

Portland, Oregon

FINANCIAL IMPACT and PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT STATEMENT For Council Action Items

(Deliver original to Financial Planning Division. Retain copy.)

1. Name of Initiator Bruce Walker		2. Telephone No. 3-7772	3. Bureau/Office/Dept. BPS
4a. To be filed (date): June 6, 2012	4b. Calendar (Check One) Regular Consent 4/5ths <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		5. Date Submitted to Commissioner's office and FPD Budget Analyst: May 29, 2012
6a. Financial Impact Section: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial impact section completed		6b. Public Involvement Section: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public involvement section completed	

1) Legislation Title:

Update Solid Waste and Recycling Collection Code to extend the timeline for clean fleet collection vehicle replacement by two years and allow greater flexibility in meeting the timelines (Ordinance; replace Code Section 17.102.050)

2) Purpose of the Proposed Legislation:

The Code amendments in this Ordinance include a) extending the timeline for residential collection vehicles to come into compliance with the fleet replacement requirement from January 2014 to January 2016, b) allowing collection vehicles retrofitted with a diesel particulate filter through a Metro grant-funded program to be replaced at a later date, and c) allowing garbage and recycling companies to propose a schedule for meeting vehicle replacement timelines.

3) Which area(s) of the city are affected by this Council item? (Check all that apply—areas are based on formal neighborhood coalition boundaries)?

- | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City-wide/Regional | <input type="checkbox"/> Northeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Northwest | <input type="checkbox"/> North |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Central Northeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Southeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Southwest | <input type="checkbox"/> East |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Central City | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Internal City Government Services | | | |

FINANCIAL IMPACT**4) Revenue: Will this legislation generate or reduce current or future revenue coming to the City? If so, by how much? If so, please identify the source.**

No

5) Expense: What are the costs to the City related to this legislation? What is the source of funding for the expense? *(Please include costs in the current fiscal year as well as costs in future years. If the action is related to a grant or contract please include the local contribution or match required. If there is a project estimate, please identify the level of confidence.)*
 No additional costs are caused by this ordinance.

6) Staffing Requirements:

- Will any positions be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation? *(If new positions are created please include whether they will be part-time, full-time, limited term, or permanent positions. If the position is limited term please indicate the end of the term.)* No
- Will positions be created or eliminated in future years as a result of this legislation? No

(Complete the following section only if an amendment to the budget is proposed.)

7) Change in Appropriations *(If the accompanying ordinance amends the budget please reflect the dollar amount to be appropriated by this legislation. Include the appropriate cost elements that are to be loaded by accounting. Indicate "new" in Fund Center column if new center needs to be created. Use additional space if needed.)*
 None

Fund	Fund Center	Commitment Item	Functional Area	Funded Program	Grant	Sponsored Program	Amount

[Proceed to Public Involvement Section — REQUIRED as of July 1, 2011]

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

8) Was public involvement included in the development of this Council item (e.g. ordinance, resolution, or report)? Please check the appropriate box below:

- YES:** Please proceed to Question #9.
 NO: Please, explain why below; and proceed to Question #10.

9) If "YES," please answer the following questions:

a) What impacts are anticipated in the community from this proposed Council item?

Extending the compliance timeline for residential collection vehicles will reduce the impact of new truck purchases on residential garbage and recycling rates, as the rate increases will be spread out over a longer period of time.

b) Which community and business groups, under-represented groups, organizations, external government entities, and other interested parties were involved in this effort, and when and how were they involved?

The extension of the compliance timeline was recommended by the Portland Utilities Review Board.

c) How did public involvement shape the outcome of this Council item?

The extension of the compliance timeline was recommended by the Portland Utilities Review Board.

d) Who designed and implemented the public involvement related to this Council item?

Bruce Walker, Solid Waste and Recycling Program Manager

e) Primary contact for more information on this public involvement process (name, title, phone, email):

Bruce Walker, Solid Waste and Recycling Program Manager, 503-823-7772,
bruce.walker@portlandoregon.gov

10) Is any future public involvement anticipated or necessary for this Council item? Please describe why or why not.

There is no future public involvement anticipated for this Code update.

Susan Anderson

Susan Anderson

BUREAU DIRECTOR (Typed name and signature)



Bureau of Planning and Sustainability
Innovation. Collaboration. Practical Solutions.

MEMO

DATE: May 30, 2012
TO: Mayor Sam Adams
FROM: Susan Anderson, Director

1. **Ordinance Title:** Update Solid Waste and Recycling Collection Code to extend the timeline for clean fleet collection vehicle replacement by two years and allow greater flexibility in meeting the timelines (Ordinance; replace Code Section 17.102.050)
2. **Contact:** Bruce Walker, Solid Waste and Recycling Program Manager, 3-7772)

3. **Requested Council Date:** June 6, 2012

4. Consent Agenda Item Regular Agenda Item Time Certain
 Emergency Item (explain below) Non-Emergency Item

5. **Purpose of Agenda Item:** The Code amendments in this Ordinance include a) extending the timeline for residential collection vehicles to come into compliance with the fleet replacement requirement from January 2014 to January 2016, b) allowing collection vehicles retrofitted with a diesel particulate filter through a Metro grant-funded program to be replaced at a later date, and c) providing greater flexibility to garbage and recycling companies in meeting vehicle replacement timelines.

6. **History of Agenda Item/Background:** In September 2008, City Council adopted Ordinance 182190 establishing clean fleet requirements for waste hauling companies including requirements for fuel use and truck replacement. This amendment of Code Section 17.102.050 will extend the timeline for residential collection vehicles to come into compliance with the fleet replacement requirement (all residential collection vehicles must be twelve years old or newer) from January 1, 2014 to January 1, 2016. Extending the compliance timeline for residential collection vehicles will reduce the impact of new truck purchases on residential garbage and recycling rates, as the rate



increases will be spread out over a longer period of time. Extending the timeline also allows interested garbage and recycling companies to pursue purchases of trucks that run on Compressed Natural Gas (CNG).

This amendment will allow collection vehicles that have been retrofitted with a diesel particulate filter through a Metro grant-funded program to be replaced at a later date in order to make the best use of Metro's investment and lessen impact on ratepayers.

This amendment will allow garbage and recycling companies to propose a schedule for meeting vehicle replacement timelines, simplifying compliance tracking and providing greater flexibility for garbage and recycling companies to meet vehicle replacement deadlines.

Finally, this amendment will clean up and clarify the code, including guidance on which vehicles must be replaced. Code cleanups are suggested because some sections of the code are outdated and unnecessary, while other sections would benefit from greater clarity.

7. **Legal Issues:** There are no legal issues.
8. **What individuals or groups are or would be supportive or opposed to this action?**
Garbage and recycling companies and ratepayers may be supportive of this action. The action to extend the compliance deadline was recommended by the Portland Utilities Review Board.
9. **How does this relate to current City policies?**

This is an update to existing City Code and changes the timeline for fleet replacement requirements.

