# Portland, Oregon FINANCIAL IMPACT and PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT STATEMENT For Council Action Items

Check  t 4/5ths  Public Involve Public involve	the northwest edge of the					
(Check t 4/5ths Public Involve Public involve	5. Date Submitted to Commissioner's office and FPD Budget Analyst: September 13, 2012 ement Section: ement section completed within the boundaries of the the northwest edge of the					
t 4/5ths Public Involve Public involve	Commissioner's office and FPD Budget Analyst: September 13, 2012 ement Section: ement section completed within the boundaries of the the northwest edge of the					
Public Involve	and FPD Budget Analyst: September 13, 2012 ement Section: ement section completed within the boundaries of the the northwest edge of the					
Public Involve	Analyst: September 13, 2012 ement Section: ement section completed within the boundaries of the the northwest edge of the					
Public Involve	September 13, 2012  ement Section: ement section completed  within the boundaries of the the northwest edge of the					
Public involve property w	rithin the boundaries of the the northwest edge of the					
property w	rithin the boundaries of the the northwest edge of the					
property w A-1-12, on	the northwest edge of the					
* Approve annexation to the City of Portland of property within the boundaries of the City's Urban Services Boundary in case number A-1-12, on the northwest edge of the City on the south edge of NW Cornell Road, west of NW Miller Road and east of NW 87 <sup>th</sup> Avenue. (Ordinance)  2) Purpose of the Proposed Legislation:  To approve annexation to the City of Portland of a property in unincorporated Multnomah County according to requirements for initiation of an annexation request described in ORS 222.170(2) and Metro Code 3.09.040(a).  3) Which area(s) of the city are affected by this Council item? (Check all that apply—areas are based on formal neighborhood coalition boundaries)?    City-wide/Regional   Northeast   Northeast   Southeast   South						
	od coalition					

### FINANCIAL IMPACT

4) <u>Revenue</u>: Will this legislation generate or reduce current or future revenue coming to the City? If so, by how much? If so, please identify the source.

Annexed property will be entered onto City of Portland Tax roles.

5) <u>Expense</u>: What are the costs to the City related to this legislation? What is the source of funding for the expense? (Please include costs in the current fiscal year as

well as costs in future years. If the action is related to a grant or contract please include the local contribution or match required. If there is a project estimate, please identify the **level of confidence**.)

Annexed property will receive public service from City of Portland at levels consistent with surrounding neighborhood under City jurisdiction.

# 6) Staffing Requirements:

• Will any positions be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation? (If new positions are created please include whether they will be part-time, full-time, limited term, or permanent positions. If the position is limited term please indicate the end of the term.)

No

 Will positions be created or eliminated in future years as a result of this legislation?

No

(Complete the following section only if an amendment to the budget is proposed.)

7) <u>Change in Appropriations</u> (If the accompanying ordinance amends the budget please reflect the dollar amount to be appropriated by this legislation. Include the appropriate cost elements that are to be loaded by accounting. Indicate "new" in Fund Center column if new center needs to be created. Use additional space if needed.)

There is no funding appropriation related to this ordinance.

Fund	Fund Center	Commitment Item	Functional Area	Funded Program	Grant	Sponsored Program	Amount
				,			

[Proceed to Public Involvement Section — REQUIRED as of July 1, 2011]

# **PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT**

8) Was public involvement included in the development of this Council item (e.g. ordinance, resolution, or report)? Please check the appropriate box below:
<ul><li>☐ YES: Please proceed to Question #9.</li><li>☒ NO: Please, explain why below; and proceed to Question #10.</li></ul>
Established procedure for annexation by consent petition was followed Including public notice and opportunity to review application and report.
9) If "YES," please answer the following questions:
<ul> <li>a) What impacts are anticipated in the community from this proposed Council item?</li> <li>b) Which community and business groups, under-represented groups, organizations, external government entities, and other interested parties were involved in this effort, and when and how were they involved?</li> <li>c) How did public involvement shape the outcome of this Council item?</li> <li>d) Who designed and implemented the public involvement related to this Council item?</li> <li>e) Primary contact for more information on this public involvement proces (name, title, phone, email):</li> </ul>
10) Is any future public involvement anticipated or necessary for this Council item? Please describe why or why not.
No this assurred per City of Pertland and Matra policy

Susan Anderson Swan Andlerson

BUREAU DIRECTOR (Typed name and signature)



#### Bureau of Planning and Sustainability

Innovation. Collaboration. Practical Solutions.

		$\cap$
M	M	U

DATE:

September 12, 2012

TO:

Mayor Sam Adams

FROM:

Susan Anderson, Director

#### 1. Ordinance Title:

\* Approve annexation to the City of Portland of property within the boundaries of the City's Urban Services Boundary in case number A-1-12, on the northwest edge of the City on the south edge of NW Cornell Road, west of NW Miller Road and east of NW 87<sup>th</sup> Avenue. (Ordinance)

2. Contact: John Cole, Senior Planner, 3-3475

3.	Requested	Council Date:	September	26,	2012
----	-----------	---------------	-----------	-----	------

4. X Consent Agenda Item

Regular Agenda Item

Emergency Item (explain below)

Non-Emergency Item

This Ordinance is part of an expedited process for voluntary annexation enacted by Metro through adoption of Section 3.09 of the Metro Code.

#### 5. Purpose of Agenda Item:

To approve annexation to the City of Portland of a property in unincorporated Multnomah County according to requirements for initiation of an annexation request described in ORS 222.170(2) and Metro Code 3.09.040(a).

#### 6. History of Agenda Item/Background:

The City has not been actively annexing additional land within our Urban Services Boundary (USB) since the mid-1990s, although the City of Portland intends to be the eventual provider of urban services. Most all annexations are initiated by property owners who want access to City services. Some of these property owners have failing septic systems and need to connect to the sewer system. Others want to develop their properties at urban densities. The property owners who



initiated Case No. A-1-12 want to annex to Portland to obtain City services to facilitate institutional use. The property is within the USB.

City Council adopted an expedited review process on September 17, 2003, for owner-initiated annexation cases where the property is within Portland's USB. This process allows staff to place these uncontested annexations on the Council's Consent Calendar. The expedited process is intended to save processing time for staff and the property owners and meeting time for Council. Since there are only one or two annexation requests a year, the City annexation cases are processed by annexation consultant Ken Martin, who is under contract with the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability.

## 7. What individuals or groups are or would be supportive or opposed to this action?

This property is within the City's urban service boundary and eligible for annexation under both City of Portland and METRO regional policy. This annexation is also consistent with Comprehensive Plan Policies related to the efficient and timely extension of public services further described in adoption ordinance findings

# 8. How does this relate to current City policies?

Urban service providers, neighboring property owners, and neighborhood organizations have been notified of this annexation. No problems are anticipated.

