## Portland, Oregon

## FINANCIAL IMPACT and PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT STATEMENT For Council Action Items

|   |   | inal to Financial Pl     | anning Division. Ret                 | ain copy.)                 |              |  |
|---|---|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|--|
|   | 1. Name of Initiator  | 2. Tele                  | ephone No.                           | 3. Bureau/Office/Dept.     |              |  |
|   | Mark Hutchinson   | (503) 823-7113           |                                      | Environmental Services     |              |  |
|   | 4a. To be filed (hearing date):   | 4b. Calendar (Check One) |                                      | 5. Date Submitted to       |              |  |
|   |   |                          |                                      | Commissioner's office      |              |  |
|   | October 8, 2014   |                          | onsent 4/5ths                        | and FPD Budget Analyst:    |              |  |
|   | 00000000, 2014  |                          |                                      |                            |              |  |
|   | 6a. Financial Impact Section:   |                          | 6b. Public Involve                   | ement Section:             |              |  |
|   | Financial impact section comp   | leted                    | Public involvement section completed |                            |              |  |
| due to increa<br>Reconstructi           | on Title:  attract with R&G Excavating, I used bid item quantities for the on Phase 2 Project, Project No. of the Proposed Legislation: | Columbia Bo              | ulevard Wastew                       | rater Treatment Plant (CBW | TP) Lagoon   |  |
|   |   |                          |                                      |                            |              |  |
|   | the Commissioner of Bureau<br>. 30002275 with R&G Excava  |                          |                                      |                            | ıt of        |  |
|   | causes the final contract amou<br>e bid amount was \$7,640,150  |                          |                                      |                            |              |  |
|   | ea(s) of the city are affected hborhood coalition boundari  | •                        | cil item? (Chec                      | k all that apply—areas are | e based on   |  |
| □ Č                                     | ity-wide/Regional 🔲 N   | ortheast                 | ☐ Northwes                           | st [X] North               |              |  |
| ☐ C                                     | · ·   | outheast                 | ☐ Southwes                           | <b>u</b> -                 |              |  |
|   |   | FINANCIA                 | L IMPACT                             |                            |              |  |
| * ************************************* | Will this legislation general h? If so, please identify the   |                          | urrent or futur                      | e revenue coming to the C  | City? If so, |  |
| No                                      |   |                          |                                      |                            |              |  |
| 5) Expense: for the expe                | What are the costs to the C   | ity as a result          | of this legislati                    | on? What is the source of  | funding      |  |
| \$559,823. S                            | ource: Existing CIP budget for  | r Parent projec          | et.                                  |                            |              |  |

6) Staffing Requirements:

| * | Will any positions be created | , eliminated o | r re-classified in th | ne current year | as a result of this |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
|   | legislation?                  |                |                       | •               |                     |

No.

• Will positions be created or eliminated in future years as a result of this legislation?

No.

7) <u>Change in Appropriations</u> (If the accompanying ordinance amends the budget please reflect the dollar amount to be appropriated by this legislation. Include the appropriate cost elements that are to be loaded by accounting. Indicate "new" in Fund Center column if new center needs to be created. Use additional space if needed.)

| Fund   | Commitment     | Functional Area                       | Funded Program | Grant | Sponsored | Amount |
|--------|----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|-------|-----------|--------|
| Center | Item           |                                       |                |       | Program   |        |
|        |                |                                       |                |       |           |        |
|        |                |                                       |                |       |           |        |
|        |                |                                       |                |       |           |        |
|        |                |                                       |                |       |           |        |
|        |                |                                       |                |       |           |        |
|        | Fund<br>Center | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | l l            | 3     |           |        |

[Proceed to Public Involvement Section — REQUIRED as of July 1, 2011]

## **PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT**

| 8) Was public involvement included in the development of this Council item (e.g. ordinance, resolution, or report)? Please check the appropriate box below:   |
|---|
| There are no public involvement issues. Project is entirely within CBWTP property.  |
| 9) If "YES," please answer the following questions:   |
| a) What impacts are anticipated in the community from this proposed Council item?   |
| b) Which community and business groups, under-represented groups, organizations, external government entities, and other interested parties were involved in this effort, and when and how were they involved?      |
| c) How did public involvement shape the outcome of this Council item?   |
| d) Who designed and implemented the public involvement related to this Council item?  |
| e) Primary contact for more information on this public involvement process (name, title, phone, email):   |
| 10) Is any future public involvement anticipated or necessary for this Council item? Please describe why or why not. Project has no public impacts and is contained entirely within the confines of CBWTP property. |
| JAR Draw  |

Dean Marriott, Director, Bureau of Environmental Services

**MEMORANDUM** 

186843

Date:

September 18, 2014

TO:

Nick Fish, Commissioner of BES

Dean Mariott, Director, Bureau of Environmental Services

Christine Moody, Chief Procurement Officer, Bureau of Procurement

Services

FROM:

Mark Hutchinson, Construction Division Manager

SUBJECT:

**CBWTP Lagoon Reconstruction Phase 2** 

Project No. E07145, Contract No. 30002275

Copies:

Bill Ryan, Scott Gibson, Darin Wilson, Muriel Gueissaz-Teufel, Paul Suto

City Code requires Council approval for expenditures in excess of 125% of the original authorized construction contract amount.

We request additional funds for the Columbia Boulevard Waste Water Treatment Plant (CBWTP) Lagoon Reconstruction Phase 2 project in the amount of \$2,469,860 which is approximately 33% over the original contract amount (\$7,640,150). The amount exceeding 25% of the original contract, for which additional authorization is needed, is \$559,823, resulting in a not to exceed contract amount of \$10,110,010.

The CBWTP Lagoon Reconstruction Phase 2 project is located at the Columbia Blvd Wastewater Treatment Plant. The goal of this project is to protect ground water by drying and containing hazardous legacy sludge in a lined monofill (storage site for contaminated materials). This project is required in to assure that we can continue to operate the treatment plant in accordance with established operating procedures while meeting current and future permit conditions. The contractor R&G Excavating, Inc. has been dewatering, drying, and removing sludge from the bottom of the lagoons and placing it in the new adjacent monofill for storage. The Contractor is near the completion of this 3-1/2 year long project.

In all, there are more than 27 changes or extra cost issues related to this contract. However, there are two primary issues that contribute to the majority of the costs: Increases to Bid Quantities for Dike and Cell Floor Construction, and Increases to Bid Quantities for Sludge Processing.

1. <u>Increases in Bid Quantities for Dike and Cell Floor Construction</u>: The existing ground profile was discovered to be lower than expected due to 30 years of dredging, causing soil import and placement quantities to be higher than expected. Costs for extra

material and work is approximately \$414,045 (\$198,756 for dikes plus \$215,289 for cells).  $18\ 68\ 4\ 3$ 

- 2. <u>Increases in Bid Quantities for Sludge Processing</u>: Due to the deeper cell bottom there is a larger quantity of sludge that had to be dried and placed into the new monofill. This additional work will cost no more than \$1,997,921. (We renegotiated our bid price for sludge drying under Change Order 18 capping contract costs and saving over \$400,000)
- 3. <u>Miscellaneous Changes:</u> Other change orders were issues for monitoring wells, modifications to the liner, electrical, pumps, and abandonment of old pipes and structures totaling \$57,894.

The alternative to drying the sludge and placing it in the monofill is trucking it to a landfill at much greater cost. We chose to use the balance of the contract for moving the sludge and delay or delete the remaining contract work for rock placement and liner installation in the remaining lagoon cells. This work would best be started before the heavy winter rains of December and January. We desire to complete this part of the project and ask for authorization to exceed the 25% threshold. Delaying the remaining work will result in additional costs for reworking the soil, restocking charges for liner materials purchased and contract termination costs estimated at \$1.5 Million. We believe completing all work at this time would be the most cost-effective use of rate payer dollars.

Nick Fish, Commissioner of Public Utilities

Dean Marriott

Director, Bureau of Environmental Services

Christine Moody, Chief Procurement Officer Bureau of Procurement Services

MWH/mb