Insurance Coverage?

"Non-Thermal Effects Confirmed Exposure Limits Challenged Precaution Demanded . . .

... All across Europe, the debate on exposure limits has flared up; insurance companies do not insure cell phone providers because of the incalculable health risks."

Austrian Insurance Company (AUVA) report confirming health risks associated with wireless technologies - July 21, 2009



Safeguarding the Public

- Germany warns citizens to avoid Wi-Fi due to health risks – September 2007
- Russian Radiation Protection Agency gives urgent warning to defend children's health from RF/MW -April 2008
- Five public libraries in Paris shut down Wi-Fi due to health concerns - May 2008
- European Parliament votes to bring in stricter radiation limits - September 2008
- City of Herouville St. Clair, France removes Wi-Fi from primary schools due to health risks - April 2009
- Teachers in UK call for immediate dismantling of Wi-Fi in schools due to health risks - April 2009
- Sorbonne University, Paris passes moratorium on Wi-Fi May 2009
- Los Angeles School District votes unanimously to protect children from ELF and RF/MW – May 2009
- Israeli Minister of Environment gives public warning on radiation emitting devices including Wi-Fi - July 2009

<u>WE BELIEVE</u> a profound urgency exists to protect the unsuspecting public, especially children, from electropollution in our environment.

A growing percentage of our population experiences uncomfortable and damaging physical reactions to cellular and wireless technologies. We agree with thousands of scientists and doctors who now believe and assert in documented research studies that Wi-Fi and its related technologies are NOT SAFE.

Our goal is to raise awareness of this problem so that the public is empowered to protect themselves and their children while demanding reduced exposure to unsafe technologies.

What You Can Do

- · Care enough to share this information.
- · Hardwire your home computers.
- Protect youth by requesting that your school/college hardwire its computers.
- Request that businesses using Wi-Fi post
 Radiation Exposure signs that
 allow concerned individuals to
 avoid involuntary exposure.
- Request all levels of government to protect the public by enacting biological health standards as recommended in the BioInitiative Report.

Websites and Resources

www.bioinitiative.org
www.wiredchild.org
www.weepinitiative.org
www.wifiinschools.org.uk
www.powerwatch.org.uk
www.mastsanity.org
www.magdahavas.com
www.microwavenews.com
emf.mercola.com

- BioInitiative/Interview Cindy Sage/012008.html
- Magda Havas and Camilla Rees's Book:
 Public Health SOS: The Shadow Side of The Wireless Revolution
- Dr. George Carlo research, books, interviews
- See movie: Full Signal

ASK P.P.S. TO REMOVE WI-FI WE WANT SAFE SCHOOLS!

Wi-Fi

Is it Safe?



Who Are We?

Citizens For Safe Technology

is a not-for-profit educational society made up of parents, grandparents, teachers, business professionals, scientists, politicians and lawyers concerned about the exponential increase in public exposure to harmful wireless technologies.



www.citizensforsafetechnology.org

Man average of a secur

Untested and Unregulated

"Wi-Fi" is the term given to the wireless transmission of internet signals. It uses radio frequency microwave radiation (RF/MW) to operate and communicate. Cell phones, cell towers and antennae, portable phones and Wi-Fi all utilize and emit similar Radio-Frequency Radiation.

Damaging **health effects** are undeniable, and scientifically shown to occur at levels thousands of times below existing public safety limits.

Reputable scientists state that our standards are obsolete because they are based solely on thermal effects.

Radiation - Use with Caution

"Exposure to cell phone radiation is the largest human health experiment ever undertaken without informed consent."

Lloyd Morgan, Electronics Engineer (Ret.) USA Bioelectromagnetics Society



"This is damage to the human genome, and can lead to mutations which can be inherited, or which can cause cancer, or both... The rapidly expanding development of new wireless technologies and the long latency for the development of such serious diseases as brain cancers means that failure to take immediate action to reduce risks may result in an epidemic of potentially fatal diseases in the future."

"Public Health Implications of Wireless Technologies" Sage/Carpenter – Pathophysiology, 2009

Electrohypersensitivity

EHS is receiving **international recognition** as an emerging public health problem recognized in Sweden and Norway as a functional impairment.

The Canadian Human Rights Commission, the Americans with Disabilities Act and our Canadian Government now recognize EHS as an **Environmental Sensitivity**.

The **Power Watch Handbook** states that between 3% and 35% of our population are sensitive in some way to electromagnetic frequencies. The likelihood will only grow with continued **exposure and time**.



EHS Sensitivity Symptoms

Neurological: headaches and migraines, difficulty concentrating, memory loss, dizziness, nausea, irritability, numbness, tingling, fatigue, hyperactivity, anxiety, stress reactions, depression, insomnia, tremors, muscle spasms, joint pain . . .

Respiratory: allergies, sinus infections, asthma, bronchitis, pneumonia . . .

Cardiac: pain or pressure in the chest, palpitations, shortness of breath, low or high blood pressure, arrhythmia . . .

Other: sleep disturbances, hormonal imbalances, altered immune response, tumors, infertility, digestive problems, blood sugar fluctuations, irritable bowel symptoms, skin rashes, flushing, night sweats, vertigo, nosebleeds, impaired sense of smell and/or taste, loss of appetite, tinnitus, hearing loss, deteriorating vision, mood changes, thyroid imbalances . . .

"Relief can be obtained by eliminating exposure to radiation emitting devices such as cell phones and other wireless technologies..."

EHS Awareness Proclamation, August 2009 Mayor of the City of Colwood, BC.

Health at Risk

All over the world, people of all ages are getting sick when exposed to the pollution created by everyday electromagnetic technologies. These include cell phones and cell towers, wireless internet (Wi-Fi), portable home telephones, Wi-Max, utility "Smart" meters, microwave ovens, lighting, CFL light bulbs and other home and business devices and appliances.

"No scientific evidence has determined that wireless technology is safe... This exposure affects our whole body... Are we equipped to face this sort of radiation? Has evolution provided us with a shell that can protect us? And obviously the answer is no, we don't have that kind of protection, so we are left to pray and to hope that it isn't dangerous, but it's an empty hope."

Professor Olle Johansson, PhD Royal Institute of Technology Stockholm, Sweden



Over 3000 Doctors Agree

"... One can no longer evade these pulsed microwaves. They heighten the risk of already present chemical/physical influences, stress the body's immune system, and can bring the body's still-functioning regulatory mechanisms to a halt. Pregnant women, children, adolescents, elderly and sick people are especially at risk."

Freiburger Appeal, signed by over 3,000 German Medical Doctors



PLEASE NOTE: Legislative Information *cannot* perform research, provide legal advice, or interpret Maine law. For legal assistance, please contact a qualified attorney.

An Act To Create the Children's Wireless Protection Act

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

Sec. 1. 22 MRSA c. 261-B is enacted to read:

ASK P.P.S. TO REMOVE WI-FI WE WANT SAFE SCHOOLS!

CHAPTER 261-B

CHILDREN'S WIRELESS PROTECTION ACT

§ 1537. Warning labels for cellular telephones

- 1. **Definitions.** As used in this section, unless the context otherwise indicates, the following terms have the following meanings.
 - A. "Cellular telephone" means a device used to access a wireless telephone service.
- 2. Warning label required. A manufacturer of cellular telephones may not sell at retail in this State, to a retailer in this State, or for use in this State a cellular telephone unless the cellular telephone and its packaging bear a warning label that is legible, nonremovable, is located in a prominent place that is conspicuous and not obscured by other written matter, contrasts with the type, layout and color of the other printed matter, includes a color graphic symbol, appears on both the front and back of the packaging, takes up at least 30% of the back surface of the cellular telephone and contains the following statement:

"WARNING, THIS DEVICE EMITS ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION, EXPOSURE TO WHICH MAY CAUSE BRAIN CANCER. USERS, ESPECIALLY CHILDREN AND PREGNANT WOMEN, SHOULD KEEP THIS DEVICE AWAY FROM THE HEAD AND BODY."

The requirements of this subsection may not result in a cost to the retailer or distributor of cellular telephones.

- 3. Violation. A violation of this section is a violation of the Maine Unfair Trade Practices Act.
- 4. Rulemaking. The department shall adopt rules to implement this section, including, but not limited to, rules establishing requirements for uniform warning labels for cellular telephones and cellular telephone packaging under this section. Rules adopted under this subsection are routine technical rules as defined by Title 5, chapter 375, subchapter 2-A.
- Sec. 2. Rulemaking; requirements. In adopting initial rules establishing requirements for uniform warning labels pursuant to the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 22, section 1537, the Department of Health and Human Services shall adopt rules requiring that warning labels:



Date://	
Dear Child Care Professional:	
I have carefully evaluatedhaving:	and have diagnosed him/her as
Cough	☐ Middle ear fluid (without Otitis Media) ☐ Viral sore throat
are effective in treating bacteri	s and antibiotic treatment will not help a viral illness (antibiotics only al infections). In fact, if antibiotics are given when they are not y increasing the child's risk of a resistant infection.
participate in activities. Exclud	are when he/she does not have a fever. At that point most children can ding children with viral illness is unlikely to decrease the spread of infections are likely to be spread even before symptoms of illness occur.
Sincerely yours,	

P.S. Here are some expert reviews that support these recommendations.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *The ABC's of Safe and Healthy Child Care; A Handbook for Child's Providers*. Atlanta, GA: Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Department of Health and Human Services, U. S. Public Health Service, 1996.

American Public Health Association and American Academy of Pediatrics. *Caring for Our Children. National Health and Safety Standards: Guidelines for Out-of-Home Child Care Programs.* Ann Arbor, MI: American Public Health Association and American Academy of Pediatrics, 1992.





- 1. Be a permanent nonremovable advisory of risk, in black type, except for the word "warning," which must be large and in red, capital letters, all on a field of white, with a narrow red border; and
- 2. Include a color graphic of "Brain of 5-year-old" depicted by O.P. Gandhi et al., in <u>IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques</u>, "Electromagnetic Absorption in the Human Head and Neck for Mobiletelephones at 835 and 1900 MHz," 1996 44(10): 1884-1897, which must be positioned beside the words of warning using small red arrows labeled "cell phone" and "radiation area," pointing to those respective areas of the graphic and containing beneath the graphic the label, "Brain of 5-year-old," with attribution in tiny print as appropriate, the entire graphic taking up 30% of the label.

SUMMARY

This bill provides that a manufacturer may not sell at retail in this State, or to a retailer in this State or for use in this State a cellular telephone unless, at no cost to the Maine retailer or Maine distributor, the cellular telephone and its packaging bear a warning label relating to the potential for brain cancer associated with electromagnetic radiation of the form emitted from cellular telephones, with the recommendation that users, especially children and pregnant women, keep the device away from the head and body. A violation of this provision is a violation of the Maine Unfair Trade Practices Act.



Pate://	
Dear Child Care Professiona	1:
I have carefully evaluatedhaving:	and have diagnosed him/her as
Cough	☐ Middle ear fluid (without Otitis Media) ☐ Viral sore throat
are effective in treating bacte	rus and antibiotic treatment will not help a viral illness (antibiotics only erial infections). In fact, if antibiotics are given when they are not by increasing the child's risk of a resistant infection.
participate in activities. Excl	care when he/she does not have a fever. At that point most children can uding children with viral illness is unlikely to decrease the spread of infectiouses are likely to be spread even before symptoms of illness occur.
Sincerely yours,	

P.S. Here are some expert reviews that support these recommendations.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *The ABC's of Safe and Healthy Child Care; A Handbook for Child's Providers*. Atlanta, GA: Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Department of Health and Human Services, U. S. Public Health Service, 1996.

American Public Health Association and American Academy of Pediatrics. *Caring for Our Children. National Health and Safety Standards: Guidelines for Out-of-Home Child Care Programs.* Ann Arbor, MI: American Public Health Association and American Academy of Pediatrics, 1992.





Parsons, Susan

MAY 26

From:

David [morrisonbooks@gmail.com]

Sent: To: Wednesday, May 12, 2010 12:56 PM Parsons, Susan

Subject:

city council meeting

hello,

i would like to address the city council to request that they adopt the "children's wireless protection act" which requires precautionary information on cell phone packaging. this bill was recently defeated in maine but will be taken up again there where it is expected to pass.

thank you, David Morrison Request of David Morrison to address Council regarding the children's wireless protection act (Communications)

MAY 2 6 2010 PLACED ON FILE

Filed _	MAY 2 1 2010
	onne Griffin-Valade or of the City of Portland

COMMISSIONERS VOTED AS FOLLOWS:				
	YEAS	NAYS		
1. Fritz				
2. Fish				
3. Saltzman	1			
4. Leonard				
Adams				