

Portland, Oregon
FINANCIAL IMPACT and PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT STATEMENT
For Council Action Items

(Deliver original to Financial Planning Division. Retain copy.)

1. Name of Initiator Kim Garcia	2. Telephone No. (503) 823-0763	3. Bureau/Office/Dept. Police
4a. To be filed (date): <i>July 20, 2012</i>	4b. Calendar (Check One) Regular <input type="checkbox"/> Consent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4/5ths <input type="checkbox"/>	5. Date Submitted to Commissioner's office and FPD Budget Analyst: <i>July 20, 2012</i>
6a. Financial Impact Section: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial impact section completed	6b. Public Involvement Section: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public involvement section completed	

1) Legislation Title:

* Authorize an Intergovernmental Agreement between the Portland Police Bureau and Clackamas County for the housing of grant-funded assets (Ordinance)

2) Purpose of the Proposed Legislation:

To authorize an agreement between the City of Portland and Clackamas County regarding the lending of FEMA funded surveillance equipment.

3) Which area(s) of the city are affected by this Council item? (Check all that apply—areas are based on formal neighborhood coalition boundaries)?

- | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> City-wide/Regional | <input type="checkbox"/> Northeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Northwest | <input type="checkbox"/> North |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Central Northeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Southeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Southwest | <input type="checkbox"/> East |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Central City | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Internal City Government Services | | | |

FINANCIAL IMPACT

4) Revenue: Will this legislation generate or reduce current or future revenue coming to the City? If so, by how much? If so, please identify the source.

No, the lending of the equipment is at no cost to either party.

If the assets are altered or destroyed in any way, the cost of the property, the percentage of the federal participation in the cost, and any ultimate disposition data including the date of disposal and sale price of the property will be the responsibility of Clackamas County.

5) Expense: What are the costs to the City related to this legislation? What is the source of funding for the expense?

The United States Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), Grant Programs Directorate (GPD) and the Office of Infrastructure Protection (IP), Protective Security Coordination Division (PSCD) awarded the Oregon Office of Emergency Management - Military Department a grant for the FY 2009 Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP).

The Oregon Office of Emergency Management - Military Department awarded the City of Portland, Police Bureau (PPB) \$198,128 during the grant period of November 15, 2010, through December 31, 2011. With these granted funds, the PPB was able to purchase surveillance equipment.

Clackamas County requested use of said equipment to institute the goals of BZPP in its jurisdiction. The intergovernmental agreement would allow the municipality to house the assets at no cost.

6) Staffing Requirements:

- **Will any positions be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation?**

No.

- **Will positions be created or eliminated in *future years* as a result of this legislation?**

No.

[Proceed to Public Involvement Section — REQUIRED as of July 1, 2011]

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

8) Was public involvement included in the development of this Council item (e.g. ordinance, resolution, or report)? Please check the appropriate box below:

YES: Please proceed to Question #9.

NO: Please, explain why below; and proceed to Question #10.

Per U.S. Department of Homeland Security requirements, the BZPP information is exempt from public information disclosure laws under ORS 192.501, sections 18, 22, 23 and ORS 192.502, section 33.

9) If "YES," please answer the following questions:

a) What impacts are anticipated in the community from this proposed Council item?

b) Which community and business groups, under-represented groups, organizations, external government entities, and other interested parties were involved in this effort, and when and how were they involved?

c) How did public involvement shape the outcome of this Council item?

d) Who designed and implemented the public involvement related to this Council item?

e) Primary contact for more information on this public involvement process (name, title, phone, email):

10) Is any future public involvement anticipated or necessary for this Council item? Please describe why or why not.

No.



MICHAEL REESE (Chief of Police)