

Portland, Oregon
FINANCIAL IMPACT and PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT STATEMENT
For Council Action Items

(Deliver original to Financial Planning Division. Retain copy.)

1. Name of Initiator Kaitlin Lovell		2. Telephone No. 503-823-7032	3. Bureau/Office/Dept. Environmental Services
4a. To be filed (hearing date): September 16, 2014	4b. Calendar (Check One) Regular <input type="checkbox"/> Consent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4/5ths <input type="checkbox"/>		5. Date Submitted to Commissioner's office and FPD Budget Analyst: August 8, 2014
6a. Financial Impact Section: X Financial impact section completed		6b. Public Involvement Section: X Public involvement section completed	

1) Legislation Title:

Authorize an Intergovernmental Agreement with Oregon State University in the amount not to exceed \$11,500 to process and analyze data from Portland's watersheds. (Ordinance)

2) Purpose of the Proposed Legislation:

To authorize Oregon State University to analyze Chinook genetic data collected by USFWS and BES for further refinement of projects, Endangered Species Act compliance, and increase the City's competitiveness for outside funding sources.

3) Which area(s) of the city are affected by this Council item? (Check all that apply—areas are based on formal neighborhood coalition boundaries)?

- | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City-wide/Regional | <input type="checkbox"/> Northeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Northwest | <input type="checkbox"/> North |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Central Northeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Southeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Southwest | <input type="checkbox"/> East |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Central City | | | |

FINANCIAL IMPACT

4) Revenue: Will this legislation generate or reduce current or future revenue coming to the City? If so, by how much? If so, please identify the source.

This legislation will not generate or reduce current or future revenue.

5) Expense: What are the costs to the City as a result of this legislation? What is the source of funding for the expense? (Please include costs in the current fiscal year as well as costs in future years. If the action is related to a grant or contract please include the local contribution or match required. If there is a project estimate, please identify the level of confidence.)

The total cost of the project is not to exceed \$11,500. The costs represent a 2014 quote of \$81.90 per sample. In 2013 BES had 129 samples, not including samples collected in 2013 and 2014. BES has identified adequate funds in FY 15 in ESWS000011.

6) Staffing Requirements:

- Will any positions be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this

legislation? *(If new positions are created please include whether they will be part-time, full-time, limited term, or permanent positions. If the position is limited term please indicate the end of the term.)*
No positions will be affected by this legislation

- **Will positions be created or eliminated in future years as a result of this legislation?**
No positions will be affected by this legislation

(Complete the following section only if an amendment to the budget is proposed.)

7) Change in Appropriations *(If the accompanying ordinance amends the budget please reflect the dollar amount to be appropriated by this legislation. Include the appropriate cost elements that are to be loaded by accounting. Indicate "new" in Fund Center column if new center needs to be created. Use additional space if needed.)*

There are no changes in the budget or appropriations proposed by this legislation. Future year funding will be requested through the standard bureau budget process.

[Proceed to Public Involvement Section — REQUIRED as of July 1, 2011]

8) Was public involvement included in the development of this Council item (e.g. ordinance, resolution, or report)? Please check the appropriate box below:

☒ **YES:** Please proceed to Question #9.

☐ **NO:** Please, explain why below; and proceed to Question #10.

Public involvement is typically not conducted at the data analysis stage. It is conducted during individual projects where the data will be used to evaluate alternatives, inform project design, and to develop grant applications.

9) If "YES," please answer the following questions:

a) What impacts are anticipated in the community from this proposed Council item?

Partner non-profits and agencies will benefit from this work in that the information developed will make Portland restoration projects more competitive on the state and national levels. Understanding the genetic composition of salmon in Portland will allow better understanding of salmon life histories including fish origins and habitat uses as salmon move through Portland. This information will help BES and partners design projects and compete for grant funding, since many funders are unaware of the role Portland plays in salmon migration. There are funding agencies that are very interested in understanding how migratory salmon and trout from outside of the Willamette watershed use Portland's stream habitats, thus allowing the City to use this origin data to leverage funds from all over the Columbia basin.

b) Which community and business groups, under-represented groups, organizations, external government entities, and other interested parties were involved in this effort, and when and how were they involved?

BES has partnered and supported the work of three watershed councils and many river and stream stewardship groups as well as governmental agencies and Tribal governments. The fish samples were collected at multiple locations by a variety of partners. Individuals and groups concerned with the Columbia Slough, Johnson Creek, Forest Park tributaries, Tryon Creek, Balch Creek, Crystal Springs, Fanno Creek, Kelly Creek and Mitchell Creek have been involved in various ways to support project implementation and monitoring.

c) How did public involvement shape the outcome of this Council item?

Interest groups and partnering agencies have been involved in ongoing planning and project work across Portland, including the development of the Clean River Plan, the Framework for Integrated Management of Watershed Health, the 2005 Portland Watershed Management Plan and plans for individual watersheds. Each of these plans call for managing for native fish and wildlife.

d) Who designed and implemented the public involvement related to this Council item?

Involvement of stakeholders in project and monitoring occurs as routine, ongoing work of the Watershed Services Division of BES.

e) Primary contact for more information on this public involvement process (name, title, phone, email): Jennifer Devlin jennifer.devlin@portlandoregon.gov 503-823-6182

10) Is any future public involvement anticipated or necessary for this Council item? Please describe why or why not.

Future public involvement is anticipated as the results are applied to individual programs, projects, and grants.

em

[Signature] for DM

Dean Marriott