

Portland, Oregon
FINANCIAL IMPACT and PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT STATEMENT
For Council Action Items

(Deliver original to City Budget Office. Retain copy.)

1. Name of Initiator John Cole		2. Telephone No. (503) 823-3475	3. Bureau/Office/Dept. Planning and Sustainability
4a. To be filed (hearing date): September 18, 2013	4b. Calendar (Check One) Regular Consent 4/5ths <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		5. Date Submitted to Commissioner's office and CBO Budget Analyst: August 28, 2013
6a. Financial Impact Section: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial impact section completed		6b. Public Involvement Section: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public involvement section completed	

1) Legislation Title:

* Approve annexation to the City of Portland of property within the boundaries of the City's Urban Services Boundary in case number A-2-13, in the southwest part of the City on the south edge of SW Highland Road, north of SW Canyon Court. (Ordinance)

2) Purpose of the Proposed Legislation:

Allow for privately initiated annexation of a 0.72 acre residential parcel of property. Impetus for the request is to gain access to city sewer and develop one single family residence.

3) Which area(s) of the city are affected by this Council item? (Check all that apply—areas are based on formal neighborhood coalition boundaries)?

- | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> City-wide/Regional | <input type="checkbox"/> Northeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Northwest | <input type="checkbox"/> North |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Central Northeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Southeast | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Southwest | <input type="checkbox"/> East |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Central City | | | |

FINANCIAL IMPACT

4) Revenue: Will this legislation generate or reduce current or future revenue coming to the City? If so, by how much? If so, please identify the source.

Annexed property will be entered onto City of Portland tax roles

5) Expense: What are the costs to the City as a result of this legislation? What is the source of funding for the expense?

Annexed property will receive public service from the City of Portland at levels consistent with adjoining properties under city jurisdiction.

6) Staffing Requirements:

- Will any positions be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation?

NO

- Will positions be created or eliminated in *future years* as a result of this legislation?

NO

(Complete the following section only if an amendment to the budget is proposed.)

7) Change in Appropriations *(If the accompanying ordinance amends the budget please reflect the dollar amount to be appropriated by this legislation. Include the appropriate cost elements that are to be loaded by accounting. Indicate "new" in Fund Center column if new center needs to be created. Use additional space if needed.)*

NA There is no funding appropriation related to this ordinance

Fund	Fund Center	Commitment Item	Functional Area	Funded Program	Grant	Sponsored Program	Amount

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

8) Was public involvement included in the development of this Council item (e.g. ordinance, resolution, or report)? Please check the appropriate box below:

- ☒ **YES:** Please proceed to Question #9.
☐ **NO:** Please, explain why below; and proceed to Question #10.

Established procedures for annexation by consent petition were followed including public notice and opportunity to review the application and report.

9) If "YES," please answer the following questions:

a) What impacts are anticipated in the community from this proposed Council item?

None

b) Which community and business groups, under-represented groups, organizations, external government entities, and other interested parties were involved in this effort, and when and how were they involved?

Notice was provided to city service bureaus and to property owners within 100 feet of the proposed annexation site in accordance with established consent annexation petition procedures.

c) How did public involvement shape the outcome of this Council item?

NA

d) Who designed and implemented the public involvement related to this Council item?

City Council established the relevant review and public notice process for Consent Annexation Petitions consistent with METRO and State standards

e) Primary contact for more information on this public involvement process (name, title, phone, email):

John Cole, Senior Planner
(503) 823-3475
John.cole@Portlandoregon.gov

10) Is any future public involvement anticipated or necessary for this Council item? Please describe why or why not.

No



Susan Anderson, Director



Bureau of Planning and Sustainability
Innovation. Collaboration. Practical Solutions.

MEMO

DATE: August 22, 2013
TO: Mayor Charlie Hales
FROM: Susan Anderson, Director

1. Ordinance Title:

Approve annexation to the City of Portland of property within the boundaries of the City's Urban Services Boundary in case number A-2-13, in the southwest part of the City on the south edge of SW Highland Road, north of SW Canyon Court. (Ordinance)

2. Contact: John Cole, Senior Planner, 3-3475

3. Requested Council Date: September 18, 2013

- 4.** ☒ Consent Agenda Item ☐ Regular Agenda Item
☒ Emergency Item (explain below) ☐ Non-Emergency Item

5. Purpose of Agenda Item:

Allow for the privately initiated annexation of a 0.72 acre parcel of residential property to the city of Portland. Impetus for request is to permit connection to city sewer line and facilitate future residential construction.

6. History of Agenda Item/Background:

None

7. Legal Issues:

None.



8. What individuals or groups are or would be supportive or opposed to this action?.

No public comments have been submitted in response to the public notice issued for this request.

Annexation of this property will create a “county island” of approximately 68 properties located to the north of the subject property. Creation of such a county island allows for, but does not require, city initiated annexation without either consent or an election of the island properties per ORS 222.750. Residents of this island area may be opposed to the current application because it tips the balance of power in the city’s favor if it ever chose to pursue such annexation.

9. How does this relate to current City policies?

This property is within the City’s urban service boundary and eligible for annexation under both City of Portland and METRO regional policy. This annexation is also consistent with Comprehensive Plan Policies related to the efficient and timely extension of public services further described in adoption ordinance findings.

