S-D         15         65           P02-03B-K         71.3.377         2.32         71         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         23         12         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         18         69           P02-03B-K         41,042         41         136         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         18         95         0         15         16         93           F02-03B-K         21,042         88         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         48         16         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         13         10         10           F02-03C-K         139,64         30         88         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         48         1         33         10           F02-03C-K         139,67         59         19         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         13         10         10           F02-03C-K         139,67         59         14         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         13         10         10           F02-03C-K         139	MP Suction Drum -	F02-03A-M	133,954	104	340	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	86	281	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	72	236	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
F02-03e.R         773,377         228         747         B1.8m/s (4.0mpl) W-D         166         612         B1.8m/s (4.0mpl) W-D         156         511           F02-03B.H         1.02.03         21         1         7.2.m/s (16.1mpl) S-D         23         10         52           F02-03B.H         1.040         33         13         0.7.2m/s (16.1mpl) S-D         33         108           F02-03B.H         21.6218         88         0.8         0.7.2m/s (16.1mpl) S-D         33         108         53           F02-03B.H         21.6218         88         0.8         0.7.2m/s (16.1mpl) S-D         33         108           F02-03C.H         23.963         59         13         0.7.2m/s (16.1mpl) S-D         34         10         33         206           F02-03C.H         23.967         59         13         0.7.2m/s (16.1mpl) S-D         34         14         33           F02-03C.H         23.967         50         13.86/4 (0mpl) W-D         13         20         14         35           F02-03C.H         23.967         50         13.86/4 (0mpl) W-D         13         21         13         21           F02-03C.H         23.967         13         13.86/4 (0mpl) W-D <td>liquid</td> <td>F02-03A-L</td> <td>535,817</td> <td>193</td> <td>634</td> <td>B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D</td> <td>158</td> <td>520</td> <td>B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D</td> <td>133</td> <td>435</td> <td>B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D</td>	liquid	F02-03A-L	535,817	193	634	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	158	520	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	133	435	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Hum         F02-038-5         410         39         13         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         21         6.0           F02-038-4         10,260         22         71         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         13         59           F02-038-4         10,260         22         71         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         13         57           F02-038-4         10,206         22         13         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         63         206           F02-036-5         959         5-4         18         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) 5-D         51         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         53         10           F02-037-4         33,964         30         0         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         51         10         72         72         72         73         73         70 <td></td> <td>F02-03A-R</td> <td>773,377</td> <td>228</td> <td>747</td> <td>B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D</td> <td>186</td> <td>612</td> <td>B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D</td> <td>156</td> <td>511</td> <td>B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D</td>		F02-03A-R	773,377	228	747	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	186	612	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	156	511	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
un-         (F02-03B+M)         10,260         22         71         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         138         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         133         100           F02-03B+C         41,042         41         136         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         33         100           F02-03B+C         959         54         188         B 18m/s (4.0mph) 5-D         73         120         23         100           F02-03C+R         959         54         188         B 18m/s (4.0mph) 5-D         27         87         10         23         10           F02-03C+R         958/56         59         194         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         24         28         137           F02-03C+R         958/56         59         194         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         24         27           F02-03C+R         138,678         70         230         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         24         23           F02-045-R         23.917         116         371         118m/s (4.0mph) W-D         137         12         23         23           F02-045-R         23.916         106         377         181         18m/s (4.0mph) W-D         137         137         137           F02-045-R         <		F02-03B-S	410	3.9	13	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	3.7	12	7.2m/s (16.1mph)	2.1	6.9	
F02-038-L         41,042         41         136         D 7.2m/s (4.0mpl) 5-D         37         120         D 7.2m/s (4.0mpl) 5-D         33         108           F02-038-R         2163         36         88         81.8m/s (4.0mpl) 5-D         7	MP Suction Drum -	F02-03B-M	10,260	22	71	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	20	65	7.2m/s (16.1mph)	18	59	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
F02-038-K         216,218         88         288         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) 5-D         70         231         D7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         33         10           F02-03C-S         9595         5.4         18         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) 5-D         73         87         D7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         30         10           F02-03C-K         23,964         53         13         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) 5-D         61         197         D7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         52         117           F02-03C-K         138,678         70         230         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         13         42         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         43         13         13           F02-04A-K         2119         15         50         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         26         17         33           F02-04A-K         2119         13         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         27         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         13         239           F02-04A-K         2010         38         11         27         26         11         35         239           F02-04A-K         2010         38         12         27         26         27         27         27         27         23         23 </td <td>gas</td> <td>F02-03B-L</td> <td>41,042</td> <td>41</td> <td>136</td> <td>D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D</td> <td>37</td> <td>120</td> <td>D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D</td> <td>33</td> <td>108</td> <td>D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D</td>	gas	F02-03B-L	41,042	41	136	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	37	120	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	33	108	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
F02-03C-S         959         5.4         18         B1.3m/s (4.0mph) 5-D         13         16         B1.3m/s (4.0mph) 5-D         23         10         10           F02-03C-K         23,964         30         98         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         24         78           F02-03C-K         23,964         30         93         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         24         78           F02-03C-K         23,967         70         230         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         24         78           F02-03C-K         23,987         50         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         67         172         24         28           F02-04A-K         2119         15         50         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         83         23         81.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         41         33           F02-04A-K         2119         12         81         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         83         23         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         41         35           F02-04A-K         2119         11         331         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         81         81.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         41         133           F02-04A-K         2104         12         12         12         12         12         12         12 </td <td></td> <td>F02-03B-R</td> <td>216,218</td> <td>88</td> <td>288</td> <td>B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D</td> <td>70</td> <td>231</td> <td>D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D</td> <td>63</td> <td>206</td> <td>D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D</td>		F02-03B-R	216,218	88	288	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	70	231	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	63	206	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
F02-03C-M         22,964         30         98         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         24         78         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D           F02-03C-L         95,856         59         194         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         45         17         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D           F02-03C-L         95,856         59         194         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         52         17         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D           F02-03C-R         2.1194         10         331         B18m/s (4.0mph) W-D         49         150         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         41         133         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) M-D           F02-04A-K         5.11.948         101         331         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         83         273         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         41         133         81.8m/s (4.0mph) M-D           F02-04A-K         5.11.948         101         331         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         83         273         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) M-D           F02-04A-K         2.11.948         101         331         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         69         2.28         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73         239         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) M-D           F02-04A-K         2.034         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73         70		F02-03C-S	959	5.4	18	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D	4.8	16	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D	3.0	10	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
F02-03C-L         95,856         59         194         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         60         197         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         52         171         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D           F02-03C-R         138,678         70         2.330         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         60         197         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         52         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         11         33         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         11         33         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         34         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         37         23         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         36         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         33         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         33         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         34         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         33         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         33 <t< td=""><td>MP Propane</td><td>F02-03C-M</td><td>23,964</td><td>30</td><td>98</td><td>7.2m/s (16.1mph)</td><td>27</td><td>87</td><td>7.2m/s (16.1mph)</td><td>24</td><td>78</td><td>7.2m/s (16.1mph)</td></t<>	MP Propane	F02-03C-M	23,964	30	98	7.2m/s (16.1mph)	27	87	7.2m/s (16.1mph)	24	78	7.2m/s (16.1mph)
F02-03C-R         138,678         70         230         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         60         197         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         52         11         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 7-D           F02-04A-S         2.119         15         50 <b>B.18m/s</b> (4.0mph) W-D         13         42 <b>B.18m/s</b> (4.0mph) W-D         13         B.18m/s (4.0mph) W-D	Compression	F02-03C-L	95,856	59	194	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	51	168	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	45	147	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
F02-04-S         2,119         15         50 <b>B1.8m/s</b> (4.0mph) W-D         13 <b>B1.8m/s</b> (4.0mph) W-D         11         33 <b>B1.8m/s</b> (4.0mph) W-D           F02-04A-M         52,987         58         192 <b>B1.8m/s</b> (4.0mph) W-D         83         273 <b>B1.8m/s</b> (4.0mph) W-D         69         128         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D           F02-04A-L         211,948         101         331 <b>B1.8m/s</b> (4.0mph) W-D         87         286 <b>B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D</b> 69         238 <b>B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D</b> 69         1.0         33 <b>B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D</b> 69         1.0         73         239 <b>B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D</b> 73         281 <b>B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D</b> 70         72         70         73         233         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D           F02-04B-K         2,903         12         91         72         70         72.7m/s (16.1mph) S-D         70         72.7m/s (16.1mph) S-D         70         72.7m/s (16.1mph) M-D         70         72.7m		F02-03C-R	138,678	70	230	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	60	197	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	52	171	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
F02-04A-M         52,987         58         192         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         49         160         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         69         228         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D           F02-04A-L         211,948         101         331         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         83         273         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         69         228         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         69         288         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         69         288         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         70         D7.2m/s (16.1mph) M-D         70         D7.2m/s (		F02-04A-S	2,119	15	50	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	13	42	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	11	35	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
F02-04A-L         211,948         101         331         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         83         273         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73         239         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) M-D           F02-04A-R         240,216         106         347         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73         239         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) M-D           F02-04B-R         3.0         3.8         12         D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-N         1.7         5.6         C/D 2.2m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73         239         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph)           F02-04B-R         3.0         12         40         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         12         40         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph)           F02-04B-R         56,461         52         170         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         21         70         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph)           F02-04B-R         56,461         52         12         0         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         21         6         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph)           F02-04B-R         56,461         53         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         21         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph)         20         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph)           F02-04C-R         39,413         41         133         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         21         D 7.2	LP Suction Drum -	F02-04A-M	52,987	58	192	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	49	160	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	41	133	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
F02-04A-R         240,216         106         347         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73         239         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) H           F02-04B-S         90         3.8         12         D2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-N         1.7         5.6         C/D 2.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         12         40         D7.2m/s (16.1mph) H         7         5         7         81.8m/s (4.0mph) H           F02-04B-K         9,034         22         72         D7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         12         40         D7.2m/s (16.1mph) H         7	liquid	F02-04A-L	211,948	101	331	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	83	273		69	228	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
F02-04B-S         90         3.8         12         D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-D         1.7         5.6         C/D 2.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         12         40         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph)           F02-04B-M         2,258         12         40         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         12         40         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph)           F02-04B-M         9,034         22         72         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         12         40         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph)           F02-04B-K         9,034         52         170         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         21         70         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph)           F02-04B-K         56,461         52         12         D 7         27         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         21         70         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph)           F02-04C-K         394         12         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         35         11         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph)         21         6.8         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph)           F02-04C-K         394,413         41         133         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         32         10         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph)           F02-04C-K         394,413         41         133         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         21         6.8         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph)           F02-04C-K         394,413		F02-04A-R	240,216	106	347	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	87	286		73	239	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
F02-04B-M         2,258         12         40         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         12         40         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D           F02-04B-L         9,034         22         72         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         21         70         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         21         70         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D           F02-04B-R         56,461         52         170         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         41         154         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D           F02-04B-R         394         3.7         12         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         3.5         11         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         47         57         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D           F02-04C-R         394         3.7         12         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         3.6         118         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         32         106         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D           F02-04C-R         394,33         41         133         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         32         106         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D           F02-04C-R         394,313         41         133         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         32         106         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D           F02-04C-R         39,413         41         133         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         32         106         <		F02-04B-S	06	3.8	12	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-N	1.7	5.6	C/D 2.2m/s (4.9 mph) W-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D
F02-04B-L         9,034         22         72         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         21         70         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         21         70         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         71         70         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         71         73         74         15.4         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         71         73         71         72         75         170         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         73         11         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         71         73         71         71         71         71         71         71         71         71         71         71         71         71         71	LP Suction Drum –	F02-04B-M	2,258	12	40	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	12	40	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	12	40	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
F02-04B-R         56,461         52         170         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         47         154           F02-04C-S         394         3.7         12         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         3.5         11         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         47         154           F02-04C-M         9,853         21 <b>69</b> D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         37         17         57           F02-04C-M         9,853         21 <b>69</b> D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         37         17         57           F02-04C-L         39,413         41         133         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         32         106         17         57           F02-04C-L         39,413         41         133         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         32         106         17         57           F02-04C-R         48,482         44         146         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         32         105         115           F02-04C-R         48,482         132         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D         22         72         72           F02-05A-M         384,975         132         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         123         816         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         22         72         72           F02	gas	F02-04B-L	9,034	22	72	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	21	70	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	21	70	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
F02-04C-S         394         3.7         12         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         3.5         11         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         2.1         6.8           F02-04C-M         9,853         21 <b>69</b> D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         21         6.3         77           F02-04C-M         9,853         21 <b>69</b> D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         32         105           F02-04C-L         39,413         41         133         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         32         106           F02-04C-R         39,413         41         133         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         32         106           F02-04C-R         39,413         41         133         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         32         106           F02-04C-R         48,482         44         146         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         32         106           F02-05A-K         15,399         30         99         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         123         81.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         22         72           F02-05A-K         691,261         172         566         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         121         368         121           F02-05A-K         691,261         172         566         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D <td></td> <td>F02-04B-R</td> <td>56,461</td> <td>52</td> <td>170</td> <td>D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D</td> <td>49</td> <td>161</td> <td>D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D</td> <td>47</td> <td>154</td> <td>D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D</td>		F02-04B-R	56,461	52	170	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	49	161	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	47	154	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
F02-04C-M         9,853         21         69         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         19         62         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         17         57           F02-04C-L         39,413         41         133         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         36         118         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         32         106           F02-04C-R         48,482         44         146         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         35         115         32         105           F02-04C-R         48,482         44         146         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         35         115         32         106           F02-05A-S         15,399         30         99         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         22         72         72           F02-05A-M         384,975         132         434         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         23         306         336           F02-05A-K         691,261         172         566         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         121         369         132         306           F02-05A-K         691,261         172         566         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         121         338         306           F02-05A-K         691,261         172         566         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         121		F02-04C-S	394	3.7	12		3.5	11	7.2m/s (16.1mph)	2.1	6.8	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
F02-04C-L         39,413         41         133         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         36         118         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         32         106           F02-04C-R         48,482         44         146         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         39         129         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         35         115           F02-05A-S         15,399         30         99         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         25         84         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         22         72           F02-05A-M         384,975         132         434         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         110         360         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         93         306           F02-05A-M         384,975         132         434         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         143         469         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         121         336           F02-05A-R         691,261         172         566         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         143         469         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         121         338           F02-05A-R         691,261         172         566         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         143         469         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         121         338           F02-05A-R         691,261         172         566         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph	LP Propane	F02-04C-M	9,853	21	69	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	19	62	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	17	57	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
F02-04C-R         48,482         44         146         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         39         129         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         35         115           F02-05A-S         15,399         30         99         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         25         84         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         22         72           F02-05A-M         384,975         132         434         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         10         360         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         93         306           F02-05A-M         384,975         132         434         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         143         469         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         121         398           F02-05A-R         691,261         172         566         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         143         469         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         121         398           F02-05A-R         691,261         172         566         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         143         469         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         121         398           F02-05A-R         691,261         172         566         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         143         469         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         121         398           F02-05B-R         3,352         10         33         B 469	Compression	F02-04C-L	39,413	41	133	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	36	118	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	32	106	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
F02-05A-S         I5,399         30         99         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         25         84         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         22         72         72           F02-05A-M         384,975         132         434         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         10         360         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         93         306           F02-05A-L         691,261         172         566         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         143         469         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         121         398           F02-05A-L         691,261         172         566         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         143         469         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         121         398           F02-05A-R         691,261         172         566         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         143         469         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         121         398           F02-05B-R         691,261         172         566         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         143         469         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         121         398           F02-05B-R         3,352         10         33         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         9.0         30         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         8.2         27         77           F02-05B-M         83,777         54         1		F02-04C-R	48,482	44	146	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	39	129	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	35	115	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
F02-05A-M         384,975         132         434         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         110         360         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         93         306           F02-05A-L         691,261         172         566         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         143         469         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         121         398           F02-05A-R         691,261         172         566         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         143         469         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         121         398           F02-05B-R         631,261         172         566         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         143         469         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         121         398           F02-05B-S         3,352         10         33         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         9.0         30         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         8.2         27           F02-05B-M         83,797         54         178         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         41         134         134		F02-05A-S	15,399	30	66	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	25	84	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	22	72	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
F02-05A-L         691,261         172         566         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         143         469         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         121         398           F02-05A-R         691,261         172         566         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         143         469         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         121         398           F02-05B-S         3,352         10         33         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         9.0         30         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         8.2         27           F02-05B-M         83,797         54         178         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         47         153         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         41         134	Propane Air Cooler	F02-05A-M	384,975	132	434	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	110	360		93	306	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
F02-05A-R         691,261         172         566         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         143         469         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         121         398           le Air Cooler         F02-05B-S         3,352         10         33         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         9.0         30         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         8.2         27           F02-05B-M         83,797         54         178         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         47         153         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         41         134	– Liquid	F02-05A-L	691,261	172	566	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	143	469		121	398	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
le Air Cooler         F02-05B-S         3,352         10         33         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         9.0         30         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         8.2         27           F02-05B-M         83,797         54         178         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         47         153         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         41         134		F02-05A-R	691,261	172	566	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	143	469	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	121	398	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
F02-05B-M 83,797 54 178 D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D 47 153 D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D 41 134 D 7.2m/s (16.1mph)	Propane Air Cooler	F02-05B-S	3,352	10	33	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D	9.0	30	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	8.2	27	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
	– Gas	F02-05B-M	83,797	54	178	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	47	153	7.2m/s (16.1mph)	41	134	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D

DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

16 March 2015

Mode         Mode </th <th></th>												
Own         Distance         Wonter         Distance         Wonter         Distance         Wonter         Distance         Monter         Distance         Distance         Monter         Distance         Monter         Distance         Distance <thdista< th=""><th>Event Decription</th><th>Event</th><th>Dato</th><th>2</th><th>lax</th><th></th><th>Σ̈́</th><th>ах</th><th></th><th>Σ</th><th>ах</th><th></th></thdista<>	Event Decription	Event	Dato	2	lax		Σ̈́	ах		Σ	ах	
PC2-05E-L         335,188         104         342         B 18mrs (4.0mpl) W-D         87         284         D 7.2mrs (16.1mpl) 5-D         74           PC2-05E-K         55.114         133         132         21.2mrs (4.6mpl) W-D         23           PC2-05E-K         55.114         133         138mrs (4.0mpl) W-D         23         24         81.8mrs (4.0mpl) W-D         23           PC2-05E-K         69.2.51         172         566         81.8mrs (4.0mpl) W-D         133         469         81.8mrs (4.0mpl) W-D         23           PC2-05E-K         89.2.51         172         566         81.8mrs (4.0mpl) W-D         134         469         81.8mrs (4.0mpl) W-D         24           PC2-05E-K         35.737         10         33         81.8mrs (4.0mpl) W-D         134         469         81.8mrs (4.0mpl) W-D         24           PC2-05E-K         35.737         10         35         13         81.8mrs (4.0mpl) W-D         137         25         81           PC2-05E-K         10.255/47         176         57         81.8mrs (4.0mpl) W-D         137         25         81         81         72         84         81.8mrs (4.0mpl) W-D         26           PC2-05E-K         10.255/47         176	Event Description	Name	kate (Ib/hr)	Dist (m)	tance (ft)	Weather Condition	(m)	ance (ft)	Weather Condition	(m)	ance (ft)	Weather Condition
ICC-058-R         651,14         143         468         B 18/m/5 (4,0mph) W-D         133         722         D 7.2m/5 (6,1mph) Y-D         72           PC05C         313,975         112         369         B 1.8m/5 (4,0mph) W-D         133         469         B 18/m/5 (4,0mph) W-D         121           PC05C         313,975         112         364         B 1.8m/5 (4,0mph) W-D         134         469         B 18/m/5 (4,0mph) W-D         121           PC05C         69,1261         112         566         B 1.8m/5 (4,0mph) W-D         137         469         B 18/m/5 (4,0mph) W-D         121           PC05D5-K         69,1261         112         366         B 1.8m/5 (4,0mph) W-D         127         461         121           PC05D5-K         103,5547         104         342         B 1.8m/5 (4,0mph) W-D         127         461         121           PC05D5-K         105,697         34         B 1.8m/5 (4,0mph) W-D         127         461         144         47         451         145         47         145         47         145         47         146         147         47         146         147         47         146         47         47         451         47         47         47		F02-05B-L	335,188	104	342		87	284		74	242	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
Role         Role         Signal		F02-05B-R	651,114	143	468		113	372	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	95	313	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
0 <sup>4</sup> 0 <sup>40</sup> 0 <sup>41</sup> 0 <sup>42</sup>		F02-05C-S	15,399	30	66		25	84	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	22	72	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Under the function of the functin of the functin of the function of the function of the	Propane	F02-05C-M	384,975	132	434	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	110	360	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	93	306	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
F02-05C-R         691,261         172         566         B18/n/s (4,0mp) W-D         143         D7.2m/s (6,1mp) S-D         112           F02-05D-S         3.332         137         D7.2m/s (4,0mp) S-D         11         D7.2m/s (6,1mp) S-D         11           F02-05D-L         335,188         104         32         D1.2m/s (4,0mp) W-D         137         D7.2m/s (6,1mp) S-D         14           F02-05D-R         1.025,547         176         D7.2m/s (4,0mp) W-D         137         451         D7.2m/s (6,1mp) W-D         14           P010-012-R         1.056,874         271         B1.8m/s (4,0mp) W-D         237         B1.8m/s (4,0mp) W-D         237           P010-012-R         1.066,874         271         B1.8m/s (4,0mp) W-D         237         B1.8m/s (4,0mp) W-D         237           P010-012-R         1.066,874         271         B1.8m/s (4,0mp) W-D         237         B1.8m/s (4,0mp) W-D         237           P010-012-R         1.24,893         106         347         B1.8m/s (4,0mp) W-D         237         B1.8m/s (4,0mp) W-D         237           P010-012-R         1.24,893         106         247         B1.8m/s (4,0mp) W-D         237         B1.8m/s (4,0mp) W-D         237           P010-R         P010-R	Accumulator & Condenser - Liauid	F02-05C-L	691,261	172	566	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	143	469		121	398	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
F02-05-5         3.352         10         33         B1.8m/s (4.0mpl) V-D         37         32         D.7.2m/s (16.1mpl) S-D         41           0.7.3m/s         102-05-M         33.37         54         128         D 7.2m/s (16.1mpl) S-D         41           1.7.3m/s         102-05-M         135         14         137         451         D 7.2m/s (16.1mpl) V-D         14           1.7.3m/s         102-05-M         10569         34         113         B1.8m/s (4.0mp) W-D         23         94         B1.8m/s (4.0mp) W-D         144           0.03-012-K         10.669         34         113         B1.8m/s (4.0mp) W-D         127         72         124         104           0.03-012-K         5.319,005         233         766         B1.8m/s (4.0mp) W-D         127         85         B1.8m/s (4.0mp) W-D         163           0.03-012-K         5.319,005         233         106         B1.8m/s (4.0mp) W-D         17         86         B1.8m/s (4.0mp) W-D         163           0.03-012-K         5.319,005         33         106         B1.8m/s (4.0mp) W-D         17         86         B1.8m/s (4.0mp) W-D         163           0.03-012-K         124,893         106         B1.8m/s (4.0mp) W-D         26		F02-05C-R	691,261	172	566		143	469		121	398	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
0 <sup>40</sup> 0 <sup>41</sup> 0 <sup>42</sup> 0 <sup>42</sup> 0 <sup>42</sup> 0 <sup>42</sup> 0 <sup>42</sup> 0 <sup>44</sup> <th< td=""><td></td><td>F02-05D-S</td><td>3,352</td><td>10</td><td>33</td><td>B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D</td><td>0.6</td><td>30</td><td>D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D</td><td>8.2</td><td>27</td><td>D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D</td></th<>		F02-05D-S	3,352	10	33	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D	0.6	30	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	8.2	27	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
Cidas         F02-05D-L         335,188         104         342         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         127         451         D7.2m/s (16.1mph) Y-D         114           Pane         1003-012-K         1066/9         7         18         451         D7.2m/s (16.1mph) Y-D         104           Pane         1003-012-K         1066/974         176         81.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         129         94         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         104           Pane         003-012-K         5.319/005         233         766         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         127         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         184           M03-012-K         5.319/005         233         766         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         28         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           Pane         M03-012-K         124,993         106         347         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73         23           Pane         M03-022-K         124,993         106         347         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73         23           Pane         M03-022-K         124,993         106         347         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73         23           Pane         M03-022-K         124,993         106         81.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         12	Propane	F02-05D-M	83,797	54	178	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	47	153	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	41	134	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
	Accumulator & Condenser - Gas	F02-05D-L	335,188	104	342	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	87	284	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	74	242	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
M03-012-S         10,669         34         113         B1,8m/s (4,0mph) W-D         29         94         B1,8m/s (4,0mph) W-D         24           M03-012-K         2,66,719         146         479         B1,8m/s (4,0mph) W-D         120         334         B1,8m/s (4,0mph) W-D         130           M03-012-K         2,519,005         233         766         B1,8m/s (4,0mph) W-D         27         B1,8m/s (4,0mph) W-D         23           M03-022-K         8,5862         32         106         B1,8m/s (4,0mph) W-D         27         88         B1,8m/s (4,0mph) W-D         23           M03-022-K         124,893         106         347         B1,8m/s (4,0mph) W-D         87         286         B1,8m/s (4,0mph) W-D         73           M03-022-K         124,893         106         347         B1,8m/s (4,0mph) W-D         87         286         B1,8m/s (4,0mph) W-D         73           M03-022-K         124,893         106         347         B1,8m/s (4,0mph) W-D         27         88         B1,8m/s (4,0mph) W-D         73           M03-022-K         124,893         106         347         B1,8m/s (4,0mph) W-D         27         88         B1,8m/s (4,0mph) W-D         73           M03-022-K         124,893		F02-05D-R	1,025,547	176	576	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	137	451	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	114	374	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
Dene         M03-012-M         Ze6,719         146         479         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         120         344         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         120         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         120           0.03-012-C         5,313,00G         223         766         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           0.03-012-C         8,313,90G         323         106         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           0.03-012-C         124,893         106         347         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           0.03-012-C         124,893         106         347         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           0.01         0.03-012-K         124,893         106         347         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           0.01         0.013-012-K         124,893         106         347         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73         88         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           0.01         0.013-022-K         124,893         106         347         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87 <td></td> <td>M03-01Z-S</td> <td>10,669</td> <td>34</td> <td>113</td> <td></td> <td>29</td> <td>94</td> <td>B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D</td> <td>24</td> <td>80</td> <td>B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D</td>		M03-01Z-S	10,669	34	113		29	94	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	24	80	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
M03-012-L         1,066,874         271         891         8.18m/s (4.0mph) W-D         222         727         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         184           M03-012-R         5,319,005         233         766         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         86         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           PBNe         M03-012-R         5,319,005         233         766         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         86         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           PBNe         M03-022-R         124,993         106         347         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-022-R         124,893         106         347         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-R         124,893         106         347         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-R         124,893         106         347         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-R         124,893         106         347         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         <	Marine Propane	M03-01Z-M	266,719	146	479		120	394	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	100	329	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	Loading Mode	M03-01Z-L	1,066,874	271	891	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	222	727	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	184	605	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
M03-022-S         8,862         32         106         B1,8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         77         88         B1,8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-022-K         124,893         106         347         B1,8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         266         B1,8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-022-K         124,893         106         347         B1,8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         266         B1,8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-K         124,893         106         347         B1,8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1,8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-K         124,893         106         347         B1,8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1,8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-K         124,893         106         347         B1,8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1,8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-K         124,893         106         347         B1,8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1,8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-042-K         1546         11         37         D2,2m/s (16,1mph) S-D         11         37         D7,2m/s (16,1mph) S-D         11           M03-042-K         566<	)	M03-01Z-R	5,319,005	233	766	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	193	635	<b>B 1.8m</b> /s (4.0mph) W-D	163	535	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
pane         M03-022-M         124,893         106         347         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           0.06         M03-022-K         124,893         106         347         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-022-K         124,893         106         347         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-K         124,893         106         347         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-K         124,893         106         347         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-K         124,893         106         347         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-042-K         166         11         37         D2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-D         11         37         D7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11           M03-042-K         166         13         D7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11         11         11         12         12         12         12		M03-02Z-S	8,862	32	106	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	27	88	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	23	75	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Mo3-022-L         124,893         106         347         B.1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B.1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-022-R         124,893         106         347         B.1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B.1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-022-R         124,893         106         347         B.1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B.1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-R         124,893         106         347         B.1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B.1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-R         124,893         106         347         B.1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B.1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-R         1.9.469         11         37         D.2.m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11         37         D.7.m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11           M03-042-R         5.5769         34         113         B.1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73         B.1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-042-R         5.5769         34         13         D.7.m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11         27         D.7.m/s (16.1mph) W-D         74           M03-052-R         10.669 <td>Marine Propane</td> <td>M03-02Z-M</td> <td>124,893</td> <td>106</td> <td>347</td> <td>1.8m/s (4.0mph)</td> <td>87</td> <td>286</td> <td></td> <td>73</td> <td>239</td> <td>B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D</td>	Marine Propane	M03-02Z-M	124,893	106	347	1.8m/s (4.0mph)	87	286		73	239	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
M03-022.R         124,893         106         347         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-S         8,862         32         106         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-K         124,893         106         347         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-K         124,893         106         347         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-K         124,893         106         347         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         17         D2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-D         13         D2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-D         13         D2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-D         13         D2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-D         13         D2.2m/s (1.5mph) S-D         13           M03-042-K         5.569         34         113         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         27         D2         D2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-D         14         D2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-D         14         D2         D2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-D         14         D2         D2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-D         14         D2         D2.9m/s (6.5mph) N-D         D2         D2         D2         D2 <td>Holding Mode</td> <td>M03-02Z-L</td> <td>124,893</td> <td>106</td> <td>347</td> <td>B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D</td> <td>87</td> <td>286</td> <td></td> <td>73</td> <td>239</td> <td>B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D</td>	Holding Mode	M03-02Z-L	124,893	106	347	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	87	286		73	239	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
M03-032-5         8,862         32         106         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         27         88         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-K         124,893         106         347         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-K         124,893         106         347         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-K         124,893         106         347         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-K         124,893         106         347         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-042-K         166         3.5         12         D2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-D         11         37         D7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11           M03-042-K         566         3.5         12         D7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11         23         12           M03-042-K         566         34         13         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         13         D7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11           M03-042-K         253         142         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	5	M03-02Z-R	124,893	106	347	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	87	286	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	73	239	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
M03-032-M         124,893         106         347         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-L         124,893         106         347         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-L         124,893         106         347         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-032-R         124,893         106         347         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         87         286         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         73           M03-042-K         565         3.5         12         D2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-D         11         37         D7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11           M03-042-K         1.666         11         37         D7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         12         14         14           M03-042-K         25/69         34         113         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         28         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         14           M03-042-K         25/69         34         113         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         28         14         14           M03-042-K         25/69         34         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         28         B1.8m/s		M03-03Z-S	8,862	32	106	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	27	88	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	23	75	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Propane	M03-03Z-M	124,893	106	347	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	87	286	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	73	239	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
	Recirculation	M03-03Z-L	124,893	106	347		87	286		73	239	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		M03-03Z-R	124,893	106	347	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	87	286		73	239	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
ppr         M03-042-M         1,646         11         37         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         11           e to Tank         M03-042-L         6,583         20         64         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         19         19         19           M03-042-L         6,583         20         64         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         19         63         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) 5-D         19           M03-042-R         25,769         43         143         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         29         94         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         24           M03-052-K         10,669         34         113         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         29         94         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         24           M03-052-K         10,669         34         113         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         120         394         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         140           M03-052-K         3,233,547         201         659         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         120         394         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         140           M03-052-K         3,233,547         201         659         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         120         81         140           M03-052-K         3,233,547         201         659         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D		M03-04Z-S	99	3.5	12	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-N			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D
e to Tank         M03-042-L         6,583         20         64         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         19         63         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         19           M03-042-R         25,769         43         142         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         42         138         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         41           M03-052-S         10,669         34         113         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         29         94         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         24           M03-052-L         1,066,874         271         891         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         120         394         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         140           M03-052-L         1,066,874         271         891         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         120         394         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         140           M03-052-R         3,233,547         201         659         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         120         222         727         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         140           M03-052-R         3,233,547         201         659         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         120         727         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         140           M03-052-R         3,233,547         201         659         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         166         14         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D1	Loading Vapor	M03-04Z-M	1,646	11	37	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	11	37	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	11	37	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
M03-04Z-R         25,769         43         142         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         42         138         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         41           M03-05Z-S         10,669         34         113         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         29         94         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         24           M03-05Z-K         10,668         34         113         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         29         94         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         24           M03-05Z-K         1,066,874         271         891         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         120         394         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         184           M03-05Z-R         3,233,547         201         659         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         160         37         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         184           M03-05Z-R         3,233,547         201         659         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         166         546         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         140           M03-06Z-R         1,646         11         37         D 2,2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11         37         D 7,2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11           M03-06Z-R         1,646         11         37         D 7,2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         140         140           M03-06Z-R         14,286         34         111	Return Line to Tank	M03-04Z-L	6,583	20	64	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	19	63	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	19	63	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
M03-05Z-S         10,669         34         113         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         29         94         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         24           M03-05Z-M         266,719         146         479         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         120         394         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         140           M03-05Z-L         1,066,874         271         891         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         120         394         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         140           M03-05Z-R         3,233,547         201         659         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         120         394         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         140           M03-05Z-R         3,233,547         201         659         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         120         394         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         140           M03-06Z-R         1,646         11         37         D2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-N         77         B1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         11           M03-06Z-M         1,646         11         37         D7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11         37         D7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11           M03-06Z-R         1,648         11         37         D7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         13         11         14           M03-06Z-R         1,648         11         37		M03-04Z-R	25,769	43	142	1.8m/s (4.0mph)	42	138	1.8m/s (4.0mph)	41	134	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D
Mog Pipe         Mog 265,719         146         479         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         120         394         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         100           Mog Pipe         Mog 052-L         1,066,874         271         891         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         120         344         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         184           Mog 052-R         3,233,547         201         659         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         166         546         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         140           Mog 052-R         3,233,547         201         659         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         166         546         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         140           Mog 050-Z-M         1,646         11         37         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11         37         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11           Mog 050-Z-M         1,646         11         37         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11         37         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11           Mog 050-Z-M         1,646         11         37         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11         37         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11           Mog 050-Z-L         1,646         11         37         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11         M         M         M         M		M03-05Z-S	10,669	34	113	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	29	94	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	24	80	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
M03-05Z-L         1,066,874         271         891         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         222         727         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         184           M03-05Z-R         3,233,547         201         659         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         166         546         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         140           M03-05Z-R         3,233,547         201         659         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         166         546         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         140           M03-05Z-R         3,233,547         201         659         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         166         546         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         140           M03-06Z-M         1,646         11         37         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11         37         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11           M03-06Z-L         6,583         20         64         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11         37         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         19           M03-06Z-L         14,286         34         112         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         33         109         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         33           M03-07Z-S         10,669         34         113         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         20         29         94         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         20	Tetty Loading Dine	M03-05Z-M	266,719	146	479	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	120	394	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	100	329	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
M03-05Z-R         3,233,547         201 <b>659</b> B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         166         546         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         140           M03-05Z-S         66         3.5         12         D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-N         7         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         11           M03-06Z-S         66         3.5         12         D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-N         7         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         11           M03-06Z-M         1,646         11         37         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11         37         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11           M03-06Z-L         6,583         20         64         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         19         63         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         19           M03-06Z-R         14,286         34         112         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         33         109         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         33           M03-07Z-S         10,669         34         113         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         29         29         24         24           M03-07Z-M         26.719         146         479         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         20         24         24	Jerry Fuguring Lipe	M03-05Z-L	1,066,874	271	891	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	222	727	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	184	605	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
M03-06Z-S         66         3.5         12         D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-N         m         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         m           Inn from         M03-06Z-M         1,646         11         37         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11         12           M03-06Z-L         6,583         20         64         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         19         63         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         19           M03-06Z-L         6,583         20         64         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         19         63         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         19           M03-06Z-L         14,286         34         112         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         33         109         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         33           M03-07Z-S         10,669         34         113         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         29         94         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         24           M03-07Z-M         26.719         146         479         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         120         334         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         24		M03-05Z-R	3,233,547	201	629	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	166	546	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	140	460	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
Inr from         M03-06Z-M         1,646         11         37         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11         37         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         11         1           M03-06Z-L         6,583         20         64         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         19         63         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         19         10 <td< td=""><td></td><td>M03-06Z-S</td><td>66</td><td>3.5</td><td>12</td><td>2.9m/s (6.5mph)</td><td></td><td></td><td>1.8m/s (4.0mph)</td><td></td><td></td><td>B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D</td></td<>		M03-06Z-S	66	3.5	12	2.9m/s (6.5mph)			1.8m/s (4.0mph)			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D
M03-06Z-L         6,583         20         64         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         19         63         D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D         19           M03-06Z-R         14,286         34         112         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         33         109         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         33           M03-06Z-R         14,286         34         112         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         33         109         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         33           M03-07Z-S         10,669         34         113         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         29         94         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         24           M03-07Z-M         266.719         146         479         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         120         394         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         100	Vapor Return from	M03-06Z-M	1,646	11	37	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	11	37	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	11	37	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
M03-06Z-R         14,286         34         112         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         33         109         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D         33           M03-07Z-S         10,669         34         113         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         29         94         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         24           M03-07Z-M         266.719         146         479         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         120         394         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         100	Jetty Pipe	M03-06Z-L	6,583	20	64	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	19	63	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	19	63	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
M03-07Z-S         10,669         34         113         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         29         94         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         24           M03-07Z-M         266.719         146         479         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         120         394         B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D         100		M03-06Z-R	14,286	34	112	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D	33	109	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D	33	108	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D
M03-072-M 266.719 146 479 B1.8m/s (4.0mbh) W-D 120 394 B1.8m/s (4.0mbh) W-D 100	Liquid Loading Arm	M03-07Z-S	10,669	34	113	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	29	94	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	24	80	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D
		M03-07Z-M	266,719	146	479	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	120	394	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D	100	329	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) W-D

16 March 2015

DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

				Distan	vistance to 5 kW/m <sup>2</sup>		Distar	Distance to 12.5 kW/m <sup>2</sup>		Dista	Distance to 35 kW/m <sup>2</sup>
<b>Event Description</b>	Event Name	Rate	Max Distance	ax ance	Weather Condition	M Dista	Max Distance	Weather Condition	M	Max Distance	Weather Condition
			(m)	(ft)		(m) (ft)	(ft)		(m) (ft)	(ft)	
	M03-07Z-R	3,666,392	458 15	1502	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D	374	1228	02 B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D 374 1228 B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D 311 1022 B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D	311	1022	B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D
	M03-08Z-S	99	3.5	12	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) S-N			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0mph) S-D
Vapor Recovery Loading Arm	M03-08Z-M	1,646	11	37	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	11	37	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D 11 37 D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D 11 37 D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	11	37	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D
	M03-08Z-R	268'6	24	78	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	23	75	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D 23 75 D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D 23 75 D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	23	75	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D

Note:\*: These events are not releases from the tanks but releases from the connections associated with the tanks.

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible.

DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

		Release		Dista	stance to 5 kW/m²		Distance	Distance to 12.5 kW/m <sup>2</sup>		Distance	Distance to 35 kW/m²
<b>Event Description</b>	Event Name	Rate	Max Di	Distance	moitiono Tondition	Max D	Distance	Weather Condition	Max Dis	Distance	Meather Condition
		(lb/hr)	(m)	(ft)		(m)	(ft)		(m)	(ft)	
Propane Unloading Pipe	Pipe R01-05Z-R	4,717,775	178	584	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	149	488	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	125	411	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
	F02-06A-S	2,119	10	32	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	8.7	28	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	7.2	24	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
Propane Rundown	F02-06A-M	52,987	46	150	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	35	114	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	25	83	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
Pumps	F02-06A-L	211,948	79	261	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	59	193	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	42	137	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
	F02-06A-R	434,989	92	303	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-N	68	223	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-N	48	158	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-N
	F02-06B-S	1,463	8.1	27	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-N	7.2	24	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-N	6.6	22	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-N
Propane Rundown Pipe	F02-06B-M	36,580	39	129	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	30	66	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	22	71	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
to Storage Tank	F02-06B-L	146,321	69	228	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	51	169	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	36	119	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
	F02-06B-R	1,397,738	168	553	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	121	398	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	84	275	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
	S04-01B-M	56,381	113	371	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-N	61	200	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) W-N	57	189	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) W-N
Storage Tank 1 - connections - Liquid*	S04-01B-L	225,524	115	377	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	108	355	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	102	334	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
	S04-01B-R	434,857	123	403	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-N	111	365	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-N	102	334	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-N
-	S04-02B-M	56,381	87	285	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	83	272	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	79	258	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
Storage Tank 2 - connections – Liquid*	S04-02B-L	225,524	104	340	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	92	302	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	82	270	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
	S04-02B-R	434,857	115	379	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-N	66	325	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-N	86	281	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-N
Earthquake	EQ-R	2,029,714	195	641	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	141	461	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	97	320	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
Ctorneo Tank 1	S04-01C-R1	2,029,714	195	641	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	141	461	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	97	320	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
	S04-01C-R2	22,552,378	517	1696	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	365	1198	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	249	816	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
C Jue Toperot	S04-02C-R1	2,029,714	195	641	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	141	461	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	97	320	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
	S04-02C-R2	22,552,378	517	1696	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	365	1198	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	249	816	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
	F02-03A-S	5,358	12	40	C/D 2.2m/s (4.9mph) W-D	12	38	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) W-N	12	38	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) W-N
MP Suction Drum –	F02-03A-M	133,954	56	182	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) W-N	45	147	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	37	122	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
liquid	F02-03A-L	535,817	102	333	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) W-N	81	264	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	64	210	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
	F02-03A-R	773,377	119	390	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	94	308	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	73	241	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
	F02-04A-S	2,119	10	32	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	8.7	28	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	7.2	24	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
LP Suction Drum –	F02-04A-M	52,987	46	150	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	35	114	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	25	83	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
liquid	F02-04A-L	211,948	79	261	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	59	193	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	42	137	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
	F02-04A-R	240,216	83	274	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	62	202	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) S-D	44	144	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
	M03-01Z-S	10,669	19	62	C/D 2.2m/s (4.9mph) W-D	17	55	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	14	48	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
Marine Propane	M03-01Z-M	266,719	84	275	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) W-N	66	215	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	51	168	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
Mode	M03-01Z-L	1,066,874	150	492	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	115	376	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	86	283	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
	M03-01Z-R	5,319,005	289	947	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	205	671	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	139	457	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
Marine Propane	M03-02Z-S	8,862	18	59	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) W-N	16	52	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	13	44	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
Loading Line - Holding	M03-02Z-M	124,893	62	204	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) W-N	49	160	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	38	125	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
Mode	M03-02Z-L	124,893	62	204	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) W-N	49	160	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	38	125	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D

Table III-4: Pool Fire Hazard Zones (1m [3.3 ft] above ground level) by Weather Category

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible. DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

Page IV-17

		Release		Distaı	Distance to 5 kW/m <sup>2</sup>		Distanc	Distance to 12.5 $kW/m^2$		Distance	Distance to 35 kW/m²
<b>Event Description</b>	<b>Event Name</b>	Rate	<b>Max Distance</b>	stance		Max D	<b>Max Distance</b>	Within Cardinian	<b>Max Distance</b>	tance	Weathan Canditian
		(Ib/hr)	(m)	(tf)		(m)	(ft)		(m)	(ft)	
	M03-02Z-R	124,893	62	204	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) W-N	49	160	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	38	125	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
	M03-03Z-S	8,862	18	59	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) W-N	16	52	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	13	44	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
Decree Decirculation	M03-03Z-M	124,893	62	204	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) W-N	49	160	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	38	125	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
	M03-03Z-L	124,893	62	204	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) W-N	49	160	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	38	125	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
	M03-03Z-R	124,893	62	204	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) W-N	49	160	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	38	125	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
	M03-05Z-S	10,669	19	62	C/D 2.2m/s (4.9mph) W-D	17	55	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	14	48	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
Totter I condine Dive	M03-05Z-M	266,719	84	275	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) W-N	99	215	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	51	168	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
Jerry Loduing Pipe	M03-05Z-L	1,066,874	150	492	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	115	376	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	86	283	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
	M03-05Z-R	3,233,547	236	776	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	168	552	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	115	377	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
	M03-07Z-S	10,669	19	62	C/D 2.2m/s (4.9mph) W-D	17	55	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	14	48	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
Liquid Loading Arm	M03-07Z-M	266,719	84	275	D 2.9m/s (6.5mph) W-N	66	215	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D	51	168	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-D
	M03-07Z-R	3,666,392	224	734	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-N	169	553	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-N	125	411	D 7.2m/s (16.1mph) W-N

Note:\*: These events are not releases from the tanks but releases from the connections associated with the tanks.

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible.

DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1
				n zolics	lable 111-3: Worst Flammable Vapor Dispersion Hazard Zones (1m [3:3 11] above ground level) by weather Category	ומ ובעבו /	Dy wea	ther Category
		Dolosco Dato		Distance	ince to ½LFL		Dist	tance to LFL
<b>Event Description</b>	<b>Event Name</b>	(lh/hr)	Max D	Distance	Weather Condition	Max Di	Distance	Weather Condition
		( / m.)	(m)	(ft)		(m)	(ft)	
	R01-01Z-S	26,528			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D
кансаг опноаину агти	R01-01Z-M	294,751	164	537	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	72	236	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	R01-02Z-S	3,534			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D
	R01-02Z-M	39,263			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D
	R01-03Z-S	2,063	7.5	24	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	4.2	14	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Unloading Vapor Return -	R01-03Z-M	51,579	60	197	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	25	81	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
compressor	R01-03Z-L	97,092	94	310	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	37	123	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	R01-03Z-R	97,092	94	310	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	37	123	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	R01-04Z-S	1,571	6.6	22	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	3.7	12	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Unloading Vapor Return - piping	R01-04Z-M	39,263	52	169	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	21	69	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
to railcar	R01-04Z-L	97,075	97	317	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	38	125	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	R01-04Z-R-LP	132,775	150	491	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	62	205	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	R01-05Z-S	13,344	33	107	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	15	48	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	R01-05Z-M	333,599	247	809	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	117	384	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	R01-05Z-L	1,334,397	503	1649	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	274	668	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	R01-05Z-R-LP	4,717,775	1159	3802	B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D	560	1838	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	B01-06A-S	12,729	32	104	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	14	47	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Propane Unloading Storage	B01-06A-M	318,219	239	783	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	112	367	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
Group1 (connections) – Liquid*	B01-06A-L	1,272,878	485	1590	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	265	869	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	B01-06A-R	2,150,501	622	2040	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	351	1152	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	B01-06B-S	1,571	6.6	22	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	3.7	12	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Propane Unloading Storage	B01-06B-M	39,263	52	169	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	21	69	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Group1 (connections) - Gas*	B01-06B-L	156,641	133	436	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	52	170	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	B01-06B-R	963,648	367	1203	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	164	538	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	B01-07A-S	12,729	32	104	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	14	47	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	B01-07A-M	318,219	239	783	5 mph)	112	367	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
Group2 (connections) - Liquid*	B01-07A-L	1,272,878	485	1590	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	265	869	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	B01-07A-R	2,150,501	622	2040		351	1152	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	B01-07B-S	1,571	6.6	22	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	3.7	12	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Propane Unloading Storage	B01-07B-M	39,263	52	169	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	21	69	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Group2 (connections) – Gas*	B01-07B-L	156,641	133	436	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	52	170	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	B01-07B-R	963,648	367	1203	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	164	538	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	B01-08A-S	12,729	32	104	1.8m/s (4.0 mph)	14	47	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Froparie Ornoaurig Storage Group3 (connections) – Liguid*	B01-08A-M	318,219	239	783	2.9m/s (6.5 mph)	112	367	7.2m/s (16.1 mph)
	B01-08A-L	1,272,878	485	1590	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	265	869	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D

Table III-5: Worst Flammable Vapor Dispersion Hazard Zones (1m [3.3 ft] above ground level) by Weather Category

DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible.

16 March 2015

				Dista	Distance to ½I FI		Dis	Distance to I El
Event Description	Event Name	Release Rate	Max Di	Distance		Max Distance	stance	
		(111/01)	(m)	(ft)	Weather Condition	(m)	(ft)	Weather Condition
	B01-08A-R	2,150,501	622	2040	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	351	1152	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	B01-08B-S	1,571	6.6	22	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	3.7	12	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Propane Unloading Storage	B01-08B-M	39,263	52	169	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	21	69	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Group3 (connections) – Gas*	B01-08B-L	156,641	133	436	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	52	170	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	B01-08B-R	963,648	367	1203	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	164	538	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	B01-06C-S	21,512	46	152	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	20	99	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Propane Unloading Storage	B01-06C-M	79,555	109	358	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	46	152	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Group1 – Bullets	B01-06C-L	318,219	239	783	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	112	367	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	B01-06C-R	2,153,812	622	2040	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	352	1154	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	B01-07C-S	21,512	46	152	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	20	99	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Propane Unloading Storage	B01-07C-M	79,555	109	358	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	46	152	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Group2 – Bullets	B01-07C-L	318,219	239	783	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	112	367	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	B01-07C-R	2,153,812	622	2040	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	352	1154	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	B01-08C-S	21,512	46	152	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	20	66	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Propane Unloading Storage	B01-08C-M	79,555	109	358	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	46	152	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Group3 – Bullets	B01-08C-L	318,219	239	783	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	112	367	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	B01-08C-R	2,153,812	622	2040	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	352	1154	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	F02-06A-S	2,119	7.6	25	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	4.3	14	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	F02-06A-M	52,987	85	280	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	31	103	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
רוטטמוופ אמוומטאוו רמוווטא	F02-06A-L	211,948	139	456	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	97	317	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-06A-R	434,989	164	537	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	117	382	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-06B-S	1,463	5.2	17	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-N	3.4	11	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-N
Propane Rundown Pipe to	F02-06B-M	36,580	81	264	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	23	75	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
Storage Tank	F02-06B-L	146,321	145	475	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	98	321	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-06B-R-LP	1,397,738	409	1342	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	312	1023	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	S04-01A-S	67			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D
Storage Tank 1 - connections -	S04-01A-M	1,679			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D			
Gas*	S04-01A-L	6,716			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D
	S04-01A-R	41,972			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D
	S04-01B-S	2,255			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D
Storage Tank 1 - connections -		56,381			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D
Liquid*	S04-01B-L	225,524	87	285	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	40	133	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) W-N
	S04-01B-R	434,857	168	550		44	145	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) W-N
	S04-02A-S	67			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D
SUU aye Tarik Z - CUTTECLIURS - Gas*	S04-02A-M	1,679			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D			
	S04-02A-L	6,716			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible. DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

16 March 2015

				Dista	Distance to 1/2LFL		Dis	Distance to LFL
<b>Event Description</b>	<b>Event Name</b>	Kelease Kate	Max Di	Distance	Worthow Condition	Max Distance	stance	Monther Condition
			(m)	(ft)		(m)	(ft)	
	S04-02A-R	41,972			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D
	S04-02B-S	2,255			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D
Storage Tank 2 - connections -	S04-02B-M	56,381	65	212	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N			B 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-D
Liquid*	S04-02B-L	225,524	118	388	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	38	123	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) W-N
	S04-02B-R	434,857	280	918	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	79	261	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Earthquake	EQ-R	2,029,714	590	1937	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	452	1483	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	S04-01C-R1	2,029,714	290	1937	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	452	1483	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Storage Tank 1	S04-01C-R2	22,552,378	2328	7637	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	1751	5744	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	S04-01C-R3	**	4931	16179	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	3762	12341	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	S04-02C-R1	2,029,714	590	1937	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	452	1483	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Storage Tank 2	S04-02C-R2	22,552,378	2328	7637	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	1751	5744	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	S04-02C-R3	**	4396	14424	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	3339	10956	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	S04-03Z-S	67	2.3	7.5	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	1.5	4.8	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Cuin DOG of Junt word sourch	S04-03Z-M	1,679	12	38	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	6.2	20	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
עמאטר ווטווו ומווג נט שטס – אואפ	S04-03Z-L	6,716	38	124	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	15	49	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	S04-03Z-R-LP	53,053	117	382	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	82	270	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-01A-S	12,220	31	102	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	14	46	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-01A-M	305,492	238	779	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	111	363	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	F02-01A-L	370,183	263	863	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	127	416	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	F02-01A-R	369,779	263	863	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	127	416	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	F02-01B-S	1,029	5.6	18	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	3.1	10	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-01B-M	25,725	41	134	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	17	55	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-01B-L	102,899	105	343	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	41	135	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-01B-R	386,032	233	766	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	105	345	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	F02-01C-S	9,316	31	101	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	14	47	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
HB Suction Dama - liquid	F02-01C-M	232,893	282	924	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	116	380	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-01C-L	279,041	309	1015	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	128	420	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-01C-R	520,522	423	1389	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	176	579	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-01D-S	1,003	5.4	18	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	3.0	10	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-01D-M	25,082	40	130	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	16	53	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-01D-L	100,330	101	332	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	40	131	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-01D-R	477,567	257	844	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	113	372	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	F02-01E-S	3,352	9.1	30	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	5.0	16	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
HD Dronang Commerceion	F02-01E-M	83,797	78	256	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	32	103	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-01E-L	335,188	200	655	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	76	249	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-01E-R	431,949	232	761	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	89	293	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D

16 March 2015

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible. DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

				Dista	Distance to ½LFL		Dist	Distance to LFL
<b>Event Description</b>	<b>Event Name</b>	Kelease kate (lh/hr)	Max D	Distance	Westher Condition	Max Di	Distance	Westher Condition
			(m)	(ft)		(m)	(ft)	
	F02-01F-S	939	5.1	17	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	2.8	9.3	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-01F-M	23,480	36	118	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	15	48	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-01F-L	65,169	70	230	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	29	94	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-01F-R	65,169	70	230	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	29	94	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-02Z-S	1,099	5.5	18	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	3.0	10	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-02Z-M	27,479	39	128	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	16	53	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-02Z-L	65,136	69	225	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	28	92	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-02Z-R	65,136	69	225	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	28	92	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-03A-S	5,358	22	73		10	34	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) W-N
	F02-03A-M	133,954	207	678	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	146	477	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
IMP succión urum - liquia	F02-03A-L	535,817	412	1352	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	291	956	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-03A-R	773,377	489	1603	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	335	1100	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-03B-S	410	3.9	13	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	2.2	7.2	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-03B-M	10,260	25	83	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	11	35	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-03B-L	41,042	63	206	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	26	86	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-03B-R	216,218	183	601	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	82	267	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	F02-03C-S	959	5.2	17	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	2.9	10	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-03C-M	23,964	37	122	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	15	50	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-03C-L	95,856	95	313	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	37	123	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-03C-R	138,678	123	404	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	47	156	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-04A-S	2,119	8.1	27	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-N	4.4	15	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-N
	F02-04A-M	52,987	95	312	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	62	204	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
בר סמכנוטוו שו מווו – ווקמום	F02-04A-L	211,948	156	513	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	109	358	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-04A-R	240,216	163	534	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	114	375	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-04B-S	90	2.5	8.2		1.6	5.1	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
I D Suction Drum – das	F02-04B-M	2,258	14	45	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	6.7	22	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-04B-L	9,034	42	138	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	17	55	
	F02-04B-R	56,461	145	475	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	62	205	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-04C-S	394	3.7	12	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	2.1	6.9	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-04C-M	9,853	24	79	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	10	33	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-04C-L	39,413	60	198	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	25	81	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-04C-R	48,482	68	225	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	28	93	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-05A-S	15,399	33	108	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	14	46	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Processo Air Cooler - Linni	F02-05A-M	384,975	228	748	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	108	356	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	F02-05A-L	691,261	309	1012	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	160	524	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	F02-05A-R	691,261	309	1012	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	160	524	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D

16 March 2015

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible. DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

				Dista	Distance to 1/2LFL		Dis	Distance to LFL
<b>Event Description</b>	<b>Event Name</b>	Kelease Kate /lh/hr)	Max D	Distance	Wenther Condition	Max Di	Distance	Worther Condition
			(m)	(ft)		(m)	(ft)	
	F02-05B-S	3,352	9.1	30	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	5.0	16	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-05B-M	83,797	78	256	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	32	103	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-05B-L	335,188	200	655	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	76	249	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-05B-R	651,114	299	981	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	127	418	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	F02-05C-S	15,399	33	108	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	14	46	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Propane Accumulator &	F02-05C-M	384,975	228	748	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	108	356	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
Condenser - Liquid	F02-05C-L	691,261	309	1012	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	160	524	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	F02-05C-R	691,261	309	1012	D 2.9m/s (6.5 mph) S-N	160	524	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	F02-05D-S	3,352	9.1	30	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	5.0	16	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Propane Accumulator &	F02-05D-M	83,797	78	256		32	103	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Condenser - Gas	F02-05D-L	335,188	200	655	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	76	249	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	F02-05D-R	1,025,547	376	1235	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D	161	528	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) S-D
	M03-01Z-S	10,669	44	145	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) W-N	17	57	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Marine Propane Loading Line -	M03-01Z-M	266,719	274	006	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	212	694	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Loading Mode	M03-01Z-L	1,066,874	541	1775	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	416	1365	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	M03-01Z-R-LP	5,319,005	901	2957	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	678	2224	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	M03-02Z-S	8,862	36	118	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) W-N	14	44	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Marine Propane Loading Line -	M03-02Z-M	124,893	180	590	C/D 2.2m/s (4.9 mph) S-D	134	439	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Holding Mode	M03-02Z-L	124,893	180	590	C/D 2.2m/s (4.9 mph) S-D	134	439	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	M03-02Z-R	124,893	180	590	C/D 2.2m/s (4.9 mph) S-D	134	439	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	M03-03Z-S	8,862	36	118	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) W-N	14	44	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	M03-03Z-M	124,893	179	588	C/D 2.2m/s (4.9 mph) S-D	131	431	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	M03-03Z-L	124,893	175	575	C/D 2.2m/s (4.9 mph) S-D	130	427	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	M03-03Z-R	124,893	175	575	C/D 2.2m/s (4.9 mph) S-D	130	427	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	M03-04Z-S	66	2.3	7.4	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	1.4	4.7	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Loading Vapor Return Line to	M03-04Z-M	1,646	12	38	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	6.1	20	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Tank	M03-04Z-L	6,583	37	121	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	15	49	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	M03-04Z-R-LP	25,769	74	243	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	26	84	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) W-D
	M03-05Z-S	10,669	44	145	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) W-N	17	57	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
original pico	M03-05Z-M	266,719	258	847	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	198	649	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Jerry Loguing ripe	M03-05Z-L	1,066,874	480	1576	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	362	1188	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	M03-05Z-R-LP	3,233,547	727	2384	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	553	1813	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	M03-06Z-S	66	2.3	7.4	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	1.4	4.7	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Wanor Deturn from Jetty Dine	M03-06Z-M	1,646	12	38	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	6.1	20	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	M03-06Z-L	6,583	37	121	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	15	49	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	M03-06Z-R-LP	14,286	51	168	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	15	50	D 7.2m/s (16.1 mph) W-D

16 March 2015

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible. DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

				Dista	Distance to 1/2LFL		Dist	Distance to LFL
<b>Event Description</b>	<b>Event Name</b>	Kelease Kate	Max Di	Max Distance		Max Distance	stance	
			(m)	(ft)	weather condition	(m)	(ft)	Weather Condition
	M03-07Z-S	10,669	44	144	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) W-N	17	57	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Liquid Loading Arm	M03-07Z-M	266,719	251	825	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	190	624	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	M03-07Z-R	3,666,392	836	2743	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	592	1942	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	M03-08Z-S	66	2.3	7.4	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	1.4	4.7	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Vapor Recovery Loading Arm	M03-08Z-M	1,646	12	38	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	6.1	20	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
	M03-08Z-R	9,897	51	168	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N	20	65	F 1.8m/s (4.0 mph) S-N
Note:*. These events are not releases from the tanks hut releases from the connections associated with the tanks	not releaces from	m the tanks hut re	aleacec fro	nm the col	unactions accordated with th	he tanks		

Note:\*: These events are not releases from the tanks but releases from the connections associated with the tanks. Note\*\*: Tank Catastrophic Rupture with instantaneous release (within 1 second)

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible.

DNV GL - Report No.PP124992, Rev. 1

16 March 2015

# IV.5.4 BLEVE and Fireball Events

BLEVE (Boiling Liquid Expanding Vapor Explosion) refers to any sudden loss of containment of a fluid above its normal boiling point at the moment of vessel failure. A common cause of this type of event is fire engulfment of a vessel, which contains liquid under pressure, where the heating both raises the pressure in the vessel and lowers the yield strength of the equipment material. The BLEVE event can give rise to a blast wave, to fragment projection and if a flammable fluid is involved; to either a fireball, a flash fire or a vapor cloud explosion.

Note that it takes time for the vessel to fail and result in a BLEVE; thus onsite personnel should have time to escape and not be exposed. The BLEVE scenarios are included in the risk model in the current study.

For fire ball event, only release at propane unloading storage vessel may lead to a fireball hazard due to its short release duration. The following table shows the hazard distances to the specified overpressure and radiation levels.

Table III-6: Distance to Overpressure and Thermal Radiation Levels from BLEVE and Fireball at	:
1 m (3.3 ft) above Grade	

Propane Pre	essure Storage Vessels	Distance to S	Specified Hazard	Levels (feet)
	Weather	5 kW/m²	12.5 kW/m <sup>2</sup>	35 kW/m <sup>2</sup>
Fire ball	Summer	3,264	1,978	854
	Winter	3,543	2,152	985
	BLEVE Blast	1 PSI	3 PSI	5 PSI
		898	468	343

## IV.5.5 Key Hazard Zones

The top 5 risk contributors to the overall societal risk are as follows:

- EQ-R, Rupture of Storage Tank 1 due to earthquake
- B01-06C-FB, Propane Pressure Storage Group1 Bullets Fireball Event
- B01-08C-FB, Propane Pressure Storage Group3 Bullets Fireball Event
- B01-07C-FB, Propane Pressure Storage Group2 Bullets Fireball Event
- S04-01C-R2, Storage Tank 1 Rupture with 1000 mm (40 inch) hole size

Figure III-3, Figure III-4 and Figure III-5 present the consequence hazard zones for the top risk contributors.

Note that the figures present the 360 degree rotation of the potential hazard zone displayed, which include the following, as relevant to the scenario:

- Jet fire Distance to thermal radiations (5, 12.5 and 35 kW/m<sup>2</sup>)
- Pool fire Distance to thermal radiations (5, 12.5 and 35 kW/m<sup>2</sup>)
- Flash fire %LFL and LFL concentration dispersion distances



Figure III-3: Worst Jet Fires Consequence Effect Zones at 1 m (3.3 ft) Elevation above the ground at Propane Storage Tank 1 Rupture with 1000 mm (40 inch) Hole Size (S04-01C-R2), F 1.8 m/s (4.0 mph) Winter-Night weather condition



Figure III-4: Worst Pool Fires Consequence Effect Zones at 1 m (3.3 ft) Elevation above the ground for Storage Tank 2 Rupture with 1000 mm (40 inch) Hole Size (S04-02C-R2), D 7.2 m/s (16.1 mph) Winter-Day weather condition



Figure III-5: Worst Flammable Dispersion Effect Zones at 1 m (3.3 ft) Elevation above the ground for Catastrophic Rupture of Storage Tank 1 (S04-01C-R3), F 1.8 m/s (4.0 mph) Summer-Night Weather Condition

## IV.6 Worst Case Assessment

It is also requested by Pembina to complete a Worst Case Assessment for several scenarios of interest. Relevant guidance, standards, and regulation codes (e.g. NFPA 58, NFPA 59, NFPA 59A, API STD 2510, 40 CFR 68 and EPA RMP) were reviewed for defining and modeling the worst case scenarios at this propane terminal facility. Detailed scenario identification, assumptions, modeling procedures and hazard zone results are presented in the two attachments (Attachment IV-1 and IV-2).

Note that the worst-case release scenario modeling is ONLY a consequence analysis and has no frequency analysis to make it valid for a risk perspective. The two worst cases in Attachment IV-1 are IMPOSSIBLE to occur considering the chain of events that would need to occur instantly to mimic the scenario as modeled: tank instantly disappearing, all liquid propane vaporizes at once, the liquid pool spreading out evenly in a circle and only igniting when it gets to the end of the furthest LFL dispersion. Each of these event attributes are conservative and in reality would take time to develop, thus not instantaneously.

## IV.7 References

- 1. Pembina Propane Facility QRA study Appendix II Scenario Development, Rev. 0, DNV GL, February 2015.
- 2. Pembina Propane Facility QRA Study Appendix I Study Basic, Rev. 0, DNV GL, February 2015.
- 3. Turney and Pitblado, Risk Assessment in the Process Industries ICHemE 1996.
- 4. Mudan K. S. and P. A. Croce (1988), "Fire Hazard Calculations for Large Open Hydrocarbon Fires", SFPE handbook of Fire Protection Engineering, Boston, MA, Society of Fire Protection Engineers.
- Raj, P.P.K. and A.S. Kalelkar. Assessment Models in Support of Hazard Project. Major Industrial Hazards Project. Eds. D. H. Slater, E. R. Corran and R. M. Pitblado. Sydney, Australia: Warren Center, University of Sydney. 1974.
- 6. Guidelines for Chemical Process Quantitative Risk Analsis, 2 nd Edition, Center for Chemical Process Safety (CCPS), 2000. 2nd Edition, Vol. p228.
- 7. TNO, "Methods for the calculation of physical effects, (The Yellow Book), CPR14E", Part 1-2, 3rd Edition, SDU Uitgevers, The Hague, 1997.

# **ATTACHMENT IV-1**

WORST CASE ASSESSMENT

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible.

# PEMBINA FACILITY QRA Pembina Portland Propane Terminal Worst Case Assessment

Pembina Marine Terminals, Inc.

Report No.: PP124992, Rev. 2 Document No.: 1MICKCR-1 Date: 16 March 2015



Project name:	Pembina Facility QRA	Det Norske Veritas (U.S.A.), Inc.
Report title:	Pembina Portland Propane Terminal Worst Case	DNV GL Oil & Gas
	Assessment	Environmental and Navigational
Customer:	Pembina Marine Terminals, Inc.	Risk
	4000, 585 – 8 <sup>th</sup> Avenue S.W.	1400 Ravello Dr
	Calgary, Alberta Canada	77449 Katy
Contact person:	Chris Hayes	тх
Date of issue:	16 March 2015	United States
Project No.:	PP124992	Tel: +1 281 396 1000
Organization unit:	Environmental and Navigational Risk	
Report No.:	PP124992, Rev. 2	
Document No.:	1MICKCR-1	
Applicable contract	(s) governing the provision of this Report:	

#### Objective:

DNV GL was requested by Pembina to complete a Worst Case Assessment for the Portland Propane Terminal. The worst case scenario as defined by EPA RMP was modeled.

Prepared by:

Minmin Le Consultant Withone

Hong Wu Consultant Verified by:

Cynthia M St Tenberg

Cynthia Spitzenberger Principle Consultant Approved by:

LPG, Propane, Pembina, EPA RMP, Worst Case

Lindsay Deal Deputy Head of Section, Safety Risk Analysis

Copyright © DNV GL 2015. All rights reserved. This publication or parts thereof may not be copied, reproduced or transmitted in any form, or by any means, whether digitally or otherwise without the prior written consent of DNV GL. DNV GL and the Horizon Graphic are trademarks of DNV GL AS. The content of this publication shall be kept confidential by the customer, unless otherwise agreed in writing. Reference to part of this publication which may lead to misinterpretation is prohibited.

Keywords:

#### DNV GL Distribution:

□ Unrestricted distribution (internal and external)

- ☑ Unrestricted distribution within DNV GL
- $\hfill\square$  Limited distribution within DNV GL after 3 years
- $\Box$  No distribution (confidential)

 $\Box$  Secret

Rev. No.	Date	Reason for Issue	Prepared by	Verified by	Approved by
0	2015-02-03	First issue	MINMIN, WHON	CSPI	LLAT
1	2015-03-13	Updated based on client comments	MINMIN	CSPI	CSPI
2	2015-03-16	Updated based on client comments	WHON	CSPI	CSPI

# Table of contents

1	INTRODUCTION	. 1
2	WORST-CASE SCENARIO DEFINITION REVIEW	. 3
3	WORST-CASE SCENARIO CONSEQUENCE ANALYSIS APPROACH	.4
4	CONSEQUENCE RESULTS	. 7
5	REFERENCES	14

## **1 INTRODUCTION**

Pembina Marine Terminals Inc. and its affiliates (hereinafter referred to as "Pembina") propose to construct and operate a liquid propane export terminal in Portland, Oregon, the Pembina Portland Propane Terminal. The facility will be located at Terminal 6 in the Port of Portland.

The facility will receive approximately 3.2 million gallons of liquid propane from rail tracks every two days. There will be two rail tracks; each rail track will have 13 railcar unloading stations for a total of 26 railcar unloading stations. The liquid propane will be cooled at a rate of up to 1.7 million gallons per day and stored in two refrigerated double-walled storage tanks with the capacity of 550,000 bbls (23.1 million gallons) and 250,000 bbls (10.5 million gallons), respectively. A Very Large Gas Carrier (VLGC) up to approximately 23 million gallons capacity will load at the facility approximately two to three times per month for transit down the Columbia River to foreign markets.

Prior to the detailed facility QRA study, DNV GL was requested by Pembina to complete a Worst Case Assessment for the Portland Propane Terminal. Several relevant guidance, standards and regulation codes (e.g. NFPA 58, NFPA 59, NFPA 59A, API STD 2510, EPA RMP) were reviewed for defining and modeling the worst case scenarios for this propane storage facility. Detailed scenario identification, assumptions, modeling procedures and hazard zone results are presented in the following sections.

A simplified schematic of the process diagram and the tentative facility layout of the Pembina Portland Propane Terminal are shown in Figure 1-1 and Figure 1-2.



Figure 1-1 Facility Transportation, Refrigeration, Storage and Loading (1)



Figure 1-2 Pembina Portland Propane Terminal Tentative Facility Layout (1)

## **2 WORST-CASE SCENARIO DEFINITION REVIEW**

Several relevant standards, guidelines, codes, rules and regulations have been reviewed for the worst case scenario definition to be considered at the Portland Propane Terminal:

- API 2510, Design and Construction of Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) Installation (2)
- NFPA 58, *Liquefied Petroleum Gas Code* (3)
- NFPA 59, Utility LP-Gas Plant Code (4)
- NFPA 59A, Production, Storage, and Handling of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) (5)
- 40 CFR 68, Code of Federal Regulations: Protection of Environment (6)
- EPA RMP Guidance, *Risk Management Program Guidance for Propane Storage Facilities (40 CFR Part 68)* (7)
- EPA RMP Guidance, Risk Management Program Guidance for Offsite Consequence Analysis (8)

API 2510, *Design and Construction of Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) Installation* (2), covers the design, construction and location of liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) installations at marine and pipeline terminals, natural gas processing plants, refineries, petrochemical plants, or tank farms. Regarding the sitting requirement, focus has been given to a more likely/relevant LPG incident, such as leakage from piping or other components attached to or near the vessel followed by ignition, a flash fire or vapor cloud explosion, and a continuing poor fire and pressure (torch) fire. A prescriptive approach is adopted for minimizing the risk exposed to the adjacent properties from the LPG tank. API 2510, Chapter 5 (Section 5.1.2) provides the minimum distance requirement between the shell of a pressurized LPG tank and the line of adjoining property. For a LPG tank with water capacity of 120,000 gallons or greater, the minimum distances to the line of adjoining property has to be at least 200 ft. Where residences, public buildings, places of assembly, or industrial sites are located on adjacent property, greater distances or other supplemental protection is required.

NFPA 58, *Liquefied Petroleum Gas Code* (3), applies to the storage, handling, transportation, and use of LP-Gas. Neither a more realistic scenario nor a worst case scenario regarding the liquid petroleum gas (LPG) storage container is specified in this code. The spacing requirement to the third party property is also prescriptive and based on the tank capacity. The minimum distance from an aboveground, refrigerated LPG container with the capacity over 1,000,000 gallons to the nearest lines of adjoining property is 400 ft (Table 9-5.1).

NFPA 59, *Utility LP-Gas Plant Code* (4), provides the safety requirement for the design, construction, location, installation, operation and maintenance of refrigerated and non-refrigerated utility gas plants. Specific topics including refrigerated and non-refrigerated containers are covered. Similar to the NFPA 58 code, it specifies that the minimum distance to the lines of adjoining property that can be built upon should be at least 400 ft from the refrigerated LPG containers, which has the equivalent water capacity of 1,000,000+ gallons and the operation pressure above 15 psi (Section 5.4.1.2).

NFPA 59A, *Production, Storage, and Handling of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG)* (5), is applicable to LNG facilities. It is reviewed and included here since it also addresses the impounding area siting requirement for LPG storage containers. A design spill (release from a 2 inch hole lasting 10 minutes, section 5.3.3.7) from a

single-containment LPG storage container needs to be modelled for predicting the distance to the 1/2LFL concentration level for siting purposes. If the storage container is double or full containment, no design spill is defined. The spacing between the LPG storage impoundment to the nearest property line should be large enough to accommodate the 1/2LFL flammable cloud in the event of a design spill (Section 5.3.3.6). NFPA 59A also defines that the maximum radiant heat flux (at ground level) from an impounding fire received by the nearest point located outside the owner's property line used for outdoor assembly by groups of 50 or more persons should not exceed 5000 W/m<sup>2</sup> (Table 5.3.3.2).

The EPA RMP Guidance for Propane Storage Facilities (7) defines that if more than 10,000 pounds of propane stored in a single vessel or in a group of vessels that are connected or stored close together, this may need to comply with the rule codified as part 68 of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR 68) (6). According to 40 CFR 68, the EPA RMP Program Guidance for Offsite Consequence Analysis (8) is referred to for the definition of the Worst-Case Release Scenario and the consequence analysis approach. Different from the above summarized standards, this EPA RMP provides a detailed consequence analysis approach including the Worst-Case Release Scenario determination and also the offsite consequence analysis parameters (e.g. endpoints for flammable and toxic hazards, wind speed/atmospheric stability class, ambient temperature, humidity, surface roughness and etc.).

In order to perform the Worst-Case Release Scenario consequence analysis for the Pembina Portland Propane Terminal Facility, DNV GL adopted the approach defined by the EPA RMP Guidance, which is also in line with the 40 CFR 68 code. Section 3 presents the two Worst-Case Release Scenarios identified at the Portland Propane Terminal Facility and describes the analysis approach with main assumptions. Note that two scenarios were evaluated to ensure that the worst possible hazard zone was evaluated.

## 3 WORST-CASE RELEASE SCENARIO CONSEQUENCE ANALYSIS APPROACH

## 3.1 Worst-Case Release Scenario Determination

Releases from the two largest containment sources – the largest propane storage tank and a rail car when onsite at the Pembina Portland Propane Terminal – were requested by Pembina for the Worst-Case Release Scenario modeling. The largest propane storage tank has a capacity of 550,000 bbls and thus is selected for the Worst-Case Release Scenario modeling. In addition to the largest storage tank, a rail car rupture and the possible subsequent escalation leading to a Boiling Liquid Expanding Vapor Explosion (BLEVE) outcome are modeled.

A release of liquid propane will result in flashing and vaporization of the LPG upon release, which will form a flammable vapor cloud. Any remaining liquid will rainout and form a pool that will continue to vaporize as the LPG absorbs heat from the surroundings. The flammable cloud will disperse with the wind. If it encounters an ignition source, the cloud could ignite resulting in a flash fire or an explosion. An explosion could occur if the cloud overlaps an area of congestion or confinement. The liquid pool of LPG may be ignited by the burn back of the flash fire or by other ignition sources it may encounter and thus result in a burning pool fire. For the Worst-Case Release Scenario modeling required by the EPA RMP, not all of these potential hazards need to be modeled; only the worst possible theoretical scenario is required.

#### **Propane Tank Rupture**

The two propane refrigerated storage tanks are located to the south west (SW) of the rail car unloading area as indicated in the plot plan (Figure 3-1). Both tanks are double walled with steel walls. They are naturally bounded by embankment of the rail lines to the NE and SW, but are not surrounded by any type of dike or bund. According to the EPA RMP (8), the Worst-Case Release Scenario from a tank is determined as the instantaneous rupture of the entire tank inventory. It needs to be noted no credit is given to the double-walled structure since according to the EPA RMP's definition the worst-case release is simply assumed to occur without considering the possible causes or the probability that such a release might occur.

For all regulated flammable substances, the Worst-Case Release Scenario modeling must assume that the entire inventory is released instantly to form a vapor cloud with the total quantity of the substance released contributing to a detonation. The rule requires the analysis to estimate the distance to a 1 psi overpressure (at 1 psi overpressure windows will break). This scenario is required by the regulation and is adopted for the analysis.

In addition to the overpressure consequence hazard zone, distances to the 37.5 and 5 kW/m<sup>2</sup> radiant heat fluxes and the Lower Flammable Limit (LFL) concentration are modelled, although not required for the EPA RMP Worst-Case Release Scenario. Additionally, the distance to 1/2 LFL is also modelled and reported for further reference.

#### **Rail Car Rupture and BLEVE**

Similar to the storage tank rupture release scenario, the rail car release Worst-Case Release Scenario is defined as the instantaneous rupture of one rail car. No dikes or bunds are built at the rail car unloading area for collecting spills. A vapor cloud explosion involving the entire propane inventory within one rail car is modelled as a detonation. As required by regulation, distances to a 1 psi overpressure are reported; additionally the distances to radiant heat flux of 37.5 and 5 kW/m<sup>2</sup> and to 1/2 LFL concentration are estimated to be conservative.

In addition to the rail car rupture scenario, a Boiling Liquid Expanding Vapor Explosion (BLEVE) event is also modelled. BLEVE is defined as a sudden loss of containment of a pressure-liquefied gas existing above its normal atmospheric boiling point at the moment of its failure, which results in rapidly expanding vapor and flashing liquid (9). The consequences of the BLEVE would include a blast wave due to expansion of the vapor and flashing liquid, and a fireball due to immediate ignition of the propane by the nearby fire, and fragment throw or rocketing of vessel pieces. In this study, the fragment throw is not assessed. Note that a BLEVE event is usually a secondary or escalation event, as for it to occur requires an external fire at the location of the storage vessel which heats the contents of the vessel and causes pressure build-up inside the vessel to the point of rupture.

#### 3.2 Worst-Case Release Scenario Validation

Note that the worst-case release scenario modeling is ONLY a consequence analysis and has no frequency analysis to make it valid for a risk perspective. The two worst cases are IMPOSSIBLE to occur considering the chain of events that would need to occur instantly to mimic the scenario as modeled: tank instantly disappearing, all liquid propane vaporizing at once, pool spreading out evenly in a circle and only igniting when it gets to the end of the furthest LFL dispersion. Each of these event attributes are conservative and in reality would take time to develop, thus not instantaneously.



Figure 3-1 Propane Terminal Plot Plan – Propane Tanks and Railcar Unload Area (10)

# **3.3 Consequence Analysis Parameters**

Table 3-1 defines the worst-case consequence analysis parameters that should be used when conducting the consequence modeling as defined in 40 CFR Part 68 (6) and also in the EPA RMP Guideline (8).

# Table 3-1 Flammable Substance Worst-Case Release Scenario Consequence Analysis Parameters (6) (8)

Parameters	Value
Weather Data	
Wind speed/atmospheric stability class	1.5 m/s F
Ambient temperature	25 °C
Humidity	50%
Topography	
Surface Roughness	Urban or rural as appropriate
Consequence Endpoints	
Overpressure	1 psi
Radiant heat flux	5 kW/m <sup>2</sup>
Flammable concentration	LFL, 1/2LFL*
Scenario Definition for Pembina Facili	ty
Worst-Case Scenario	Vessel rupture
Release substance	Liquid propane
Release inventory (Tank Rupture)	550,000 bbls

Parameters	Value			
Release inventory (Rail car Rupture)	33,460 gallons			
Temperature of released substance	Highest daily maximum temperature			
Secondary containment (mitigation)	No secondary containment (bunding around tanks) has been considered			
Propane Flammability Limits (percent l	oy volume)			
UFL	9.5			
LFL	2.0			
1/2LFL	1.0			

\* Vapor cloud dispersion is modelled out to 1/2LFL to be conservative but this is not required by the 40 CFR Part 68 code

In the following Section 4 case specific input with the consequence results are presented in detail.

## **4 CONSEQUENCE RESULTS**

The magnitude of the potential consequence hazard zones from the two identified worst cases was estimated using DNV GL's proprietary software package Phast 6.7.

The EPA RMP Guideline requires the use of conservative weather conditions for dispersion, F atmospheric stability and 1.5 m/s wind speed, for the worst-case scenario. Since the pool fire thermal radiation hazard is also reported for the Worst-Case Release Scenario, the hazard zone is also estimated for a conservative weather of D atmospheric stability and 10 m/s wind speed (higher wind speed will push the flame downwind further and thus results in a greater thermal radiation hazard zone). Rural surface roughness is selected for the study. The downwind distances to hazard zones related to LFL,  $\frac{1}{2}$  LFL, 5 kW/m<sup>2</sup>, 37.5 kW/m<sup>2</sup> and 1 psi are reported at a height of 1 m (3.3 ft).

RMP\*Comp (11) is a free online program to complete the Off-site Consequence Analyses (both Worst-Case Release Scenarios and Alternative Scenarios) required under the Risk Management Program rule. The worst-case scenario results (distance to 1 psi overpressure) from the RMP\*Comp Online tool are also presented for comparison to the Phast results.

# 4.1 Case 1 – Storage Tank Release Case

As stated in the previous text, the instantaneous rupture from the 550,000 bbl, double-walled propane storage tank is selected as the Worst-Case Release Scenario to comply with EPA RMP. Table 4-1 summarizes the downwind distances to each hazard zone endpoint.

Capacity	Operating Condition		Distance Unit	Thermal Radiation Downwind Distance				Flammable Vapor Dispersion Downwind Distance		Explosion Hazard Zone Distance	RMP*Comp Result
(bbl)				5 kW/m <sup>2</sup>		37.5 kW/m <sup>2</sup>		1/2LFL	LFL	1 psi	1 psi
	Temp. (F)	Pressure (psig)		F1.5	D10	F1.5	D10	F1.5	F1.5	F1.5	F1.5
			m	3,580	3,680	1,490	1,830	10,380	8,540	6,340	6,300
550,000	-44	4.3	mi	2.2	2.3	0.9	1.1	6.4	5.3	3.9	3.9

Table 4-1 Pro	nane Storage	Tank Consec	uence Results
	pane Storage		uence Results

The 1 psi overpressure hazard effect zone according to EPA RMP Worst-Case Release Scenario is presented in Figure 4-1. It shows that the theoretical catastrophic rupture 1 psi hazard zone reaches approximately 6.3 km (3.9 mi) away from the facility. Note the Worst-Case Release Scenario as defined by EPA RMP by definition does not consider the probability of the event to occur.



Figure 4-1 EPA RMP Worst-Case Release Scenario, LPG Storage Tank, 1 psi Overpressure Effect Zone

The ½ LFL and LFL downwind hazard effect zones according to EPA RMP Worst-Case Release Scenario are presented in Figure 4-2. Note that the flammable dispersion hazard distance is not required to comply with the EPA RMP Worst-Case Release Scenario. It shows that the instantaneous rupture of the tank results in the ½ LFL hazard zone reaching more than 10 km (6.4 mi) away from the facility (blue contour) and LFL hazard zone is 8.5 km (5.3 mi) from the facility (green contour). Note that in Figure 4-2 the flammable cloud will disperse in the downwind direction at the time of the release; the figure shows the 360 degree rotation of the cloud dispersion to illustrate the potential hazard zone for each wind direction.



Figure 4-2 Worst-Case Release Scenario, LPG Storage Tank, Flammable Dispersion Effect Zones (360deg rotation of potential cloud plume)

## 4.2 Case 2 – Rail Car Release Case

As stated in the previous text, the instantaneous rupture from a 33,460 gallon railcar is selected as the Worst-Case Release Scenario to comply with EPA RMP. The BLEVE event is also modelled. Table 4-2 summarizes the downwind distances to each hazard zone endpoint.

Railcar Capacity 33,460	Operating Condition		Distance Unit		Radiation d Distance	Flammab Dispe Down Dista	rsion wind	Explosion Hazard Zone Distance	RMP*Comp Result							
gallons	Temp.	Pressure		5 kW/m <sup>2</sup> 37.5 kW/m <sup>2</sup>			LFL	1 psi	1 psi							
	(F)	(psig)		F1.5	F1.5	F1.5	F1.5	F1.5	F1.5							
Worst-case	05	140	m	-	-	245	95	674	700							
Rupture	85	85	140	140	140	140	140	140	140	mi	-	-	0.15	0.06	0.42	0.43
	05	240	m	715	192		-	174	-							
BLEVE	85	340	mi	0.44	0.12	-	-	0.11	-							

#### Table 4-2 Railcar Consequence Results

#### **Rail Car Rupture**

The 1 psi overpressure hazard effect zone according to the EPA RMP Worst-Case Release Scenario is presented in Figure 4-3. It shows that the theoretical catastrophic rupture 1 psi hazard zone reaches approximately 700 m (0.4 mi) away from the rail car release location. Note the Worst-Case Release Scenario as defined by EPA RMP by definition does not consider the probability of the event to occur.



Figure 4-3 EPA RMP Worst-Case Release Scenario, Railcar, 1 psi Overpressure Effect Zone

The  $\frac{1}{2}$  LFL and LFL downwind hazard effect zones according to EPA RMP Worst-Case Release Scenario are presented in Figure 4-4. Note that the flammable dispersion hazard distance is not required to comply with the EPA RMP Worst-Case Release Scenario. It shows that the instantaneous rupture of the rail car results in the  $\frac{1}{2}$  LFL hazard zone reaching 245 m (0.15 mi) away from the release location (blue contour) and LFL hazard zone is 95 m (0.06 mi) from the location (green contour). Note that in the Figure 4-4 flammable cloud will disperse in the downwind direction at the time of the release; the figure shows the 360 degree rotation of the cloud dispersion to illustrate the potential hazard zone for each wind direction.



Figure 4-4 Worst-Case Release Scenario, Railcar, Flammable Dispersion Effect Zones (360 deg rotation of potential cloud plume)

#### **Rail Car BLEVE**

For the potential rail car BLEVE hazard, the worst hazard is from the thermal radiation from the fireball event. The 5 kW/m<sup>2</sup> fireball heat flux zone is presented in Figure 4-5. The 5 kW/m<sup>2</sup> hazard zone reaches 715 m (0.44 mi) away from the rail car release location.



Figure 4-5 BLEVE, Railcar, 5 kW/m<sup>2</sup> Thermal Radiation Effect Zone

## **5 REFERENCES**

- 1. LPG Export Terminal Design Overview. Portland, Oregon : Pembina Pipeline Corporation, 10-01-2014.
- 2. Design and Construction of LPG Installations. American Petroleum Institute : API 2510, October 2011.
- 3. *Liquefied Petroleum Gas Code*. National Fire Protection Association : NFPA 58, 2014.
- 4. Utility LP-Gas Plant Code. National Fire Protection Association : NFPA 59, 2015.
- 5. *Production, Storage, and Handling of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG).* National Fire Protection Association : NFPA 59A, 2013.
- 6. Protection of Environment: Chemical Accident Prevention Provisions. Code of Federal Regulations : 40 CFR 68, 2015.
- 7. *Risk Management Program Guidance for Propane Storage Facilities (40 CFR Part 68).* United States Environment Protection Agency : EPA 550-B-00-001, March 2009.
- 8. *Risk Management Program Guidance for Offsite Consequence Analysis.* United States Environment Protection Agency : EPA 550-B-99-009, March 2009.
- 9. Guidelines for Vapor Cloud Explosion, Pressure Vessel Burst, BLEVE and Flash Fire Hazards, Second Edition. CCPS, 2010.
- 10. Pembina LPG Project Overall Plot Plan, Rev. B. 14088D-PI-PP-00000-01 : SK E&C USA, 01-12-2015.
- 11. Risk Management Plan Rule. *RMP\*Comp.* [Online] EPA United Environmental Protection Agency. http://www2.epa.gov/rmp/rmpcomp.

#### **About DNV GL**

Driven by our purpose of safeguarding life, property and the environment, DNV GL enables organizations to advance the safety and sustainability of their business. We provide classification and technical assurance along with software and independent expert advisory services to the maritime, oil and gas, and energy industries. We also provide certification services to customers across a wide range of industries. Operating in more than 100 countries, our 16,000 professionals are dedicated to helping our customers make the world safer, smarter and greener.

## **ATTACHMENT IV-2**

**ADDITIONAL HAZARD ZONE MODELS** 

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible.

# PEMBINA FACILITY QRA Pembina Portland Propane Terminal Additional Hazard Zone Models

Pembina Marine Terminals, Inc.

Report No.: PP124992, Rev. 2 Document No.: 1MICKCR-1 Date: 16 March 2015



Project name:	Pembina Facility QRA	Det Norske Veritas (U.S.A.), Inc.
Report title:	Pembina Portland Propane Terminal Additional	DNV GL Oil & Gas
	Hazard Zone Models	Environmental and Navigational
Customer:	Pembina Marine Terminals, Inc.	Risk
	4000, 585 – 8 <sup>th</sup> Avenue S.W.	1400 Ravello Dr
	Calgary, Alberta Canada	77449 Katy
Contact person:	Chris Hayes	ТХ
Date of issue:	16 March 2015	United States
Project No.:	PP124992	Tel: +1 281 396 1000
Organization unit:	Environmental and Navigational Risk	
Report No.:	PP124992, Rev. 2	
Document No.:	1MICKCR-1	
Applicable contract	(s) governing the provision of this Report:	

#### Objective:

DNV GL was requested by Pembina to complete two release hazard zone consequence assessments for the Portland Propane Terminal.

inthis M Solenber

Prepared by:

Hong Wu Consultant Verified by:

Cynthia Spitzenberger

Principal Consultant

Approved by:

lighthin the for Jenberg

Cynthia Spitzenberger Principal Consultant

LPG, Propane, Pembina, Worst Case

Copyright © DNV GL 2015. All rights reserved. This publication or parts thereof may not be copied, reproduced or transmitted in any form, or by any means, whether digitally or otherwise without the prior written consent of DNV GL. DNV GL and the Horizon Graphic are trademarks of DNV GL AS. The content of this publication shall be kept confidential by the customer, unless otherwise agreed in writing. Reference to part of this publication which may lead to misinterpretation is prohibited.

Keywords:

#### DNV GL Distribution:

- □ Unrestricted distribution (internal and external)
- Unrestricted distribution within DNV GL

□ Limited distribution within DNV GL after 3 years

□ No distribution (confidential)

#### □ Secret

Rev. No.	Date	Reason for Issue	Prepared by	Verified by	Approved by
0	2015-02-09	First issue	WHON	CSPI	CSPI
1	2015-02-25	Second issue	WHON	CSPI	CSPI
2	2015-03-16	Third issue	WHON	CSPI	CSPI

DNV GL - Report No. PP124992, Rev. 2 - www.dnvgl.com

# Table of contents

1	INTRODUCTION	. 1
2	HAZARD ZONE RELEASE SCENARIO CONSEQUENCE ANALYSIS APPROACH	. 3
3	CONSEQUENCE RESULTS	. 5
4	REFERENCES	10

## **1 INTRODUCTION**

Pembina Marine Terminals Inc. and its affiliates (hereinafter referred to as "Pembina") propose to construct and operate a liquid propane export terminal in Portland, Oregon, the Pembina Portland Propane Terminal. The facility will be located at Terminal 6 in the Port of Portland.

The facility will receive approximately 3.2 million gallons of liquid propane from rail tracks every two days. There will be two rail tracks; each rail track will have 13 railcar unloading stations for a total of 26 railcar unloading stations. The liquid propane will be cooled at a rate of up to 1.7 million gallons per day and stored in two refrigerated double-walled storage tanks with the capacity of 550,000 bbl (23.1 million gallons) and 250,000 bbl (10.5 million gallons), respectively. A Very Large Gas Carrier (VLGC) with up to approximately 23 million gallons capacity, will load at the facility approximately two to three times per month for transit down the Columbia River to foreign markets.

Prior to the detailed facility QRA study, DNV GL was requested by Pembina to perform consequence modeling on a few identified scenarios. Detailed scenario identification, assumptions, modeling procedures and hazard zone results are presented in the following sections.

A simplified schematic of the process diagram and the tentative facility layout of the Pembina Portland Propane Terminal are shown in Figure 1-1 and Figure 1-2.



Figure 1-1 Facility Transportation, Refrigeration, Storage and Loading (1)



Figure 1-2 Pembina Portland Propane Terminal Tentative Facility Layout (1)

## 2 HAZARD ZONE RELEASE SCENARIO CONSEQUENCE ANALYSIS APPROACH

#### 2.1 Release Scenario Determination

Two releases were requested by Pembina for the hazard zone modeling:

- (1) 24 inch line rupture from the loading pipe to the dock
- (2) instantaneous rupture from a pressure storage vessel

A release of liquid propane will result in flashing and vaporization of the LPG upon release, which will form a flammable vapor cloud. Any remaining liquid will rainout and form a pool that will continue to vaporize as the LPG absorbs heat from the surroundings. The flammable cloud will disperse with the wind. If it encounters an ignition source, the cloud could ignite resulting in a flash fire or an explosion. An explosion could occur if the cloud overlaps an area of congestion or confinement. The liquid pool of LPG may be ignited by the burn back of the flash fire or by other ignition sources it may encounter and thus result in a burning pool fire.

#### 24" Line Rupture for Loading Pipe

A failure of the 24" propane load pipe to the dock was modelled. A 3000' length was estimated to account for the drop from the tank, the run to the berth area, and the run out onto the dock as shown in red routes (Figure 2-2). The facility is planning to install ESD valves at the top of the tank and on land at the dock area, so the length provided is relatively conservative. Distances to the 37.5 and 5 kW/m<sup>2</sup> jet fire and pool fire radiant heat fluxes and the LFL and  $\frac{1}{2}$  LFL concentrations for the line rupture are modelled.

#### Pressure Propane Storage Vessel Rupture

An instantaneous rupture is modeled for one pressure storage vessel. Twelve propane pressure vessels are located north east (NE) of the two large refrigerated storage tanks indicated as a square area in the plot plan (Figure 2-1). No dikes or bunds are built at the area for collecting spills. Similar to the Refrigerated Propane Storage 48" leak study, distances to the 37.5 and 5 kW/m<sup>2</sup> jet fire and pool fire radiant heat fluxes and the LFL and ½ LFL concentrations are modelled. The distance to 1 psi overpressure is also reported for the instantaneous rupture as it is a required end-point for the Worst Case Scenario according to the EPA RMP Guideline.

In addition to the instantaneous rupture scenario, a Boiling Liquid Expanding Vapor Explosion (BLEVE) event is also modelled. BLEVE is defined as a sudden loss of containment of a pressure-liquefied gas existing above its normal atmospheric boiling point at the moment of its failure, which results in rapidly expanding vapor and flashing liquid (2). The consequences of the BLEVE would include a blast wave due to expansion of the vapor and flashing liquid, and a fireball due to immediate ignition of the propane by the nearby fire, and fragment throw or rocketing of vessel pieces. In this study, the fragment throw is not assessed. Note that a BLEVE event is usually a secondary or escalation event; for it to occur requires an external fire at the location of the storage vessel which heats the contents of the vessel and causes pressure build-up inside the vessel to the point of rupture.



Figure 2-1 Propane Terminal Plot Plan – Pressurized Propane Vessel (3)



Figure 2-2 Propane Terminal Plot Plan – LPG Loading Pipeline (3)

## 2.2 Consequence Analysis Parameters

Table 2-1 defines the consequence analysis parameters that are used when conducting the consequence modeling. To be consistent with the previously issued Worst Case study, parameters are defined in accordance with 40 CFR Part 68 (4) and the EPA RMP Guideline (5).

Value				
1.5 m/s F				
25 °C				
50%				
Rural				
1 psi if applicable				
5 kW/m <sup>2</sup> and 37.5 kW/m <sup>2</sup>				
LFL, 1/2LFL*				
ity				
Liquid propane				
t by volume)				
9.5				
2.0				
1.0				

Substance Scenario Consequence Analysis Parameters (4), (5) Table 2-1 Flam

Vapor cloud dispersion is modelled out to 1/2LFL to be conservative

In the following Section 3, case specific input with the consequence results are presented in detail.

#### **CONSEQUENCE RESULTS** 3

The magnitude of the potential consequence hazard zones from the two models was estimated using DNV GL's proprietary software package Phast 6.7.

In addition to the F atmospheric stability and 1.5 m/s wind speed, the thermal radiation hazard zone is also estimated for a conservative weather of D atmospheric stability and 10 m/s wind speed (higher wind speed will push the flame downwind further and thus results in a greater thermal radiation hazard zone). Rural surface roughness is selected for the study. The downwind distances to hazard zones related to LFL, 1/2 LFL, 5 kW/m<sup>2</sup>, 37.5 kW/m<sup>2</sup> and 1 psi (if applicable) are reported at a height of 1m.

RMP\*Comp (6) is a free online program to complete the Off-site Consequence Analyses (both Worst-Case Release Scenarios and Alternative Scenarios) required under the Risk Management Program rule. The worst-case scenario results (distance to 1 psi overpressure) from the RMP\*Comp Online tool are also presented for comparison to the Phast results.

## 3.1 Loading Pipe Line Rupture Case

As stated in the previous text, the 24" line rupture case from a 3000 ft long loading pipe is modeled and Table 3-2 summarizes the potential downwind distances to each hazard zone endpoint.

Capacity			Distance	Pool Fire Thermal Radiation Downwind Distance				Jet Fire Thermal Radiation Downwind Distance				Flammable Vapor Dispersion Downwind Distance	
(m <sup>3</sup> )			Unit	5 kW/m		m <sup>2</sup> 37.5 kW/m <sup>2</sup>		5 kW/m <sup>2</sup>		$37.5 \text{ kW/m}^2$		1/2LFL	LFL
Te	Temp (F)	Pressure (psig)		F1.5	D10	F1.5	D10	F1.5	D10	F1.5	D10	F1.5	F1.5
267	12.0 06.2	96.2	m	407	434	145	213	432	346	292	223	1470	1115
267 -42.9	-42.9	42.9 96.2	mi	0.25	0.27	0.09	0.13	0.27	0.21	0.18	0.14	0.91	0.69

Table 3-1 24" Loading Pipe Line Rupture Consequence Results

The ½ LFL and LFL downwind hazard effect zones are presented in Figure 3-2. It shows that the 24" line rupture of the loading pipe results in the ½ LFL hazard zone reaching 1470 m (0.91 mi) away from the facility (blue contour) and LFL hazard zone is 1115 m (0.69 mi) from the facility (green contour). Note that in Figure 3-2 the flammable cloud will disperse in the downwind direction at the time of the release, however, the figure shows the 360 degree rotation of the cloud dispersion to illustrate the potential hazard zone for each wind direction.



Figure 3-1 24" Line Rupture Scenario, Loading Pipe, Flammable Dispersion Effect Zones (360 deg rotation of potential cloud plume)

## **3.2 Pressure Storage Vessel Release Case**

As stated in the previous text, the instantaneous rupture and the BLEVE event from a 461 m<sup>3</sup> propane pressure storage vessel are modelled and Table 3-3 summarizes the potential downwind distances to each hazard zone endpoint.

Pressure Storage	Operating Condition		Distance	Radiation	ll Thermal n Downwind stance	Dispersion	ole Vapor Downwind ance	Explosion Hazard Zone Distance	RMP*Comp Result				
Vessel 461 m <sup>3</sup>	Temp (F)	Pressure (psig)	Unit	5 kW/m <sup>2</sup> F1.5	37.5 kW/m <sup>2</sup> F1.5	1/2LFL F1.5	LFL F1.5	1 psi F1.5	1 psi F1.5				
Instantaneous			m	-	-	406	172	1037	1000				
Rupture	85		160	100	100	160	160	mi	-	-	0.25	0.11	0.64
	85	0 F	F 100	m	989	236	-	-	270	-			
BLEVE		160	mi	0.61	0.15		-	0.17	-				

Table 3-2 Propane Pressure Storage Vessel Consequence Results

#### **Instantaneous Rupture**

The 1 psi overpressure hazard effect zone is presented in Figure 3-3. It shows that the theoretical catastrophic rupture 1 psi hazard zone reaches approximately 1037 m (0.64 mi) away from the pressure vessel release location.



Figure 3-2 Instantaneous Release Scenario, Pressure Storage Vessel, 1 psi Overpressure Effect Zone



Figure 3-3 Instantaneous Release Scenario, Pressure Storage Vessel, Flammable Dispersion Effect Zones (360 deg rotation of potential cloud plume)

The  $\frac{1}{2}$  LFL and LFL downwind hazard effect zones are presented in Figure 3-4. It shows that the instantaneous rupture of the pressure vessel results in the  $\frac{1}{2}$  LFL hazard zone reaching 406 m (0.25 mi) away from the release location (blue contour) and LFL hazard zone is 172 m (0.11 mi) from the location (green contour). Note that in Figure 3-4 the flammable cloud will disperse in the downwind direction at the time of the release, however, the figure shows the 360 degree rotation of the cloud dispersion to illustrate the potential hazard zone for each wind direction.

#### **BLEVE**

For the potential BLEVE hazard, the worst hazard is from the thermal radiation from the fireball event. The 5 kW/m<sup>2</sup> fireball heat flux zone is presented in Figure 3-5. The 5 kW/m<sup>2</sup> hazard zone may extend 989 m (0.61 mi) away from the pressure vessel release location.



Figure 3-4 BLEVE, Pressure Storage Vessel, 5 kW/m<sup>2</sup> Thermal Radiation Effect Zone

## **4 REFERENCES**

- 1. LPG Export Terminal Design Overview. Portland, Oregon : Pembina Pipeline Corporation, 10-01-2014.
- 2. Guidelines for Vapor Cloud Explosion, Pressure Vessel Burst, BLEVE and Flash Fire Hazards, Second Edition. CCPS, 2010.
- 3. Pembina LPG Project Overall Plot Plan, Rev. B. 14088D-PI-PP-00000-01 : SK E&C USA, 01-12-2015.
- 4. Protection of Environment: Chemical Accident Prevention Provisions. Code of Federal Regulations : 40 CFR 68, 2015.
- 5. *Risk Management Program Guidance for Offsite Consequence Analysis.* United States Environment Protection Agency : EPA 550-B-99-009, March 2009.
- 6. Risk Management Plan Rule. *RMP\*Comp.* [Online] EPA United Environmental Protection Agency. http://www2.epa.gov/rmp/rmpcomp.
- 7. Utility LP-Gas Plant Code. National Fire Protection Association : NFPA 59, 2015.
- 8. Liquefied Petroleum Gas Code. National Fire Protection Association : NFPA 58, 2014.
- 9. Design and Construction of LPG Installations. American Petroleum Institute : API 2510, October 2011.
- 10. *Production, Storage, and Handling of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG).* National Fire Protection Association : NFPA 59A, 2013.
- 11. *Risk Management Program Guidance for Propane Storage Facilities (40 CFR Part 68).* United States Environment Protection Agency : EPA 550-B-00-001, March 2009.
- 12. DNV GL: Pembina Portland Propane Terminal Worst Case Assessment. Feb.03 2015. Report No. PP124992, Rev. 0.
- 13. Email from Chris Hayes: Facility Hazard Zone Models. Feb.04 2015.

#### **About DNV GL**

Driven by our purpose of safeguarding life, property and the environment, DNV GL enables organizations to advance the safety and sustainability of their business. We provide classification and technical assurance along with software and independent expert advisory services to the maritime, oil and gas, and energy industries. We also provide certification services to customers across a wide range of industries. Operating in more than 100 countries, our 16,000 professionals are dedicated to helping our customers make the world safer, smarter and greener.