### Portland, Oregon FINANCIAL IMPACT and PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT STATEMENT For Council Action Items

(Deliver original to Financial Planning Division. Retain copy.)								
1. Name of Initiator		2. Telephone No.		3. Bureau/Office/Dept.				
Tim Collins		(503) 823-5033		Water / Engineering				
4a. To be filed (date):	4b. Calendar (Check One)			5. Date Submitted to				
December 4, 2014	Regular Consent 4/5ths		onsent 4/5ths	Commissioner's office and CBO Budget Analyst: November 26, 2014				
6a. Financial Impact Section:			6b. Public Involv	ement Section:				
Financial impact section completed			Public involvement section completed					

### 1) Legislation Title:

Authorize as surplus the City-owned Sandy River Conduit 2/4 Bridge and authorize the Water Bureau to proceed with the sale, donation, or recycling of the property (Ordinance)

**2) Purpose of the Proposed Legislation:** The purpose of this legislation is to authorize the sale, donation, or recycling of the Sandy River 2/4 Conduit Bridge. The bridge was removed and placed into storage as part of the 2008 Sandy River Conduit Relocation Project that rerouted Conduits 2 and 4 under the Sandy River. A Memorandum of Agreement with the Oregon State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) stated that the Water Bureau would pursue a new owner for the bridge. The Water Bureau has undertaken significant local and national outreach to many jurisdictions and private companies in an effort to find a new owner for the bridge. The obligation to store the bridge ended September 2013.

On June 26, 2014, SHPO informed the Water Bureau that the requirements of the Agreement had been fulfilled and all responsibilities under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Office were complete. With the SHPO requirements complete, the Water Bureau will work to dispose of the bridge. Portland City Code 5.36, Disposition of Surplus Property, authorizes the City to dispose of surplus property by donation to organizations certified under the Internal Revenue Service Code 501(c)(3) or as unusable surplus property via recycling.

# 3) Which area(s) of the city are affected by this Council item? (Check all that apply—areas are based on formal neighborhood coalition boundaries)?

8			
City-wide/Regional	Northeast	Northwest	🗌 North
Central Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	🛛 East
Central City			

1

### FINANCIAL IMPACT

## 4) <u>Revenue</u>: Will this legislation generate or reduce current or future revenue coming to the City? If so, by how much? If so, please identify the source.

The bridge is estimated to weigh 110 net tons. In the current market, steel is valued at approximately \$120 per ton. The bridge has a net estimated salvage value, after disposal costs, of \$11,600. This estimate is based on February 2014 market and freight pricing. The actual value will be determined when the bridge is moved.

If the bridge is recycled, the Water Bureau will have a one-time gain of \$11,600 in revenue. If donated, the Water Bureau will not receive any additional revenue.

## 5) <u>Expense</u>: What are the costs to the City as a result of this legislation? What is the source of funding for the expense?

There are no costs to the City as a result of this legislation.

#### 6) **Staffing Requirements:**

• Will any positions be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation?

No.

• Will positions be created or eliminated in *future years* as a result of this legislation?

No.

#### (Complete the following section only if an amendment to the budget is proposed.)

7) Change in Appropriations (If the accompanying ordinance amends the budget please reflect the dollar amount to be appropriated by this legislation. Include the appropriate cost elements that are to be loaded by accounting. Indicate "new" in Fund Center column if new center needs to be created. Use additional space if needed.)

Fund	Fund Center	Commitment Item	Functional Area	Funded Program	Grant	Sponsored Program	Amount

### [Proceed to Public Involvement Section — REQUIRED as of July 1, 2011]

#### **PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT**

# 8) Was public involvement included in the development of this Council item (e.g. ordinance, resolution, or report)? Please check the appropriate box below:

**YES**: Please proceed to Question #9.

 $\boxtimes$  NO: Please, explain why below; and proceed to Question #10.

There was significant public input on the development of the environmental permit that established the Memorandum of Agreement with SHPO that outlined actions the Water Bureau would need to undertake. On June 26, 2014 the Water Bureau was informed by SHPO that the requirements of the Agreement had been fulfilled and all responsibilities under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Office were complete.

9) If "YES," please answer the following questions:

a) What impacts are anticipated in the community from this proposed Council item?

b) Which community and business groups, under-represented groups, organizations, external government entities, and other interested parties were involved in this effort, and when and how were they involved?

c) How did public involvement shape the outcome of this Council item?

d) Who designed and implemented the public involvement related to this Council item?

e) Primary contact for more information on this public involvement process (name, title, phone, email):

10) Is any future public involvement anticipated or necessary for this Council item? Please describe why or why not.

No further public involvement is planned or necessary. The Water Bureau has taken reasonable steps to inform the public about its attempts to dispose of the bridge.

David G. Shaff, Administrator

APPROPRIATION UNIT HEAD (Typed name and signature)