Agenda Item 442 & 443

TESTIMONY

10:15 AM TIME CERTAIN

ILLEGAL DRUG IMPACT AREAS - REPORT & SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT

IF YOU WISH TO SPEAK TO CITY COUNCIL, PRINT YOUR NAME, ADDRESS, AND EMAIL.

	NAME (print)	ADDRESS AND ZIP CODE	Email
~	TOE WASK	1348 SEDIVISION St	LONEVET ZODE D L'OMLAST NGT
	Jaklena Carolyn		
N	Sohlena Carolyn Easterly	2837 NE 9th AV	gahlenat 1@yoher. con
~	Howard Weiner	210 NW 6th, 97209	howard & calsks com
V	Amie Duhn	306 NW Broadway 97209	jame & giltclubicom
	(Gary Cobb	232 NW 6th 97209	gang cobb Cecconcern.org
••	DAN HANDERMAN	PORTLANA COPWATCH POBOX 42456 97242	
~	Becky Straus	ACLU, Portland, 97240	bstraus eaclu-or. Dug
~	Suzanne Hayden	CCC	SHaydona paxic ic. org
~	Devon Pack	13450 NE Sandy	devo. packegmail.com

Date 05-02-12

2	Page	of	



Testimony of Suzanne Hayden Executive Director Citizens Crime Commission Wednesday May 2, 2012 Portland City Council

Good morning Mayor Adams, and Commissioners. I am Suzanne Hayden, Executive Director of the Citizens Crime Commission. I am here today on behalf of the Citizens Crime Commission in support of items 442 and 443 on the agenda.

The Citizens Crime Commission supports the effort of the city to address emerging crime trends in geographic areas with strategic and targeted responses such as the Illegal Drug Impact Areas. The creation of Illegal Drug Impact Areas by city council last year was a joint community, police and prosecution response to the community's united voice of intolerance for continued open air drug dealing and usage that was negatively impacting the safety of residents, social services, businesses and employees in the downtown and old town areas. In the year since implementation, there has been a dramatic impact on crime and perceptions of crime by focusing enforcement action on persons convicted of drug dealing who are continuing their criminal enterprise with impunity. We urge the city to continue funding and support for this important program.

We are also in support of allowing the Police Chief to enter into access and indemnification agreements with private property owners for the placement of city surveillance equipment on their property. We support the use of surveillance cameras for police to use in furtherance of public safety efforts. This proposal offers an opportunity for willing private property owners to work with police on a strategy to deter, interdict and investigate criminal activity.

In the past ten years, cameras in public spaces have become ubiquitous and provide potential for enhanced investigation and evidence collection in support of criminal prosecution. Most downtown buildings have surveillance cameras that are accessible to police, however retrieving data from those cameras in a timely matter can still be problematic. When I was a district attorney, evidence in the form of a visual image capturing the crime in progress was significant in my decision to prosecute and the strength of the case. One case I recall involved a particularly vulnerable victim who was assaulted but was a poor witness due to significant mental impairments. The video was crucial in refuting a claim of self defense by the defendant, and supporting the victim of that crime.

Criminal activity creates a significant barrier to a vital business climate. This technology provides additional support to police in maintaining safety and increasing their effective use of resources. It also supports the businesses in areas where there is higher criminal activity. We believe this is a beneficial public private partnership.

Thank you for this opportunity to share our position on these issues.

Suzanne Hayden Executive Director Citizens Crime Commission

> The Citizens Crime Commission is an affiliate of the Portland Business Alliance Charitable Institute. Our tax exempt identification number is: 93-0819366 200 SW Market Street, Suite 150, Portland, OR 97201, (503) 552-6773, www.pdxccc.org

AUDITOR 03/31/11 PM12:50

Sally McCracken 532 NW Everett Portland, OR, 97209

Sally McCracken

this betition is being written in regards to the drug dealing and other illegal activities around the Sally McCracken building. The Sally McCracken is a Central City Concern clean and sober housing, for those who are recovering from drugs and alcohol. We residents are concerned about our sobriety and safety.

Starting around 9:00 pm till 5:00 or 6:00 am, seven days a week, gang members are selling drugs from NW 6^{th} and Burnside to NW 6^{th} and Gilson. They yell, whistle, threaten, and tight each other during these hours, without any regards to others rights or safety.

We residents of the Sally McCracken building, would like the Portland City Council to look into this matter, and if possible, try to put a stop to this blatant criminal activity

Robert MacLeod

532 N.W. Everett #208 Portland, DR 97209

Davis, Hibbitts & Midghall INC. | Opinion Research and Consultation

City of Portland Public Budget Survey FY2011-12

1. INTRODUCTION & METHODOLOGY

Davis, Hibbitts & Midghall, Inc. (DHM Research) conducted a telephone survey of Portland residents to assess their feelings about the community and the City's budget priorities to assist the City of Portland with its biennial budget development.

Research Methodology: Between March 10 and Mach 15, 2011, DHM conducted a telephone survey of 500 residents in the City of Portland ages 18 and older. The interviews averaged 13 minutes to administer. Residents were conducted through multiple sampling techniques including Random Digit Dial (RDD), cell phone numbers, and targeted sampling to ensure a broad and representative cross section of residents had the opportunity to be contacted. Quotas were set by age, gender, area of city, and ethnicity based on the total population of residents for a valid sample.

In gathering responses, DHM Research employed quality control measures, including questionnaire pretesting, callbacks, and validations.

Statement of Limitations: Any sampling of opinions or attitudes is subject to a margin of error, which represents the difference between a sample of a given population and the total population, here residents of Portland. For a sample size of 500, if respondents answered a particular question in the proportion of 90% one way and 10% the other, the margin of error would be +/-2.6%, at the 95% confidence level. If they answered 50% each way, the margin of error would be 4.4%.¹

These plus-minus error margins represent differences between the sample and total population at a confidence interval, or probability, calculated to be 95%. This means that there is a 95% probability that the sample taken for this study would fall within the stated margins of error if compared with the results achieved from surveying the entire population.

DHM Research: Davis, Hibbitts & Midghall, Inc. (DHM Research) has been providing opinion research and consultation throughout the Pacific Northwest and other regions of the United States for over three decades. The firm is non-partisan and independent and specializes in research projects to support public policy making. www.dhmresearch.com

¹ The reason for the difference lies in the fact that when response categories are relatively even in size, each is numerically smaller and thus slightly less able-on a statistical basis- to approximate the larger population.

2. PRELIMINARY SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Residents continue to have concern about Portland's economy.

Five in 10 (49%) residents think the economy in Portland is either in a mild (33%) or severe (16%) recession (Q1). Another four in 10 think it is either in an economic recovery (19%) or not getting any better or worse at this time (19%). Seven percent (7%) think the city is in a depression.

Over one in 10 residents said the most important park improvements are community gardens, trails, natural habitats, play equipment, and sports fields.

When asked what one park improvement they would like to see in their neighborhood (Q7), more
or better community gardens was rated most important by 16% of residents, followed by more or
better trails and paths (12%), natural and wildlife habitats (11%), more play equipment (10%), more
or better sports fields (10%), picnic areas (6%), and a park in their neighborhood (3%).

Majorities of residents agree that more money should be directed to neighborhoods with the greatest needs for services like parks, street maintenance, and water and sewer infrastructure.

- Residents were read two statements about directing funds for city services like parks, street
 maintenance, and water and sewer infrastructure improvements and were asked which comes
 closer to their point of view (Q2). Sixty-eight percent (68%) said the city should spend more money
 providing these services in neighborhoods with the greatest needs, while 29% said the city should
 spending the money equally throughout the city based on the number of individuals in each
 neighborhood.
- Specifically, 83% said the city should spend money on adding sidewalks in neighborhood areas that have the greatest need for them, 74% said the same of improving sidewalks, 68% for improving parks, and 65% for adding a park in neighborhoods that currently do not have one (Q3-Q6).
- Five in 10 (50%) residents agree that there are enough public resources invested in their neighborhoods to help local businesses, while 37% disagree and 14% are unsure (Q9). A majority of residents are soft in their opinion of either "somewhat agreeing" (36%) or "somewhat disagreeing" (24%).

Residents' opinions are divided about the leaf pick up fee. They are more negative about graffiti removal fees.

- While 47% of residents said that neighborhoods which get leaf pick up service should pay a fee, another 48% disagree with the fee (Q10).
- A majority (56%) do not agree that the city should fine private property owners for not removing graffiti on their property within 10 days after the City's official notification to remove it, with 37% who "strongly" disagree with this (Q15). Four in 10 (40%) are in agreement with this fee, and 4% aren't sure.

Majorities support the use of cameras in high crime areas to discourage criminal activity. The top priorities for police services are crime prevention, gang activity, and drug activity.

- Almost seven in 10 (69%) either "strongly" (44%) or "somewhat" (26%) support using cameras in high crime areas of the city as way to discourage criminal activity and aid in identifying those involved in a crime (Q16). Twenty-eight percent oppose this.
- Crime prevention is considered the most important priority needing increased police services by 28% of residents (Q14), followed by gang activity (20%), and drug activity (18%). These issues are followed by traffic safety (15%), burglary (12%), and theft from vehicles (7%).

DHM Research | City of Portland Public Budget Survey, March 2011

Residents' support is divided about the creation of an urban renewal district surrounding Portland State University.

 Forty-nine percent (49%) of residents support the creation of an urban renewal district surrounding PSU, with pluralities (31%) only "somewhat" supporting this (Q8). Just over four in 10 (42%) are in opposition, with strength of opposition divided almost evenly between "somewhat" and "strongly."

Majorities support transferring the responsibility of patrolling the Willamette River from Multnomah County to the City of Portland.

 Just over two-thirds (67%) support transferring the patrol of the Willamette River from the County to the City at an annual cost of \$1.8 million to free up county budget funds for mental, health, and human services and public safety (Q13). Approximately one-quarter (23%) are opposed to this.

Residents are divided in their opinions about the City taking over the operation of Willamette River bridges from the County.

A narrow plurality (45%) support transferring the maintenance and operation of bridges from the County to the City, while 37% are in opposition (Q11). "Strong" support and opposition are equal to this proposal at around 20%. Residents' sentiments do not change about this knowing that other than the operation and maintenance of these bridges, the county is not responsible for any part of the transportation system in the city (Q12).

A narrow majority of residents agree that racial equality and equal access to opportunity are problems and city government should try to address them.

- When read three statements about racial equality and equal access to opportunity in the city and asked to choose which comes closest to their point of view (Q17), 52% said this issue is a problem in Portland that city government should address. The remaining 43% said either it is not a problem in the city (21%) or that even if it is a problem, it should not be a focus for city government (22%), or they didn't know (5%).
- Thirty-six percent (36%) said the city is making progress when it comes to discrimination against minorities (Q18), but the remainder thinks this issue is about the same as it has been in the past (48%) or that we are losing ground (8%).

3

3. ANNOTATED QUESTIONNAIRE

City of Portland general population (RDD + cell phone sample) March 10-15, 2011 N=500; 13 minutes; margin of error 4.4% Davis, Hibbitts & Midghall, Inc. (DHM Research)

****Indicates questions in the Portland Curbsider.**

Hello, my name is _______ and I am calling on behalf of DHM, a firm that conducts opinion research in the state of Oregon. We are not trying to sell anything. Your opinions are important to us and we would like to ask you a few questions about local issues. You may be assured of complete anonymity and confidentiality. May I please speak to someone in the household that is 18 years or older?

S1. Are you 18 years of age or older?

Yes	1 [CONTINUE]
No	
[Don't Read] Refused	3 [TERMINATE]

S2. Do you live in the city of Portland?

Yes	1 [CONTINUE]
No	2 [TERMINATE]
[Don't Read] Refused	3 [TERMINATE]

S3. Land line or Cell? (Record from sample)

Yes	 1	(20%)
No	 2	(80%)

Warm Up

1. Which of the following statements reflects how you feel about the economy in Portland? (Read list; accept 1 response)

Response Category	N=500
It is an economic recovery	19%
It is getting neither better or worse at this time	19%
It is in a mild recession	33%
It is in a severe recession	16%
It is in a depression	7%
None of these	2%
Don't know	3%

4

Neighborhood Investment & Parks

2. I am going to read you two statements. Please tell me which comes closer to your view. (Wait and ask) Is that strongly or somewhat? (Rotate statements; accept 1 response)

Response Category	N=500
A. For services like parks and recreation, street maintenance, and water a	nd sewer
infrastructure improvements, the city should spend more money providin	g these services
equally throughout the city based on the number of individuals in each ne	eighborhood.
Strongly	13%
Somewhat	15%
B. Because some neighborhoods are in worse shape than others, for city s	ervices like
parks and recreation, street maintenance, and water and sewer infrastruc	ture
improvements, the city should spend more money providing these service	es to those
neighborhoods with the greatest needs.	
Strongly	28%
Somewhat	40%
Don't know	3%

Now, I am going to read to you a list of neighborhood needs. For each, please tell me if the city should spend money on those neighborhoods with the greatest need, even if it means that some neighborhoods may get less money than others. **(Randomize Q3-Q6)**

Re	sponse Category (N=500)	Yes	No	Don't know
3.	Improving parks	68%	29%	3%
4.	Adding a park in neighborhoods that currently don't have one	65%	32%	3%
5.	Improving neighborhood sidewalks	74%	23%	2%
6.	Adding sidewalks in neighborhood areas that have need for them	83%	16%	2%

7. ******Which of the following park improvements would you most like to see in your neighborhood? **(Randomize options; accept one)**

Response Category	N=500
More or better community gardens	16%
Available restrooms	14%
More or better trails and paths	12%
Revert to natural and wild habitat	11%
Play equipment	10%
More or better sports fields	10%
More or better picnic or event areas	6%
Would like a park located in my neighborhood	3%
Other (Specified)	7%

DHM Research | City of Portland Public Budget Survey, March 2011

Now I'd like to ask you a question about urban renewal. Urban renewal districts are formed in areas of cities to help stimulate and pay for redevelopment and job growth and enhance livability.

8. Do you support or oppose creating an urban renewal district surrounding Portland State University to support its research and development, as well as businesses in the surrounding area? This urban renewal district would be funded using local property taxes combined with other public and private investment. (Wait and ask) Is that strongly or somewhat?

Response Category	N=500
Strongly support	18%
Somewhat support	31%
Somewhat oppose	22%
Strongly oppose	21%
Don't know	9%

9. Overall, do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree that there are enough public resources invested to help local businesses in your neighborhood?

Response Category	N=500
Strongly agree	14%
Somewhat agree	36%
Somewhat disagree	24%
Strongly disagree	12%
Don't know	14%

10. **The city charges a fee to sweep street leaves in selected neighborhoods around Portland. Should neighborhoods that get leaf pick up services pay a fee? (Yes/No)

Response Category	N=500
Yes	47%
No	48%
Don't know	5%

Bridge Operation & Maintenance

11. Bridges like the Broadway, Burnside, Hawthorne, Morrison, and Sellwood are operated and maintained by Multnomah County, and all roads leading to and from the bridges are maintained by the City of Portland. Would you support or oppose the responsibility of operating and maintaining these bridges being transferred to the City of Portland? (Wait and ask) Is that strongly or somewhat?

Response Category	N=500
Strongly support	19%
Somewhat support	26%
Somewhat oppose	17%
Strongly oppose	20%
Don't know	18%

DHM Research | City of Portland Public Budget Survey, March 2011

12. Besides the operation and maintenance of these bridges, Multnomah County is not responsible for any part of the transportation system in the City of Portland. Knowing this, would you support or oppose the responsibility of operating and maintaining the Broadway, Burnside, Hawthorne, Morrison, and Sellwood bridges being transferred to the City of Portland? **(Wait and ask)** Is that strongly or somewhat?

Response Category	N=500	
Strongly support	17%	
Somewhat support	29%	
Somewhat oppose	19%	
Strongly oppose	22%	
Don't know	13%	

Public Safety

13. The City of Portland currently has a one-time budget surplus, while Multnomah County has a budget deficit. There is a proposal for the City of Portland to take responsibility for patrolling the Willamette River from Multnomah County at an ongoing annual cost of \$1.8 million so that the County will not have to make more service cuts to mental health, human services, and public safety. Do you support or oppose this proposal? (Wait and ask) Is that strongly or somewhat?

Response Category	N=500
Strongly support	32%
Somewhat support	34%
Somewhat oppose	10%
Strongly oppose	13%
Don't know	10%

14. **I am going to read to you a list of priorities that your neighborhood could benefit from increased police services. After I read the list a second time, please rank them in order of importance, with 1 being most important to 6 being least important. (Randomize items: respondent should rank 1-6)

Response Category (N=500)	Most Important	2 nd Most Important	3 rd Most Important	4 th Most Important	5 th Most Important	6 th Most important
Crime prevention	28%	17%	20%	14%	10%	11%
Gang activity	20%	17%	18%	12%	15%	19%
Drug activity	18%	24%	12%	15%	18%	12%
Traffic safety	15%	11%	12%	16%	22%	24%
Burglary	12%	21%	21%	20%	15%	11%
Theft from vehicles	7%	11%	17%	22%	19%	24%

15. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree that the City of Portland should fine private property owners for not removing graffiti on their property within 10 days after the City's official notification to remove the graffiti?

Response Category	N=500	
Strongly agree	19%	
Somewhat agree	21%	
Somewhat disagree	19%	
Strongly disagree	37%	
Don't know	4%	

DHM Research | City of Portland Public Budget Survey, March 2011

7

16. Would you strongly oppose, somewhat oppose, somewhat support, or strongly support the use of cameras in high crime areas of the city as a way to discourage criminal activity and aid in identifying those involved in a crime?

Response Category	N=500
Strongly oppose	18%
Somewhat oppose	10%
Somewhat support	26%
Strongly support	44%
Don't know	3%

City Equality

17. I am going to read you three statements related to issues of racial equality and access to equal opportunity for all residents in the city of Portland. Please tell me which comes closest to your point of view. (Rotate statements)

Re	sponse Category	N=500
Α.	Racial inequality and access to equal opportunity for all residents is a problem in the city, and city government should try to address it	52%
В.	Regardless of whether or not racial inequality and access to equal opportunity for all residents is a problem in the city, this should not be a focus for city government.	22%
C.	Racial inequality and access to equal opportunity for all residents is not a problem in the city	21%
Don't know		

18. In thinking about discrimination against minorities do you think the Portland is making progress, losing ground, or are things about the same as they have been in the past? (PEW benchmark)

Response Category	N=500
Making progress	36%
Losing ground	8%
About the same	48%
Don't know	7%

DHM Research | City of Portland Public Budget Survey, March 2011

Demographics

Finally, I need some background information for statistical purposes only to make sure we have a valid sample. Remember, all your answers will be kept strictly confidential.

19. What is the name of your neighborhood park? (Record name or do not have neighborh

Response Category	N=500
Laurelhurst	7%
Mt. Tabor	4%
Alberta	4%
Wilshire	3%
Fern Hill	3%
Peninsula Park	3%
Woodlawn	2%
Sewallcrest	2%
Berkeley	2%
Grant Park	2%
Sellwood	2%
Woodstock	2%
Brooklyn	2%
All other responses	1% or less
None/nothing	1%
Don't know	17%

20. Gender (BY OBSERVATION)

Response Category	N=500	Actual % of population ages 18 and older (US Census 2006-2008)
Male	49%	49.3%
Female	51%	50.7%

21. In what age group are you?

Response Category	N=500	Actual % of population ages 18 and older (US Census 2006-2008)
18-24	8%	10.2%
25-34	19%	20.3%
35-44	15%	21.3%
45-54	26%	19.4%
55-64	17%	15.3%
65 and above	14%	13.2%
Refused	1%	

22. Do you live in...(read list)

Response Category	N=500
In Southwest/Northwest Portland	15%
In North Portland	10%
East of the Willamette River, between I-5 and 50 th Avenue	49%
East of 50 th Avenue	25%
Don't know/Refused	1%

23. Are you of Spanish/Hispanic/Latino origin?

Response Category	N=500	Actual % of population ages 18 and older (US Census 2010)
Yes	11%	7.5%
No	88%	92.5%
Refused	2%	

24. What is your race or ethnicity?

Response Category	N=500	Actual % of population ages 18 and olde (US Census 2010)	
Asian or Pacific Islander	5%	7.3%	
American Indian / Native	1%	1.0%	
Black / African American	6%	5.6%	
White / Caucasian	76%	79.3%	
Hispanic / Latino	8%	7.5%	
Two or more races/other	2%	7.5%	
Refused	2%		

25. How long have you lived in the Portland area?

Response Category	N=500
2 years or less	6%
3-5 years	7%
6-10 years	12%
11-20 years	23%
21 years or more	51%
Refused	1%

26. What is the highest level of education you have had the opportunity to complete?

Response Category	N=500
8 th grade or less	1%
Some High School	2%
High School graduate	17%
Some college/technical/community college/2-year degree	29%
College/4 year degree	29%
Post graduate	20%
Refused	1%

DHM Research | City of Portland Public Budget Survey, March 2011

27. How many children under age 18 live in your household? (Record Number)

Response Category	N=500
None	62%
1	14%
2	17%
3 or more	7%

28. Which category best describes your 2010 gross household income, before taxes? Remember to include everyone living in your household. Your best estimate will do.

Response Category	N=500	Actual % of population ages (US Census 2006-2008)
Less than \$24,999	18%	25.4%
\$25,000-\$49,999	30%	25.5%
\$50,000-\$74,999	18%	18.4%
\$75,000-\$99,999	13%	11.7%
\$100,000-\$149,999	9%	11.0%
\$150,000+	7%	8.1%
Refused	4%	

- 29. What is your zip code? (RECORD)
- 30. What is the closest intersection to where you live? (Record two streets _____&____
- 31. Are you registered to vote?

Response Category	N=500
Yes	88%
No	11%
Don't know	1%

DHM Research | City of Portland Public Budget Survey, March 2011

____)

Apr i Thursd	il 26, 2012 ay	April 2012 S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 91011121314 15161718192021 22232425262728 2930	May 2012 <u>S M T W T F S</u> <u>1 2 3 4 5</u> <u>6 7 8 9101112</u> <u>13 14 15 16 17 18 19</u> 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 <u>27 28 29 30 31</u>
	26	Thursday	
7 am			
8 ⁰⁰		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	r
9 ⁰⁰	DO NOT BOOK		
10 ⁰⁰	(Catherine) MEET: PBOT Budget Check-In Mayor's Office Adams, Sam		
11 ⁰⁰			
12 ^{pm}	(Jen) MEET: Weekly Staff Meeting Rose Room Yocom, Jennifer		
1 ⁰⁰	(Sam) CHECK-IN; Adams, Sam		
2 ⁰⁰	(Jen) ATTEND: Standing Commissioner's M Council Chambers	leeting	
3 ⁰⁰	(Catherine) MEET: PBOT Budget Discussion Mayor, East Meeting Room Adams, Sam	n - NOTE: This is in addition to to	omorrow's meeting
4 ⁰⁰	(Jen) MEET: OMF RE: Budget Updates with Mayor, East Meeting Room Adams, Sam	the Mayor	
5 ⁰⁰	(Raihana) MEET: URAC - INCLUDES Travel PDC Adams, Sam	Time	
6 00	TRAVEL TIME		
	(Maggie) ATTEND/SPEAK: Campfire Fire Co	elebrity + Youth Talent Show; C	rystal Ballroom; Adams
	÷		

Downtown Drug Dealing

Goal:

Work collaboratively with residents, business owners, service providers, courts, Multnomah County, TriMet, and the Portland Police Bureau to create a better downtown.

Neighborhood Meeting: April 6, 2011 Issues, Efforts & Potential Solutions





Portland Police Bureau 2010 Arrests for DCS and PCS in the Pearl, Downtown and Old Town/Chinatown Neighborhoods

Pearl District: 2010 Arrests - Top 4 drug categories					
DCS	Total	Percent of Total	PCS	Total	Percent of Total
COCAINE	18	78%	COCAINE	72	51%
MARIJUANA	2	9%	MARIJUANA	34	24%
METHADONE	2	9%	HEROIN	18	13%
HEROIN	1	4%	METHADONE	12	9%
Total all drugs	23		Total all drugs	140	

DCS	Total	Percent of Total	PCS	Total	Percent of Total
MARIJUANA	38	45%	MARIJUANA	199	43%
HEROIN	24	28%	HEROIN	134	29%
COCAINE	12	14%	COCAINE	61	13%
ECSTASY	3	4%	METHADONE	27	6%
Total all drugs	85		Total all drugs	465	

DCS	Total	Percent of Total	PCS	Total	Percent of Total
COCAINE	60	63%	COCAINE	241	54%
MARIJUANA	21	22%	MARIJUANA	107	24%
METHADONE	6	6%	HEROIN	47	11%
HEROIN	3	3%	METHADONE	23	5%
Total all drugs	96		Total all drugs	444	

	Total All	Three Neighborhoods 2	2010 Arrests - Top 4 drug categories		
DCS	TOTAL	Percent of Total	PCS	TOTAL	Percent of Total
COCAINE	90	44%	COCAINE	374	36%
MARIJUANA	61	30%	MARIJUANA	340	32%
HEROIN	28	14%	HEROIN	199	19%
METHADONE	9	4%	METHADONE	62	6%
3 Neighborhood Total all drugs	204		3 Neighborhood Total all drugs	1049	

DCS - Delivery of a Controlled Substance.

PCS - Possession of a Controlled Substance.

Note : If an arrest included both DCS and PCS charges, it was counted as DCS in order to not double count arrests for this analysis.

APRIL 2011 DRUG MISSION

Results:



Portland Police Bureau just completed four-week drug buying mission

- ✓ Timely: Drug transactions completed by undercover police and suspects identified in the last month.
- ✓ Effective: This week, MultCo. Grand Jury will consider indictments for 32 suspected drug dealers (20 within 1000 ft. of a school) and 10 others for dealing imitation controlled substances. 6 arrests on warrants. Four warrants were for FTA on drug charges and 2 were for Probation/Parole violations.

WHAT ELSE? DISCUSS.

•Periodic placement of Police Bureau Mobile Precinct? (Underway week of April 6, 2011)

Consider:



"Would you strongly oppose, somewhat oppose, somewhat support, or strongly support the use of cameras in high crime areas of the city as a way to discourage criminal activity and aid in identifying those involved in a crime?"

> • Almost seven in 10 (69%) either "strongly" (44%) or "somewhat" (26%) support using cameras in high crime areas of the city as a way to discourage criminal activity and aid in identifying those involved in a crime.

•Twenty-eight percent oppose

• Full survey: http://www.portlandonline.com/shared /cfm/image.cfm?id=342544 •New emphasis on using ORS with regard to school zones? (Underway w/current indictments; researching more possible school zones)

D Use of drug-sniffing dogs to detect hidden drugs in planters, newspaper boxes, other public places? (Researching)

Work with TriMet to Improve Transit Mall Safety? Music? More fare inspectors? Video surveillance? (Beginning talks)

•Increased lighting?

(ONI team working with businesses on environmental considerations, more research needed on specific locations; We encourage businesses to talk to Crime Prevention Coordinator for evaluations)

•What to do about bathrooms?

(Parks to close 8th & Ankeny bathrooms temporarily to consider lighting issues etc, beginning April 8, 2011)

•Prosecute more drug-related crime?

(Mayor to request Council funding of deputy D.A. within 2 weeks; Working in collaboration with County, discussions underway)

•Advocate for drug treatment and rehabilitation? (Continue support for Service Coordination Team; research other opportunities to advocate)

<u>SHOULD WE REINSTATE REVISED DRUG</u> <u>FREE ZONES?</u>

Note:

Drug Free Zones fell into disfavor for a variety of reasons in 2007, now we need to find more effective and fair ways to reduce public drug dealing.

Consider:

Court-imposed stay-away orders in Illegal Drug Impact Areas (IDIA), including Old Town Chinatown, for *post-conviction* drug users and sellers.

NO MORE "JUST CITATIONS" FOR DRUG DEALERS

Goal:

Arrests. Convictions. Stay-away orders. Drug Treatment. Rehabilitation.

Note:

Underway

& Consider:

Due to staffing cuts, the Multnomah County District Attorney has significantly reduced the number of drug possession cases prosecuted as crimes.

Will request City Council to fund a Deputy District Attorney to prosecute more drug possession cases as crimes and to put emphasis on crime in high drug impact areas. Works in conjunction with stay-away orders. Consider re-initiation of walking-beat officers. (Underway, Mayor to request funding within two weeks.) P_{AS}

<u>WHY NOT TOUGHEN ANTI-</u> <u>PANHANDLING LAWS?</u>

Allow safe and easy access for pedestrians and persons with disabilities.

Note:

Goal:

Done:

On state constitutional grounds, local Courts have repeatedly struck down tougher versions of City of Portland sidewalk sit/lie ordinances. However, panhandling that includes menacing behavior or bodily contact is clearly against the law:

- \checkmark Panhandling has been ruled as protected free speech
- The city cannot (and does not want to) ban people from sitting/standing in sidewalk "furnishing zone"

Sidewalk Management Ordinance approved 2010

- ✓ Anyone sitting on a sidewalk must leave a 6- to 8-foot zone for passers, depending on the width of the sidewalk. People are allowed to sit or lie on the outer edge of sidewalks (frontage).
- ✓ http://www.portlandonline.com/mayor/index.cfm?c=51353&a=278023

COMPEL DRUG TREATMENT

Goal:

Ongoing.

Done:

Decrease buyer market for drug sellers and support rehabilitation.

The continued support of Service Coordination Team (SCT), through Central City Concern and Volunteers of America, to provide treatment for uninsured drug users, who until before 2004, could not access

treatment.

- ✓ Identifies the community's most chronic offenders
- \checkmark Uses jail sentences to encourage treatment alternatives
- ✓ Provides intensive treatment programs for the chronic offenders
- ✓ Provides parole and probation oversight to sustain treatment
- \checkmark Provides housing & services to maximize treatment success

More than 300 people served since 2005. In this demographic, crime rate has dropped more than 32%.

DO HOMELESS SERVICES ATTRACT MORE ISSUES?

Goal: Avoid concentrating homeless services into a single geographic area.

Done & Ongoing:

Consider:

Homelessness issues require a comprehensive approach:

- City of Portland has agreement not to further concentrate social and human services in Old Town/Chinatown
- ✓ New construction is to improve facilities for existing providers; reduce impacts on neighborhood and create better access to services for those in need i.e. waiting rooms instead of lines on sidewalks
- ✓ Further City of Portland's "10-Year Plan to End Homelessness,"
 &, "Service Coordination Team" efforts
- ✓ Consider the upcoming impact of state cuts to mental health, treatment and other human services.
- City of Portland needs support to better balance of spending within the region on affordable housing and homeless programs.

WHAT IS THE LONG TERM PLAN?

Goal:

Done:

Create a safe and vibrant downtown that includes Old Town / Chinatown. City of Portland needs to stay on top of changing circumstances <u>AND</u> continue invest in district.

Encourage public and private investment:

- Since 2006, the City of Portland / PDC worked to help land over \$50 million in private & PDC investments, including: White Stag/U of O; Mercy Corps; Oregon College of Oriental Medicine
- ✓ Since 2006, an additional \$21 million in PDC investments alone have included: streetscape; light rail; Ankeny Plaza
- Mayor backed PDC's decision to stay in Old Town / Chinatown (represents approx. \$425k in neighborhood spending annually.)

Underway & Consider:

Target new investments:

- Prioritize building grocery store on Old Town / Chinatown super-block
- Support, targeted rezone and assist with development of more market rate workforce and student housing
- Increase lighting and other environmental supports known for reducing crime throughout neighborhood

HOW DO WE BOLSTER COMMUNITY & POLICE PARTNERSHIPS?

•Goal:

Equip business owners and community leaders with the information and tools they need to run well-managed operations that help ensure the public's safety.

•Done &

Ongoing:
Ma
Ma<

- Downtown bar & entertainment district safety summit and ongoing strategy
- Mayor Adams completed Friday night ride-along in mid-March
- ✓ Keep lines of communication open between business and community leaders, Mayor's office and law enforcement
- ✓ Mayor's office and PPB to continue to attend neighborhood association meetings
- ✓ Encourage business leaders to do PPB ride-alongs
- / Thoughts on neighborhood foot patrols and block watches?
- $\checkmark \quad \text{Other ideas?}$

Next Steps

- City finalizing plans with this new input
- Individual follow-up post meeting
- Update to group from Mayor's office / PPB at upcoming neighborhood meetings



L frugeet: Donntonn Kergulahad Astociation Witz



Services we provide

• Housing

503.823.023

Referra

- Treatment readiness classes
- Individual and group counseling
- Criminally focused
 addictions treatment
- Residential support
 treatment program
- Mental health support
- Relapse prevention support
- Job training
- Alumni association



Service Coordination Team

Portland Police Bureau 1111 SW 2nd Ave. • Portland OR 97204 503.823.3449 www.portlandpolice.com

Program Referral: 503.823.0233

Cover photo: Officer Stacy Dunn and Floyd Pittman, 2010 graduate and member of the Portland Community College Dean's List



Service Coordination Team

...has restored hope to lives previously shattered by drug addiction and incarceration.

...has reduced crime and saved taxpayer resources.

...involves program graduates in community service by forming an Alumni Association.

...provides mentorship and role models for future clients.

...has won awards for its service model based on agency collaboration.



Proud SCT graduates Patti Dorn and Barron Williams.

Our Goal

The Service Coordination Team is a crime reduction strategy managed by the Portland Police Bureau. The goal of the SCT is to reduce the incidence of crime by offering drug treatment as an alternative to cycling habitual offenders through the criminal justice system.

This program is unique because it brings all partners to the table to determine the best criminal justice and treatment options for each client.

This is done by providing court sanctions and addressing the underlying cause of the offender's criminal behavior: drug and alcohol addiction.

Project outcomes

- More than 300 people were served from 2008-2011.
- Seventy-three (73) people have graduated a treatment program.
- Cost savings in jail beds alone are estimated to exceed \$45 million.
- Recidivism among SCT graduates has been reduced 91 percent.
- Recidivism among all SCT participants has been reduced by 43 percent.
- A federal study estimates that for every dollar spent on treatment, \$7 is saved in criminal justice costs.

Referrals

Referrals to the SCT program can be made by any law enforcement agency, judge, or social service provider. Referring agency must provide a name and SID number or date of birth.

To make a referral call: 503.823.0233

Community Partners



















CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON



Bureau of Police Sam Adams, Mayor

Michael Reese, Chief of Police 1111 S.W. 2nd Avenue • Portland, OR 97204 • Phone: 503-823-0000 • Fax: 503-823-0342

Integrity • Compassion • Accountability • Respect • Excellence • Service

SERVICE COORDINATION TEAM PROGRAM STATISTICS: MAY 2, 2012

CLIENTS SERVED

Individual Clients Served 2008:	122
Individual Clients Served 2009:	
Individual Clients Served 2009.	143
	158
Individual Clients Served 2011:	190
TOTAL Enrolled 2008-2011:	613
Subtract Duplicate Enrollments:	241
TOTAL Individuals Served 2008-2011:	372
PROGRAM GRADUATES	
2009 Graduates:	20
2010 Graduates:	22
2011 Graduates:	31
TOTAL Graduates:	$\frac{51}{73}$

REDUCTION IN RECIDIVISM

(Calculated using the arrest records of program participants July 2008-July 31, 2011 before and after SCT enrollment of 2 weeks or longer)

73

٠	54 graduates:	91% reduction in recidivism
٠	211 non-graduating participants:	43% reduction in recidivism
٠	265 total participants:	52% reduction in recidivism

DRUG IMPACT AREA

Total Exclusions to date:	381
Meeting SCT Criteria	152
	152
Have received or receiving SCT services:	58

SCT HOUSING AND TREATMENT ALLOCATIONS

Treatment Slots	
Outpatient Men	13
Residential Men	<u>12</u>
Total Treatment Slots Men	$\frac{12}{25}$
Housing	
Golden West Housing (Wet)	24
Estate Housing (Dry)	25
Women's Residential	5
RSP Residential VOA	<u>12</u>
Total Housing Units Available	66