

Portland, Oregon
FINANCIAL IMPACT and PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT STATEMENT
For Council Action Items

(Deliver original to Financial Planning Division. Retain copy.)

1. Name of Initiator Rich Newlands		2. Telephone No. X37780	3. Bureau/Office/Dept. PBOT/ PMD
4a. To be filed (date): JANUARY 4, 2012	4b. Calendar (Check One) Regular Consent 4/5ths <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		5. Date Submitted to Commissioner's office and FPD Budget Analyst: 12-23-11
6a. Financial Impact Section: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial impact section completed		6b. Public Involvement Section: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public involvement section completed	

1) Legislation Title:

Authorize an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Oregon Department of Transportation to define the roles and responsibilities for real property to be obtained and used as part of right-of-way for road, street or construction of public improvements for the NE/SE 50s Bikeway Project. (Ordinance)

2) Purpose of the Proposed Legislation:

The IGA establishes the roles, responsibilities and guidelines for right-of-way acquisition necessary to construct the project.

3) Which area(s) of the city are affected by this Council item? (Check all that apply—areas are based on formal neighborhood coalition boundaries)?

- | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> City-wide/Regional | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Northeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Northwest | <input type="checkbox"/> North |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Central Northeast | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Southeast | <input type="checkbox"/> Southwest | <input type="checkbox"/> East |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Central City | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Internal City Government Services | | | |

FINANCIAL IMPACT

4) Revenue: Will this legislation generate or reduce current or future revenue coming to the City? If so, by how much? If so, please identify the source.

No, there are no revenue related components to this IGA.

5) Expense: What are the costs to the City related to this legislation? What is the source of funding for the expense? (Please include costs in the current fiscal year as well as costs in future years. If the action is related to a grant or contract please include the local contribution or match required. If there is a project estimate, please identify the **level of confidence**.)

None. Funding for the project, including ROW acquisition, was addressed via a prior

Ordinance (#182340) which accepted the grant (federal funds) of \$1,934,000 and an existing IGA with PDC for matching funds, \$220,000. This Ordinance only addresses the roles and responsibilities for the ROW acquisition process.

6) Staffing Requirements:

- **Will any positions be created, eliminated or re-classified in the current year as a result of this legislation?** *(If new positions are created please include whether they will be part-time, full-time, limited term, or permanent positions. If the position is limited term please indicate the end of the term.)*

No.

- **Will positions be created or eliminated in future years as a result of this legislation?**

No.

(Complete the following section only if an amendment to the budget is proposed.)

7) Change in Appropriations *(If the accompanying ordinance amends the budget please reflect the dollar amount to be appropriated by this legislation. Include the appropriate cost elements that are to be loaded by accounting. Indicate "new" in Fund Center column if new center needs to be created. Use additional space if needed.)*

Fund	Fund Center	Commitment Item	Functional Area	Funded Program	Grant	Sponsored Program	Amount

[Proceed to Public Involvement Section — REQUIRED as of July 1, 2011]

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

8) Was public involvement included in the development of this Council item (e.g. ordinance, resolution, or report)? Please check the appropriate box below:

☒ **YES:** Please proceed to Question #9.

☐ **NO:** Please, explain why below; and proceed to Question #10.

9) If "YES," please answer the following questions:

a) What impacts are anticipated in the community from this proposed Council item?

No negative impacts. This item is in support of a project that earlier this year was adopted by City Council with community support.

b) Which community and business groups, under-represented groups, organizations, external government entities, and other interested parties were involved in this effort, and when and how were they involved?

The project initiated a plan refinement phase in 2010 that included a public involvement process that was led by a citizens advisory committee. The committee included representatives from all the neighborhood and business associations within the project boundaries. The committee met 5 times over the course of the project and endorsed the recommendation that was forwarded to City Council. The project was also formally endorsed by all the neighborhood and business associations involved.

c) How did public involvement shape the outcome of this Council item?

It did not. This action item only relates to the roles and responsibilities required for right-of-way acquisition, if needed.

d) Who designed and implemented the public involvement related to this Council item?

Public involvement for the project was a partnership between the Bureau of Transportation (Rich Newlands and Sarah Figliozzi)

e) Primary contact for more information on this public involvement process (name, title, phone, email):

Rich Newlands, Project Manager, x37780, rich.newlands@portlandoregon.gov

10) Is any future public involvement anticipated or necessary for this Council item? Please describe why or why not.

No. All other issues associated with both the design of the project and any related right-of-way acquisitions have been addressed for the project to proceed to construction and completion.

KK 12-19-11

BUREAU DIRECTOR  TOM MILLER, Portland Bureau of Transportation