

Dear Deborah-

We are formally requesting that the discussion of the R-7 zoning specifically relating to Eastmoreland be removed from the PSC Commission agenda for the March 10 work session.

The summary of issues to discuss assembled for the PSC commission as of February 25 regarding zoning appropriate zoning for the Eastmoreland neighborhood is confusing and simplistic at once. The summary fails to acknowledge the original request from the ENA December 2013 that stem from adopted neighborhood goals, distorts the representation of the overwhelming opinion of support for the R7 expressed in the MapAp, and does not include the extensive written testimony provided by the neighborhood previously submitted and attached to this email. The arguments are couched in such a way to bias the outcome of the discussion in favor of the status quo.

The neighborhood expended a great deal of effort over an extended period to develop the testimony. A balanced presentation of the issues is the least we can expect. For this reason we request that these materials be withdrawn and rewritten in consultation with the neighborhood(s) in question so that the PSC commission has information that accurately reflects the questions at hand *after* the end of the comment period March 13.

Some of the issues:

The issue of density vs lot size is not addressed.

There is no mention of our original request to place the entire neighborhood in the R-7 zone that city staff have chosen to ignore in the MAP APP

Related to architectural heritage. The description dividing of the neighborhood into confusing parts and parcels is incomprehensible. There is no research and no documentation to counter our research as presented with additional information to follow this.

The summary of the comments from the map app makes no indication of the level of support or of the adopted neighborhood goals underlying the requests- Just that the neighborhood is attempting to "slow the rate of change".

There is no discussion of the underlying lots of record issues.

The argument posed by staff against the changes is that changing the zoning will compromise density standards which is precisely what the code has done.

Rod Merrick, AIA NCARB
Merrick Architecture Planning
Portland, OR 503.771.7762
3627 SE Cooper St
Portland, OR 97202