Portland, Oregon

FINANCIAL IMPACT and PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT STATEMENT For Council Action Items

| (Deliver original to Financial Planning Division. Retain copy.) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 1. Name of Initiator | | 2. Telephone No. | | 3. Bureau/Office/Dept. | | | | | | |
| | Karl Arruda | | 503-82 | 23-7067 | PBOT/RWA | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a. To be filed (date): | 4b. Calendar (Check One) | | 5. Date Submitt | ted to | | | | | | |
| | August 7, 2013 | | | Commissioner's | | | | | | | |
| | · | Regular Consent 4/5ths | | and FPD Budget | t Analyst: | | | | | | |
| | | | | July 24, 2013 | | | | | | | |
| | 6a. Financial Impact Section: | | | 6b. Public Invol | vement Section: | | | | | | |
| | Financial impact section comp | leted | | | vement section comp | ement section completed | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4\ Y | * A ct motor | | | | | | | | | | |
| | gislation Title: | | SEP | 18 | | | | | | | |
| | hearing date, 9:30 a.m. Wednesd Fairview Blvd at SW Champlai | | | 7.4.0 10001) | , 2013, to v | vacate a portion | | | | | |
| OI D W | Tan view Bivd at 5 w Champian | II Dr (Re | eport; v | (AC-10081) | | | | | | | |
| 2) Pn | rpose of the Proposed Legisl | lation | | | • | • | | | | | |
| | urpose of this request is to sched | | olic hea | ring for conside | ration of the propo | and atmost | | | | | |
| vacati | on request. | aro a pac | JIIC IICa | anig for conside | ation of the propos | seu sireet | | | | | |
| | • | | , | | · | | | | | | |
| 3) Which area(s) of the city are affected by this Council item? (Check all that apply—areas | | | | | | | | | | | |
| are based on formal neighborhood coalition boundaries)? | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ City-wide/Regional | | ortheas | , | Northwest | ☐ North | | | | | |
| | ☐ Central Northeast | \Box Sc | outheas | | Southwest | ☐ East | | | | | |
| | ☐ Central City | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Internal City Government Services | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FINANCIAL IMPACT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Povon | ue and/or Expense: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | L the Revenue and/or Expense | a nart a | f the e | rrunant vocanta L | | TOO NY | | | | | |
| SAP | COST OBJECT No(s).: 9TR000 | a part o 1000336 | u me c | urrem years o | uaget: or 5-yr C | IP? <u>No</u> | | | | | |
| All Re | venue and Expense financial q | nestions | must | he completed r | agardless of the av | wwont woowla | | | | | |
| budge | t. Documents may be returned | where | the FII | PIS portion has | not been sufficien | ifly completed | | | | | |
| | | | | T | C C CAL DELANTUI | , completeur | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4) <u>Rev</u> | venue: Will this legislation g | enerate | e or re | duce current | or future revenu | e coming to | | | | | |
| the Ci | ty? If so, by how much? If | so, plea | se ide | ntify the sour | ce. | | | | | | |

No.

match required. If there is a project estimate, please identify the level of confidence.) Expenses for processing a street vacation request typically range between \$5,000 and \$20,000 depending on the complexity. This street vacation falls on the middle of the range and is estimated to be approximately \$8,500.

6) Staffing Requirements:

Will any positions be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation? (If new positions are created please include whether they will be part-time, full-time, limited term, or permanent positions. If the position is limited term please indicate the end of the term.)

Not applicable to this action.

• Will positions be created or eliminated in *future years* as a result of this legislation? Not applicable to this action.

(Complete the following section only if an amendment to the budget is proposed.)

7) <u>Change in Appropriations</u> (If the accompanying ordinance amends the budget please reflect the dollar amount to be appropriated by this legislation. Include the appropriate cost elements that are to be loaded by accounting. Indicate "new" in Fund Center column if new center needs to be created. Use additional space if needed.) Not applicable to this action.

| Fund | Fund Center | Commitment Item | Functional Area | Funded Program | Grant | Sponsored Program | Amount |
|------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------|----------------------|--------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

[Proceed to Public Involvement Section — REQUIRED as of July 1, 2011]

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

8) Was public involvement included in the development of this Council item (e.g. ordinance, resolution, or report)? Please check the appropriate box below:

☑ YES: Please proceed to Question #9.

- NO: Please, explain why below; and proceed to Question #10.
- 9) If "YES," please answer the following questions:
 - a) What impacts are anticipated in the community from this proposed Council item? A new retaining wall will be privately maintained by the property owner.
 - b) Which community and business groups, under-represented groups, organizations, external government entities, and other interested parties were involved in this effort, and when and how were they involved? Inquiries were received from representatives of the Arlington Heights Neighborhood Association and Neighbors West / Northwest. The questions were answered satisfactorily by PBOT staff. During conversations with PBOT staff, the representatives of the Arlington Heights Neighborhood Association and Neighbors West / Northwest were generally supportive of the request, but to PBOT's knowledge, the groups did not take an official position on the project.

The Planning and Sustainability Commission advertised and then held a public hearing on February 14, 2012. No interested parties appeared at the hearing. There was no public testimony regarding this proposed vacation.

- c) How did public involvement shape the outcome of this Council item? Public involvement did not affect this proposal.
- d) Who designed and implemented the public involvement related to this Council item? PBOT Right-of-Way Staff and the Planning and Sustainability Commission.
- e) Primary contact for more information on this public involvement process (name, title, phone, email):

Karl Arruda, Right of Way Agent, 503-823-7067, karl.arruda@portlandoregon.gov

10) Is any future public involvement anticipated or necessary for this Council item? Please describe why or why not.

Yes. The public will have an opportunity to be heard regarding the proposed vacation at the City Council public hearing. The Auditor's Office will place an announcement about the public hearing in the Daily Journal of Commerce for two consecutive weeks and post an announcement at the site.

KK 07-17-13

BUREAU DIRECTOR

LEAH TREAT, Bureau of Transportation

Version updated as of December 18, 2012