

Portland, Oregon

FINANCIAL IMPACT and PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT STATEMENT For Council Action Items

(Deliver original to Financial Planning Division. Retain copy.)

1. Name of Initiator Milena Malone		2. Telephone No. 503-823-4124	3. Bureau/Office/Dept. Commissioner Fritz
4a. To be filed (date): October 4, 2012	4b. Calendar (Check One) Regular <input type="checkbox"/> Consent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4/5ths <input type="checkbox"/>		5. Date Submitted to Commissioner's office and FPD Budget Analyst: September 13, 2012
6a. Financial Impact Section: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial impact section completed		6b. Public Involvement Section: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public involvement section completed	

1) Legislation Title:

Amend Resolution for City policy opposing coal trains traveling through the City of Portland to add a section on Health Impact Assessment (Resolution; amend Resolution No. 36959)

2) Purpose of the Proposed Legislation:

The purpose of this legislation is to take specific actions to mitigate community health impacts of proposed coal export facilities.

3) Which area(s) of the city are affected by this Council item? (Check all that apply—areas are based on formal neighborhood coalition boundaries)?

- | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City-wide/Regional | <input type="checkbox"/> Northeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Northwest | <input type="checkbox"/> North |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Central Northeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Southeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Southwest | <input type="checkbox"/> East |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Central City | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Internal City Government Services | | | |

FINANCIAL IMPACT**4) Revenue: Will this legislation generate or reduce current or future revenue coming to the City? If so, by how much? If so, please identify the source.**

No impact on current or future revenue coming to the City.

5) Expense: What are the costs to the City related to this legislation? What is the source of funding for the expense? (Please include costs in the current fiscal year as well as costs in future years. If the action is related to a grant or contract please include the local contribution or match required. If there is a project estimate, please identify the *level of confidence*.)

No costs to the City related to this legislation

6) Staffing Requirements:

- **Will any positions be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation?** *(If new positions are created please include whether they will be part-time, full-time, limited term, or permanent positions. If the position is limited term please indicate the end of the term.)*

No positions will be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation

- **Will positions be created or eliminated in future years as a result of this legislation?**

No positions be created or eliminated in future years as a result of this legislation

(Complete the following section only if an amendment to the budget is proposed.)

7) Change in Appropriations *(If the accompanying ordinance amends the budget please reflect the dollar amount to be appropriated by this legislation. Include the appropriate cost elements that are to be loaded by accounting. Indicate "new" in Fund Center column if new center needs to be created. Use additional space if needed.)*

Fund	Fund Center	Commitment Item	Functional Area	Funded Program	Grant	Sponsored Program	Amount

[Proceed to Public Involvement Section — REQUIRED as of July 1, 2011]

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

8) Was public involvement included in the development of this Council item (e.g. ordinance, resolution, or report)? Please check the appropriate box below:

- ☒ **YES:** Please proceed to Question #9.
☐ **NO:** Please, explain why below; and proceed to Question #10.

9) If "YES," please answer the following questions:

a) What impacts are anticipated in the community from this proposed Council item?

The purpose of this legislation is to amend the Resolution 36959 a resolution that called for additional federal reviews pertaining to the shipping of coal by rail. The perceived impacts are depicted in the original resolution. This resolution simply corrects an oversight in the Be It Further Resolved section of that resolution.

b) Which community and business groups, under-represented groups, organizations, external government entities, and other interested parties were involved in this effort, and when and how were they involved?

A council hearing was held on September 19, 2012 with over 150 members of the public present. Many groups and individuals—through written and oral testimony on both sides of the issue—provided background information and suggestions. Some of the groups that testified included Neighborhood Associations, Friends of the Columbia River Gorge, Power Past Coal, the Sierra Club, Physicians for Social Responsibility and others. Draft legislation (which had this proposed amendment in it) was sent to a list of interested parties in advance and comments solicited; several public comments were incorporated into the final document.

c) How did public involvement shape the outcome of this Council item?

Community interest and advocacy led to the creation of the original Resolution 36959. This draft legislation was sent to a list of interested parties in advance of that hearing and comments were solicited; several comments were incorporated into the final document in the findings but just not in the Be It Further Resolved section which is what this resolution will accomplish.

d) Who designed and implemented the public involvement related to this Council item?

Commissioner Fritz and staff designed and implemented the public involvement.

e) Primary contact for more information on this public involvement process (name, title, phone, email):

Milena Malone, 503-823-4124, milena.malone@portlandoregon.gov

10) Is any future public involvement anticipated or necessary for this Council item? Please describe why or why not.

Yes, as incorporated with the original legislation then it may succeed in influencing coal companies and the Federal Government to require the productions of an Environmental Impact Statement. At that time the community will have further opportunity to comment on the proposed coal export facilities.

N/A

BUREAU DIRECTOR (Typed name and signature)