



CITY OF
PORTLAND, OREGON

OFFICIAL
 MINUTES

A REGULAR MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON WAS HELD THIS 22ND DAY OF AUGUST, 2012 AT 9:30 A.M.

THOSE PRESENT WERE: Mayor Adams, Presiding; Commissioners Fish, Fritz, Leonard and Saltzman, 5.

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE: Karla Moore-Love, Clerk of the Council; Ben Walters, Chief Deputy City Attorney; and Steve Peterson, Sergeant at Arms.

Items No. 900 and 901 were pulled for discussion and on a Y-5 roll call, the balance of the Consent Agenda was adopted.

		Disposition:
COMMUNICATIONS		
887	Request of Charles E. Long to address Council regarding Commissioner Leonard's pernicious plot to poison Portland's precious pure water supply (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
888	Request of David John Allison to address Council regarding the precedence set by Veterans protest of World War I that paved the way for war bonds which made urban development possible (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
889	Request of Michael Krupp to address Council regarding the Commissioner of Police directly counter the lies heard through the echo system of TV (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
890	Request of James Lopes to address Council regarding trafficking with his children (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
891	Request of Joe Walsh to address Council regarding drones (Communication)	PLACED ON FILE
TIMES CERTAIN		
892	<p>TIME CERTAIN: 9:30 AM – Accept Public Works Annual Report FY 2011-12 (Report introduced by Mayor Adams, Commissioner Saltzman and Leonard) 30 minutes requested</p> <p>Motion to accept the report: Moved by Commissioner Fish and seconded by Commissioner Fritz.</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	ACCEPTED

<p>*893 TIME CERTAIN: 10:00 AM – Amend five grant agreements for \$79,722 each for Center for Intercultural Organizing, Immigrant Refugee Community Organization, Latino Network, Native American Youth and Family Center, and Urban League of Portland for the Diversity and Civic Leadership Organizing Program for the period July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013 (Ordinance introduced by Commissioner Fritz; amend Contract Nos. 32000399, 32000401, 32000551, 32000398 and 32000440) 20 minutes requested</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>185553</p>	
<p>894 TIME CERTAIN: 10:20 AM – Appoint Joanna Priestley and reappoint Alan Alexander III to the Board of Directors of the Regional Arts and Culture Council for terms to expire June 30, 2014 (Report introduced by Mayor Adams) 15 minutes requested</p> <p>Motion to accept the report: Moved by Commissioner Saltzman and seconded by Commissioner Fritz.</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>CONFIRMED</p>	
<p style="text-align: center;">CONSENT AGENDA – NO DISCUSSION</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Mayor Sam Adams</p> <p>895 Authorize a grant to provide First Stop Portland, a program of the College of Urban and Public Affairs at Portland State University, \$15,000 to help pay for costs associated with its program operations (Ordinance)</p>		<p style="text-align: center;">PASSED TO SECOND READING AUGUST 29, 2012 AT 9:30 AM</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Bureau of Police</p>		<p>185538</p>
<p>*896 Accept and appropriate a grant in the amount of \$93,000 from the Oregon Department of Transportation Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program for safety inspections (Ordinance)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>185538</p>	
<p>*897 Accept and appropriate additional grant funding in the amount of \$5,000 from the Oregon Association Chiefs of Police for the 2012 DUII Traffic Safety and High Visibility Enforcement grant program for personnel overtime (Ordinance)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>185539</p>	
<p>*898 Accept and appropriate additional grant funding in the amount of \$5,000 from the Oregon Association Chiefs of Police for the 2012 Three Flags Campaign/Safety Belt enforcement program for personnel overtime (Ordinance)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>185540</p>	
<p style="text-align: center;">Bureau of Transportation</p>		<p>185541</p>
<p>*899 Accept a grant in the amount of \$36,120 from the Oregon Department of Transportation to perform traffic control and consultation and authorize an Intergovernmental Agreement for the disbursement of federal funds for the I-84: Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd - I-205 Paving Preservation project (Ordinance)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>185541</p>	

August 22, 2012

<p>*900 Approve pilot program to reduce requirements for initiating an Area Parking Permit Program (Ordinance)</p> <p>Motion to add directive to require status update report by end of 2013: Moved by Commissioner Fritz and seconded by Commissioner Fish. (Y-5)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>185559 AS AMENDED</p>
<p>*901 Authorize the designation of 20 Miles per Hour Neighborhood Greenway Streets (Ordinance)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>185560</p>
<p>Office of City Attorney</p>	
<p>*902 Amend Legal Services Agreement with Radler White Parks & Alexander LLP for outside counsel related to the Veterans Memorial Coliseum (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 30002709)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>185542</p>
<p>Office of Management and Finance</p>	
<p>903 Accept bid of Brown Contracting, Inc. for the Sidewalk Infill Projects - Package A for \$1,121,467 (Procurement Report – Bid No. 114612)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>ACCEPTED PREPARE CONTRACT</p>
<p>904 Accept bid of Skyward Construction, Inc. for the City of Portland Police Facilities Re-Roofing Project Rebid for \$1,058,307 (Procurement Report – Bid No. 114623)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>ACCEPTED PREPARE CONTRACT</p>
<p>*905 Ratify a Letter of Agreement between the City and the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, Local 189 with respect to hiring Carolyne Haycraft at a higher rate of pay (Ordinance)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>185543</p>
<p>*906 Pay claim of Kelly Shephard involving Bureau of Transportation (Ordinance)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>185544</p>
<p>907 Clarify and update sections of Code governing the Office of Community Technology and Revenue Bureau (Ordinance; amend Code Sections 3.114.010 and 3.15.060)</p>	<p>PASSED TO SECOND READING AUGUST 29, 2012 AT 9:30 AM</p>
<p>908 Repeal outdated sections of Code addressing responsibilities for the Civic Stadium (Ordinance; repeal Code Sections 3.26.060, 3.106.070 and 20.28.010)</p>	<p>PASSED TO SECOND READING AUGUST 29, 2012 AT 9:30 AM</p>
<p>Portland Development Commission</p>	
<p>909 Authorize the submittal of a boundary change request to the State of Oregon to move 4.2 acres from the East Portland Enterprise Zone to the Portland Enterprise Zone (Resolution)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>36949</p>

<p>Commissioner Dan Saltzman Position No. 3</p>		
<p>910 Authorize grant agreement with E2 Foundation to fund Outdoor School (Ordinance)</p>		<p>PASSED TO SECOND READING AUGUST 29, 2012 AT 9:30 AM</p>
<p>Bureau of Development Services</p>		
<p>*911 Adopt the State of Oregon 2011 Editions of the Oregon Residential Specialty Code, the Oregon Plumbing Specialty Code and the Oregon Electrical Specialty Code (Ordinance; amend Titles 24, 25 and 26) (Y-5)</p>		<p>185545</p>
<p>Bureau of Environmental Services</p>		
<p>*912 Accept and appropriate a grant in the amount of \$100,000 from East Multnomah Soil and Water Conservation District for Crystal Springs Railroad Culvert Replacement & Restoration (Ordinance) (Y-5)</p>		<p>185546</p>
<p>Commissioner Randy Leonard Position No. 4</p>		
<p>Portland Fire & Rescue</p>		
<p>*913 Authorize a contract with Open 4 Business Productions, LLC, for use of Portland Fire & Rescue intellectual property (Ordinance) (Y-5)</p>		<p>185547</p>
<p>*914 Authorize two applications to the Department of Homeland Security, through its Federal Emergency Management Agency for two grants totaling \$1,500,000 for boathouse construction and remodel (Ordinance) (Y-5)</p>		<p>185548</p>
<p>Water Bureau</p>		
<p>915 Accept contract with Stettler Supply and Construction for the construction of the Columbia South Shore Wellfield Improvements Project as complete, release retainage and authorize final payment (Report; Contract No. 30001041) (Y-5)</p>		<p>ACCEPTED</p>
<p>Commissioner Nick Fish Position No. 2</p>		
<p>Portland Housing Bureau</p>		

August 22, 2012

<p>*916 Amend contract with Environmental Hazard SVC'S LLC for an additional \$18,000 and extend contract through June 30, 2013 to provide additional lead sample analysis (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 30002316) (Y-5)</p>	<p>185549</p>
Portland Parks & Recreation	
<p>*917 Accept and appropriate a grant in the amount of \$200,000 from the State of Oregon for operation of an integration program for senior citizens who have developmental disabilities (Ordinance) (Y-5)</p>	<p>185550</p>
<p>918 Authorize a contract with Portland Habilitation Center Northwest, Inc. for janitorial services at multiple Portland Parks & Recreation locations (Ordinance)</p>	<p>PASSED TO SECOND READING AUGUST 29, 2012 AT 9:30 AM</p>
City Auditor LaVonne Griffin-Valade	
<p>*919 Assess property for system development charge contracts and private plumbing loan contracts (Ordinance; Z0788, K0136, T0147, W0019, K0137, Z1189, P0114, P0115) (Y-5)</p>	<p>185551</p>
<p>920 Repeal Portland City Code Chapter 2.10 pertaining to the Campaign Finance Fund (Second Reading 882; repeal Code Chapter 2.10) (Y-5)</p>	<p>185552</p>
REGULAR AGENDA	
Mayor Sam Adams	
Bureau of Emergency Management	
<p>*921 Authorize a contract with Chinook Prevention and Preparedness Group, LLC to design, conduct and evaluate an emergency response exercise for a total not-to-exceed amount of \$134,870 (Ordinance; Contract No. 30002802) (Y-5)</p>	<p>185554</p>
Bureau of Police	
<p>*922 Authorize an Intergovernmental Agreement with Multnomah County in an amount not to exceed \$125,000 to fund a Deputy District Attorney (Ordinance) (Y-5)</p>	<p>185555</p>
<p>*923 Accept and appropriate a grant in the amount of \$25,000 from the Oregon Department of Justice Criminal Justice Division for domestic marijuana eradication and suppression efforts (Ordinance) (Y-5)</p>	<p>185556</p>

August 22, 2012

<p>*924 Accept a grant in the amount of \$502,012 and appropriate \$395,473 for FY 2012-13 from the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Assistance FY12 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program to prevent or reduce crime and violence (Ordinance) (Y-5)</p>	<p>185557</p>
<p>925 Authorize an Intergovernmental Agreement with Home Forward for Portland Police Bureau to provide police officer patrol services at New Columbia housing sites (Ordinance)</p>	<p>PASSED TO SECOND READING AUGUST 29, 2012 AT 9:30 AM</p>
<p>926 Authorize the Chief of Police to execute a Memorandum of Agreement with Oregon Health and Sciences University for the use of the Portland Police Bureau Property Evidence Warehouse (Ordinance)</p>	<p>PASSED TO SECOND READING AUGUST 29, 2012 AT 9:30 AM</p>
<p>Bureau of Transportation</p>	
<p>927 Vacate a portion of NE Sumner St west of NE 14th Pl subject to certain conditions and reservations (Hearing; Ordinance; VAC-10078)</p>	<p>REFERRED TO COMMISSIONER OF FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION</p>
<p>Office of Management and Finance</p>	
<p>*928 Pay claim of and authorize settlement agreement with Marlin Anderson, Mary Bailey, Matthew Chase and Jack Golden involving the Portland Police Bureau (Ordinance) 15 minutes requested (Y-5)</p>	<p>185558</p>
<p>929 Authorize sewer revenue bonds to continue the sewer system capital improvement program (Ordinance)</p>	<p>PASSED TO SECOND READING AUGUST 29, 2012 AT 9:30 AM</p>

At 11:50 a.m., Council recessed.

August 22, 2012

A RECESSED MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON WAS HELD THIS 22ND DAY OF AUGUST, 2012 AT 2:00 P.M.

THOSE PRESENT WERE: Mayor Adams, Presiding; Commissioners Fish, Fritz, Leonard and Saltzman, 5.

Commissioner Saltzman arrived at 2:06 p.m.

Commissioner Leonard arrived at 2:07 p.m.

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE: Karla Moore-Love, Clerk of the Council; Roland Iparraguirre, Deputy City Attorney; and Harry Jackson, Sergeant at Arms.

	Disposition:
930 TIME CERTAIN: 2:00 PM – Authorize the Chief Administrative Officer to negotiate an Operating Agreement for the Veterans Memorial Coliseum with the Portland Development Commission and to develop a separate agreement formalizing PDC’s lead role in the redevelopment of the Rose Quarter (Resolution introduced by Mayor Adams) 45 minutes requested (Y-5)	36950

At 3:12 p.m., Council recessed.

August 23, 2012

A RECESSED MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON WAS HELD THIS **23ND DAY OF AUGUST, 2012** AT 2:00 P.M.

THOSE PRESENT WERE: Mayor Adams, Presiding; Commissioners Fish, Fritz, Leonard and Saltzman, 5.

Commissioners Fritz and Leonard arrived at 2:03 p.m.

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE: Karla Moore-Love, Clerk of the Council; Ian Leitheiser, Deputy City Attorney; and Wayne Dykes, Sergeant at Arms.

	Disposition:
<p>*931 TIME CERTAIN: 2:00 PM – Authorize Intergovernmental Agreements with the Parkrose School District, Reynolds School District, and Portland Public School District to distribute one-time funding not to exceed \$5,560,436 (Ordinance introduced by Mayor Adams) 30 minutes requested</p> <p>Motion to amend Reynolds School District Intergovernmental Agreement and letter: Moved by Mayor Adams and seconded by Commissioner Fish (Y-3; Commissioner Fritz and Leonard absent)</p> <p>(Y-5)</p>	<p>185561 AS AMENDED</p>

At 2:20 p.m., Council adjourned.

LAVONNE GRIFFIN-VALADE
Auditor of the City of Portland



By Karla Moore-Love
Clerk of the Council

For a discussion of agenda items, please consult the following Closed Caption File.

August 22, 2012

Closed Caption File of Portland City Council Meeting

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised City Council broadcast and should not be considered a verbatim transcript.

Key: ***** means unidentified speaker.

AUGUST 22, 2012 9:30 AM

Adams: Welcome to Portland city council. Before we begin, the usual rules of the chamber. If you are a lobbyist, lobbying on behalf of a business, an organization, even a nonprofit, you need to disclose that before you testify. There's, to encourage as much communication as possible, if you like something being said, you are welcome to put your thumbs up. If you don't, you are welcome to put your thumbs down. But no hollering, burping, and no noise because we want everyone to feel comfortable expressing their point of view, even if it might be different than the majority of the people in the room. The communications are an opportunity of three minutes for folks to say whatever they want. Council, during communications, doesn't respond and, and see what else, when you, when you approach the mic, all you have to do is give us your first and last name. No address. No telephone number. None of that. Sort of information. With that, hi, Karla.

Moore: Good morning.

Adams: How are you?

Moore: I'm well, thank you.

Adams: Can you please call the roll. [roll taken]

Adams: A quorum is present, beginning with communications, can you read the title for 887?

Item 887.

Adams: Mr. Long, welcome back.

Charles E. Long: Thank you, my name is Charles Long. And --

Adams: Please, go ahead.

Long: An acquaintance of mine asked me this morning how I felt about being on television this morning. And I told her that I was scared. However, I am more scared of the imposition of the totalitarian system of imposing mass medication on the citizens in Portland. I was a navy veteran during World War II fighting against the totalitarian regimes of Germany and Japan, and coming home, after discharge, I found that there was another war to fight, and that's the imposition of the mass medication. I became involved in the, the 1956 vote on fluoridation, and I was the secretary and acting president and we were able, by grassroots' effort to defeat the measure. It is evident today, however, that the city council on September 12 will vote to impose fluoridation on the residents of Portland. Apparently without referring it to the voters. This is a, an arrogant -- I can't think of the word. Arrogant contempt for the residents of this city. And the way that it was promoted, in the offices of the commissioners, were, very, um, unseemly, and the commissioners obtained only the one viewpoint and in the coming area, on September 12, it will be perfunctory and a sham because the commissioners have already decided how they are going to vote without hearing both sides. I wanted to read a paragraph in the book, a case against fluoride. How hazardous waste ends up in our drinking water and bad science and powerful politics that kept it there. Dr. William Hergi quoted this quotation to the author.

Adams: Sir, your time is up.

Long: I can't read it?

Adams: How long is it?

Long: One paragraph.

August 22, 2012

Adams: Yeah, go ahead.

Long: Putting this stuff in the drinking water is, in essence, just a hazardous waste management tool. It has nothing to do with dental health whatsoever. It has to do with defending the reputation of people who have been promoting fluoridation for years and years and years, and now find themselves way out on a limb, and have nothing more to say, except safe and effective. Safe and effective, safe and effective. When, in fact, it is neither safe nor effective. They can change. they are riding a tiger and they cannot get off.

Adams: Thank you, mr. Long. [applause]

Adams: No.

Leonard: Mr. Long. Go ahead and take a seat. I wanted to ask you a question.

Long: Sure.

Leonard: Go ahead and take seat.

Adams: He's saying you can sit back down if you want.

Leonard: As mayor Adams said, we don't usually ask questions when people testify. I've been -- it's been my pleasure for ten years to listen to you come and testify. And oftentimes, I learn out from what you have said over the last decade, and many times agree with you. But, i'm just -- i'm just curious because I have always found your presentations to be thoughtful and balanced. And, and influential, and I want to ask you a question, but first, say a couple of things. Over 73% of americans drink fluoridated water.

Long: That's very unfortunate.

Leonard: That's 204 million people and, and this initiative, to fluoridate public water in Portland, which is the largest city in the united states that does not have fluoridated water.

Long: Right. That's great.

Leonard: Has been endorse by the american academy of family physicians, the american family, academy of pediatric physicians, which represents children's doctors, the centers for disease control said that public fluoridation of public water supplies is one of the top ten health advancements of the entire 20th century, so I am just curious if, if, if all of those organizations, there are many others, particularly, organizations that represent low income people, and minorities, feel so strongly, that this, this initiative prevents tooth decay without any health side effects, what your reaction to that?

Adams: Again, before you reply, I appreciate those of you that are doing the, the thumb's up or thumb he down, and i'm talking to folks behind you. And I appreciate you doing that again. And let the conversation happen in silence. Go ahead, mr. Long.

Leonard: Mr. Long. I wondered if you want to react to that. Why you think those organizations, that are, I think, well respected, including the, the Oregon dental association, the american dental association, and others would not, would support an initiative that could harm people, whereas they are, actually, claiming it, it helps people without any of the side effects.

Long: The issue is terribly complex, and if you read this book and other literature, I have 27 pages of bibliography that the Multnomah county library gave me, and books, many medical articles, and the influence of the alcoa company is absolutely significant in the imposition of fluoridation. And it's very complex issue and to, to support it, just, just with one, getting one viewpoint, is, I think, outrageous.

Leonard: I would be happy to look at that. Thank you.

Adams: Thank you.

Adams: Karla, please read 888.

Item 888.

Adams: Mr. Allison, can you turn up the mic? Mr. Allison, are you here. Can you please read the title for, for 889.

Item 889.

August 22, 2012

Adams: Mr. Krupp. Mr. Krupp. All right, can you please read the title for item no. 890.

Item 890.

Adams: Mr. Lopes. Mr. Lopes. Ok, read 891.

Item 891.

Adams: Mr. Walsh.

Joe Walsh: My name is joe walsh. And today I am representing the Oregon Progressive Party and the topic is drones. And the reason that we are here today is to preemptively ask you to think about this. We gave you a sample of what we would like to see in legislation, resolution or the sense of the council. Drones have been around for a while now. They are normally used by the department of war. Better known as the department of defense. They are used in pakistan, they are used in afghanistan. And just to name the two big theaters, but as with all new things, people are now looking at drones to fly over cities. And to give you an example, seattle police department, on their own, bought a drone. Got a permit. And they can fly it over the city. They did not even bother asking the politicians. They did not go before the city council and say, hey, what do you think of this idea? They just did it. Our police department is now beyond that so we're here today to say hey, folks, think about this. Drones are aircraft that are controlled in some other place. In the control booth some place. The navy, which I use to belong to a long time ago, are the principal people that use drones as pilots, to control them, so they are actual navy pilots that now have gone on to running drones. This is a crash that happened in maryland. Well, the navy pilot at the controls, very well trained. But what happens with drones is when you shut off your tv set, you lose the visual. When the drone goes out of control, you lose the visual. It drops, wherever it is. It is coming down. And in this instance, you could see the fire that was caused. We were fortunate that it fell into a marsh. Fortunate. The navy didn't know where the hell the damn thing was. They had to send out the helicopter to find it. If this hit this building, it would destroy it. So, we are saying to you, look.

Adams: Sir, you need to wrap up.

Walsh: We're saying, we don't expect you to do it but we're asking you anyway. Think about it. We will do more in the future. It is something that you have to face. Don't get caught by your police department buying them on their own. And flying them over to your city.

Adams: Thank you, appreciate your time.

Walsh: Thank you, mr. Mayor, I'll be so glad when you leave.

Adams: We are now going to consider the consent agenda. Does anyone have any items to pull from the consented -- consent agenda.

Moore: We have 900 and 901.

Adams: We'll consider those later?

Moore: Yes.

Adams: We read the titles?

Moore: Yes. 900.

Adams: We'll consider those later. And can you please call the vote on the consent agenda.

Saltzman: Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Fritz:** Aye. **Fish:** Aye.

Adams: Aye. Read the title for item 892.

Item 892.

Adams: Could I have the appropriate staff come up for item no. 892. And who would like to begin.

Paul Scarlett, Director, Bureau of Development Services: Good morning. Paul, the director for bureau development services. Appreciate the opportunity to share with you remarks about the public works improvement permitting improvement project. A year ago, we were here presenting on the improvements that were made to the public works permit process, and council did share and indicate some concerns about the transition of this process, and it seemed to be lack of clear

August 22, 2012

leadership in terms of which bureau would be the lead. So, i'm here today on behalf of, the services, but also, the city because this is such, a, an important improvement process that, that i'm excited to, to be with, with tom miller here and chris from p-dot to talk about some of the improvements, which they were going to, going to in more details. But in march of this year, I did forward a cover memo as part of the quarterly report for improvements to the public work permitting process, that indicated that, that the bureau of transportation had been, been assigned the lead. As part of the infrastructure of bureaus. And, that lead role, I am very confident, in, in tom and his staff, that they will continue to, to make the improvements necessary to coordinate with the bureau of environmental services, and water bureau and, and fire in their, and the improvements necessary to improve the permitting process for public works projects. I can share by observation that a big part of the improvements I feel has been beneficial to the process, both customers and employees, is the co-location aspect of it having 30 staff locate in the 1900 building. I have seen first hand the benefits of the adjacency, the ability to come together and to discuss the issues, and in a collaborative fashion. We are handing this project off to the, to pbot but are not in any means removed totally. Certainly the involvement and the projects that include public and private interstate, a big part of it is what we do, so we will continue to collaborate and coordinate with p-bot and the other bureaus in the development review process. So, with that, I am confident again that, that this will continue. I'm glad that we were able to achieve the co-location, and the improvements of the public works improvement process and with chris and tom, along with the other bureaus and the outreach that has occurred over the last year, with the development review advisory committee, for example, those are all good processes that I believe are really well tied to this, so I will hand it off to tom. And I am available for any questions. Thank you.

Adams: Mr. Miller.

Tom Miller, Director, Bureau of Transportation: Tor the record, tom miller, director of transportation, city of Portland, and I will just take a moment to, to take just a step back and acknowledge, this is obviously a collaborative effort in every sense of the board, across the bureaus, which is not always easy. We have our respective missions, and in figuring out how to collaborate across the, sometimes, the differing missions, is again, not the easiest task. We have also involved external stakeholders across the spectrum, and I think we have some real tangible improvements to acknowledge. Commissioner Leonard I want to acknowledge your leadership on this issue. This is a tough issue. You've been at this for years. And again, I think that you can, when you leave office you can feel proud that you have led an important effort in making the city a better, more efficient place to do business and to engage. And commissioner Saltzman, from, from your perspective, as the commissioner in charge of bds, thank you for the seamless transition, overseeing bds and their constant involvement and collaboration in putting this together. From the private sector perspective, the private sector tells us that they want certainty, above all they want to know how do I engage and how do I get my permit, and how much time is it going to take and what do I need to do? And in the past, the permit process took as long as it took. And that, that's the, that is not certainty. That is the opposite of certainty. Today, we have hard deadlines that we have established again across the bureaus. And with ongoing input from external stakeholders that have now established hard deadlines so that when a, a, a citizen comes in for a permit they know how long it will take. A maximum amount of time it will take. And I want to acknowledge, i'm getting ahead of myself just a little bit. And Mayor you will appreciate this. I want to acknowledge that while the permit process, again, the process, itself, is streamlined and, and much improved, and certainly there is more improvement to be done within that construct, there is a spin-off improvement this is other areas. The street-by-street initiative. That effort that you have overseen to, to direct the bureau of transportation to establish a new, more cost effective, cheap, hopefully more efficient way of improving streets, is a direct spinoff of this public works process effort. It probably, with that effort, the street-by-street initiative which you will hear from us, in just a bit, is a direct result of

August 22, 2012

this collaborative effort across the bureaus and with external stake holders to try to improve this permit process. So that's the big picture. I want to introduce Chris Weir, the public works manager for P-BoT, and Chris, before you get into more details, I want to acknowledge Kurt Krueger on my staff, Chon Wong and Christine Leon, who have provided the leadership on this issue on behalf of P-BoT.

Christopher Wier: Thank you. Mayor and council members. I am Christopher Wier, the public works manager for the city of Portland. I am here to present to you the 11-12 fiscal year report for our public works permitting efforts. There were three principal areas when we met last year the public works agencies were asked to assess and improve. Coordination and collaboration in decision-making, timeliness and certainty of cost in plan review efforts and providing for a consolidated public works appeal process that people could use. The overriding goal underneath the program is to serve the customer's needs in an efficient and timely manner. And the bureau work together to provide efforts on these levels, through several efforts. We have biweekly policy meetings with management for direction on program goals. We have weekly interagency coordination meetings at the staff level. We have public works administrative check-in, key project milestones for any particular project. And new this year, we have established two committees. One is an encroachment review team. This is made up of interagency bureau members, and in an effort to advise staff on unique requests that are outside of the standard review process. We also felt that there was some effort that needed to be done to consolidate and manage appeals at a lower level. So we created an administrative appeal review committee that sits before, before the appeals panel. And this was created based on the comments of the appeals panel. Including those of its citizen members. And the most significant change besides the co-location that Paul had mentioned, to the system at the start, was the creation of, the specific interim levels of review. Which has become known as the 30, 60, 90 process. The benefits of this process are early agreement for project concepts. Earlier conflict resolution through the bureaus, and better coordination efforts. And a guaranteed review fee estimate that's provided at that earliest stage. Since we began the process for forums in January of 2010, we have had about 160 projects start up. 68 of those have reached the final permit and 57 of those permits have been issued. Over the past year, we have approximately 90% or greater rate of meeting the time goals for any of the particular phases of the process. We have also, over the course of the last year, seen a large uptick in the number of inquiries, which is the early information efforts that we allowed folks to come in and get some early information before they even start the formal review, and the uptick in the project startups. For the next year we created a fixed fee for certain smaller projects in the public works arena. Typically there will be for residential infill, based on the criteria that we set up. And regarding early assistance, and in public works inquiries, the inner agency bureaus have concluded that future options need to include the bureau development services, as well, as the interagency bureaus. To better get right information to the applicants at the right time. The agencies have already initiated discussion with the bureau development services in these efforts, and we hope that the i-Tap improvements that are also coming will help to better this effort. Besides the administrative review, appeal committee, we have also made a few other changes in the public works appeal process. Which include allowing the applicants to present the information themselves at the appeals panel. Previously this was only done at the board level, which was the third and final level. We have expanded the appeals panel in order to consider alternative designs. The appeals process is also to inform and change the overall public works' process. The street-by-street initiative that the mayor has put forward, and Tom Spoke about, is one exciting example of this. The bureau environmental service services based on some comments has created an abbreviated process to do short sewer extensions. The process was also allowed for better coordination between chief engineers of the three infrastructure bureaus. All of these examples were stimulated through the appeals and feedback process. Since the beginning of the administrative review, the committee in January of 2012, we have had 135 appeals. Which have

August 22, 2012

led to 25 panel appeals, and three board appeals. To compare, there's been about 1,000 estimated appeal opportunities, within the review process. And 40 total projects at the same time. So, plans for next year, besides continuing to refine and improve the efforts that I have already discussed, the bureaus are looking forward to developing and piloting the street-by-street initiative program. To further refine the permitting and appeals process. Including formalizing the feedback loop in order to inform the program work plans. And to look for ways to better align the plan review stage, which we have done a good job of reforming, and the construction management process, which we have still to work on. To work closer with the bureau development services in the itap process, to provide better interfaces for right-of-way permitting, to collapse the complexity of the early assistance process based on the applicant and project needs, and to finalize a new permitting fee schedule that reflects the cost data we collected over the course of the new process. On behalf of the inner agency bureaus and their staff, that works hard every day to provide timely and cost effective information to applicants, while having the infrastructure design. I thank you for your time and ask you to accept the report. Thank you.

Adams: Thank you. Is there more. Any initial questions? Has anyone else, is anyone else going to testify?

Saltzman: Christopher, could you elaborate on what the 30, 60, 90 means?

Wier: So, the review process has had three distinct stages of review. Concept plan, which is essentially just the basis of what the improvements are going to be, and that's what we call 30. And then the engineering design, which includes the scope of the project and most of the engineering details, and that's the 60%. And the 90% review process, which is the final plans preparation.

Saltzman: So these are percentages of the completion of a project through the permitting?

Wier: Typically, they are along those lines, that's correct.

Saltzman: You mentioned that there were 125 appeals this year so far.

Wier: Correct.

Saltzman: I guess i'm -- do you know what percentage of those appeals were successful? Or not?

Wier: We do.

Saltzman: If you don't have it, you can e-mail it to me.

Wier: Sure. I will send that to you.

Adams: Further discussion? Thank you. Has anyone signed up to testify for item no. 892?

Moore: No one else signed up.

Adams: Karla, please -- oh, I will entertain motion to accept the report.

Fish: So moved.

Fritz: Seconded.

Adams: Moved and seconded. Please call the vote on the motion to accept the report contained in 892.

Saltzman: I want to thank the bureau directors for development services, transportation. Environmental services. Am I leaving out anyone?

*******:** Water.

Saltzman: Water, too, ok. And I appreciate the efforts of commissioner Leonard initially when he was the commissioner in charge of the bureau of development services to really work to improve this process. Make it more user friendly and make it more responsive and now that i'm the commissioner in charge of the bureau development services, i'm pleased to be able to say that this seems to be working well, and the co-location of the staff is really, to my mind, that makes all the difference in the world. When you have people, you know, sitting around the water cooler from different bureaus, they can problem solve on behalf of our public and our applicants. In ways that just doesn't happen when you are located in different locations. So, thanks to all of you for making this work, and i'm pleased to vote aye.

August 22, 2012

Leonard: I certainly appreciate all the work you have done, tom, you and, and paul and, and but, you know, I really don't think that this could have happened without paul scarlett taking the approach that he did, which is instinctive to him, collaborative, thoughtful, and not worried about, about who gets credit for what. The things that I've accomplished in the last ten years, hiring you is right at the top of the list, so I appreciate all your great work. And tom, you supporting him, aye.

Fritz: Thanks to the leadership and to all the line staff, who worked really hard to make this work. I am very impressed with the outcomes, and I appreciate you bringing this report back every year so that we track what we do, is it working or not, and indeed it is working. Over 94% of the time line goals met, including up to 98 and 100% on some of them. So that shows that the process is indeed working, and it shows that this council has proven that the commission from government can actually work quite well when we corroborate. When we make sure that we're talking with each other, and that we're acknowledging there are different bureaus, with different interests, and yet they need to work well for the public. That's what this project has shown. So, I am also very pleased that this has been something that I have helped to accomplish in my first term, and I very much appreciate the way that the council has worked together to make sure that it has worked. Commissioner Leonard and commissioner Saltzman, with their leadership and development services, and all the other development bureaus. And particularly, I appreciate the development review advisory committee, and the city-wide land use group that you have made sure that the public at large has been involved, as well as doing internal processes. So sometimes on the public involvement advisory report we get no this was an administrative processes, there was no public input. I was pleased to see on this one that you are acknowledged the work of drac and the citywide land use group, and have been very careful of when the public knows what we're doing, often they see that we're doing really good job, and that instills confidence in the system. Thank you very much, aye.

Fish: I am amazed in looking at this report that it was over 3.5 years ago when we had very vigorous debated in this room, about how to reform the public works permitting process, and I think it's worth noting. That when the mayor, was elected, he came in and with as many as five markers down, of complex structural things he wanted to tackle. All very complicated, not very sexy. But, this one was probably the wonkiest in some respects. We had some significant differences of opinion up here. Not about the outcome, the need to reform the system, but about the path. And I think one of the best things that we had was the debate about the difference between co-location and consolidation. But, that debate, and the differences of opinion should not obscure the fact that the council was united in wanting to reform the system. So, with everybody's constructive input, what we got was sort of a compromise on co-location. But nothing was going to work without the folks before us making it work. And so, I want to echo what my colleagues have said in thanking you, paul, and all the bureau directors, for implementing this change. It started with the mayor putting marker down, and it took tremendous leadership and some flexibility from my friend, commissioner Leonard, and it took the bureau's to implement it, but I think we're making progress. So, I want to thank everyone who has been part of this for your good work. Aye.

Adams: Well, I want to underline my thanks to each of you, paul has been here the whole time. And, and tom worked on this when he serve as my chief of staff. I want to also acknowledge commissioner Leonard's excellent leadership on this, and commissioner Saltzman's continuation of this effort. It was the second week in january. The second week of the term of this council, that we did separate and address dysfunction in the organization and the city organization. Good people trapped in organizational dysfunction, and separated housing, and economic development, commissioner Leonard's proposal to fast track five years worth of infrastructure and into two years to help people keep working during a period of the recession where the region was losing 25,000 jobs a month, and create the first economic development strategy in 15 years, and then, improve our permitting process, both with the co-location, but also as others have talked about with the clearer

August 22, 2012

standards. Those are absolutely key. So, so, I really want to thank you, christine, lana, and everyone else in the room that's worked on this. This is, as nick said, this is the absolutely vital work, unsexy it might be. You only get newspaper notice when, when something goes awry. But, incredibly necessary. So thank you. Aye. So approved. [gavel pounded]

Adams: So approved. Can you please read the title for item no. 893.

Item 893.

Saltzman: Commissioner Fritz?

Fritz: Yes, thank you, these grant agreements provide financial unlimited staff assistance from the office of neighborhood involvement for the purpose of supporting civic dissipation for historically under-engaged communities. With the goal of increasing the number and diversity of folks involved in their communities, strengthening community capacity, and increasing community impacts on public decisions. This is a program that's been going for several years now, and I think that was initiated under mayor potter. The office of neighborhood involvement is about community involvement and engagement. And how people participate, make sure that everybody feels welcome in participating. The office of equity and human rights is more focused on how the city does its work and how we do jobs and contracts and provide services in the community. So that's one of the difference between the two bureaus. This is an immensely successful program, and we want to spend a few minutes letting you know the purposes and outcomes of the grants. Jeri williams, the manager of the program for neighborhood involvement.

Jeri Williams: Good morning, commissioners. And thank you very much for having us this morning. As the commissioner so aptly described the program, we completed our fifth year in june, and are working on our sixth. We're currently working on a five-year report. And video to describe the work to take it out into the community to share with all of those other groups that have applied. This has been a successful program, working with these five organizations. And, and it's been an incredible honor to work with these leaders. Each group has done a ton of work with many of the different bureaus, including the bureau planning and sustainability for the last two years, and they are getting ready to do some work with the bureau of housing and community development, and they have worked, they have sat on boards for the water bureau. They have sat on the public involvement advisory committee, and we also have a native american human rights commissioner. As well as the native american planning commissioner. And so, things have changed fairly rapidly in the last five years to include many communities who have never been involved before. On sunday, my father-in-law passed away. He was 95 years old, and he was african-american, and he came to this, he came to Oregon through the 1940s, you know, to come up and work in the shipyards, he lived an incredible life, and he lived in van port, my mother-in-law lived there, and throughout the 20 years of organizing I have done in communities of color, I have always used them as a barometer to say, are we speaking a language that Thelma and ray would understand? Are we appealing to the things that Thelma and ray need in their community? So they have always serve as a great barometer, as well as these incredible folks who we have in the audience today, who, some of some of them are going to be speaking, have done, have come and participated in these programs, we have, actually, over 400 graduates in the last five years. Of leadership programs of people who have committed themselves to sign up for a year's worth of work. To learn how the city works. How to engage with commissioners, and how to testify before city council. And how to advocate for their communities. And what we find every year is that when we open up the programs again in july, for folks to be recruited in, we still have more people than what we can serve every year. And we have more communities coming to us saying, how do we get involved in that program? So, it's been an incredibly successful program, which has increased the voices of Portlanders, which was the goal, is to increase the voices of Portlanders that had not been heard before, to engage with the city of Portland. And with that, I am going to bring up, we have five

August 22, 2012

testifiers, and I will bring up the first three. Victor Salinas from Latino Network, Ahmed from CIO, Amber Starks from the Urban League.

Saltzman: CIO is what?

Williams: Center for Intercultural Organizing. Thanks, Dan.

Victor Salinas: Good morning, Mayor Adams and Commissioners, I am Victor Salinas, I work as a coordinator for Latino Networks Leadership and Civic Engagement Program. Latino Network provides transformative opportunities, services and advocacy for the education, leadership and civic engagement of our youth, families and communities. In our commitment to serve our communities of Portland, with the funding we received from the Office of Neighborhood Involvement, Diversity and Civic Leadership Program, we facilitate the Academy. This is a year-round training program focused on social justice, regional governance, environmental and social sustainability, civic engagement, and leadership development. Academy participants are connected with public and elected officials and encouraged to serve on boards, commissions, and committees. This year, our academy boasted 26 graduates. As a result, of our outreach efforts we have the largest representation of self-identified Latina and Latino LGBT community members participating in this capacity building endeavor. This Leadership Academy for -- in addition, this year, we launched the pilot project with 13 participants. This Leadership Academy for our youth prepares the next generation of leaders, participants who range from five to 12 years of age, and whose parents or guardians are in the adult Leadership Academy, are taught age-appropriate curriculum that mirrors the Academy curriculum. They learn how to develop testimony, make presentations on sustainability issues, and learn about how our regional government works. [speaking in another language] Academy alumni reports they, Latino Network, build my capacity and prepare me to provide support to the community and raise our voice for better change in our community. Our Academy alumni remain very active participating in community forums, events, and activities. Eight of our 2012 alumni serve on the networks, and an advisory committee that meets monthly to shape our programs, advocate and address community issues. This Leadership Academy has provided a path for Latinos in the Portland area to become engaged civic leaders in their communities and the larger Portland community, as well. We would like to thank you for making this project possible because it allows us to empower our Latino Portlanders to shape this beautiful city through active engagement and participation. Thank you for your time and your commitment to empower the Portland's diverse communities. Gracias.

Adams: Hi, and welcome.

Amber Starks: Thank you. Good morning, Mayor Sam Adams and Commissioners. My name is Amber Starks. And earlier this year, I was fortunate to be accepted to the Urban League Social Justice and Civic Leadership, or SJCL, which is an extension of the Diversity and Civic Leadership Program through the Office of Neighborhood Involvement. The goal of the SJCL is to provide members of the cohort with invaluable skills and tools for impacting policy changes, that improve our community. Through the training, civic engagement opportunities, legislative action days, members of the cohorts are gaining information and skills to empower us to become effective leaders. And in my case, I have taken the knowledge of, acquired and the cohort to start a social justice campaign around natural hair care. Called the Natural Hair Act. Currently, in Oregon, it is illegal to braid, twist, dread or style hair if you are not using chemicals, scissors or heat. It is not allowed even if you would like to volunteer your services. Unless you have attended 1700 hours of cosmetology school and passed the license exam. It is expensive and cost \$20,000. To say the least, it is a barrier. The law appears to be fair because the cosmetology licensing board that created around hair styling, as well as safety and sanitation. However, the curriculum does not address natural hair care and is given little attention to African style braiding or other natural hair care, such as twisting and dreading. And in addition, many licensed stylists have informed me, they learned nothing in school around African hair and often turn clients away because they feel inadequate about providing natural

August 22, 2012

or services to ethnic clients. This represented a major problem for me as I realized that the current law marginalizes, dismisses and ignores our community who have, for centuries, practiced the cultural acts of natural hair care, and in addition it creates a barrier to economic development. Especially to african and african-american women, and in a time like this, or excuse me, in a time like the one that we find ourselves in, economic marginalization is not an option. With my skills, I have learned around community organizing in the sjcl, I reached out to my community in an effort to build support around the law. I have contacted my legislators, and we have met, and we are in the process of, of composing the first draft of the bill, which would be submitted september 15. I've also hosted a natural hair town hall meeting where the community had an opportunity to look voice the importance of the law, and I created a contact your legislator campaign through social media where individuals send picture of themselves asking the legislators to support the bill. I am a product of your investment. And so are others in the cohort. The funding you provide is not about doing good. It is about providing access to individuals like me who see the need in their community, and empowering them to become a part of the process in order to make effective changes that are both equitable and just. Thank you for providing me the space to share my story.

Adams: Thank you.

Ahmed Iya: Good morning. I am [inaudible] and I am one of the immigrants of this country. Currently I work with the lutheran community services. As project coordinator for a program called african initiatives for health. Which promotes more cultural and helps with semantic barriers for immigrants in Oregon. And I am one of the graduates from the pilot projects, with the cio. Center for intercultural organizing. And i'm here to testify how it benefit me. And my background is community development. And I always like organizing communities, and helping them to move from one point to the other. To another. In a positive way. And there is nothing more important than helping the community organize itself, so that it can be empowered to take control over its own needs and difficulties so that they can have a solution to it. So this, this project, as we went in, was -- wasn't sure what is going to be coming out of it. When I went to the project, I realized that not only is there one group of people which comes from the same area. But both together, people from different areas, in the world, with people with different views, people with different experiences, and different ways of looking at things. Maybe they never knew anything like [inaudible] their country. They never knew how to work it for themselves. And here, we all come together, and we are looking at, the problem that we share. But even the solution that we have in common. And at cio we learned about leadership in relation to the refugees, and the immigrants. Sharing in the community spaces. Be it physical or political. And maybe some people never had that opportunity where they can be able to have a voice to be able to make a change. But here, it was the time when they were told, we were told to know that, that we have a chance to be able to make a change in our areas, and the where we live and our community. The roles, we were told about, what roles do we play as immigrants to make leaders, become leaders, and brokers for our communities. Not only for ourselves, and understanding power of relations, how do we get what we want. Not like what we were back home maybe buy gun or by forcing somebody [inaudible] how can you get it through the right channels? And understanding relations, with the mortals, social changes, maybe we only need one type of changes but now there is several types of social changes. Where we can really get solutions to what we really wanted to achieve. And understanding not only what makes us different, but what makes us same. What is, is -- many come from different areas, and maybe we're different. Along with different, we look different. But what brings us into this country, is almost the same. And as we live from the same community, the problem that faces us is the same. And the solution that we can do it together, and that's what we learn as pilot. Also, we were told that, that, about the history, the immigration, of policies and now, as a new immigrant into this country, and how, how can we make a difference. In this, time that we are playing a part in it. And social morals, engaging people, in our community, building community as a power so we can be able to

August 22, 2012

do this not only for ourselves but the whole, the whole framework, and see that, that the policies and things, which are affecting us, is being heard by other people. And we can also think that we can work for our communities and things can be positively affecting us, can be implemented. And how can we ask the community leaders, the communities, the leaders who are based in the community? Because many times, there are different types of leaders. There is the formal leaders, and the informal leaders, and most of us in that project, in this pilot project, like informal leaders, people who are with the community and who can be heard by the community. But maybe some of us more, some of us are not with suits on, and I am not talking about just myself, but the rest of the community. Maybe they don't have enough english and haven't gone to school in the proper style that we think, but they are known in the community. And we were told how we can voice, we can fix this and bring it back so that we can be heard in an appropriate way, so the pilot has been time of empowering, and developing a unified voice, through organizing, advocating for our rights, and creating an environment for organizing ourselves, and getting organized as a valued community members of Portland. Thank you.

Adams: Thank you.

Williams: I have two more because, in the name of equity, we let everyone speak, so we have five groups that we have, so I have Vivian sohappy and joe verazinski.

Adams: Good morning.

Williams: Go ahead, vivian.

Vivian Sohappy: Good morning. My name is vivian sohappy, and I am a member of the umatilla tribe from pendleton, Oregon and I have a community member here for some time and I served on the Portland youth council for several years, and I would like to say that before the portland youth and elders council came to be, there was little to no voice for our community members at he city level, and so I appreciate this time that you have given us to, to, to share our testimony about what, what the Portland youth council has done for myself. And it's essential that, that the members of our native community have, have the opportunity to come together and, and share a unified voice, and deepen our understanding in a way that, you know, that, that, at different levels, you know, from the Portland youth clear up to the elders in the community, and to share with one another and come to an understanding within our own community. As relational people. And so, we could have a unity where we could come to you and, and speak about the, the issues that impact our families, in a cultural aspects. So, I would just like to say that with the issues that impact us and the disparities, and the things of our community, that we could come together and make it meaningful to us and to you, the things that we need to overcome some of the barriers in our lives, that affect our families. I would like to also add that the Portland youth and elders council participates now on 23 different committees, council, and the advisory groups. And it's important that we continue to receive your support in the council, so that we can continue to, to build strong relationships and establish new ones for our community as a whole. And I thank you.

Joseph Verazinski: I am joseph verazinski, and I am a Portlander since 1992. But, I have not been involved in any kind of government programs or any, anything, the Slavic community tends to stay quiet, and one of the reasons for that is they are mostly religious people. And religious people stay out of the government, and the offices, they don't like to be involved with the government. So, I also took that route, and stayed away, even though I could have been very useful, but in 2010, was the first time that I went through the engage program, and after going through the program, my eyes opened up to a different level, which I have been ever since, in a public light where I am, I am known in my community, and I am known, also, in the programs that I deal with. I became a community advocate at a Slavic community center so, and we build programs that are for the help of the community, and our main goal is to get the Slavic community involved in the government, which, which is very slow and hard to come by. I'm happy that I went through the program because it totally opened up my eyes, and i'm not the same Portlander no more.

August 22, 2012

Salome Chimuku: So, mayor Adams, and commissioners, I am salome chimuku and I am from Portland. New columbia, and my family emigrated here from angola in 1990. So, I am also a member at cio, and I would like to share my opinions about the pilot program, which they provide. And a little history. I work in the legislature during the session. And I have also worked for the secretary of state's office in the elections division. And so, civic engagement is something that I kind of put at the forefront of my life. What I received from the pilot, is something I feel like no amount of education nor civic activism can bring you, and that's sense of a community and a home.

Oftentimes, as many of us know the Oregon legislature kind of looks monochromatic in the sense of people look a certain way, dress a certain way and behave certain way. And although Oregon is a diversely and rapidly changing state, it's not yet as reflective of the diversity that our state and the city does have. And in pilot, what I did experience was a sense of welcoming and a sense home and a sense of acceptance. And so, whether I was dressed the way I am now in front of you, or whether I was dressed in the traditional angola clothing, I was treated as who I am and there was no word of how well I spoke english. It was an accepted fact. I do think that pilot is a program that should stay funded and still continue on because it brings a sense of not only activism amongst the members of the community, but also, gives them a venue to do so, in an accepted fashion to where they don't have to constantly worry about what they are saying, being -- not taking full or not accredited because of the fact of the education or their ability to speak english. Thank you.

Adams: Thank you all very much. Thank you Jeri. Does anyone wish to testify on this matter?

Moore: No one else signed up.

Adams: All right. Then, it's an emergency ordinance. Karla, please call the vote on 893.

Saltzman: Thank you, commissioner Fritz, for bringing this forward, and it's very impressive. And all of you who are products of our leadership academy. Through the various organizations funded here, and very impressive, thank you. Aye.

Fritz: What a joy, what a privilege to be part of this program. And thanks to mayor potter for starting it. To mayor Adams for assigning me the office of neighborhood involvement and to jeri williams, the manager of the program, and to the community, who embraced it and participated in it, and not only the communities of color and refugee communities, but our whole neighborhood involvement system. I am very proud that throughout these four cut budgets, the office of neighborhood involvement budget committee has unanimously support the diversity and civic leadership program had said that the last in should not be the first cut that we need. We have held this program harmless, which is reflected in the budget, in the contracts that you see today. And thanks to my colleagues for also recognizing that. We want everybody to participate in our system here in Portland. And it sometimes has been said that the office of neighborhood involvement is a mechanism as paying community members to disagree with us and I think that that's a very healthy thing that we have a lot of different opinions, shared in this council chambers. I love coming to the graduation ceremonies, and to the trainings held right here in this council session. And for many folks it's the first time that he have ever been in the chamber, and they get the opportunity to sit where those great testifiers just sat, and practice and see what it feels like, and some, try sitting in the chairs, some are superstitious and don't get sit in the chair their thinking about going that route. But here am I an immigrant who's now speaking much better british English having just spent 10 days in my home country sitting on the city council proving that everybody is welcome in Portland and everybody is welcome to run for office to participate in any kind leadership at the community level, and on the city council. So thank you very much for having the presentation today. And again, thank you to mayor Adams for his leadership and support of it, and to the rest of my colleagues. Aye.

Leonard: Thank you, aye.

August 22, 2012

Fish: Amanda, thank you the great work and jeri, thank you for your presentation and great work and to the folks who took time out today to share their story. Thank you for inspiring us, and I am proud to support this program. Aye.

Adams: Well, thank you, commissioner Fritz for your fantastic work on this. It's part of your overall work to make Portland a city of true equity, and a place for the most equal opportunity around. So thank you for your great work on this jeri and the team, and all of our partners.

Continue to go out there and do great work, and make Portland better place for all, thanks. Aye. [gavel pounded] so approved, read the title for 894.

Item 894.

Adams: Hi, welcome. Welcome back.

Eloise Damrosch: Good morning, Eloise damrosch from the regional arts and culture council, I am pleased to be here to present a wonderful candidate to the racc board, and as you all know, we take our board recruitment really seriously. And try to bring a broad range of talents and interest and passions for the arts to the regional arts and culture council, and everyone we serve in the region. One thing that we take very seriously in the work that racc does is supporting individual artists, and it's great, while once in a while when we can find a really committed and time generous artist, full-time artists to serve on the board, and joanna fits that bill perfectly. She's, she will talk to you a bit about who she, is but, I will just say she's a treasure in this community and nationally and internationally recognized animated filmmaker and a woman of many talents. Also I want to mention that she collaborated on, I think, the first ever film created with the percent for art dollars, when inverness jail was built. What year was that do you remember:

*****: 1991

Damrosch: So if you ever have a chance to see pro and con, I recommend it, and she also was a recipient of one of our individual artists fellowships in 2007. So, without further ado I will introduce joanna priestley.

Joanna Priestley: Thank you. I'm nervous. I think that to add to that introduction, I am a third generation Portlander. My grandmother went to lincoln, and I have made 24 films and put my first app in the app store. And I absolutely --

Adams: What's the name?

Priestley: Clam bake. And that reminds me to thank you all for your support of, of the regional arts and cultural council and for your support of the arts in the city. I think it's one of the great things we have got going here. Especially you Mayor Adams, thank you. I absolutely love racc, I think it's incredibly well run and well organized and it provide as really profound service to our community. And I could give you a thousand reasons why I want to serve them. But I will narrow it down to two. As eloise mentioned I did a film for them in 1991, was my first real connection with them. And I don't know if you remember, Portland at that time, and it was really kind of known as a cultural backwater. In 1991, I went to the mcdowell colony back east for the first time and three different artists from new york said, why in the world would you want to commit career suicide and live in Portland, Oregon. So that tells you what people thought of our city.

Saltzman: Did that change now?

Priestley: I'll tell you one of the reasons I think that changed. Over that decade, a lot of artists would visit from new york, l.a., and san francisco, and they'd get out at the Portland airport, and they see, they see debora s butterfield's horse sculptures. And that's the first thing that people would mention. What are those cool sculptures at the airport. They'd go downtown and see art all over the city, and they would say, this is a cool city, and they would move here. And as you know, you know, 200,000 of them have moved here, and now we're known as like the most exciting city for the arts in the united states. So, I think racc has a huge hand in that. The other reason is, because of the media arts fellowship, I was awarded in 2007, that had a profound change on my career, and I made seven new films, one of them, about the environment, an animated documentary

August 22, 2012

about the environment. And I started putting all my films on vimeo and youtube and like 10,000 people have seen that film about recycling plastic. And that fellowship allowed me to go into new directions, and reach new people and I see race giving out so much money now to individual artists and arts organizations, and I know that they are all having the same profound change in what they do and how they do it. I love them, and I hope that I have the opportunity to serve them. And I thank you for supporting them.

Adams: Thank you. You can buy the app on the i-tunes store called clam bake. Any questions? Anyone wish to testify on this matter? Karla, please -- oh, entertain a motion to accept the report.

Saltzman: So moved.

Fritz: Second.

Adams: Moved and seconded. Karla please call the vote on the motion related to 893.

Saltzman: Well, welcome, joanna, to the race board. Pleased to support you and also alan alexander, who is being reappointed. And I wanted to note that you are replacing tad savinar who is a long-time fixture on the scene of Portland. And a great city person, so I have confidence that you will replace him well in terms of your artistic skills and excitement and passion for this city. So pleased to supported you both, aye.

Leonard: Thank you for agreeing to serve joanna. Aye.

Fritz: It is a volunteer position and an important one, and thank you for both taking the time to be here today, and for your past endeavors on the board. Thank you Eloise for your leadership. As I mentioned, I just returned from my birth country, and spent a half-hour driving to a sculpture part in my home county of yorkshire, and sent commissioner Leonard's wife, a very talented artist, some photographs, and he just reminded me that right here on the transit mall we have artists work displayed, you don't have to drive half an hour to see artwork and say I like that, I don't like that. So that's part of what the culture of our city is. I came back on a plane from amsterdam, our wonderful direct flight from amsterdam with a lot of europeans coming to run the hood to coast, and was telling them about all of the glories that you cannot possibly fit into week in Portland, it's very nice to be home because of the volunteers and the culture that we have here, aye.

Fish: Thank you for agreeing to serve. We're going to be busy this fall. Start laying the groundwork for renewal of the tax credit at the state level, to support arts, culture, and heritage statewide, and we need to pass the arts measure on the ballot in november. And we'll be working with you to do that, and thank you for serving. Aye.

Adams: Thank you for the great contribution you have made to the arts and culture of your profession, the city, race is fantastic. And being such a great ambassador to all kinds of folks across the nation for why arts, culture, and innovation matters. Thank you aye. [gavel pounding] So approved. Congratulations. Can you please read the title for item no. 921.

Item 921.

Adams: What are we looking at here?

Valentine Hellman, Portland Bureau of Emergency Management: I am valentine hellman with the Portland bureau of emergency management. The Portland urban area utilizes the urban areas security initiative grant funds to expand the emergency management capabilities of the region. And they have tested these before on smaller scales, but there was desire to test how all the different regions and agencies capabilities would interact together. So a proposal was put together to request funds from the urban areas security initiative grant for the design, to design, develop, conduct, and evaluate a regional scale exercise so all these things can be test. So, a solicitation process was undergone and put out an rfp and the chinook group was select. So, we are asking that you authorize this contract.

Adams: Questions from council? Does anyone wish to testify on item 921? Karla, please call the vote.

Hellman: She left.

August 22, 2012

Adams: And please call the vote on item 921. I didn't look up before I said that.

Saltzman: Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Fritz:** Aye. **Fish:** Aye.

Adams: Aye. Thanks for your great work. [gavel pounded] Please read the title for item 922.
Item 922.

Adams: Commander, how are you? And welcome back.

Commander Mike Lee: Thank you, mayor.

Adams: What are we looking at here?

Lee: We're looking at the same thing we've been doing the last couple of years. Funding a district attorney to work with our prostitution coordination team life works project we have got going out there in east county. It's the same thing that we've been doing the last couple of years.

Adams: Questions from council?

Leonard: How has that impacted prostitution on 82nd?

Lee: Real well. We are having a little bit of a rise on the north end of 82nd right now, but it's due to a seasonal event that we see coming down during the, during the late summer or early fall. They travel up and down the i-5 corridor, and right now we're addressing a problem out there. We're seeing an influx from the seattle area, but, it's being addressed sir.

Leonard: Great, I am glad you are the commander in my area.

Lee: Thank you, sir.

Saltzman: You are seeing an influx in the seattle area right now?

Lee: Yes, sir.

Saltzman: A seasonal thing?

Lee: Yes, sir, it happens just very regularly at the end of the summer, early part of the fall. And but the project, itself, having the district attorney out there, to work hand in hand in a collaborative effort with the four officers we have out there, the sergeant, and the life works project, it's really, a, a, a very good team of folks that, work with it. That portion of the community, and getting them the help that they need because, because it's, it's, we find that there is not only the, the prostitution piece, but the drug addiction, the mental illness side of the house, and we have a strong team out there working, and again, in a collaborative manner to address that issue.

Saltzman: And this is the deputy district attorney, j.r Ujifusa?

Lee: J.r. Is, has moved on. We have got a replacement for j.r. We are still trying to work that piece out with the district attorney's office, but, j.r. has moved on, took a promotion. For his efforts, he did a great job out there. And he's still on the peripheral to assist the new deputy district attorney, and get their feet on solid ground out there.

Saltzman: So somebody has been assigned?

Lee: Yes, sir, they have. Ok. Great.

Leonard: I don't mean to put you on the spot but we had a debate about this approach versus prostitution-free zones when we implemented the d.a. and the, the treatment programs. Do you have an opinion as to, as to how effective this approach has been versus prostitution-free zones?

Lee: I think this approach is, has been more successful in ways. I think it looks like, looks at it in a holistic manner. Instead of trying to arrest our way out of it. You grab them and stuff them in the criminal justice system. I think that, that we're looking at the wrap-around surface, at how we're getting these folks help versus just getting them off the street. It's how can we get them off the street permanently.

Leonard: Thank you.

Adams: While I have got you here I want to thank you for your help, because i've been out and about quite bit as the police commissioner for your 24-hour passionate service, for the citizens of Portland, and your attitude, and your problem solving, and just your approachability is, reinforces the, the culture, and the best parts of the culture of the Portland police bureau. We don't get checked very often but I will take this opportunity to thank you very much.

August 22, 2012

Lee: Thanks very much, sir.

Adams: All right, anyone wish to testify. Really? Can you please call the vote? You just came in through the air-conditioning.

Saltzman: This has been great program. And I am pleased to continue to support having a deputy district attorney assigned to help the work of the prostitution coordination, aye.

Leonard: Aye.

Fritz: It's a good example of several things, one, as you said, a holistic approach to helping people get out of the so called business of prostitution. Secondly, its an example of something which we have funded -- the city has funded because it was necessary and the county didn't have the money. So its therefore, an example if the library district passes, it might be something that we should discuss asking the county to take over the funding for both the d.a. position and the services. This council has worked really well to make sure that we provide the services during the recession by working collaboratively with the county, and I'm hopeful we will continue to do that regardless of whether the library district passes or not because clearly this is a program that needs to be funded. Thank you very much for your work on it, aye.

Fish: Thank you, aye.

Adams: Aye. [gavel pounded] So approved, please read emergency ordinance item 923.

Item 923.

Adams: Good morning, detectives.

Derek Rodrigues, Lieutenant, Portland Police Bureau: Good morning sir. Derek Rodrigues, lieutenant drugs and vice division.

Adams: Lieutenant.

Jay Bates, Sergeant, Portland Police Bureau: Sergeant Jay Bates.

Adams: Sergeant, boy I got that wrong. Demoted them both on accident. What are we looking at here?

Bates: We're looking at the marijuana eradication grant, and we are looking to accept from, from the Oregon department of justice. For \$25,000. We've been doing this for 17 years. Over the period ppb has consistently produced a high volume of quality cases, that have resulted in successful prosecution and seizures, and we'll focus our efforts on indoor marijuana cultivation due to the high density of the urban population of this area, the eradication of drug trafficking organizations remains a high priority for the drugs and vice division. I think it should be noted that at the direction of council, we have standard language that is included in our mou with our federal partners, that does state that members may not take action or exercise authority if the action or exercise of authority is prohibit by Oregon statute, the Oregon constitution, or Oregon decision of law. Specifically, members will not assist in the investigation or prosecution of any drug use manufacturing possession, delivery prescription, administration, or sale that is authorized by Oregon death with dignity act or Oregon's medical marijuana act.

Adams: Questions for the lieutenant and sergeant? Does anyone wish to testify on this matter? Karla, can you please call the vote.

Saltzman: Aye. **Leonard:** Aye.

Fritz: This doesn't cover any kind of investigations under the death with dignity act or the medical marijuana act, which I appreciate you making that very clear in the ordinance. Aye.

Fish: Aye.

Adams: Thanks for your service. Appreciate it very much. Aye. [gavel pounded] So approved. Read the title for emergency ordinance item 924.

Item 924.

Adams: Welcome back. What are we looking at here?

Bob Delgizzi: I am bob delgizzi, the business operations manager police bureau. This is the ordinance to accept the byrne memorial grant for fy-12. The application was approved back in may.

August 22, 2012

We have intergovernmental agreements in place for the subrecipients, and this ordinance is an emergency because we need to get the signed application back within a time frame this month. In order to be able to accept the moneys.

Adams: Questions from council? Does anyone wish to testify on item no. 924? Karla, please call the vote. On emergency ordinance 924.

Saltzman: Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Fritz:** Aye. **Fish:** Aye.

Adams: Aye. [gavel pounded] So approved. Can you please read the title for non emergency ordinance item 925.

Item 925.

Adams: Welcome commander, and how are you?

Mike Leloff, Commander, Portland Police Bureau: Good morning, mayor and commissioners.

Adams: What are we looking at here?

Leloff: this is an extension of our collaborative effort between home forward and Portland police bureau. We split the cost 50-50. We supply two officers and they pay for two officers, and we have four officers assigned seven days a week in new columbia, st. John's woods, and we work with guardian home forward, big, huge push of working with the youth. It's about 3,000 people with the new columbia, and we, we collaborated with all the partners, including the parks bureau, again, guardian, with home forward, and the rising gang violence is imperative that we have these officers out there. We have had gang related shootings and homicides within mccoys park, which is rare these days. Which is a huge, a huge benefit to this collaboration.

Adams: Can you talk bit, as an example, the collaboration, at st. John's woods, where we worked, you all worked with community-based folks, and what had been the big sort of fence that really impeded folks from getting through. We've been -- the collaboration is so strong we felt comfortable taking the fence down.

Leloff: Yeah. And we now have -- we're, the youth, are crossing the fence, or tearing the fence down, they have opened the fence to allow the youth access between the two. And we're having a huge success. We did an incentive program this spring to summer, and where kids were paid to work within the environment out there, and the rewards were coached by the university of Portland soccer team, and nike, target and several other corporate businesses pitched in to reward the youth for their work this summer. It was quite successful.

Adams: And while we have you here with council's indulgence, if you could give them a very quick summary of the other part of your district, albina, killingsworth, the work that you celebrated last week?

Leloff: Yes, sir. The albina, north albina and killingsworth collaborative effort is on the Portland online police bureau website. I think it's also on the mayor's website. And it's -- this is our preliminary analysis of the collaboration last september 2. We had six young men shot in one incident, in the 5700 block of north albina, on october 21, we have mr. Clark gunned down, and it was a homicide, and at emerson and north haight, and dr. l.g. Gatewood was approaching the mayor, and he and I began a conversation, a dialogue, and I learned about his organization called the albina killingsworth safe neighborhood commission that he started and chairs since 2006. Through those efforts, I met with business owners, met with the commission, pcc, if you look at killingsworth and albina, you can look at it from the perspective of ten years ago. Or you can look at it from a learning hub. You have got poic, jefferson high school, and pcc, and a little program called airways of science. And you look at the small businesses there, so we began it look at it from a learning organization hub and a small business hub with residents sprinkled throughout, and we launched a collaborative effort under the commissions, I guess, approval, and we wanted to change behaviors, and the community's expectations were changing and want those expectations out to the community. So, january 5, I assigned a sergeant and two officers and we began working. Our arrests were a little heavy in the beginning, but we knew that going in. But, we never wanted to

August 22, 2012

measure it by success of arrests. We wanted to change the environment. The mayor has been out a couple times to walk with me, and we redid a wall that cost \$15,000. The wall is, is in the packet. I just approach and pass it down and you can keep it. Thank you. The wall was this brick wall, and the chronic drinkers would sit on the wall. So, dr. Gatewood --

Saltzman: Where was the wall?

Leloff: On mississippi just north, of killingsworth. And along a big strip there, and the stores were selling the alcohol to chronic drinkers so we approached it from, we arrested a few of the drinkers. We worked with the market to remove the alcohol beverages, put them under voluntary abatement t programs, and we changed the wall to put a wrought iron gate on it so you cannot sit there no more.

And, our part one crimes are way down and our arrests are now way under the normal. We arrested nobody in the month of july. Really the change has been about livability and expectations of the behaviors and the communities excited about where they are. The stores are changing their environment to make profit other ways. We've approached the pcc student union about getting their 23,000 students to support the businesses in a different way rather than alcohol sales. So we're pleased with that, and that's our preliminary report.

Adams: Just a great community policing work. Thank you very much for that. Any questions from council? Anyone wish to testify on this matter?

*****: Yes, sir.

Adams: Finally. All right. Welcome back. Thank you.

Dan Handelman, Portland Copwatch: Thank you. Good morning mayor, city council members. I'm dan Handelman, portland Copwatch. For the record mayor I think its better for my time and your time for me to focus on a few issues instead of testifying on every issue. Although portland Copwatch is interested in everyone of these issue. This particular one, I'm glad you brought up north Killingsworth in connection with the new Columbia policing because that was what I was planning to testify about. The potential side effect of over policing an area even if there's a high crime rate there is racial profiling. And there have been complaints about young men of color being patted down and stopped repeatedly on Killingsworth. And I know joann hardesty was trying to get the statistics and was told she had to pay \$1400. I'm glad to know their online now. But I hope that that is kept in mind as specific police are being hired to patrol certain area of town at this point happens to have a high crime rate. That you don't over police the young african-american men that you're supposedly trying to help, because then it will just end up with more of a cycle of distrust of the police. I hope there are resources being put into other things to better that community out there. Certainly the policing of Killingsworth and albina sounds a lot like a side effect of the gentrification of that area. It was a heavily african-american neighborhood just 10 or 15 years ago, and now it's mostly a white neighborhood, and shops are going up. Things are changing. I'm just hoping that this community standard we're talking about isn't a code word for it's ok to racially profile people. In terms of high crime rates, I understand there was a police shooting yesterday whre the person wasn't hit but this is six officer-involved shootings that had something to do with the Portland police this year. If your going to go by numbers of shooting to be something to be looking at we had the department of justice here, but they should be bringing in an extra squad because we have so many police shootings now. I don't know why the police are using violence so much, but I also hope the over policing or the extra policing of columbia doesn't lead to more police shootings.

Adams: Is there anything positive about the work they did on albina and killingsworth from your point of view?

Handelman: Well, I haven't read the report yet, your honor. I would like some time. But what I'm telling you is what I'm hearing from the community --

Adams: I'm just asking if there was anything positive that you had to say. And it doesn't sound like you do. Look forward to getting your opinion once you read the report.

Handelman: Thank you.

August 22, 2012

Adams: All right let's call the vote.

Moore: These are nonemergency.

Adams: Moves to a second reading, further consideration next week. Please read item 926.

Saltzman: thank you commander Leloff. Great work.

Adams: Great work. Please read the nonemergency ordinance item 926.

Item 926.

Adams: Well welcome back.

Dave Benson, Civilian Manager, Evidence Division, Portland Police Bureau: Good morning, mayor and commissioners. It is indeed good to see you all again. My name is dave benson. I'm the civilian manager of the Portland police property evidence division. Each month, portland police officers deliver 5000 items of properties in evidence to the property division. OHSU Police deliver about 5. They have neither the resources nor the space nor the expertise to house that. They've asked us to do that on their behalf. It is of minimal to no consequence to us nor inconvenience nor cost, and it seems like a good partnership with a fellow agency, and we are happy to do so if you consent.

Adams: Questions from council? Anyone wish to testify on this matter? All right. Moves to a second reading and further consideration next week. Thank you, sir. Please read the title for emergency ordinance item number 919. I'm sorry. 927. Nonemergency ordinance.

Item 927.

Adams: Unless there's objections, refer back to the mayor's office. Please read 928.

Item 928.

Adams: Who's going to give the overview on this? Someone from the city attorney's office? Ah. That would be great.

David Landrum, Deputy City Attorney, Office of the City Attorney: I'm david landrum. I'm the city attorney responsible for this case. We reached agreement with the plaintiffs to settle a case based on the current practices of the police bureau.

Adams: And when did it happen?

Landrum: I'm sorry. When did --

Adams: When did the incident or the focus of the complaint happen?

Landrum: Well, the case was filed and served on the city in december of 2008, and it addressed the no camping on public property ordinance and the temporary structures on the public property ordinance. Sought to have those ordinances enjoined. The case has been in litigation since that time. So it didn't focus on really a specific event as much as it focused on the police practices enforcing those ordinances.

Adams: Thank you sir. Commissioner fish.

Fish: Mayor, today we're voting to settle a lawsuit challenging the city's anti-camping ordinance. This agreement formalizes operational changes the Portland police bureau has already made in how they interact with people sleeping outside. Consistently applied, these changes will ensure people are sleeping outside are treated fairly and with compassion. National drivers such as high unemployment, a broken mental health system, the foreclosure crisis, and rising healthcare costs continue to push individuals and families into homelessness. At a time of rising need and declining resources, we must work together. Advocates, government, non profits, business and the faith partners to help our neighbors in need. I'm proud of the collaborative approach the city and the Oregon law center brought to this negotiation. Under the agreement, the city will pay a small amount of damages to the plaintiffs, and the Oregon law center will forego attorney fees in order to direct \$37,000 to the Portland housing bureau's short-term rent assistance program. My colleagues know rent assistance is one of our most effective tools for preventing homelessness and to rapidly rehouse people that find themselves on our streets. The Oregon law center's support for rent assistance reflects its genuine commitment to helping our community's most vulnerable citizens.

August 22, 2012

Everyone deserves a safe and decent place to call home. Over the last four years, with the strong support of this council, the Portland housing bureau has invested over \$300 million to address our community's unmet housing needs, which includes ending homelessness one person, one family at a time. This settlement is one more confirmation of our collaborative approach and that it is working, and i'm proud to support it.

Adams: Thank you. People signed up to testify? Unless people have questions.

Fritz: I do have a question. For the nonmonetary terms of settlement, it says that the city will enforce the camping ordinance and its erecting temporary structures ordinance in accordance with the protocols set forward in directive 835.20 and the June 15th, 2009 central precinct memorandum with modifications related to belongings. What is that directive?

Landrum: Essentially that directive provides for the officers to -- when interacting with campers on public property to give them more opportunity to gather their belongings and go somewhere where it's not unlawful to camp. It gives the officers an opportunity and the subject of the interaction an opportunity to rectify that situation without going to a citation for violating the ordinance and hopefully avoids arrest. But it basically -- what's in that memorandum provides a series of protocols for the officers that create a buffer between the interaction and someone actually being cited or arrested for violations of the ordinance.

Fritz: Is that memorandum and the directive -- are they both posted online somewhere?

Landrum: I know that the directive is online, because that directive existed at the time this lawsuit was instituted and still exists in the same form. The memorandum, frankly I don't know if it's posted online or not, but I believe that's one of the things that we agreed to, that it would be available.

Fritz: Thank you. I think that would be helpful to have it fully available so folks know what the rules are. Thank you.

Landrum: Yes, I agree.

Adams: Any other council discussions? All right. Let's get testimony.

Moore: We have four people signed up.

Adams: Welcome to the city council. Who'd like to begin? Veronica, would you like to begin?

*******:** Sam your looking well today.

Adams: Thank you. Please have a seat and make yourself feel comfortable.

Veronica Bernier: I know this thing is on, because i've spoken at this table before. I'm veronica bernier. I'm sorry if I don't look my best today. I just came in from girl scout camp. I would just like to bring some words forward for you today to support your local girl scouts. I know amanda does and randy Leonard and all of you do. Mayor sam Adams, you're doing a great job. Juliet lowe would be proud of you. I know you always look out for the little girls. Those are us who have had campfire girls in their families and blue birds know the value of scouts. A good scout always suits up and shows up on time, goes by the rules, and also they earn their merit badges. The merit badges that we bring to these tables -- we can speak to many. Amanda deserves a merit badge for what she's been doing for this city, and she always looks so nice. I like looking at amanda because amanda came to rose haven one time, and she leaned against the wall, and she said, you'll only see this suit once. It was powder blue. I'll never forget that powder blue suit, because we all voted for amanda. [laughter] Everyone at rose haven voted for Amanda and she looks good in powder blue.

Saltzman: You better get that one back out.

Fish: Is that an endorsement?

Bernier: You can quote me on it. Endorsements, though, take a little time. You might see me pull something that has wheels on it and carries not my entire life belongings but close to it.

Commissioner Saltzman, you well great today. I worked for you in the past, and you're a wonderful employer. Save the tree foundation is what I wanted to promote today as it relates to the portland city council. According to former mayor vera katz, we have 65,000 trees. Has anybody looked in

August 22, 2012

the tree wells lately? I found a cigarette butt, 10-number 2 penny nails, a banana peel, everything. The department of tree wells is not being kept up. Anyway, what i'm here for this. To support your local law enforcement and support this issue 2000%, but this is a letter from friendly house right to city hall. They need 10 million. I can't talk to kathy butts about what she needs to decide on, though. Poor nutrition across the board. There's another redhead in the kitchen. I don't know what they're doing there either, but as long as they keep cooking, it's important. This is a good newspaper, the "oregonian." and remember this. Bank of america, good bank account. I've got it.

Adams: You've done enough of your celebrity endorsements for one day. It's always good to see you. I hope you're well. Hi. Welcome back.

Dan Handelman: Ok. I don't know how to follow that up. I'm dan handleman with Portland cop watch to testify about the camping lawsuit settlement. Some of this has already been described, and it's been noted that the police have sort of changed the way they've been enforcing anti-camping ordinance during the four years this has been in federal court. There are some double-edged swords, so to speak, in the changes that are being made. For instance, now that the definition of a campsite includes people who have carts and personal belongings there, it broadens the power to the police to then clear out to what is now considered a campsite. It didn't used to be. It therefore ups the requirement to take care of people's personal belongings, which is a good thing. I think it's good the police have to take care of the personal belongings. The problem is that it says they have to keep the belongings for at least 30 days, but it doesn't clarify if they'll keep it longer if the person is incarcerated for more than 30 days. I hope, if a person is jailed while being incarcerated because they were picked up at a camping site, that their belongings will not be trashed or sold. Similarly, there's a new provision that says that police will give people up to seven days notice. The state law require as 24-hour notice, but the agreement says that the sweep -- let's just call that -- can happen anytime in those seven days. Does that mean the 24 hours then is invalid? We were hoping the judge would declare the entire law unconstitutional, that people's right to survive would be affirmed and that we wouldn't have a situation where we just changed the charter in the last election to get rid of language where there was horrific language that said stuff about not displaying your disabilities or vagrants, or poor people on the street. We were horrified. And hopefully one day people will say oh my god I can't believe you couldn't house everybody in your city, and you had laws that outlawed camping outside. Maybe by getting rid of the entire ordinance we could do more than just creating more rules. There are steps in the right direction, and we're glad to see that this is finally coming to an end in court so that we can get back to discussing this in the activist community without being told, well, let's see how things work out with this lawsuit before we move forward on getting rid of the ordinance.

Adams: Hi. Welcome back.

Moses Wrosen: What this is about is the city cutting a \$40,000 check today to the plaintiffs who they offended. So the city has spent 40,000 more dollars on the, quote-unquote, homeless issue, and that's not including your own legal fees. This has been a four-year battle. How much did your legal department spend losing this case? Do you have any idea? Attorney?

*******:** No.

Wrosen: All right he doesn't know about the modifications --

Adams: They get paid a salary, so they're always working.

Wrosen: Right. But it's a four-year case, and he probably wasn't the only guy working on it.

Adams: Yes he was.

Wrosen: I don't know. Either way, it's a lot of money.

Adams: You asked.

Wrosen: Either way it's a lot of money. Commissioner Fish was saying that he really thinks that things are working well and that this case kind of proves that the camping ban has been ratified by the court as being legal and all of that.

August 22, 2012

Fish: That's exactly not even close to what I said. The court, as you know, didn't actually rule on the issue. Since you said it, let's correct the record. The court directed the parties to resolve their difference and said that she was willing to rule, and that meant there could be a clear winner or loser but asked us to go to mediation to try to resolve the matter, which is what we did. Contrary to the way you've characterized it, I think both sides come out with something. We have some certainty about the rules. The plaintiffs, who were represented by a terrific public interest lawyer, felt that we made progress. We've now codified the existing practice, plus there's some additional money going to short-term rent assistance. I think that's something, as even dan Handelman said, to acknowledge as forward progress.

Wrosen: I do think you're trying to make progress. Its confusing to me how we can be so far into this 10-year plan to end homelessness and have an ever increasing homelessness population.

Fish: We've probably moved 7000 people off the streets into homes, and at the bud clark commons we've served almost 7000 people. I want to point out that, while Portland is doing an enormous job -- 75% of the dollars we spend come from local sources, not the federal government -- we don't control the national drivers. The kinds of things which are causing homelessness in america, veterans coming back without services, the foreclosure crisis, unemployment, a broken mental health system, federal priorities which are moving away from people, we don't actually control that. Now, i'm proud that we live in a community where we're willing to invest in solutions, but I think we have to acknowledge, had we not had a 10-year plan, probably thousands more would be on the streets. Until we have a national commitment to addressing homelessness, with all due humility, Portland cannot solve a national problem. We can make a hell of a dent, but we cannot solve a national problem.

Wrosen: Thank you. I appreciate that. I guess that brings me around to the point that i'm up here to talk about, which i've been here before and have been part of a demonstration out front for nine months now, and that is my belief that it is immoral to have an ordinance that illegalizes warm, safe sleep. So we can't provide for the homeless until it's a national priority. I understand that. I get what you're saying and agree on some level. On the other hand, we cannot make sleep illegal. It's immoral and ridiculous that I have to be up here talking about it. Sleep is as fundamentally needed as food and water. You talk about mental-health issues. Go a night without sleep. How do you feel in the morning? A little crazy? Think about being on the street and not being able to stay warm or dry because the ordinance specifically states that a sleeping bag and tarp are illegal. It's immoral. You need to change it. At least let people exist. If you can't provide for them, I understand that it's a complicated issue. But to prosecute them, it's immoral. Its your duty as the moral leaders in this community to take a stand.

Adams: Thank you for your testimony. Karla, please call the vote on emergency ordinance number 928.

Saltzman: Aye.

Leonard: Aye.

Fritz: Well, thank you in particular to the Oregon law center's monica goracke for all your work on this as well as commissioner Fish. All sides have been working very hard on this issue for the time that i've been on the council and beyond that, and this does provide new clarity about expectations for both police and people experiencing houselessness so that theirs an expectation of when the sleep will be left undisturbed and when the police may intervene. There's also a reduction in the goal of reducing the number of folks who are prosecuted for offenses under the ordinances. I appreciate Cameron whitten who brought attention to the matter for many, many days outside of city hall. And for the mayor and the rest of the council in resolving his hunger strike with the goal of having a regional housing summit in november. Commissioner Fish just very clearly outlined that this is a national problem. If you need more information on that, look at the august 3rd street roots edition what has some really compelling articles about the issue of the drivers of

August 22, 2012

homelessness, which are indeed the decrease in funding from the federal government. The taxpayers of Portland have been very generous. The people of Portland are very generous. Nobody goes hungry when living outside in Portland, and that is a testament to people caring about our community, caring about each other. We experienced an increase in travelers coming to Portland during the summer, and that is a challenge for businesses and other visitors. That's something that happens. I believe council has been very diligent in looking at all the aspects of this challenge and as commissioner fish pointed out, funding for real solutions for people who live here and want to get back inside. There are now options and a lot more options for veterans, for people with mental illness, others experiencing homelessness. As we start to recover in the economy and we have fewer foreclosures, hopefully with the president's healthcare system coming into play now, we will have fewer bankruptcies related to medical crises. I believe this particular ordinance is another step in the right direction and would like to thank everybody who has been involved in it. Aye.

Fish: When this case was pending in federal court, there was a judge who said she was prepared to rule on the 8th amendment challenge. If Monica Gora were here she'd tell you that it was - - where's Monica, Monica is here, Monica I think would tell you that there was not a lot of precedent for that claim, and therefore there was some risk associated with it, and she's a terrific world-class advocate, but there is every reason to believe that the federal court would reject the claim. Faced with that, the parties sat down with some uncertainty on both sides and tried to come to an agreement which met the needs on both sides of the table. In my view, that's how you resolve disputes. You don't let a judge decide a winner or loser. You try to find common ground. We would not have made the progress towards this settlement if Monica Gora had not been the lawyer for the plaintiffs. Uniquely, she has a big vision, and she is about moving the ball. And it would not have happened had the mayor not stepped in and made this a priority. So I want to first begin by acknowledging both of them, in particular Sam for his leadership. I want to thank the city attorney's office for the work they did, and I want to be very clear. This does constitute progress, and it may not be as far as some people would like, and I accept that, I have my own views on camping. But what it does do for the first time is create clarity about rules and specifically clarity about the circumstances under which someone who is camping, not in a park and not in a high-traffic, high-visibility area can continue to camp peacefully. And that includes sleeping. This is the first time we have had the practice codified. As someone who every day gets up and tries to figure out how we can do more to address the crisis of homelessness, I also have to acknowledge when we make progress because, if we don't, then there's never any hope. And every day we have to try to move the ball a little about it. To those who say it's not good enough, you haven't done enough, I accept that, but this is progress. I also would not acknowledge and thank Chief Reese. It was really under Chief Reese that the policy was developed of coming up with some sort of a middle ground here, of having a law but enforcing it in a humane way. To my colleagues who this past year funded the safety net -- ma'am, you can put your thumb down. I'm not sure which part you're commenting on. The thumb down is not that helpful. And to my colleagues who for this fiscal year have ensured that we will have \$100 million to spend for affordable housing in our community, I thank them for that. We will not rest until there is a home for everybody, but we will also continue to make progress in this struggle. Aye.

Adams: I want to thank commissioner Fish for being a dogged advocate for those facing housing insecurity, those that are homeless. Your accomplishment of helping to make sure the safety net is funded through the worst economic recession and to make sure we prioritize not only helping as many people as we can in the crisis in front of us but also the innovations like the Bud Clark Commons serve as national examples. I want to thank the city attorney and the plaintiffs in this case as well for working on this. And the Portland Police Bureau for clarifying I think what are approaches. I care a lot personally about this issue, and I want to thank Cameron for his converting

August 22, 2012

his work into what will be, I hope, a biennial or annual regional summit on housing and homelessness. Getting more money out of the state and the federal government is pretty daunting. Bringing more regional effort to homelessness and housing might be equally tough, but it's closer by, and therefore I think it has a lot of -- we have a lot of unfulfilled potential. The fact is the statistical area that we reside in is about 6,700 square miles and the City of Portland is 146 square miles of that 66,684 square mile region. The four county region Multnomah county and Portland are about 40%. But when you look at what Commissioner Fish described the local money. Beyond what we're given on a formula basis from the state and federal government, in terms of how we prioritize our local dollars, while we're 40% of the population in the four-county region, we spend 80% of all local dollars. I think that folks that are experiencing housing insecurity and homelessness, other parts of our four-county region should be able to get the services they need, more of the services they need in the communities in which they're experiencing that. So we're spending roughly twice -- these are based on, I think, 3-year-old numbers. Roughly twice local dollars in terms of the percentage of our population. And I'm proud that were doing that. but the short term ability to do more means more advocacy within the region. Keep advocating with us. Don't back off one inch. But i've got to see more of you show up at over 25 cities in the region with the four counties in the region, because they're choosing to prioritize their local dollars on other things than this issue. So you can help homeless people, even if I disagree with you on the issue of camping -- you can help homeless people by making this a high your priority in other governments that are just a trimet ticket away. I really hope that you do that and you'll have an opportunity with Cameron's regional forum that's also sponsored by ecumenical ministries, and you'll have the opportunity to do that in the next couple months. Aye. Approved. I need to make an announcement that the property owners participating in the royal highlands phase two lid were originally notified of a council hearing date for final assessment of august 22nd. This item has been postponed to august 29th. It will not appear on the august 22nd council calendar. The city attorney's office asked that I read the following. The royal highland phase two lid final assessment hearing originally scheduled for today will instead be heard next wednesday. All right. That gets us to -- please read the ordinance -- nonemergency ordinance number 929.

Item 929.

Adams: Hi, jonas. Mr treasurer.

Jonas Biery: Mr. Debt manager. Thank you jonas biery.

Adams: Mr debt manager, I'm getting everybody's titles wrong today. Mr debt manager

Biery: The nonemergency ordinance authorizes issuance of sewer revenue bonds to finance up to 200 million of expenditures of the bureau of environmental services, capital improvement program over the next 18 to 24 months as included in the current bes capital improvement plan.

Additionally, the bonds will be sized to include cost of issuance and legally required debt reserves. Were currently planning to issue long-term bonds however, the ordinance also authorizes interim financing in the event that short term borrowing options are determined to be financially advantageous. Long term bonds would be payable over a period of no more than 25 years from revenues of the sewer system and we expect to complete the financing via a competitive process in october, 2012. I'd be happy to answer questions.

Adams: Questions from council? Does anyone wish to testify on this matter? Moves to further consideration and second reading next week. Please read the title for item number 900, an emergency ordinance.

Item 900.

Adams: Hi who's testifying on this matter? W What is your official title?

Marni Glick: Parking operations manager, marni glick, the bureau of transportation.

Adams: Welcome back.

August 22, 2012

Glick: The ordinance before you is a proposal to test modifications to the area parking permit program. The area parking permit program has been used by neighborhoods as a way to minimize the impact of commuter parking within the neighborhoods. We've heard from residents that the current regulations are too onerous, the threshold is too high, and this pilot program is in response to the requests from citizens. The proposed modifications would make the process easier and simpler for neighbors to take advantage of the area parking permit program. We did include an amendment at commissioner Fritz's request that we would report back to council before the end of 2013, and our intent is to come back to council at a later date with specific proposed code changes.

Fritz: So I move the amendment.

Fish: Seconded.

Adams: Any discussion? Karla, please call the vote on the motion to amend.

Saltzman: Aye. **Leonard:** Aye. **Fritz:** Aye. **Fish:** Aye.

Adams: Aye. Amendment approved. Additional discussion.

Fritz: I just want to make sure folks understand what this is and what it isn't, because I was concerned when I looked through the council agenda items that the public involvement statement said that there hadn't been any. I appreciate Marni and Katja Dillman in the mayor's office meeting with me yesterday explaining the process that you've been through. But I want to make sure we have clear expectations what the office of neighborhood involvement is going to be doing and what this program is designed to do. Can you tell us why you've eliminated the 50% provision that is currently required for approving a new parking permit program?

Glick: So currently the area is 40 blocks basically. We find that people who live further away seemed less interested. People who live in apartment buildings or have sufficient off-street parking have not been interested in getting involved in the process. We're proposing to reduce the size and the threshold to capture more people who are actually affected by the program.

Fritz: Thank you. And how do you think you may evaluate -- it's termed a pilot program, but we don't have a particular area designated. Anybody can apply. What will be the evaluation criteria?

Glick: Because we know there is interest from several neighborhoods, we don't know who's going to be first in the door. We will go through the survey process, and we will see during the course of the year are we getting enough interest and are the levels set at a rate that people can actually participate? We'll basically look at are there still commuters parking in these neighborhoods? Is the area parking permit program having the intended effect of mitigating commuter parking on neighborhood streets.

Fritz: Just to make public what we discussed in my office yesterday, the initiation of the process is by the neighborhood association making a request that obviously will be noticed as a public meeting by the neighborhood association, but the neighborhood association doesn't have the funds to mail to all the affected property owners and residents. My understanding is, once the neighborhood association has asked for your involvement for the department of transportation involvement, you then go through the notification process to bring people to another public meeting.

Glick: Yes. Our staff will do all the notification and the mailings and be available for all questions and go door to door.

Adams: We're also going to mail out to business districts and neighborhood associations as there are areas that potentially need this tool. Then there might be other areas that would not pursue it. There's going to be some more -- what do I want to say? We're going to initiate some of the conversations but allow anyone to also come back with their ideas for permits.

Fritz: If a neighborhood association or group of neighbors are interested in this, who do they contact?

Glick: Well, they start with me.

Fritz: 823-4000 and get in touch with you.

August 22, 2012

Glick: That will get to me yes.

Fritz: And my final question is regarding the approval process. I guess it's more of a statement. That 50% of 40% need to -- 40% of the residents need to send back their ballots. And then 50% plus one is that correct?

Glick: Yes, correct.

Fritz: This is an admonishment or encouragement to citizens to participate in your neighborhood association. It could be 20% of the residents who would be actually approving this if people don't send back their ballots, so it's also an opportunity to be involved in something that everybody cares about. There are strong feelings on all sides. I appreciate you doing this as a pilot project and particularly appreciate the amendment requiring you to report back next year.

Adams: Anyone wish to testify on this matter? Karla, please call the vote on 900.

Saltzman: Aye.

Leonard: Aye.

Fritz: I do appreciate staff taking the time to come talk with me yesterday on short notice and Katja dillman in your office that's ever as amazing. Aye.

Fish: Aye.

Adams: Aye. 900 is approved. Please read item number or emergency ordinance item number 901.

Item 901.

Adams: First session of the legislature as city transportation commissioner and for every session between 2005 and this last session, I have lobbied for local control -- more local control -- for the city of Portland and potentially other cities to be able to set our own speed limits. We have close to 4000 miles of streets and roads. We have how many intersections?

Greg Raisman: 22,000.

Adams: 22,000 intersections. 22,000 have failed for three of the four sessions to get any traction on that, although I found that the Oregon department of transportation understood what we were after. And so, on the fourth session, we struck, I think, a good compromise, a compromise that has the opportunity to save lives and reduce injuries. As this council has funded and approved my policy direction of safety as the number 1 priority for the transportation system, above all else we try to reduce deaths and injuries. The compromise is what you have in front of you that allowed us to reduce to 20 miles per hour on particular kinds of streets, on what are supposed to be quiet neighborhood streets, the basic speed from 25 to 20. Who's going to talk first? Go ahead.

Greg Raisman: My name is greg raisman. I work for the portland bureau of transportation. We want to thank you for your continued leadership and for really creating a national model for creating really family-friendly, safe residential networks based on international best practices. Our neighborhood greenway system really is developing a network that is serving Portland. We have quickly expanded our network from only being within a half mile of about 29% of homes just two years ago to today being in a half mile of 65% of homes in our city. We're on track to have 85% of homes served by 2015. The last legislative session was a real milestone where we were able to follow international best practices, bringing these streets to 20 miles per hour. We're designing these streets to 20 miles per hour so, when families or seniors or others are walking or riding a bicycle or just living along them, they will experience a very calm, quiet, pleasant street. Our map is the initial round of 20 mile an hour streets. Its about 70 miles of streets that will be designated as 20 miles an hour. As time goes on and we continue to develop our network, we will come back to city council to seek approval as required under state law to turn them to 20 mile an hour streets. We'll also be back soon with an additional set of streets in northwest portland. Our engineers required a little bit more data to make sure we were in compliance with state law. So you'll see an update. A little box says data analysis is in progress. We will bring back some more streets relatively soon for northwest Portland. Thank you again for your continued leadership on this

August 22, 2012

really important project. It is really setting a national trend, and other cities are looking at Portland all the time. Seattle is about to build a neighborhood greenway system based on Portland's work. So thank you.

Adams: Initial questions?

Saltzman: I think -- mark were you going to say something?

Mark Lear: One quick thing. Mark Lear, portland bureau of transportation. I haven't done work on pedestrian and bike, traffic safety issues for over a decade. One of the things I was happiest about city council and our government relations group is this effort and success we had in the legislature - - the votes were 71 to 14 combined in favor of this effort. Was really a great partnership between not just normally the city and the Oregon cities association or counties. But the Oregon trucking association and the aaa played major leadership roles in talking about this and why it was important. I think that's really the model for a lot of the work that we need to do in cities and around the country that's probably the thing people have asked us the most is how did you do that? I think it's the trust that's developing between those groups and City council has been a big part of that, so thank you.

Adams: Both of you and your teams the folks you work with have been fantastic with inadequate resources to continue to integrate around perception and reality of safety. We have two types of -- by the time I leave, it will be official if council approves it, but we have two types of, for example, bikeways. We have greenways which are bike, pedestrian, and environmental connections, and we also have our civic ways, which are the busier streets that can be separated but maybe aren't. But they're the more mixed use of the quarters. You both are just amazing innovators.

Saltzman: I just wanted to clarify, and correct me if i'm wrong. These are mainly streets that are -- I guess i'd call them local neighborhood collectors.

*******:** Right.

Saltzman: They're not arterials.

*******:** No.

Saltzman: Not hawthorne boulevard, but it's harrison street.

Raisman: Correct. State law requires that these be residential streets and also had other requirements. They have to be fewer than 2000 cars each day. They have to have an existing speed under 30 miles per hour, and they have to be marked for the presence of pedestrians and bicycle traffic. In addition, we have to have council taking action to authorize the installation of regulatory speed 20 miles per hour signs.

Saltzman: You mean signage or marking on the pavement itself?

Raisman: On the pavement. We use what we call the sharo marking that is what really designates our neighborhood greenway and under state law helps us comply with it.

Adams: Anybody signed up to testify?

Moore: We do not have a sign-up sheet.

Adams: Please call the vote on 901.

Saltzman: Well, this is a great program. Thanks, mayor Adams, for getting this through the legislature. That's a very impressive coalition you just read off mark. It's not every day that you get aaa and the Oregon trucking association on the same side of an issue the city is on. This is great work, and i'll be pleased to see the fruition of it in calmer, safer streets. Aye.

Leonard: This is a great achievement, sam, and I appreciate all the work that's gone into it by you guys. Thank you. Aye.

Fritz: It is ironic that we're not allowed to lower the speed limit on streets that have too much speeding particularly when a pedestrian struck at 20 miles an hour has a 95% of survival. Whereas pedestrians struck at 30 miles an hour has only 60% chance. I would remind us all that studies have shown that most speeding on local streets is by local residents rushing to get home or get to the store. It's a reminder to al of us that speed limits are there for a purpose, and the purpose is safety

August 22, 2012

for everybody. Congratulations, mayor Adams. Another thing to check off on your "to do" list. Mark Lear and Greg Raisman absolutely terrific staff. I recently had the opportunity to attend a couple of neighborhood greenway meetings in my neighborhood. Was so impressed at the responsiveness to input the openness and just the general process of discussing how do we share our streets and what do we want to have happen there. So thank you so much for your work. Finally, a reminder to everybody that we have our second legislative priority setting session on September 26th which the mayor and I have established over the course of the last four years. So if citizens have, this was something that was raised by citizens as well, another body of folks lobbying the legislature, lobbying their particular representatives to pass this. If there are other issues what folks in the community want to have changed, come to our session on September 26th or look at the neighborhood involvement website. Thank you very much. Aye.

Fish: Aye.

Adams: Big thanks as well to Catherine Ciarlo and to Katja Dillman, the great team in the mayor office. Aye. Speeds are getting lower. And we are in recess.

At 11:50 a.m., Council recessed.

August 22, 2012
Closed Caption File of Portland City Council Meeting

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised City Council broadcast and should not be considered a verbatim transcript.

Key: ***** means unidentified speaker.

AUGUST 22, 2012 2:00 PM

Adams: City council will come to order, coming back from recess. Karla, how was your lunch.

Moore: Good, thank you.

Adams: Did you go to the farmers' market?

Moore: I didn't.

Adams: I heard a rumor all the proper organic signs that should be up are now up.

Moore: We'll have to ask commissioner Saltzman about that.

Adams: Yes. Please call the roll. [roll call] let the record show commissioner Saltzman has joined us. Can you please read the resolution item number 930.

Item 930.

Adams: Thank you. Veterans memorial coliseum is in terrible shape. Uncertainty about the future of the vmc has led to years of disinvestment and deterioration of the building systems. Community clearly stated a desire to retain the building and local architects were successful in listing the building on the national register. This council unanimously decided to save the veterans memorial coliseum from demolition. Given the scope of the building's needs, private investment, and facility is absolutely critical for the Project to succeed. Without it, veterans memorial coliseum would most likely have to be shuttered indefinitely. The office of management and finance and the Portland development commission, along with private parties, have been working overtime to complete this deal. However, as a condition of providing \$10 million for the renovation, the Portland winterhawks has requested the right to play in the veterans memorial coliseum for 20 years. Without it, this deal falls apart and liability for the veterans memorial coliseum will be on the shoulders of the city's general fund through the spectators' fund. This proposal enables the city of Portland to find -- to finalize the \$31.5 million redevelopment deal to save and bring up to basic standards veterans memorial coliseum. It is an agreement between the Portland development commission that will invest \$17.1 million in tax increment resources, the Portland winterhawks that would invest \$10 million in private resources, the office of management and finance on a loan from pdc to the office of management and finance, \$4.4 million, and pam, Portland arena management, which is providing the project and construction management costs at no charge. By accepting this deal we are moving the ball forward, not only on the development of the -- not only on the development agreement, but by ensuring that the rose quarter will be redeveloped by granting Portland development commission the development right previously held by Portland arena management and ultimately transferring the land owned by the city to the district. This will give the city the certainty, it will give the Portland development commission the financial back stop and the rose quarter will be developed in the near future, while -- I should also add that the rose quarter and the north-northeast quadrant plan that will guide that redevelopment come to city council in the next 45 days. So with that i'd like to please welcome the chief administrative officer for the city of Portland, jack graham, and the chair of the Portland development commission, scott andrews, and amy -- betsy ames, who has a brand-new title, and I can't remember it.

Betsy Ames: Senior policy analyst office of management and finance.

Adams: Senior policy analyst. And kevin brake, who is the project manager for the veterans memorial coliseum and the rose quarter, on behalf of pdc. Mr. Chief administrator.

August 22, 2012

Jack Graham, Chief Administrative Officer: Thank you, mayor. Good afternoon mayor and commissioners, jack graham, chief administrative officer. I'm here today to seek your approval to negotiate an operating agreement with Portland development commission, pdc, regarding the management of the vmc, veterans memorial coliseum. I'm also seeking your approval of an agreement that would formalize pdc's lead role in redevelopment of the rose quarter. The operating agreement that we are requesting your approval today to negotiate would be activated in the event that Portland arena management, pam, does not choose to exercise their option to manage the vmc in 2023. As you know, the city, pdc, and pam, along with the winterhawks, have been negotiating a redevelopment agreement regarding the renovation of the vmc. Pam operates the vmc under an operating agreement with the city, and with the approval and finalization of this redevelopment agreement, it would be extended for another five or 10 years. We've made good progress in resolving all the various deal points as it relates to the redevelopment agreement. All the parties I believe are working hard to ensure the redevelopment goals are met, while at the same time ensuring that the risk to the city does not increase. However, there's a couple issues that has not been resolved. One of which is a very critical issue, it relates to the winterhawks. As a condition of providing the \$10 million for the renovation project, they're requesting the rights to play within the vmc for 20 years under a licensing agreement. Due to the fact that we cannot be certain that pam will actually renew the operating agreement that is currently in place beyond 2018, and the fact the ground lease that pam currently has is scheduled to expire in 2025, I do not feel it would be prudent for us to agree to a 20 yr license With the hawks since it would increase the risk to the spectators' fund and thereby increase the risk to the general fund. In order to assure the winterhawks that they will be able to play in the vmc for the next 20 years, pdc has agreed to assume the responsibility for operation of the vmc in the event that pam does not elect to operate the vmc beyond 2023. To accommodate this request from the hawks for this 20-year license, the city and pdc will need to negotiate an operating agreement for pdc to operate the vmc for the second 10 years of the 20-year license. The operating agreement to be negotiated between pdc and the city will be based substantially on the existing agreement we have with pam. Along with the negotiated term sheet I believe you have in front of you today. The operating agreement will also incorporate any language that the city council will require to go into that agreement. In addition to the operating agreement, omf seeks council approval to develop a separate agreement with pdc regarding the redevelopment of the rose quarters. Omf recognized pdc is the city's lead and development within the city. We believe that it is appropriate to formalize pdc's role as lead in the redevelopment of the rose quarter. With council approval, we'll development an agreement with pdc based upon the development Rights that were previously held by pam. Once this agreement is developed, we will present it to council for your approval. I want council to know it is my belief that by finalizing these two agreements, it will further facilitate the redevelopment of the vmc and also the rose quarter. That ends my first brief round of comments. I'm going to turn it over to chair scott from pdc to make some additional comments regarding these two proposed agreements.

Scott Andrews, Commission Chair, Portland Development Commission: Thank you. Good afternoon. I'm just going to make a couple much quick comments. We're going to turn it over to staff to give you an overview of the deal points that you're approving today. I would remind you that what you're approving is not the final agreement, that the final agreement will have to come back to you for approval this just basically sets forth the deal parameters, the financial parameters that will go into a revised operating agreement that's being drafted today. As previously stated, the terms of this conditional agreement provide both certainty to the Portland winterhawks that they would have the ability to play for the next 20 years, and it also caps the risk to the city with regard to general fund in terms of maintaining the building over the next 10-20 years. I've invested over two years and literally hundreds of hours in this project for two reasons -- I truly believe that we have not seen development in the rose quarter and will not see development in the rose quarter until

August 22, 2012

the big question mark is taken off the side of veterans memorial coliseum. And that question mark can't be just repairing it. If we don't actually increase its acceptability to new tenants and events, we are not going to intent the development that we all want to see, and we know that there are people who are interested and they're waiting in the wings to see that we do this job correctly. And the second, again, was that the risk to the spectator fund, we recognize that the building is 50 years old and much of I would say all of the systems are on the edge of failing. I'm really comfortable with this deal that you see in front of you. I think that it's a classic business relationship where omf has transferred some of the risk of the operation to pdc in return for pdc getting all of the upside. I think that this is going to work fine. I have convinced my board of that, they passed a similar resolution to this a week ago. But i'm not here because I want to make a lot of money off operating the veterans memorial coliseum. I am here because this was the only path that we could see to get this job done. I don't expect pdc to actually operate it. I expect that pdc will hire national, international organizations with the expertise and the contacts to bring in the events to make this happen. What we will be, though, is responsible for it. So we would be overseeing it, and we would be responsible for the financial terms that you see, but we would have an agreement with a third party to actually operate it if pam decided that they wouldn't exercise their option. We expect to fund operation obviously without tif. Operations are not tif eligible, it wouldn't be legal. We'd expect revenues from operating the veterans memorial coliseum to be the first back stop, but the value of the development rights would create an additional cushion in case things didn't go correctly or the way we would like them to go. I've heard a couple of concerns that I want to address before we turn it over to you guys for questions. But I think this would be a good time for me to turn it over and have staff give you the overview.

Adams: Thank you. Hi.

Betsy Ames: Betsy ames, with office of management and finance. The resolution before you today authorizes the cao to negotiate the operating agreement with pdc as described. It directs the pdc executive director to provide city council with some additional information about the funding sources and legality of their operation of the facility. And it authorizes the cao to work with pdc on the separate agreement formalizing pdc's lead role in redevelopment of the Rose quarter. The slide in front of you now shows both the proposed operating agreement with the Portland arena management, it's proposed and current, on the left, the future operating agreement with pdc to show some of the differences. Some of the key provisions and key differences. The Portland arena management currently operates the vmc, and they're an operating agreement with the city. We are amending that operating agreement with the redevelopment agreement. We also are developing a revenue sharing agreement with Portland winterhawks to share some of the up side with them in terms of revenues from their games, other events at the vmc, and any profits that are made there. Currently in the proposed amendment and in the existing agreement, the city and pam are both agreeing to \$250,000 a year of investments into the building for capital improvement and repair and maintenance. Under the proposed agreement with pdc, this will continue the city's contribution will be increased to \$375,000, at that point, and pdc will assume the \$250,000 a year obligation from pam. Currently pam covers all operating losses. They're able to do this as part of a campus agreement because they're operating the rose garden at the same time. We have negotiated with pdc that the city would cover from the spectator facilities fund up to \$375,000 a year in operating losses. Pdc would be responsible for any operating losses above that amount. This limits the city's liability for operations. The revenue sharing agreement on the left-hand side, when there are profits at the building, operating profits, the current agreement provides 40% to the operator, in this case pam, provides 40% to the city for general purposes, and 20% goes into capital improvements account. The revenue sharing agreement is splitting the cities general purposes so that 20% of those profits come to the city, 20% will go to the winterhawks'. Under the future operating agreement with pdc the winterhawk's share would go to pdc and pdc as the operator would get the 40% that is currently

August 22, 2012

going to pam. There are a couple of additional changes that we've noted on the right-hand side. There are some potential changes in the revenues that the city might gain from reducing the number of events in the building if pdc found only hosting winterhawks events would make the most sense and I understand there will be some conversation about that.

Kevin Brake, Senior Project Manager, Portland Development Commission: I'm Kevin brake the senior project manager with the Portland development commission. Some of the terms negotiated within the term sheet are really with an eye towards risk mitigation for both the city and pdc. The slide in front of you represents some of the higher level risk mitigation tactics that are being considered. We're looking at ways for pdc to reduce potential losses by an ability to terminate the agreement if the winterhawks ceased to use the building. An ability to operate the building for only winterhawks games and select community events if that seems to be the most economically viable option. It would -- pdc would also assume of the revenue sharing agreement after 2023. So that's an ability to really get back some of the up side that has been negotiated as part of the redevelopment agreement moving forward. Part of the city's risk mitigation is the cap of \$375,000 to -- towards potential annual losses. Above that, pdc covers any losses above \$375,000. Moving on, the redevelopment agreement is an agreement that is going to be coming to you in early fall. We call it the rda. The rda is a complex document with up to 13 related agreements that feed into that. So it's been a very complex negotiation in that if something changes, it really creates a lot of follow effects in other related agreements. This by all accounts, this operating agreement is truly one of the last issues to be resolved related to the redevelopment agreement. Some of the terms of that have been mentioned, but it's worth mentioning again the Portland arena management is assuming the role of construction project manager at no cost to the project, which is actually a very significant contribution both monetarily and otherwise. The Portland winterhawks investment has already been noted at \$10 million, pdc's investment at \$17.1 million, it's a grant from pdc to the city, and then the city is also taking on, omf is taking on a \$4.4 million loan supported by the spectators facility fund. Currently work is underway that is precursor to the redevelopment agreement that is work on the ice floor and some other prep work for the full closure for the 2012 season.

Leonard: So the three entities that will invest pdc, winterhawks, city, pdc 17.1 million, the winterhawks, 10 million, city 4.4 million, so that's a \$31.5 million amount that will be used to do renovation work. But we discussed what it would actually cost to do the work that probably should be done and needs to be done, and was that cost not over \$50 million?

Ames: Yes.

Leonard: So we're still shy some 20 plus million dollars to do what actually should and needs to be done to bring the building up to the kind of what I would call impact that the jeld-wen stadium underwent when it was renovated for the portland timbers.

Andrews: Let me respond a little bit to this. The \$50 million plus was a consultant's report that kind of looked at maybe a perfect world situation, if you really wanted to make it the best that it possibly could be. What we have learned by going through this process and with pam and the winterhawks at the table, which are the operator and the user, is that within this \$31.5 million project, about \$24 million is repair and maintenance, and there's about \$7 million worth of what I call fan enhancements and upgrades. We all worry we're on the edge of a project that isn't going to be as good as we want to, but we're -- we think we can get there. There is no question, if we had another two or \$3 million, we would -- the things we would be adding back would be the things that you would really notice and would probably do more to bring more revenue to the table. But we have been honest from the beginning that we were going to live within the means that we had available to us. And actually this 31.5 million includes kind of an innovative loan that pdc is making to the spectator fund that doesn't put any pressure on the spectator fund for the time period

August 22, 2012

that it is under strain. So I think through this process, commissioner, i'm -- I think we're going to get a building that we're going to be proud of. Could it be better? Certainly.

Leonard: What would be some of the things we could buy for another \$2-3 million?

Andrews: One that I talk about, there's a curtain system that could go into it, so you could turn what will be about an 8800-seat facility into a 4,444-foot facility, and that's important because there are a bunch of events that it's a much better venue for, and you don't want a venue that looks half empty. It works better. My favorite, quite frankly, is very -- most people don't know that the structure of memorial coliseum is wood. It's glue lams. And they were painted silver metal color sometime way -- so far back that nobody even remembers that they're made out of wood. And I have this fantasy, Frankly, of spending the \$800,000 necessary to strip all those glue lams and imagine walking into the memorial coliseum at night from the outside, and seeing this incredible bowl structure held up by these beautiful wood beams. There's other things interior wise that would be just make the building much, much more efficient in terms of operations, in terms of food service, those sorts of things. I could bring someone else up to give you more detail, but those --

Leonard: The only reason I ask, I do think that those kinds of things could translate into more revenue as the fan experience becomes more positive. For want of a better term, we're stuck with it, so we need to make the best of it, and to the extent we could incrementally improve the experience by investing more dollars maybe future councils, if we have a list of what we could buy, could look at that list and as the economy improves and as we possibly realize extra one-time revenues, I think two things you identified are going to make a lot of sense. And I think we should have a -- we should not have people think for \$31.5 million we've renovated the memorial coliseum. We've done the kinds of things to make it habitable and capable of being used for the purpose of the winterhawks. But that for these extra amounts we have a sheet that readily available to show future councils, for this much money we can do this -- your idea about concerts, for example, makes perfect sense. We would end up getting more concerts as a result of that, and paid that kind of investment back as a result of doing that kind of work.

Graham: Commissioner, one of the things I wanted to mention is that what we are doing is we're getting past this project and in the process, we are actually looking at that list of items that would be one that would enhance, one that would be preventive in nature to actually keep the stability of the facility in place and look forward. So we are looking at that and we're going to use the report starting to help prioritize those things. But the chair has stated some of the things that need to be done, but that is what we're working toward and we'll have that list.

Fish: In my briefing was onf and pdc we've talked a lot about the risk assessment. Scott, you mentioned the legal opinion on whether pdc can assume some of these responsibilities, and you also mentioned the financial analysis. It was my hope that we would have that before we took this up today. It's referenced in the resolution. And I think that's -- that's an important piece for us to make a judgment about risk. Where do we stand with both of those documents, and when would you anticipate getting them to us?

Andrews: I actually think there's three that you should find really important. One is the legal opinion that we hired an outside council to make sure that pdc can legally operate the veterans memorial coliseum. I have been informed that we should get that letter within the next week, and that we can legally, but as you can imagine, being a government entity, there's -- there are certain things we have to do to be able to do that. So the letter not only is going to tell us that we can, but it's going to tell us how we can. How we structure things so that we make sure that we don't get in the way of the law. The second piece was a letter that talks about the resources. Frankly, we thought we'd deliver that at the same time, but basically it reiterates what we have said today, which is nontif resources, revenues from the memorial coliseum first back stopped by the value of the development rights, and there are other -- within our budget there are other non-tif resources people would be able to look out to in the future. The last piece, which I also promised my commission we

August 22, 2012

would have, is a financial analysis. We have a 10-year -- 10 years worth of financials as to what's happened in the past. We have winterhawks' projections. We don't have anybody with the financial expertise to turn that into a 10-year projection. So we have -- we'll have a consultant who's already familiar with the financials if the building and very familiar with the building itself that is going to turn that into a report for you and for my commission that will show what kind of revenues it could generate, what -- how bad it might get if it gets bad, and finally at the baseline, what would it cost if we had to mothball it or run it just for the winterhawks.

Fish: Ok. Those are documents worth -- the council will want to review with you in some detail. One of the challenges, we're looking out 10 years and we're going to try to make some assumptions about what happens. Does pam stay in the game? Are the winterhawks still operating? Have the investments we've made in memorial coliseum given us the opportunity to as commissioner Leonard suggest to use it for a broader array of purposes which in turn generate revenue? One way of looking at though is to look at pam's track record in turning a profit over the past few years. My understanding is, they haven't, so if that's true, why do we believe that pdc operation can ultimately produce more revenue?

Andrews: Well, i've learned a lot about the veterans memorial coliseum in the last couple years. I think there's several reasons. The most basic is, in the last fiscal year veterans memorial coliseum lost about \$165,000. It is in the worst condition it's been in in 50 years. And we're in a very poor economic environment. If I didn't think that we could invest \$31 million worth of the public's money in the building, and couldn't make it generate, you know, break even or generate a profit to begin with, I wouldn't sit in front of you and say, let's do that. Well, \$21 million worth of public and \$21 million worth of private money. But if pam doesn't operate it, the operator will be able to compete with the rose garden for events, which it doesn't do at this point directly. The winterhawks have agreed that if we asked they would play all of their games in the veterans memorial coliseum, they currently play about 10 a year in the memorial coliseum -- in the rose garden, and they play most of their playoff games there as well. And i'm not even suggesting that whoever did it in 10 years would require that. That might not be the best thing to do. But at least you have that ability if you need to from a financial basis. So I have actually very quietly checked with folks who are in this business and familiar with vmc and they were very encouraging that under those kind of scenarios a truly renovated, not just repaired veterans memorial coliseum, that the building will do fine.

Adams: Just to add to that, is the experience of pam, when it was being run by the receiver, by ta craft directly, there are a number of competing national promoters, so the opportunity for real competition, I would be surprised if in 10 years whoever owns pam is going to want a competitor right next door, but if they do, i'm convinced that for the reasons that the chair said, that pdc will be able to make a go of it. On top of that is giving them the development rights, redevelopment rights around the district, which allows them to be entrepreneurial. And that is a mission to some of you in our one-on-one briefings, the city of new york, their equivalent of the Portland development commission is very much sort of in the redevelopment entrepreneurial business, and it is how they sustain them -- part of how they sustain themselves as a redevelopment agency.

Fish: Thank you mayor.

Andrews: One tiny piece for that too, there's also -- there's expected -- we don't have it pinned down, huge savings in energy costs. You're talking about 50-year-old systems, and you can imagine the efficiency of new systems and control systems, and we're talking more than \$100,000 a year, well more.

Fish: Two other questions if I could. I really appreciate the time you've spent explaining this stuff to me, because i'm trying to get to a point of comfort. There is implicit in this handoff from the city to pdc, there's the notion that we're somehow insulating the general fund from exposure, year 10 going forward. And I guess that's right, as long as pdc has the resources, which you have alluded to

August 22, 2012

being the developer of the area, can potentially monetize the development rights. And we obviously look to the spectator facilities fund as a back stop. But could you talk a little bit about the contingent risk to the general fund even with pdc in the lead, and could you tell us what are some of the circumstances that could happen to the spectator facility's fund, that could put us at greater risk since, you know, we depend on having the blazers and we depend on having mls and other things, and parking to fund that, what are those risk factors, if you could identify them for us?

Andrews: I'll let Jack discuss the spectator fund piece and maybe I'll try to answer the second piece.

Graham: Well, the risk to the spectator fund would be basically if for some reason pdc in the agreement was not able to perform, and the facility was to close down, then there would be impact from that standpoint. A lot of it is contingent upon the performance of pdc when they take over in the 10 years. The risk were substantially great if we had went to a 20-year license on this agreement with winterhawks, and that's one of the reasons why pdc stepped in. So the risk that would flow to the spectators fund would be contingent upon the performance of pdc and their ability to generate the revenue and the -- and cover losses, etc.

Adams: There's also, if I could for the general fund, There's also a risk to the general fund of not moving forward with the proposal that we've brought to the table.

Graham: Absolutely Mayor. The risk is first to the spectators fund once the spectators fund is in jeopardy, it is back stopped by the general fund. So there has --

Adams: Excuse me. But the risk is we have this building now with major maintenance backlogs, bringing 30 some million dollars to the table from outside funding, so for 4.4 million dollar loan, so the 4.4 million dollars to -- that's being paid for by the spectators fund, we're leveraging 30 some million dollars in other funding. So we could close it down now, we could tear it down, all of those have risks to the general fund as well. I know that wasn't quite your question but I wanted to ask that version as well.

Andrews: I was just going to say, this agreement doesn't actually change the risk to the city to operate vmc in 10 years. The economy will be what it is, the team will be there or it won't. The only factor that this does and why it makes omf comfortable is it shifts some of the risk over to pdc in terms of losses. And also shifts the reward that goes along with it at the same time. So to the extent that -- pdc would not be using city general funds to do this. It would be using pdc funds. So the -- if there were losses beyond the 375, that would be savings to the spectator fund or the general fund.

Fish: In fairness we are increasingly funneling general fund dollars to pdc to cover citywide economic development. So if that sense, those dollars could be at risk.

Andrews: Well, I don't think so. I don't think that we're -- we have never redirected -- general fund dollars from the city always come with a directive as to where they're spent.

Fish: The last question has to do with the community uses to memorial coliseum, separate and apart from hockey. So I went to my daughter's graduation there, there's sports -- sporting events, youth dance, and all kinds of things --

Leonard: It's an architectural marvel, don't forget that.

Fish: He finally wore him down and he now sees it the way the majority of the council sees it. The question is, in the first 10 years and the second 10 years, how will these agreements impact community uses?

Andrews: I've heard the concern also from commissioner Fritz, and we've discussed it. Frankly, it was stated that way just again to be a risk mitigation factor. In terms of what operating losses could be. But we recognize the events are important both to the community as well as members to the council. And we don't have a problem with amending it to put whatever ones that you think are important back into the agreement. So we can move on there. In addition, there was also a concern

August 22, 2012

about the naming rights for the building itself. And frankly, we never thought the naming of veterans memorial coliseum wouldn't happen without the complete support of city council in getting through a public process. So we're willing with regard to the rights to the building itself, we're willing to take that out of the agreement.

Fish: Thanks.

Fritz: Two of my requests, commissioner Fish, thank you for bringing them up. I would like to see when the agreement comes back to us, specifications that -- for educational, graduation, and the rose festival in particular, that those events would continue to happen without additional charges. And the naming rights, the council has voted that the name of the veterans memorial coliseum is the veterans memorial coliseum, and I would hate to have it be any kind of commercial name in addition to that. So i'd like to see that coming back. I'm also wondering if we could amend it to allow, to put a clause in the agreement that allows the council to renegotiate the development agreement with enough time. Knowing that future councils -- we've got 10 years before the development agreement part kicks in, and a future council may wish to make some amendments to this agreement.

Andrews: I think the development agreement would go in effect immediately. The blazers development rights expired last year, so there are no competing rights for it. We would have the capability of getting them and moving forward immediately.

Fritz: What's the value of the development rights?

Andrews: It's hard to say. I think -- they don't put an assessed value on top of publicly owned land, but i've looked at it and I would guess that the value of the underlying land is \$10-20 million. The development rights themselves, it would depend on what was developed there, and to the extent, but it would be worth far more than what we're talking about with regard to potential losses.

Fritz: Part of -- so two pieces to the resolution today. The Portland development commission becoming a sports facility operator in 10 years as Portland arena management decides not to renew its contract, and then the piece about the Portland development commission taking the lead role in the development of the rose quarter now. I'm wondering, this seems to be a really opportune time to be thinking about how is the Portland development commission going to operate and be funded in the future, and this is a potential way for moving past tax increment financing. Is that part of what you're thinking also?

Andrews: You've seen the presentation in terms of future revenues from tif and our thoughts with regard to doing things as best practices have showed us in other cities, and I think one of the things we came to the conclusion, we needed to think more entrepreneurially. We needed to think instead of just selling things, keeping an interest in them, charging for our services in cases, so yes, and honestly, when I first thought about us stepping into this role as an operator, I thought it would be kind of an interesting test for us to see how both my staff and my board and city council took a look at it. Because I think it is a path that we're going to really have to look at in the future. To keep a healthy economic development agency alive in the city of Portland.

Fritz: So knowing that the spectator fund is already very stressed because of the amount of funding that went into it -- from it into jeld-wen field, what's -- why are we putting the \$375,000 potential city money annually to back stop the Portland development commission being able to manage it at a profit?

Graham: One of the reasons we're doing it here, when you look at the total package together, it is going to help facilitate the redevelopment of the vmc. We actually, and correct me if i'm wrong on this, betsy, we currently put up \$250,000 I think for losses under the agreement with pam, cover --

Ames: No. We're not covering any loses with pam.

Graham: Ok. However, under this current agreement that we have, we're proposing here today, there is an ability for us to leverage once again the investment with the winterhawks. I think this

August 22, 2012

whole agreement with pdc looking forward is going to reduce the risk on the general fund accurately in terms of the fact that we would not be able to go out and to actually find a vendor to actually, or operator to operate this facility that could have a drag on the spectators fund anywhere from \$1.5-2 million. The agreement with pdc is a mitigating point, so when you look at the potential of 1.5 or \$2 million of getting another operator, \$375,000 and potential losses right now if pdc is effective and can bring in the events that they would have, we shouldn't see those types -- those types of losses, so we are going on pdc's analysis that they have looked at it as the chair has said, they've looked at different -- like a national organizations who have given advice on their ability to actually run this type of facility, or getting someone to come in and do it. So there are risks involved, but when you look at the risks of not doing anything at all, I think those are much greater than going with an agreement with pdc. And also the fact that we are -- I think that we're at a critical path in our negotiations, and the potential for this whole deal falling apart I think is very great.

Fritz: Thank you. I would like to see the final agreement similar to the one in jeld-wen field so that we roll over future profits to cover the losses from previous years the same way we do at jeld-wen. That would seem to be reasonable. At this point it seems like the losses part is along the city, But whether we make it up, the benefits don't come back. My final question is, what available funds does the Portland development commission have to cover any operating losses over the \$375,000?

Andrews: Well, as I've said we have a formal letter stating that, but first from operating second from value of development rights, third from other sources of revenue, pdc has been around for 50 years, there are lots of places -- lots of non tif sources that are not huge, but this would give us the time to step back, see how it's operating, make sure that we have the resources available in advance. We have a minimum of five years to figure that out.

Fritz: And that will be spelled out before the final agreement comes back to us?

Andrews: Yes. We'll have a letter for you from -- stating that.

Adams: We've said it before, you're adding on to the answer, but just to connect the dots, at a minimum you have the value of the land of the rose quarter. Even if there wasn't a speck of additional development on it, there's still the value of the land. And you're highly incented to use that land, and as entrepreneurial way consistent with the forthcoming district plans that will be coming to city council. So there's incentive on all sides for this to succeed, which frankly up to this point the rose quarter hasn't had it, in addition to the big question mark of whether memorial coliseum should be -- is going to be in the district or out of the district. In terms of parking revenues, those garages, we can add to those garages and as is envisioned on this, that revenue continues to flow through the spectator's fund. Correct?

Graham: Absolutely.

Adams: There's an incentive on both sides to really make this work in an active way. And it's entrepreneurial.

Fritz: I'm sorry, could there be a clause saying that when the second part would kick in after 10 years that the council would have -- review it again?

Andrews: Well -- I don't think it works. We have been able to work out a deal with jack that provides with omf that provides the city with some guarantees in terms of the risk and up side for pdc. I have to be careful that I don't set it up so that the only case that pdc takes it over is it's a loser. So what we're doing is, this is not an option on my part. If pam -- on pdc's part. If pam doesn't exercise their option, we will become the operator under these terms. And if there's an opportunity for somebody else to take a look at it and say, in 10 years and say, whoa, this is doing well, like mr. Andrews said it was going to do, that's why we're willing to take on the risk, that we won't go forward with it. That doesn't work. That means we take on all the risk and don't have any up side.

Graham: I tried to get him to do that but he wouldn't. [laughter]

August 22, 2012

Adams: Commissioner Saltzman?

Saltzman: Yeah. Jack, you were just saying pdc has done some studies about the types of uses of the coliseum and types of operators, and -- .

Graham: I was referring to the statement that the chair made here. I haven't made --

Andrews: we haven't done any formal -- I did a little self surveying.

Saltzman: [inaudible] is there a reason why we --

Graham: I may have misspoke on that -- I was referring to the comments that he -- they have done some talking and I guess some checking around to see what other facilities were.

Andrews: I just picked up the phone and talked to some principles and said, you know, if you can give me some advice i'd appreciate it, if you can't, I understand, asked some basic questions about policy and operations, and event planning, and was given some pretty positive feedback about people in the business in terms of the ability for it to be successful.

Saltzman: So the legal memo about nontax increment sources being used to cover a future operational loss, do those nontax increment sources have to only come from the Oregon convention center urban renewal area? Or could those come from -- anyone within the realm --

Andrews: They could come from anywhere. Once they're not tif, they are not bound by a district.

Saltzman: We don't need a legal opinion for that answer.

Andrews: I don't think so.

Saltzman: So the legal thing revolves around -- whether pdc has the legal authority to --

Andrews: Correct. Whether we could legally, under our charter, operate that facility.

Fish: You sought an outside independent opinion on that.

Andrews: Yes.

Saltzman: So when you -- .

Andrews: Eric is here.

Saltzman: I'll accept you need a legal opinion.

Andrews: There's an outside independent party. What's the name of the firm? The oreo firm.

Saltzman: There's a lot of talk about the value of development rights. I want to make clear, we're talking about the development rights associated with real property other than the coliseum property itself. Is that correct?

Andrews: That's correct.

Saltzman: The real property of the coliseum remains a city --

Andrews: That's correct.

Saltzman: You start out talking about how you have the sense of optimism that there is some demand for development rights there, and I guess we've watched other efforts fall short in that regard and I know going back to vera katz's days, the rose quarter has been a tough nut to crack in terms of redevelopment. We've seen Cucina cucina as a testament to things that fail that maybe shouldn't. On paper it looks a restaurant right outside the rose garden, that should be a big success. But it wasn't.

Andrews: Not enough --

Saltzman: I'm just asking you personally, what is your -- where is your sense of optimism coming from?

Andrews: There are several reasons for optimism. One, again, there were years where there was a question mark as to what's going to happen with memorial coliseum kept happening. We looked at it for a baseball stadium, it's been looked at for different things. Nobody is going to get their head around an office development or retail development or, what am I going to put here if I don't know is going to be in the center of this district? I think that really helps. We are down to two or three sites of any real magnitude in close in downtown to build on, post office, conway and the rose quarter. And if we get a convention center hotel going, which we're working hard on, the district

August 22, 2012

becomes even more exciting. So I think the economy, pdc's ability to move forward, the planning effort that's taken place, and the renovation will tee us up to get something done there.

Saltzman: You mentioned --

Adams: If I could add, having worked on this plot of ground for a long time, a previous city council passed a district plan that had one plan with and one plan without the rose quarter, the veterans memorial coliseum in the rose quarter, and they were significantly different plans. With significantly different sort of risk and -- different value propositions. So that uncertainty, I want to underscore, that uncertainty was really significant. The other problem, you know, looking back, having been part of it, which i'm trying to correct now is, it is not a core responsibility or core expertise of omf for redevelopment. It also is not a core expertise of the blazers. So I think the proposal for city council to put this, the responsibility in an entrepreneurial way on pdc is a vast improvement over what we've done in the past.

Saltzman: You mentioned some figure development rights worth potentially millions and millions, so how do you -- with those resources, do you sell the development rights, are those nontif resources that could directly be applied toward operating costs?

Andrews: Yes.

Adams: Because it -- even in the most hard-nosed case, this land was not -- this land is general fund land being turned over to pdc.

Saltzman: I just noticed in the slide betsy was showing, there was talk about the winterhawks profit sharing, and I couldn't quite follow. I didn't see the winterhawks listed on that right hand column of the new graph. Where is their profit sharing? Possibility?

Ames: The revenue sharing agreement for the next 10 years has the winterhawks getting a certain portion of revenues at the Veterans memorial coliseum above a baseline that we have set. Following the first 10-year period, the hawks' share of that would go to pdc instead of to the winterhawks. So following 2023 the only revenues the winterhawks would be getting would be whatever they negotiate as part of their license agreement for playing in the veterans memorial coliseum, advertising and things like that.

Saltzman: Scott, you mentioned it might be prudent and the winterhawks would be willing to play all their games in the coliseum, that strikes me as something that would be prudent to ask right now.

Andrews: They have agreed. They have agreed --

Saltzman: To play all their games -- oh ok.

Andrews: Didn't make pam happy, but they wouldn't be operating it at the point anyway.

Saltzman: My last question, what is the form of the commitment of the winterhawks for \$10 million?

Graham: Right now the -- for the \$10 million, if we're able to get a 20-year -- actually 20 years, what they're saying for \$10 million we'll give -- they would get 20 years' rights to play in the vmc. And as I previously stated, for the city to take on that, that's too great of a risk, that's why we're here today with the agreement with pdc to actually step in for the second 10 years of that 20-year agreement. So they are leveraging their \$10 million for the 20 years, so they get out of it the 20 year along with the revenue sharing agreements with the city for the first 10 years, and then they would have to negotiate any revenue agreements with pdc after that.

Saltzman: Their share of the \$10 million pay over 20 years?

Graham: No.

Andrews: No --

Saltzman: When -- when do we get -- .

Andrews: The plan on the table now is they would pay \$2.5 million upon signing the redevelopment agreement, when we got to 25% construction, they would put up another \$2.5 million, when they got to 50% they'd put up another \$2.5 million. So they'd always be well ahead

August 22, 2012

of the draws. The form is actually part of the redevelopment agreement. They would be signing the redevelopment agreement and the legal pledge as part of that.

Graham: The initial \$2.5 million comes in at the time of signing.

Saltzman: Ok. Thanks.

Adams: All right. Is there anyone here who wishes to testify?

Moore: No one's signed up.

Adams: Karla, unless there's discussion, questions, please call the vote.

Saltzman: I want to -- I appreciate the work of everybody here from mayor's office, pdc, omf, I think it's -- I take to heart what Jack Graham said, that we're at -- I'm sure probably Scott agrees, we're at a pivotal point with respect to the future of the coliseum, and indeed as has been shown by past efforts, the coliseum does hold sway for the future of development rights of -- in and around the Rose Quarter. While there is a risk here, and I would reserve my final right until I see all the details worked out and presented to us, but I do think as it stands right now this is a good sharing of the risk, though it's apportioning most of the risk to PDC beyond 2013, but I'm so confident in their leadership and their elected -- I should say their appointed board, that I -- this is in many ways as Chair Andrews said it's a good test for how entrepreneurial this economic development agency can prove itself to be. I think it will be a good test, and at this point I think it's a risk worth taking. So happy to bless further negotiations until we see all the hard details in front of us. Aye.

Leonard: Certainly there is a risk, but I think Scott Andrews said it well, it was a path towards not necessarily his words solvency for the coliseum. The risk of doing nothing ensures us of retaining the rights to a further degraded building to, I don't know how many of you have been there beyond that graduations, but the sporting events, but has been characterized by me that -- by those who know a lot more about hockey than I do, that it's an embarrassing facility to have hockey games at. And so that's what we're stuck with now. What Jack and Scott and the mayor have been working on is what are you going to do about the facility to not only replace worn-out infrastructure, to actually improve the aesthetics so the experience for the fans causes more fans to come, buy more tickets, causes other events to happen, sell more tickets, create more revenue. This is the first positive actually event I've seen occur with all due respect to my veterans organizations friends and certainly my architect friends since the decision was made not to redevelop that site into a baseball stadium. This is the only -- this -- the council can ask questions and should, and should delve into the details. There's no alternative, but to this path that the mayor set us on, and I think it's as good as it's going to possibly be with the only caveat that, and I was happy that Jack said you're keeping a list of items that will be -- could be invested in in the future, all of which I support, all of which I think makes sense because anything that improves the aesthetics of the coliseum I think causes more events to be there and more fans to buy tickets and thus more revenue to the city. So I appreciate the really tough work that's come up to this point, and that which remains before we sign the final deal. But thank you very much. Aye.

Fritz: Thank you, Chair Andrews and Director Graham and everyone for your good work on this. It has been a very long haul and I've appreciated the monthly updates from both of the principles and how the agreement is being structured. I've been very clear that I want a permanent home for the Winterhawks and I want the Veterans Memorial Coliseum to be upgraded and furnished in the respectful and beautiful manner that it should be, and it should be kept up. I'm very pleased to see this agreement which as the mayor said, does address the backlog of maintenance that had been required. And marks a new phase in the city's relationship with the Portland Development Commission as well as a new phase in the Portland Development Commission's work within the city of Portland. And a potential funding, we've had a lot of discussions about tax increment financing and how that's going to be going away. This could be the answer for how our experts in economic development, the Development Commission partnering with private businesses and the community can move forward and remain a vibrant, economic development engine in the city of Portland. So I

August 22, 2012

think that's very exciting. I'm a little concerned there hasn't been public involvement in this agreement so far, I understand why because it's been so intensive back and forth. This is a resolution, so there will be the final agreement coming back to council and at that point I would hope to see the veterans and others coming in to comment. As we discussed, the legislative intent is that there would-be -- when the final agreement comes back, that there will be the provision on renaming or naming rights for the building itself will be removed, that there will be community access without charge for the high school and other educational facilities and for the rose garden. And I would like to also see the final agreement look into rollover profits to match the losses to match the Jeld-Wen field agreement that was passed. But it's a very complicated and complex issue, obviously there's more work to be done, but this marks a major step forward in this complicated issue. Thanks to the mayor for continuing to work on it. Aye.

Fish: First, to the team that's at the table, thanks for all the time you've been spending with us, briefing us on this -- this is a very complex matter. Scott you said you've been working on this for years, thousands of hours, we really appreciate that. It is very complicated. And as you know, I've had some heartburn on the risk assessment side. And I'm, you know, looking forward to reviewing the additional documents, we've outlined, because I think each of us has to do an independent due diligence. As someone who is an unabashed fan of the building, both in terms of its architecture and the history, I guess I look at the process we're going through as a three-legged stool. This is how I would define success. Number one, the successful Rehabilitation of a significant building that is in public ownership. Number two, kick-starting the redevelopment of the rose quarter so it really does become a complete community. And number three, doing both in a way that protects the down side risk to the tax payers, in a way that's responsible. That's the hard part, and that's what you've been putting a lot of your time and attention. I think there's a clear path on the first and second, and I think it is in fact PDC's unique role to be the lead on the development and on what the mayor calls entrepreneurial side of the equation. I'm not yet convinced on the risk side, but I also have some -- we have some additional conversations and additional documents to review, and there are some decision points we can make along the way to strengthen this deal, depending on what we learn. So I appreciate this is a resolution, and not the final decision. But I still have some significant concerns about risk and how we apportion it. That said, Scott, thank you for devoting half of your adult waking hours to this project, and I know that it means a lot to you personally to see this through. And Mayor Adams, thank you for staying at it. When the stadium deal was taken off the table, it left us with some significant challenges. And I for one believe that if we get it right and restore this building and then turn it into a public -- a true public asset, one that maybe even generates a profit for us, the future generations will look back kindly on the decisions we're making. So thank you. Aye.

Adams: I want to add my thanks to Peter Parisot and Amy Ruiz, and Jennifer Yocom who in my office have worked on this issue. Big thanks to our chief administrative officer, chair of the development commission, Mr. Brake, Ms. Ames, Jonas, everyone who's been part of it. This is a really, really, really, really difficult challenge. And you all have applied I think prudence and innovation in equal parts. I appreciate that. We now have to get to a development agreement. And all the details that come with that. But if everyone in the room, Scott, you volunteer for this effort, and so I just want to underscore my thanks to you, the expertise that you bring to this, we couldn't have done it without you and the hours that you've put in as already mentioned, and we're incredibly grateful. Aye. Approved. Can you please read the title -- we're in recess until tomorrow.

At 3:12 p.m., Council recessed.

August 23, 2012
Closed Caption File of Portland City Council Meeting

This file was produced through the closed captioning process for the televised City Council broadcast and should not be considered a verbatim transcript.

Key: ***** means unidentified speaker.

AUGUST 23, 2012 2:00 PM

Adams: Good afternoon, Karla, how are you? [inaudible]

Moore: I'm well, thank you. How are you?

Adams: Now we have more, but we're not going to waste these good people's time. Karla, please call the roll. [roll call]

Adams: I'd like to move the amendment related to the reynolds high school --

Fish: Second.

Adams: Any discussion? Karla, please call the roll on the motion to amend.

Fish: Aye.

Saltzman: Aye.

Adams: Aye. Amendment is approved. We're here to discuss the intergovernmental agreements with the school districts that contain boundaries contained attendance in the city of Portland. This one time funding is noted on the ordinance. If I could have the superintendents come forward that would be great.

Moore: I should read the title.

Adams: Please.

Item 931.

Adams: We have, having been around a long time from my perspective, we have the best, current crop of district leadership on both the board and the superintendent levels, the best I've ever seen. And I'm very grateful as mayor that we have such great leadership because these are incredibly trying times. And what you're doing with diminishing, relative diminishing resources and the increased demand is much appreciative. So this is on our regular agenda, it's quick, but it was -- it is intended to thank you for all the great work that you do. And if you all want to make a few comments, whether or not our actions today are helpful, how is that for a softball question? [laughter]

Don Grotting, Superintendent, David Douglas Schools: I'll go first. Don Grotting, David Douglas. It's really us who should be thanking you, especially in these times. Just specific to David Douglas over the past two years, we've laid off over 100 teachers, the equivalent of 60 classified folks, we've cut programs, we've been fortunate enough to be able to keep school days, but truly this, and I really look at it as a gift, is going to be able to restore some of our programs in David Douglas, staff members, mental health services for some of our students, and we would not know what we would do without it. So really, Mr. Mayor, council people, thank you. A lot of people talking about their priority is education, but with your actions you've truly went out and said education is your priority. So thank you for all of the children throughout Multnomah county, but especially for those in the David Douglas school district.

Adams: Thank you, superintendent.

Sam Breyer, Superintendent, Centennial School District: Sam Breyer, superintendent of centennial school district, new superintendent.

Adams: Congratulations.

Breyer: thank you very much. It's a pleasure to be here. I want to echo the superintendent's Grotting's comments and thank you very much for the support. Similar to David Douglas, we've

August 23, 2012

suffered losses of almost 20% of our teaching staff over the last several years, last year we actually had a reduction of 12 school days, furlough days within the school district. Which of course has a dramatic impact on the service we can deliver to our students. So with some other careful budgeting and the support you've provided we've restored 10 school days to our students this year. As well as extending our school resource officers' support around the district. Centennial sits in a funny place between Portland and Gresham, so there's been issues there but this has allowed us to increase that support. So thank you very much for allowing us to deliver more instruction to students and better provide for their safety. I can't thank you enough, on behalf of both myself personally and the students and families of Centennial School District.

Adams: Welcome.

Linda Florence, Superintendent, Reynolds School District: Thank you. I'm Linda Florence, superintendent of Reynolds School District. And also new to this part of the state. I have already witnessed how much Portland has been supportive of the schools and really appreciate that support. Echoing what both Superintendents have said previously. However, this year the first year that Reynolds School District hasn't had to make drastic cuts, and so we're very happy about that, but also appreciative of having extra resources in order to do this important work of educating each and every one of our children. So thank you from the bottom of my heart and from my school board, administrators, teachers, and staff, thank you.

Adams: And welcome.

Pam Knowles, Board Member, Portland Public Schools: Pam Knowles, board member Portland public schools. I just wanted to come and say thank you very much to the council on behalf of 42,000 students. Our teachers, our staff, and our community for what the city council has done for Portland public schools and for all students around the city. We all know great schools alone can't make a city great, but every great city works in partnership with their schools to make sure their citizens are educated. And we are very pleased that we have such wonderful partnerships with the city of Portland, not only does partnership that we're talking about today, but many others, and we appreciate the work we do with you. We're also very thankful for this short-term fix. But we would encourage you to join us and other school boards and school districts around the state to work on a longer term solution to the funding problems, at the state legislature, we'll be there, I'm sure you will be there, we hope you will join us in that. And I would be remiss if I didn't ask also for your support in the upcoming bond measure that we have to begin the long road to remodeling our schools which are 100 years old or more. So we look forward to providing our students with updated learning environments, accessible schools, and we look forward to your support in that as well. So thank you again for everything that you've done for us and for this -- to what you've done. Thank you.

Adams: You're welcome. We'll be doing a resolution after Labor Day when folks are paying attention in support of the district's facility bond.

Knowles: Wonderful. Thank you.

Adams: None of you have one in November --

*******:** We haven't done one yet.

Adams: All right. Unless there's discussion from council, Karla, would you please call the vote.

Saltzman: That reminds me, I want to congratulate Don Grotting on David Douglas's passage of a bond measure in May. That's great. I'm really pleased to support these intergovernmental agreements today to really help -- provide much needed funding to the public schools in the city of Portland. I particularly want to thank Mayor Sam Adams for making this all happen, pulling a rabbit out of his hat as he is prone to do at late stages of the budget process.

Adams: You'll miss me.

Saltzman: I also want to particularly thank Portland public schools for their collaboration with the NAYA family center and the city to operate Naya Meadows, an intergenerational community to

August 23, 2012

provide permanent, loving, adoptive homes for foster children as well as a cutting edge early childhood development center in the lents neighborhood on the former foster elementary site. I look forward to finalizing those agreement was pps to make the facility a reality, and finally, to acknowledge that it's really good to hear that you're going to be keeping, or you're restoring days, you're keeping days, we're -- pps is keeping teachers and making some headway of keeping people too. I think teachers really are so essential, teachers and mental health specialist, councilors are so essential to making young people successful, it's just not -- it's just not straightforward learning your a-b-cs, it's also learning much more of life lessons. At often much earlier ages than young people did before. So coping with life is a very challenging mission, I want to thank each one of you for your contributions in your own capacities, and to welcome our two newest superintendents as well. I'm pleased to vote aye.

Leonard: I have kind of a unique perspective on this. I grew up in Portland public school system, but bought a house in the parkrose school district. In the mid '80s, and lived there for eight years. And when I was elected to the legislature I moved to a house that was in the centennial school district, and I lived there for 11 years. Being a legislature and living in a school district other than Portland, I found it's really quite a unique experience, because you become much more so than legislators in Portland, very tied to the smaller school districts and the legislative districts. I spent a lot of time at centennial. But when I got on council, I moved again and now I live in the david douglas school district and have for the last eight-plus years. And the reason that I -- that's important for me to say is when I first got on the council, I had been in the legislature for nine years and schooled in the importance of school districts in Portland existing beyond the Portland public school system. Something that as a kid growing up on 8th and siskiyou I hadn't even thought existed. But then having served in the legislature, I realized we had not one school district, but six or even seven in Portland. And I represented most of them in the legislature, so when I got here, if you recall in 2002, 2003, there was an imminent school teachers strike. And I set about traveling back and forth between school board members' homes and the teachers union and the superintendent's office to try to help come up with a deal. Mayor katz at the time, although initially reluctant to get involved, saw the importance of getting involved, and we came up with a deal which included some money. The initial pot of money had money for the Portland public school district to settle the deal. I'm not going to say this, but to get any undue credit, but I reminded mayor katz that there were more school districts than Portland, and though we were having a settlement, they needed to be included per capita as well. And we had some discussions, if you want to call them that, with mayor Katz. But she saw --

Adams: He was an effective advocate.

Leonard: She saw the wisdom in doing that. The thing I want to say today is, sam and I didn't have those discussions. This was a proposal he made, because he knew it was the right thing to do, and he knew that though we had an imminent crisis with the Portland public school district, we have more school districts in Portland, in Portland, in fact as I often like to say, david douglas is the only school district fully within the city of Portland. And --

Adams: Washington county.

*******:** And clackamas.

Leonard: So i.

Adams: How much money did they give you? Sorry.

Leonard: I think it's important to recognize that this happened and it happened because sam knew it was the right thing to do to include all the school Districts. And I recognize that, I don't know if i've ever said to sam thank you for advocating not just for the kids in the Portland public school system, but for each of the school districts, and the city of Portland. That meant a lot to me. Aye.

Fritz: Thank you, superintendents for being here today, and i'm positive that superintendent karen Fisher gray of parkrose must be on vacation or she would also be here. I'm not positive, but i'm

August 23, 2012

assuming because she's in city hall such a lot, as are each of you. Since the days commissioner Leonard mentioned, we have acknowledge there'd are many school districts in Portland and each of you is doing a fantastic job with the children, students, I have to keep reminding myself my children are all college graduates because of Portland public schools, and what a tremendous education they got. My son first my oldest son started kindergarten in the year after measure 5 passed. For the 17 years I was at Portland public schools parents, we made cuts to every school year. And I know you have continued to do so. I particularly appreciate your admonishment that this is bridge funding. This is not a solution. This is something that I thank the taxpayers of Portland and the city council for allocating this funding for this year, when we are in such dire straits. We cannot continue. It has to stop. And the legislature has to fundamentally failed to acknowledge they have a responsibility to all of our students to educate them, whether they're in parkrose or prineville, that our students -- you can't continue to do more with less. And you have done an amazing job of doing more with less. I was very troubled to see there's the latest controversy about school districts setting standards, and that the state superintendent says that they're not high enough. You can't do more with less. And we all need to acknowledge that, and I believe we need to go together to the legislature in 2013 and demand a referral to the voters, what do we want to pay for, and how do we want to pay for it? Because you just can't keep doing that, we can't keep doing that. Yet we are doing it right now because the thing we have to do. So I very much appreciate all of your work. I acknowledge mayor adams for his leadership on this, and let's all get together and go down to the legislature and say, this is it. This is enough. We cannot do this just even one more year. Aye.

Fish: I'm honored to be on a pro-education city council. And grateful to live in a city that has leaders like you leading the way with our children. I recently was on vacation and my staff forbade me to come into my office. But I did a little end run and I went to one event and that was the opening of the ball field, the new soccer field at parkrose. And dr. Gray invited me, and council had made an investment thanks to commissioner Fritz's leadership and the council support, in that new ball field, and when I had a chance to speak, what I said was that our commitment as a community is to make sure every child can reach their full potential in the classroom and on the ball field. And not everyone in that ball field was going to end up being an olympian, but they were going to learn life skills. And I told the story of my daughter who recently graduated from Portland public schools, and she played soccer, and was a good student. A number of the kids she played soccer with went on to get full scholarships to division one and division two schools in soccer and a number of them are playing at university of Portland. And she did not get a scholarship for her soccer, but she got a full scholarship for her academic achievement. And we in this community don't tell -- we don't celebrate enough the stories of the impact that our school districts, our leaders, our teachers have on our children. And my daughter is just another success story of someone who came through public education, and now is in college, and has lightened the load on her parents because of the education she got and the opportunity to reach her full potential. And that means a lot, because my wife is the first woman on her side of the family to go to high school. So this is building on that ladder of opportunity. So thank you very much for what you do, to create success stories in our community, and thank you mayor Adams for having focused this council as an education council. He said he would do that as a candidate, he followed through, through all four years, and i've been honored to serve on a council that is as focused on helping kids be their best. Aye.

*****: Thank you.

Adams: You know, I just want to acknowledge that this city council has prioritized education, not only in the council item in front of us, but in every single one of their operations. Whether it's taking students as part of the summer youth connect, which we're involved with all the districts on, or career and college visits, so this is absolute a pro-education city council. We know we can't fix all the issues, but hopefully our partnerships will continue. I want to thank kali ladd and maggie

August 23, 2012

williams and jennifer yocum, and the budget shop who also is very helpful in coming up with this allocation. I think they're glad to see me go, actually. It was a long, many weekends over months. So aye. [gavel pounded] congratulations. We are recessed.
*****: Thank you.

At 2:20 p.m., Council adjourned.