

DRAFT

**BPS West Hayden Island Project Timeline and Workplan
December 2012 – April 2013**

Timeline

PSC meeting or milestone	Topic of Discussion
December 11 1:30-3:30pm	Review and finalize timeline/workplan for next 3-4 months. Verify questions, big topic areas, expert involvement process, sovereign nation and stakeholder involvement
<i>January 22 (cancel the meeting on the 8th) evening meeting</i>	Briefing - Overview of IGA proposal and the timeline of activities and expenses that would be set in motion by adoption of it. Discussion of Port's financial structure and tools available to them.
<i>January 29 (New meeting date. Jan 22 agenda too full for all of these topics) proposed 4-7pm</i>	Work Session and recommendation on environmental related questions, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Location of environmental mitigation• Ownership of WHI and mitigation implications• Floodplain functions• <i>Tribal Treaty interests and tribal involvement approach</i>
February 12 afternoon meeting	Work session and recommendation on community related questions, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Health report follow-up• Stage 2 HIA• The Mayor's housing fund proposal• <i>CRC relationship (North Hayden Island Drive will be part of this discussion)</i>
February 26 evening meeting	Work session and recommendation on economic, legal,, and finance questions, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Economic need, benefits• <i>Vancouver as alternative</i>• Goal 9• Enforceability of IGA• Project financial plans - big picture review/discussion of financial implications and tools
March 5	BPS releases new draft plan
March 12 afternoon meeting	Briefing on new draft plan
<i>April 2 (special meeting) proposed 5-9pm</i>	Hearing on new draft plan

April 9 afternoon meeting	<i>Hearing Continued (if necessary) or Work Session/vote</i>
April 23 evening meeting	<i>Work Session/vote (if necessary)</i>

Written responses to questions

Staff will prepare written answers to all questions posed by the PSC, and recommendations, organized by the discussion topics listed above. In addition, written answers and recommendations will be prepared on the following topics listed below. If the PSC is not satisfied with written answers for the items below, they may choose to discuss these in their final work session, on April 9:

- Climate change
- Understanding shallow water, wetlands mitigation approach
- Fate of the best management practices document
- Recreation costs, roles, timing
- Future funding decisions

Involvement of technical experts, stakeholders and sovereign nations:

Between work sessions with the PSC, staff will be working with a number of project partners and selected technical experts to answer PSC questions, to help staff refine our responses, and prepare recommendations for each work session. Below is a proposal for how to work with various groups/entities and suggested frequency of meetings.

Technical Experts:

BPS has existing lists of technical experts who have offered guidance and technical feedback on a variety of topics over the past three years through meetings, technical advisory groups, and work sessions (list attached). Staff will provide key questions to these experts and where possible staff will draft responses to questions for review and guidance. Informal meetings and/or phone check ins with experts will be scheduled to refine responses in preparation for work sessions. This is not a new advisory committee, or a panel, but staff will ask that these experts be available for questions at the PSC work session.

Meetings with Project Stakeholders:

-Port of Portland: Meet with Port staff twice a month to provide updates on process, and collect their feedback on emerging proposals. These meetings would be an opportunity to provide staff direction on responses to PSC and listen to Port questions and feedback. The Port has a unique role in this process as the property owner, and because the Port Commission is also decision making body (along with City Council) regarding any IGA.

-Chris Hathaway/Bob Sallinger: Meet with Chris and Bob twice a month to provide updates on process, and collect their feedback on emerging proposals. (BES taking the

lead on scheduling). These meetings would be an opportunity to provide staff direction on responses to PSC and listen to questions and provide feedback.

-Community Groups: HINOON and HILP: BPS Staff will coordinate with leadership of these two community groups to have time on each agenda to offer updates on the PSC process and offer an opportunity to provide feedback for upcoming PSC work sessions. Groups meet the 2nd and 3rd Thursday of each month. Staff will also propose special meetings with community group leadership groups each month to provide updates and get feedback.

Bureau Check in/ State/Regional meetings:

-BPS will continue coordination with Parks, PBOT, the City Attorney, and OMF on a biweekly or as needed basis to work through code and IGA updates.

-BPS will organize a meeting through the State Regional Solution Team, Business Oregon, and Metro to update them on the process and discuss collaborative financing strategies: (including Business Oregon)

Sovereign Nations:

BPS will develop a proposal, in collaboration with tribal partners, for how to address the issues raised by the City's Tribal Government Partners related to the proposed West Hayden Island Annexation. City Council Resolution 36941 passed on July 2012 that articulated the City's intent to formalize government-to-government relationships with its Tribal Government Partners. The City of Portland is currently working to establish Tribal Government Consultation protocols called for in the resolution. The proposed annexation of West Hayden Annexation presents an opportunity to begin to define what these protocols might look like. As separate sovereign nations, we will need to defer to the Tribal Governments individually regarding their expectations for consultation for this project. BPS staff have been providing project updates to six tribal representatives throughout the project. BPS staff will continue to work with these tribal representatives, as formal consultation protocols are developed.

During the Tribal testimony at the November 2012 hearings Nez Perce and Yakama Nation expressed concerns related to tribal treaty rights as it relates to protecting fishery resources. BPS proposes to contact the Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission (CRITFC) to determine if CRITFC might be able to facilitate this discussion with tribal leaders from the CRITFC member tribes. Separate conversations will occur with the Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde and the Confederated Tribes of the Siletz Indians of Oregon as they are not members of CRITFC.